Cotton, Rice and Sunflower

324 1985 DUD



University of Missouri-Columbia Agricultural Experiment Station

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MAP OF TEST LOCATIONS (FIGURE 1)	3
RAINFALL AND TEMPERATURE (TABLE 1)	4
PART I: COTTON - 1984	
COMPARING VARIETIES	5
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	5
ENTRIES	5
FIELD PLOT DESIGN	5
PLOT MANAGEMENT	6
DATA RECORDED	6
MTCRONATRE	6
LENGTH	6
FLONGATION	6
STRENGTH	7
RESULTS	7
CIL-TIRAL PRACTICES (TABLE 2)	8
VIELD SUMMARY (TABLE 3)	8
CLARKTON (TABLES 4-5)	9
DOPTACRITILE (TABLES 6-7)	10
CENTATE (TABLE C = 0)	11
SEVALT (TABLES 0^{-2})	12
	12
WITION SEED WIMPIPANY ADLIKESSES (TABLE 12)	10
PART II: RICE - 1904	14
	14
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	14
	14
FIELD PLOT DESIGN	15
OLTURAL FRACTICES	15
DATA RECORDED	15
RESULTS	15
SHORT SEASON (TABLE 13)	16
MEDIUM SEASON (TABLE 14)	10
FULL SEASON (TABLE 15)	17
PART III: SUNFLOWER - 1984	
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	18
ENTRIES	18
FIELD PLOT DESIGN	18
CULTURAL PRACTICES	18
DATA RECORDED	18
RESULTS	18
COLUMBIA (TABLE 16)	19
SUNFLOWER SEED COMPANY ADDRESSES (TABLE 17)	20

THE AUTHORS

Harry C. Minor is an Associate Professor of Agronomy and State Extension Specialist, Carl G. Morris is a Senior Research Specialist, and Delbert R. Knerr, Eric Lawman, and Virgil Sparks are Research Specialists.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors recognize and express their appreciation to David Andrews, Senath; Joe Scott, Superintendent, Delta Center, Portageville; and John Poehlmann, Superintendent, Agronomy Research Center, Columbia. Special recognition is given to Dr. Karen Kuenzel, Rice Branch Station, Stuttgart, Arkansas for her advice and assistance throughout the season.

2



FIGURE 1. TEST SITE LOCATIONS.

- TEST LOCATION
- C COTTON TRIAL
- R RICE TRIAL
- S SUNFLOWER TRIAL

		I	RAINFALL		r	EMPERATURE	
LOCATION	MONTH	INCHES	DEPART. FROM NORMAL	RAINY DAYS	°F	DEPART. FROM NORMAL	90° OR ABOVE
COLUMBIA	APRIL	7.2	+3.4	11	52.5	-2.3	0
	MAY	3.5	-1.0	8	60.8	-3.3	0
	JUNE	5.5	+3.1	9	74.4	+1.5	3
	JULY	1.7	-1.8	1	75.5	-2.3	14
	AUGUST	1.1	-1.8	2	77.0	+1.0	19
CLARKION*	APRIL	4.8	+0.4	10	56.9	-3.0	0
	MAY	9.9	+5.4	7	62.0	-7.6	0
	JUNE	3.5	-0.2	5	79.0	+1.7	16
	JULY	1.3	-2.1	6	77.5	-3.2	15
	AUGUST	2.5	-0.3	4	76.5	-2.5	5
SENATH*	APRIL MAY JUNE JULY AUGUST	4.6 9.9 1.2 1.7 2.2		8 7 4 7 3	57.9 65.7 79.6 78.5 78.0		0 1 23 23 20
FORTAGEN ILLE	APRIL	4.8	+0.4	10	56.9	-3.0	0
	MAY	9.9	+5.4	7	62.0	-7.6	0
	JUNE	3.5	-0.2	5	79.0	+1.7	16
	JULY	1.3	-2.1	6	77.5	-3.2	15
	AUGUST	2.5	-0.3	4	76.5	-2.5	5

TABLE 1. RAINFALL AND TEMPERATURE DURING 1984.

- DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

* CLARKTON (PORTAGEVILLE DATA), SENATH (KENNETT DATA).

PART I

COTTON - 1984

This report is a contribution of the Department of Agronomy, University of Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station, which reports on Research Project 363. The work was supported in part by funds from the Missouri Seed Improvement Association and fees from the companies submitting varieties for evaluation.

Cotton variety trials became part of the University of Missouri's crop performance testing program in 1978. These tests are conducted to provide a reliable, unbiased, up-to-date source of information for comparing varieties.

COMPARING VARIETIES

In each trial, the "top yielding varieties" have been identified. These varieties are those that did not yield significantly less than the highest yielding variety in the test. They are denoted in the tables by an asterisk (*) next to their yields. Thus, by going down a column, the highest yielding varieties in a trial can be readily identified. By going across, the relative performance of a variety during several years or at several locations can be evaluated. From the standpoint of yield, the most desirable varieties will be those which rank among the "top yielding" group (that is, have an asterisk) the greatest number of times.

Although yield usually receives first consideration, other agronomic characteristics may be equally important when selecting a cotton variety. For southeastern Missouri, maturity, seedling vigor, and reactions to diseases are among the additional characteristics that deserve careful consideration. Late maturing varieties can be injured by early fall frost, particularly when planting is delayed. High seed viability and good seedling vigor help insure uniform and adequate stands under occasionally adverse conditions. Several prevalent diseases can markedly reduce final yield of susceptible varieties. Thus, all the information presented in this report should be considered when selecting a variety.

The Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station does not make specific recommendations for varieties. It is suggested that the farmers growing a new variety for the first time consider the information contained in this report and then grow a small acreage to determine adaptability. This should be the practice for all new varieties regardless of origin.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Three locations were selected to represent the soil diversity in the cotton growing area of southeastern Missouri. These locations were the University of Missouri's Rhodes Farm near Clarkton (sandy soil), the Delta Research Center near Portageville (clay soil), and the David Andrews farm near Senath (loam soil). The Clarkton test was on a site infested with Fusarium wilt and root knot nematode. Locations of the sites are shown on Figure 1, Page 3.

Entries. All producers of cotton seed were eligible to enter varieties in the 1984 evaluation trials. Participation was voluntary and no control was exercised by the program over which or how many varieties were entered. However, to help finance the evaluation program, a fee of \$80 per location was charged for each entry entered by the seed producer. A total of 16 cotton varieties were compared in 1984.

<u>Field Plot Design</u>. The tests were arranged in a randomized block design with four replications. Plots consisted of four rows, 38 inches wide (30 inches

wide at Portageville) and 40 feet long. The two center rows were used for all yield and quality information.

<u>Plot Management</u>. The tests were planted and harvested with commercial equipment modified for small plot work. Details of the management practices followed at each location are given in Table 2, Page 8, and rainfall and temperature are given in Table 1, Page 4.

Data Recorded. Seedling vigor notes were taken soon after emergence to give a relative indication of survival capabilities of the young plants. Seedling vigor was rated on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 indicative of high vigor and 5 indicative of low vigor. The total number of plants in the center two rows of each plot were counted and converted to number of plants per acre. At maturity, height, lodging, and yield were measured. Height was taken as the average distance in inches from the soil surface to the top of the plant. Lodging, which gives the degree of erectness, was scored on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 indicating that all plants were erect (no lodging) and 5 indicating that 80 percent, or more, of the plants were lodged. Yield was measured in total pounds of lint per acre. This value was calculated by multiplying the gin percentage (lint percentage) by the total seed cotton yield. Fiber quality characteristics were determined for each variety utilizing lint cotton samples from two replications at each test location. These characteristics and their importance are described below. Their values were determined at Starlab, Inc., Knoxville, Tennessee.

A. Micronaire: The micronaire test provides a combined measure of maturity and fineness of cotton fibers. Fiber maturity is a relative measure of the cell-wall development throughout the entire length of the cotton fiber. Immature fibers result in decreased rates of processing, dyeing problems, and the production of yarns and fabrics with low appearance grade. Fineness is a relative measure of either the diameter of individual cotton fibers or the weight per unit length. Fine cottons produce stronger yarns but require reduced rate of processing.

In the test, air is passed through a compressed sample of cotton fiber. The rate of flow through the sample follows a relationship between diameter or thickness of the textile fibers and the air resistance they provide. Finer fibers result in greater resistance and, therefore, a lesser air flow. Value recorded can be interpreted as follows:

> 4.9 and above = coarse fibers 3.5 to 4.8 = premium range 3.4 and below = fine and often immature

- B. Length: Long-fibered cottons are desirable because fiber length relates positively to yarn strength, spinning of finer yarns, and high speed processing. The 2.5 percent span length measures the length in inches spanned by 2.5 percent of the fibers. The 50 percent span length is another measurement of fiber quality. This measures the length in inches spanned by 50 percent of the fiber.
- C. Elongation: Cottons having high fiber elongation values have less end breakage during the weaving process than those with low values. The elongation figure is expressed in percent elongation at the breaking point. The following designations will aid in the interpretation of the elongation values.

Descriptive	Fiber				
Designation	Elongation				
Very low Low Average High Very high	Percent 5.3 and below 5.4 - 6.2 6.3 - 7.1 7.2 - 8.0 8.1 and above				

D. Strength: Yarn strength and ease of manufacturing are correlated positively with strong-fibered cottons. The following chart categorizes strength readings and aids in the interpretation of strength values.

Strength	Fiber
Rating	Elongation
Very high High Average Low Very low	-grams/tex- above 24.9 23.0 - 24.9 21.0 - 22.9 19.0 - 20.9 Below 19.0

RESULTS

Sixteen cotton varieties were evaluated at Clarkton on a sandy soil, at Portageville on a clay soil, and at Senath on a sandy loam soil in 1984.

Average yields (Table 3) for the three test locations ranged from 449 pounds of cotton per acre at Portageville to 1064 pounds of cotton per acre at Senath. Overall mean yield for all locations was 658 pounds per acre. Data on yield and fiber characteristics are summarized in Tables 4 - 5 (Clarkton), Tables 6 - 7 (Portageville), Tables 8-9 (Senath), and Tables 10-11 (Location Summary).

<u>Clarkton</u>: This trial was grown on a sandy soil with a high degree of Fusarium wilt and root-knot nematode. Irrigation was used to relieve drought stress on the sandy soils. Early season winds hampered seedling emergence.

<u>Porcageville</u>: This trial was grown on a Sharkey clay soil. Because of prolonged cold, wet weather in May, this trial was erratic in seedling emergence. This test was irrigated.

Senath: The trial at this location was on a deep loam soil. There was a loss of plant population at this location due to blowing sand and cool weather, but little or no other adverse pressure. This location was irrigated to relieve any chance of drought stress.

TABLE 2. CULTURAL PRACTICES OF THE 1984 COTTON TRIALS.

LOCATION (COUNTY)	COOP- ERATOR	N-₽205-K20	HERB ICIDE	INSECT- TICIDE	DATE OF PLANT.	DATE OF HARVEST
CLARKTON (DUNKLIN)	JOE SCOTT*	43-18-36	TREFLAN	TERRA- CHLOR TSX	5-14	11-05
PORTAGE- VILLE (PEMISCOT)	JOE SCOTT*	49-18-36	COTORAN	TERRA- CHLOR TSX	5-24	11-09
SENATH (DUNKLIN)	DAVID ANDREWS	55–30–60	ZORIAL+ COTORAN	TERRA- CHLOR S-X	5-01	10-04

* EXPERIMENT STATION MANAGER.

TABLE 3. 1984 COTTON SUMMARY

	YIELD (POUNDS/ACRE)				
LOCATION	RANGE	AVERAGE	TABLE		
CLARKTON	379 - 553	460	4		
FORTAGEVILLE	0 - 703	449	6		
SENATH	954 - 1174	1064	8		

TABLE 4. YIELD PERFORMANCE OF COTTON VARIETIES GROWN NEAR CLARKTON, MISSOURI DURING 1982-84. PLANTED: 14 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 5 NOVEMBER 1984.

BRAND/VARIETY DATE (PL/A) (1-5)(1-5) (IN) (%) 1984 1983 1 MO 78-344 7-18 47175 1 2 36 37.9 553** COKER 310 7-16 29496 1 2 36 35.5 553** 436* COKER 3131 7-17 43825 2 2 33 37.2 538* 293* DELCOT 311 7-17 37123 1 2 30 36.1 504* 415*		MAT-	LOD MAT- GIN			OD- STORM SING RES.		TOTAL LINT (LBS/ACRE)		
M0 78-344 7-18 47175 1 2 36 37.9 553** COKER 310 7-16 29496 1 2 36 35.5 553** 436** COKER 3131 7-17 43825 2 33 37.2 538* 293** DELCOT 311 7-17 37123 1 2 33 37.7 526** 438* COKER 304 7-15 47308 1 2 30 36.1 504** 415*	BRAND/VARIETY	DATE	(PL/A)	(1-5)	(1-5)	(IN)	(%)	1984	1983	1982
NORTHRUP KING KN22019 7-17 44706 1 3 36 34.2 487* COKER 315 7-16 39945 1 2 33 37.0 458* 495** DELTAPINE 50 7-17 45279 1 3 32 34.1 4495** DELTAPINE NSL 7-16 40606 2 3 33 35.5 434* COKER 208 7-17 40341 1 2 36 35.6 427* DELTAPINE 61 7-18 36329 2 3 35 35.1 421* DELCTA 390 7-17 44045 2 3 30 36.6 419* DELTAPINE 90 7-17 52378 1 2 38 34.5 415* 357* NORTHRUP KING KNX8136 7-19 44662 1 2 35 36.1 406* BRYCOT 199 7-18 51364 1 3 35 35.7 394* 416* DELTAPINE 102 7-17 </td <td>MO 78-344 COKER 310 COKER 3131 DELCOT 311 COKER 304 NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 COKER 315 DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE NSL COKER 208 DELTAPINE 61 DELCOT 390 DELTAPINE 90 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136 BRYCOT 199 DELTAPINE 102</td> <td>7-18 7-16 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-16 7-17 7-16 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17</td> <td>47175 29825 37128 47308 447945 45279 406034 40347 40347 40347 5276 40347 5276 40349 52378 44664 41796</td> <td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2</td> <td>22223232322332233</td> <td>36 333 30 332 323 323 325 325 325 325 325 325 325</td> <td>37.9 357.2 377.7 364.2 374.1 355.6 355.6 355.6 356.6 355.8 355.8 355.8 355.8 355.8 355.8</td> <td>553** 553** 5264** 5264** 4589* 427 4219* 42219* 4155* 41564* 379* 379</td> <td> 436* 293* 438* 415* 357* 416* </td> <td> 640** 566* 599* 565* 59* 628* </td>	MO 78-344 COKER 310 COKER 3131 DELCOT 311 COKER 304 NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 COKER 315 DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE NSL COKER 208 DELTAPINE 61 DELCOT 390 DELTAPINE 90 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136 BRYCOT 199 DELTAPINE 102	7-18 7-16 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-16 7-17 7-16 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-18 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17 7-17	47175 29825 37128 47308 447945 45279 406034 40347 40347 40347 5276 40347 5276 40349 52378 44664 41796	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2	22223232322332233	36 333 30 332 323 323 325 325 325 325 325 325 325	37.9 357.2 377.7 364.2 374.1 355.6 355.6 355.6 356.6 355.8 355.8 355.8 355.8 355.8 355.8	553** 553** 5264** 5264** 4589* 427 4219* 42219* 4155* 41564* 379* 379	 436* 293* 438* 415* 357* 416* 	 640** 566* 599* 565* 59* 628*
TRIAL MEAN 42899 1 2 34 35.9 460 387 LSD .05 NS NS	TRIAL MEAN LSD .05		42899	1	2	34	35.9	460 NS	387 NS	575 NS

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE. ** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST. * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST. NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

TABLE 5. FIBER CHARACTERSTICS OF COTTON VARIETIES GROWN NEAR CLARKTON, MISSOURI DURING 1984. PLANTED: 14 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 5 NOVEMBER 1984.

	MTCD0-	STAPLE	LENGTH	ELONGATION	STRENGTH
BRAND/VARIETY	NAIRE	(50%)	(2.5%)	(%)	(G/TEX)
BRYCOT 199 COKER 208 COKER 304 COKER 310 COKER 3131 COKER 315 DELCOT 311 DELCOT 390 DELTAPINE NSL DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 90 MO 78-344 NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136	3.90 3.65 3.40 3.50 3.60 3.60 3.90 3.70 3.90 3.70 3.90 3.75 3.65 3.75 3.75 3.95	$\begin{array}{c} 0.53\\ 0.50\\ 0.53\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.53\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.54\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\end{array}$	1.15 1.09 1.17 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.12 1.13 1.12 1.13 1.10 1.14 1.18 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.13	9.88 7.38 7.88 7.38 8.38 7.38 9.25 8.00 9.38 10.1 9.75 8.38 7.13 7.75 7.63 8.13	20.6 21.9 22.4 20.4 23.5 22.5 22.5 20.3 19.6 19.8 21.5 20.2 21.5
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05	3.72 NS	0.53 NS	1.15 0.03	8.36 1.12	21.7 1.8

NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

TABLE	6.	YIELD PERFORMANCE OF COTTON VARIETIES GROWN NEAR PORTAGEVILLE, MISSOUR
		DURING 1980,82,AND 84.
		PLANTED: 24 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 9 NOVEMBER 1984

	STAND	LOD- GING	LOD- STORM GING RES.			TOTAL LINT (LBS/ACRE)		
BRAND/VARIETY	(PL/A)	(1-5)	(1-5)	(IN)	(%)	1984	1982	1980
NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 DELTAPINE 90 COKER 310 BRYCOT 199 COKER 208 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136 MO 78-344 DELCOT 390 DELTAPINE 50 COKER 315 COKER 315 COKER 3131 COKER 304 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 102	50150 53612 40656 56851 38869 53056 49926 53166 55366 55366 55064 39874 	222222332222	2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 	33 35 33 32 32 32 32 31 31 30 31 	34.2 36.2 35.5 35.3 36.4 37.2 32.6 36.3 37.2 32.6 8 36.4 37.1 	703** 6420* 5957* 5510* 5510* 4624 435 379 0 0	 649 658 528 470 605 559 834** 551	 548* 476* 561** 521* 525*
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05	49823	2	2	32	35.9	449 252	651 150	475 99
- DATA NOT AVAILABLE								

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE. ** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST. * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST.

TABLE 7. FIBER CHARACTERSTICS OF COTTON VARIETIES GROWN NEAR PORTAGEVILLE, MISSOURI DURING 1984. PLANTED: 24 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 9 NOVEMBER 1984.

		STAPLE	LENGTH	ELONGATION	STRENGTH	
BRAND/VARIETY	NAIRE	(50%)	(2.5%)	(%)	(G/TEX)	
BRYCOT 199 COKER 208 COKER 304 COKER 310 COKER 3131 COKER 315 DELCOT 390 DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 90 MO 78-344 NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136	3.20 3.40 3.40 3.40 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.65 3.40 3.65 3.40	0.552 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.556 0.556 0.556 0.556 0.556 0.556	1.19 1.13 1.20 1.20 1.17 1.22 1.17 1.17 1.17 1.18 1.17 1.19 1.17 1.18	9.00 8.50 7.50 8.25 8.25 9.50 8.00 9.25 7.25 8.25	21.8 22.6 25.1 22.6 23.7 24.3 20.8 24.3 22.8 24.3 24.3 23.0	
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05	3.43 NS	0.55 0.02	1.18 NS	8.45 1.26	23.1 NS	

NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

TABLE	8.	YIELD PERFORMANCE OF	COTTON VARIETIES	GROWN NEAR	SENATH,	MISSOURI	DURING
		1982-84.					
		PLANTED: 1 MAY 1984.	HARVESTED: 4 OCT	OBER 1984.			

	MAT- URITY	STAND	LOD- GING	STORM RES.	нот	ITNT	TO (L	TAL LIN B S/ACR	T E)
BRAND/VARIETY	DATE	(PL/A)	(1-5)	(1-5)	(IN)	(%)	1984	1983	1982
DELTAPINE 50 NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 DELTAPINE 90 COKER 315 MO 78-344 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136 COKER 208 COKER 3131 BRYCOT 199 DELTAPINE 102 DELTAPINE 61 DELCOT 311 COKER 310 COKER 304 DELTAPINE NSL	7-12 7-12 7-10 7-12 7-12 7-13 7-11 7-12 7-11 7-13 7-11 7-10 7-11 7-10 7-11	38446 3469372 31259 33860 372979 26718 32377 32405 28085 30510 35095 34654	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	22222222222222	36204 33547 338254 33333 347 3382 347 333 3333 3333 33333 33333	35.0 36.9 40.7.6 37.6 37.1 38.1 37.51 36.2 38.3 38.3 38.3 38.3 38.3 38.3 38.3 38.3 38.3 36.0 38.3 36.0	1174** 1152* 1119* 1105* 1104* 1099* 1094* 1088* 1022* 1000* 9964* 9964* 954*	 643* 706* 712* 639* 605* 663* 	 797* 915* 848* 793* 1031** 896*
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05		33397	1	2	36	37.5	1064 NS	658 NS	843 NS

** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST.
 * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST.
 NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

TABLE 9. FIBER CHARACTERSTICS OF COTTON VARIETIES GROWN NEAR SENATH, MISSOURI DURING 1984. PLANTED: 1 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 4 OCTOBER 1984.

	MICR0-	STAPLE	LENGTH	ELONGATION	STRENGTH
BRAND/VARIETY	NAIRE	(50%)	(2.5%)	(%)	(G/TEX)
BRYCOT 199 COKER 208 COKER 304 COKER 310 COKER 3131 COKER 315 DELCOT 311 DELCOT 390 DELTAPINE NSL DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 90 MO 78-344 NORTHRUP KING KNX2019 NORTHRUP KING KNX8136	3.65 3.65 3.15 3.40 3.20 3.30 3.35 3.75 3.75 3.75 3.60 3.40 3.80 3.80	0.55 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.556 0.556 0.554 0.555 0.554 0.555 0.554 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.555	1.19 1.16 1.22 1.21 1.18 1.20 1.18 1.17 1.16 1.13 1.19 1.17 1.18 1.20 1.21 1.19	9.38 7.13 7.88 7.13 8.13 7.75 9.63 8.75 9.38 9.00 9.00 8.25 7.75 7.63 7.38 8.38	22.1 21.7 24.3 24.7 23.2 24.3 24.5 23.0 21.8 21.0 21.8 21.0 21.3 22.9 25.2 24.9 25.2 24.9 22.7
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05	3.50 0.38	0.55 NS	1.18 0.03	8.28 1.06	23.2 1.1

NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

TABLE 10. 1	(IELD PERFOR OCATIONS (C	MANCE OF	PORTA	GEVI	LLE, A	S GROW	N AT THR ATH) DUR	EE SOUTH	HEAST MIS 4.	SSOURI
		1934 T	HREE L	OCAT	ION AV	ERAGE				
			LOD- S GING	TORM RES.	HOT	1 7 1 7		TOTAL (LBS//	LINT ACRE)	
BRAND/VARIE	ſY	(PL/A)	(1-5)(1-5)	(IN)	(%)	CLARK.	PORT.	SENATH	MEAN
NORTHRUP KIN DELTAPINE 90 MO 78-344 COKER 310 DELTAPINE 50 COKER 208 BRYCOT 199 COKER 3131 NORTHRUP KIN COKER 315 DELCOT 390 COKER 304 DELTAPINE 60 DELCOT 311 H DELTAPINE 11 DELTAPINE 10	NG KNX2019 NG KNX8136 L 22# 51#	$\begin{array}{r} 43613\\ 4802408\\ 452408\\ 450160\\ 4580858\\ 412358\\ 412358\\ 417358\\ 417358\\ 4576358\\ 345855\\ 345763\\ 33584\\ 37630\end{array}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2	22222322223222233	37 35 35 35 32 32 32 32 31 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	35.1 36.3 37.7 36.1 33.9 36.4 37.0 36.51 37.2 35.6 37.2 35.6 36.51 37.2 35.6 36.51 37.2 35.6 36.51 37.2 35.2 37.2 35.2 37	487* 4153* 5553* 4274* 4294* 50597* 5068* 404* 5068* 404* 5068* 4104* 5298* 4104* 5298* 4104* 5298* 4104* 5298* 5378	703** 682* 556* 646* 595* 626* 464* 577* 485* 531* 435 379 0 0	1157* 1132* 1105* 974** 1074** 1088* 1094* 1104* 1000* 964* 1022* 964* 1022* 964* 1000* 954*	782** 743* 724* 7117* 7096* 696* 696* 635 600 507 463 463
TRIAL MI LSD .05	EAN	42034	1	2	34	36.5	460 NS	449 252	1064 NS	658 100
DATA NOT	AVATIABLE									

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE. ** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST. * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST. # TWO LOCATION MEANS ONLY FOR ALL CHARACTERISTICS EXCEPT YIELD. NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

TABLE 11. SUR LOC	MARY PERFORMANCE OF C CATIONS (CLARKTON, POR	OTTON VARIE TAGEVILLE,	ETIES GROWN AT AND SENATH) D	THREE SOUTHEAST URING 1984.	MISSOURI
		1984	THREE LOCATION	AVERAGE	
	MICRO	STAPLE	LENGTH	ELONGATION	STRENGTH
BRAND/VARIETY	NAIRE	(50%)	(2.5%)	(%)	(G/TEX)
BRYCOT 199 COKER 203 COKER 304 COKER 310 COKER 315 DELCOT 311 DELTAPINE NSL DELTAPINE 1023 DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE 50 DELTAPINE 61 DELTAPINE 90 MO 78-344 NORTHRUP KING	3.58 3.63 3.23 3.35 3.47 3.42 3.45 3.58 3.58 3.58 3.73 3.58 3.67 3.57 3.62 3.60 KNX2019 3.73 KNX8136 3.65	0.54 0.554 0.555 0.555 0.555 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.554 0.555 0.554 0.553 0.553	1.18 1.20 1.19 1.16 1.20 1.16 1.20 1.16 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.12 1.17 1.18 1.17 1.18 1.17 1.19 1.18 1.17	9.43 7.67 7.75 7.34 8.25 8.00 9.44 8.33 9.38 9.50 8.71 7.63 8.21 7.42 8.25	21.5 22.1 23.9 23.5 22.1 23.8 23.5 23.4 21.1 20.3 20.5 22.4 24.7 23.7 22.1 22.4
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05	4 3.55 NS	0.54 0.01	1.17 0.01	8.36 0.62	22.7 1.1
NS NOT STONTE	CANT AT THE 5% LEVEL				

NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL. # TWO LOCATION MEANS ONLY.

TABLE 12. NAMES OF COTTON ENTRIES EVALUATED IN 1984 AND THEIR SEED SOURCES.

الله حدي الذي الحج ولي بيان التي اليان بين حديد حديد عبيد وال جديد بعن بعد عديد عري علي ال

BRAND	VARIETY	SEED SOURCE
BRYCOT	199	BRYCO, INC., BOX C, LEACHVILLE, AR 72438
OKER	208, 304, 310, 315, 3131	COKER'S PEDIGREED SEED CO., BOX 340, HARTSVILLE, SC 29550
DELCOT	311, 390, MD 78-344	ENTERED BY STATE RESEARCH STATION AND CENTERS
DELTAPINE	50, 61, 90, 102, NSL	DELTA & PINE LAND COMPANY, BOX 157, SCOTT, MS 38772
NORTHRUP KING	KNX8136, KNX2019	NORIHRUP KING COMPANY, P.O. DRAWER 272, LELAND, MS 38756

RIŒ

1984

This report is a contribution of the Department of Agronomy, University of Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station, which reports on Research Project 363. The work was supported in part by funds from Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. and from the Missouri Seed Improvement Association.

Rice variety tests became part of the University of Missouri's crop performance testing program in 1983. These tests are conducted to provide a reliable, unbiased, up-to-date source of information to compare varieties.

COMPARING VARIETIES

In each trial, the "top yielding varieties" have been identified. These varieties are those that did not yield significantly less than the highest yielding variety in the test. They are denoted in the tables by an asterisk (*) next to their yields. Thus, by going down a column, the highest yielding varieties in a trial can be readily identified. By going across, the relative performance of a variety during several years or at several locations can be evaluated. From the standpoint of yield, the most desirable varieties will be those among the "top yielding" varieties (that is, have an asterisk) the greatest number of times.

Although yield usually receives first consideration, other agronomic characteristics may be equally important when selecting a rice variety. In southeastern Missouri late maturing varieties may lead to unfavorable harvest conditions in late fall. High seed viability and good seedling vigor help insure uniform and adequate stands under occasionally adverse conditions. Thus, all the information presented in this report should be considered when selecting a variety.

The Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station does not make specific recommndations for varieties. It is suggested that farmers growing a new variety for the first time consider the information contained in this report and then grow a small acreage to determine adaptability. This should be the practice for all new varieties regardless of origin.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A location was selected to represent the soil in the rice growing area of southeastern Missouri on the Delta Research Center near Portageville. Three rice performance tests were planted there.

Entries. All three tests were conducted in cooperation with the rice producing states of Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Mississippi and California. Only public varieties were evaluated.

<u>Field Plot Design</u>. Individual entries were planted in six-row plots with four replications. Arrangement of plots within the field followed a randomized block design. Each plot had a row length of 16 feet and a between-row spacing of 7.5 inches. The two center rows were used for all yield and quality information.

<u>Cultural Practices</u>. The 1984 rice tests were planted on May 25. A cone-type drill planter was used to plant all plots. Although crusting delayed emergence, most plots emerged 10 days after planting. Eighteen days after emergence, the rice tests were flooded. Water depth was maintained at 2-3 inches throughout the season.

All plots received a pre-plant application of 120 pounds of nitrogen in the form of sulfur coated urea and 10 pounds of nitrogen in the form of ammonium nitrate at internode elongation.

Preplant herbicides used were Stam, for control of broadleaves and some grasses, and Prowl for residual control of aquatic grasses.

<u>Plot Management</u>. The tests were planted with commercial equipment modified for small plot work and were hand weeded to achieve optimum weed control.

<u>Data Recorded</u>. Rainfall and temperature data were noted throughout the season (Table 1). Maturity date notes were taken at 50% heading for each variety. At harvest, height, lodging, and yield were measured. Height was taken as the average distance in inches from the soil surface to the top of the plant. Lodging was scored as a percentage of those plants leaning more than 30 degrees from verticle. Yield was measured in total pounds of rice per acre and reported at 12 percent moisture.

RESULTS

Thirty-one rice varieties were evaluated at southeastern Missouri during 1984. Yields for the three tests ranged from 4428 to 11,170 pounds of rice per acre. Performance of experimental lines was very encouraging, providing tentative hope that high yielding, early maturing varieties can be selected for the Missouri bootheel.

YIELD PERFORMANCE OF SHORT SEASON RICE VARIETIES GROWN ON TABLE 13. THE DELTA RESEARCH CENTER NEAR PORTAGEVILLE IN 1983-84. PLANTED: 25 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 3 OCTOBER 1984.

	MAT-	PLANT	LODGING	MOIST-	YIELD	(LB/A)
BRAND/VARIETY	URITY DATE	HGT. (IN)	SCORE (%)	TURE (%)	1984	1983
L202 RU8101116 M201 TEBONNET S201 LEBONNET MARS NEWREX RU8301102 LABELLE# BOND	8-15 8-20 8-14 8-23 8-22 8-23 8-23 8-17 8-15 8-14	33 38 35 45 41 41 45 41	0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 1.3 3.8 1.3 0.0	16.7 17.1 17.8 17.4 19.3 17.2 20.5 16.9 16.2 15.3 16.1	8378** 7455* 6782 6608 6518 6490 6167 5889 5727 5727 5092 4428	7314* 6707 7343* 7011 6576 7081 6818 6519 6781
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05		40	9.3	17.2	6149 1194	6993 584

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE. ** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY. * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST.

CHECK VARIETY.

YIELD PERFORMANCE OF MEDIUM SEASON RICE VARIETIES GROWN ON THE DELTA RESEARCH CENTER NEAR PORTAGEVILLE IN 1983-84. TABLE 14. PLANTED: 25 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 9 NOVEMBER 1984.

	MAT-	PLANT	LODGING	MOIST-	YIELD	(LB/A)
BRAND/VARIETY	DATE	(IN)	(%)	(%)	1984	1983
RU8101136 MARS RU8201034 RU8101148 STG80L6928 RU8302048 NEWBONNET LEMONT STG80L7944 M302 LEAH LEBONNET#	8-22 8-27 8-25 8-25 8-27 8-28 8-20 8-30 8-20 8-20 8-22 8-22 8-22 8-225	340 402 402 402 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	5.0 80.0 25.0 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.3 0.0 42.5	10.310.010.110.39.910.310.010.010.010.39.910.110.5	11170** 9582* 9046 8360 7656 7329 6681 6387 6285 6285 6244 5931 5314	7599** 7118* 7360* 6300
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05		39	15.3	10.2	7499 1936	6573 705

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY. * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST.

CHECK VARIETY.

TABLE 15. YIELD PERFORMANCE OF LONG SEASON RICE VARIETIES GROWN ON THE DELTA RESEARCH CENTER NEAR PORTAGEVILLE IN 1983-84. PLANTED: 25 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 15 NOVEMBER 1984.

BRAND/VARIETY	MAT- URITY DATE	PLANT HGT. (IN)	LODGING SCORE (%)	MOIST- TURE (%)	YIELD 1984	(LB/A) 1983
RU8102066 LEMONT RU8003050 NEWBONNET NORTAI STG80L3642 STG803645 STG30L3673 RU8101178 STG308962 STARBONNET# RU8201064	8-29 8-27 8-26 8-30 9-1 9-2 9-1 9-2 9-7 9-7 9-7 9-1	38 30 34 37 38 34 39 39 42 41 41 42	0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 0.0 2.5 11.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	12.1 12.0 12.4 12.3 12.4 12.7 11.8 12.2 11.6 11.3 12.2 11.0	8192** 7987* 7881* 7823* 7738* 7060* 6982* 6733* 5913 5487 5359 4627	
TRIAL MEAN LSD .05		38	3.9	12.0	6815 1557	

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE. ** HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY. * VARIETY WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING VARIETY IN THE TEST.

CHECK VARIETY.

PART III

SUNFLOWER - 1984

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES - 1984

Sunflower performance trials were planted at one location in 1984 (Figure 1, Page 3). This test was complimented with management studies designed to provide local information regarding cultural practices for the crop.

Entries. All producers of hybrid seed were eligible to enter hybrids in the evaluation plots. Participation was voluntary and no control was exercised by the program over which, or how many, hybrids were entered. However, to help finance the evaluation program, a fee of sixty dollars was charged for each entry entered by the seed producer. A total of 11 sunflower hybrids were entered in the 1984 evaluation program.

<u>Field Plot Design</u>. The test was arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Plots consisted of four rows, 30 inches wide and 24 feet long. Only the center two rows were harvested for yield.

<u>Cultural Practices</u>. The test was planted with commercial equipment modified for small plot work. Fertilizer applied in 1984 was 150 lbs. of nitrogen, 110 lbs. of P205, and 200 lbs. of K20. Sunflower hybrids were planted on May 9 at a population of 22,300 seeds per acre, and hand harvested on August 27. Amiben was the herbicide used and Ambush was applied to reduce head moth damage.

<u>Data Recorded</u>. Bloom date was recorded when 50% of the plants in a plot had open heads. The other agronomic characteristics were evaluated at harvest. Population was determined by counting all plants in the two harvest rows of each plot. Those plants inclined more than 30 degrees from vertical were counted as lodged. Yield was measured in number of pounds per acre at a moisture content of 13 percent. An electronic moisture tester was used for all moisture readings.

RESULTS

Three companies entered a total of 11 sunflower hybrids in 1984. Names and addresses for the companies and hybrids are given in Table 17, Page 20.

Yields at Columbia ranged form 1163 to 2031 pounds per acre. Increased confidence can be placed in results from more than one year since they represent results from a larger sample of conditions. Birds, insects, and diseases were not problems during 1984.

18

TABLE 16. PERFORMANCE OF SUNFLOWER HYBRIDS EVALUATED NEAR COLUMBIA ON THE AGRONOMY RESEARCH CENTER DURING 1982-84. PLANTED: 16 MAY 1984. HARVESTED: 27 AUGUST 1984.

	50%	POPU-	LOD-	MOIS-	YI	ELD (LB	/A)
BRAND/HYBRID	(DATE)	(PL/A)	(%)	(%)	1984	1983	1982
TRIUMPH 570A	7-20	9393	3.8	16.7	2031**		
INTERSTATE 3001	7-16	8712	3.8	14.0	1912		
TRIUMPH 549	7-16	8440	3.8	13.7	1884	2189	2361
SEEDTEC 317	7-19	8712	3.8	20.5	1782		
INTERSTATE 7116	7-18	8576	3.8	18.4	1775	2214×	3354**
TRIUMPH 560	7-19	7351	1.3	10.1	1705		
SEEDTEC S349	7-20	7623	2.5	16.4	1702	2327**	3032×
TRIUMPH 448	7-17	7215	0.0	10.4	1696	1778	2781×
INTERSTATE 7780	7-14	7895	3.8	21.5	1597	2024×	
INTERSTATE 7775-S	7-19	65 ;4	3.8	9.0	1557	2159¥	3061×
ESTANZUELA YATAY	7-25	6534	1.3	32.7	1163	1709	
TRIAL MEAN	7-18	7884	2.7	17.3	1693	1940	2714
LSD .05					NS	348	593

-- DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

****** HIGHEST YIELDING HYBRID IN THE TEST.

* HYBRID WHICH DID NOT YIELD SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HIGHEST YIELDING HYBRID IN THE TEST. NS NOT SIGNIFICANT AT THE 5% LEVEL.

19

TABLE 17. SOURCE OF COMMERCIAL SUNFLOWER SEED ENTERED IN THE 1984 MISSOURI YIELD TRIALS.

BRAND	HYBRID	COMPANY ADDRESS		
INTERSTATE	3001, 7116, 7775-S, 7780	INTERSTATE SEED CO., BOX 470, FARGO, ND 58107		
SEEDTEC	317, 5349	SEEDTEC INT. INC., BOX 2210, WOODLAND, CA 95695		
TRIUMPH	448, 549, 560, 570A	IRIUMPH SEED CO. INC., BOX 1050, RALLS, TX 79357		
	ESTANZUELA YATAY	AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION, ESTANZUELA, URUGUAY		

The University of Missouri is an Equal Employment and Educational Opportunity Institution.