

Activisme

By [Antoon Vrints](#)

A minority of the adherents of the Flemish movement were willing to collaborate with the German occupier in the context of *Flamenpolitik*. This minority labelled themselves “activists”, stressing their dislike of the Belgian patriotic truce.

Table of Contents

[1 Definition](#)

[2 Debate](#)

[3 Impact](#)

[Selected Bibliography](#)

[Citation](#)

Definition

The term “*activisme*” refers to the part of the [Flemish Movement](#) that was willing to [collaborate](#) politically with the [German occupier in Belgium](#) during the First World War. Both the perspectives offered by the German *Flamenpolitik* and the fulfilment of the Flemish movement’s pre-war demands – in fact, far beyond what was originally demanded – were decisive in this regard. The fraction was referred to as “activist” since it was willing to break with the [Belgian patriotic truce](#) in contrast to the majority of the adherents of the Flemish movement, who remained loyal to the Belgian state. *Activisme* represented a double watershed in the history of the Flemish Movement. It not only initiated anti-Belgian Flemish [nationalism](#) as a political current, but also heralded the beginning of authoritarianism within the Flemish Movement. The “activist” political collaboration was intrinsically authoritarian, since it wanted to use the German force’s presence (including violence, if needed) to impose its program on the unwilling Flemish population that first and foremost wanted the departure of the repressive occupation regime. This was in contrast to the *flamingants* (adherents of the Flemish movement), such as the Catholic politician [Frans van Cauwelaert \(1880-1961\)](#), who did not want to isolate themselves from the population and therefore remained loyal to the Belgian state; they wanted to implement the Flemish movement’s program

by parliamentary, democratic means.

Debate

The nature of *activisme's* emergence in [Belgium](#) during World War I has been fiercely debated for decades. Flemish nationalists stressed its autonomous development, while their opponents presented it as a mere creation of the German occupier. Thanks to the path-breaking work of historian Lode Wils, it is quite clear that *Flamenpolitik* was the prime mover, but that it of course only partially succeeded since it met fertile ground in the Flemish movement. An interplay of factors explains the relative receptivity for the *Flamenpolitik*: the growth of a Flemish sense of (sub)nationality in the decades before the war, frustration about the meagre results of the parliamentary strategy, *völkisch* notions on pan-Dutch and pan-Germanic affinity, distrust towards the allies [France](#) (out of fear of Belgium being subjugated) and [Britain](#) (due to the Boer War). However, the attractive power of collaboration remained relatively limited in the context of a harsh occupation regime. The rare activists were disliked by the vast majority of the Flemish population, who identified the activists with the German occupier. The “Dutchification” of the [University of Ghent](#) by the Germans in 1916 provoked *activisme's* only real breakthrough. The number of overt supporters remained very limited (maximum 15,000 by December 1917). Most of the adherents stemmed from the middle classes and often belonged to extra-parliamentary *flamingant* groups before the war. Particularly striking is the presence of a number of young avant-garde intellectuals and artists who would dominate Flemish cultural life in the interwar period like the poet [Paul van Ostaïen \(1896-1928\)](#). The activists might have been ideologically diverse, anti-clerical *flamingants* were more prone to join, since their parties had been in the opposition for three decades and they only formed minorities within their parties. *Activisme* consisted of different factions. Radical groups like *Jong-Vlaanderen* were overtly pan-Germanic and anti-Belgian and were supported by German annexationists. Others had a more cautious program in favour of Flemish “autonomy” within the Belgian state and were therefore supported by the [General-Gouvernement](#).

Impact

Flemish support for the activists may have been very limited, but backing from the German occupier made *activisme* a considerable political factor. In order to give the movement some coherence and credibility, in January 1917 the German authorities created a kind of puppet organ, the *Raad van Vlaanderen* (Council of Flanders), consisting of different activist groups. It was designed to voice Flemish demands on the international scene. The *Raad van Vlaanderen* was presented as a first step towards Flemish autonomy. A central [propaganda](#) service was founded and funded by Belgian taxpayers' money in order to win over the Flemish population. The *Raad van Vlaanderen* was also helpful to staff the administrative separation between Flanders and Wallonia initiated by the Germans. Ultimately, the occupation entirely determined the *Raad's* room for manoeuvre. Well aware of the activists' weak position, the Germans blocked the activists' more radical plans, like the replacement of the burgomasters, the creation of a Flemish gendarmerie or

the annexation of Walloon communities into Flanders. The occupier also attenuated the *Raad's* proclamation of Flanders' independence on 22 December 1917 to "autonomy" in order not to endanger possible peace negotiations. At that occasion, they forced the *Raad* to organise "elections" to increase its legitimacy, which took the form of meetings that were outnumbered by counter-manifestations. Belgian justice reacted by arresting [August Borms \(1878-1946\)](#) and [Pieter Tack \(1870-1943\)](#), two leading activists, for treason. The occupier released them and took repressive measure towards the judicial elites, which led to a strike of the Belgian Magistracy. Reassured by the lack of any popular success of the activists, the [Belgian government in exile](#) did next to nothing to address the challenge of the *Flamenpolitik*. In the [transition agreement of Loppem](#) in November 1918 the Belgian elites managed to pacify the cleavage between capital and labour, but neglected to do the same in regard to the linguistic tensions.

This passive attitude of most Belgian elites led to resentment among broad layers of the Flemish population that had strongly condemned the activist collaboration during the war, but wished to end the discrimination of both the Dutch language and Dutch speakers in Belgium. This resentment enabled a partial moral rehabilitation of the former activists in the Flemish movement. As a result, the legacy of *activisme* – anti-Belgian nationalism and authoritarianism – was able to structurally imbed itself in the Flemish movement.

Antoon Vrints, Ghent University

Section Editor: [Emmanuel Debruyne](#)

Selected Bibliography

Vanacker, Daniël: [Het activistisch avontuur \(The activist adventure\)](#), Ghent 2006: Academia Press.

Vandeweyer, Luc: [Etnische zuivering als politiek project in België \(Ethnic cleansing as a political project in Belgium\)](#), in: *Bijdragen tot de Eigentijdse Geschiedenis* 5, 1999, pp. 43-71.

Vrints, Antoon: [Bezette stad. Vlaams-nationalistische collaboratie in Antwerpen tijdens de Eerste Wereldoorlog \(Occupied city. Flemish-nationalist collaboration in Antwerp during the First World War\)](#), Brussels 2002: Algemeen Rijksarchief.

Wils, Lode: [Onverfranst, onverduits? Flamenpolitik, activisme, frontbeweging \(Not Frenchified, not Germanized? Flamenpolitik, activism, front movement\)](#), Kalmthout 2014: Uitgeverij Pelckmans.

Wils, Lode: [Frans van Cauwelaert en de barst in België, 1910-1919 \(Frans van Cauwelaert and the crack in Belgium\)](#), Antwerp 2000: Houtekiet.

Article Last Modified

05 November 2016

Citation

Vrints, Antoon: Activisme, in: 1914-1918-online. International Encyclopedia of the First World War, ed. by Ute Daniel, Peter Gatrell, Oliver Janz, Heather Jones, Jennifer Keene, Alan Kramer, and Bill Nasson, issued by Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin 2016-11-04. **DOI:**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.15463/ie1418.10999>.

License

This text is licensed under: [CC by-NC-ND 3.0 Germany - Attribution, Non-commercial, No Derivative Works](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/de/).