

# UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

Title	A review of oxyhalide disinfection by-products determination in water
	by ion chromatography and ion chromatography-mass spectrometry
Author(s)	Healy, David A.; Gilchrist, Elizabeth S.; Morris, Virginia N.; Glennon,
	Jeremy D.
Publication date	2016-10-26
Original citation	Gilchrist, E. S., Healy, D. A., Morris, V. N. and Glennon, J. D. (2016)
	'A review of oxyhalide disinfection by-products determination in water
	by ion chromatography and ion chromatography-mass spectrometry',
	Analytica Chimica Acta, 942, pp. 12-22. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2016.09.006
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2016.09.006
version	Access to the full text of the published version may require a
	subscription.
Rights	© 2016, Elsevier B.V. This manuscript version is made available
	under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Embargo information	Access to this item is restricted until 24 months after publication by the
	request of the publisher.
Embargo lift date	2018-10-26
Item downloaded	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/3494
from	

Downloaded on 2021-11-27T04:52:09Z



Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

# A REVIEW OF OXYHALIDE DISINFECTION BY-PRODUCTS DETERMINATION IN WATER BY ION CHROMATOGRAPHY AND ION CHROMATOGRAPHY-MASS SPECTROMETRY

5	Elizabeth S.	Gilchrist <sup>a</sup> *,	David A.	Healy <sup>b</sup> ,	Virginia N.	Morris <sup>b</sup>	and Jeremy D	. Glennon <sup>a*</sup>
---	--------------	---------------------------	----------	----------------------	-------------	---------------------	--------------	-------------------------

- <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>b</sup> PepsiCo, Technical Function R&D, Little Island, Cork, Ireland

- 10 \* Corresponding authors
- 11 Email: elizabeth.gilchrist@ucc.ie; j.glennon@ucc.ie
- 12 Tel: +353 21 490 2989; +353 21 490 2379

- **Keywords:** ion chromatography; mass spectrometry; oxyhalides; anions; disinfection
- 15 by-products

#### 23 Abstract

This paper is a review of ion chromatographic (IC) separations of inorganic oxyhalide disinfection by-products (DBPs) in water and beverages. The review outlines the chemical mechanisms of formation, regulation of maximum allowable levels, chromatographic column selection and speciation. In addition, this review highlights the application of IC coupled to mass spectrometry (MS) for trace and elemental composition analysis of oxyhalides, along with the analytical considerations associated to enable sensitive analysis. Furthermore, a review of literature concerning IC determination of inorganic oxyhalide DBPs in environmental matrices, including water, published since 2005 is presented, with a focus on MS detection, and a discussion on the relative performance of the methods. Finally some prospective areas for future research, including fast, selective, multi-analyte analysis, for this application are highlighted and discussed.

# **Contents**

49	1.	Introduction4
50	2.	Formation and regulation of bromate, chlorate and perchlorate in water5
51		2.1. Bromate5
52		2.2. Chlorate
53		2.3. Perchlorate7
54	3.	Determination of DBPs by IC8
55		<i>3.1. 2D-IC</i> 11
56	4.	Determination of DBPs by IC-MS and IC-ICP-MS
57	5.	Future perspectives for IC-MS analysis of DBPs18
58	6.	Conclusions
59	Ackn	owledgments 21
60	Refe	rences
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		

# 69 **1. Introduction**

Disinfection by-product (DBP) risk management is a major challenge for water suppliers. Disinfectants can react with naturally-occurring materials in water to form chemical by-products, which pose potential adverse health risks.

73 The primary requirements for water treatment are to remove organic matter, 74 inorganic species and micropollutants as well as to preserve the purified water for 75 human consumption. The two main options for water disinfection are chlorination and 76 ozonation. Whilst chlorination is the more historic and widely utilised of the two, 77 ozonation offers several advantages, such as destruction of a wider range of organisms and the removal of tastes and odours. Even using ozonation, chlorine is 78 79 still required during the process for water preservation. However, elevated drinking 80 water occurrence of chlorate (ClO<sub>3</sub> ; m/z 83), chlorite (ClO<sub>2</sub> ; m/z 67), perchlorate (ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>; m/z 99) and also bromate (BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; m/z 128) have been identified as chlorine 81 82 dioxide or ozonation DBPs formed during water treatment, generally at the µg-mg/L level [1-2]. This has resulted in significant research efforts to understand their 83 84 environmental occurrence, fate and risk to humans especially via drinking water 85 sources. In addition to this, it is also essential to monitor the quality of bottled beverages, such as fruit juices, to ensure the ingredient water, as well as the finished 86 product, complies with the regulations and is safe for human consumption. The 87 presence and levels of these DBPs will depend on the disinfection process used, as 88 well as the chemicals already present within the source water. Several, more 89 90 detailed reviews on the disinfection of water, and formation of DBPs and their potential health impacts on humans are available elsewhere and so only warrant a 91 summary discussion here [3-4]. 92

Alongside other techniques, the analytes of interest, bromate, chlorate and 93 94 perchlorate, have been routinely and widely determined by ion chromatography (IC) for over 20 years, and a review in 2005 by Michalski discussed the analysis of 95 96 inorganic oxyhalide DBPs using IC with conductivity, UV/Vis and mass spectrometry (MS) detection [4]. The ability to speciate gives IC an obvious advantage over 97 98 several other analytical techniques, such as various spectroscopic methods including atomic spectroscopy. Speciation can be vital when it comes to the identification and 99 100 characterisation of oxyhalides, such as in the differentiation of oxychloride species 101 (i.e.  $CIO_3$ ,  $CIO_4$ ) from the free chloride ion.

The aims of this review are to (a) detail the existing (IC(-MS)) methods available from a perspective of inorganic DBPs analysis since 2005; and (b) discuss potential directions for IC-MS technologies to further advance methods in terms of analysis time, sensitivity, specificity and target analytes, for the analysis of oxyhalide DBPs.

106

## 107 **2.** Formation and regulation of bromate, chlorate and perchlorate in water

108 2.1. Bromate

109 Bromate is formed when water containing bromide is exposed to disinfection using the ozonation process. This process infuses ozone into the water in order to remove 110 111 the organic and inorganic pollutants present via oxidation and 112 filtration/sedimentation.

A mechanism by which bromate is formed was proposed by Legube *et al.* and is shown in Figure 1 [5]. The researchers state that reactions between ozone, bromide and hypobromite are relatively slow at low temperatures, but they are also dependent on the pH of the water and concentration of bromide (typically between trace-0.5 mg/L concentrations in fresh water [6]). Bromate can also be formed when disinfecting drinking water using concentrated sodium hypochlorite. Bromide is present in both the chlorine and sodium hydroxide used to form hypochlorite, and is quickly converted to bromate at the high pH of the solution (a 10-15 % solution of hypochlorite has a pH ~13) [7]. Once formed, bromate is very stable in water, and difficult to remove.

123 The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IRAC) has classified bromate in 124 group 2B as a possible carcinogen to humans. Using the available information, the 125 European Commission and the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have set 126 a maximum allowable level (MAL) of 10 µg/L in drinking water. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) adopted the EPA levels for bromate and chlorite in 2001 127 128 as some food and beverage companies use ozonation or other disinfection 129 treatments on their products [8]. This was also the case for residual disinfectants, 130 chlorine, chlorine dioxide and chloramines. Despite these regulatory levels, in a 2005 131 study by Snyder et al., the concentration of bromate exceeded this limit in three of 132 the 21 tested bottled waters in the US with the highest concentration found to be 76 133  $\mu$ g/L, almost eight times the MAL [9].

# 134 **2.2.** Chlorate

135 Chlorate, and also chlorite, are DBPs either formed by the decomposition of sodium 136 hypochlorite, shown in the reaction below, or alternatively when chlorine, chlorine 137 dioxide or chloramine is used to disinfect drinking water.

138

$$139 \qquad 2CIO^{-} \rightarrow CI^{-} + CIO_{2}^{-} \tag{1}$$

140  $\operatorname{ClO}^{\circ} + \operatorname{ClO}_2^{\circ} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cl}^{\circ} + \operatorname{ClO}_3^{\circ}$  (2)

142 As with bromate, these oxychlorides are more likely to occur at high pH and 143 temperatures. It is also possible for chlorate to enter water via environmental contamination due to its occurrence in manufacturing and household items such as 144 145 in some weedkillers. Although not classified by IRAC due to limited toxicological data, the World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends provisional guideline 146 147 values for both chlorate and chlorite concentrations in drinking water at 0.7 mg/L based on a tolerable daily intake of 30 µg/kg/d (by body weight) [10]. In the study by 148 149 Snyder et al. chlorate was detected in 71 % of samples, although below the guideline 150 value at concentrations  $\leq 5.8 \, \mu g/L$ .

151 2.3. Perchlorate

Another oxychloride anion, perchlorate, is particularly toxic to humans at much lower concentrations due to interference with the uptake of iodine in the thyroid and mammary glands, resulting in hypothyroid function. Due to this toxicity, the US EPA established an official reference dose of 0.7  $\mu$ g/kg/d (by body weight). It again is a product of decomposition of sodium hypochlorite, however a large proportion of perchlorate contamination is environmental through the use of propellants, fireworks and explosives.

159 In the US, perchlorate is still under consideration for enforceable regulation by the 160 US EPA, and is currently only regulated in drinking water at State level in the US in 161 both Massachusetts and California at 2 and 6 µg/L respectively. In 2013, lannece et 162 al. measured concentrations between <5-75 ng/L of perchlorate in 70 % of 62 bottled 163 waters tested from 15 of the 20 regions across Italy [11]. These found concentrations 164 are of similar magnitude to those previously detected by Snyder et al. (<0.74 µg/L) and pose no immediate health concern in accordance with current recommended 165 values [9]. 166

167

168

# 3. Determination of DBPs by IC

169 As mentioned, oxyhalide DBPs in water have been determined by IC for over two decades, with the ability to speciate offering an advantage to oxyhalide analysis, as 170 171 previously discussed in Section 1. For this reason, alongside its robust and reliable nature, and capability to achieve the required sensitivity, IC is an approved technique 172 173 for the monitoring of inorganic anions and oxyhalides in environmental matrices for 174 many agencies worldwide, such as the US EPA. Table 1 shows a list of existing IC 175 methods, focussing on those reported since 2005 for the analysis of inorganic DBPs in environmental matrices. Relevant monitoring methods, such as those developed 176 by the US EPA, pre-dating 2005 are also included. 177

178 As can be seen in Table 1, the two main IC column types utilised for the 179 determination of DBPs are the Metrosep and also lonPac columns. Both of these column types consist of organic polymer particulates, allowing stability at higher pH 180 compared to silica columns, with various capacities and selectivities. For example, 181 182 the lonPac range is based on ethylvinylbenzene-divinylbenzene (EVB-DVB) with a high degree of crosslinking (<55 %) to maintain a stable structure. In general, particle 183 184 size in IC is larger than reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC), with a 185 diameter <10 µm to prevent band broadening. However, in recent years there is a 186 trend towards a reduced particle size of 4 or 5 µm, or alternatively monolithic columns, in order to increase efficiency and capacity whilst retaining the required 187 188 selectivity. Functional groups are then either surface functionalised, agglomerated or 189 grafted to the surface. Column capacity is an important factor for DBP analysis as 190 the ions in the sample matrix can overwhelm the trace amounts of the DBP

191 oxyhalides present. Typically for this application a moderately high capacity (~40-60 192 µequivalents/column for 2 mm I.D. columns) is used, as highlighted throughout this 193 review. The selectivity of the stationary phase must also be considered, especially 194 when used with conductivity detection, to enable the analytes of interest to be 195 sufficiently resolved from the sample matrix.

These days the column formats are typically micro-bore (2.0 mm I.D., occasionally 1.0 mm I.D.) or standard bore (4.0 mm I.D.), with the IonPac recently expanding into capillary format (0.25 or 0.4 mm I.D.). With regards to column length, the IonPac favour a standard 250 mm, whereas the Metrosep is available in 100, 150 or 250 mm for most of their resins. The majority of columns discussed in this review are 250 x 2.0 mm I.D. unless stated otherwise.

202 One of the few columns demonstrated to simultaneously analyse a wide range of both oxyhalides and common anions found in water and beverages is the IonPac 203 AS20. This micro-bore column has a comparatively high capacity of 77.5 204 205 µequivalents/column (2.0 mm I.D.), due to hyperbranched functional groups, and also displays very low hydrophobicity making it ideal for the analysis of perchlorate in 206 environmental samples. This hyperbranched column arises as instead of coating the 207 208 polymer substrate with latex particles, the first layer of stationary phase is 209 electrostatically attached to the surface. Diepoxide monomers and primary amines 210 added layer by layer in a polycondensation reaction which creates chains along the 211 polymer backbone. Johns et al. used this column for the separation of 18 anions, 212 potentially present in explosive resides in soil using a hydroxide gradient [12]. This 213 was achieved in 18 min with only a minor sacrifice of resolution, as highlighted in 214 Figure 2, showing potential for detecting trace concentrations of these analytes in water. Whilst conductivity detection was used in this case, a similar method has 215

216 been utilised with MS detection [13]. However, the authors' experience has shown 217 that the resin will display increasingly reduced capacity and require careful 218 monitoring with regular replacement (approximately every 6 months) when used for 219 high through-put work, such as water monitoring, or with complex sample matrices. Another hyperbranched column frequently used in the monitoring of water quality is 220 221 the lonPac AS19, designed for the trace detection of bromate in drinking water [14]. 222 Cengiz and Bilgin was reported as the first study to analyse perchlorate, nitrate and 223 thiocyanate, as inhibitors of iodide uptake in the thyroid, with six common anions 224 present in drinking water in a single run [15]. Using a standard 4 mm I.D. AS19 225 column with flow rate of 1 mL/min enabled a separation of the nine analytes in 17.5 226 min, a very similar separation time to that achieved on the AS20 by Johns et al. 227 Retention time repeatability for all analytes was <1 %, however, long term 228 robustness or repeatability does not seem to have been reported.

229 Very few IC methods utilise UV/Vis detection as a large proportion of small ions are 230 non-absorbing species. Therefore indirect detection with a strongly absorbing eluent, 231 such as phthalate, is often used [16]. Alternatively a post-column reaction reagent is added [17]. US EPA methods 300.1 and 317 measure oxyhalide DBPs in drinking 232 233 water using IC coupled to conductivity and UV/Vis detection respectively with o-234 dianisidine added as the post-column reagent for bromate detection [18]. These 235 methods employ an IonPac AS9-HC column and 9 mM carbonate eluent, with the 236 2.0 mm I.D. column having a capacity of 47.5 µequivalents/column [18-19]. Gandhi 237 recently combined the two US EPA 300.1 methods (Part A for common inorganic 238 ions, while B focuses on the analysis of DBPs) in order to reduce analysis time [20]. 239 Instead of the AS9-HC, this approach utilised a Metrosep A Supp 7-250 (4.0 mm 240 I.D.) with 3.6 mM sodium carbonate for the analysis of seven common anions as well

241 as chlorite, chlorate and bromate with a separation time of 30 min. The column is 242 designed for highly efficient separation of these analytes down to low µg/L concentrations, based upon chemical modification of a polyvinyl alcohol substrate, 243 244 with a carbonate eluent preferred, which can allow the ionic strength of the eluent to be varied [21]. Using conductivity detection analytes were detected at single digit 245 246 ppb levels; however direct coupling to MS would be possible with the conditions used, to lower detection limits for trace analysis. Drinking water matrices can pose 247 248 problems for trace DBPs determinations due to high concentrations of interferent 249 ions such as chloride, which can co-elute with trace oxyhalides. In order to overcome 250 this analyte specific detection modes, such as MS, are increasingly favoured, 251 particularly where full resolution is not achieved.

252 **3.1.** 2D-IC

Two-dimensional (2D) chromatographic configurations have also become more popular in the past decade to improve resolution, as shown in Table 2. There are several advantages to this approach including enhancement of the signal and also improved selectivity, with the possibility of resolving peaks of interest from matrix analytes due to the combination of the two different stationary phase chemistries.

A 2D-IC method for the detection of perchlorate in water using suppressed conductivity detection was developed in 2006 by Wagner and colleagues [22]. In the first dimension, a large sample volume (<4 mL) was injected onto an IonPac AS20 (4 mm I.D.), diverting the separated matrix ions to waste while the analyte(s) of interest were cut, trapped and concentrated in a concentrator column, which offers greater sensitivity over using an injector loop. These analytes were then separated on a second column, in this case an IonPac AS16 (2 mm I.D.), and detected using

265 conductivity, shown in Figure 3. This method is included in the US EPA's publications (Method 314.2) and has since been run with a capillary column (0.4 mm 266 I.D.) in the second dimension, marginally enhancing the lower concentration 267 268 minimum reporting level (LCMRL) from 55 ng/L to 50 ng/L [23]. A similar method, which could arguably be considered multidimensional, to remove interference from 269 270 high salt matrices is a cycling-column-switching mode. To enable sensitive detection of nitrate and chlorate in a high chloride matrix, Wang and colleagues trapped 271 272 analytes on a concentrator column using just a single pump, analytical column 273 (IonPac AS19) and detector, and two valves [24]. For the concentration step an 274 IonPac AG16 was actually used. With the first part of the separation going to waste, 275 the analytes of interest were concentrated on the concentrator column before being 276 separated on the analytical column; these steps were repeated until the matrix was eliminated. After just two elimination steps the LOD for chlorate was 2.2 µg/L. 277

Zakaria *et al.* actually went a step further in terms of multidimensional separations and used a third dimension to improve the detection of bromate in seawater [25]. This extra dimension, which utilised the same phase as the second (IonPac AS24 (2 mm I.D.), allowed the interference from sulphate present in the second dimension to be removed from the third, similarly to that of chloride between the first and second, improving the LOD greatly from 1050 µg/L to 60 µg/L.

Another, arguably simpler, 2D-IC setup used two columns with different selectivities (IonPac's AS19 (2 mm I.D.) and AS20 (4 mm I.D.)) coupled in series *via* a tee-piece to allow independent control and modification of the eluent between the two columns, and only one suppressor and conductivity detector [26]. This approach separated 18 inorganic anions within 28 min including chlorite, chlorate, perchlorate and bromate. Significantly, it improved resolution (>1.3) compared to the previous

single column method just utilising the AS20 [12], showing the potential of 2D-IC
analysis for a larger range of inorganic anions and oxyhalides, without necessarily
the need for MS detection.

293

# 4. Determination of DBPs by IC-MS and IC-ICP-MS

295 Michalski's 2005 review showed that the majority of IC methods were comparable, 296 with low-µg/L levels reported for the analytes of interest regardless of detection 297 mode. However, with MS detection it was possible to improve sensitivity by up to an order of magnitude, highlighting one of the advantages in its use [4]. Additionally, the 298 299 ability to gather information about the elemental composition and structure of the 300 analytes offers a more confident identification, particularly for complex matrices. The 301 obvious disadvantages of MS-based detection techniques are that they add 302 considerable complexity and significant cost to the analysis. Despite this, MS 303 techniques have been utilised more routinely in recent years in conjunction with IC.

304 US EPA Method 321.8 is one of the earliest (1997) approved monitoring method 305 using IC coupled to MS, detecting bromate in water using IC-inductively coupled plasma (ICP)-MS with a detection limit down to 0.3 µg/L, well below the MAL [27]. 306 307 ICP-MS has proved to be a very useful analytical technique for the monitoring of 308 water guality. However, there has been very little advancement in IC-ICP-MS for 309 oxyhalide analysis since 2005, with the rapid, sensitive detection of bromate being 310 the focus of these developments [28]. Whilst the analysis time is fast (<10 min), it 311 does mean a sacrifice in the number of analytes analysed, with methods typically focussing on only one or two analytes, as highlighted in Table 3. An interesting paper 312 313 by Schwan et al. looked at low mg/L concentrations for both chlorate and chlorite in 314 blood samples, with the potential to look at other biological samples such a tissue

315 and urine [29]. The presence of chlorate salts in animals for human consumption 316 could be an alternative route to the ingestion of these oxyhalides and so should be 317 regulated in much the same way as drinking water. The authors found that while 318 chlorate is stable over several hours in whole blood, chlorite degrades very quickly (to below the LOD within 18 min). The authors also attempted to improve the 319 320 detection of chlorine using a triple guadrupole mass analyser after ICP ionisation. 321 Unfortunately chlorine contamination potentially coming from the plasma flame was 322 an issue here. The technique can be very sensitive to isotopic interference produced 323 by polyatomic species arising from the plasma, and therefore the development of IC-324 electrospray ionisation (ESI)-MS maybe considered more promising [30]. EPA 325 method 557 (2009) is another method which will analyse bromate, along with 326 haloacetic acids (HAAs), another group of DBPs, and dalapon, which is a herbicide, although instead of ICP-MS utilises ESI with a triple quadrupole mass analyser. 327 328 Table 3 shows a comprehensive list of existing IC-MS methods for the analysis of 329 relevant inorganic anions and oxyhalides since 2005 in a range of matrices.

330 As with ICP-MS, the range of chromatographic methods for trace multi-analyte IC-ESI-MS is still somewhat limited, with several methods focussing on analysis specific 331 332 to perchlorate. An example of which is US EPA Method 331.0 published in 2005 [31]. 333 This approach uses a weakly conducting eluent of 200 mM methylamine allowing it 334 to be unsuppressed and coupled directly to a quadrupole MS due to its volatility. 335 Wilkin et al. used a similar method for the analysis of perchlorate in surface water, however added acetonitrile post-column to the eluate to promote analyte 336 volatilisation and improve the sensitivity [32]. Due to the potential for interference 337 with a common isotope of bisulphate ( ${}^{1}H^{34}S^{16}O_{4}^{-}$ ; *m/z* 99), multiple reaction 338 monitoring (MRM) detection is recommended for perchlorate with the transition of 339

340 [M]<sup>-</sup> to [M-O]<sup>-</sup> monitored. The AS21 column has also been used for a wider range of 341 analytes, namely perchlorate, bromate, bromide, nitrate, chlorate, chlorite and iodide in tap, ground, surface and bottled water collected in China by Wu et al [33]. Again 342 343 this method uses methylamine in the eluent with limits of quantitation (LOQs) at 0.02, 0.17 and 0.35 µg/L for perchlorate, chlorate and bromate respectively, all below their 344 345 MALs in water, using a 100 µL injection volume. This is a lower capacity version (45 µequivalents/column compared to 77.5 (2.0 mm I.D. x 250 mm)) of the AS20, and is 346 347 specifically developed for MS compatibility [34].

348 The addition of organic solvent to the eluent can lead to changes in the column 349 selectivity and retention behaviour, which could be considered advantageous when 350 optimising separations for a large range of analytes. Gilchrist et al. showed a 351 complete reversal in selectivity of the IonPac AS18 column with the addition of 80 % acetonitrile [35]. For the optimised separation, as well as practical coupling of IC to 352 353 high resolution accurate mass (HRAM) MS, 30 mM hydroxide in 35 % acetonitrile 354 was used to separate 11 anions of interest, including bromate, chlorate in perchlorate in <30 min. One of the two chromatographic methods specified in the US 355 EPA Method 332.0 uses a standard-bore Metrosep A Supp 5-100 with an eluent of 356 30 mM hydroxide in 30 % methanol, for the specific detection of perchlorate [36]. 357 358 This column has a particle size of 5 µm, smaller than most of the lonPac series, and 359 the column used in this method (4.0 mm I.D. x 100 mm) has a capacity of 56 360 µequivalents/column, allowing the rapid and highly efficient separation of strongly retained anions, such as perchlorate. Although membrane suppressors can be used 361 362 with <40 % organic solvent, this method uses a packed bed suppressor to guarantee compatibility with the eluent and backpressure limitations. It was possible to achieve 363 364 detection limits for perchlorate in water at 0.02  $\mu$ g/L using 100  $\mu$ L injection volumes

365 and a quadrupole mass analyser. However, as organic solvent in the eluent preseparation can lead to unusual retention behaviour due to changes in the packing 366 bed volume [35], naturally occurring perchlorate present in the samples was 367 measured relative to an <sup>18</sup>O-enriched <sup>35</sup>Cl<sup>18</sup>O<sub>4</sub> internal standard to ensure reliable 368 identification, as well as quantitation due to varying ionisation efficiencies. Figure 4 369 370 highlights the trace detection of perchlorate in a high matrix sample (1 µg/L in 1000 mg/L matrix) using an almost identical method (flow rate increased from 0.7 to 0.8 371 372 mL/min and MS conditions adjusted for the method), emphasising the attraction in 373 employing MS detection over non-specific options to limit interferences and avoid 374 false positives [37]. The alternative 332.0 method utilises the IonPac AS16 with 65 375 mM hydroxide, a membrane suppressor and a post-suppressor addition of 376 acetonitrile. The detection limit for this method was again 0.02 µg/L. The AS16 is arguably the most popular column for IC analysis of perchlorate, being used by 377 several researchers, as highlighted in Tables 1 and 3 [38-41]. Differing from the 378 newer hyperbranched resins, the core polymer substrate is coated with 379 functionalised 80 nm MicroBead<sup>TM</sup> latex particles, which does lower the capacity 380 comparatively (42.5 µequivalents/column (2.0 mm I.D. x 250 mm)), however could 381 382 potentially be considered more robust. As mentioned, most of these methods focus primarily on perchlorate in biological or environmental samples [42-44], using simple 383 384 isocratic hydroxide eluents. However, Barron and Paull used this column to 385 determine a range of analytes including inorganic anions, oxyhalides and HAAs in soil and water matrices shown in Figure 5 [2]. This method uses a hydroxide gradient 386 387 with supplementary flow of methanol post-suppressor in order to improve the ionisation efficiency at the ESI source. Addition of organic solvent via a tee-piece 388 post-column/suppressor is common as membrane suppressors have low 389

390 compatibility (<40 %) with organic solvents. However, sensitivity can be affected due 391 to dilution of the analytes. In this case, LODs were reported at 39, 9 and 10 µg/L for bromate, chlorate and perchlorate respectively with no sample pre-treatment, which 392 393 falls above the MAL for bromate. An alternative approach to IC-MS is to utilise a paired-ion electrospray ionisation (PIESI) method forming ion association complexes 394 395 post-column to enhance sensitivity and selectivity of these low molecular weight molecules [45-46]. This approach was recently reviewed by Breitbach et al. and 396 397 Barron and Gilchrist and so will not be discussed extensively here [47-48]. Though, 398 focussing on perchlorate, Martinelango and colleagues added di-cationic reagents 399 after the IonPac AS16 resin to form ion association complexes. This not only allows 400 detection in positive ESI mode, which generally produces a better signal, but also 401 raises the m/z offset, decreasing potential for background interference. This is 402 especially useful for improving selectivity of perchlorate against bisulphate, as 403 bisulphate is not as amenable to forming ion-pairs as perchlorate.

404 As mentioned previously, the AS20 column has been utilised with both conductivity 405 [12] and MS detection [13, 49], and frequently so. Again both IC-MS method 406 focussed primarily on perchlorate, although one was analysing snow samples and 407 the other infant formula. This enabled the groups to achieve fast separations (< 15 408 min) as resolution of a large range of other analytes was not required. Using a larger 409 750 µL injector loop Furdui et al. were able to achieve 0.3 ng/L for perchlorate in 410 snow, rising to 1.5 ng/L for iodate. Both methods used MRM to monitor the loss of an oxygen from perchlorate. 411

#### 413 **5.** Future perspectives for IC-MS analysis of DBPs

An ideal method for the analysis of DBPs would be fast to enable high through-put and cost minimisation whilst being selective for a large range of both common anions and oxyhalides. Preferably there would be limited matrix interferences without the need for sample pre-treatment. It is essential that the method be sensitive with a requirement to be down to 1  $\mu$ g/L for bromate in particular.

419 For this particular application, higher capacity columns are generally preferred. This 420 enables larger injection volumes (can be <5 mL) to be utilised, improving sensitivity 421 for trace analytes in high matrix samples while maintaining a good peak elution 422 profile. The IonPac AS27 is one of the latest columns released for the analysis of 423 trace oxyhalides and inorganic anions in drinking water matrices [50]. It has a similar selectivity to the AS19 (also designed for this purpose); however the lonPac AS27 424 425 has a bead diameter of 6.5 µm instead of 7.5 µm, which would offer more efficient and resolved separations. The IonPac AS19 has also recently been released in a 4 426 µm version, increasing the capacity from 55 to 60 µequivalents/column (2.0 mm I.D. 427 428 x 250 mm). Again peak efficiency and resolution would be further improved, however 429 due to the standard dimensions of the column, when run at typical flow rates (~0.25 mL/min for micro-bore columns) the back pressure can exceed the instrumental limit, 430 431 unless the instrument is specifically designed for high pressure applications [14].

432 As previously mentioned, due to the need for fast and efficient separations, there is 433 an increased interest in monolithic columns, leading to a recent expansion in 434 commercially available columns. Monoliths offer faster separations at high resolution 435 as they consist of a single rod of solid stationary phase often with a bimodal structure 436 of macropores (1-6 μm) and mesopores (10-20 nm) for higher through-flow and

437 mass transfer. Due to the high pH of the hydroxide eluent, polymeric columns are 438 preferred for their stability in alkaline conditions. Commercial polymer monoliths 439 functionalised for anion exchange, such as the IonSwift MAX columns, have recently 440 become available; however generally have a lower peak efficiency compared to their 441 silica-based counterparts. The majority of these commercially available monoliths 442 are offered in capillary-scale, again requiring specialist instrumentation.

443 Capillary-scale IC is another area that has garnered some attention in recent years, 444 although a consideration for coupling to MS is analytical flow rate. To be compatible 445 with ESI, lower flow rates are generally required, whereas band broadening increases with too low a flow rate for the separation and also source fluidics are no 446 447 longer sufficiently optimised. Typically, micro-bore analytical columns (2.0 mm I.D.) 448 are employed at flow rates between 0.1-0.7 mL/min. Capillary IC differs to other types of capillary-scale separation technologies, operating at higher flow rates (~5-10 449 450 µL/min) than typical for coupling to current nano-spray ionisation technologies (20-50 451 nL/min). These may also be arguably considered slightly low for ESI-MS at the micro-bore scale (~100 µL/min-1 mL/min), and therefore, coupling micro-bore IC to 452 MS at flow rates between 0.2-0.5 mL/min is likely to still offer better performance at 453 454 this time. However, it is highly likely that IC-MS will increasingly move towards capillary-scale in the future. To the best of the authors' knowledge, the IonSwift 455 456 MAX-100 is the only commercially available polymer monolith at the micro-bore 457 scale (1.0 mm I.D.). Whilst designed for the fast analysis of organic acids and inorganic anions, it's potential for the analysis of inorganic DBPs has been 458 459 demonstrated with the separation of perchlorate, chlorate and several common anions in <20 min as shown in Figure 6, although this work did utilise the capillary-460 461 scale version (0.25 mm I.D.) [51].

Shorter column lengths could also be considered for faster separations. Tyrrell *et al.* separated seven anions, including chloride, chlorate, nitrate, sulphate and perchlorate in under 3 min [52] using an hydroxide ramp (Figure 7(b)) and 50 mm length column with 4 mm I.D. compared to 11 min for standard length lonPac AS20 (250 mm). Whilst the analytes of interest were not all baseline resolved, it does show the great potential shorter columns have where high throughput, fast analysis are required, such as for multidimensional chromatography.

469 As discussed earlier 2D-IC configurations is another area increasing in popularity. To 470 the best of the authors' knowledge there are no existing 2D-IC-MS methods for 471 inorganic compounds, such as oxyhalides. However, there is an example of 2D-IC-472 MS for small organic acids in seawater, with the first dimension used to separate the 473 organic acids from inorganic ions, and separating these acids in the second 474 dimension [53]. In this case the advantage of using MS was the added sensitivity it offers (LODs were ~2-5x lower than with conductivity), as well as a less ambiguous 475 476 identification, offering an alternative way to achieve the selectivity and sensitivity required for the analysis of DBPs. 477

478

# 479 **6.** Conclusions

IC-MS technologies make a strong contribution to the analysis and monitoring of ion concentrations in drinking water and beverages. This review has highlighted the recent developments to further enhance methods for the application of oxyhalide DBPs analysis. IC-MS offers advantages over other analytical techniques in terms of its ability to speciate, which is essential in the identification of oxyhalides, as well as offering selectivity and sensitivity over traditional, non-specific detection modes such as conductivity and UV/Vis. While existing methods comply with the requirements set 487 by regulatory agencies, predominately these will focus on a limited number of 488 analytes. There is still opportunity to develop the use of IC-MS further not only with 489 regards to range of analytes related to water analysis, but to improve sensitivity also

490 with a number of exciting technological developments.

# 491 Acknowledgments

- 492 Dr. Gilchrist would like to acknowledge funding from Enterprise Ireland Innovation
- 493 Partnership (Grant Number IP-2014-0360) along with PepsiCo, PWF Technical
- 494 function, R&D and GQS departments, Cork.

# 495 **References**

- 496 [1] E.T. Urbansky, M.L. Magnuson, Analyzing drinking water for disinfection byproducts,497 Analytical Chemistry, 74 (2002) 260A-267A.
- 498 [2] L. Barron, B. Paull, Simultaneous determination of trace oxyhalides and haloacetic acids
  499 using suppressed ion chromatography-electrospray mass spectrometry, Talanta, 69 (2006)
  500 621-630.
- 501 [3] L. Barron, B. Paull, Determination of haloacetic acids in drinking water using suppressed 502 micro-bore ion chromatography with solid phase extraction, Analytica Chimica Acta, 522 503 (2004) 153-161.
- 504 [4] R. Michalski, Inorganic oxyhalide by-products in drinking water and ion chromatographic 505 determination methods, Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 14 (2005) 257-268.
- 506 [5] B. Legube, B. Parinet, K. Gelinet, F. Berne, J.P. Croue, Modeling of bromate formation 507 by ozonation of surface waters in drinking water treatment, Water Research, 38 (2004) 2185-508 2195.
- 509 [6] World Health Organisation, Bromide in Drinking-water: Background document for 510 development for WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality, 2009.
- 511 [7] World Health Organisation, Bromate in Drinking-water: Background document for the
- 512 development of WHO *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*, 2005.
- 513 [8] Federal Register, 66 FR 16858 Beverages: Bottled Water, 2001.
- 514 [9] S.A. Snyder, B.J. Vanderford, D.J. Rexing, Trace analysis of bromate, chlorate, iodate,
- and perchlorate in natural and bottled waters, Environmental Science & Technology, 39(2005) 4586-4593.
- 517 [10] World Health Organisation, Chlorite, Chlorate in Drinking-water: Background document 518 for development of WHO *Guidelines for Drinking-water Ouality*, 2005.
- 519 [11] P. Iannece, O. Motta, R. Tedesco, M. Carotenuto, A. Proto, Determination of Perchlorate
- 520 in Bottled Water from Italy, Water, 5 (2013) 767.
- 521 [12] C. Johns, R.A. Shellie, O.G. Potter, J.W. O'Reilly, J.P. Hutchinson, R.M. Guijt, M.C.
- 522 Breadmore, E.F. Hilder, G.W. Dicinoski, P.R. Haddad, Identification of homemade inorganic

- 523 explosives by ion chromatographic analysis of post-blast residues, Journal of 524 Chromatography A, 1182 (2008) 205-214.
- 525 [13] V.I. Furdui, F. Tomassini, Trends and Sources of Perchlorate in Arctic Snow,
  526 Environmental Science & Technology, 44 (2010) 588-592.
- 527 [14] Thermo Scientific, Dionex IonPac AS19-4µm Column Product Manual, 2014.
- 528 [15] M.F. Cengiz, A.K. Bilgin, Determination of major sodium iodide symporter (NIS) 529 inhibitors in drinking waters using ion chromatography with conductivity detector, Journal of 530 Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 120 (2016) 190-197.
- 531 [16] M. El Haddad, R. Mamouni, M. Ridaoui, S. Lazar, Rapid simultaneous analysis of 532 oxyhalides and inorganic anions in aqueous media by ion exchange chromatography with
- 533 indirect UV detection, Journal of Saudi Chemical Society, 19 (2015) 108-111.
- 534 [17] V.M. Matsis, E.C. Nikolaou, Determination of inorganic oxyhalide disinfection by-535 products in bottled water by EPA Method 326.0 for trace bromate analysis, Desalination, 224 536 (2008) 231-239.
- 537 [18] H.P. Wagner, B.V. Pepich, D.P. Hautman, D.J. Munch, US EPA Method 317.0,
  538 Determination of inorgnic oxyhalide disinfection by-products in drinking water using ion
  539 chromatography with the addition of a postcolumn reagent for trace bromate analysis 2001.
- 540 [19] J.D. Pfaff, D.P. Hautman, D.J. Munch, US EPA Method 300.1, Determination of 541 inorganic anions in drinking water by ion chromatography, 1997.
- 542 [20] J. Gandhi, Simultaneous Determination of Anions and Oxyhalides (US EPA 300.1) by
- 543 Sequential Suppressed Ion Chromatography in a Single Injection Analysis, LCGC, 2007.
- 544 [21] Metrohm, The Column Program, 2014.
- 545 [22] H.P. Wagner, B.V. Pepich, C. Pohl, D. Later, K. Srinivasan, R. Lin, B. DeBorba, D.J.
- Munch, Selective method for the analysis of perchlorate in drinking waters at nanogram per
  liter levels, using two-dimensional ion chromatography with suppressed conductivity
  detection, Journal of Chromatography A, 1155 (2007) 15-21.
- 549 [23] L. Chen, B. De Borba, J. Rohrer, Improved Determination of Trace Perchlorate in 550 Drinking Water Using 2D-IC 2012.
- 551 [24] N. Wang, R.Q. Wang, Y. Zhu, A novel ion chromatography cycling-column-switching 552 system for the determination of low-level chlorate and nitrite in high salt matrices, Journal of 553 Hazardous Materials, 235–236 (2012) 123-127.
- 554 [25] P. Zakaria, C. Bloomfield, R.A. Shellie, P.R. Haddad, G.W. Dicinoski, Determination of
- bromate in sea water using multi-dimensional matrix-elimination ion chromatography,
  Journal of Chromatography A, 1218 (2011) 9080-9085.
- 557 [26] C. Johns, R.A. Shellie, C.A. Pohl, P.R. Haddad, Two-dimensional ion chromatography
- using tandem ion-exchange columns with gradient-pulse column switching, Journal ofChromatography A, 1216 (2009) 6931-6937.
- 560 [27] J.T. Creed, C.A. Brockhoff, T.D. Martin, US EPA Method 321.8, Determination of 561 Bromate in Drinking Waters by Ion Chromatoography Inductively Coupled Plama-Mass 562 Spectrometry, (1997).
- 563 [28] Y.e. Peng, W. Guo, J. Zhang, Q. Guo, L. Jin, S. Hu, Sensitive screening of bromate in 564 drinking water by an improved ion chromatography ICP-MS method, Microchemical Journal, 565 124 (2016) 127-121
- 565 124 (2016) 127-131.
- 566 [29] A.M. Schwan, R. Martin, W. Goessler, Chlorine speciation analysis in blood by ion 567 chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Analytical Methods, 7 568 (2015) 9198-9205.
- 569 [30] M. Yamanaka, T. Sakai, H. Kumagai, Y. Inoue, Specific determination of bromate and
- 570 iodate in ozonized water by ion chromatography with postcolumn derivatization and 571 inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Journal of Chromatography A, 789 (1997) 572 250 265
- 572 259-265.

- 573 [31] S.C. Wendelken, B.V. Pepich, D. Later, C. Pohl, US EPA Method 331.0, 2005.
- 574 [32] R.T. Wilkin, D.D. Fine, N.G. Burnett, Perchlorate Behavior in a Municipal Lake 575 Following Fireworks Displays, Environmental Science & Technology, 41 (2007) 3966-3971.
- 576 [33] Q. Wu, T. Zhang, H. Sun, K. Kannan, Perchlorate in Tap Water, Groundwater, Surface 577 Waters, and Bottled Water From China and its Association with Other Inorganic Anions and
- 578 with Disinfection Byproducts, Arch Environ Contam Toxicol, 58 (2010) 543-550.
- 579 [34] Thermo Scientific, Product Manual for Dionex IonPac<sup>TM</sup> AS21 and AG21 Columns, 580 2008.
- 581 [35] E.S. Gilchrist, P.N. Nesterenko, N.W. Smith, L.P. Barron, Organic solvent and 582 temperature-enhanced ion chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry for the 583 determination of low molecular weight organic and inorganic anions, Analytica Chimica 584 Acta, 865 (2015) 83-91.
- 585 [36] E. Hedrick, T. Behymer, R. Slingsby, D.J. Munch, US EPA Method 332.0, 586 Determination of perchlorate in drinking water by ion chromatography with suppressed 587 conductivity and electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry, 2005.
- 588 [37] J. Mathew, J. Gandhi, J. Hedrick, Trace level perchlorate analysis by ion 589 chromatography–mass spectrometry, Journal of Chromatography A, 1085 (2005) 54-59.
- 590 [38] E.N. Pearce, M. Alexiou, E. Koukkou, L.E. Braverman, X. He, I. Ilias, M. Alevizaki, 591 K.B. Markou, Perchlorate and thiocyanate exposure and thyroid function in first-trimester
- 592 pregnant women from Greece, Clinical Endocrinology, 77 (2012) 471-474.
- [39] L. Valentin-Blasini, J.P. Mauldin, D. Maple, B.C. Blount, Analysis of perchlorate in
  human urine using ion chromatography and electrospray tandem mass spectrometry,
  Analytical Chemistry, 77 (2005) 2475-2481.
- 596 [40] S.M. Otero-Santos, A.D. Delinsky, L. Valentin-Blasini, J. Schiffer, B.C. Blount,
  597 Analysis of Perchlorate in Dried Blood Spots Using Ion Chromatography and Tandem Mass
  598 Spectrometry, Analytical Chemistry, 81 (2009) 1931-1936.
- 599 [41] N. Mervish, B. Blount, L. Valentin-Blasini, B. Brenner, M.P. Galvez, M.S. Wolff, S.L.
- 600 Teitelbaum, Temporal variability in urinary concentrations of perchlorate, nitrate, thiocyanate
- and iodide among children, Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology,
  22 (2012) 212-218.
- [42] S. Jiang, Y.-S. Li, B. Sun, Determination of trace level of perchlorate in Antarctic snow
  and ice by ion chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry using an automated
  sample on-line preconcentration method, Chinese Chemical Letters, 24 (2013) 311-314.
- [43] B.A. Rao, C.P. Wake, T. Anderson, W.A. Jackson, Perchlorate Depositional History as
  Recorded in North American Ice Cores from the Eclipse Icefield, Canada, and the Upper
  Fremont Glacier, USA, Water Air and Soil Pollution, 223 (2012) 181-188.
- 609 [44] K. Peterson, J. Cole-Dai, D. Brandis, T. Cox, S. Splett, Rapid measurement of
  610 perchlorate in polar ice cores down to sub-ng L-1 levels without pre-concentration,
  611 Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 407 (2015) 7965-7972.
- 612 [45] P.K. Martinelango, J.L. Anderson, P.K. Dasgupta, D.W. Armstrong, R.S. Al-Horr, R.W.
- 613 Slingsby, Gas-Phase Ion Association Provides Increased Selectivity and Sensitivity for
- 614 Measuring Perchlorate by Mass Spectrometry, Analytical Chemistry, 77 (2005) 4829-4835.
- 615 [46] P.K. Martinelango, P.K. Dasgupta, Dicationic ion-pairing agents for the mass 616 spectrometric determination of perchlorate, Analytical Chemistry, 79 (2007) 7198-7200.
- 617 [47] Z.S. Breitbach, A. Berthod, K. Huang, D.W. Armstrong, Mass spectrometric detection of
- 618 trace anions: The evolution of paired-ion electrospray ionization (PIESI), Mass Spectrometry
- 619 Reviews, 35 (2016) 201-218.
- 620 [48] L. Barron, E. Gilchrist, Ion chromatography-mass spectrometry: A review of recent
- 621 technologies and applications in forensic and environmental explosives analysis, Analytica
- 622 Chimica Acta, 806 (2014) 27-54.

- 623 [49] Z. Wang, B.P.Y. Lau, B. Tague, M. Sparling, D. Forsyth, Determination of perchlorate
- 624 in infant formula by isotope dilution ion chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry, Food
- Additives and Contaminants Part a-Chemistry Analysis Control Exposure & RiskAssessment, 28 (2011) 799-806.
- 627 [50] Thermo Scientific, Product Manual for Dionex IonPac AS27 Columns, 2014.
- [51] E. Gilchrist, N. Smith, L. Barron, Probing gunshot residue, sweat and latent human
  fingerprints with capillary-scale ion chromatography and suppressed conductivity detection,
  Analyst, 137 (2012) 1576-1583.
- 631 [52] É. Tyrrell, R.A. Shellie, E.F. Hilder, C.A. Pohl, P.R. Haddad, Fast ion chromatography 632 using short anion exchange columns, Journal of Chromatography A, 1216 (2009) 8512-8517.
- 633 [53] C. Glombitza, J. Pedersen, H. Røy, B.B. Jørgensen, Direct analysis of volatile fatty acids
- 634 in marine sediment porewater by two-dimensional ion chromatography-mass spectrometry,
   635 Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods, 12 (2014) 455-468.
- 636 [54] Metrohm, Oxyhalides besides standard anions in swimming pool water, 2015.
- 637 [55] M. Takeuchi, K. Yoshioka, Y. Toyama, A. Kagami, H. Tanaka, On-line measurement of
- perchlorate in atmospheric aerosol based on ion chromatograph coupled with particle
   collector and post-column concentrator, Talanta, 97 (2012) 527-532.
- 640 [56] A.J. Vella, C. Chircop, T. Micallef, C. Pace, Perchlorate in dust fall and indoor dust in
- 641 Malta: An effect of fireworks, Science of the Total Environment, 521 (2015) 46-51.
- 642 [57] B.L. Carrier, S.P. Kounaves, The origins of perchlorate in the Martian soil, Geophysical
  643 Research Letters, 42 (2015) 3739-3745.
- 644 [58] H.P. Wagner, B.V. Pepich, C. Pohl, K. Srinivasan, B. De Borba, R. Lin, D.J. Munch, US
- EPA Method 302.0, Determination of Bromate in Drinking Water using Two-DimensionalIon Chromatography with Suppressed Conductivity Detection (2009).
- [59] H.B. Teh, S.F.Y. Li, Simultaneous determination of bromate, chlorite and haloacetic
  acids by two-dimensional matrix elimination ion chromatography with coupled conventional
  and capillary columns, Journal of Chromatography A, 1383 (2015) 112-120.
- 650 [60] Z. Chen, M. Megharaj, R. Naidu, Determination of bromate and bromide in seawater by 651 ion chromatography, with an ammonium salt solution as mobile phase, and inductively 652 coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Chromatographia, 65 (2007) 115-118.
- 653 [61] H. Shi, C. Adams, Rapid IC–ICP/MS method for simultaneous analysis of iodoacetic 654 acids, bromoacetic acids, bromate, and other related halogenated compounds in water, 655 Talanta, 79 (2009) 523-527.
- 656 [62] R.J. Garcia-Villanova, C. Raposo Funcia, M.V.O. Dantas Leite, I.M. Toruño Fonseca,
- 657 M. Espinosa Nieto, J. Espuelas India, Direct injection ion chromatography for the control of
- 658 chlorinated drinking water: simultaneous estimation of nine haloacetic acids and quantitation
- of bromate, chlorite and chlorate along with the major inorganic anions, Journal of Water &Health, 12 (2014) 443-451.
- [63] D. West, R. Mu, S. Gamagedara, Y. Ma, C. Adams, T. Eichholz, J. Burken, H. Shi,
  Simultaneous detection of perchlorate and bromate using rapid high-performance ion
  exchange chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and perchlorate removal in drinking
- 664 water, Environ Sci Pollut Res, 22 (2015) 8594-8602.
- [64] H. El Aribi, Y.J.C. Le Blanc, S. Antonsen, T. Sakuma, Analysis of perchlorate in foods
  and beverages by ion chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (IC-ESIMS/MS), Analytica Chimica Acta, 567 (2006) 39-47.
- 668 [65] R. Calderon, P. Palma, D. Parker, M. Molina, F.A. Godoy, M. Escudey, Perchlorate
- 669 Levels in Soil and Waters from the Atacama Desert, Arch Environ Contam Toxicol, 66 670 (2014) 155-161.
- 671 [66] B. Rao, P.B. Hatzinger, J.K. Böhlke, N.C. Sturchio, B.J. Andraski, F.D. Eckardt, W.A.
- 572 Jackson, Natural Chlorate in the Environment: Application of a New IC-ESI/MS/MS Method

- with a Cl18O3- Internal Standard, Environmental Science & Technology, 44 (2010) 8429-8434.
- 675 [67] M. Yang, N. Her, J. Ryu, Y. Yoon, Determination of perchlorate and iodide 676 concentrations in edible seaweeds, International Journal of Environmental Science and 677 Technology, 11 (2014) 565-570.
- 678 [68] N. Her, J. Kim, Y. Yoon, Perchlorate in dairy milk and milk-based powdered infant 679 formula in South Korea, Chemosphere, 81 (2010) 732-737.
- 680 [69] E. Righi, G. Fantuzzi, G. Predieri, G. Aggazzotti, Bromate, chlorite, chlorate, haloacetic
- acids, and trihalomethanes occurrence in indoor swimming pool waters in Italy,Microchemical Journal, 113 (2014) 23-29.
- [70] K. Kannan, M.L. Praamsma, J.F. Oldi, T. Kunisue, R.K. Sinha, Occurrence of
  perchlorate in drinking water, groundwater, surface water and human saliva from India,
  Chemosphere, 76 (2009) 22-26.
- 686 [71] P.K. Martinelango, G. Gümüş, P.K. Dasgupta, Matrix interference free determination of
- perchlorate in urine by ion association–ion chromatography–mass spectrometry, Analytica
  Chimica Acta, 567 (2006) 79-86.
- [72] P.K. Martinelango, K. Tian, P.K. Dasgupta, Perchlorate in seawater: Bioconcentration of
- 690 iodide and perchlorate by various seaweed species, Analytica Chimica Acta, 567 (2006) 100-691 107.
- [73] J.V. Dyke, A.B. Kirk, P. Kalyani Martinelango, P.K. Dasgupta, Sample processing
  method for the determination of perchlorate in milk, Analytica Chimica Acta, 567 (2006) 7378.
- 695 [74] Y. Wan, Q. Wu, K.O. Abualnaja, A.G. Asimakopoulos, A. Covaci, B. Gevao, B.
- 696 Johnson-Restrepo, T.A. Kumosani, G. Malarvannan, H.-B. Moon, H. Nakata, R.K. Sinha,
- 697 T.B. Minh, K. Kannan, Occurrence of perchlorate in indoor dust from the United States and
- 698 eleven other countries: Implications for human exposure, Environment International, 75 699 (2015) 166-171.
- 700
- 701

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min)	Analysis time (min)	Suppressor	Detector	Post- column	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2-</sup>	Water	Metrosep A Dual 1 (3x150 mm)	1 mM ortho- phthalic acid, 2 % MeCN	1	15	-	UV/Vis	-	2000- 5000	[16]
F <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> , ClO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CNO <sup>-</sup> , S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , SCN <sup>-</sup> , organic acids	Soils/ residues	IonPac AS20 + AG20 (2x250 mm)	5-100 mM KOH grad.	0.375	18 + equil.	ASRS	Conductivity	-	2-27	[12]
BrO <sub>3</sub> -, ClO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup>	Water	IonPac AS9- HC +AG9- HC (4x250 mm)	9 mM Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1.3	25	ASRS	Conductivity/ UV/Vis	0.7 mL/min o- dianisidine	μg/L levels	[18]
CIO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CIO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CI <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3<sup>-</sup></sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2<sup>-</sup></sup>	Water	Metrosep A Supp 5 + 1 (4x250 mm)	3.2 mmol/L Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> / 1 mmol/L NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	0.7	32	MSM	Conductivity	-	-	[54]
Part A: F <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	Water	IonPac AS9- HC + AG9- HC (2x250 mm)	9 mM Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	0.4	25	ASRS	Conductivity	-	mg/L	[19]
Part B: $BrO_3^{-}$ , $ClO_2^{-}$ , $ClO_3^{-}$ , Br	Water	IonPac AS9- HC + AG9- HC (2x250 mm)	9 mM Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	0.4	25	ASRS	Conductivity	-	1.32- 2.55	[19]
F, Cl <sup>°</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>°</sup> , Br <sup>°</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>°</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3°</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2°</sup> , ClO <sub>2</sub> <sup>°</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>°</sup> , BrO <sub>3</sub>	Water	Metrosep A Supp 7 (4x250 mm)	3.6 mM Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	0.7	-	833 MSM-II	Conductivity	-	-	[20]

**Table 1.** Comparison of ion-exchange chromatography methods for the determination of DBP related anions and oxyhalides

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min)	Analysis time (min)	Suppressor	Detector	Post- column	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
Cl <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> , SCN <sup>-</sup> , CrO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Standar d	IonPac AS20 (4x50 mm)	KOH grad.	1	3	ASRS	Conductivity	-	-	[52]
CIO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> ,	High salt matrices	lonPac AS19 + AG19 (4x250 mm)	10 mM KOH	1	70	electrochemi cal self- generation	Conductivity	AG16 (4 mm) concentrato r	2.2	[24]
	Atmosp heric aerosol	lonPac AS16 + AG16 (2x250 mm)	120 mM NaOH	0.25	-	ASRS	Conductivity	Concentrat or	0.35 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	[55]
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , l <sup>-</sup>	Water	IonPac AS9- HC (4x250 mm)	9 mM Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1.1	6.5	AMMS	UV/Vis	0.4 mL/min 0.26 M KI, 43 µM (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> Mo <sub>7</sub> O <sub>24</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	µg/L levels	[17]
	Dust	IonPac AS16 + AG16 (4x250 mm)	25 mM NaOH	0.8	~20	Chemical mode	Conductivity	-	2	[56]
CIO4	Soil leachate	lonPac AS16 + AG16 (4x250 mm)	35 mM KOH	1.25	-	ASRS	Conductivity	-	1	[57]
CIO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CIO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Soil leachate	lonPac AS18 +AG18 (4x250 mm)	23 mM KOH	1	-	ASRS	Conductivity	-	5	[57]
$NO_3^-$ , $CIO_4^-$ , $SCN^-$	Water	lonPac AS19 + AG19 (4x250 mm)	20-50 KOH grad	1	30	ASRS	Conductivity	-	3-25	[15]

Table 2. Comparison of two-dimensional ion-exchange chromatography (2D-IC) methods for the determination of DBP related

anions and oxyhalides

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min)	Analysis time (min)	Suppressor	Detector	1st-2nd dimension	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
		IonPac AS20 (4x250 mm) + AG20	35-100 mM KOH grad.	1	45	ASRS	Conductivity	2 mL in	ng/L	1001
CIO4	Water	lonPac AS16 (2x250 mm) + AG16	65 mM KOH	0.25	45	ASRS	Conductivity	concentrator column	levels	[22]
F <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3</sup> ,	Standard	IonPac AS19 (2x250 mm) +AS19	9-100 mM KOH grad.	0.25	- 28	-	-	tee-niece	3-80	[26]
ClO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CNO <sup>-</sup> , S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , SCN <sup>-</sup> , organic acids	Standard	IonPac AS20 (4x250 mm) + AG20	69.33- 99.67 mM KOH grad.	1	20	ASRS	Conductivity	tee-piece	3-80	[20]
BrO -	Water	IonPac AS19 (4x250 mm) +AG19	10-65 mM KOH	1	35	ASRS	Conductivity	~2 mL in	0.12	[58]
		lonPac AS24 (2x250 mm) + AG24	10-65 mM KOH	0.25	35	ASRS	Conductivity	<ul> <li>concentrator</li> <li>column</li> </ul>	0.12	[00]

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min)	Analysis time (min)	Suppressor	Detector	1st-2nd dimension	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
010		lonPac AS20 (2x250 mm) + AG20	35-60 mM KOH grad.	0.25	45	ASRS	Conductivity	1 mL in		
CIO4	Water	IonPac AS16 (0.4x250 mm) + AG16	65 mM KOH	0.01	45	ASRS	Conductivity	concentrator column	0.005	[23]
		IonPac AS19 (4x250 mm) +AS19	5 mM KOH	1		ASRS	Conductivity	AC15		
BrO <sub>3</sub> -	Water	1x or 2x IonPac AS24 (2x250 mm) + AG24	20 mM KOH	0.25	40	ASRS	Conductivity	or UTAC trap column	1050	[25]
		lonPac AS19 (4x250 mm) +AS19	10-45 mM KOH grad.	1	- 65	ASRS	Conductivity	IonSwift	0.3- 0.64	[50]
002,003,11445	Water	lonPac AS26 (0.4x250 mm) + AG26	6-70 mM KOH grad.	0.01		ACES	Conductivity	trap column		[59]

HAAs - haloacetic acids

 Table 3. Comparison of ion chromatography-mass spectrometry configurations for the determination of anions and oxyhalide

 species related to DBPs

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min )	Analysis time (min)	Suppresso r	Detector	Post- column	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
BrO <sub>3</sub>	Water	Dionex PA100	5 mM HNO₃ + 25 mM NH₄NO₃	1	7	-	ICP-MS		0.3	[27]
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup>	Water	Selfmade polymethacrylat e (4.6x150 mm)	20 mM NH₄NO₃, pH 5.8	1	7	-	ICP-MS	-	2-3	[60]
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> , IO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , I <sup>-</sup> , HAAs	Water	lonPac AS11- HC + AG11	30-200 mM NH₄NO₃ grad.	1	43	-	ICP-MS	-	BrO <sub>3</sub> - 1.65	[61]
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Water	IonPac AS19 + AG19 (4x250 mm)	40 mM KOH	1	6	-	ICP-MS	-	0.013	[28]
CIO <sub>2</sub> , CIO <sub>3</sub>	Blood	IonPac AS15 + AG15 (2x250 mm)	10-90 mM KOH	0.25	15	ASRS	ICP-MS/ICP- TQ-MS	-	500- 1000	[29]
Cl <sup>-</sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , ClO <sub>2</sub> , BrO <sub>3</sub> , ClO <sub>3</sub> , F, ClO <sub>4</sub> , NO <sub>3</sub> , IO <sub>3</sub>	Water/ soil	IonPac AS16 + AG16 (2x250 mm)	1-20 mM NaOH grad.	0.3	71 + 16 equilb.	AEES	ESI-LIT-MS	0.12 mL/min MeOH	2-138	[2]
CIO4	Infant formula	IonPac AS20 + AG20 (2x250 mm)	55 mM KOH	-	20	ASRS	ESI-TQ-MS	-	0.4	[49]
CIO <sub>4</sub> , CI, Br, BrO <sub>3</sub> , CIO <sub>3</sub> , CIO <sub>2</sub> , IO <sub>3</sub> , I	Snow	IonPac AS20 + AG20 (2x250 mm)	45-80 mM OH <sup>-</sup> grad.	0.3	10.2 + equib.	-	ESI-TQ-MS	0.3 mL/min MeCN	0.0003 - 0.0015	[13]

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min )	Analysis time (min)	Suppresso r	Detector	Post- column	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
ClO <sub>4</sub> , Br, NO <sub>3</sub> , BrO <sub>3</sub> , ClO <sub>3</sub> , ClO <sub>2</sub> , I	Water	IonPac AS21 + AG21 (2x250 mm)	231 methylamin e	0.3	10	None	ESI-TQ-MS	-	0.02- 25*	[33]
CIO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CIO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> , F <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3<sup>-</sup></sup> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2<sup>-</sup></sup> , HAAs	Water	Metrosep A Supp 1 HS + A Supp 5 (4x250 mm)	16 mM Na₂CO₃⁄ 5 mM NaHCO₃ grad.	0.7	70	Sequential chem.	Conductivity/ ESI-trap-MS	-	µg/L levels	[62]
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Water	IonPac AS21 + AG21 (2x250 mm)	200 mM methylamin e	0.5	~10	None	Qtrap-MS	-	0.01- 0.04	[63]
CIO4	Water	IonPac AS16 + AG16 (2x250 mm)	65 mM KOH (75 mM)	0.3	~9	ASRS	ESI-SQ-MS (conductivity)	0.3 mL/min 50 % MeCN	0.02	[36]
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Beverages , soil, water	lonPac AS16 + AG16/ AS20 + AG20 (2x250 mm)	45 mM OH <sup>-</sup>	0.3	~13	ASRS-MS	ESI-TQ-MS	0.3 mL/min 50 % MeCN	0.005, 0.04 mg/kg	[64-65]
CIO4	Water	Metrosep A Supp 4/5 + A Supp 5-100 (4x100 mm)	30 mM NaOH, 30 % MeOH	0.7	~9	Yes	ESI-SQ-MS	-	0.02	[36]
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Water/ lettuce	Metrosep A Supp 5 (4x100 mm)	30 mM NaOH, 30 % MeOH	0.8	~14	Sequential chem	ESI-SQ-MS	-	Sub µg/L	[37]

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min )	Analysis time (min)	Suppresso r	Detector	Post- column	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
CIO <sub>3</sub> -	Soil/plant leachates	IonPac AS20 (2x250 mm)	H₂O-45 mM OH <sup>-</sup> grad.	0.3	25.5	-	ESI-TQ-MS	0.3 mL/min 50 % MeCN	0.002	[66]
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Ice	IonPac AS16 + AG16 (2x250 mm)	45 mM NaOH	0.3	-	ASRS	ESI-TQ-MS	0.3 mL/min 90 % MeCN	0.0002	[43]
CIO4	Snow, ice	IonPac AS16 + AG16 (2x250 mm)	40 mM KOH	0.25	20	ASRS	ESI-TQ-MS	-	0.002	[42]
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Milk, seaweed	IonPac AS21 + AG21 (2x250 mm)	15 mM KOH	0.35	-	ASRS	ESI-TQ-MS	-	0.12*	[67-68]
CIO <sub>3</sub> , CIO <sub>2</sub> , BrO <sub>3</sub> , HAAs	Water	IonPac AS19 (2x250 mm)	5-37 mM KOH grad.	0.25	-	ASRS	ESI-SQ-MS	-	1-20	[69]
$BrO_3$ , $Br$ , $NO_3$ , $CIO_3$ , $CIO_4$ , SCN, $I$ , organic acids	GSR	IonPac AS18 (2x250 mm)	30 mM NaOH, 35 % MeCN	0.18	30	ASRS	ESI-Orbitrap	-	<10	[35]
CIO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> , (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SCN <sup>-</sup> , I <sup>-</sup> )	Urine	IonPac AS16 (2x250 mm)	50 mM NaOH	0.5	10	ASRS	ESI-TQ-MS	-	0.03	[38-41]
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Water	IonPac AS21 + AG21 (2x250 mm)	200 mM methylamin e	0.35	-	None	Qtrap-MS	0.3 mL/min MeCN	0.003- 0.2	[31-32, 70]
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Urine/ milk/ water	IonPac AS16 + AG16 (4x250 mm)	100 mM NaOH	1	10	ASRS	ESI-SQ-MS	dicationi c reagent	0.06-3	[46, 71-73]

Analytes	Sample type	Column(s)	Eluent	Flow rate (mL/min )	Analysis time (min)	Suppresso r	Detector	Post- column	LOD (µg/L)	Ref.
CIO <sub>4</sub>	Dust	IonPac AS21 (2x250 mm)	20 mM methylamin e	0.3	-	None	ESI-TQ-MS	-	0.02 µg/g*	[74]
CIO4	Ice core	lonPac AS16 (2x250 mm)	60 mM NaOH	0.3	15	AERS	ESI-Qtrap- MS	0.3 mL/min 90 % MeCN	0.0001	[44]

\* LOQ; HAAs – haloacetic acids; ICP- inductively coupled plasma; ESI – electrospray ionisation; API – atmospheric pressure ionisation ; LIT - linear ion trap; TQ – triple quadrupole; SQ – single quadrupole; GSR – gunshot residues



Figure 1. Bromate formation pathways during ozonation. Adapted from [5]



**Figure 2.** Separation of 5 ppm anion standards on an IonPac AS20 column with hydroxide gradient. Elution order: 1 =fluoride, 2 =acetate, 3 =formate, 4 =chlorite, 5 =bromate, 6 =chloride, 7 =nitrite, 8 =cyanate, 9 =chlorate, 10 =benzoate, 11 =nitrate, 12 =carbonate, 13 =sulphate, 14 =phosphate, 15 =chromate, 16 =

thiosulphate, 17 = thiocyanate, 18 = perchlorate. (Reprinted from [12]. Copyright 2008 with permission from Elsevier.)



**Figure 3.** First- and second-dimension chromatogram using a 2.0 mL injection volume of a 25  $\mu$ g/L perchlorate fortification in purified reagent water. (Reprinted from [22]. Copyright 2007 with permission from Elsevier.)



**Figure 4.** m/z 99 (top chromatogram) and 101 traces for 1 µg/L perchlorate in a 1000 ppm matrix of sulphate, chloride, and carbonate using a Metrosep A Supp 5 column. (Reprinted from [37]. Copyright 2005 with permission from Elsevier.)



**Figure 5.** Optimised IC method for use with conductivity and ESI-MS detection for oxyhalides and HAAs using an IonPac AS16. Elution order: 1 = acetate, 2 = iodate, 3 = chlorite, 4 = MCA, 5 = bromate, 6 = chloride, 7 = MBA, 8 = TFA, 9 = nitrate/bromide, 10 = chlorate, 11 = DCA, 12 = CDFA, 13 = BCA, 14 = DBA, 15 = carbonate, 16 = TCA, 17 = DCBA, 18 = CDBA, 19 = perchlorate. (Reprinted from [2]. Copyright 2006 with permission from Elsevier.)



**Figure 6.** Fast separation of common inorganic anions, organic acids and oxyhalides on an IonSwift MAX-100 polymer monolith (0.25 x 250 mm). Reproduced from [51] with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.



**Figure 7.** Optimised gradient separations of seven target anions carried out on a 4 mm × 50 mm AS20 column using (a) a ramp rate of 5 mM/ $t_0$  (6.92 mM/min) for maximum efficiency (effective peak capacity), (b) a ramp rate of 30 mM/ $t_0$  (41.49 mM/min) for fastest separation, (c) isocratic conditions of 31.5 mM and (d) isocratic conditions of 25 mM for approximation of most efficient gradient separation. Peak: 1 = chloride, 2 = chlorate, 3 = nitrate, 4 = chromate, 5 = sulphate, 6 = thiocyanate, 7 = perchlorate. (Reprinted from [52]. Copyright 2009 with permission from Elsevier.)