# MICROBIAL DYNAMICS DURING VARIOUS ACTIVITIES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS OF LAHORE, PAKISTAN

S. Sidra<sup>1,6\*</sup>, Z. Ali<sup>1</sup>, S. Sultan<sup>2</sup>, S. Ahmed<sup>3</sup>, I. Colbeck<sup>4</sup>, and Z. A. Nasir<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Health and Wildlife, Department of Zoology, University of the Punjab, 54590, Lahore, Pakistan
<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of the Punjab, 54590, Lahore, Pakistan
<sup>3</sup>Department of Botany, University of the Punjab, 54590, Lahore, Pakistan
<sup>4</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, Essex, CO4 3SQ, UK
<sup>5</sup>School of Energy, Environment and Agrifood, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL
<sup>6</sup>Department of Wildlife and Ecology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
\*Corresponding Author's Email: sidra.safdar@uvas.edu.pk

# ABSTRACT

Bioaerosols are ubiquitous in the atmosphere with their levels affected by a variety of environmental factors as well as type of activities being carried out at any specific time. The present study investigated how indoor activities influence bioaerosol concentrations in five residential houses of Lahore. Agar coated petri plates were exposed face upwards for twenty minutes in kitchens and living rooms during activity and non-activity periods. The temperature and relative humidity levels were noted as well. The bioaerosol concentrations in kitchens during the activity time ranged between 1022 to 4481 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and in living rooms from 1179 to 3183 cfu/m<sup>3</sup>. Lower values were observed during non-activity periods. A paired-t test revealed a significant difference in bacterial loads during activity and non-activity times in both micro-environments (p = 0.038 in kitchen and p = 0.021 in living room). The predominant species identified were *Micrococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., and *Bacillus* spp. which are a common constituent of the indoor environment and are known to be opportunistic pathogens as well.

KeywordsAir-borne bacteria, colony forming units, indoor environment

## **INTRODUCTION**

In urban centers people spend a considerable proportion of their day indoor environments such as offices or homes. Among the various contaminants present in the air, bioaerosols comprise a significant proportion (5-34%) (Laumbach and Kipen, 2005). Indoor sources of bioaerosols comprise of human beings, mold, furnishing material and cleaning activities. Bioaerosols (fungi, bacteria, pollens, allergens) along with nonbiological particles (smoke, dust particles generated from cleaning and cooking) may lead to serious health problems (Douweset al. 2003). For example bioaerosols have been linked with many hyper-sensitive and infectious diseases (Su et al. 2001; Hardin et al . 2003; Fabian et al . 2005; Kalogerakis et al . 2005; Nazaroff, 2014). Household activities and the presence of people have been reported to have significant impact on indoor air quality. Knowledge about the impact of different indoor activities on bioaerosols levels is of value to estimate the risk of exposure as well as in designing intervention strategies to improve air health.

Data is scant regarding indoor air quality in urban centers of Pakistan and fewer on micro-flora of residential micro-environments. The current study was carried out to demonstrate the impact of household activities on indoor microbial air quality in an urban residential built environment in a low income country.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was part of a larger project which investigated indoor air quality of thirty residencies of Lahore, Pakistan. Five houses among them were selected at random to observe the impact of indoor activities on levels of bioaerosols. In kitchens and living rooms bacterial samples were collected through Koch sedimentation (Stryjakowska-Sekulska et al. 2007). Petri plates containing Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) were exposed, face upwards, in each of the selected rooms for a time period of twenty minutes each. Temperature and relative humidity was also noted at the time of exposure. Two plates were exposed during the peak activity time in both rooms while two more plates were exposed when there was no activity. The major activity in both rooms included movement of people whilst cleaning. In the kitchens, stoves were also in use for cooking during the monitoring. In order to minimize the impact of activities upon pollutant levels during non-activity sampling, the plates were exposed an hour later after the last activity had been performed in the room. After exposure, the plates were taken to the laboratory and incubated at 27°C for 24 to 48 hours to allow growth of culturable bacteria. The plates were then examined under microscope and colonies counted. The species were identified by observing the morphological features of the colonies. Colony forming units were calculated by employing the

Proceedings of The National Conference and Training Workshop "Wildlife and Aerobiology" held on February 6-7, 2015 Lahore, Pakistan

Omelyansky formula as followed by Bogomolova and Kirtsideli (2009). A paired t-test was run to observe any significance differences between the bacterial concentrations.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The colony forming units in the kitchens varied from 1022 to 4481  $\text{cfu/m}^3$  during activity time. During still conditions, the levels ranged between 471to 1926  $\text{cfu/m}^3$  (Figure 1). Likewise, in the living rooms the concentration ranged between 1179 and 3183  $\text{cfu/m}^3$  during work. In the absence of any activity, these levels fell to vary between 117 and 1454  $\text{cfu/m}^3$  (Figure 2).



Fig. 1:Levels of bacteria (cfu/m<sup>3</sup>) in the kitchens during activity and non-activity periods



Fig. 2: Levels of bacteria (cfu/m<sup>3</sup>) in the living room during activity and non-activity periods

A significant difference was observed in bioaerosols concentrations between activity and non-activity periods in the kitchens (t (4) = 3.042, p = 0.038). Similarly in the living rooms a significant difference was observed in bacterial loads during activity and non-activity periods (t (4) = 3.666, p = 0.021).

The indoor biota in this study was identified to consist of *Micrococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., and *Bacillus* spp. along with some Gram Positive Cocci (GPC) and Gram Negative Rods (GNR). Although these species are a common constituent of the indoor air, they are also known to be opportunistic pathogens and their large quantities in the air can be a health risk (Kowalski, 2006).

Building designs and ventilation strategies play a significant role in airborne microbiological community dynamics in the built environment. All the selected sites in this study were naturally ventilated with air exchange rates varying between 2.6 to 8.4 ACH (Air exchange rate /hour). However no direct relation between ACH and bioaerosol levels could be quantified as passive sampling can only give a rough approximation of air-borne bacterial concentrations (Stryjakowska-Sekulska *et al*, 2007).

A previous study carried out by Colbeck et al. (2008) observed bioaerosols concentrations in residential settings of Pakistan to be much higher. They reported concentrations of up to 12300 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> at an urban site while the kitchen in rural site had approximately 506 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and living room contained 1111 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> within the 0.5 - 2 um size range. In a similar study, Nasir *et al.* (2012) measured bioaerosol concentrations in rural and urban areas of Pakistan using a six-stage Andersen impactor and found the air to be dominated by the respirable fraction (55-99%) which could easily penetrate deeper in the respiratory system. Their findings showed higher levels of bacteria in the two rural sites i.e. 14650 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and 11616 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> while the urban location had lower levels (9408  $cfu/m^3$ ). In our study, all the sites were located in urban areas and the levels of bioaerosols varied in both the kitchens and living rooms during the working and non-working conditions. The highest colony forming units were observed in site # 3 during activity time in both the kitchen and living room i.e. 4481cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and 3183cfu/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

Although the current study did not measure the size of bioaerosols, long term exposure to such high levels of bacteria can be harmful, particularly for children and the immune-compromised people. Moreover there are no studies to be found on the trends in bioaerosol levels during working and non-working hours. There is need to gather more knowledge on abundance and diversity of microbes in different built environments in order to inform the actual risk of exposure to bioaerosols in enclosed spaces.

## REFERENCES

Bogomolova, E. and I. Kirtsideli (2009). Airborne fungi in four stations of the St. Petersburg Underground railway system. International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation. 63: 156– 160.

Proceedings of The National Conference and Training Workshop "Wildlife and Aerobiology" held on February 6-7, 2015 Lahore, Pakistan

- Colbeck, I., Z.A. Nasir, S. Hasnain and S. Sultan. (2008). Indoor air quality at rural and urban sites in Pakistan. Water, Air, and Soil Pollut: Focus. 8:61–69.
- Douwes, J., P. Thorne, N. Pearce and D. Heederik (2003). Bioaerosol health effects and exposure assessment: Progress and prospects. Annals of Occupational Hygiene. 47: 187–200
- Fabian, M.P., S.L. Miller, T. Reponen and M.T. Hernandez (2005). Ambient Bioaerosol Indices for Indoor Air Quality Assessments of Flood Reclamation. J. Aerosol Sci. 36: 763–783.
- Hardin, B.D., B.J. Kelman and A. Saxon (2003). Adverse human health effects associated with molds in the indoor environment. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 45: 470–478.
- Kalogerakis, N., D. Paschali, V. Lekaditis, A. Pantidou, K. Eleftheriadis and M. Lazaridis (2005). Indoor Air Quality - Bioaerosol Measurements in Domestic and Office Premises. J. Aerosol Sci. 36: 751–761.
- Kowalski, W.J. (2006). Aerobiological Engineering Handbook: A Guide to Airborne Disease and Control Technologies. McGraw-Hills.

- Laumbach, R.J. and H.M. Kipen (2005).Bioaerosols and sick building syndrome: particles, inflammation, and allergy. CurrOpin Allergy ClinImmunol. 5(2): 135-139.
- Nasir Z.A., I. Colbeck, S. Sultan and S. Ahmed (2012). Bioaerosols in residential micro-environments in low-income countries: A case study from Pakistan. Environmental Pollution 168: 15-22.
- Nazaroff, W.W. (2014). Indoor bioaerosol dynamics. Keynote: Indoor air 2014. doi:10.1111/ina.12174
- Stryjakowska-Sekulska, M., A. Piotraszewska-Paj k, A. Szyszka, M. Nowicki and M. Filipiak (2007). Microbiological Quality of Indoor Air in University Rooms. Polish J. of Environ. Stud.16 (4): 623-632.
- Su, H.J., P.C. Wu, H.L. Chen, F.C. Lee and L.L. Lin (2001). Exposure Assessment of Indoor Allergens, Endotoxin, and Airborne Fungi for Homes in Southern Taiwan. Environ. Res. 85: 135–144.