

Loop Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assay for Rapid detection of Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus - GBS) in vaginal swabs - A Proof of Concept Study

McKenna, J., Cox, C., Fairley, D. J., Burke, R., Shields, M. D., Watt, A., & Coyle, P. V. (2017). Loop Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assay for Rapid detection of Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus - GBS) in vaginal swabs - A Proof of Concept Study. Journal of Medical Microbiology, 66(3), 294-300. DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.000437

Published in:

Journal of Medical Microbiology

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

Copyright 2017 the authors. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

1	Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assay for Rapid detection of
2	Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus - GBS) in vaginal swabs – A Proof of
3	Concept Study
4	
5	J.P McKenna ^{1*} , C. Cox ¹ , D.J. Fairley ¹ , R. Burke ^{1,2} , M.D. Shields ^{2,3} , A. Watt ¹ , P.V. Coyle ¹
6	
7	¹ Regional Virus Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Belfast Health and Social Care
8	Trust, Belfast, UK.
9	² Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queens University Belfast, UK.
10	³ Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, UK.
11	
12	* Corresponding author. Email: james.mckenna@belfasttrust.hscni.net. Tel. +44(0)2890
13	635303
14	
15	Abstract
16	Neonatal infection with Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B streptococcus - GBS) is a life
17	threatening condition which is preventable if colonised mothers are identified and given

antibiotic prophylaxis during labour. Conventional culture is time consuming and unreliable, and many available non-culture diagnostics are too complex to implement routinely at point of care. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is a method which enables the rapid and specific detection of target nucleic acid sequences in clinical material without any requirements for extensive sample preparation. A prototype LAMP assay targeting the GBS sip gene is described. The assay was 100% specific for GBS with a Limit of Detection of 14 genome copies per reaction. The clinical utility of the prototype LAMP assay for rapid direct molecular detection of GBS was determined by testing a total of 157 vaginal swabs with

26 minimal sample processing using a rapid lysis solution. Compared to a reference qPCR assay 27 the direct LAMP protocol had a sensitivity and specificity of 95.4% and 100% with a Positive Predictive Value (PPV) and Negative Predictive Value (NPV) of 100% and 98.3%. Positive 28 29 (LR+) and negative (LR-) likelihood ratios were infinity and 0.05 respectively. The direct LAMP method took a mean of 45 minutes from receipt of a swab to generation of confirmed 30 result compared to 2 hours 30 minutes for the reference qPCR test. The direct LAMP 31 protocol described is easy to perform facilitating rapid and accurate detection of GBS in 32 vaginal swabs. This test has potential for use at point of care. 33

34

35 Introduction

Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B streptococcus - GBS) is the leading cause of early onset 36 37 neonatal sepsis [1-5]. Asymptomatic GBS colonisation of the vagina and lower gastrointestinal tract occurs in 10-30% of pregnant women and is the most significant risk 38 factor for neonatal GBS disease as transmission can occur from the recto/vaginal area during 39 40 childbirth [4,6-9]. The implementation of culture based antepartum screening programmes for all pregnant women at 34-38 weeks and initiating intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis has 41 42 helped to reduce but not eliminate neonatal early onset disease (EOD) in many industrialised countries [4,10,11]. Despite technological advances the primary method for detection of GBS 43 colonisation remains selective laboratory based culture of vaginal and/or rectal swab 44 45 specimens followed by ancillary confirmation tests. This approach demonstrates variable sensitivity [12-14] and is associated with long turnaround times of 18-72 hours rendering 46 culture unfeasible as an intrapartum test. Thus, women who give birth prematurely are not 47 48 screened, and women who become colonised after screening are not identified [7,8]. A sensitive screening assay which could rapidly determine GBS status during labour would be 49

50 beneficial as it would facilitate targeted therapy whilst reducing the risks associated with51 antibiotic use in non-colonised women [15].

Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) assays can provide test results in a few
hours [15-20]. However, requirements for expensive equipment, intricate sample preparation,
skilled staff and/or support precludes the use of PCR at point of care (POC) [21-23].

Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is an isothermal molecular amplification 55 technique which offers simple qualitative detection combined with exceptional sensitivity and 56 specificity [24-26]. The single reaction temperature $(60 - 65^{\circ}C)$ employed by LAMP means 57 58 there are no requirements for thermocycling resulting in a need for less complex equipment making it amenable to use at POC [22,27]. Furthermore compared to PCR, LAMP is also 59 60 demonstrably more resistant to inhibitors found in clinical material indicating potential for 61 direct application to clinical specimens and/or crude sample preparations [21,28,29]. This is advantageous as one of the issues frequently hampering application of Nucleic acid 62 63 amplification tests (NAAT) at POC are requirements to perform dedicated multistep nucleic 64 acid extraction steps which add to test complexity, cost and turnaround time [21-23]. Whilst a number of LAMP assays for GBS detection have been developed previously, they are not 65 amenable for POC use having requirements for an 18-24 hr pre-enrichment culture step [20], 66 require multiple time consuming manipulations prone to contamination [30] or have 67 unproven clinical efficacy [31,32]. 68

Here we describe a newly designed GBS LAMP assay targeting *S. agalactiae sip* gene. As a proof of concept the LAMP assay was applied to residual vaginal swabs which had undergone minimal sample processing (Direct detection – no extraction protocol) with a rapid lysis solution containing the bacteriolytic enzyme achromopeptidase (ACH). ACH demonstrates high lytic activity against a range of gram positive cocci including GBS [23,33-35].

Clinical Specimens: The study was approved by the Office for Research Ethics committee 77 78 Northern Ireland (reference 09/NIR02/43). A total of 157 residual vaginal swabs from pregnant women submitted to Department of Microbiology, Belfast Health and Social Care 79 Trust (BHSCT) for bacterial investigations between March - July 2013 were 80 opportunistically collected and utilised for the clinical validation study. The sample set 81 consisted of 153 High Vaginal swabs (HVS) and 4 Low Vaginal Swabs (LVS). All vaginal 82 83 swabs were collected on plastic rayon tipped swabs (Sterilin Ltd., Newport, UK) and transported to laboratory in AMIES transport medium. Culture of all swab specimens was 84 carried out according to BHSCT Standard Operating Procedure by laboratory staff unaware 85 86 that the samples were to be subsequently included in a study. Briefly, each swab was plated onto Columbia Blood agar (CBA) and differential Granada[™] agar (bioMérieux, Basingstoke, 87 UK). CBA plates were incubated in 10% CO₂ atmosphere with Granada[™] plates incubated 88 anaerobically at 37°C. Plates were examined at 18-24 hours and again at 48 hours with 89 presumptive positive GBS colonies on Granada[™] agar identified by production of orange 90 pigment. Presumptive GBS colonies on CBA were identified on the basis of haemolysis. All 91 suspect colonies were appropriately identified by a combination of standard tests including 92 Gram stain, catalase activity and latex agglutination using SLIDEX® Strepto Plus kit 93 (bioMérieux, Basingstoke, UK) to identify the presence of Group B antigen. Following 94 routine bacteriological culture all residual swabs were stored at $+4^{0}$ C for a maximum of one 95 week until required for processing and molecular analysis. 96

97

Rapid Sample preparation method for direct LAMP: Lysis solution consisted of 10 U/L of
achromopeptidase (ACH) (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) in 10 mmol/L Tris-HCL pH8.0, 1

100 mmol/L EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK). Residual swab specimens were removed from AMIES transport medium tubes with ~2 cm end physically broken off into 400 µl pre 101 aliquoted lysis solution [ACH] by firmly pressing the swab against the side of a microfuge 102 103 tube (Sarstedt, Leicester, UK). Tubes were capped and swabs incubated at ambient 'room temperature' (22 - 24^oC) for 5 minutes before boiling at 95^oC for 5 minutes in a dedicated 104 105 heat block to simultaneously deactivate ACH and denature any target DNA for LAMP analysis. Samples were immediately cooled by placing directly on ice. A 5µl aliquot of lysis 106 supernatant was used for GBS direct LAMP testing as outlined below. Remaining lysis 107 supernatant was stored at 4⁰C. 108

109

Nucleic acid (NA) extraction for qPCR and indirect LAMP: GBS reference and clinical 110 111 isolates were pre-treated with ACH before undergoing total nucleic acid extraction using QIAamp blood DNA mini extraction kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). A 200 µl saline suspension 112 of each GBS isolate was prepared and added to an equal volume of ACH 20 U/L for a final 113 working concentration of ACH of 10 U/L. The suspension was incubated at room 114 temperature for 5 minutes before being boiled at 95°C/5 minutes. 200 µl aliquot of each 115 lysate was then added to AL lysis buffer (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) and processed as per 116 manufacturer's instructions to produce 100 µl of genomic extract. 117

Bacterial and fungal genomic DNA for specificity evaluation was obtained using QIAamp
blood DNA mini extraction kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). 200 µl saline suspensions of each
target organism were added to AL lysis buffer and processed as per manufacturer's
instructions to produce 100 µl of genomic extract.

Vaginal swab lysates were extracted as follows; A 200 µl aliquot of ACH lysis supernatant
(produced as indicated in previous section) was removed from each clinical swab specimen
and extracted using MagNA Pure 96 viral NA small volume kit and MagNA Pure instrument

125 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) as per manufacturer's instructions. Resultant 100 126 μ l genomic extract aliquots were stored at -80^oC until required for qPCR and LAMP 127 (indirect) analysis.

128

LAMP primers and reaction: LAMP primers targeting a highly conserved region of S. 129 agalactiae sip gene (HiberGene Diagnostics, Ireland) were employed throughout. The LAMP 130 primer set consisted of forward (sip_FIP) and reverse (sip_BIP) 'inner' primers, forward 131 (sip F3) and reverse (sip B3) 'outer' primers and forward (sip FL) and reverse (sip BL) 132 'loop' primers. Optimised GBS LAMP consisted of 5 µl of template DNA, 12.5 µl of LAMP 133 isothermal Master Mix ISO 001 (OptiGene Ltd., Horsham, UK) containing novel strand 134 displacing DNA polymerase (GspSSD), propriety thermostable inorganic pyrophosphatase, 135 136 reaction buffer, MgCl, dNTP's, DNA intercalating dye, 2 µM each of FIP and BIP, 0.2 µM each of F3 and B3, 1 µM each of FL and BL and Nuclease Free Water (Promega, 137 Southampton, UK) for a final reaction volume of 25 µl. The GENIE® II (OptiGene Ltd, 138 139 Horsham, UK) real time fluorometer was utilised for concurrent target isothermal amplification and detection. LAMP reactions were performed at a previously identified 140 optimal reaction temperature of 62°C for 30 minutes followed by a heating and cooling step 141 to 98-80 °C (0.05 °C/s) which allows re-annealing of amplified DNA and display of a 142 specific annealing curve. Positive and negative controls were included for each run and 143 144 stringent precautions undertaken to prevent cross contamination. Positive LAMP reactions were identified by GENIE® II (OptiGene Ltd, Horsham, UK) instrument software. In the 145 results section each positive reaction had a Time to positivity (T_T) value in minutes and 146 seconds and a specific melting point temperature (Tm) in degrees centigrade (⁰C). 147

Real Time PCR (qPCR): qPCR was employed as the reference molecular detection method. 149 Primer and hybridisation probe sequences targeting *S. agalactiae sip* gene were as previously 150 described [17]. Primer sequences follows: Forward 5'-151 as primer ATCCTGAGACAACACTGACA-3', primer 5'-152 and reverse TTGCTGGTGTTTTCTATTTTCA-3'. The hybridisation 5'-153 probe ATCAGAAGAGTCATACTGCCACTTC3' contained a FAM label on 5' end with a Black 154 Hole Quencher 1 (BHQ1) on 3' end. Primers and hybridisation probe were synthesised by 155 Eurogentec (Eurogentec, Liège Belgium). The final qPCR reaction mix contained 1X 156 157 Platinum® UDG Mastermix (Life Sciences, Paisley, UK), 0.2 µM Bovine serum albumin (Sigma, Dorset, UK), total of 4 mmol/L MgCl₂, 0.4 µM forward and reverse primers, 0.2 µM 158 hybridisation probe, Nuclease Free Water (Promega, Southampton, UK) and 3 µl of target 159 160 template for a final reaction volume of 12 µl. qPCR was performed using a Light Cycler 480 (LC480) instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). A No Template Control 161 (NTC) consisting of Nuclease Free Water (Promega, Southampton, UK) and a plasmid 162 163 reference standard containing 3.50E06 *sip* genome copies per ml were included for all qPCR runs. The qPCR run parameters consisted of an initial step of 95°C for 10 minutes followed 164 by an amplification program for 45 cycles of 10 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, 1 165 second at 72°C with fluorescence acquisition at the end of each extension. Data was analysed 166 using LC480 software and GBS genome copy number for positive specimens determined 167 168 from crossing point threshold (Cp) relative to an external positive control curve.

169

Specificity & sensitivity: Specificity of GBS LAMP was evaluated using total genomic
extracts (containing approximately 10E07 genome copies) derived from a range of bacteria
(n=55) and fungi (n=1). These included a number of GBS reference, clinical and veterinary
isolates (n=17). Reaction mix without DNA template was included as a negative control.

174 The following organisms were tested; Streptococcus agalactiae (NCTC 11360, NCTC 8187, NCTC 11078, NCTC 11079, NCTC 11080, NCTC 11930, NCTC 9829, ATCC 12386, ID: 175 603532, ID: 603535, ID: 605872, RVH2419/12, RVH2543/12, RVH60/13, RVH524/13, 176 VSD04988, VSD04946), Streptococcus pneumoniae (ATCC 49619, RVH59/07), 177 (RVH74/07), *Streptococcus* parasanquis Streptococcus intermedius (RVH305/07), 178 **Streptococcus** (ATCC 19615), *Streptococcus* salivarius (RVH557/13), 179 pyogenes 180 **Streptococcus** vestibularis (RVH527/13), Streptococcus *mitis/oralis* (RVH508/13), *Streptococcus* dysglactiae (RVH497/13), *Streptococcus* 181 anginosus (RVH452/13), 182 Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923), MRSA (NCTC 10442), Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 12228), Staphylococcus lugdunensis (RVH isolate), Staphylococcus capitis (RVH 183 isolate), Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 29212, RVH009/07), Micrococcus luteus 184 185 (RVH290/07), Brevibacillus brevi (RVH381/13), Bacillus cereus (RVH299/13), Neisseria meningitidis (NCTC 10791 serogroup Y, RVH531/07 serogroup B), Neisseria sicca 186 (RVH920/06), Klebsiella pneumoniae (RVH45/07), Klebsiella oxytoca (RVH135/07), 187 Enterobacter aerogenes (NCTC 10006, RVH001/07), Enterobacter cloacae (NCTC 9394), 188 Serratia marcescens (RVH287/07), Acinetobacter baumanii (RVH36/07), Acinetobacter 189 lwoffii (RVH98/07), Escherichia coli (NCTC 9001, ATCC 25922), Citrobacter freundii 190 (NCTC 9750), Haemophilius influenzae (NCTC 4560), Sphingobacterium spiritivorum 191 (ATCC 33861), Stenotrophomonas maltophilia (ATCC 17666), Pseudomonas aeruginosa 192 193 (ATCC 27853), Gardnerella vaginalis (ATCC 49145), Candida albicans (ATCC 10028). LAMP analytical sensitivity (limit of detection - LOD) was determined using tenfold serial 194

dilutions of *S. agalactiae* ATCC 12386 nucleic acid extracts analysed in duplicate containing
2.8E07 to 2.8E02 genome copies per ml respectively. A No Template Control (NTC)
consisting of Nuclease Free Water (Promega, Southampton, UK) was included with all
LAMP runs.

199	Statistical analysis: For purposes of validation the reference molecular method was defined
200	as achromopeptidase (ACH) pre-treatment of a clinical swab specimen followed by Nucleic
201	Acid (NA) extraction and qPCR testing. A specimen was considered a true positive if: (i)
202	GBS was obtained following culture; or (ii) Specimen was identified as GBS qPCR positive.
203	All qPCR and LAMP results were compared with routine culture results. Sensitivity,
204	specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive values (NPV), positive (LR+)
205	and negative (LR-) likelihood ratios for each test method were calculated. The level of
206	agreement between LAMP and qPCR assays was assessed using Kappa (κ) coefficient with
207	95% confidence levels. κ was calculated using the formula $Pr(o) - Pr(e)/1 - Pr(e)$, where
208	Pr(o) is the observed agreement and $Pr(e)$ is the hypothetical probability of chance
209	agreement.
210	
211	
212	
213	
214	
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	
220	
221	
222	
223	

224 **Results**

225

226 Analytical reactivity, specificity and sensitivity: The LAMP assay successfully amplified 227 DNA from all GBS reference, clinical and veterinary strains analysed with Time to positivity 228 (T_T) values of less than 20 minutes and specific melt point temperature values (Tm) ranging 229 from 85.5 – 86.21°C obtained. No amplification was recorded for any other bacterial or 230 fungal species tested. This confirmed 100% specificity of the GBS LAMP assay.

231 Employing tenfold serial dilutions of a known concentration (2.8E07 to 2.8E02 copies per 232 ml) of GBS ATCC 12386 nucleic acid extracts in duplicate the LAMP assay consistently detected 2.80E03 *sip* genome copies per ml corresponding to an analytical Limit of Detection 233 234 (LOD) of 14 genome copies per reaction (for 5µl input volume and final reaction volume of 235 25µl). The mean GBS LAMP T_T and Tm for 2.80E03 sip genome copies per ml were determined as 11 minutes and 85.98°C respectively. The LAMP LOD was slightly higher 236 than that achieved for qPCR which in our laboratory had a previously determined LOD of 237 238 1.00E03 genome copies per ml equating to 2 genome copies per reaction.

239

240 Clinical Validation: Routine bacteriological culture was compared to the reference qPCR molecular method, direct LAMP and indirect LAMP methods. Summary of findings are 241 outlined in Tables 1 and 2. A total of 36/157 (22.9%) specimens analysed were confirmed as 242 243 GBS culture positive. All 36 culture positive GBS specimens were correctly identified as positive by qPCR and by the direct and indirect LAMP methods. An additional 7 specimens 244 were identified as GBS positive by qPCR giving a total of 43/157 (27.4%) 'true' positive 245 specimens. Comparison of difference between qPCR and culture results was statistically 246 significant (p=0.0005). The loads of GBS determined by qPCR (genome copies per ml) for 247 positive clinical specimens were as follows: Mean = 1.23E+08; Max= 2.50E+09; Min= 248

1.90E+03; Median= 2.40E+06. Compared to culture qPCR had a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 94.2% with a PPV and NPV of 83.7% and 100%. Positive (LR+) and negative (LR-) likelihood ratios were infinity and 0.16 respectively. The mean genome copies per ml of the seven identified specimens missed by culture but positive by qPCR was 1.09E06 (Range 1.90E03 – 7.60E06; Median 5.50E03) compared to 1.47E08 (Range 4.60E03 -2.50E09; Median 3.65E06) for specimens identified as both culture and qPCR positive. This difference in mean genome copies per ml was statistically significant (p = <0.0001).

The direct LAMP method took a mean of 45 minutes from receipt of a swab to generation of confirmed result compared to 1 hour 45 minutes for indirect LAMP (extraction protocol) and hours 30 minutes for reference qPCR test.

259 There was a very high level of agreement between LAMP (Indirect and direct) methods and 260 reference qPCR assay. Compared to qPCR agreement ranged from 100% for indirect LAMP (Kappa = 1.0; Strength of agreement = perfect) to a level of agreement of 98.7% for direct 261 LAMP (Kappa = 0.968; Strength of agreement = very good). Indirect LAMP method 262 263 identified 43/157 (27.4%) specimen extracts as GBS positive with the direct LAMP method identifying a total of 41/157 (26.1%) of crude specimen ACH lysates as GBS positive. 264 Compared to qPCR the indirect LAMP method had a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 265 a PPV and NPV of 100%. Positive (LR+) and negative (LR-) likelihood ratios were infinity 266 and 0.00 respectively. Compared to qPCR the direct LAMP had a sensitivity and specificity 267 268 of 95.4% and 100% with a PPV and NPV of 100% and 98.3%. Positive (LR+) and negative (LR-) likelihood ratios were infinity and 0.05 respectively. Two specimens (405 & 453 see 269 Table 2) were identified as false negative after analysis by direct LAMP method. Following 270 nucleic acid extraction and analysis by qPCR these specimens tested GBS positive with 271 genome copy loads of 1.90E+03 per ml (9.5 copies per 5µl addition) and 1.91+E03 per ml 272 (9.6 copies per 5µl addition) respectively. Additionally following nucleic acid extraction both 273

of the specimens tested LAMP positive returning T_T values of 12.15 and 29.00 minutes respectively. Overall the mean time to achieve positivity (T_T) for direct LAMP method was higher compared to indirect LAMP method; 14.83 minutes (range 8.15 – 26.00 minutes) compared to 9.60 minutes (range 5.00 – 29.00 minutes). Testing performance comparison is displayed in Table 1 with all specimens identified as positive by either culture, qPCR and/or LAMP is presented in Table 2.

280

281

282 Discussion

Screening for maternal GBS colonisation at 35-37 weeks via selective culture is a time 283 consuming and inadequate method which fails to identify all cases of GBS [12,13,20]. There 284 285 is a recognised clinical need for rapid, highly sensitive and specific methods to detect GBS which can be used at POC before or during labour to identify women for prophylactic 286 antibiotic treatment. Currently qPCR offers the required specification to achieve these goals 287 288 however technical obstacles including requirements for stringent sample preparation, complex instrumentation and skilled personnel have restricted their introduction at POC [21-289 23]. The robust chemistry, ease of operation, rapidity, low cost, and modest equipment 290 requirements associated with LAMP represent significant advantages over existing molecular 291 292 methods for detection of pathogens [22,27,29,36].

The primary objective of this proof of concept study was to validate the effectiveness of a prototype LAMP assay (HiberGene diagnostics, Ireland) in combination with a simple rapid specimen lysis protocol (without extraction) for direct detection of GBS in residual vaginal swabs. Pre-lysis with ACH at a concentration of 10 U/L for 5 minutes at room temperature has been previously shown in our laboratory to be a highly effective method to significantly increase the available yield of GBS nucleic acid for molecular analysis [35]. Eliminating the 299 requirement for nucleic acid extraction not only simplified the test procedure but also resulted in significant time savings with direct LAMP taking 45 minutes from receipt of swab to 300 definitive GBS result compared to 60 minutes and >2 hrs for indirect LAMP and qPCR 301 302 respectively. The GBS LAMP assay had an analytical LOD of 2.80E03 genome copies per ml which compared favourably with the determined reference qPCR analytical LOD of 1.00E03 303 genome copies per ml. Crucially when applied to clinical specimens, qPCR and both direct 304 and indirect LAMP methods resulted in identification of additional GBS positives (n=7) 305 missed by culture. These findings were not unexpected and are consistent with previous 306 307 studies demonstrating an increase in GBS positivity rate when Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT's) are directly compared to culture [13,16,18,19,20]. Higher detection rates of 308 309 NAAT's have been claimed to be due to the inability of culture to detect low numbers of 310 GBS bacteria but may also be attributed to the presence of antagonistic flora and/or the detection of non-culturable cells [19,37]. 311

Compared to the reference qPCR method the direct LAMP had a sensitivity of 95.4% and 312 specificity of 100%. High specificity is an intrinsic feature of LAMP and reflects the 313 presence of six specific LAMP primers recognising eight target regions on sip gene. The 314 direct LAMP assay returned higher mean T_T values for identified positive specimens 315 compared to the indirect LAMP assay applied to the same samples which had undergone 316 nucleic acid extraction (14.69 minutes versus 9.61 minutes respectively - difference of 5.08 317 318 minutes). Additionally the direct LAMP assay failed to detect GBS in two specimens subsequently shown to contain low genomic loads by qPCR. These findings indicate that the 319 presence of component(s) in crude lysate matrices can impede LAMP and in conjunction 320 321 with low initial GBS loads may have contributed to the two false negative results. Solution(s) to combat this could include prior centrifugation and/or a dilution step(s) although this would 322 complicate the assay protocol and make application less attractive at POC. At present the 323

clinical significance of specimens containing a low GBS burden remains to be determined [16]. The excellent performance of qPCR and LAMP in this study must also be taken in context as 'second hand' residual vaginal swabs post bacteriological culture as described would certainly benefit from further field evaluation employing dedicated recto/vaginal swabs in order to fully establish diagnostic and analytical characteristics. Efforts are currently underway to address this.

Whilst this study utilised a GENIE® II fluorometer (cost £5000) to conduct isothermal amplification and specific detection of GBS in real time it would be entirely feasible to perform GBS LAMP testing using a simple heating block in conjunction with simple visual endpoint interpretation. This represents a commonly applied and valid approach for LAMP testing particularly in resource limited settings [27,38,39].

In conclusion the data presented demonstrates the efficacy of employing LAMP on minimally processed vaginal swabs for rapid direct molecular detection of GBS. The simplicity of the direct LAMP protocol combined with described sensitivity and specificity are desirable characteristics amenable to POC use in resource limited settings.

339

340 Acknowledgments

This project was funded by Northern Ireland Public Health Agency. RB was funded by an
Academic Research Fellowship (Queen's University Belfast). JPMcK, PVC, and DJF hold
share options in HiberGene Diagnostics Ltd.

344

- 345
- 346
- 347
- 348

349 **<u>References</u>**

350

1. Blancas D, Santin M, Olmo M, Alcaide F, Carratala J *et al.* Group B streptococcal
disease in nonpregnant adults: incidence, clinical characteristics, and outcome. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004;23:168–73.

354

2. Heath PT, Balfour G, Weisner AM, Efstratiou A, Lamagni TL *et al.* Group B
streptococcal disease in UK and Irish infants younger than 90 days. *Lancet* 2004;363:292294.

358

359 3. Levent F, Baker CJ, Rench MA, Edwards MS. Early Outcomes of Group B
360 Streptococcal Meningitis in the 21st Century. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2010;29:1009–1012.
361

4. Verani, J.R., McGee, L. & Schrag, S.J. (2010). Prevention of Perinatal Group B
Streptococcal Disease: Revised Guidelines from CDC, 2010. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2010;59
(RR-10), 1-36.

365

5. Edmond KM, Kortsalioudaki C, Scott S, Schrag SJ, Zaidi AK *et al.* Group B streptococcal disease in infants aged younger than 3 months: systematic review and metaanalysis. *Lancet* 2012;379:547-556.

369

6. Regan JA, Klebanoff MA, Nugent, R.P., Eschenbach, D.A., Blackwelder, W.C., Lou,
Y., Gibbs, R.S., Rettig, P.J., Martin, D.H. & Edelman, R. (1996). Colonization with group
B streptococci in pregnancy and adverse outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996;174, 13541360.

375	Group B Streptococcus Carriage in Pregnancy. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 1997;5:237-243.
376	
377	8. Hansen SA, Uldbjerg N, Kilian M, Sørensen UBS. Dynamics of Streptococcus
378	agalactiae Colonization in Women during and after Pregnancy and in Their Infants. J Clin
379	Microbiol 2004;42:83-89.
380	
381	9. Colbourn T, Gilbert R. An overview of the natural history of early onset group B
382	Streptococcal disease in the UK. Early Hum Dev 2007;83:149-56.
383	
384	10. Gilbert R. Prenatal screening for group B streptococcal infection: gaps in the evidence.
385	Int J Epidemiol 2004;33:2–8.
386	
387	11. Lin FYC, Weisman LE, Azimi P, Young AE, Chang K et al. Assessment of
388	Intrapartum Antibiotic Prophylaxis for the Prevention of Early-onset Group B Streptococcal
389	Disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2011; 30 , 759-763.
390	
391	12. Yancey, M.K., Schuchat, A., Brown, L.K., Ventura, V.L. & Markenson, G.R. (1996).
392	The accuracy of late antenatal screening cultures in predicting genital group B streptococcal
393	colonization at delivery. Obstet Gynecol 1996;88, 811-815.
394	
395	13. Davies HD, Miller MA, Faro S, Gregson D, Kehl SC et al. Multicenter study of a rapid
396	molecular-based assay for the diagnosis of group B streptococcus colonization in pregnant
397	women. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39:1129-1135.
	16

7. Goodman JR, Berg RL, Gribble RK, Meier PR. Fee RC et al. Longitudinal Study of

398	14. Miller SA, Deak E, Humphries R. Comparison of the AmpliVue®, BD MAX TM
399	System, and illumigene® Molecular Assays for the Detection of Group B Streptococcus in
400	Antenatal Screening Specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2015;53:1938-1941.

402 15. Poncelet-Jasserand E, Forges F, Varlet MN, Chauleur C, Seffert P *et al.* Reduction of
403 the use of antimicrobial drugs following the rapid detection of *Streptococcus agalactiae* in
404 the vagina at delivery by real-time PCR assay. *BJOG* 2013;120:1098-1109.

405

406 16. Gavino M, Wang E. A comparison of a new rapid real-time polymerase chain reaction
407 system to traditional culture in determining group B streptococcus colonization. *Am J Obstet*408 *Gynecol* 2007;97:388.e1-388.e4.

409

410 17. Bergseng H, Bevanger L, Rygg M, Bergh K. Real-time PCR targeting the sip gene for
411 detection of group B streptococcus colonization in pregnant women at delivery. *J Med*412 *Microbiol* 2007;56:223-228.

413

414 18. de Zoysa A, Edwards K, Gharbia S, Underwood A, Charlett A *et al.* Non-culture
415 detection of *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Lancefield group B *Streptococcus*) in clinical samples
416 by real-time PCR. *J Med Microbiol* 2012;61:1086-1090.

417

19. Park JS, Cho DH, Yang JH, Kim MY, Shin SM *et al.* Usefulness of a Rapid Real-time
PCR Assay in Prenatal Screening for Group B Streptococcus Colonization. *Ann Lab Med*2013;33:39-44.

421	20. Couturier BA, Weight T, Elmer H, Schlaberg R. Antepartum Screening for Group B
422	Streptococcus by Three FDA Cleared Molecular Tests and Effect of Shortened Enrichment
423	Culture on Molecular Detection Rates. J Clin Micrbiol 2014;52:3429-3432.
424	
425	21. Francois P, Bento M, Hibbs J, Bonetti EJ, Boehme CC et al. Robustness of Loop-
426	Mediated Isothermal Amplification Reaction for Diagnostic Applications. FEMS Immunol
427	<i>Med Microbiol</i> 2011;62:41-48.
428	
429	22. Niemz A, Ferguson TM, Boyle DS. Point-of-care nucleic acid testing for infectious
430	diseases. Trends Biotechnol 2011;29:240-250.
431	
432	23. Heiniger EK, Buser JR, Mireles L, Zhang X, Ladd PD et al. Comparison of point-of-
433	care-compatible lysis methods for bacteria and viruses. J Microbiol Methods 2016;128:80-87.
434	
435	24. Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, Yonekawa T, Watanabe K et al. Loop
436	mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2000;28:e63.
437	
438	25. Nagamine K, Hase T, Notomi T. Accelerated reaction by loop mediated isothermal
439	amplification using loop primers. Mol Cell Probes 2002;16: 223-229.
440	
441	26. Tomita, N., Mori, Y., Kanda, H. & Notomi, T. (2008). Loop mediated isothermal
442	amplification (LAMP) of gene sequences and simple visual detection of products. Nat
443	Protocols 2008; 3 , 877-882.

27. Bourke TW, McKenna JP, Coyle PV, Shields MD, Fairley DJ. Diagnostic accuracy of
loop-mediated isothermal amplification as a near-patient test for meningococcal disease in
children: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015;15:552-558.

447

28. Kaneko H, Kawana T, Fukushima E, Suzutani T. Tolerance of loop-mediated
isothermal amplification to a culture medium and biological substances. *J Biochem Biophys Methods* 2007;70:499-501.

451

452 29. Kim DW, Kilgore PE, Kim EJ, Kim SA, Anh DD *et al.* The Enhanced Pneumococcal
453 LAMP Assay: A Clinical Tool for the Diagnosis of Meningitis Due to *Streptococcus*454 *pneumoniae*. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7(8): e42954.

455

30. Huy NT, Hang TT, Boamah D, Lan NT, Van Thanh P *et al.* Development of a singletube loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for detection of four pathogens of
bacterial meningitis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2012;337:25-30.

459

31. Kimura K, Yanagisawa H, Wachino J, Shibayama K, Arakawa Y. Rapid and Reliable
Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Method for Detecting *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2013;66:546-548.

463

32. Suebsing, R., Kampeera, J., Tookdee, B., Withyachumnarnkul, B., Turner, W. &
Kiatpathomchai, W. (2013). Evaluation of colorimetric loop-mediated isothermal
amplification assay for visual detection of *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus iniae*in tilapia. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2013;57, 317-324.

468	33. Slifkin, M. & Cumbie, R. (1987). Serogrouping Single Colonies of Beta-Hemolytic
469	Streptococci with Achromopeptidase Extraction. J Clin Microbiol 1987;25, 1555-1556.
470	

471 34. Leonard RB, Carroll KC. Rapid lysis of Gram positive cocci for Pulse field Gel
472 Electrophoresis Using Achromopeptidase. *Diagn Mol Pathol* 1997;6:288-291.

473

35. Burke R, M^cKenna JP, Cox C, Coyle PV, Shields MD *et al.* A comparison of different
pre-lysis methods and extraction kits for recovery of *Streptococcus agalacticae* (Lancefield
group B Streptococcus) DNA from whole blood. *J Microbiol Methods* 2016;129:103-108.

477

36. Mahony J, Chong S, Bulir D, Ruyter A, Mwawasi K *et al.* Multiplex loop-mediated
isothermal amplification (M-LAMP) assay for the detection of influenza A/H1, A/H3 and
influenza B can provide a specimen-to-result diagnosis in 40 min with single genome copy
sensitivity. *J Clin Virol* 2013;58:127-131.

482

37. Dunne WM Jr, Holland-Staley CA. Comparison of NNA agar culture and selective
broth culture for detection of group B streptococcal colonisation in women. *J Clin Microbiol*1998;36: 2298-2300.

486

38. McKenna JP, Fairley DJ, Shields MD, Cosby L, Wyatt DE *et al.* Development and
Clinical Validation of a Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method for the
rapid detection of *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011;69:137-144.

- **39. Hayashida K, Kajino K, Hachaambwa L, Namangala B, Sugimoto C.** Direct Blood
- 492 Dry LAMP: A Rapid, Stable, and Easy Diagnostic Tool for Human African Trypanosomiasis.
- *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2015;9:e0003578. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003578

Table 1 LAMP (Indirect & direct) and culture testing performance for clinical swabs (n=157)

496 compared to reference GBS qPCR method. For LAMP the mean, maximum, minimum and

497 median time to achieve LAMP positivity in minutes: seconds (T_T) and specific melt

498 temperatures (Tm) in degrees centigrade are shown.

GBS qPCR	LAMP (INDIRECT)		LAMP (DIRECT)		Culture	
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
Positive	43	0	41	2	36	7
Negative	0	114	0	114	0	114
Sensitivity	100%		95.4%		100%	
Specificity 100%		100%		94.2%		
PPV	100%		100%		83.7%	
NPV	NPV 100%		98.3%		100%	
(LR+) Infinity		Infinity		Infinity		
(LR-) 0.00		0.05		0.16		
Mean T _T (Tm)	a) 09.61 mins (86.66° C)		14.69 mins (86.68 ⁰ C)		NA	
Max T _T (Tm)	29.00 mins (87.60 ⁰ C)		26.00 mins (87.60 ^o C)		NA	
Min T _T (Tm)	Min T_T (Tm) 05.00 mins (85.86 ⁰ C)		08.15 mins (85.75 ^o C)		NA	
Median T_{T} (Tm) 08.30 mins (86.39 ^o C)		14.00 mins (86.40 ^o C)		NA		

499 NA; Not applicable

500

501 **Table 2** Individual vaginal swab specimens identified either as GBS positive by culture, 502 qPCR, indirect and/or direct LAMP methods. For qPCR genome copies per ml detected are 503 shown. For LAMP the time to achieve positivity in minutes T_T and specific melt temperatures

504 (Tm) in degrees centigrade are shown

Specimen	Culture	qPCR	Indirect LAMP	Direct LAMP
Code	Result	Result	Result T_T mins	Result T_T mins
		copies/ml	(Tm)	(Tm)
334	+	+ 2.4E06	+ 08.20 (87.20 ^o C)	+ 17.50 (87.60°C)
337	+	+ 5.6E03	$+ 11.50 (87.60^{\circ}C)$	+ 22.20 (87.60°C)

	1	1		<u>^</u>
354	+	+ 1.1E09	$+05.30(87.30^{\circ}C)$	+ 09.51 (87.40 ^o C)
355	-	+ 4.2E03	+10.30 (87.11°C)	$+21.00(87.10^{\circ}C)$
370	+	+ 9.0E04	$+09.30(87.60^{\circ}C)$	+ 19.00 (87.25 ^o C)
372	-	+ 6.3E03	+ 15.15 (87.41°C)	$+16.00(86.00^{\circ}C)$
373	+	+ 2.2E06	$+08.00(87.10^{\circ}C)$	$+ 14.50 (87.10^{\circ}C)$
374	+	+ 2.5E09	+ 05.00 (87.30°C)	+ 09.30 (87.41°C)
376	+	+ 3.6E04	+ 09.30 (87.43°C)	+ 20.15 (87.60 ^o C)
380	+	+ 2.8E04	+ 12.00 (87.09°C)	+ 24.15 (87.20 ^o C)
382	+	+ 4.6E03	+ 17.15 (87.55°C)	+ 21.00 (87.40°C)
392	-	+ 5.5E03	+ 12.00 (87.40°C)	$+ 14.50 (86.61^{\circ}C)$
395	+	+ 2.4E04	+ 11.45 (87.35°C)	+ 20.30 (87.55°C)
403	+	+ 1.1E04	+ 09.45 (86.04°C)	+ 22.45 (87.38°C)
405	-	+ 1.9E03	+ 12.15 (86.12 ^o C)	-
408	+	+ 1.7E05	+ 09.00 (86.09°C)	+ 26.00 (87.44°C)
425	+	+ 5.6E07	+ 07.00 (85.86°C)	+ 08.45 (85.75°C)
426	-	+ 3.7E04	+ 12.15 (86.14 ^o C)	+ 15.45 (86.12°C)
427	+	+ 2.8E06	+ 08.30 (86.11°C)	+ 11.30 (85.92°C)
446	+	+ 1.5E08	+ 08.00 (87.00°C)	+ 17.45 (87.11°C)
448	-	+ 7.6E06	+ 09.45 (86.91°C)	$+20.00(87.02^{\circ}C)$
451	+	+ 1.3E08	+ 07.15 (87.00°C)	+ 15.45 (87.21°C)
453	-	+ 1.9E03	+ 29.00 (87.14 ^o C)	-
685	+	+ 2.2E08	+ 07.00 (86.30°C)	+ 12.30 (86.50 ^o C)
687	+	+ 1.0E08	+ 07.20 (86.30°C)	$+ 11.00 (86.51^{\circ}C)$
695	+	+ 9.0E04	+ 11.15 (86.49 ^o C)	+ 17.45 (86.39 ^o C)
711	+	+ 1.1E08	+06.50 (86.20°C)	+ 10.30 (86.38°C)
729	+	+ 5.6E07	+ 07.21 (86.20 ^o C)	+ 13.15 (86.43°C)
732	+	+ 2.6E04	+ 11.50 (86.50°C)	+ 18.15 (86.40 ^o C)
736	+	+ 9.4E05	+ 08.00 (86.30°C)	+ 11.30 (86.30 ^o C)
755	+	+ 5.2E07	+ 07.00 (86.28°C)	+ 10.45 (86.40 ^o C)
782	+	+ 2.4E06	+ 08.45 (86.49°C)	+ 11.10 (86.30 ^o C)
789	+	+ 1.6E04	+ 17.00 (86.39°C)	+ 19.00 (86.20 ^o C)
793	+	+ 2.3E07	+ 08.00 (86.49°C)	+ 11.00 (86.40°C)
825	+	+ 4.5E06	+ 08.15 (86.29°C)	+ 10.45 (86.26°C)
826	+	+ 1.1E07	$+08.30(86.29^{\circ}C)$	+ 09.45 (86.30°C)
831	+	+ 9.3E07	+ 06.45 (86.39°C)	+ 09.00 (86.40°C)
	•	•	•	•

843	+	+ 4.2E08	$+06.15(86.29^{\circ}C)$	+ 08.15 (86.36 ^o C)
864	+	+ 2.0E08	+06.30 (86.29°C)	+ 08.15 (86.33°C)
870	+	+ 7.0E05	+09.30 (86.39°C)	+ 14.00 (85.80°C)
872	+	+ 8.5E06	+08.00 (86.00°C)	+ 10.15 (85.80°C)
876	+	+ 5.2E07	+ 07.15 (86.29°C)	+ 11.00 (86.42°C)
891	+	+ 1.8E06	+08.30 (86.29°C)	+ 11.00 (86.39 ^o C)
Totals	36	43	43	41