



**QUEEN'S  
UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST**

## Highly diverse urban soil communities: does stochasticity play a major role?

Caruso, T., Migliorini, M., Rota, E., & Bargagli, R. (2017). Highly diverse urban soil communities: does stochasticity play a major role? DOI: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.10.012

**Published in:**  
Applied Soil Ecology

**Document Version:**  
Peer reviewed version

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**  
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

### **Publisher rights**

© 2016 Elsevier B. V. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>, which permits distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

1 **Highly diverse urban soil communities: does stochasticity play a major role?**

2

3 Tancredi Caruso<sup>a\*</sup>, Massimo Migliorini<sup>b</sup>, Emilia Rota<sup>c</sup>, Roberto Bargagli<sup>c</sup>

4

5 <sup>a</sup> *School of Biological Sciences and Institute for Global Food Security, Queen's University of*  
6 *Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, BT9 7BL*

7

8 <sup>b</sup> *Department of Life Sciences, University of Siena, Via Aldo Moro2, I-53100 Siena, Italy*

9

10 <sup>c</sup> *Department of Physics, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Siena, Via P.A.*  
11 *Mattioli 4, I-53100 Siena, Italy*

12

13 Corresponding Author:

14 *\*t.caruso@qub.ac.uk*

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 ABSTRACT

2 Urban soil biota can be surprisingly diverse and recent studies hypothesize that such  
3 biodiversity is partly due to stochastic community dynamics caused by fragmentation and high  
4 environmental variability. We aimed to quantify the relative effects of these factors on the  
5 community structure of soil oribatid mites inhabiting holm oak woodlands in two Mediterranean  
6 cities. We partitioned the community variation into fractions uniquely attributable to gradients  
7 in soil abiotic properties, pollution, microbiological properties, and spatial and temporal  
8 variation that could not be related to measured soil factors. As we found strong spatial structure  
9 at the local scales, a neutral model was fitted to test whether beta diversity patterns of relatively  
10 isolated communities were consistent with a purely stochastic assembly process. The overall  
11 diversity of the assemblage was remarkable: a total of 124 species were identified and  
12 rarefaction curves demonstrated that urban parks are as rich in species as their counterpart  
13 woodlands in suburban areas. The fraction of variation explainable in terms of soil properties,  
14 microbiology and pollution was statistically significant but surprisingly low. At the site scale,  
15 patterns of beta diversity (i.e. spatial turnover in species composition and relative abundances)  
16 were not significantly different from those predicted by neutral models. Stochastic models can  
17 parsimoniously predict background levels of urban soil biodiversity at local scales, while  
18 disturbance and environmental variation still play some significant but not major role at broader  
19 scales.

20

21 *Keywords:* soil biota, Oribatida, urban biodiversity, disturbance, stochasticity, beta diversity.

22

23 **1. Introduction**

24 As urban areas continue to expand and human population concentrates in these areas, ecologists  
25 are seeking to quantify the structure and functions of urban ecosystems (Grimm et al., 2008;  
26 Seto et al., 2012). Most biodiversity studies have focused on aboveground biodiversity (e.g.  
27 Cannon et al., 2005; Koh and Sodhi, 2004), but the bulk of terrestrial biodiversity is hidden in  
28 the soil (Bardgett and van der Putten, 2014). Some invertebrate groups can be very diverse in  
29 urban areas, where they can also vary much in time, including long term successional changes

1 and extinction processes (Bolger et al., 2000; Fattorini, 2011; Savage et al., 2015). Chronic  
2 levels of pollution, especially polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs), Pb and Cd, harsh  
3 climatic conditions, and physical disturbance further increase spatial and temporal variability of  
4 urban biota (Rota et al., 2013; Weigmann and Kratz, 1987). Especially at local scales, habitat  
5 fragmentation may amplify natural, demographic stochasticity in small and relatively isolated  
6 populations, while high variance in environmental variables and disturbance regime may  
7 contribute to environmental stochasticity. The overall emerging picture is that urban soil  
8 communities are regulated by a multitude of processes that may result in high levels of  
9 environmental variability and demographic stochasticity, but we are not aware of any study that  
10 has addressed this key point systematically through a combination of spatially explicit and  
11 quantitative modelling techniques. Also, comparisons of species richness and community  
12 structure along urban gradients have often been conducted without clarifying the relative  
13 contributions of different potential drivers (e.g., intrinsic spatial processes vs. spatially  
14 structured environmental gradients vs. the effects of biotic interactions).

15 To address these topics, we analysed the community structure of oribatid mites, one of the most  
16 diverse, abundant and important groups of soil fauna (Caruso et al., 2012; Maraun et al., 2011).  
17 We adopted a spatially explicit sampling design to account for patterns in community structure  
18 at multiple scales and measured several soil and microbiological properties that could  
19 potentially structure the mite assemblage (Rota et al., 2013, 2014a, 2014b). We partitioned the  
20 relative effects of spatial processes, gradients in soil chemistry, pollutants, and microbiological  
21 variables to identify the main drivers of community structure. As we found strong spatial  
22 structure at the site scale and sites are fairly disconnected in urban gardens and parks (i.e.  
23 fragmentation), we completed the analysis with a neutral model, so to test whether patterns of  
24 beta diversity were consistent with stochastic assembly processes and/or showed the signature  
25 of disturbance (Dornelas et al., 2006; Etienne, 2007; Maaß et al., 2014).

26

## 27 **2. Material and Methods**

### 28 *(a) Sampling and data collection*

1 We surveyed holm oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) stands in two Italian cities, Siena and Naples, in 2009  
2 (Figure S1. Supplementary Information *a* and *b*). Holm oaks form typical urban woodland in  
3 Mediterranean countries and in many urban gardens and park are used as ornamental trees. In  
4 many habitats at these latitudes, the hot, dry summer climatic pattern forces drought-vulnerable  
5 soil animals to either adjust their life cycle timing or to migrate to deeper soil layers. The  
6 undisturbed floor of evergreen sclerophyllous forests, however, can provide some protection  
7 against harmful climate events through a multi-layered, organic topsoil compartment, offering  
8 to a diverse pedofauna moist microhabitats throughout the year. We sampled this habitat twice:  
9 in spring and autumn 2009. The two sampling points in time were selected to sample over a  
10 period of high biological activity and also to maximize the range of weather conditions, from  
11 spring rains at increasing temperature, to the summer drought, and then the first rains of the  
12 autumn. Water is in fact known to be a key factor regulating oribatid mites communities  
13 (Lindberg et al., 2002; Tsiafouli et al., 2005). In this way we aimed to obtain a comprehensive  
14 estimate of species richness. In each city, three urban sites were located at increasing distance  
15 from a heavily trafficked road in an attempt to capture a disturbance gradient related to  
16 vehicular pollution (e.g. metals, PAHs; see below), with 10 meters separating the road from the  
17 closest sampling site and an average distance of 100 meters between adjacent sites in each city  
18 (Figure S1). The control site was located in the outskirts of the city (“suburban”), in a location  
19 not impacted by vehicular traffic. The sites were selected trying to maximise the disturbance  
20 gradient but minimise possible differences in soil properties such as bulk density, organic  
21 matter, and ages of stands. In terms of age, all sites have been established for more than three  
22 decades but the control sites were also overall less disturbed in terms of trampling or tree  
23 management. Sites understory was consistent between all sites and by far dominated by species  
24 in the genera *Hedera* and *Ruscus* with some occasional presence of *Prunus*, *Lamium* and  
25 *Tradescantia species*

26 Ten samples (10 x 10 x 5 cm) were randomly collected in a 5 by 5 m plot within each site, with  
27 5 samples collected in the spring and 5 in the autumn, for a total of 80 samples analysed in this  
28 study. Soil depth was capped at 5 cm as in urban plots 5 cm was the average depth of the  
29 organic horizon. The UTM position of each of the 80 samples was recorded to treat each sample

1 as a community replicate, which allowed an explicit analysis of the spatial dependence between  
2 replicates but also between sites and cities (Caruso et al., 2012; Maaß et al., 2014; see also  
3 below and details in Supplementary Information d). Key soil variables were however used in  
4 statistical analysis to control for between sites variability in underlying pedological and biotic  
5 (e.g. understory such as mosses) features. Specifically, soil samples were analyzed for texture,  
6 pH, organic matter, water holding capacity, consistence, elemental concentrations (Al, Cd, Cr,  
7 Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Zn; by ICP-EAS and GFAAS), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs, by  
8 GC-MSD), and the EDTA extractable fractions of Cu, Pb, Zn and Ca. Elements such as Pb and  
9 Cd, and PAHs were quantified to account for the pollution generated by the vehicular traffic.  
10 Ergosterol and PLFAs were analysed to estimate the biomass of fungi, bacteria and  
11 actinomycetes, while FDA-hydrolysis and  $\beta$ -glucosidase activities and the catabolic evenness  
12 (CE) were used to measure microbial community functioning). Details on the methods are given  
13 in Supplementary Information *c* and *d* and references therein). Oribatid mites were extracted  
14 using a modified Berlese-Tullgren apparatus and counted and identified to the species level  
15 using Weigmann (2006) and a number of specialised publications cited at the end of Table S1,  
16 in the Supplementary Materials.

17

#### 18 *(b) Statistical analysis and neutral model of beta diversity*

19 Soil and microbiological data were analysed with Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to  
20 extract non-collinear predictors of oribatid mite community structure (Supplementary  
21 Information *d*). Here we used microbiological data as a predictor of oribatid mite community  
22 structure given that fungi and bacteria provide resources to many, although not all, species in  
23 this assemblage. However, oribatids, too, affect microbiota by consuming or interacting with it  
24 and we thus interpret microbiological properties as a generic correlate more than a factor  
25 regulating oribatid mites unidirectionally.

26 In order to work on ecologically meaningful distance metrics and ensure no inflation of the  
27 weights of rare species, we Hellinger-transformed community data before RDA and variance  
28 partitioning (Legendre and Gallagher, 2001): in fact RDA is based on PCA and thus Euclidean  
29 distance, and the Hellinger-transformation removes the issues caused by using Euclidean

1 distance as an ecological distance metrics. Accordingly, we used principal coordinate analysis  
2 (PCoA) of Hellinger-transformed data to illustrate patterns of community structure. We also  
3 used the well established Principal Coordinate analysis of Neighbour Matrices (PCNM; Borcard  
4 and Legendre, 2002) to account for spatial structure at multiple scales in the sampling design,  
5 which aims to capture spatial structure in the species assemblage. The method is applied to the  
6 sample distance matrix and produces a number of eigenvectors (PCNMs) that account for the  
7 spatial patterns solvable by the sampling design in terms of extent (span of the study area),  
8 interval (average distance between two samples) and grid (full details: Supplementary  
9 Information *d*). In PCNMs, we also included sampling time as a covariate so to statistically  
10 control for potential and confounding differences in species distribution between the two  
11 sampling times. Variance partitioning and permutational approaches were used to quantify and  
12 test the effects of each factor (space and time as PCNMs, soil, microbiology) while statistically  
13 controlling for the other factors. Oligochaetes, especially earthworms, have been hypothesised  
14 to affect the soil oribatid mite community structure by the mechanical perturbation they create  
15 with their borrowing activity, with the effect weaker in forests, where earthworms are usually  
16 not very abundant (Maraun et al., 2003). Accordingly, earthworms were present in very low  
17 abundance in our holm oak woodlands, especially when compared to enchytraeids. In two  
18 previous publications, we analysed the community structure of enchytraeids collected at the  
19 same sampling sites (Rota et al., 2014a, 2013). Here, we also tested the hypothesis that the  
20 oribatid mite and enchytraeid assemblages might correlate due to the multitude of biotic  
21 interactions that link all animal taxa in complex soil food webs. To test this hypothesis robustly,  
22 we removed all the effects that spatial and environmental factors had on the variance of the  
23 oribatid mite and enchytraeid matrices and then correlated the residuals of the two soil animal  
24 groups matrices using a Mantel test.

25 Species richness was estimated using individual-based rarefaction curves (Gotelli and Colwell,  
26 2001). There are many definitions of beta diversity but here we used the general one provided  
27 by Legendre and De Cáceres (2013), i.e. the total variance of the species by sample matrix, after  
28 Hellinger-transformation. When applied to properly transformed abundance data, this variance  
29 accounts for species turnover and changes in relative abundances from sample to sample. Given

1 the spatial patterns observed in the data, we calculated this type of beta diversity for each of the  
2 eight sites and then fitted a neutral model to the community structure of each site so to create a  
3 null distribution of beta diversity values under the assumption of neutrality (Maaß et al., 2014).  
4 The observed value can then be compared to the null distribution. The neutral model was fitted  
5 using a formula for multiple samples with a PARI/GP code (Etienne, 2007), and the PARI/GP  
6 function urn2.gp (Etienne, 2007) was used to simulate 4999 neutral communities (details in  
7 Supplementary Information e).

8

### 9 **3. Results**

10 A total of 34,228 individuals and 124 species of oribatid mites were found (Supplementary  
11 Information, Table S1) while sample species richness ranged from 17 to 47 species, with a  
12 median and a mean of 29 species. When controlling for the number of sampled individuals, the  
13 total site species richness of control woodlands was on average slightly higher than that of urban  
14 parks but overall confidence limits of rarefaction curves suggest that differences in some case  
15 were only marginally or just not statistically (Naples) significant (Figure 1).

16 All factors analysed in the study plus their shared variation (i.e. covariation) could account for  
17 22% of community variance (Table 1), but the unique effects of abiotic soil properties  
18 (including the effects of pollutants such as PAHs, Pb and Cd), space (PCNMs) and  
19 microbiological gradients were low while all statistically significant at  $p < 0.01$ . An ordination  
20 plot (Figure 2) of individual samples clarifies these patterns: both in Siena and Naples, samples  
21 clearly clustered by sites, which implies spatial structure in the assemblages due to changes in  
22 species distribution from site to site. In Siena, differences between sites were clearer than in  
23 Naples (compare ellipsoid overlaps). Yet, both in Siena and Naples there were not clear  
24 directional effects of factors such as increasing distance from traffic road or a clear separation  
25 between control and urban sites.

26 Given the clear spatial structure observed at the site scale but not clear patterns between sites,  
27 we tested whether beta diversity within each site was compatible with purely stochastic  
28 dynamics. Results were consistent with a neutral model (Figure 3) of community dynamics, that  
29 is site assemblages have neither significantly higher nor significantly lower beta diversity than



1 expected under neutral assembly. We then removed all effects due to soil, microbiological  
2 properties and spatial and temporal autocorrelation for both the oribatid mites of this study and  
3 the enchytraeid assemblage investigated in the same sites in two other studies (Rota et al.,  
4 2014a, 2013). We used a mantel test to correlate the resulting residual variation in the oribatid  
5 mites and enchytraeids and obtained a correlation coefficient  $r = 0.16$  and a p value  $\ll 0.05$ ,  
6 suggesting a significant and robust link between the two assemblages.

#### 7 8 **4. Discussion**

9 As recently observed in urban microbial communities (Ramirez et al., 2014), our results also  
10 show that, at local scales, urban oribatid mite communities can be as rich in species as their  
11 controls in suburban woodlands, which is surprising given the potential of urban conditions  
12 (pollution, physical disturbance) to negatively affect natural populations. Intriguingly, at the  
13 same scales patterns of beta-diversity did not differ across urban and control sites, and were  
14 consistent with a stochastic assembly process involving random population fluctuations and  
15 dispersal (Hubbell, 2001; Rosindell et al., 2012). Our results may at first seem difficult to  
16 explain: urban environments impose high levels of physical and chemical disturbance, which  
17 should strongly structure communities. Some qualitative observations on species autoecology  
18 (see table S1 for references) would actually suggest that the assemblage is structured towards a  
19 generalist oribatid mite composition: most of the collected species (e.g. *Aphelacarus acarinus*,  
20 *Sphaerochthonius splendidus*, *Mesoplophora michaeliana*, *Sellnickochthonius meridionalis*,  
21 *Phthiracarus boreosetosus*, *Licnodamaeus pulcherrimus*, *Tectocephus sarekensis*, *T. velatus*,  
22 *Micropopia minus*, *Oribatula tibialis*, *Chamobates subglobulus*, *Ceratozetes elongatissimus*) are  
23 typical of thermophilous woodlands and fairly ubiquitous, and some are known to have great  
24 adaptive capabilities and high reproductive rates. Also, and not surprisingly given the canopy  
25 offered by holm oak, we found some mesophilous and muscicolous species such as *Carabodes*  
26 species, *Platyliodes scaliger*, *Belba corynopus*, *Rastellobata rastelligera*, *Quadropia*  
27 *pseudocircumita*, *Suctobelba trigona*, *Parachipteria petiti*, and *Pergalumna myrmophila*.

28 The fact that at the site scale a neutral model fits the data well does not demonstrate that the  
29 mechanisms assumed by the model are the only ones responsible for community structure in

1 general (Clark, 2012; Rosindell et al., 2012). Actually, variation in soil physico-chemistry,  
2 pollution (e.g. PAHs) and microbiota have statistically significant effects that partially cause  
3 differences between sites. Also, when we removed all effects accounted for physico-chemistry,  
4 pollution (e.g. PAHs) and microbiota, we could still find a significant correlation with another  
5 animal assemblage (enchytraeids), which reasonably suggests a potential role for biotic  
6 interactions with other soil animal taxa. Still, all these effects, while statistically significant,  
7 accounted for very low variance, despite the high number and diversity of potential predictors  
8 measured in this study, including biotic factors.

9 In urban parks, sites such as a small flower bed, tree stands, or isolated allotments form an  
10 archipelago-like landscape for biological communities (Bolger et al., 2000; Savage et al., 2015),  
11 especially for small soil organisms with limited dispersal abilities (Ettema and Wardle, 2002).  
12 This habitat configuration creates metacommunities (Leibold et al., 2004), whose connectivity,  
13 species spatial turnover and diversity of species may, in the first instance, be simply  
14 approximated by random dispersal and drift dynamics (Rosindell et al., 2012). Especially at the  
15 site level, oribatid species populations may be modelled as stochastically fluctuating  
16 populations while their local diversity is in balance between immigration and extinctions: our  
17 results are consistent with this hypothesis in terms of beta diversity patterns. Some faunistic  
18 observations also seem to confirm the potential role of isolation and occasional colonization  
19 events in shaping the investigated urban assemblages. For example, in Naples we found species  
20 that are rare in the Italian peninsula, such as *Papillacarus pseudoaciculatus*, *Lopheremaeus*  
21 *laminipes*, *Microzetes castrii*, *Amerus troisii*, *Berniniella (Hypogeoppia) hypogea*, *Oribatella*  
22 *brevicuspis* and even *Siciliotricus siculus*, which was so far known only from Sicily.  
23 *Lopheremaeus hispanicus*, collected in Naples urban parks, is a species that was so far known  
24 only from Andalusia (Spain) and three populations in the genera *Thamnacarus*, *Protoribates*  
25 and *Xyphobates* probably belong to species new to science. These data support the idea that  
26 isolation and dispersal limitation can profoundly shape the structure of urban soil assemblages  
27 over time while results also suggest that community structure is also changing, in response to  
28 changes in environmental and biotic variables, including pollutants, although the effect of these  
29 variables is neither strong nor always clear.

1 Oribatid mites show that soil communities of urban areas can be highly rich and stochastic at  
2 local scales while partially controlled by environmental and biotic factors at larger scales. We  
3 propose that the source of local stochasticity is in the fragmentation and disturbance regimes  
4 typical of urban areas, and therefore, we interpret urban local soil communities as islands highly  
5 subjected to extinction and immigration processes. The isolation between those islands may  
6 increase beta diversity at some scale but can expose local population to extinction and  
7 communities to unpredictable dominance of a few opportunistic species, which may alter  
8 interactions between soil fauna and microbes and so soil functioning.

## 10 **Acknowledgements**

11 This research was supported by a grant from MIUR (PRIN -2007AH8KT8). TC was also  
12 supported by the project SENSE (EC FP7 - 631399 - SENSE).

## 14 **References**

- 15 Bardgett, R.D., van der Putten, W.H., 2014. Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem  
16 functioning. *Nature* 515, 505–511.
- 17 Bolger, D.T., Suarez, A.V., Crooks, K.R., Morrison, S.A., Case, T.J., 2000. Arthropods in urban  
18 habitat fragments in southern California: area, age, and edge effects. *Ecol. Appl.* 10,  
19 1230–1248.
- 20 Borcard, D., Legendre, P., 2002. All-scale spatial analysis of ecological data by means of  
21 principal coordinates of neighbour matrices. *Ecol. Model.* 153, 51–68.  
22 doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00501-4
- 23 Cannon, A.R., Chamberlain, D.E., Toms, M.P., Hatchwell, B.J., Gaston, K.J., 2005. Trends in  
24 the use of private gardens by wild birds in Great Britain 1995–2002. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 42,  
25 659–671. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01050.x
- 26 Caruso, T., Taormina, M., Migliorini, M., 2012. Relative role of deterministic and stochastic  
27 determinants of soil animal community: a spatially explicit analysis of oribatid mites. *J.*  
28 *Anim. Ecol.* 81, 214–221. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01886.x
- 29 Clark, J.S., 2012. The coherence problem with the Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity.  
30 *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27, 198–202.
- 31 Dornelas, M., Connolly, S.R., Hughes, T.P., 2006. Coral reef diversity refutes the neutral theory  
32 of biodiversity. *Nature* 440, 80–82. doi:10.1038/nature04534
- 33 Etienne, R.S., 2007. A neutral sampling formula for multiple samples and an “exact” test of  
34 neutrality. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 608–618. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01052.x
- 35 Ettema, C.H., Wardle, D.A., 2002. Spatial soil ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 17, 177–183.  
36 doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02496-5
- 37 Fattorini, S., 2011. Insect rarity, extinction and conservation in urban Rome (Italy): a 120-year-  
38 long study of tenebrionid beetles. *Insect Conserv. Divers.* 4, 307–315.
- 39 Gotelli, N.J., Colwell, R.K., 2001. Quantifying biodiversity: procedures and pitfalls in the  
40 measurement and comparison of species richness. *Ecol. Lett.* 4, 379–391.  
41 doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00230.x
- 42 Grimm, N.B., Faeth, S.H., Golubiewski, N.E., Redman, C.L., Wu, J., Bai, X., Briggs, J.M.,  
43 2008. Global change and the ecology of cities. *science* 319, 756–760.

- 1 Hubbell, S.P., 2001. *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton  
2 University Press, Princeton.
- 3 Koh, L.P., Sodhi, N.S., 2004. Importance of reserves, fragments, and parks for butterfly  
4 conservation in a tropical urban landscape. *Ecol. Appl.* 14, 1695–1708.
- 5 Legendre, P., De Cáceres, M., 2013. Beta diversity as the variance of community data:  
6 dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. *Ecol. Lett.* 16, 951–963.  
7 doi:10.1111/ele.12141
- 8 Legendre, P., Gallagher, E.D., 2001. Ecologically Meaningful Transformations for Ordination  
9 of Species Data. *Oecologia* 129, 271–280. doi:10.2307/4223083
- 10 Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 1998. *Numerical Ecology*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 11 Leibold, M.A., Holyoak, M., Mouquet, N., Amarasekare, P., Chase, J.M., Hoopes, M.F., Holt,  
12 R.D., Shurin, J.B., Law, R., Tilman, D., Loreau, M., Gonzalez, A., 2004. The  
13 metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community ecology. *Ecol. Lett.*  
14 7, 601–613. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00608.x
- 15 Lindberg, N., Engtsson, J.B., Persson, T., 2002. Effects of experimental irrigation and drought  
16 on the composition and diversity of soil fauna in a coniferous stand. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 39,  
17 924–936.
- 18 Maaß, S., Migliorini, M., Rillig, M.C., Caruso, T., 2014. Disturbance, neutral theory, and  
19 patterns of beta diversity in soil communities. *Ecol. Evol.* 4, 4766–4774.  
20 doi:10.1002/ece3.1313
- 21 Maraun, M., Erdmann, G., Fischer, B.M., Pollierer, M.M., Norton, R.A., Schneider, K., Scheu,  
22 S., 2011. Stable isotopes revisited: Their use and limits for oribatid mite trophic  
23 ecology. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 43, 877–882. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.01.003
- 24 Maraun, M., Salamon, J.-A., Schneider, K., Schaefer, M., Scheu, S., 2003. Oribatid mite and  
25 collembolan diversity, density and community structure in a moder beech forest (*Fagus*  
26 *sylvatica*): effects of mechanical perturbations. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 1387–1394.
- 27 Ramirez, K.S., Leff, J.W., Barberán, A., Bates, S.T., Betley, J., Crowther, T.W., Kelly, E.F.,  
28 Oldfield, E.E., Shaw, E.A., Steenbock, C., Bradford, M.A., Wall, D.H., Fierer, N.,  
29 2014. Biogeographic patterns in below-ground diversity in New York City’s Central  
30 Park are similar to those observed globally. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 281.  
31 doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1988
- 32 Rosindell, J., Hubbell, S.P., He, F., Harmon, L.J., Etienne, R.S., 2012. The case for ecological  
33 neutral theory. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27, 203–208. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2012.01.004
- 34 Rota, E., Caruso, T., Bargagli, R., 2014a. Community structure, diversity and spatial  
35 organization of enchytraeids in Mediterranean urban holm oak stands. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*  
36 62, 83–91. doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2014.02.016
- 37 Rota, E., Caruso, T., Migliorini, M., Monaci, F., Agamenzone, V., Biagini, G., Bargagli, R.,  
38 2014b. Diversity and abundance of soil arthropods in urban and suburban holm oak  
39 stands. *Urban Ecosyst.* 1–14. doi:10.1007/s11252-014-0425-5
- 40 Rota, E., Caruso, T., Monaci, F., Baldantoni, D., De Nicola, F., Iovieno, P., Bargagli, R., 2013.  
41 Effects of soil pollutants, biogeochemistry and microbiology on the distribution and  
42 composition of enchytraeid communities in urban and suburban holm oak stands.  
43 *Environ. Pollut.* 179, 268–276. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.04.026
- 44 Savage, A.M., Hackett, B., Guénard, B., Youngsteadt, E.K., Dunn, R.R., 2015. Fine-scale  
45 heterogeneity across Manhattan’s urban habitat mosaic is associated with variation in  
46 ant composition and richness. *Insect Conserv. Divers.* 8, 216–228.  
47 doi:10.1111/icad.12098
- 48 Seto, K.C., Güneralp, B., Hutyra, L.R., 2012. Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and  
49 direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109, 16083–  
50 16088.
- 51 Tsiafouli, M.A., Kallimanis, A.S., Katana, E., Stamou, G.P., Sgardelis, S.P., 2005. Responses of  
52 soil microarthropods to experimental short-term manipulations of soil moisture. *Appl.*  
53 *Soil Ecol.* 29, 17–26.
- 54 Weigmann, G., 2006. *Acari, Actinochaetida. Hornmilben (Oribatida)*. Goecke & Evers.,  
55 Keltern.
- 56 Weigmann, G., Kratz, W., 1987. Oribatid mites in urban zones of West Berlin. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*  
57 3, 81–84.  
58

1 **Table 1.** RDA-based (after Hellinger transformation) variance partitioning of the several  
 2 sources of variation in the oribatid mite community. Effects were divided into unique effects,  
 3 tested while controlling for all others effects. The significance of shared effects cannot be tested  
 4 (Legendre and Legendre, 1998). The total (22%) is the sum of unique (3+3+2) and shared  
 5 (6+6+2) effects.  
 6

Source	Variance (%)	P-value
<b>Unique Effect</b>		
Soil properties (chemico-physical variables, pollutants)		
	3	<0.01
Microbiology	3	<0.01
Spatial factors + time (PCNMs)	2	<0.01
<b>Shared (sensu Legendre and Legendre, 1998)</b>		
Soil properties-Microbiology		
	6	n.t.
Soil properties-PCNMs		
	6	n.t.
PCNMs-Microbiology		
	2	n.t.
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	

7

1 **Figure captions**

2

3 Figure 1. Individual-based rarefaction curves providing unbiased estimates of species richness  
4 in holm oak locations in urban parks (urban 1, 2, and 3) and suburban holm oak woodland  
5 (control) in Siena (a) and Naples (b). The grey shade areas represent confidence limits around  
6 the mean (solid line).

7

8 Figure 2. PCoA ordination of individual samples (empty circles) of the oribatid mite community  
9 from Siena (left panel) and Naples (right panel) urban parks. Samples were clustered by site  
10 using the function ordispider of the R package vegan. In each city, there were one control site  
11 (i.e. not impacted by vehicular traffic) and three urban plots at increasing distance from a traffic  
12 road: plot urban 1 was closer to the road, urban 3 was farther, and urban 2 was between urban 1  
13 and 3. Ellipsoids around the site centroids are 95% confidence interval, which were drawn using  
14 the ordiellipse function of the R package vegan.

15

16 Figure 3. Histograms show the null distribution of simulated beta diversity values. Simulations  
17 were based on the estimate of neutral parameters from the species abundance distribution of the  
18 multiple samples collected in each site (six urban sites potentially impacted by a traffic road  
19 and 2 suburban sites taken as a control). The analysis was conducted within each urban site (6  
20 sites) and control site (2 sites) and results were similar across all sites. Here we show the two  
21 control sites and two (one per city) out of 6 urban sites. The red line is the observed site beta  
22 diversity, defined as the total variance of the Hellinger transformed species matrix. The results  
23 show that observed site beta diversity lies always higher than the central tendency of the null  
24 distribution, but in no case it is significantly different from the null distribution (the red line  
25 falls well inside 95 % of the distribution).

26