



Self-refraction, ready-made glasses and quality of life among rural myopic Chinese children: a non-inferiority randomized trial.

Zhou, Z., Chen, T., Jin, L., Zhen, D., He, M., Silver, J., ... Congdon, N. (2016). Self-refraction, ready-made glasses and quality of life among rural myopic Chinese children: a non-inferiority randomized trial. Acta Ophthalmologica. DOI: 10.1111/aos.13149

Published in:

Acta Ophthalmologica

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal: Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

© 2016 Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica Foundation.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Zhou, Z., Chen, T., Jin, L., Zheng, D., Chen, S., He, M., Silver, J., Ellwein, L., Moore, B. and Congdon, N. G. (2016), Self-refraction, ready-made glasses and quality of life among rural myopic Chinese children: a non-inferiority randomized trial. Acta Ophthalmologica., which has been published in final form at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/aos.13149/epdf This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

1	Self refraction, ready-made glasses and quality of life among rural myopic
2	Chinese children: a non-inferiority randomized trial
3	
4	
5	
6	^{1,2} Zhongqiang Zhou*, ¹ Tingting Chen*, ¹ Ling Jin, ³ Dongxing Zheng, ⁴ Shangji Chen,
7	¹ Mingguang He, ⁵ Josh Silver, ⁶ Leon Ellwein, ⁷ Bruce Moore, ^{1, 8, 9} Nathan Congdon
8	
9	¹ State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun
10	Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China;
11	² Henan Eye Institute and Henan Eye Hospital, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou
12	University, Zhengzhou, People's Republic of China;
13	³ Department of Ophthalmology, Huidong People's Hospital, Huizhou, People's
14	Republic of China;
15	⁴ Guangming Eye Hospital, Yangjiang, People's Republic of China;
16	⁵ Center for Vision in the Developing World, St Catherine's College, Oxford, UK;
17	⁶ National Eye Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA;
18	⁷ New England College of Optometry, Boston, MA, USA;
19	⁸ ORBIS International, New York, USA
20	⁹ Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK
21	
22	*Co-first authors
23	
24	Corresponding author:
25	Nathan G Congdon, Centre for Public Health, Royal Victoria Hospital, 274 Grosvenor
26	Rd, Belfast UK BT12 6BA, ncongdon1@gmail.com, +447748 751393
27	
28	Word count:3640
29	Trial registration: The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, registration number
30	NCT01704729.
31	
32	
22	
55	

34 Abstract

35	<u>Purpose</u> : To study for the first time the effect of wearing ready-made glasses and
36	glasses with power determined by self-refraction on children's quality of life.
37	Methods: This is a randomized, double-masked non-inferiority trial. Children in
38	grades 7 and 8 (age 12-15 years) in 9 Chinese secondary schools, with presenting
39	visual acuity (VA) $\leq 6/12$ improved with refraction to $\geq 6/7.5$ bilaterally, refractive
40	error \leq -1.0D and \leq 2.0 D of anisometropia and astigmatism bilaterally, were
41	randomized to receive ready-made spectacles (RM) or identical-appearing spectacles
42	with power determined by: subjective cycloplegic retinoscopy by a university
43	optometrist (U), a rural refractionist (R), or non-cycloplegic self-refraction (SR).
44	Main study outcome was global score on the National Eye Institute Refractive Error
45	Quality of Life-42 (NEI RQL-42) after two months wearing study glasses, comparing
46	other groups with the U group, adjusting for baseline score.
47	<u>Results</u> : Only 1 child (0.18%) was excluded for anisometropia or astigmatism. A total
48	of 426 eligible subjects (mean age 14.2 years, 84.5% without glasses at baseline) were
49	allocated to U (103 [24.2%]), RM (113 [26.5%]), R (108 [25.4%]) and SR (102
50	[23.9%] groups respectively. Baseline and Endline score data were available for 398
51	(93.4%) of subjects. In multiple regression models adjusting for baseline score, older
52	age (P=0.003) and baseline spectacle wear (P=0.016), but not study group assignment,
53	were significantly associated with lower final score.

54	<u>Conclusion</u> : Quality of life wearing ready-mades or glasses based on self-refraction
55	did not differ from that with cycloplegic refraction by an experienced optometrist in
56	this non-inferiority trial
57	
58	Key words:
59	Visual function, self-refraction, rural refractionist, conventional refraction,
60	ready-made spectacles, conventional spectacles, myopia, children, China
<u> </u>	

62 Introduction

63	Uncorrected refractive error was the leading cause of vision impairment in the
64	world in 2010 (Pascolini & Mariotti 2012). A total of 12.8 million children aged 5-
65	15 years are visually impaired from uncorrected or inadequately corrected refractive
66	errors in 2004, with a global prevalence of 0.96% (Resnikoff et al. 2008). It is
67	associated with reversible self-reported visual impairment among children (Congdon
68	et al. 2008), and its correction has led to statistically-significant improvement in
69	children's school performance in a recent randomized trial (Ma et al. 2014).
70	Though refractive error may be safely and effectively corrected with spectacles,
71	lack of well-trained refractionists in settings of limited resources may be a major
72	barrier (World Health Organization 2000, Turner et al. 2011), in part due to poor
73	accuracy of spectacles based on prescriptions from available practitioners (Zhang et al.
74	2009, Zhou et al. 2014). Recent studies (He et al. 2011 , Zhang et al. 2011) have
75	suggested that myopic children can achieve vision of $>= 6/7.5$ in $> 90\%$ of cases by
76	self-refraction with adjustable spectacles, with accuracy similar to that of
77	non-cycloplegic automated refraction, another modality that has been used in areas
78	where trained refractionists are in short supply. Use of self refraction has the potential
79	to reduce barriers to refractive care in such settings.
80	Another approach to improving access to spectacles in areas of limited resources
81	is ready-made spectacles, which can both reduce costs and improve the logistics of
82	service delivery over custom spectacles, while achieving comparable acceptability to

83	wearers (Zeng et al. 2009). Higher cost has been demonstrated in various settings to
84	reduce uptake of spectacles (Ma et al. 2014 , Odedra et al. 2008).
85	While the visual acuity and accuracy of refractive power obtainable with
86	self-refraction have been assessed (He et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2011), visual
87	function associated with use of this technology for refraction has not been evaluated,
88	as it has for other non-traditional modalities such as ready-made glasses (Brady et al.
89	2012). The possibility exists that good results on testing of central acuity might mask
90	discomfort or other problems, secondary perhaps to the failure to correct for
91	astigmatism, or any over-minusing resulting from self-refraction without cycloplegia,
92	which might be relevant to children's daily use of spectacles. The goal of the WEAR
93	(Wearability And Evaluation of Adjustable Refraction) trial (Phase II) was to
94	compare self-rated quality of life (NEI RQL-42, main outcome) between rural
95	secondary school Chinese children with inadequately-corrected myopia at baseline
96	randomized to receive one of the following: ready-made glasses, or custom spectacles
97	whose power was based on cycloplegic refraction by a university optometrist,
98	cycloplegic refraction by a rural refractionist or self-refraction without cycloplegia.
99	Only children with myopia were recruited for the study in view of the low prevalence
100	and modest visual impact of other types of refractive error among children in China
101	(He et al. 2004, He et al. 2007).
102	

103 **METHODS**

104

105	The protocol for this study was approved in full by the Institutional Review
106	Board of the Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center (ZOC), SunYat-senUniversity (SYSU,
107	Guangzhou, China). Permission was obtained from the local Boards of Education and
108	written informed consent was obtained from at least one parent of all participants. The
109	principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed throughout.
110	Design
111	Since the main study hypothesis was that self-reported quality of life using the
112	National Eye Institute Refractive Error Quality of Life-42 (NEI RQL-42) after two
113	months wearing the study glasses would not differ between children in the
114	Self-refraction, Rural refractionist and Ready-made spectacle groups as compared to
115	the University refractionist group, which was considered the gold standard in this
116	study, a non-inferiority trial design was applied. Such studies are designed to test the
117	hypothesis that a novel treatment's effectiveness is not substantially less than the
118	existing standard (Mulla et al. 2012).
119	Subjects
120	Participating schools
121	A total of nine Guangdong junior high schools in Yangxi county of Yangjiang
122	city, and Huidong county of Huizhou city, were selected in non-random fashion
123	(principal basis being a willingness of the school administration to take part in the
124	trial) from a list of all schools in these two counties. Distances from the urban center
125	were as follows: two schools were located directly in the downtown area; one school
126	was at a distance of 10 kilometers; one school at 20 kilometers; one school 30
127	kilometers: three schools at 40 kilometers: and one school at 50 kilometers

127 kilometers; three schools at 40 kilometers; and one school at 50 kilometers.

128 Baseline visual acuity assessment

129 All children in grades 7 and 8 (generally 12-15 years old) at the selected schools 130 who were present on the day of examination underwent baseline visual acuity (VA) 131 screening by nurses and optometrists from February to May 2013. Uncorrected VA 132 and correctedVAwith children's own spectacles if owned were tested separately for 133 each eye at 4 meters using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) 134 charts (Ferris et al. 1982) (Precision Vision, La Salle, IL, USA) in a well-lit, indoor 135 area of the school. Lens power of existing spectacles was measured with a lensometer 136 (Topcon CL 100, Tokyo, Japan). Children presenting with $VA \le 6/12$ in both eyes 137 were considered provisionally eligible and underwent randomization (see below) and 138 refraction to determine final eligibility for the trial.

139 Randomization, Interventions and Masking (Figure 1)

140 All provisionally eligible children in each grade and each county (VA < 6/12 in

both eyes) were randomized individually to one of four groups, stratifying by grade

142 (grade 7 and grade 8) and the two towns. Children themselves and investigators

143 assessing study outcomes were masked to group assignment. Three groups received

standard, custom spectacles with inter-pupillary distance measured by standard

145 techniques and powers determined in the following fashion:

146 University optometrists group: Cycloplegic automated refraction with refinement

147 by an experienced optometrist from ZOC.

148 *Rural refractionists group*: Cycloplegic automated refraction with refinement by

149 a rural refractionist from a local county-level hospital who had received refraction

150 training in an on-going program administered by ZOC.

151 Self-refraction group: Non-cycloplegic self-refraction using fluid-filled

adjustable spectacles and a protocol based on that which has previously been

reported.[9-10]Additionally a fourth group, the *Ready-made Group*, received pseudo

ready-made spectacles as previously described (Zeng et al. 2009), with power in
both eyes equal to the spherical equivalent of the eye with lower power (absolute
value), on subjective refraction by an optometrist from ZOC following cycloplegic
automated refraction. Spectacle powers were available in 0.50 D steps between -1.00
to -6.00 D, and 1.00D steps between -7.00 and -10.00D, with measured power being
rounded down to the nearest step as needed. Available inter-pupillary distances were
50, 55, 60 and 65 mm.

161 Children in all groups were permitted to select from among 22 frame styles

162 provided by local optical shops as popular among secondary school children in the

area, as previously described .(Zhou et al. 2014)

Subjects and study personnel administering the questionnaires and assessing VA
were masked to study group assignment.

166 Inclusion and exclusion criteria and final allocation

167 Children meeting all the following criteria after refraction as described above168 were eligible for recruitment in the study:

Presenting VA (If the child wears glasses, her/his presenting VA is her/his
 corrected VA with their own spectacles; if the child does not wear spectacles,
 her/his presenting VA is her/his uncorrected VA)<= 6/12 in both eyes

Subjective spherical equivalent refractive error (SER) <= -1.00 diopters (D)
 in both eyes

VA improvable to > 6/7.5 in both eyes with refraction as assigned in their
 group. It was considered un-ethical to permit children to wear glasses not
 providing adequate vision, and the goal of the study was to determine
 whether children achieving good VA with alternative modalities might have
 ocular discomfort or other issues affecting quality of life.

179 Children with ocular diseases potentially affecting the vision and those with 180 astigmatism or anisometropia>= 2.00 D were excluded, the latter for ethical reasons, following the example of Brady et al (Brady et al. 2012). Children with $VA \le 6/7.5$ 181 182 in either eye after self-refraction, refraction by the rural optometrist or with 183 pseudo-readymade glasses were referred for refraction by the university optometrist 184 and provision of free spectacles after exclusion from the study. Children whose VA 185 could not be improved by the university optometrist were referred to the local county 186 hospital for further examination.

187 Quality check of the spectacles as dispensed

188 To avoid inaccuratespectaclesmade during the process of spectacles making were 189 given to children, a 25% sub-sample of glassesin each group were selected at random 190 and checked by auto-lensometry, and the vector difference in diopters, conventionally 191 positive, between the prescription and the measured value on the lensometer was

192 calculated (Thibos et al. 1997, Harvey et al. 2000).

193 Educational Intervention

194 To promote compliance with glasses wear, all participants received sset of

educational interventions described previously (Ma et al. 2014), including a 10

196 minute video, a booklet of professionally-drawn comics, a presentation in class

197 directed at teachers and students by study personnel and a parents' brochure, all

198 explaining the safety and visual benefits of spectacles.

199 Questionnaires and Outcome Assessment

200 The National Eye Institute Refractive Error Quality of Life (NEI RQL-42)

201 questionnaire (Berry et al. 2003, Hays et al 2003, Hays & DSpritzer 2002) was used

202 to evaluate the visual function-related quality of life at baseline and after two months

203 of spectacle wear at the endline examination. Self-reported frequency of spectacles

use, value attached to the glasses, and participant satisfaction with glasses were also 205 assessed at endlineas described elsewhere (Zeng et al. 2009, Brady et al. 2012). 206 The primary study outcome was the difference in global score on the NEI 207 RQL-42 at endline between the University Optometrist group and the other three 208 groups. The NEI RQL-42 consists of 42 items across 13 domains, such as near and far 209 visual acuity, glare, appearance and satisfaction with correction, with a higher score 210 representing better quality of life. Each item was rescaled to a 0 to 100 range 211 according to guidelines in the user's manual (Hays & Spritzer 2002), and a global

213 Sample size

score calculated by averaging the subscales.

204

212

214 The sample size was calculated based on the endline NEI RQL-42 global score 215 according to a non-inferiority margin of 30% of the difference between treatment and 216 control conditions, as has been recommended (Nutt et al. 2008, Jones et al. 1996). A 217 recent study using the NEI RQL-42 questionnaire found an overall difference of 15.8 218 in global score between subjects with spectacle correction and emmetropes (Queirós 219 et al. 2012). Accordingly, we used 5.7, or 30% of 15.8, as the non-inferiority criterion. 220 With a standard deviation of 15.0, the required sample size was 90 subjects per group 221 with a power of 80% and a one-sided significance level of 5% (alpha=0.05).

2.2.2 **Statistical Methods**

223 Baseline characteristics of participants including age, subjective spherical 224 equivalent refractive error in the better-seeing eye with better presenting VA (eye 225 with better uncorrected VA for children without glasses, and eye with better corrected 226 VA for children with glasses), gender, spectacle wear and proportion with presenting 227 VA< 6/18 in the better-seeing eye were reported as mean (SD, standard deviation) for

228	normally-distributed continuous variables, median (IQR, inter quartile range) for data
229	with non-normal distribution, and frequency (percentage) for categorical variables.
230	The proportion of vector diopteric difference (VDD) values between the
231	prescription power and power measured by lensometry in the better-seeing eye falling
232	within +/-0.25 D, +/-0.50D and +/-1.0D in each group were calculated, and compared
233	using Fisher's exact test between the University Optometrist group and each of the
234	remaining groups.Linear regression adjusting for baseline global NEI RQL-42 score
235	was used to assess differences between the University Optometrist group and the
236	remaining groups (main outcome).
237	The proportion of subjects with best-corrected VA $>=6/6$ with study spectacles
238	was compared between the University Optometrist group and each remaining group,
239	adjusting for baseline presenting VA in better-seeing eye using logistic regression.
240	The proportion reporting being very satisfied or satisfied, and rating the study
241	spectacles as their most valued possession, of high value or of moderate value were
242	compared between the University optometrist group and the remaining groups using
243	logistic regression. All analyses were performed using Stata 12.0 (StataCorp, College
244	Station, TX).
245	
246	

250 **RESULTS**

Among 9889 children undergoing VA screening, 914 (9.2%) were provisionally

eligible on the basis of having presenting $VA \le 6/12$ in both eyes. Parents of 361

- 253 (39.5%) declined to participate, and 11 (1.2%) were excluded due to history of ocular
- disease affecting vision. (Figure 1) The remaining 542 (59.3%) children were
- randomized to groups as follows: University optometrist (n=135, 24.9%),
- 256 Ready-made (n=134, 24.7%), Rural refractionist(n=138, 25.5%) and Self-refraction

257 (n=135, 24.9%). After refraction, 116 (21.4%) children were excluded for having the

- following conditions in ether eye: spherical equivalent refractive error > -1.0 D (n=72,
- 259 13.3%), best-corrected VA <6/7.5 (n=43, 7.9%) or astigmatism >= 2.0 D (n=1, $\frac{1}{2}$
- 260 0.18%). (Figure 1)

Among 426 (78.6%) eligible subjects receiving final group allocation, 103

262 (24.2%), 113 (26.5%), 108 (25.4%) and 102 (23.9%) were assigned to the University

263 optometrist, Ready-made, Rural refractionist and Self-refraction groups respectively.

Among 103 (24.2%) total children in the four groups selected at random to test the

accuracy of the study spectacles by lensometry, 19 (18.5%) and 3 (2.91%) had glasses

inaccurate by ≥ 0.25 D and $\geq 1.0D$ respectively in the better-seeing eye. Accuracy in

the University Optometrist group did not differ significantly from that in any of the

other groups.

Among 426 childrenwith complete VA data (mean age 14.2 [1.01] years, 196

- [46.0 %] male), a total of 360 (84.5 %) did not have spectacles at baseline, and 171
- 271 (40.1 %) had presenting VA $\leq 6/18$ in the better-seeing eye. Their median (IQR)
- spherical equivalent refractive error in the better-seeing eye was -2.06 (-3.00, -1.50) D.
- 273 (Table 1)

274	The median baseline presenting VA in each group prior to receiving the study
275	spectacles was 6/15, and the median best-corrected VA with study spectacles was
276	6/7.5 in all but the Rural refractionist group (median = $6/6$). (Table 2) The proportion
277	of children with best-corrected VA $\geq 6/6$ was significantly lower in the University
278	optometrist group compared to the Ready-made ($P = 0.033$), Rural refractionist
279	(<0.001) and Self-refraction (P = 0.001) groups. Children with corrected VA < $6/7.5$
280	with their assigned refraction modality were excluded, but a small number of children
281	(n=17, 4.0%)did have VA < $6/7.5$ when their glasses were fitted. (Table 2)
282	At two months, 4 (3.9%), 6 (5.3%), 3 (2.8%) and 4 (3.9%) children were lost to
283	follow-up in the University optometrist, Ready-made, Rural refractionist and
284	Self-refraction groups respectively. Over 94% of children in each group reported
285	wearing the study spectacles at follow-up, though fewer than 10% of children overall
286	reported wearing them all day (Table 3). Some two-thirds of children in each group
287	reported being very satisfied or satisfied with the study spectacles, while
288	approximately three-quarters in each group indicated they placed moderate, high or
289	very high value on the glasses. Rates of wear, satisfaction and value attributed to the
290	glasses did not differ between groups. (Table 3).
291	Among 409 (96.0%) total children attending two-month follow-up, 398 (97.3%)
292	had complete NEI RQL-42 data at baseline and endline for analysis of the primary
293	outcome. (Figure 1) Though the NEI RQL-42 global scores of all groups improved
294	significantly from baseline to endline, the difference in endlines cores of the University
295	optometrist group did not differ significantly from that of the other three groups when
296	adjusting for baseline scores. (Table 4)
297	In multiple linear regression model adjusting for baseline NEI RQL-42 global

score (main outcome), older age (P=0.002) and wearing spectacles at baseline

- 299 (P=0.025) were significantly associated with endline global score after wearing the
- 300 study spectacles for two months, while study group assignment, male sex, and
- 301 refractive error at baseline in the better-seeing eye were not. (Table 5).

302

DISCUSSION

306	In this non-inferiority trial, we found no evidence of worse quality of life, our
307	main study outcome, comparing self-refraction and ready-made glasses with
308	cycloplegic refraction by an experienced optometrist (the standard of care). This
309	finding, together with the observed similar rates of wear, satisfaction and value
310	attached to the glasses between groups, adds to previous data (He et al. 2011, Zhang
311	et al. 2011) on the good vision achievable with self refraction and ready-made
312	spectacles to give a fuller picture of the acceptability of these alternative modalities
313	for use in children where skilled refractionists are scarce. Our review identified no
314	previous trials of alternative refractive modalities in children assessing quality of life
315	as an outcome. The important fact that all refraction modalities could significantly
316	improve children's quality of life in this setting is consistent with limited available
317	published data (Esteso et al. 2007)for conventional refraction.
318	Results of the current study are consistent with an earlier trial in Chinese children
319	having similar enrollment criteria, which found no difference in rates of wear,
320	symptoms or value attached to the spectacles (using the same question as in the
321	current study) after 1 month wear of ready-made versus custom glasses (Zeng et al.
322	2009). Though the number of children failing to achieve VA of $6/7.5$ with
323	self-refraction (20.7%) was higher than with refraction by the University optometrist
324	(4.0%), a significantly higher proportion of children could achieve 6/6 vision with
325	self-refraction (76.8% versus 24.3% for University optometrist, $P = 0.001$).

326	These results are generally consistent with high levels of best-corrected VA >=
327	6/7.5 with self-refraction using the identical spectacle design in our previous studies
328	in Chinese children(He et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2011) A small study (total of 100
329	adults in Boston and Nicaragua) (Esteso et al. 2007) reported a mean difference in
330	refractive power between subjective refraction and self-refraction (again using
331	fluid-filled spectacles as in the current study) which was neither clinically (0.08 -
332	0.17D) nor statistically significant. These previous studies (He et al. 2011, Zhang et
333	al. 2011, Zeng et al. 2009, Smith et al. 2010) did not include measures of visual
334	function. Our previous two studies (He et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2011) did detect
335	statistically significant, though clinically small, differences in the proportion of
336	children with best-corrected VA>= $6/7.5$ between self-refraction and cycloplegic
337	refraction groups, perhaps due to being powered to detect smaller disparities than the
338	current non-inferiority trial.
339	Our review identified only a single previous trial of alternative modalities for
340	refractive correction which evaluated visual function and quality of life (Brady et al.
341	2012). This trial reported large increases in visual function and quality of life among
342	Indian adults randomized to receive ready-made versus custom spectacles, though
343	improvements were smaller in the former group. Measures of satisfaction were the
344	same in the two groups. Visual and refractive enrollment and exclusion criteria were
345	similar to the current study, except that there were no exclusions based on
346	astigmatism in the Indian trial. Another previous study reported good visual results

with self-refraction in adults using fluid-filled spectacles, but did not employ a
randomized, controlled design (Douali & Silver 2004).

349	Our main outcome was assessed using the NEI RQL-42 questionnaire, which has
350	been demonstrated to have excellent internal consistency, test-retest reliability and
351	concurrent validity (correlation with subjective refraction (Nichols et al.2003).)
352	Construct validity has also been shown to be good (Nichols et al.2003). Though
353	questions have been raised about its psychometric properties (McAlinden et al. 2011),
354	this tool has been validated in several translations (Labiris et al. 2012, Pakpour et al
355	2013), and continues to be widely used in assessing the impact of refractive care on
356	quality of life (Jones et al. 1996, Cillino et al. 2014, Nehls et al. 2014) . Though this
357	instrument has not been widely utilized in pediatric populations, the authors felt that it
358	was important to employ an instrument specific to refractive error and its correction,
359	and no such instruments currently exist which are specific to children.
360	The current study employed several enrollment criteria. For ethical reasons,
361	children whose VA could not be improved to $>= 6/7.5$ in both eyes were excluded.
362	This is consistent with the aim of the study, namely to explore the hypothesis that
363	good central VA in children using alternative modalities such as self-refraction and
364	ready-made glasses might mask visual symptoms from over-correction or failure to
365	correct astigmatism, which could affect quality of life. Further, children were only
366	eligible if they had presenting $VA < 6/12$ and spherical equivalent refractive error
367	<-1.0 D in both eyes. These criteria, similar to those used in previous trials (Zeng et al.

2009, Odedra et al. 2008, Brady et al. 2012), were applied in order to identify
children whose quality of life scores would be likely to improve from baseline with
refraction. Children with two diopters or more of astigmatism or anisometropia were
also excluded in the current trial, as they would not be expected to achieve optimal
vision with self-refraction or ready-made glasses.

373 This raises a practical programmatic issue in considering the use of alternative 374 modalities for refractive care which do not correct astigmatism (self-refraction, 375 ready-made spectacles) or allow management of anisometropia (ready-mades): the 376 proportion of persons in the target population who could not be treated for these 377 reasons. Unlike ready-made glasses, adjustable spectacles or custom glasses based on 378 self-refraction can provide different spectacle power in the two eyes to suit subjects 379 with anisometropia. An early report based on modeling from a population-based study 380 in Australia concluded that some 85-90% of older persons in Australia with refractive 381 error might benefit from the use of ready-made glasses (astigmatism<= 1.25D and 382 anisometropia <= 0.5D) (Maini et al. 2001), while Zeng et al (Zeng et al. 2009) found 383 that 6% of secondary school children were inappropriate for use of ready-made 384 glasses ($\geq 2D$ of astigmatism or anisometropia). In the current study, only 44 385 children (8.1%) were excluded on the basis of inadequately-corrected VA 386 orastigmatism/anisomotropia (defined as in Zeng's study). The current report and 387 Zeng's work suggest that ready-made glasses and self-refraction could be acceptable 388 for the large majority of children in this setting.

389	A remaining practical question is whether existing child-specific adjustable
390	glasses designs will be cosmetically acceptable to children. Our recent findings
391	among younger and older rural and urban Chinese children suggest that the thick
392	frames, but not the round shape, employed in current fluid-filled designs is attractive
393	to children (Zhou et al. 2014). Our on-going trial of medium-term wear of adjustable
394	versus custom and ready-made spectacles among Chinese children is designed to
395	provide further insight into the acceptability of adjustable spectacles for wear as well
396	as refraction.
397	Strengths of the current study include its randomized controlled design and high
398	follow-up rate. Weaknesses must also be acknowledged: enrolled schools were not
399	selected using a random sampling technique, and all were drawn from a single region
400	in southern China. For this reason, application to other populations must be made with
401	caution. Though spectacle wear rates were $> 95\%$ in all of the study groups and we did
402	use a previously-validated (Ma et al. 2014) educational intervention to improve
403	glasses wear, <10% of children reported wearing their glasses all day, which might be
404	expected to reduce the impact of glasses on quality of life. Modest rates of spectacle
405	use are widely reported for children in many settings (Ma et al. 2014, Esteso et al.
406	2007), and we wanted to assess the impact of these different types of correction on
407	quality of life in real world settings.
408	Despite its limitations, this is the first randomized trial to assess quality of life of
409	myopic children wearing ready-made spectacles and those whose power was based on

410	self-refraction,	as compare	ed to cyclo	plegic	refraction b	by exp	perienced	refractionists.
	,		-			~ ~		

- 411 Our finding of non-inferiority with respect to the main outcome, quality of life, builds
- 412 on previous publications (He et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2011, Zeng et al. 2009)
- 413 showing good visual results in children with these alternative modes of refractive
- 414 correction. Additional research is needed to assess the acceptability of adjustable
- 415 spectacles for actual wear among children and adults, and also to test models for how
- 416 these modalities can be used in actual service delivery programs.
- 417
- 418
- 419

421 Acknowledgements

422

423	Conflicts of interest: Joshua D. Silver is a shareholder and director of Adaptive
424	Eyecare Ltd., a company involved in the development and commercialization of
425	adjustable lenses, who also provided spectacles for the study.
426	
427	Financial support: supported by a grant from the Chinese government under the
428	Thousand Man Plan program; Dr Congdon is also supported by the Thousand Man
429	Plan program and the Ulverscroft Foundation (UK). The adjustable spectacles used in
430	the study were provided by Adaptive Eyecare Ltd
431	
432	Financial disclosure: None of the authors has any financial interest in technique or
433	devices described in this manuscript.
434	
435	

- 438 Development of the National Eye Institute refractive error correction quality of life
- 439 questionnaire: focus groups. Ophthalmology 110:2285-2291.
- 440 Brady CJ, Villanti AC, Gandhi M, Friedman DS & Keay L. (2012): Visual
- 441 function after correction of distance refractive error with ready-made and custom
- 442 spectacles: a randomized clinical trial. Ophthalmology 119:2014-2020.
- 443 Cillino G, Casuccio A, Pasti M, Bono V, Mencucci R & Cillino S. (2014):
- 444 Working-age cataract patients: visual results, reading performance, and quality of life
- 445 with three diffractive multifocal intraocular lenses.Ophthalmology 121:34-44.
- 446 Congdon NG, Wang Y, Song Y, et al. (2008) : Visual disability, visual function
- 447 and myopia among rural Chinese secondary school children: the Xichang Pediatric
- 448 Refractive Error Study (X-PRES) Report #1. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci
- 449 49:2888-2894.
- 450 Douali MG & Silver JD. (2004): Self-optimised vision correction with adaptive
- 451 spectacle lenses in developing countries. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 24:234-241.
- 452 Esteso P, Castanon A, Toledo S, et al. (2007): Correction of Moderate Myopia
- 453 Is Associated with Improvement in Self-Reported Visual Functioning among Mexican
- 454 School-Aged Children. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 48:4949-4954.
- 455 Ferris FL 3rd, Kassoff A, Bresnick GH, Bailey I. (1982): New visual acuity charts
- 456 for clinical research. Am J Ophthalmol 94:91-96.
- 457 Hays RD, Mangione CM, Ellwein L, Lindblad AS, Spritzer KL & McDonnell PJ.

- 458 (2003): Psychometric properties of the National Eye Institute-Refractive Error
- 459 Quality of Life instrument. Ophthalmology 110:2292-2301.
- 460 Hays RD & Spritzer KL. (2002): National Eye Institute Refractive Error Quality of
- 461 Life Instrument (NEI RQL-42), Version 1.0: A Manual for Scoring and Use. Los
- 462 Angeles, CA, Rand Health Sciences.
- 463 Harvey EM, Miller JM, Dobson V, Tyszko R & Davis AL. (2000):
- 464 Measurement of refractive error in native American preschoolers: validity and
- 465 reproducibility of auto-refraction. Optom Vis Sci 77:140-149.
- 466 He M, Congdon N, Mackenzie G, Zeng Y, Silver JD & Ellwein L. (2011): The
- 467 Child Self-Refraction Study: Results from urban Chinese children in Guangzhou.
- 468 Ophthalmology 118:1162-1169.
- 469 He M, Huang W, Zheng Y, Huang L & Ellwein LB. (2007): <u>Refractive</u>
- 470 error and visual impairment in school children in rural southern China. Ophthalmology
- 471 114:374-382.
- 472 He M, Zeng J, Liu Y, Xu J, Pokharel GP & Ellwein LB. (2004): <u>Refractive</u>
- 473 error and visual impairment in urban children in southern china. Invest Ophthalmol
- 474 Vis Sci45:793-799.
- 475 Jones B, Jarvis P, Lewis JA & Ebbutt AF. (1996) : Trials to assess equivalence: the
- 476 importance of rigorous methods. BMJ 313:36-39.
- 477 Labiris G, Gkika MG, Giarmoukakis A, Sideroudi H, Kyratzoglou K &
- 478 Kozobolis VP. (2012): Psychometric properties of the Greek NEI RQL-42.Eur J

Ophthalmol 22:466-476. 479

480	Maini R, Keeffe J, Weih LA, McCarty CA & Taylor HR.Correction of refractive
481	error in the Victorian population: the feasibility of "off the shelf" spectacles. (2001):
482	Br J Ophthalmol 85:1283-1286.
483	McAlinden C, Skiadaresi E, Moore J & Pesudovs K. (2011): Subscale
484	assessment of the NEI-RQL-42 questionnaire with Rasch analysis. Invest Ophthalmol
485	Vis Sci 52:5685-5694.
486	Mulla SM, Scott IA, Jackevicius CA, You JJ & Guyatt GH (2012): How to
487	use a noninferiority trial: users' guides to the medical literature. JAMA
488	308:2605-2611.
489	Nehls SM, Ghoghawala SY, Hwang FS & Azari AA. (2014): Patient
490	satisfaction and clinical outcomes with laser refractive surgery performed by surgeons
491	in training. J Cataract Refract Surg 40:1131-1138.
492	Nichols JJ, Mitchell GL, Saracino M & Zadnik K. (2003): Reliability and
493	validity of refractive error-specific quality-of-life instruments. Arch
494	Ophthalmol 121:1289-1296.
495	Nutt D, Allgulander C, Lecrubier Y, Peters T & Wittchen U. (2008): Establishing
496	non-inferiority in treatment trials in psychiatry: guidelines from an Expert Consensus
497	Meeting. J Psychopharmacol 22:409-416.
498	Odedra N, Wedner SH, Shigongo ZS, Nyalali K & Gilbert C. (2008): Barriers to
499	spectacle use in Tanzanian secondary school students. Ophthalmic Epidemiol

500 15:410-417.

501

502	properties of the national eye institute refractive error correction quality-of-life
503	questionnaire among Iranian patients. Oman J Ophthalmol 6:37-43.
504	Pascolini D & Mariotti SP (2012) : Global estimates of visual impairment. Br J
505	Ophthalmol 96:614-618.

Pakpour AH, Zeidi IM, Saffari M, Labiris G & Fridlund B (2013): Psychometric

- 506 Queirós A, Villa-Collar C, Gutiérrez AR, Jorge J & González-Méijome JM.
- 507 (2012): Quality of life of myopic subjects with different methods of visual correction
- 508 using the NEI RQL-42questionnaire. Eye Contact Lens 38:116-121.
- 509 Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Mariotti SP & Pokharel GP (2008): Global magnitude
- 510 of visual impairment caused by uncorrected refractive errors in 2004. Bull World
- 511 Health Organ 86:63-70.
- 512 Smith K, Weissberg E & TravisonTG. (2010): Alternative methods of refraction:
- 513 a comparison of three techniques. Optom Vis Sci 87:E176-182.
- 514 Thibos LN, Wheeler W & Horner D. (1997): Power vectors: an application of
- 515 Fourier analysis to the description and statistical analysis of refractive error. Optom
- 516 Vis Sci 74:367-375.
- 517 Turner AW, Xie J, Arnold AL, Dunn RA & Taylor HR. (2011): Eye health
- 518 service access and utilization in the National Indigenous Eye Health Survey. Clin
- 519 Experiment Ophthalmol39:598-603.
- 520 World Health Organization. (2000): Elimination of avoidable visual disability

- 521 due to refractive errors. WHO
- 522 Xiaochen Ma, Zhongqiang Zhou, Hongmei Yi, et al. (2014): Effect of
- 523 providing free glasses on children's educational outcomes in China:
- 524 Cluster-randomized controlled trial.BMJ 349:g5740.
- 525 Zeng Y, Keay L, He M, et al. (2009): A randomized, clinical trial evaluating
- 526 ready-made and custom spectacles delivered via a school-based screening program in
- 527 China. Ophthalmology-116:1839-1845.
- 528 Zhang M, Lv H, Gao Y, et al. (2009): Visual morbidity due to inaccurate
- 529 spectacles among school children in rural China: the See Well to Learn Well Project,
- report 1. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 50:2011-2017.
- 531 Zhang M, Zhang R, He M, et al. (2011): Self correction of refractive error
- among young people in rural China: results of a cross-sectional investigation. BMJ

533 -343:d4767.

- 534 Zhou Z, Kecman M, Chen T, et al. (2014): Spectacle design preferences among
- 535 Chinese primary and secondary students and their parents: a qualitative and
- 536 quantitative study. PLoS One 9:e88857.
- 537 Zhou Z, Zeng J, Ma X, et al. (2014): Accuracy of rural refractionists in western
- 538 China. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 55:154-61.
- 539
- 540

542 Figure legends

543 Figure 1: Enrollment, allocation, follow-up and analysis of subjects in the study