



# Work Ethic, Social Ethic, no Ethic: Measuring the Economic Values of **Modern Christians**

Colvin, C. L., & McCracken, M. (2017). Work Ethic, Social Ethic, no Ethic: Measuring the Economic Values of Modern Christians. DOI: 10.1002/jae.2543

#### Published in:

Journal of Applied Econometrics

#### **Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

### Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

#### **Publisher rights**

Copyright © 2016 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Colvin, C. L., and McCracken, M. (2016) Work Ethic, Social Ethic, no Ethic: Measuring the Economic Values of Modern Christians. J. Appl. Econ, which has been published in final form at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/wol1/doi/10.1002/jae.2543/abstract. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

# Work Ethic, Social Ethic, No Ethic: Measuring the Economic Values of Modern Christians\*

Christopher L. Colvin<sup>†</sup>

Matthew McCracken

Queen's University Belfast

July 2016

#### Abstract

Benito Arruñada finds evidence of a distinct Protestant social ethic in the ISSP's 1998 Religion II Survey (*Economic Journal* 2010; 120: 890-918). We replicate Arruñada's results using his broad definition of Protestantism and our new narrow definition, which includes only those ascetic denominations that Max Weber singled out for possessing a strong capitalist work ethic. We then extend this analysis to the ISSP's 2008 Religion III Survey, the most recent comparable international questionnaire on religious attitudes and religious change. We find no evidence of a Calvinist work ethic, and suggest that Arruñada's Protestant social ethic continues into the twenty-first century.

JEL Codes: J24, Z12.

Keywords: work ethic, social ethic, international social survey programme, replication.

<sup>\*</sup> We thank Matthias Blum, Alan Fernihough, Philip Fliers, Stuart Henderson, John Turner and especially an anonymous referee for comments and suggestions.

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author. Address: Queen's Management School, Queen's University Belfast, Riddel Hall, 185 Stranmillis Road, Belfast, Northern Ireland, BT9 5EE, UK. Email: chris.colvin@qub.ac.uk. Telephone: +44 (0)28 9097 4735.

## 1. Introduction

How do religious beliefs, cultural norms and personal values influence decision-making in society? Max Weber (2011) argues that Protestantism held a distinctive role in the rise of modern capitalism. Central to Weber's century-old thesis is the difference between Protestants and Catholics in terms of attitudes towards work, thrift and self-improvement. Recent studies have tested Weber's "work ethic" hypothesis in historical settings (e.g. Becker and Woessmann, 2010; Cantoni, 2015; Blum and Strebel, 2016), but results remain conflicted and controversial. Starting with Barro and McCleary (2003) and Guiso et al. (2003), the use of cross-country surveys to help disentangle institutional from religion-related effects has become a popular social research methodology, one which helps to address whether the economic values of Protestants and Catholics still differ today. Arruñada (2010) is one such study, and is particularly interesting as it tests an alternative hypothesis involving a Protestant "social ethic".

Arruñada's article, the subject of the present note, explores the idea that Protestants exert a greater effort of trust towards their Church, education system and government.<sup>4</sup> He pits this against a simplified interpretation of Weber's work ethic: Protestants are more willing to work, and to work longer hours, than their Catholic peers. He tests these hypotheses using the results of the International Social Survey Programme's (ISSP) Religion II Survey, conducted in 1998, which asked respondents located in 32 countries a series of questions useful for the social scientific study of religion. Arruñada's results indicate that Protestants indeed worked more hours than Catholics; however, after controlling for fixed country effects, this difference disappears. He argues instead that his findings are consistent with the idea that Protestants monitor one another's conduct more carefully, and support the political and legal institutions that facilitate this; Protestants promote a social rather than a work ethic.

We revisit Arruñada's findings in two ways: (1) we replicate a subset of his results in a narrow sense, to the best of our ability; and (2) we extend his analysis to 2008, the most recent year for which the ISSP has conducted its survey of religious attitudes and religious change.<sup>5</sup> We repeat our analysis for both a strict Calvinist<sup>6</sup> and an all-encompassing definition of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Weber's Protestant ethic hypothesis concerns the direct content of Protestant teachings as much as the overall Protestant lifestyle, including – in his work on Protestant sects – followers' economic interaction within exclusive social organisations (Kalberg, in: Weber, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Social survey data have not solved the controversies: Durlauf et al. (2012) find that Barro and McCleary's (2003) results cannot be replicated with Bayesian methods, and so conclude 'there is no evidence that religious beliefs [...] have a direct robust relationship with economic growth' (p. 1074).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Arruñada (2010) has been cited 39 times in Crossref and 143 times in Google Scholar (July 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Like La Porta et al. (1997), Arruñada's focus is on Putnam's (1993) idea that hierarchical religions such as Catholicism discourage interpersonal trust, and therefore hinder social and market interaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> We only replicate results which can be extended to 2008. Where multiple replicable proxies for a hypothesis are available, we choose to replicate and extend only a subset in order to maintain brevity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Weber (2011) identifies Calvinists in particular as possessing a distinctive work ethic. He argues this stems from their dogma of predestination, which encourages worldly activity as a means of gaining the self-confidence to be counted among the elect rather than the reprobate.

Protestantism.<sup>7</sup> Our results, alongside Arruñada's predictions and findings, are summarised in Table 1.<sup>8</sup> While we conclude that the precise definition of Protestantism does not greatly influence the results for 1998, we argue that Arruñada's findings are strengthened by our analysis of the 2008 survey wave. Catholics now exert notably less confidence in their political and legal institutions than Protestants – a result Arruñada predicted, but which was not borne out in the 1998 data.

# 2. Data and empirical strategy

The ISSP 1998 survey contains 39,034 observations. Alongside the demographic characteristics of all respondents, the dataset includes questions on feelings, values, religious beliefs and practices, social habits and opinions. Like Arruñada, we drop observations on individuals with missing values and non-Christian affiliations. We adopt two definitions of Protestantism: a strict Calvinist definition that constitutes just 1,212 individuals; and a broader definition that includes 6,604. Table 2 provides summary statistics for variables defined exactly as in Arruñada (2010: 910-914) for our two Protestant definitions, alongside statistics taken directly from Arruñada (2010: 903-904) for comparison.

We matched the ISSP's 2008 questions onto the 1998 set and have sufficient data to extend at least five of Arruñada's models. A full list of variable definitions across both survey waves is reported in the online supplementary materials (Table S1). Again, we adopt Calvinist (1,262 individuals) and broad (9,573) definitions of Protestantism. Summary statistics are reported in Table 2, with the difference in means between 1998 and 2008 reported in the supplementary materials (Table S2). The proportion of respondents in work has increased by 11 percentage points, while the average number of working hours worked per week has decreased by 1.5 hours. Interestingly, while working Protestants worked a 39-hour week in 2008, their Catholic counterparts worked 40-hour weeks. The difference is starker for Calvinists. This may, of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This broader definition is probably closest to that used in Arruñada (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> We also report those results from Arruñada (2010) that we did not replicate and extend (see n. 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The strict Calvinist or ascetic definition constitutes only those denominations that can trace their roots to John Calvin's teachings: Presbyterian (ISSP 1998 code 43); Congregational, excluding Slovakia (45); Free Church, excluding Norway and Denmark (48); Other Protestant State Churches, including only the Netherlands, Slovakia, Hungary and Switzerland (49); United Church, excluding Latvia (55); and Free Presbyterians (60).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The broad all-encompassing definition constitutes: Baptists (ISSP 1998 code 40); Methodists (41); Lutherans (42); Presbyterians (43); Anglicans (44); United Reformed Church (45); Unitarians (47); Free Church (48); Other Protestant State Churches (49); United Church (55); Free Presbyterians (60); Brethren (61); Pentecostal (62); Salvation Army (64); Seventh-day Adventists (65); Hussites (67); Non-Denominational (91); and Other Christians (92).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> As in Arruñada (2010), we define Catholics as the sum of Roman Catholics (ISSP 1998 code 10) and Greek Catholics (11). While the definition of Catholicism remains identical across samples, variable standardisation means summary statistics sometimes differ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Arruñada (2010) counts an additional 139 Protestants and 58 Catholics. We attribute this disparity to our decision to exclude respondents hailing from Bulgaria or Canada, a choice we make because these countries were not surveyed in both 1998 and 2008.

course, be due to common changes in working practices that happen to correlate with the denomination of countries' majority religions. Regression analysis is therefore necessary to control for such fixed effects.

Indeed, our empirical strategy, which is identical to Arruñada (2010), is to estimate the following equations:

```
Y_{i} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{0c}Catholic + \beta_{1}Faith + \beta_{2}Religious upbringing + \beta_{3}Education \\ + \beta_{1c}(Catholic \times Faith) \\ + \beta_{2c}(CatholicR \times Religious upbringing) \\ + \beta_{3c}(Catholic \times Education) + \sum_{t}(\beta_{t}Control_{m} \ variables) \\ + \sum_{r}(\beta_{r}Control_{m} \ dummies)  (1)
```

where each dependent variable,  $Y_i$ , represents a value from five variables constructed from survey questions present in both datasets: two relating to the Protestant work ethic hypothesis (*Positive working hours* and *Working hours of workers*), and three to the social ethic hypothesis (*Religious practice, Trust institutions* and *Family importance*). The coefficient  $\alpha_{0c}$  represents the difference attributed to being Catholic rather than Protestant. *Faith*, *Upbringing* and *Education* have been standardised and measure attitudes relative to the average person in the sample. With Protestantism as the omitted category, the interaction terms measure the differential impact of Catholic *Faith*, *Upbringing* and *Education*.

Our replication of Arruñada's results, using both the strict Calvinist and broader definitions of Protestantism, is reported in Table 3, Panel (a), alongside those taken from Arruñada (2010: 905) for comparison. Our extension, using the ISSP's 2008 survey wave, is reported in Panels (a) of Tables 4 and 5. As in Arruñada (2010), the overall effect of "being Catholic" is estimated in a simplified version of equation (1), in which *Faith*, *Upbringing* and *Education* and their interactions are excluded, but the demographic and country controls left in. The results of these exercises are reported in Panels (b) of Tables 3, 4 and 5. A comparison of residual heterogeneity between Catholics and Protestants is reported the supplementary materials (Table S3).

# 3. Results and robustness

Following Weber's work ethic hypothesis, Arruñada predicts that fewer Catholics should be in work, and should work fewer hours. His results suggest this is not the case. Our results confirm his findings for 1998 (models 1 and 2) and show they also hold for 2008 (models 6, 7, 11 and 12). A comparison of residual heterogeneity in these regressions (Table S3) suggests Calvinists have become more homogenous with respect to their working practices between 1998 and 2008.

Predictions relating to Arruñada's alternative social ethic hypothesis are in three parts: (1) Catholics exert less effort in mutual social control than Protestants; (2) they support political and legal institutions less; and (3) they hold less homogenous values. In the first (models 3, 8 and 13), measured by Arruñada as the relationship between *Education* and *Religious practice*,

predictions match results in all cases: the difference between Catholics and Protestants in 1998 is -0.091 standard deviations for Arruñada, -0.114 for our Calvinist definition and -0.078 for all Protestants; and in 2008 it is -0.099 for Calvinists and -0.071 for all Protestants. This result suggests Catholics consistently exerted less social control than their Protestant peers. The difference in the simplified regressions in Panels (b) switches sign for all Protestants in 2008 (model 13); Catholics now practice less than Protestants. Comparing residual heterogeneity (Table S3) suggests Protestants have become less homogenous with respect to their religious practices in the decade between the two survey years.

In the second social ethic hypothesis (models 4, 9 and 14), measured here in the coefficient of *Catholic* in a regression of *Trust institutions*, our findings confirm those of Arruñada for 1998: a result that is not statistically significant. However, our results are quite different for 2008: Arruñada's original unrealised prediction of a statistically significant negative coefficient is here realised for the broader definition of Protestantism, both in Panel (a) and the simplified Panel (b); Catholics now have less confidence than Protestants in the institution of parliament and in their courts and legal system.

The third social ethic hypothesis (models 5, 10 and 15) is again read in the coefficient of *Catholic*, this time in a regression of *Family importance*. Our results are almost identical to those of Arruñada for 1998, and persist into 2008: statistically significant and positive; Catholic support for the family, defined here as their intolerance of premarital sex, remains greater than that of Protestants.

### 4. Discussion and conclusion

We have successfully replicated Arruñada (2010) in a narrow sense, extended his results to a much stricter definition of Protestantism, and shown that an analysis of the ISSP's newest religion dataset yields compatible findings. We suggest our analysis of Calvinists, the ascetic denomination to which Weber ascribed unique capitalist economic values, has strengthened Arruñada's findings. Like Protestants more generally, modern Calvinists display little evidence of having a different work ethic than their Catholic peers. But our analysis of values towards the rule of law suggests one significant change between 1998 and 2008: Protestants placed more confidence in civic institutions in 2008, a result predicted but not found by Arruñada for 1998. Understanding the reason for this temporal change should be the subject of further enquiry.

#### References

Arruñada, Benito. 2010. Protestants and Catholics: Similar work ethic, different social ethic. *Economic Journal* 120(547): 890-918. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-0297.2009.02325.x

Barro, Robert J., and Rachel M. McCleary. 2003. Religion and economic growth across countries. *American Sociological Review* 68(5): 760-781.

- Becker, Sascha O. and Ludger Woessmann. 2010. Was Weber wrong? A human capital theory of Protestant economic history. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 124(2): 531-596. DOI: 10.1162/qjec.2009.124.2.531
- Blum, Matthias and Matthias Strebel. 2016. Max Weber and the First World War: Protestant and Catholic living standards in Germany, 1915–1919. *Journal of Institutional Economics*. DOI: 10.1017/S1744137415000533
- Cantoni, Davide. 2015. The economic effects of the Protestant Reformation: Testing the Weber hypothesis in the German lands. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 13(4): 561-598. DOI: 10.1111/jeea.12117
- Durlauf, Steven N., Andros Kourtellos and Chih Ming Tan. 2012. Is God in the details? A re-examination of the role of religion in economic growth. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 27(7): 1059-1075. DOI: 10.1002/jae.1245
- Guiso, Luigi Paola Sapienz and Luigi Zingales. 2003. People's opium? Religion and economic attitudes. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 50(1): 225-282. DOI: 10.1093/oep/gpr025
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer and Robert W. Vishny. 1997. Trust in large organizations. *American Economic Review: P&P* 87(2): 333-338.
- Levene, Howard. 1960. Robust tests for equality of variances. In: Ingram Olkin (ed.), *Contributions to Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of Harold Hotelling*, pp. 278-292. Stanford University Press: Stanford.
- Putnam, Robert. 1993. Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy. Princeton University Press: Princeton.
- Weber, Max. 2011 [1920]. *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*. Translated and introduced by Stephen Kalberg. OUP: New York.

#### Data sources

- International Social Survey Programme 1998: Religion II (ISSP 1998). Includes codebook. Available at: http://www.issp.org/.
- International Social Survey Programme 2008: Religion III (ISSP 2008). Includes codebook. Available at: http://www.issp.org/.

Table 1: Summary of predictions and results, including those not replicated and extended in this study

Hypotheses	Variables and tests	Proxies used	Available for	Included in		Catholics show mo	ore (+) or less (-) o	f the correspon	ding indicator	
			1998 and 2008?	analysis?	Predictions			Results		
						Arruñada	ISSP 1998		ISSP	2008
							Calvinist	Broad	Calvinist	Broad
Work ethic:										
Catholics work less and less effectively	Willingness to work and work effort	Working hours	Yes	No	-	n. s.				
than Protestants		Positive working hours	Yes	Yes	-	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.
		Working hours of those working	Yes	Yes	-	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.
	Earthly achievements	Success index	No	No	-	n. s.				
Social control:										
Catholics exert less effort in mutual	Willingness to exert effort in social enforcement	Volunteer index	No	No	_	_				
social control than Protestants	Different impact of education for Catholics and Protestants	Relationship between Education and:								
		Religious practice	Yes	Yes	_	-	_	-	-	-
		Trust Church	Yes	No	-	-				
Rule of law:										
Catholics support political and legal	Willingness to support political institutions	Tolerance of tax fraud	No	No	+	+				
institutions less than Protestants	Willingness to cooperate with the law	Cover up for friends	No	No	+	+				
	Confidence in political and legal institutions	Trust institutions	Yes	Yes	-	n. s.	n. s.	n. s	n.s.	-
Homogenous values:										
Catholics hold less homogenous values	Importance of the family between Catholics and	Family importance	Yes	Yes	+	+	+	+	+	+
than Protestants	Protestants	Trust strangers	Yes	No	-	-				

Notes: n. s. = not significance at standard levels.

Sources: Arruñada (2010: 898); and own calculations.

 $Table\ 2: Summary\ statistics,\ Arru\~{n}ada/ISSP\ 1998\ vs.\ ISSP\ 2008\ (using\ Calvinist\ and\ Broad\ definitions\ of\ Protestantism)$ 

Variable		Arruñada				Calv	inist			Broad					
		ISSP 1998			ISSP 1998			ISSP 2008			ISSP 1998		ISSP 2008		
	Obs.	Mean	St. Dev.	Obs.	Mean	St. Dev.	Obs.	Mean	St. Dev.	Obs.	Mean	St. Dev.	Obs.	Mean	St. Dev.
Catholics and Protestants:															
Positive working hours	19,246	0.583	0.493	13,715	0.480	0.500	20,384	0.598	0.490	19,107	0.497	0.500	28,718	0.611	0.488
Working hours of workers	9,614	40.981	13.721	6,587	41.817	13.934	12,184	40.064	14.107	9,497	41.171	13.576	17,533	39.706	13.698
Religious practice	18,553	0.000	1.000	13,272	0.000	1.000	19,706	0.000	1.000	18,393	0.000	1.000	27,694	0.000	1.000
Trust institutions	18,064	0.000	1.000	12,747	0.001	1.000	19,315	0.000	1.000	18,246	0.000	1.000	27,320	0.000	1.000
Importance of family	18,158	1.503	1.209	12,828	1.423	1.218	19,137	1.435	1.284	17,964	1.490	1.214	27,129	1.477	1.274
Catholic	19,246	0.653	0.476	13,715	0.912	0.284	20,384	0.938	0.241	19,107	0.654	0.476	28,718	0.666	0.472
Faith	19,246	0.000	1.000	13,715	0.000	1.000	20,384	0.000	1.000	19,107	0.000	1.000	28,718	0.000	1.000
Religious upbringing	19,246	0.000	1.000	13,715	0.000	1.000	20,384	0.000	1.000	19,107	0.000	1.000	28,718	0.000	1.000
Education	19,246	0.000	1.000	13,715	0.000	1.000	20,384	0.000	1.000	19,107	0.000	1.000	28,718	0.000	1.000
Women	19,246	0.557	0.497	13,715	0.558	0.497	20,384	1.568	0.495	19,107	0.557	0.497	28,718	1.568	0.495
Age	19,246	0.000	1.000	13,715	-0.001	1.000	20,384	0.000	1.000	19,107	-0.001	1.000	28,695	0.000	1.000
Age squared	19,246	0.000	1.000	13,715	-0.001	1.000	20,384	0.000	1.000	19,107	-0.001	1.000	28,695	0.000	1.000
Widowed	19,246	0.093	0.291	13,715	0.095	0.293	20,384	0.093	0.291	19,107	0.096	0.294	28,718	0.086	0.281
Divorced & seperated	19,246	0.065	0.247	13,715	0.058	0.234	20,384	0.090	0.286	19,107	0.066	0.248	28,718	0.092	0.289
Single	19,246	0.215	0.411	13,715	0.215	0.411	20,384	0.280	0.449	19,107	0.218	0.413	28,718	0.285	0.451
Protestants:															
Positive working hours	6,685	0.658	0.474	1,212	0.448	0.497	1,262	0.616	0.486	6,604	0.523	0.500	9,581	0.638	0.480
Working hours of workers	3,520	39.057	13.510	543	37.484	15.789	778	36.814	13.611	3,453	39.360	13.182	6,117	38.614	12.826
Religious practice	6,348	-0.243	1.084	1,135	-0.116	1.150	1,202	-0.337	1.204	6,256	-0.214	1.096	9,175	-0.132	1.119
Trust institutions	6,360	0.109	0.954	1,137	0.181	0.866	1,209	0.199	0.874	6,395	0.030	0.956	9,199	0.213	0.969
Importance of family	6,392	1.643	1.169	1,143	1.435	1.140	1,188	1.604	1.157	6,279	1.615	1.182	9,166	1.580	1.232
Catholic	6,685	0.000	0.000	1,212	0.000	0.000	1,262	0.000	0.000	6,604	0.000	0.000	9,581	0.000	0.000
Faith	6,685	-0.181	1.033	1,212	-0.063	1.025	1,262	-0.138	0.994	6,604	-0.171	1.038	9,581	-0.090	1.054
Religious upbringing	6,685	-0.498	1.070	1,212	-0.366	1.101	1,262	-0.455	1.195	6,604	-0.508	1.051	9,581	-0.433	1.083
Education	6,685	0.171	0.946	1,212	0.175	0.937	1,262	0.058	1.025	6,604	0.169	0.950	9,581	0.166	1.033
Women	6,685	0.552	0.497	1,212	0.546	0.498	1,262	1.548	0.498	6,604	0.552	0.497	9,581	1.565	0.496
Age	6,685	0.055	1.000	1,212	0.111	0.975	1,262	0.215	1.005	6,604	0.023	0.998	9,573	0.042	0.979
Age squared	6,685	0.053	1.016	1.212	0.097	0.998	1.262	0.212	1.053	6,604	0.021	1.010	9,573	0.033	0.982
Widowed	6,685	0.091	0.288	1,212	0.092	0.290	1,262	0.111	0.314	6,604	0.096	0.295	9,581	0.076	0.264
Divorced & seperated	6,685	0.082	0.275	1,212	0.069	0.254	1,262	0.103	0.304	6,604	0.082	0.275	9,581	0.098	0.298
Single	6,685	0.211	0.408	1,212	0.210	0.407	1,262	0.220	0.415	6,604	0.221	0.415	9,581	0.286	0.452
	-,,,,,			-,			-,			-,		*****	.,		
Catholics:															
Positive working hours	12.561	0.543	0.498	12,503	0.483	0.500	19.122	0.596	0.491	12,503	0.483	0.500	19,137	0.597	0.491
Working hours of workers	6,094	42.093	13.720	6,044	42.206	13.690	11,406	40.286	14.113	6,044	42.206	13.690	11,416	40.290	14.109
Religious practice	12,205	0.126	0.937	12,137	0.010	0.984	18,504	0.022	0.981	12,137	0.110	0.928	18,519	0.065	0.929
Trust institutions	11,686	-0.060	1.019	11,610	-0.017	1.011	18,106	-0.013	1.006	11,851	-0.016	1.023	18,121	-0.108	0.998
Importance of family	11,766	1.426	1.224	11,685	1.422	1.226	17,949	1.424	1.291	11,685	1.422	1.226	17,963	1.424	1.291
Catholic	12,561	1.000	0.000	12,503	1.000	0.000	19,122	1.000	0.000	12,503	1.000	0.000	19,137	1.000	0.000
Faith	12,561	0.096	0.000	12,503	0.006	0.000	19,122	0.009	1.000	12,503	0.090	0.967	19,137	0.045	0.968
Religious upbringing	12,561	0.096	0.969	12,503	0.035	0.983	19,122	0.030	0.978	12,503	0.090	0.967	19,137	0.043	0.908
Education	12,561	-0.091	1.016	12,503	-0.017	1.004	19,122	-0.004	0.978	12,503	-0.090	1.014	19,137	-0.083	0.879
Women		0.559		12,503		0.497	19,122	1.569	0.495	12,503	0.559	0.497		1.569	
	12,561	-0.029	0.496 0.999	12,503	0.559 -0.012	1.001		-0.014	0.495		-0.014	1.000	19,137 19,122	-0.021	0.495 1.009
Age	12,561						19,122			12,503					
Age squared	12,561	-0.028	0.990	12,503	-0.010	0.999	19,122	-0.014	0.995	12,503	-0.013	0.994	19,122	-0.017	1.009
Widowed	12,561	0.094	0.292	12,503	0.095	0.294	19,122	0.092	0.289	12,503	0.095	0.294	19,137	0.092	0.289
Divorced & seperated	12,561	0.056	0.230	12,503	0.057	0.232	19,122	0.089	0.285	12,503	0.057	0.232	19,137	0.089	0.285
Single	12,561	0.217	0.412	12,503	0.216	0.411	19,122	0.284	0.451	12,503	0.216	0.411	19,137	0.284	0.451

 $Sources: Arru\~nada~(2010: 903-904); and own calculations in Stata~using~ISSP~Research~Group~(1998), Religion~II~Survey~and~ISSP~Research~Group~(2008), Religion~III~Survey~III$ 

Table 3: Religious determinants of economic values, ISSP 1998 (omitted category: Protestants)

Variable			Work ethic	hypothesis						;	Social ethic hypo	othesis			
		(1)			(2)			(3)			(4)			(5)	
	Pos	sitive working h	ours	Worl	king hours of wo	rkers	Social c	ontrol: Religiou	is practice	Rule	of law: Trust inst	itutions	Homogeneo	ous values: Famil	y importance
	Arruñada	Calvinist	Broad	Arruñada	Calvinist	Broad	Arruñada	Calvinist	Broad	Arruñada	Calvinist	Broad	Arruñada	Calvinist	Broad
(a) Difference between Cat	tholics and Protesta	nts (regressions	with variable effec	ets):											
Catholic	-0.014	-0.051	-0.008	0.033	0.430	-0.038	-0.034*	-0.054*	-0.084***	-0.012	-0.017	0.003	0.111***	0.179***	0.141***
	(0.035)	(0.067)	(0.036)	(0.445)	(0.999)	(0.462)	(0.018)	(0.032)	(0.019)	(0.022)	(0.037)	(0.022)	(0.026)	(0.043)	(0.026)
Faith	0.067***	0.003	0.019	-0.445*	-0.413	-0.492*	0.580***	0.597***	0.571***	0.057***	0.081***	0.049***	-0.230***	-0.282***	-0.248***
	(0.023)	(0.053)	(0.024)	(0.256)	(0.776)	(0.267)	(0.012)	(0.027)	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.031)	(0.014)	(0.016)	(0.036)	(0.017)
Religious upbringing	-0.004	-0.055	0.010	0.035	0.004	0.027	0.248***	0.246***	0.268***	0.045***	0.042	0.046***	-0.069***	-0.071**	-0.101***
	(0.022)	(0.051)	(0.026)	(0.248)	(0.712)	(0.296)	(0.011)	(0.025)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.030)	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.034)	(0.018)
Education	0.219***	0.164***	0.206***	0.746***	0.559	1.014***	0.108***	0.133***	0.094***	0.134***	0.097***	0.114***	-0.075***	-0.035	-0.081***
	(0.023)	(0.054)	(0.023)	(0.245)	(0.709)	(0.249)	(0.010)	(0.026)	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.030)	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.034)	(0.015)
Catholic x Faith	-0.131***	-0.055	-0.081***	0.649**	0.734	0.701**	-0.167***	-0.199***	-0.169***	0.048***	0.021	0.039**	0.121***	0.186***	0.143***
	(0.027)	(0.055)	(0.027)	(0.316)	(0.801)	(0.324)	(0.014)	(0.028)	(0.014)	(0.017)	(0.032)	(0.017)	(0.02)	(0.037)	(0.021)
CatholicR x Religious	-0.040	0.028	-0.005*	-0.374	-0.465	-0.038	0.048***	0.013	0.001	0.011	0.013	-0.001	0.060***	0.072**	0.010***
upbringing	(0.028)	(0.053)	(0.003)	(0.32)	(0.740)	(0.036)	(0.014)	(0.026)	(0.002)	(0.018)	(0.032)	(0.002)	(0.021)	(0.036)	(0.002)
Catholic x Education	-0.035	0.029	-0.014	-0.821***	-0.611	-1.092***	-0.091***	-0.114***	-0.078***	-0.082***	-0.054*	-0.060***	0.104***	0.058*	0.118***
	(0.028)	(0.056)	(0.027)	(0.312)	(0.734)	(0.312)	(0.012)	(0.027)	(0.013)	(0.016)	(0.032)	(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.035)	(0.018)
Observations	18,233	11,684	17,139	9,614	5,776	9,026	18,553	11,860	17,544	18,046	11,415	17,371	18,158	11,432	17,103
		-	*		-		,		,	-			•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(b) Difference between Cat	tholics and Protesta	nts (regressions	without variable e	ffects):											
Catholic	-0.03	-0.052	-0.013	-0.192	-0.05	-0.223	0.078***	0.092**	0.017	0.007	-0.002	0.024	0.110***	0.153***	0.156***
	(0.035)	(0.060)	(0.034)	(0.428)	(0.767)	(0.413)	(0.022)	(0.038)	(0.022)	(0.002)	(0.033)	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.039)	(0.024)
Observations	18,233	13,080	17,988	9,614	6,587	9,497	18,553	13,272	18,393	18,046	12,747	18,246	18,158	12,828	17,964

Sources: Arruñada (2010: 905); and own calculations in Stata using ISSP Research Group (1998), Religion II Survey.

Notes: All models (in columns) estimated with constants and demographic and country controls, whose coefficients are not reported in the table. Equation (1), probit; equations (2) and (4), OLS; equations (3) and (5), ordered probit. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significance at 10, 5, and 1% levels. Robust standard errors in parentheses.

Table 4: Religious determinants of economic values, ISSP 1998 vs. ISSP 2008 (using Calvinist definition of Protestantism)

Variable		Work ethic	hypothesis				Social ethic	hypothesis		
	(	6)	(	7)	(	8)	(1	9)	(1	0)
	Positive wo	Positive working hours		ırs of workers	Religiou	s practice	Trust ins	stitutions	Family in	nportance
	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008
(a) Difference between Cat	holics and Protesta	nts (regressions w	ith variable effect	s):						
Catholic	-0.051	0.088	0.430	1.184	-0.054*	0.146***	-0.017	-0.032	0.179***	0.130**
	(0.067)	(0.080)	(0.999)	(0.825)	(0.032)	(0.046)	(0.037)	(0.048)	(0.043)	(0.055)
Faith	0.003	0.041	-0.413	-1.560**	0.597***	0.548***	0.081***	0.021	-0.282***	-0.244***
	(0.053)	(0.066)	(0.776)	(0.754)	(0.027)	(0.040)	(0.031)	(0.043)	(0.036)	(0.049)
Religious upbringing	-0.055	-0.154**	0.004	0.122	0.246***	0.267***	0.042	0.081*	-0.071**	0.013
	(0.051)	(0.065)	(0.712)	(0.659)	(0.025)	(0.035)	(0.030)	(0.042)	(0.034)	(0.049)
Education	0.164***	0.053	0.559	0.887	0.133***	0.075**	0.097***	0.053	-0.035	0.069*
	(0.054)	(0.063)	(0.709)	(0.628)	(0.026)	(0.036)	(0.030)	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.040)
Catholic x Faith	-0.055	-0.051	0.734	1.342*	-0.199***	-0.159***	0.021	0.046	0.186***	0.124**
	(0.055)	(0.067)	(0.801)	(0.761)	(0.028)	(0.040)	(0.032)	(0.044)	(0.037)	(0.050)
CatholicR x Religious	0.028	0.107	-0.465	-0.038	0.013	0.036	0.013	-0.090**	0.072**	-0.006
upbringing	(0.053)	(0.066)	(0.740)	(0.671)	(0.026)	(0.036)	(0.032)	(0.042)	(0.036)	(0.050)
Catholic x Education	0.029	0.128**	-0.611	-0.855	-0.114***	-0.099***	-0.054*	-0.022	0.058*	0.011
	(0.056)	(0.063)	(0.734)	(0.639)	(0.027)	(0.036)	(0.032)	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.041)
Observations	11,684	18,865	5,776	11,325	11,860	18,258	11,415	17,876	11,432	17,690
	(0.056)	(0.063)	(0.734)	(0.639)	(0.027)	(0.036)		(0.032)	(0.032) (0.035)	(0.032) (0.035) (0.035)
(b) Difference between Cat	holics and Protesta	nts (regressions w	ithout variable ef	fects):						
Catholic	-0.052	0.083*	-0.05	0.393	0.092**	0.118***	-0.002	0.032	0.153***	0.135***
	(0.060)	(0.051)	(0.767)	(0.537)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.033)	(0.030)	(0.039)	(0.037)
Observations	13,080	20,384	6,587	12,184	13,272	19,706	12,747	19,315	12,828	19,137

Sources: Own calculations in Stata using ISSP Research Group (1998), Religion II Survey; and ISSP Research Group (2008), Religion III Survey.

Notes: All models (in columns) estimated with constants and demographic and country controls, whose coefficients are not reported in the table. Equation (6), probit; equations (7) and (9), OLS; equations (8) and (10), ordered probit. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significance at 10, 5, and 1% levels. Robust standard errors in parentheses.

Table 5: Religious determinants of economic values, ISSP 1998 vs. ISSP 2008 (using broad definition of Protestantism)

Variable		Work ethic	hypothesis				Social ethic	hypothesis		
	(1	1)	(1	2)	(1	.3)	(1	4)	(1	15)
	Positive wo	Positive working hours		irs of workers	Religiou	s practice	Trust ins	stitutions	Family in	nportance
	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008	ISSP 1998	ISSP 2008
(a) Difference between Cat	holics and Protesta	nts (regressions w	ith variable effect	s):						
Catholic	-0.008	0.036	-0.038	-0.372	-0.084***	-0.127***	0.003	-0.159***	0.155***	0.118***
	(0.036)	(0.023)	(0.462)	(0.311)	(0.019)	(0.013)	(0.022)	(0.017)	(0.027)	(0.019)
Faith	0.019	0.000	-0.492*	-0.380**	0.571***	0.529***	0.049***	0.021*	-0.247***	-0.216***
	(0.024)	(0.018)	(0.267)	(0.193)	(0.012)	(0.010)	(0.014)	(0.011)	(0.017)	(0.013)
Religious upbringing	0.010	-0.071***	0.027	0.134	0.268***	0.290***	0.046***	-0.024**	-0.100***	-0.149***
	(0.026)	(0.018)	(0.296)	(0.197)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.015)	(0.012)	(0.018)	(0.014)
Education	0.206***	0.206***	1.014***	0.858***	0.094***	0.057***	0.114***	0.095***	-0.078***	0.010
	(0.023)	(0.016)	(0.249)	(0.189)	(0.011)	(0.009)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.016)	(0.011)
Catholic x Faith	-0.081***	-0.014	0.701**	0.265	-0.169***	-0.141***	0.039**	0.052***	0.141***	0.085***
	(0.027)	(0.021)	(0.324)	(0.234)	(0.014)	(0.011)	(0.017)	(0.014)	(0.021)	(0.016)
CatholicR x Religious	-0.005*	0.035	-0.038	-0.005	0.001	-0.006	-0.001	0.021	0.101***	0.149***
upbringing	(0.003)	(0.021)	(0.036)	(0.230)	(0.002)	(0.012)	(0.002)	(0.014)	(0.022)	(0.016)
Catholic x Education	-0.014	-0.024	-1.092***	-0.851***	-0.078***	-0.071***	-0.060***	-0.056***	0.112***	0.077***
	(0.027)	(0.019)	(0.312)	(0.234)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.016)	(0.013)	(0.019)	(0.014)
Observations	17,139	27,932	9,026	17,176	17,544	26,936	17,371	26,587	16,897	26,383
Observations	(0.027) 17,139	(0.019) 27,932	(0.312) 9,026	(0.234) 17,176	(0.013)	(0.010)		(0.016)	(0.016) (0.013)	(0.016) (0.013) (0.019)
<ul> <li>b) Difference between Cat Catholic</li> </ul>	holics and Protesta -0.013	nts (regressions w 0.014	ithout variable eff -0.223	ects): -0.517*	0.017	-0.101***	0.024	-0.170***	0.156***	0.139***
Camone	(0.034)	-0.022	(0.413)	(0.293)	(0.022)	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.016)	(0.024)	(0.018)
Observations	17,988	28,695	9,497	17,522	18,393	27,672	18,246	27,297	17,964	27,108

Sources: Own calculations in Stata using ISSP Research Group (1998), Religion II Survey; and ISSP Research Group (2008), Religion III Survey.

Notes: All models (in columns) estimated with constants and demographic and country controls, whose coefficients are not reported in the table. Equation (11), probit; equations (12) and (14), OLS; equations (13) and (15), ordered probit. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significance at 10, 5, and 1% levels. Robust standard errors in parentheses.

Table S1: Variable definitions, Arruñada/ISSP 1998 vs. ISSP 2008

Variable		Arruñada/ISSP 1998		ISSP 2008	Value of variable		
	Code	Survey question	Code	Survey question			
Work ethic:							
Positive working hours	v213	Hours worked weekly	WRKHRS	R: Hours worked weekly	Binary variable (equals 1 if hours > 0)		
Working hours of workers	v213	Hours worked weekly	WRKHRS	R: Hours worked weekly	Hours worked (observation ommitted if hours = 0)		
Social control:							
Religious practice	Index	Built with scores of first principal components from v58,v59,v60 and v218	Index	Built with scores of first principal components from V59,V60,V63 and ATTEND	Standardised		
	v58	How often do you pray?	V59	How often do you pray?	Frequency		
	v59	How often take part in church activities?	V60	R: Attendance of religious services	Frequency		
	v60	Would you describe yourself as extremely religious?	V63	R describes self as religious	Recoded for the variable to increase with religiosity		
	v218	How often do you attend religious services?	ATTEND	Attendance of religious services	Frequency		
Rule of law:							
Trust institutions	Index	Built with scores of first principal components from v20 and v23	Index	Built with scores of first principal components from V14 and V17	Standardised		
	v20	How much confidence respondent has in parliament?	V14	How much confidence respondent has in parliament?	Variable to increase with confidence		
	v21	How much confidence respondent has in courts and the legal system?	V17	How much confidence respondent has in courts and the legal system?	Variable to increase with confidence		
Homogenous values:							
Importance of family	Index	Built as difference between v7 and v8	Index	Built as difference between V7 and V8	Difference		
	v7	Do you think it is wrong to have sexual relations before marriage?	V7	Do you think it is wrong to have sexual relations before marriage?	Tolerance		
	v8	Do you think it is wrong to have sexual relations with others than spouse?	V8	Do you think it is wrong to have sexual relations with others than spouse?	Tolerance		
Independent variables:							
Catholic	v217	R: Religious denomination	RELIG	R: Religious denomination	Binary variable		
CatholicR	v53	Religion R was raised in	V52	What religion, if any, were you raised in?	Binary variable		
Faith	Index	Built with scores of first principal components from v39 to v41	Index	Built with scores of first principal components from V35 to V37	Standardised		
	v39	R believe in life after death?	V35	Belief in life after death	Variable to increase with strength of belief		
	v40	R believe in Heaven?	V36	Belief in heaven	Binary variable		
	v41	R believe in Hell?	V37	Belief in hell	Binary variable		
Religious upbringing	v57	R age 11-12 yrs, how often attend church	V58	R age 11-12, R attend church	Frequency		
Education	v205	R: Education II: Highest education level	DEGREE	R: Education II-highest education level	Standardised		
Control variables:							
Sex	v200	Sex of respondent	SEX	Sex of respondent	Binary variable		
Marital status	v202	R: Marital status	MARITAL	R: Marital status	Binary variable		
Age	v201	Age of respondent	AGE	Age of respondant	Standardised		
Country	v3	Country	V4	Country	Standardised		

Sources: Arruñada (2010: Appendix); ISSP 1998 Codebook; and ISSP 2008 Codebook.

Table S2: Comparison of means, ISSP 1998 vs. ISSP 2008

Variable	Difference (ISSP 2008 – ISSP 1998)									
	Catholics an	d Protestants	Prote	stants	Cath	nolics				
	Calvinist	Broad	Calvinist	Broad	Calvinist	Broad				
Positive working hours	0.117***	0.113***	0.168***	0.116***	0.113***	0.113***				
Working hours of workers	-1.753***	-1.466***	-0.671***	-0.746***	-1.920	-1.916***				
Importance of family	0.012	-0.013***	0.170	-0.035	0.002***	0.002				
Catholic	0.026***	0.012***								
Women	1.010	1.011***	1.002	1.013	1.010	1.010				
Widowed	-0.002	-0.009***	0.019	-0.020	-0.004	-0.004***				
Divorced & seperated	0.032***	0.026***	0.034***	0.016***	0.032***	0.032***				
Single	0.065***	0.067***	-0.011***	0.066***	0.068	0.068***				

Sources: Own calculations in Stata using ISSP Research Group (1998), Religion II Survey; and ISSP Research Group (2008), Religion III Survey.

Notes: Difference in means is calculated by subtracting the 1998 form the 2008 means. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significance at 10, 5, and 1% levels in a two-tailed t-test following Levene's (1960) test for equality of variances.

Table S3: Comparison of residual heterogeneity, Catholics vs. Protestants

Variable	Difference (Protestants – Catholics)									
	Arruñada	ISSP	1998	ISSP 2008						
		Calvinist	Broad	Calvinist	Broad					
Positive working hours	-0.039***	0.015**	-0.015***	0.017***	0.012***					
Working hours of workers	-1.701***	1.035***	-1.021***	-0.888***	-1.245***					
Religious practice	0.022	0.136***	0.027	0.215***	0.105***					
Trust institutions	-0.050***	-0.097***	-0.055***	-0.050***	-0.060***					
Family importance	-0.076***	-0.002***	0.000***	-0.001***	0.000***					

Sources: Arruñada (2010: 906), and own calculations in Stata using OLS regressions with the same independent variables as in Panels (a) of Tables 4, 5 and 6.

Notes: \*\*\* Significance at 1%, using Levene's (1960) test for equality of variances.