1 2 Accepted journal article in Chemosphere – please refer to following DOI for full content: doi: 10.1016/j.

3

Abandoned metal mines and their impact on receiving waters: A case study from Southwest England

6 Steven J Beane¹, Sean D W Comber^{1*}, John Rieuwerts¹ and Peter Long²

7 ^{*} Corresponding author: sean.comber@plymouth.ac.uk

8 ¹Biogeochemistry Research Centre, University of Plymouth, Drakes Circus, Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA

9 ² Environment Agency, Sir John Moore House, Victoria Square, Bodmin, Cornwall, PL31 1EB

10

11 Key words: metals, contamination, mines, hotspots, assessment, speciation

Abstract: Historic mine sites are a major source of contamination to terrestrial and river 12 13 environments. To demonstrate the importance of determining the significance of point and 14 diffuse metal contamination and the related bioavailability of the metals present from 15 abandoned mines a case study has been carried out. The study provides a quantitative 16 assessment of a historic mine site, Wheal Betsy, southwest England, and its contribution to non-compliance with Water Framework Directive (WFD) Environmental Quality Standards 17 (EQS) for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn. Surface water and sediment samples showed significant 18 19 negative environmental impacts even taking account of the bioavailability of the metal 20 present, with lead concentration in the stream sediment up to 76 times higher than the 21 Canadian sediment guidelines 'Probable Effect Level'. Benthic invertebrates showed a 22 decline in species richness adjacent to the mine site with lead and cadmium the main cause. 23 The main mine drainage adit was the single most significant source of metal (typically 50% 24 of metal load from the area, but 88% for Ni) but the mine spoil tips north and south of the adit 25 input added together discharged roughly an equivalent loading of metal with the exception of Ni. The bioavailability of metal in the spoil tips exhibited differing spatial patterns owing to 26 27 varying ambient soil physico-chemistry. The data collected is essential to provide a clear 28 understanding of the contamination present as well as its mobility and bioavailability, in order 29 to direct the decision making process regarding remediation options and their likely 30 effectiveness.

31 Key words: metals; mines; pollution; bioavailability; risk; sources

- 32
- 33
- 34

35 **1. Introduction**

36 Historic mining for metals in Europe dates back to pre-Roman times, but with notable 37 exceptions most have ceased operations. These abandoned sites are an important source of environmental contamination with elevated levels of toxic elements often recorded in soils 38 39 and adjacent river systems (e.g. Pirrie et al., 2003; Rieuwerts et al., 2014; Hudson-Edwards 40 et al., 1996). For example, in many areas of the UK, such as southwest England and other parts of Europe, evidence of uncontrolled historic mining activities has shown to have a large 41 42 and lasting impact (Galan et al., 2003; Nieto et al., 2006; Rieuwerts et al., 2009). Discharge 43 of metal rich water from abandoned mines to surface and groundwater, and contamination of 44 soils and sediments through associated industrial activity are among the highest recorded in the UK. For example, sediments in the regions Camel, Erme, Fal, Fowey, Gannel and 45 Tamar estuaries are amongst the most contaminated in the UK for cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), 46 47 zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) (Environment Agency, 2008a).

As a consequence, the legacy of historic mining in Europe poses a significant 48 management issue and a potential barrier to achieving new Environmental Quality 49 50 Standards (EQS) set under the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD - 2000/60/EC) for metals such as Cu, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni and Pb. For example, 72% of failures to achieve the Cd 51 52 quality standard in UK freshwaters are found in mined areas (Environment Agency, 2008b) and for the Specific Pollutants (UKTAG, 2008) with EQS set by the UK (Cu, Zn, manganese 53 54 (Mn), iron (Fe) and chromium (Cr)) mine impacted catchments contribute an estimated 9% of 55 rivers at risk in England and Wales and 2% in Scotland (Environment Agency, 2008b).

56 Dissolved metals and metalloids may enter the surface waters from point sources such 57 as mine adits and from diffuse sources; mainly rainwater which has percolated through spoil 58 heaps and leached metals and metalloids therein (Galan et al., 2003; Nieto et al., 2006; 59 Rieuwerts et al., 2009). Metals in runoff from spoil heaps may enter receiving waters as 60 dissolved minerals or adsorbed to particulates, which are transported downstream and 61 deposited by river processes (Jarvis et al., 2006). Over time, suspended sediments will settle 62 in the river or estuary, leading to a gradual accumulation of metals in sediments. Metals 63 within river systems are subject to varying physico-chemical conditions, transferring between the dissolved and solid phases of the aquatic environment, and depending on conditions, 64

65 may move from a relatively refractory phase into phases with greater mobility and 66 bioavailability, thus impacting on the ecology present (Klerks and Levinton, 1989).

Sediments acting as reservoirs for contaminants in the aquatic environment have been widely documented (e.g. Hartl, 2002; Pirrie et al., 2003; Sasaki et al., 2005 and Rainbow et al., 2011) and can as a result cause negative impacts to benthic ecology. Subsequently, macroinvertebrate biological indices have become a fundamental component of ecological monitoring in the UK and Europe (Metcalfe 1989; Hering & Sandin, 2004). To meet the UK's obligations under the WFD, the UK has developed the River Invertebrate Classification Tool (RICT) which runs the RIVPACS IV software (Wright et al., 2000; SEPA, 2015).

74 A cost-effective strategy to deal with the pollution from abandoned metal mines cannot 75 be developed until the extent of the contamination is understood. The UK has prioritised 226 76 waterbodies in England and Wales where pollution from mines is the main cause of EQS 77 failures under the WFD (Environment Agency, 2012; Defra, 2012). However, in few cases is 78 there a clear quantitative understanding regarding the significance of the point and diffuse 79 sources of mine inputs to receiving waters and their relative bioavailability (Banks & Palumbo-Roe, 2010; Mighanetara et al., 2009; Mayes et al., 2008). Speciation-based 80 81 methods are available to characterise the form of metals within soils and sediment based on sequential extraction to determine which fractions including exchangeable, carbonate, 82 reducible, oxidisable and residual phases the metals are associated with (Konradi et al., 83 2005; Passos et al., 2011; Zhong et al., 2011 and Rieuwerts et al., 2014). Weakly bound 84 metal, in particular, will be more mobile and potentially bioavailable (and therefore toxic) and 85 86 so determination of this fraction allows a more detailed site assessment to identify hotspots and risks to the terrestrial and aquatic ecology of the area. Furthermore, models are 87 available which provide site specific predicted no effect concentrations for terrestrial 88 organism exposed to potentially toxic elements including lead, nickel, copper and zinc in 89 90 soils based on ambient conditions of cation exchange capacity, pH, clay content and organic 91 carbon fraction (Arche, 2014).

92 Until recently, surface water EQS have been derived from hardness-based corrections as a surrogate for metal bioavailability. Metals related research has significantly added to the 93 94 understanding of physico-chemical influences on metal speciation (e.g. Pettersson et al. 1993; Vink 2002) and the development of biotic ligand models (e.g. Dixon. 1980; Meyer et 95 al., 1999: Santore et al., 2002). These models enable the prediction of bioavailable 96 concentrations based on a combination of the physico-chemical properties of water and 97 98 ecotoxicological data (Comber et al., 2008). By accounting for bioavailability, it is possible to 99 provide the most environmentally and ecologically relevant metric for metal risk. This

approach has led to new aquatic EQS being derived at an EU levels for Pb and Ni and in the
UK for Cu, Mn and Zn (Table A1 of supplementary data). Proposed Predicted No Effect
Concentrations for soils have been developed taking account of a combination of pH,
organic carbon, % clay and cation exchange capacity for Pb, Cu, Zn and Ni (Arche, 2014).

104 It is therefore now possible to estimate the chemical availability, and hence potential bioavailability, of metals in all relevant environmental media at a contaminated site. This 105 106 potential has been tested here in combination for the first time using a contaminated mine 107 site as a case study to demonstrate the benefits of using such an approach to identify 108 hotspots of bioavailable metal most likely to cause negative impacts to biological receptors. 109 Although not comprehensive from a temporal point of view, sufficient samples were taken 110 over a 6 month period to provide excellent spatial distribution and to demonstrate the benefits of the approach when considering contaminated land remediation. 111

112 The main objective of the research was to identify and propose a risk assessment 113 framework utilising available methods (chemical fractionation and modelling) capable of estimating the potential bioavailability of metals in soil, spoil, sediment and water at a 114 contaminated site and demonstrate its benefits via a case study. The case study was based 115 at Wheal Betsy an abandoned silver-lead mine which has been shown to be contaminating 116 Cholwell Brook, a tributary of the Tavy (Figure 1). Specific objectives to achieve these aims 117 were to:(1) Utilise chemical and model-based methods to determine the mobility and 118 potential bioavailability of key metals in soil, spoil, sediment and water (2) identify the major 119 sources and pathways of heavy metal contamination into surface waters using spot samples 120 and apportioning loads where possible; (3) demonstrate how impacts on receptors may be 121 122 measured by using benthic-macroinvertebrates as biological indices.

123 **2. Materials and Methods**

124 **2.1 Study area**

The study was conducted at Wheal Betsy, a former Pb-Ag mine on a north-south lode of 125 126 the Culm Measures (shales and thin sandstones) located on the north-west edge of 127 Dartmoor, Devon, UK (Ordnance Survey grid reference SX 51012 81385). Records indicate that over its operation lifetime (1806 to 1877), 400 t of Pb and 113 kg of Ag were mined and 128 processed on site (Booker, 1967). Mineralogy can be divided into three areas covering 129 130 59,300 m³ Turner (2011); (1) the northern slopes dominated by steeply sloping spoil tips, comprising of coarse gravels, pebbles and cobbles; (2) the southern slopes which are a 131 collection of finely grained spoil tips, varied in colour (yellow clays, orange sands and grey 132 133 slates) and typical of mineral processing and; (3) the stream valley bottom. Cholwell Brook flows south down a steep valley through the highly contaminated areas of mine waste, and
then into the River Tavy 3 km downstream, a main tributary of the River Tamar which flows
into the English Channel at Plymouth. The mine's main adit and spoil tips at Wheal Betsy are
an important source of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn.



Figure 1 Sampling locations from Wheal Betsy mine site and the Cholwell Brook,
 Colly Brook and River Tavy. Location of point discharge from adit
 indicated by . Numbers on markers correspond to sample no. and
 locations.

2.2 Sampling protocol and sample treatment

165 **2.2.1 Soil, mine waste and stream sediment sampling**

Twenty-five mine waste samples were collected from spoil heaps and waste material and 166 167 twenty soil samples of topsoil in and around the area (Figure 1, and Table A2 of 168 supplementary material). In addition, two soil samples were collected from Dartmoor away 169 from any recorded mining activity and used as a control for background concentrations. At 170 each sampling site, five sub-samples were taken from the centre and each corner of a 171 square metre grid to 15 cm depth using a stainless steel trowel. Nine sediment samples were collected at locations indicated by squares in Figure 1 along the Cholwell Brook from 172 its headwaters on Dartmoor, through the mine waste to its confluence with the River Tavy. 173 An additional three sediment samples were taken from the Colly Brook and River Tavy, and 174 175 a single sample from Wheal Betsy adit for comparative purposes (Table A3 supplementary 176 Material). All samples were stored in strengthened paper ("Kraft") sample bags.

177 **2.2.2 Sample treatment and measurement of physico-chemical properties**

178 Once returned to the laboratory, all samples were dried at 50°C for one week until 179 constant weight was obtained. Standard methods were used for determination of soil 180 properties. For pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), loss-on-ignition (LOI) and total carbon 181 content, dried samples were gently disaggregated with a pestle and mortar and passed through a 2 mm stainless steel sieve (particles >2 mm were removed). For pH analysis, 4 g 182 of each sample (<2 mm fraction) were shaken in 10 ml of de-ionised water in a 25ml 183 centrifuge tube and left over night, the pH of the supernatant was measured using an Oakton 184 Acorn series pH 6 meter (glass electrode), calibrated at pH 4.0 and 7.0. LOI and total 185 carbon content analysis followed the method developed by Heiri et al (2001). CEC was 186 measured using US Environmental Protection Agency method 9081 (United States 187 188 Environmental Protection Agency, 2000) employing a methane flame photometer Corning 189 400. For sequential extraction analysis, a sub-sample of particles <2 mm were reduced sieved to 180 µm and 125 µm size respectively and stored separately. 190

191 **2.2.3** Water sampling, physico-chemical properties and flow measurement

Sampling sites (Table A3 supplementary material) were coordinated in partnership with the Environment Agency (EA) as part of their routine environmental monitoring and assessment for mine impacted catchments. Seven samples of stream water were collected along the Cholwell Brook from its headwaters on Dartmoor to its confluence with the River Tavy, 3 km downstream, on two separate occasions: April and June 2014 (Figure 1). Data 197 for September and October 2013 were supplied by the EA. Water samples were collected in 198 two 250 ml polyethylene bottles (of which one sample was filtered through a 0.45µm cellulose acetate membrane) and sent to the Environment Agency's UKAS accredited 199 ISO/IEC 17025:2005 National Laboratory Service for chemical analysis by Inductively 200 Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-201 OES). Duplicate samples and blanks were included at each sampling event for quality 202 control. Conductivity, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured in situ using a 203 calibrated multi-parameter meter (Hanna HI9024/5). For suspended solids, an additional 204 water sample was collected in a 1 litre acid-washed bottle following the methodology 205 outlined by Environment Canada (1979). The velocity of water was determined using a 206 Valeport Braystroke BFM002 flow meter with a small impeller at the centre of the stream and 207 at a depth approximately one third from the bottom of the streambed and at a point of 208 minimal turbulence. Combining velocity measurements with the stream cross-sectional area 209 allowed conversion to m³ s⁻¹ which was then used to calculate metal loads within the 210 211 catchment. The contaminant load distribution along the study stream helped to identify sources of pollution. Metal bioavailability was predicted using Biotic Ligand Model (BLM) 212 based screening tools (Bio-Met, 2014) to derive site specific EQS for Pb, Zn, Mn and Ni. 213

214 2.2.4 Macro-invertebrate sampling and analysis

215 Sampling sites (Figure 1) were chosen in accordance with EA protocol for the routine monitoring of benthic invertebrates as part of the WFD. Six invertebrate samples were 216 collected using BS EN 27828:1994, ISO 7828 - 1985 from shallow-flowing waters by 217 disturbing the substratum with the feet ('kick sampling') and upstream of a hand net (with a 218 mesh size 1 mm) held vertically on the riverbed and preserved in industrial methylated 219 spirits. Identification of benthic invertebrates was assessed to species level by an 220 experienced EA freshwater biologist. The RICT predictive model was then used to generate 221 222 an 'expected' fauna from measured environmental variables including altitude, slope, flow, 223 velocity, distance from source, width, depth, alkalinity and bed sediment typre (boulder, pebble, sand and silt fractions) (see SEPA, 2015 for further details). A set of unique biotic 224 indices were then calculated for the 'expected' and 'observed' fauna and compared using the 225 Ecological Quality Ratio (EQR) to determine the ecological status. (Table A4 supplementary 226 material). Macroinvertebrate data was analysed using De-trended Correspondence Analysis 227 (DCA) using R Version 3.1.1 software and used to produce a 2-dimensional 'Decorana' 228 graph using community assemblage at each site versus total metal concentrations in water 229 230 and sediment to assess, by comparison, the impact from heavy metal contamination on 231 benthic invertebrate communities.

232

233 **2.3 Sequential extraction and analysis**

234 2.3.1 Reagents and materials

Analytical grade reagents (Aristar/PrimarPlus Trace) and high purity (MQ water) obtained from a Milli-Q system (Millipore, 18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹ at 25°C) were used to prepare all aqueous solutions. All plastic and glassware were pre-washed in hydrochloric acid (10% v/v) for 24 hours and then rinsed thoroughly with Milli-Q water. The sampling and analytical procedures incorporated a strict quality control programme using reagent blanks, triplicate samples (10 %) and certified reference materials (including CRM 701 for sediment from SM&T). Check standards were used at regular intervals to ensure analytical accuracy.

242 **2.3.2 Instrumentation**

Analysis was of water and extracted particulate samples at mg L⁻¹ levels was achieved 243 using a Thermo Scientific[™] iCAP[™] 7400 ICP-OES with a mass-flow controlled nebuliser 244 gas flow for long-term signal stability, across a wavelength range of 166 - 847 nm. For 245 samples requiring lower limits of detection (µg L⁻¹ range) a Thermo Scientific XSeries 2, ICP-246 MS was used with a collision cell to reduce interferences. The limits of detection (LOD) for 247 multi-elemental analysis using ICP-OES were 30 µg L¹ Fe, 10 µg L¹, Mn and 1 µg L¹ Ca. 248 The LOD for elements using ICP-MS were 1 µg L⁻¹Cu, 2.0 µg L⁻¹ Pb, 0.5 µg L⁻¹ Cr, 0.1 µg L⁻¹ 249 Cd, 1 μ g L⁻¹ Ni, 5.0 μ g L⁻¹ Zn, 10 μ g L⁻¹ Al. An MSE Centaur 2, was used for all 250 centrifugation at 4000 rpm, and a Stuart SSL2, 25- 250 rpm, linear reciprocating end-over 251 252 shaker was used.

253 2.3.3 Sequential extraction

The modified sequential extraction scheme proposed by Rauret et al. (2001) for the 254 Standards, Measurements and Testing programme of the European Union (SM&T – formerly 255 BCR) and detailed in Rauret et al. (1999) was used for all solid samples. The exchangeable / 256 acid soluble fraction (F1) is indicative of metals that are most readily leached and therefore, 257 present the greatest risk to the environment. The reducible fraction (F2) represents the 258 content of metals bound to Fe and Mn oxides that could be released under reducing 259 260 conditions. The oxidisable fraction (F3) reflects the amount of metal bound to sulfides and organic matter, which would be released into the environment under oxidising conditions. 261 The residual fraction (R) contains metals with a strong association to the crystalline structure 262 263 of minerals and is considered to be inert in the environment. According to Rubio et al.

(2010), metals with anthropogenic sources are mainly found in the first three fractions, whilemetals with lithogenic origins are found in the residual fraction.

Soil, mine waste and sediment samples (1 g, <180 μ m) were sequentially extracted for four operationally-defined fractions in 50 ml centrifuge tubes and subjected to the following extraction regime:

(F1) exchangeable/acid fraction (surface bound metals to carbonates) – soil sample
 extracted with 40 ml of acetic acid, 0.11 M, shaken end to end at 30 rpm for 16 h, room
 temperature;

(F2) reducible fraction (bound to Fe/Mn oxides, oxyhydroxides) – residue from step one
extracted with 40 ml hydroxylammonium chloride, 0.1 M, pH adjusted to 1.5 with 25 ml nitric
acid, shaken end to end at 30 rpm for 16 h, room temperature;

(F3) oxidisable fraction (bound to organic matter and sulphides) – residue from step 2 digested in 10 ml hydrogen peroxide, 8.8 M (30%) at room temperature for 1 hr with occasional manual shaking. Mixture heated to 85 °C for 1 h or longer (water bath) until volume reduced to 3ml. Double extraction was repeated twice, followed by an addition of 50 ml ammonium acetate, 1.0 M, adjusted to pH 2 with nitric acid, shaken end to end for 16 h, room temperature; and

(R) residual fraction (crystal lattice of original mineral, identified as 'inactive' fraction) -281 the residue from step 3 was used to provide a pseudo-total concentration and digested in 10 282 ml aqua regia (3:1 v/v HCI: HNO₃, 120°C, 1.5 h) in a 50 ml glass beaker covered with a 283 watch glass, and is assumed to be the difference between total concentration and the 284 secondary-phase fraction (SPF), the sum of F1, F2, and F3. The SPF is often referred to in 285 the results and discussion as the potentially-mobile fractions, and is considered potentially 286 hazardous to organisms in the aquatic environment. After each extraction, separation was 287 288 done by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes and the supernatant carefully transferred to universal acid-washed bottles, and stored at 4 °C before analysis by ICP-OES. Procedural 289 290 blanks were below the LOD.

Analysis of CRMs (Table A5 supplementary material) revealed a general trend for a negative bias (i.e. lower values than the certified value) in step 1 and step 3; however statistical analysis using the two sample t-test observed that the values of certified and measured fractions of CRM 701 do not differ at the 99.9% (p<0.001) level of confidence, except in the first step for Cr, Cu and Pb and the third step for Cd, Ni, Pb and Zn. The sum of metals extracted from step 4 were added to F1, F2 and F3 to provide a pseudo-total

concentration with >92% recovery recorded for all metals except Ni, with a recovery of 88%.
The extractable mass fractions recorded were similar to those in Horváth et al. (2010), with
negative bias in step 1 for Cr, and step 3 for Ni and Zn (Table A5 supplementary material).
Fernández et al. (2004) also reported discrepancies and reliability issues when using the
BCR modified method with irregular recoveries for Cr, Cu, Ni and Zn, similar to those
experienced in this study.

303 2.4 Environmental Quality Standards

304 2.4.1 Water Quality Standards

The Biotic Ligand Model principle was applied to the water data using the BioMet tool to determine site specific EQS for Cu, Ni Zn, Mn which required inputs of dissolved Ca, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and pH (BioMet, 2014). An EQS correction for the Pb EQS was achieved using the BLM screening tool (Arche, 2014).

309 2.4.2 Soil Quality Standards

Soil quality standards are established for human health as part of contaminated land reclamation requirements, but recently a model for predicting no effect concentrations has been produced for terrestrial ecology under REACH (Smolders et al., 2009) and is available for downloading for free (<u>http://www.arche-consulting.be/</u>). The spreadsheet model required inputs for pH, organic carbon content, clay content, effective cation exchange capacity and derives site specific PNECs for Zn (added to background), Cu, Co, Mo, Ni and Pb. A generic value of 1.1 mg kg⁻¹ is used for Cd.

317 2.4.3 Sediment Quality Standards

There are no standardised EU sediment quality standards. Consequently the established 318 values derived by Environment Canada were used for assessing sediment impacts for the 319 metals. The lower value, referred to as the threshold effect level (TEL), represents the 320 concentration below which adverse biological effects are not expected to occur. The 321 probable effect level (PEL), defines the level above which adverse effects are expected to 322 occur frequently (CCME, 2001). Risk Characterisation Ratios (RCR) have been calculated 323 324 based on observed concentrations expressed as a fraction of the PEL for either 325 exchangeable metals, to represent bioavailable fractions or total metal to show a 326 comparable risk if bioavailability is not taken into account (data to total RCRs show in 327 supplementary data).

329

330 **3. Results & Discussion**

To demonstrate the advantages of taking account of metal bioavailability in environmental risk assessments, two datasets are shown in the following sections, one utilising total metal concentrations and the other bioavailable metal based on readily available measurements or modelling outputs. Consequently, all concentrations are normalised to the risk characterisation ratio (RCR), in other words, the measured metal concentration (total or bioavailable) divided by the quality standard. Any values greater than 1, suggest a negative impact occurring within the matrix, decreasing RCRs suggest diminishing risk.

338

339 3.1 Physico-chemical characteristics of soil, mine waste and stream sediments

340 The general physico-chemical properties of the soil, mine waste and sediments are critical in controlling the speciation and fate of the metal present. Without this data, it would 341 342 not be possible to predict the bioavailability of the metal within the spoil and soil or plan 343 effective remediation. Table 1 shows that reference soils used as a controls for background 344 concentrations in this study were naturally acidic (pH 4.3 - 4.4), characteristic of the acidic permeable upland soils of Dartmoor, with high organic matter content (LOI) and CEC. Soil 345 samples collected from around the mine site exhibited similar characteristics with high LOI 346 (19.3%) and CEC (27.6 mEq 100 g^{-1}) and pH in the range 4.50 to 6.56. CEC was 347 significantly correlated (<0.001) with LOI, illustrating the importance of organic matter as an 348 ion exchanger. Due to the heterogeneity of mine waste samples and varying composition of 349 350 the western and southern slopes and limited vegetation cover, typically low values were reported for CEC and LOI. Mean pH values (pH 4.49) for mine waste samples were low, 351 352 characteristic of low OC content, oxidation of sulphide minerals within the spoil heaps and/or lack of neutralising capacity. Stream sediments were found to have the lowest LOI (3.37%) 353 354 and CEC (8.42 mEq 100 g⁻¹), with a mean pH of 6.0. In contrast, a single sample of sediment, taken from Wheal Betsy adit recorded the highest CEC and high LOI, which is 355 356 attributed to the a build-up of organic matter from surrounding woodland.

357

358

359

Sample	Statistical Analysis	рН	LOI (%)	CEC (mEq 100 g ⁻¹)
Soil	Mean	5.93	16.1	27.6
(<i>n</i> – 20)	Standard Deviation	0.58	5.1	8.0
	Min	4.50	6.0	11.4
	Max	6.56	28.6	47.2
	Coefficient of variation (%)	10	32	29
Mine Spoil	Mean	4.49	5.9	12.2
(<i>n</i> – 25)	Standard Deviation	1.31	2.7	5.0
	Min	3.09	1.7	4.9
	Max	7.29	12.6	22.3
	Coefficient of variation (%)	29	45	41
Sediment	Mean	6.00	3.4	8.4
(<i>n</i> – 9)	Standard Deviation	0.54	4.2	2.1
	Min	5.39	1.5	4.4
	Max	6.00	4.6	10.9
	Coefficient of variation (%)	9	32	25
Adit	Mean	6.02	17.3	41.7
(<i>n</i> – 1)				
Reference Soil	Mean	4.44	19.3	36.7
(<i>n</i> – 2)	Standard Deviation	0.15	4.6	10.4
	Min	4.33	16.0	29.3
	Max	4.54	22.5	44.0
	Coefficient of variation (%)	3	24	28

362 **Table 1. Summary of physico-chemical characteristics of soil, mine waste and sediments.**

363

361

364 **3.2 Water concentration**

The starting point for any aquatic compliance assessment under the WFD is the 365 366 concentration of the metal present in the water. Individual survey data are provided in Figures A1 and A2 supplementary material. Mean concentrations for each site sampled for 367 the four surveys were calculated then plotted as a ratio of the relevant standards, all of which 368 take some account of bioavailability. For Cd, hardness is used as a surrogate for metal 369 toxicity, whereas for Mn and Pb a DOC concentration correction is applied to take account of 370 complexation reducing bioavailability and hence toxicity. For Cu, Ni and Zn, a combination of 371 372 DOC, pH and Ca concentrations are used to amend a generic EQS to take account of the 373 physico-chemical ambient water quality's impact on metal bioavailability (Comber et al., 2008; WFD-UKTAG, 2008, 2013). In all cases, the assumptions are conservative to ensure 374 protection of the aquatic organism present and to all for possible mixture effects. 375 Assumptions and limitations of the models are described in detail elsewhere (Environment 376 Agency, 2009). Figure 2 shows a consistent pattern; upstream of Wheal Betsy all metals 377 378 measured are EQS compliant. Downstream of the mine site, including the adit drain (Site 3) 379 the EQS for all metals are exceeded. The exceedances however, are variable in their

magnitude. The Pb EQS is exceeded by over 50 times downstream of the adit, whereas for 380 381 Ni, there is only marginal non compliance; with the other metals lying between these extremes, typically in the 10 to 20 times the EQS range. This pattern is not unexpected given 382 the mineralogy being associated with Pb, which generally leads to Zn and Cd being 383 associated with the ore body, unlike Ni. The Cu EQS is relatively low, and so only minor 384 contamination leads to exceedances. For the rest of the Cholwell Brook down to its 385 confluence with the Tavy, the EQS is also exceeded, although concentrations do decrease 386 through dilution. The other mines in the area do not appear to contribute significantly to the 387 observed contamination, which reflects the fact that Wheal Betsy is the largest mine in the 388 vicinity with the most extensive spoil tips and the most significant flow from the adit. From a 389 390 mitigation standpoint, this data immediately identifies the Wheal Betsy site as the target for any further action. 391

392





395

396 3.3 Sediment concentrations

The WFD sets expectations regarding ecological health of a waterbody which includes diatoms, invertebrates, macroalgae and fish. Although water quality will largely impact on diatoms and fish; sediment quality will influence invertebrate (and to a certain extent macroalgae) ecology to a greater degree. Although there are no metal sediment quality standards available as yet for Europe, the Canadian values for threshold and probable effect levels are widely used for comparative purposes (CCME, 2014).

403 Total metal concentrations measured in the sediment samples (Table A6 supplementary material) were comparable with previous research by Rieuwerts et al. (2009), who reported 404 mean concentrations of Pb and Zn equal to 2,909 mg kg⁻¹ and 564 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. 405 The exchangeable fraction (and therefore potentially available to aquatic life) in the stream 406 407 sediments amounted to approximately 15% for Cu, Cd, Pb, Zn and Mn of the total metal present (Figure A3 and Table A6 supplementary material). This was lower than soil values 408 which may represent the loss of some more labile metal through partitioning with overlying 409 410 water from Cholwell Brook. However, the sediment exchangeable fraction (and to a large 411 degree the reducible and oxidisable fractions) was higher than the corresponding spoil for all 412 metals examined with the exception of Cr. This suggests that the oxygenated, acidic waters have advanced the oxidation process of the minerals to a greater extent than the spoil heaps 413 414 exposed to the atmosphere and therefore generated more exchangeable metal. As previously reported (e.g. Tuzen, 2003; Purushothaman & Chakrapani, 2007) a significant 415 416 proportion of Pb (average sediment value of 42%) and Mn (61%) was found in the reducible 417 fraction reflecting the insoluble nature of oxidised species of these elements. The oxidisable 418 fraction (F3) was low for all metals excluding Cu (36%) and Zn (25%), which are well known to form strong complexes with organic matter. 419

Owing to the exchangeable fraction being the most significant phase regarding metal 420 bioavailability this phase is compared with total concentrations in the discussion below 421 422 (Table A7 supplementary material). Exchangeable metal concentrations in the sediments of 423 Cholwell Brook and Tavy expressed as RCRs where observed concentrations divided by the quality standard, in this case the Canadian Probable Effect Level (PEL) and are shown in 424 Figure 3 below. The data reflect both the inputs of metals from Wheal Betsy and the physico-425 chemical characteristics of the individual metals. None of the sampling points show an 426 exceedance of the exchangeable concentration of Ni, Cu and Zn sediment threshold 427 standard, with only a marginal exceedance for Cd downstream of Wheal Betsy.. Pb 428 429 conversely shows an exceedance downstream by a factor of almost 15 directly downstream

of the adit, reflecting its stronger association with sediment, the source from Wheal Betsy
and the high concentrations in the dissolved phase. Previous research by Palumbo-Roe et
al. (2011) at Rookhope Burn, a historic Pb mine in the Northern Pennines, also reported
elevated Pb levels in sediments and water, highlighting the impacts from Pb rich sediments
on dissolved Pb levels in the water column.

Cu, Zn and Cd show higher RCR's for exchangeable metal:PEL fractions downstream 435 436 (Figure 3), which may be explained by the moderate mobility of these metals (USEPA, 2005) leading to slow migration downstream of contaminated sediment. The fact that relative 437 438 concentrations are not high directly downstream of Wheal Betsy suggests either the 439 particulate metals enter the stream more strongly bound, or that the magnitude of 440 contamination has decreased over time. Total metal RCRs calculated as a fraction of the PEL are obviously be much higher (Table A8 supplementary material), with the Pb RCR of 441 190 at the adit discharge, and the RCR for the other metals ranging up to between 3 (Ni) and 442 7 (Cd). The significantly lower RCRs for the exchangeable metal concentrations compared 443 444 with the total values do illustrate that the contamination of the sediment is potentially less serious than otherwise concluded. 445

447

448



449

450 Figure 3 Exchangeable metal concentrations expressed as a ratio to the 451 sediment quality standard (PEL)

452

When considering remediation options, with the exception of Pb, the exchangeable metal, considered to be the bioavailable fraction, is not a major concern. Only Pb exceeds the Probable Effect Level (PEL) downstream of Wheal Betsy. The strong affinity of lead for sediment and its presence in the residual and oxidisable phases suggests levels will remain high in the foreseeable future, even if input of metal to the catchment is reduced through remediation. Physical transport of polluted sediment away from the site is likely to be the main process by which lead levels in sediment within the vicinity of the mine reduce.

460

462 **3.4 Spoil metal concentration spatial distribution**

Although decontaminating sediment would be a significant undertaking, the potential for 463 removing or stabilising contaminated spoil at the site is more feasible and would be a 464 requirement to ensure long term reductions in the loads of metals entering the stream from 465 the site. As part of any remediation processes, the extent of the spoil contamination needs to 466 be assessed for (i) potential to leach dissolved, bioavailable metals into the stream; (ii) 467 potential for loss of total metal via washout of particulate material into the stream and (iii) the 468 469 toxicity of the metals present from the point of view of phytostabilisation of the tips via seeding with metal-tolerant plants. Again, sequential extractions were undertaken to 470 determine the most likely bioavailable and mobile fractions of metal present and how they 471 may be impacting on the local ecology. Figure 4 shows RCR values for the site specific soil 472 quality standards for both the total metal present and the exchangeable fraction. 473



474

475Figure 4RCR for spoil based on (A) total metal concentrations and476(B) exchangeable metal levels)

For RCRs based on total Ni in spoil, there were no values greater than 1 for exchangeable Ni in spoil and for total measured Ni, only 2 sites exceed a value of 1, with a maximum RCR of 2.0, consequently the data is not shown here (Tables A9 and A10 supplementary material). 481 For the other metals, the data show a considerable difference between total concentrations 482 and exchangeable values, with RCRs up to 100 times higher for Cu for example. Based on total concentrations, almost all samples are exceeding the RCR for all metals. Taking 483 account of the bioavailable fraction as determined by the exchangeable metal present, 484 however, Zn, Cu and Cd RCRs are largely less than 1, with only Pb ranging to over 10 at 485 486 three sites (a maximum RCR of 13.4), reflecting the mineralogy present. From a soil toxicity point of view, the data suggest that metal concentrations in the northern tips are unlikely to 487 be un-vegetated owing to metals concentrations, but potentially more likely a result of low 488 OC, poor nutrient levels and low water holding capacity. The southern tips by comparison 489 490 may be impacted by lead toxicity.

491 The spatial distribution of the contamination is interesting, for Pb highest concentrations and RCRs for both total and exchangeable Pb are found in the southern most spoil heaps, which 492 is in agreement with previous studies on total Pb concentrations (Turner, 2011). For Zn 493 494 contamination total RCR data show the contamination to be more diffuse across the site. 495 Copper data, however, show a very different pattern with higher RCR for total metal in the southern spoil tips compared with higher RCR in the northern tips for exchangeable metal. 496 The spoil in the southern tips is characterised by slightly higher organic carbon (OC) 497 498 contents and cation exchange coefficients, potentially related to generally finer particle sized material being present. This leads to the PNECs being slightly higher owing to a combination 499 of binding of Cu to OC and the increased availability of inorganic anionic sites available for 500 501 exchange of Cu on the soil particulates. Total metal concentrations are in several cases significantly higher in the southern tips (Table A10 supplementary material), but the 502 503 complexation of the Cu to particulate OC and inorganic phases renders exchangeable 504 concentrations lower and so also reduces the RCR significantly. The reason why Zn and Cd 505 do not show the same effect is likely to the lower affinity they exhibits towards particulates 506 and organic carbon compared with Cu (Harter, 1983; de Matos et al., 2001). These results show the importance of considering the speciation of the metal present in contaminated 507 508 environments as it significantly impacts on the decision making process and focus for any 509 potential remediation work to be carried out.

Taking account of the exchangeable concentrations and the RCRs, the data presented here, therefore would lead to the conclusion that the southern spoil tips would be the highest priority for further investigation and potential mitigation measures. Correlation analysis of the first three sequential extraction fractions (F1+F2+F3) as well as the summed total concentrations including the *aqua regia* digests, exhibit significant inter-correlation between Cu, Pb and Zn indicating a common source and mineralogy (data not shown). Differences in observed concentrations and physico-chemical characteristics of the spoil within the mine 517 site area is likely to reflect changing practices at the mine including dumping of different 518 grade ores with differing particle sizes, reprocessing of older waste material leading to size 519 fractionation and metal concentration, and also on site hydrology and weathering processes.

520

521 **3.5 Soil metal concentration spatial distribution**

Fifteen samples were collected from vegetated areas across the site and the data for all metals shows are reasonable degree of agreement (Figure 5). Total and exchangeable concentrations were typically an order of magnitude lower than their equivalent spoil levels, although there is still an enrichment compared with non-mineralised areas, local and further afield (Kabata-Pendias, 2011; Rawlins et al., 2003). Although there are RCR values for total metals greater than 1; for exchangeable metal concentrations RCR values for total metals are generally below 1, with the exception of 1 sample for Cd.

There was no obvious trends in concentrations across the area sampled, which is unsurprising as the samples of background soils should not have been unduly influenced by mining activity, but reflect the general mineralogy of the area. The higher OC, pH and CEC also results in a significantly higher PNEC concentration (typically double the spoil samples) which also influences the RCR in favour of lower values. The natural heathland vegetation present also reflected adequate water holding capacity, nutrient supply and metals concentrations below threshold toxicity values.

536 This assessment was useful in that it demonstrated that the contamination and potential 537 remediation requirements are restricted to the obvious mine working area and that the 538 surrounding area should not exhibit significant ecological impacts.

539



0 0 543 0 Figure 5 RCR for (A) 544 soil based on total metal concentrations and (B) exchangeable metal levels) 545 546

547

548 **3.6 Source apportionment**

549 Metals can enter the aquatic environment via two main pathways, direct discharge of adit 550 drainage and/or a combination of diffuse inputs from particulates washed from mine sites or 551 leaching from the same sources via the dissolved phase. Diffuse inputs from mine sites in 552 the SW of England have been previously shown to contribute significant loadings of metals 553 to receiving waters (Turner, 2011). Before planning remediation options, it is essential to 554 determine the relative proportions of these sources to the contamination observed within the 555 receiving water so that effective measures are identified.

556 Sampling site 3, the Wheal Betsy adit drainage exhibits the impact from point source 557 mine water inputs, with a sharp elevation and the highest recorded concentration of each 558 metal. However, Pb and Cd concentrations in the river are elevated in the vicinity of the mine 559 spoil heaps prior to the adit input, confirming the main spoil heaps as a significant source of 560 these metals. A similar, though less pronounced pattern is seen for Cu and Zn, albeit still 561 sufficient to exceed site specific BLM derived EQS prior to the adit input.

562 For the two sampling occasions where flow and concentration data were collected, load data is presented for key metals in Figure 6 and Table A11 supplementary material. 563 564 Unsurprisingly, metal loads were lower during dry conditions compared with after periods of 565 rainfall. The majority of the metal being discharged to the stream is in the dissolved phase 566 for Cu, Cd and Zn. For Pb and Mn the proportion in the dissolved phase reduces to around 50% in the adit water and downstream. Comparing the mine site samples with those 567 upstream and downstream it was possible to estimate loads from the northern spoil tips, the 568 adit and the southern tips. Comparing the adit loads with the difference between 569 570 downstream and upstream sites showed good agreement for metals which exhibit a more 571 conservative behaviour expected from simple mixing of adit water with upstream loads (e.g. Zn, Cu, Cd). For Mn and Fe and to a lesser extent Pb, the difference between the 572 573 downstream and upstream sites compared with the adit drain itself were generally more marked with loads downstream being less than the sum of the upstream and adit loads. This 574 is not unexpected owing to the instability of these metals in solution leading to them oxidising 575 576 and precipitating rapidly upon mixing with receiving waters. Expressed as a percentage of the total mine site contributions were relatively consistent for the wet and dry sampling days, 577 578 suggesting a common source (Table 2).

579

580	Table 2.	Metal and sus	pended solids l	oads from s	poil heaps and	l adit

	Load contribution													
	Northern s	spoil u/s of dit	Ac	lit	Southern spoil d/s o adit									
Dissolved	24/4/15 (wet)	11/6/14 (dry)	24/4/15 (wet)	11/6/14 (dry)	24/4/15 (wet)	11/6/14 (dry)								
Cu (g d ⁻¹ ; %)	54 (32%)	19 (25%)	77 (45%)	41 (53%)	38 (22%)	16 (21%)								
Cd (g d ⁻¹ ; %)	6.5 (36%)	2.2 (28%)	5.3 (30%)	2.9 (38%)	6.1 (34%)	2.7 (34%)								
Zn (g d ⁻¹ ; %)	814 (32%)	275 (22%)	1036 (41%)	606 (49%)	705 (28%)	350 (28%)								
Ni (g d⁻¹; %)	10 (12%)	0.6 (1%)	73 (88%)	47 (87%)	0.3 (0.4%)	6.4 (12%)								
Pb (g d ⁻¹ ; %)	393 (42%)	140 (31%)	278 (30%)	209 (46%)	266 (28%)	102 (23%)								
Susp' solids (kg d ⁻¹ ; %)	22 (28%)	7 (18%)	47 (60%)	21 (53%)	10 (12%)	11 (29%)								



582

583

Figure 6. Loads of total and dissolved metal for Cholwell Brook

584

585 The adit contribution relative to the upstream and downstream spoil tips is relatively 586 consistent, typically 50% for all metals with the exception of Ni, where the adit dominates the 587 load to the river at ~88%, reflecting the soluble nature of the element. The proportions of Fe 588 and Mn were not calculated owing to their instability in the water column.

589 Total suspended sediments also show the mine site to be a major contributor to the 590 stream loadings, with contributions of loads from the site split roughly evenly between the 591 adit and the two spoil tips, highlighting the importance of the transport and deposition of highly contaminated material to water bodies (Table 2). The results show the cumulative
transfer of metals as the Cholwell Brook moves through the areas of mine waste and the
influx of metals from the adit.

595 Based on these estimates and comparing them with the observed concentrations of 596 metals upstream and downstream of the adit discharge, and assuming a correlation between the load of metal entering the stream and the observed concentrations, then even if 597 598 treatment of the adit water took place to prevent all the metal from this source entering the 599 water, then the EQS for Cd, Pb and Zn would still be exceeded. For Ni, Cu and Mn, 600 compliance would be potentially achievable, however, giving the WFD uses the 'one out all 601 out' principle, all of the chemical and biological standard are required to be of 'good status' 602 prior to achieving the Directive's objectives. This highlights the importance of undertaking such a risk assessment procedure in order to identify the most cost effective measures that 603 may be employed to best improve the aquatic environment. 604

3.7 Impacts on benthic-macroinvertebrates communities

Table 3 shows the results from the River Invertebrate Classification Toll (RICT) statistical model (SEPA, 2015) from the 5 sampling locations identified in Figure 1. WFD EQR values are summarised in Table A12.

609

Table 3. RICT results from the macroinvertebrate data collected from Cholwell Brook

	ASPT				NTAXA							
Site	Observed	Predicted	EQR	Grade ¹	Observed	Predicted	EQR	Grade ¹				
1.Cholwell Brook d/s of Wheal Jewell reservoir	6.4	6.2	0.98	H/G	20	21.9	0.95	H/G				
2. Cholwell Brook u/s Wheal Betsy adit	6.6	6.3	1.00	H/G	18	23.7	0.79	G/M				
3. Cholwell Brook, Wheal Betsy adit	6.5	6.4	0.95	H/G	13	26.0	0.54	M/P				
4. Cholwell Brook d/s Wheal Betsy adit	6.9	6.4	1.00	H/G	11	26.0	0.47	P/B				
5. Cholwell Brook at Brook Tavy	5.8	6.4	0.87	H/G	14	26.0	0.58	M/P				

611 ASPT = Average Score per Taxa; NTAXA = Number of TAXA; EQR = Ecological Quality Ratio

¹ H/G = High/Good; G/M = Good/Moderate; M/P = Moderate/Poor and P/B = Poor/Bad

The results from RICT show a sharp decline of N-taxa found in the Cholwell Brook as the stream waters move through the mine waste, displaying a reduction in the richness and abundance of macroinvertebrates as metal concentrations increase, with the EQR value reducing from H/G to P/B. This appears to be a localised impact with species diversity

increasing further downstream to M/P, indicating a degree of recovery. Conversely, the 617 ASPT classification remains 'High' throughout Cholwell Brook and is in some cases 618 considered a more precise index than N-taxa. This is because the ASPT is an average, 619 620 whereas N-taxa are more susceptible to sampling and temporal variation. This variation is 621 not uncommon for highly mineralised sites where although the overall number of taxa are diminished, high ranking species such as stoneflies, mayflies and caddisflies are present, 622 therefore maintaining the ASPT classification, potentially through adaption over many 623 generations to cope with elevated metal concentrations (Comber 2008). From a WFD 624 compliance point of view, however, this is an interesting issue as to be classified as 'good 625 ecological status' conditions are required to be near reference condition, thus requiring the 626 627 biodiversity (NTAXA) to also be good as well as the ASPT. Figure 7 shows the DCA 2dimensional plot of macroinvertebrates from each sample location, plotted against the 628 dissolved total metal (µg L⁻¹) concentrations in stream water. Macroinvertebrate data 629 supplied by the EA for the River Tavy has been included for comparison and as a set of 630 631 control sites (See Figure 1).



632

Figure 7. Ordination 2-dimensional graph showing macroinvertebrate communities by
 site number with the concentrations of dissolved metals (μg L⁻¹) in stream
 water as an environmental variable (moving in a downstream direction from
 site 1). Vector lines show the direction of gradient and the length of the
 arrow is proportional to the correlation between the macroinvertebrates and
 the dissolved metals.

639

The ordination 2-dimensional graph using DCA shows the correlation between the 640 macroinvertebrate communities and the dissolved metal concentrations in stream water at 641 Cholwell Brook, with Cd and Pb influencing the aquatic biota. Distinct community 642 assemblages can be seen moving downstream from the fringes of Dartmoor, through the 643 644 mine waste. The site at the adit discharge and the site immediately downstream are most impacted. These results correlate with the chemical speciation and more labile fractions of 645 Cd and Pb that are found in soils, mine waste and sediments in large quantities. In addition, 646 Cd and Pb are 'priority' and 'priority hazardous' substances respectively under the WFD and 647

known to exert toxicological effects on aquatic biota. A recovery of species diversity can be 648 649 observed further downstream as heavy metals undergo dilution and geochemical transformations moving them into the solid phase. Sample sites 6, 7 and 8 are taken from 650 the River Tavy upstream from the Cholwell Brook and display their own unique community 651 assemblage of benthic invertebrates. No correlations were observed between heavy metal 652 concentrations (mg kg⁻¹) in sediments and macroinvertebrates. The results show the 653 654 clustering of unique community assemblages of benthic invertebrates that adapt to anthropogenic stressors. Results of the present study indicate that previous exposure of 655 contaminants could be attributed to the 'community conditioning hypothesis', as reported by 656 657 Clements, (2000), where exposure to contaminants over long periods of time directly influences the community structure, with heavy metal tolerance retained in ecological 658 communities. 659

660

661 **5. Conclusion**

The intensive sampling programme described here was undertaken within a period of 662 663 6 months which limited the temporal analysis which could be performed, however the main objective of research was to demonstrate the benefits of taking account of bioavailability of 664 metals when assessing a contaminated site, thereby allowing effective remediation to be 665 666 focussed on soil, spoil, water and sediment which is likely to offer the greatest threat to the local ecology. The legacy of historic mining has left a long and lasting impact at Wheal 667 Betsy, 140 years after operations ceased, with significant sources of environmental 668 669 contamination contributing to the Cholwell Brook's non-compliance with WFD EQS for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn (and to a lesser extent Mn and Fe). The study employed a rigorous 670 environmental monitoring programme using sequential extraction and speciation modelling 671 672 to identify the main sources of contamination from total and bioavailable metal. Water quality data showed significant exceedances, even taking account of bioavailability, of aquatic 673 environmental quality standards associated with the adit discharge as well as the spoil tips 674 675 adjacent to the stream, to the north and south of the adit input. Discharge of contaminated 676 mine water from Wheal Betsy adit was the largest single contributor of all metals, but the 677 spoil tips to the north and the south of the adit, were shown to contribute an almost equal 678 loading of all metals with the exception of Ni. There were subtle differences in the distribution 679 of metals in the exchangeable phases of the spoil sampled with the northern heaps 680 exhibiting greater concentrations of labile Cd and Cu, and the southern tips relatively higher 681 exchangeable Pb concentrations.

682 The erosion products of soils and mine waste particles have been transported in 683 large quantities to the Cholwell Brook, which has resulted in highly contaminated sediments, with total and extractable Pb concentrations 190 and 15 times higher than the Canadian 684 Probable Effect Levels respectively, impacting on species richness, if not overall average 685 score per taxa. The ecological impacts, however, were found to be localised within the 686 Cholwell Brook upstream of the confluence with the Tavy. The impact on macroinvertebrates 687 has been assessed using RICT, and although sampling was limited to a single survey and 688 repeat sampling is required to confirm the observed data, the available data suggest a 689 decline in species richness and abundance, although the ASPT remains Healthy/Good. 690 Further analysis using ordination has identified Cd and Pb as the main elements impacting 691 invertebrate biodiversity and the presence of unique community assemblages of benthic 692 invertebrates, suggesting there is strong evidence of the 'community conditioning 693 hypothesis', whereby exposure to contaminants over long periods of time directly influences 694 the community structure, with heavy metal tolerance retained in ecological communities. 695

The data presented here demonstrates that measures employed to address the adit discharge alone, will not lead to WFD compliance, and that the spoil heaps north and south of the adit discharge would also need to be stabilised with respect to loss of suspended solids and leaching of metals into the river. For the first time, the bioavailability of key metals in all media (soil, spoil, water and sediment) has been evaluated and reported for an abandoned mine site to evaluate potential contamination hotspots impacting local ecological receptors and thereby inform future targeted remediation.

703 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Environment Agency for providing support in sampling and site background data, in particular Karolina Krupska and Tim Geatches. The authors would also like to thank the laboratory technicians at Plymouth University for their support, namely, Andy Arnold and Andy Fisher.

References

- Arche, Ghent University, KTH and ILZRO. 2014. The Lead BLM SSD Normalization Tool. Version 1.0.0. September 2014. Downloaded on [9/4/2015] from http://www.leadBLM.com.
- Banks, V.J. & Palumbo-Roe, B., 2010. Synoptic monitoring as an approach to discriminating between point and diffuse source contributions to zinc loads in mining impacted catchments. J. Environ. Mon., 12(9), 1684–98.
- Bio-Met.net, 2014. Bio-Met Biotic Ligand Model (Cu, Ni, Zn). Available at: http://bio-met.net/bio-met-bioavailability-tool/ [Accessed August 15, 2014].
- Booker, F. 1967. The industrial archaeology of the Tamar Valley. Newton Abbot, David & Charles Ltd. Devon.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. 2000. Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines For the Protection of Aquatic Life: Introduction. Updated. In: Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines. 1999. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Winnipeg.
- CCME 2014. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 2014. Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life Freshwater and Marine *ISQG/PEL*. [online] Available at: http://stts.ccme.ca/en/index.html?chems=all&chapters=3&pdf=1 [Accessed 8 Feb. 2016].
- Clements, W.H. 1999. Metal tolerance and predator-prey interactions in benthic macroinvertebrate stream communities. Ecol. Appl. 9(3), 1073-1084.
- Comber, S.D.W., Merrington G., Sturdy L., Delbeke K. and van Assche F. 2008. Copper and zinc water quality standards under the EU Water Framework Directive: the use of a tiered approach to estimate the levels of failure. Sci Tot Environ., 403(1-3), 12– 22.
- de Matos, A. T., Fontes, M. P. F., da Costa, L. M. and Martinez, M. A. 2001. Mobility of heavy metals as related to soil chemical and mineralogical characteristics of Brazilian soils. Env. Poll. 111 (3) pp 429-35.
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 2012. Prioritisation Of Abandoned Noncoal Mine Impacts On The Environment. SC030136/R2 The national picture.
- Dixon, D.G., Sprague, J.B., 1980. Acclimation to copper byrainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) a modifying factor intoxicity. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 38, 880–888.
- Environment Agency, 2008a. Assessment of Metal-mining Contaminated River Sediments in England and Wales, Science report – SC030136/SR4. Bristol: Almondsbury, UK.
- Environment Agency, 2008b. Environmental Quality Standards for Trace Metals In The Aquatic Environment. Science report SC030194/SR. Bristol: Almondsbury, UK.

- Environment Agency, 2009. Using Biotic Ligand Models To Help Implement Environmental Quality Standards For Metals Under The Water Framework Directive. Science Report – SC080021/SR7b. Bristol: Almondsbury, UK.
- Environment Agency, 2012. Prioritisation Of Abandoned Non-Coal Mine Impacts On Environment. Science Report – SC030136/R2. Bristol: Almondsbury, UK
- Environment Agency, 2013. Significant Water Management Issues Draft Risk Assessments For Review And Comment. Environment Agency: Bristol.
- Environment Canada, 1979. Analytical Methods Manual. Inlands Waters Directorate, Water Quality Branch, Ottawa, Canada.
- Fernández, E., Jiminez R., Lallena M. and Aquilar J., 2004. Evaluation of the BCR sequential extraction procedure applied for two unpolluted Spanish soils. Env. Poll., 131(3), 355–64.
- Galána, E., Gómez-Ariza, J.L., González, I., Fernández-Caliani, J.C.; Morales, E., Giráldez I. 2003. Heavy metal partitioning in river sediments severely polluted by acid mine drainage in the Iberian Pyrite Belt. Appl. Geochem., 18, 3, 409–421.
- Harter, R.D. 1983. Effect of soil pH on adsorption of lead, copper, zinc, and nickel. Am. J. Soil Sci. Soc., 47 (1), 47-51.
- Hartl, M.G. 2002. Benthic fish as sentinel organisms of estuarine sediment toxicity. The Vienna School of Marine Biology: A Tribute to Jörg Ott. Facultas Universitätsverlag, Wien, 89-100.
- Heiri O., Lotter A.F. and Lemcke G. 2001. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and carbonate content in sediments: reproducibility and comparability of results. J. Paleolim. 25: 101–110.
- Hering, D., Verdonschot, P., Moog, O. and Sandin, L. 2004. Integrated Assessment of Running Waters in Europe. 1st ed. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Horváth, M., Bokova V., Heltai, G., Florian K and Fekete I., 2010. Study of application of BCR sequential extraction procedure for fractionation of heavy metal content of soils, sediments, and gravitation dusts. Toxicol. & Environ. Chem., 92(3), 429–441.
- Hudson-Edwards, K., Macklin M.G., Curtis C.D. and Vaughan D.J. 1996. Processes of Formation and Distribution of Pb-, Zn-, Cd-, and Cu-Bearing Minerals in the Tyne Basin, Northeast England: Implications for Metal-Contaminated River Systems. Environ. Sci. Technol., 30(1), 72–80.
- ISO 7828: 1985 (BS EN 27828). 1994. Water Quality Methods for Biological Testing. Methods of Biological Sampling - Guidance on Hand Net sampling of Aquatic Benthic Macroinvertebrates.
- Jarvis, A.P., Moustafa M., Orme P and Younger P.L. 2006. Effective remediation of grossly polluted acidic, and metal-rich, spoil heap drainage using a novel, low-cost, permeable reactive barrier in Northumberland, UK. Environ. Poll., 143(2), 61–8.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. 2011. Trace elements in soils and plants. 4th edition. Boca Raton, F.: CRC Press.

- Klerks P.L. and Levinton J.S. 1989. Effects of Heavy Metals in a Polluted Aquatic Ecosystem. Ecotoxicology: Problems and Approaches. Springer Advanced Text in Life Sciences 1989, 41-67.
- Konradi, E. A., Frentiu, T., Ponta, M., & Cordos, E. 2005. Use of sequential Extraction to assess metal fractionation in soils from Bozanta Mare, Romania. Seria F. Chemia, 8, 5-12.
- Mayer-Pinto, M., Underwood, A. J., Tolhurst, T., & Coleman, R. A. 2010. Effects of metals on aquatic assemblages: What do we really know?. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. & Ecol., 391(1), 1-9.
- Mayes, W.M., Gozzard E., Potter H. and Jarvis A.P., 2008. Quantifying the importance of diffuse minewater pollution in a historically heavily coal mined catchment. Environ. Poll. 151(1), 165–75.
- Metcalfe, J. 1989. Biological water quality assessment of running waters based on macroinvertebrate communities: history and present status in Europe. Environ. Poll., 60(1), 101-139.
- Meyer, J.S., Santore, R.C., Bobbitt, J.P., DeBrey, L.D., Boese, C.J., Paquin, P.R., Allen, H.E., Bergman, H.L. and Di Toro, D.M., 1999. Binding of Nickel and Copper to Fish Gills Predicts Toxicity When Water Hardness Varies, But Free-Ion Activity Does Not. Environ. Sci. & Technol. 33, 913-916.
- Mighanetara, K. et al., Braungardt C., Rieuwerts J. and Azizi F., 2009. Contaminant fluxes from point and diffuse sources from abandoned mines in the River Tamar catchment, UK. J. Geochem. Exploration, 100(2-3), 116–124.
- Nieto J.M., Sarmiento A.M., Olías M., Canovas C.R.; Riba I., Kalman, J., and Delvalls A. 2006. Acid mine drainage pollution in the Tinto and Odiel rivers (Iberian Pyrite Belt, SW Spain) and bioavailability of the transported metals to the Huelva Estuary. Env. Int., 33, 4, 445–455.
- Palumbo-Roe, B., Wragg, J., & Banks, V.J. 2012. Lead mobilisation in the hyporheic zone and river bank sediments of a contaminated stream: contribution to diffuse pollution. J. Soils and Sed., 12(10), 1633-1640.
- Passos, E. D. A., Alves, J. D. P. H., Garcia, C. A. B., & Costa, A. C. S. 2011. Metal fractionation in sediments of the Sergipe River, northeast, Brazil. J. Brazilian Chem. Soc., 22(5), 828-835.
- Pettersson, C., Håkansson, K., Karlsson, S., & Allard, B. 1993. Metal speciation in a humic surface water system polluted by acidic leachates from a mine deposit in Sweden. Wat. Res. 27(5), 863-871.
- Pirrie, D. et al. 2003. The spatial distribution and source of arsenic, copper, tin and zinc within the surface sediments of the Fal Estuary, Cornwall, UK. Sedimentology, 50(3), 579–595.

- Purushothaman P. and Chakrapani, G., Heavy Metals Fractionation in Ganga River Sediments, India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 132, 2007, 475-89.
- Rainbow, P.S., Kriefman S., Smith B.D. and Luoma S.N. 2011. Have the bioavailabilities of trace metals to a suite of biomonitors changed over three decades in SW England estuaries historically affected by mining? Sci. Tot. Environ., 409(8), 589–602.
- Rauret, G., Lopez-Sanchez, J.F. Sahuquillo A., Rubio R., Davidson C., Ure A. and Quevauviller P., Improvement of the BCR three step sequential extraction procedure prior to the certification of new sediment and soil reference materials. J Environ Monit., 1, 1999, 57-61.
- Rauret, G., J.F. Lopez-Sanchez, D. Luck, M. Yli-Halla, H. Muntau, and Ph. Quevauviller.
 2001. The certification of the extractable contents (mass fractions) of Cd, Cr, Cu,
 Ni, Pb and Zn in freshwater sediment following a sequential extraction procedure,
 EUR 19775 EN certification report of BCR 701. European Commission BCR
 Information Reference Materials (BCR 701) ISBN 92-894-0755-7.
- Rawlins, B.G., O'Donnell, K. and Ingham, M. 2003. Geochemical survey of the Tamarcatchment (South West England). British Geological Survey Report, CR/03/027.
- Rieuwerts, J.S., Austin S. and Harris S.A. 2009. Contamination from historic metal mines and the need for non-invasive remediation techniques: a case study from Southwest England. Environ. Mon. Assess., 148, 149–158.
- Rieuwerts, J.S., Mighanetara K., Braungardt C., Rollinson G.K., Pirrie, D., Azizi, F. 2014. Geochemistry and mineralogy of arsenic in mine wastes and stream sediments in a historic metal mining area in the UK. Sci. Tot. Environ, 472, 226–234.
- Santore, R. C., Mathew, R., Paquin, P. R. and Di Toro, D. 2002. Application of the biotic ligand model to predicting zinc toxicity to rainbow trout, fathead minnow, and Daphnia magna. Comparative Biochem. & Physiol. Pt C, 133, 271-285.
- Sasaki, A., Ito, A., Aizawa, J. and Umita T. 2005. Influence of water and sediment quality on benthic biota in an acidified river. Wat. Res., 39(12), 2517–26.
- SEPA, 2015. Scottish Environment Protection Agency, River Invertebrate Classification Tool. Available at: https://www.sepa.org.uk/environment/water/classification/riverinvertebrates-classification-tool/. [Accessed 6 Oct. 2015].
- Smolders, E., Oorts, K., van Sprang, P., Schoeters I., Janssen, C., McGrath, Sp and McLaughlin M. 2009. Toxicity of trace metals in soil as affected by soil type and aging, after contamination using bioavailability models to set ecological soil standards. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 28, 1633-1642.
- Thermoscientific.com. 2014. Niton™ XL3t 950 GOLDD+ XRF Analyzer. [online] Available at: http://www.thermoscientific.com/en/product/niton-xl3t-goldd-xrfanalyzer.html [Accessed 6 Sep. 2014].
- Turner A. 2011. Diffuse Minewater Pollution: Quantification and Risk Assessment in the Tamar Catchment. PhD thesis, Plymouth University.

- Tuzen, M., 2003. Determination of heavy metals in soil, mushroom and plant samples by atomic absorption spectrometry. Micro Chem. J., 74, 289-297.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2000. Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Wastes: Physical/Chemical Methods (EPA Manual SW-486). Washington: US Government Printing Office.
- Unites States Environmental Protection Agency. 2005. Partition Coeffcients For Metals In Surface Water, Soil, And Waste. Washington: US Government Printing Office.
- Vink, J.P. 2002. Measurement of heavy metal speciation over redox gradients in natural water-sediment interfaces and implications for uptake by benthic organisms. Environ. Sci & Technol., 36(23), 5130-5138.
- WFD-UKTAG. 2008. Proposals For Environmental Quality Standards For Annex VIII Substances. Available at: http://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/Environmental%20standards/Specific %20pollutants%20proposals_Final_010608.pdf. (Accessed: 02 August 2014).
- WFD-UKTAG. 2013. Updated Recommendations on Environmental Standards River Basin Management (2015-21). WFD-UKTAG: Edinburgh. Available at: http://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/Environmental%20standards/Specific %20pollutants%20proposals_Final_010608.pdf. (Accessed: 02 August 2014).
- Wright, J.F, Sutcliffe, D.W. and Furse, M.T., eds, 2000. Assessing the biological quality of fresh waters: RIVPACS and other techniques. Ambleside: Freshwater Biological Association.
- Younger, P.L., Banwart, S.A. and Hedin, R.S. 2002. Mine water: Hydrology, Pollution, Remediation. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordecht.
- Zhong, X.L., Zhou, S.L., Zhu, Q., & Zhao, Q.G. 2011. Fraction distribution and bioavailability of soil heavy metals in the Yangtze River Delta—A case study of Kunshan City in Jiangsu Province, China. Journal of hazardous materials, 198, 13-21.

Abandoned metal mines and their impact on

receiving waters: A case study from Southwest England

Steven J Beane¹, Sean D W Comber^{1*}, John Rieuwerts¹ and Peter Long²

^{*} *Corresponding author: sean.comber@plymouth.ac.uk*

¹University of Plymouth, Drakes Circus, Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA

² Environment Agency, Sir John Moore House, Victoria Square, Bodmin, Cornwall, PL31 1EB

A1 Sequential Extraction methodology

(F1) exchangeable/acid fraction (surface bound metals to carbonates) – soil sample extracted with 40 ml of acetic acid, 0.11 M, shaken end to end at 30 rpm for 16 h, room temperature;

(F2) reducible fraction (bound to Fe/Mn oxides, oxyhydroxides) – residue from step one extracted with 40 ml hydroxylammonium chloride, 0.1 M, pH adjusted to 1.5 with 25 ml nitric acid, shaken end to end at 30 rpm for 16 h, room temperature;

(F3) oxidisable fraction (bound to organic matter and sulphides) – residue from step 2 digested in 10 ml hydrogen peroxide, 8.8 M (30%) at room temperature for 1 hr with occasional manual shaking. Mixture heated to 85 °C for 1 h or longer (water bath) until volume reduced to 3ml. Double extraction was repeated twice, followed by an addition of 50 ml ammonium acetate, 1.0 M, adjusted to pH 2 with nitric acid, shaken end to end for 16 h, room temperature; and

(R) residual fraction (crystal lattice of original mineral, identified as 'inactive' fraction) – the residue from step 3 was used to provide a pseudo-total concentration and digested in 10 ml *aqua regia* (3:1 v/v HCI: HNO₃, 120°C, 1.5 h) in a 50 ml glass beaker covered with a watch glass, and is assumed to be the difference between total concentration and the secondary-phase fraction (SPF), the sum of F1, F2, and F3. The SPF is often referred to in the results and discussion as the potentially-mobile fractions, and is considered potentially hazardous to organisms in the aquatic environment. After each extraction, separation was done by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes and the supernatant carefully transferred to universal acid-washed bottles, and stored at 4 °C before analysis by ICP-OES. Procedural blanks were below the LOD.

Table A1. Table displaying the current hardness based Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) and the new proposed European and UK river water EQS for dissolved metals using the BLM. All concentrations as $\mu g l^{-1}$ as dissolved metal

Element	Old EQS	New WFD EQS	
Cadmium (Cd)	UK standard: 5.0 (annual mean total Cadmium)	EU Standard (dissolved annual mean): ≤0.08 = <40mg CaCO ₃ /I 0.08 = 40 to <50 0.09 = 50 50 <100 0.15 =100 to <200 0.25 = ≥ 200	а
Copper (Cu)	0-50 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 1 (dissolved) 50-110 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 6 (dissolved) 100-250 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 10 (dissolved) >250 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 28 (dissolved)	1.0 (bioavailable)	b, c
Iron (Fe)	1000 dissolved	730 (total)	d, e
Manganese (Mn)	30 (dissolved annual average)	123 (bioavailable)	f, b
Nickel (Ni)	0-50 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 50 (dissolved) 50-100 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 100 (dissolved) 100-250 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 150 (dissolved) >250 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 2001 (dissolved)	4.0 (bioavailable)	c, g
Lead (Pb)	4-250 (inorganic - dissolved varies with hardness)	1.2 (bioavailable)	c, g
Zinc (Zn)	0-50 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO ₃ = 8 (total metal) 50-100 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO3 = 50 (total metal) 100-250 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO3 = 75 >250 mgl ⁻¹ CaCO3 = 125	10.9 (bioavailable)	c, g

Sources: (a) Environment Agency (2011) Chemical Standards Report – Cadmium. [online] Available at: http://evidence.environment-agency.gov.uk/ChemicalStandards/report.aspx?cid=29. (Accessed: 06 August 2014). (b) WFD-UKTAG, 2013. Updated Recommendations on Environmental Standards - River Basin Management (2015-21). WFD-UKTAG: Edinburgh. Available at:

http://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/Environmental%20standards/Specific%20pollutants%20 proposals_Final_010608.pdf. (Accessed: 02 August 2014). (c) Environment Agency (2013) Significant Water Management Issues - Draft risk assessments for review and comment. Environment Agency: Bristol. (d) WFD-UKTAG (2013). Updated Recommendations on Environmental Standards - River Basin Management (2015-21). WFD-UKTAG. (e) Environment Agency, (2011) Chemical Standards Report – Iron. [online] Available at: http://evidence.environment-agency.gov.uk/ChemicalStandards/ (e) Environment Agency, (2011) (f) Environment Agency, 2011c. Chemical Standards Report – Manganese. [online] Available at: http://evidence.environment-agency.gov.uk/ChemicalStandards/report.aspx?cid=91. (Accessed: 07 August 2014).(g) Peters, A., Merrington, G. and Crane, M., (2012) Estimation of background reference concentrations for metals in UK freshwaters. WFD-UKTAG: Edinburgh

Sample No.	Longitude	Latitude (D, M)	Sample No.	Longitude Latitude (D, M)	Sample No.
Spoil 1	50°36.78'N	004°06.30'W	Soil 1	50°36.60'N	004°06.35'W
Spoil 2	50°36.76'N	004°06.31'W	Soil 2	50°36.57'N	004°06.34'W
Spoil 3	50°36.75'N	004°06.31'W	Soil 3	50°36.53'N	004°06.34'W
Spoil 4	50°36.74'N	004°06.34'W	Soil 4	50°36.50'N	004°06.32'W
Spoil 5	50°36.74'N	004°06.35'W	Soil 5	50°36.45'N	004°06.35'W
Spoil 6	50°36.78'N	004°06.33'W	Soil 6	50°36.06'N	004°06.30'W
Spoil 7	50°36.73'N	004°06.36'W	Soil 7	50°36.68'N	004°06.24'W
Spoil 8	50°36.72'N	004°06.36'W	Soil 8	50°36.64'N	004°06.23'W
Spoil 9	50°36.72'N	004°06.37'W	Soil 9	50°36.69'N	004°06.31'W
Spoil 10	50°36.68'N	004°06.36'W	Soil 10	50°36.71'N	004°06.30'W
Spoil 11	50°36.65'N	004°06.35'W	Soil 11	50°36.73'N	004°06.30'W
Spoil 12	50°36.56'N	004°06.39'W	Soil 12	50°36.74'N	004°06.29'W
Spoil 13	50°36.55'N	004°06.38'W	Soil 13	50°36.75'N	004°06.27'W
Spoil 14	50°36.57'N	004°06.37'W	Soil 14	50°36.77'N	004°06.26'W
Spoil 15	50°36.58'N	004°06.38'W	Soil 15	50°36.71'N	004°06.28'W
Spoil 16	50°36.56'N	004°06.38'W	Soil 16	50°36.68'N	004°06.31'W
Spoil 17	50°36.56'N	004°06.39'W	Soil 17	50°36.64'N	004°06.34'W
Spoil 18	50°36.52'N	004°06.41'W	Soil 18	50°36.52'N	004°06.47'W
Spoil 19	50°36.49'N	004°06.42'W	Soil 19	50°36.83'N	004°06.36'W
Spoil 20	50°36.50'N	004°06.42'W	Soil 20	50°36.86'N	004°06.33'W
Spoil 21	50°36.54'N	004°06.39'W			
Spoil 22	50°36.23'N	004°06.25'W			
Spoil 23	50°36.48'N	004°06.47'W			
Spoil 24	50°36.35'N	004°06.32'W			
Spoil 25	50°36.59'N	004°06.41'W			
Reference Soil 1	50°37.17'N	004°06.09'W			
Reference Soil 1	50°37.14'N	004°06.15'W			

Table A2. Soil, mine waste and sediment locations

Table A3. Sediment, water, flow and macroinvertebrate sampling locations. Sediment, macro invertebrate, water chemistry and flow measurements locations (F = Flow; W = Water; I = Invertebrate sample; n = corresponds to site number).

Location Name	Ordnance Survey Grid Reference	Sediment	Water	Flow	Invertebrate
CHOLWELL BROOK D/S OF WHEAL JEWELL RESERVOIR	SX 52155 81720	1	1	1	1
CHOLWELL BROOK U/S OF MAIN SPOIL HEAPS	SX 51214 81478	2			2
CHOLWELL BROOK U/S WHEAL BETSY ADIT	SX 50927 80683	12	2	2	3
CHOLWELL BROOK, WHEAL BETSY ADIT	SX 50996 80574	13	3	3	
CHOLWELL BROOK D/S WHEAL BETSY ADIT	SX 50956 80505	11	4		4
U/S MARY TAVY SPOIL HEAPS	SX 50470 79205	10			
CHOLWELL BROOK AT MARY TAVY	SX 50758 78872	3			
CHOLWELL BROOK U/S OF SEWAGE TREATMENT WORKS	SX 50863 78565	4	5	4	5
RIVER TAVY D/S CHOLWELL BROOK	SX 50928 78106	6	6		
RIVER TAVY AT HARFORD BRIDGE	SX 50574 76748	7	7	5	6
RIVER TAVY U/S CHOLWELL BROOK	SX 50102878460	5			7
RIVER TAVY HILL BRIDGE	SX 53177 80388	9			8
COLLY BROOK AT PETER TAVY	SX 51400 77630	8			

Table A4. Class boundaries for the WFD EQR

H/G	0.97	0.85
G/M	0.86	0.71
M/P	0.75	0.57
P/B	0.63	0.47

Boundary ASPT EQR Value NTAXA EQR Value

ASPT = Average Score Per Tax; NTAXA = Number of Taxa present

Table A5. Certified and measured extractable mass fraction based on dry mass in CRM 701. Horvath et al., (2010) observations included for comparison. (n = repeated number of extractions.¹⁾ Mean value of the number of repeated extractions from each data set .²⁾ Half-width of the 95% confidence interval of the mean defined in ¹⁾).

Extra	Extractable mass fraction based on dry mass (mg kg ⁻¹) Step Element Certified Horvath et al., Measured Difference Recovery (%)													
Step	Element	Ce	ertifi	ed	Horv	/ath	et al.,	Меа	asur	ed	Difference	Recovery (%)		
		(r	ı = 1	4)	(201	0) (n = 3)	(<i>r</i>	ı = 6	;)	on 99.9% ^a			
		1)		2)	1)		2)	1)		2)				
	Cd	7.3	±	0.4	6.78	±	0.04	6.8	±	0.27	-	93		
	Cr	2.26	±	0.16	1.12	±	0.02	1.8	±	0.27	+	80		
1	Cu	49.3	±	1.7	46.5	±	0.25	37.2	±	3.2	+	76		
	Ni	15.4	±	0.9	14.4	±	0.12	13.5	±	0.74	-	88		
	Pb	3.18 ± 0.21			6.78	±	0.01	2.46	±	0.24	+	77		
	Zn	205	±	6	183	±	1.07	188.4	±	6.75	-	92		
	Cd	3.77	±	0.28	3.28	±	0.01	4.02	±	0.27	-	108		
	Cr	45.7	±	2.0	47.3	±	0.004	49.6	±	2.4	-	108		
2	Cu	124	±	3	109	±	0.04	131.6	±	5	-	107		
2	Ni	26.6	±	1.3	25.3	±	0.01	30	±	1.27	-	113		
	Pb	126	±	3	109	±	0.06	121.7	±	2.1	-	97		
	Zn	114	±	5	106	±	0.17	123.2	±	6.3	-	109		
	Cd	0.27	±	0.06	0.32	±	0	0.17	±	0.01	+	62		
	Cr	143	±	7	104	±	0.15	137.6	±	6.57	-	96		
2	Cu	55	±	4	40.3	±	0.1	50	±	1.01	-	91		
3	Ni	15.3	±	0.9	10.4	±	0.03	12.9	±	0.67	+	83		
	Pb	9.3	±	2.0	11.6	±	0.11	7	±	0.91	+	74		
	Zn 46 ± 4						0.12	38.2	±	2.29	+	83		
Notes	: ^a Indicative	e value.												

Significant (+); not significant (-)

Sample	Fraction	ction Cd Cu				Fe			Mr	۱			Ni		Pb			Zn					
									Mean ±	standar	d dev	iatior	n (mg	kg⁻¹)									
Soil	Exchangeable (F1)	0.8	±	1.1	21.0	±	38.3	90.9	±	73.9	71.3	±	0.1	.1	0.8	±	0.1	178.1	±	0.5	57.8	±	94.1
(<i>n</i> – 20)	Reducible (F2)	0.3	±	0.4	11.8	±	35.3	3383.7	±	1509.2	146.5	±	0.0	0	0.5	±	0.1	441.9	±	8.3	27.8	±	49.5
	Oxidisable (F3)	0.2	±	0.1	59.0	±	54.8	1894.4	±	825.9	11.9	±	0.2	2	1.5	±	0.1	156.4	±	3.2	20.0	±	26.7
	Residual (F4)	1.0	±	1.1	67.9	±	88.4	15699.9	±	9077.1	88.1	±	0.8	5	9.7	±	0.2	256.8	±	3.3	78.2	±	90.4
	Sum of all Fractions	2.3	±	2.5	159.7	±	188.8	21068.9	±	9543.5	317.7	±	0.6	6	12.5	±	0.4	1033.2	±	8.5	183.8	±	253.6
	Secondary Phase (ΣF1+F2+F3)	1.3	±	0.1	91.8	±	2.8	5369.0	±	301.8	229.6	±	0.3	.3	2.8	±	0.2	776.4	±	12.1	105.6	±	3.2
	% of Total	į	55.1	%	Ę	57.59	%	2	5.5%	6		72.3	%		2	2.79	%	7	′5.1 [°]	%	Ę	57.59	%
Mine waste	Exchangeable (F1)	3.1	±	7.8	52.4	±	128.4	41.7	±	50.3	68.8	±	12	23.0	0.8	±	1.9	882.2	±	752.9	179.0	±	405.6
(<i>n</i> – 25)	Reducible (F2)	2.6	±	5.8	92.4	±	187.2	7951.3	±	5608.9	406.5	±	91	18.9	1.1	±	3.5	4477.5	±	6192.0	204.7	±	509.7
	Oxidisable (F3)	1.0	±	1.6	123.3	±	242.7	1156.5	±	1009.7	33.7	±	67	7.4	1.7	±	3.0	2047.1	±	3917.5	143.8	±	313.3
	Residual (F4)	20.4	±	14.4	2074.4	±	1814.1	91596.8	±	45659.9	301.0	±	33	37.7	15.4	±	26.9	17779.3	±	14412.5	1229.1	±	1227.2
	Sum of all Fractions	27.2	±	18.0	2342.6	±	1752.0	100746.3	±	49914.6	810.0	±	12	294.3	18.9	±	34.8	25186.0	±	21286.8	1756.6	±	1716.6
	Secondary Phase (ΣF1+F2+F3)	6.8	±	14.9	268.2	±	432.2	9149.5	±	6307.0	509.0	±	11	103.0	3.6	±	8.1	7406.7	±	9923.9	527.5	±	1216.8

Table A6. Fraction concentrations of heavy metals in soil, mine waste, sediment, reference soil. The SPF fraction (Σ F1+F2+F3) is expressed as a percentage total and the fractions that are considered to be potentially mobile in the environment

Sample	Fraction	Cd Cu			Fe Mn Mean ± standard deviation (mg l					ka ⁻¹)	Ni				Zn							
	% of Total		24.8	%		11.49	%	g	.1%	Weatt ±	6	2.8%	<u>6</u>	<u>rg</u>) 1	8.9	%	2	9.4	%		30.0	%
	70 01 10tal	_		70								,	•									,.
Sediment	Exchangeable (F1)	2.2	±	1.4	78.7	±	58.9	20.7	±	10.0	300.7	±	103.0	4.8	±	2.2	312.3	±	219.6	132.5	±	92.0
(<i>n</i> – 9)	Reducible (F2)	1.3	±	1.0	69.4	±	54.5	6046.4	±	1972.1	1237.6	±	718.4	7.2	±	5.3	1110.6	±	580.0	73.1	±	53.7
	Oxidisable (F3)	1.7	±	1.4	184.2	±	138.9	1231.1	±	513.0	57.7	±	28.8	6.9	±	4.1	115.5	±	69.6	196.7	±	148.9
	Residual (F4)	6.8	±	6.2	178.9	±	98.2	47507.4	±	14221.8	432.9	±	264.8	40.2	±	29.3	1129.4	±	859.6	389.4	±	244.7
	Sum of all Fractions	12.0	±	9.6	511.1	±	320.4	54805.5	±	15570.4	2028.8	±	1073.8	59.1	±	38.3	2667.7	±	1618.3	791.7	±	519.4
	Secondary Phase (ΣF1+F2+F3)	5.2	±	3.7	332.2	±	235.8	7298.1	±	2192.4	1596.0	±	833.6	18.9	±	11.0	1538.4	±	802.8	402.3	±	285.9
	% of Total	4	43.1	%		65.0°	%	1:	3.3%	, D	7	8.7%	6	3	2.0	%	5	7.7 9	%	į	50.8	%
Adit	Exchangeable (F1)	2.2	±	0.0	185.2	±	3.6	184.8	±	7.5	844.6	±	24.7	12.1	±	0.2	1362.9	±	5.2	115.3	±	3.0
(<i>n</i> – 3)	Reducible (F2)	1.3	±	0.1	102.0	±	1.6	55811.0	±	1406.4	11203.7	±	14.2	15.5	±	0.1	3375.2	±	76.2	100.6	±	0.7
	Oxidisable (F3)	0.0	±	0.0	212.5	±	37.9	30519.0	±	4141.0	80.5	±	11.6	2.0	±	0.5	7214.6	±	1127.2	44.4	±	1.5
	Residual (F4)	10.6	±	1.5	140.3	±	22.1	130199.4	±	11361.7	213.5	±	30.2	5.1	±	0.5	5427.3	±	874.0	120.7	±	14.3
	Sum of all Fractions	14.3	±	1.6	640.1	±	27.6	216714.1	±	13512.1	12342.4	±	68.3	34.8	±	0.6	17379.9	±	941.9	381.0	±	17.6
	Secondary Phase (ΣF1+F2+F3)	3.6	±	0.1	499.8	±	5.8	86514.7	±	2820.0	12128.9	±	47.9	29.7	±	0.2	11952.7	±	150.2	260.3	±	4.4
	% of Total	2	25.3	%		78.1º	%	3	9.9%	, D	9	8.3%	6	8	5.3	%	68.8%			68.3%		

Sample	Fraction	Cd		Cu			Fe			Mn			Ni		Pb				Zn			
										Mean	± standa	rd devia	ation (n	ng kg ⁻¹)								
Reference Soil	Exchangeable (F1)	0.1		0.0	0.3	±	0.1	21.3	±	2.4	2.3	±	0.1	0.6	±	0.1	1.0	±	0.5	7.2	±	2.3
(<i>n</i> – 2)	Reducible (F2)	0.2	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	875.6	±	219.2	0.0	±	0.0	0.7	±	0.1	31.5	±	8.3	3.7	±	0.9
	Oxidisable (F3)	0.0	±	0.0	5.8	±	2.7	243.7	±	90.0	0.6	±	0.2	0.5	±	0.1	12.5	±	3.2	0.6	±	0.3
	Residual (F4)	0.0	±	0.0	2.1	±	0.9	185.0	±	31.2	2.3	±	0.5	0.5	±	0.2	3.4	±	3.3	0.8	±	0.3
	Sum of all Fractions	0.3	±	0.1	8.2	±	2.0	1325.6	±	300.2	5.2	±	0.6	2.2	±	0.4	48.4	±	8.5	12.3	±	3.0
	Secondary Phase (ΣF1+F2+F3)	0.3	±	0.1	6.1	±	2.8	1140.6	±	301.8	2.9	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.2	45.0	±	11.6	11.5	±	3.2
	% of Total		90.29	%		74.39	%	1	36.0%	6		55.6%	6	7	79.4	%		93.09	%		93.5	%
PEL			3.5			197			-			-			35.9)		91.3	3		315	5
TEL			0.59	6		36.7			-			-						35			123	3

^fPEL: Probable effect level; draft freshwater sediment quality guidelines. (CCME, 2014). TEL; Threshold effect level; draft freshwater sediment quality guidelines (CCME, 2014).



Figure A1. Mn, Ni, Cu, Zn concentrations in Cholwell Brook; along a 3 km transect. Natural background concentrations from the Tamar Valley (red dotted line) and EQS (black dotted line) have been added for comparative purposes. Site specific EQS (Yellow bar) have been calculated using the BLM.



Figure A2. Cd, Pb and Fe concentrations in Cholwell Brook; along a 3 km transect from headwaters to confluence with Tavy. Natural background concentrations from the Tamar Valley (red dotted line) and EQS (black dotted line) have been added for comparative purposes.



Figure A3. Mean fraction distributions for particulate samples.

	Exchang	geable sedi	ment conc	entration (mg kg⁻¹)			RCR		
	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn
PEL	3.53	197	35.9	91.3	315					
Sediment 1	0.11	1.79	0.99	409.81	4.54	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01
Sediment 2	0.78	10.47	1.54	503.86	43.66	0.22	0.05	0.04	4.49	0.14
Sediment 3	4.16	179.92	7.01	300.12	247.81	1.18	0.91	0.20	5.52	0.79
Sediment 4	3.41	124.04	6.08	61.61	227.39	0.97	0.63	0.17	3.29	0.72
Sediment 6	1.42	33.90	5.33	97.26	74.36	0.40	0.17	0.15	0.67	0.24
Sediment 7	2.17	115.44	6.63	328.88	86.52	0.61	0.59	0.18	1.07	0.27
Sediment 10	2.96	80.96	6.73	789.61	196.37	0.84	0.41	0.19	3.60	0.62
Sediment 11	0.84	50.73	3.06	340.85	57.89	0.24	0.26	0.09	8.65	0.18
Sediment 12	1.00	19.99	3.22	0.35	63.84	0.28	0.10	0.09	3.73	0.20
Sediment 13	2.23	185.24	12.12	1363	115.32	0.63	0.94	0.34	14.93	0.37

 Table A7
 Exchangeable concentrations and RCR for sediment

Tabl	e A8
------	------

Total concentrations and RCR for sediment

	Tot	Total sediment concentration (n Cd Cu Ni Pb 3.53 197 35.9 91.3 0.2 31.1 8.3 49.1 1.8 96.6 12.3 1590 18.7 837.3 109.1 4144 24.8 843.1 97.0 3299 7.6 424.9 50.7 864			kg⁻¹)			RCR		
	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn
PEL	3.53	197	35.9	91.3	315					
Sediment 1	0.2	31.1	8.3	49.1	33.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1
Sediment 2	1.8	96.6	12.3	1590	189	0.5	0.5	0.3	17.4	0.6
Sediment 3	18.7	837.3	109.1	4146	1278	5.3	4.3	3.0	45.4	4.1
Sediment 4	24.8	843.1	97.0	3299	1424	7.0	4.3	2.7	36.1	4.5
Sediment 6	7.6	424.9	50.7	864	347	2.2	2.2	1.4	9.5	1.1
Sediment 7	7.0	732.6	32.6	1001	504	2.0	3.7	0.9	11.0	1.6
Sediment 10	8.8	433.2	73.4	3270	764	2.5	2.2	2.0	35.8	2.4
Sediment 11	8.3	323.0	17.2	5532	721	2.3	1.6	0.5	60.6	2.3
Sediment 12	4.8	214.4	55.5	2996	602	1.4	1.1	1.5	32.8	1.9
Sediment 13	14.3	640.1	34.8	17380	381	4.0	3.2	1.0	190.4	1.2

	Concen	tration of e	kchangeab	ole metal (n	ng kg⁻¹)	Arche PNEC (mg kg ⁻¹)					RCR				
Sample	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn
Soil 6	0.01	1.6	0.7	7	2.3	1.1	155	73	427	239	0.01	0.010	0.010	0.086	0.010
Soil 7	0.04	1.1	0.7	2	2.3	1.1	120	46	341	180	0.04	0.009	0.015	0.021	0.013
Soil 8	0.05	0.4	0.5	3	6.2	1.1	170	92	466	281	0.05	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.022
Soil 9	0.07	1.8	0.7	4	6.1	1.1	145	68	415	251	0.07	0.012	0.011	0.007	0.024
Soil 10	0.12	3.4	1.1	2	5.2	1.1	163	82	448	265	0.11	0.021	0.014	0.008	0.020
Soil 11	0.07	0.4	0.6	1	5.8	1.1	157	78	438	260	0.07	0.003	0.008	0.005	0.022
Soil 12	0.06	0.2	0.4	1	6.5	1.1	169	87	457	268	0.06	0.001	0.005	0.002	0.024
Soil 13	0.09	0.2	0.2	831	10.6	1.1	173	91	463	270	0.08	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.039
Soil 14	3.18	112	1.1	246	269	1.1	86	27	238	145	2.9	1.3	0.042	3.5	1.9
Soil 15	0.88	7.7	1.4	123	46	1.1	171	86	455	244	0.80	0.045	0.016	0.542	0.19
Soil 16	1.09	13.0	1.1	178	68.8	1.1	145	65	406	231	0.99	0.090	0.017	0.302	0.30
Soil 17	0.92	54.8	0.7	30	52.2	1.1	157	74	431	242	0.83	0.35	0.009	0.414	0.22
Soil 18	0.38	6.6	1.0	41	30.7	1.1	168	86	455	264	0.34	0.040	0.012	0.067	0.12
Soil 19	0.005	1.4	0.9	107	7.6	1.1	165	81	445	235	0.01	0.008	0.011	0.093	0.032
Soil 20	0.58	4.8	1.0	371	45.5	1.1	151	73	427	256	0.53	0.032	0.014	0.25	0.18
Soil 21	2.42	4.6	1.3	20	143	1.1	218	132	513	285	2.2	0.021	0.010	0.72	0.50
Soil 22	0.14	0.7	0.7	226	8.9	1.1	147	70	419	251	0.13	0.004	0.011	0.047	0.035
Soil 23	0.06	19.9	0.7	21	2.5	1.1	145	69	419	193	0.06	0.14	0.010	0.54	0.013
Soil 24	0.13	3.8	0.6	3	9.5	1.1	88	28	248	153	0.12	0.043	0.019	0.086	0.062
Soil 25	0.08	0.4	0.6	92	3.8	1.1	120	49	355	166	0.07	0.003	0.013	0.009	0.023
Spoil 1	0.43	22.1	0.4	105	23.9	1.1	85	35	290	115	0.39	0.26	0.012	0.32	0.208
Spoil 2	0.39	16.4	0.4	19	15.0	1.1	74	27	237	91	0.36	0.22	0.013	0.44	0.164
Spoil 3	0.16	8.1	0.4	469	8.6	1.1	111	54	371	149	0.15	0.073	0.008	0.052	0.058
Spoil 4	0.6	12.8	0.3	278	64.3	1.1	81	34	282	103	0.54	0.16	0.007	1.7	0.623

Table A9Exchangeable concentrations, PNEC and RCR for metals in soil and spoil (highlighted cells show RCR values greater than 1).

	Concen	tration of e	kchangeat	ole metal (n	ng kg⁻¹)	Arche PNEC (mg kg ⁻¹)							RCR		
Sample	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn
Spoil 5	0.56	73.3	0.3	39	48.0	1.1	98	46	340	140	0.51	0.75	0.007	0.82	0.344
Spoil 6	0.02	3.1	0.3	76	2.3	1.1	51	13	127	59	0.02	0.061	0.027	0.31	0.039
Spoil 7	0.22	17.2	0.3	196	16.2	1.1	49	15	151	57	0.20	0.35	0.021	0.50	0.29
Spoil 8	6.13	95.3	0.3	135	348	1.1	116	49	353	184	5.6	0.82	0.006	0.55	1.9
Spoil 9	1.26	17.0	0.4	392	171	1.1	44	10	102	81	1.1	0.39	0.035	1.3	2.1
Spoil 10	0.18	6.8	0.2	1432	15.8	1.1	69	28	247	84	0.17	0.099	0.007	1.6	0.189
Spoil 11	0.03	5.2	0.2	471	5.1	1.1	73	27	237	90	0.03	0.071	0.009	6.1	0.057
Spoil 12	0.01	2.8	0.2	495	1.2	1.1	53	14	139	62	0.01	0.053	0.014	3.4	0.018
Spoil 13	0.01	5.2	0.4	2018	1.6	1.1	94	34	282	127	0.01	0.055	0.012	1.8	0.013
Spoil 14	0.04	5.8	0.1	752	2.2	1.1	101	41	320	132	0.04	0.058	0.002	6.3	0.016
Spoil 15	0.02	2.9	0.2	1503	1.8	1.1	57	17	163	67	0.02	0.051	0.014	4.6	0.026
Spoil 16	0.08	2.9	0.2	1218	9.7	1.1	42	13	127	45	0.07	0.071	0.014	11.8	0.216
Spoil 17	1.07	9.4	0.2	2298	60.9	1.1	60	22	207	70	0.97	0.16	0.010	5.9	0.864
Spoil 18	0.01	3.0	0.2	2613	1.3	1.1	65	21	197	80	0.01	0.046	0.009	11.7	0.016
Spoil 19	0.09	6.5	0.9	1388	4.2	1.1	65	21	196	79	0.08	0.10	0.043	13.4	0.053
Spoil 20	0.43	14.1	0.4	524	21.6	1.1	87	35	290	114	0.39	0.16	0.012	4.8	0.19
Spoil 21	0.01	13.4	0.3	<0.1	0.6	1.1	88	30	257	119	0.01	0.15	0.010	2.0	0.005

	Co	oncentratio	on of total m	netal (mg k	g ⁻¹)	Arche PNEC (mg kg ⁻¹)									
Sample	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn
Soil 6	0.6	57	10.6	439	37	1.1	155	73	427	239	0.5	0.4	0.1	1	0.2
Soil 7	0.5	41	15.1	109	67	1.1	120	46	341	180	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Soil 8	1.2	32	5.2	186	31	1.1	170	92	466	281	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1
Soil 9	1.6	89	8.8	138	33	1.1	145	68	415	251	1.4	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.1
Soil 10	3.4	220	32.1	204	99	1.1	163	82	448	265	3.1	1.4	0.4	0.5	0.4
Soil 11	0.6	27	5.2	118	25	1.1	157	78	438	260	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1
Soil 12	0.6	14	4.9	121	25	1.1	169	87	457	268	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1
Soil 13	0.5	12	2.1	79	24	1.1	173	91	463	270	0.4	0.1	0	0.2	0.1
Soil 14	7.5	587	19.6	3995	760	1.1	86	27	238	145	6.9	6.8	0.7	17	5.3
Soil 15	1.9	113	21.0	924	149	1.1	171	86	455	244	1.7	0.7	0.2	2.0	0.6
Soil 16	2.5	116	15.4	742	203	1.1	145	65	406	231	2.3	0.8	0.2	1.8	0.9
Soil 17	2.2	321	14.5	1008	150	1.1	157	74	431	242	2	2	0.2	2.3	0.6
Soil 18	0.9	78	14.0	295	92	1.1	168	86	455	264	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.3
Soil 19	0.4	49	21.5	319	60	1.1	165	81	445	235	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3
Soil 20	1.6	93	12.4	1107	209	1.1	151	73	427	256	1.5	0.62	0.17	2.6	0.815
Soil 21	5.1	182	10.3	2967	447	1.1	218	132	513	285	4.7	0.8	0.1	5.8	1.6
Soil 22	0.7	34	7.4	389	57	1.1	147	70	419	251	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.9	0.2
Soil 23	3.6	235	8.8	1423	25	1.1	145	69	419	193	3.3	1.6	0.1	3.4	0.1
Soil 24	0.6	31	5.3	129	24	1.1	88	28	248	153	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.2

Table A10Total concentrations, PNEC and RCR for metals in soil and spoil (highlighted cells show RCR values greater than 1).

	Co	oncentratio	n of total m	ietal (mg k	g⁻¹)		Arche I	Arche PNEC (mg kg ⁻¹)			RCR				
Sample	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Cd	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn
Soil 25	0.3	10	1.7	50	9	1.1	120	49	355	166	0.3	0.1	0	0.1	0.1
Spoil 1	38.7	2964	12.0	25609	1323	1.1	85	35	290	115	35.2	34.9	0.3	88	12
Spoil 2	40.5	3054	12.3	26522	1340	1.1	74	27	237	91	36.8	41.5	0.5	112	15
Spoil 3	19	584	13.4	9143	498	1.1	111	54	371	149	17.3	5.3	0.2	25	3.3
Spoil 4	46	1495	7.6	24021	1178	1.1	81	34	282	103	41.9	18.5	0.2	85	11
Spoil 5	46.5	2586	6.5	13584	1656	1.1	98	46	340	140	42.3	26.3	0.1	40	12
Spoil 6	1.4	89	16.4	899	142	1.1	51	13	127	59	1.2	1.8	1.3	7.1	2.4
Spoil 7	35.7	931	10.9	8610	978	1.1	49	15	151	57	32.5	19.1	0.7	57	17
Spoil 8	25.2	3627	4.9	12986	2798	1.1	116	49	353	184	22.9	31.3	0.1	37	15
Spoil 9	2.1	84	20.0	349	304	1.1	44	10	102	81	1.9	1.9	2	3.4	3.8
Spoil 10	17.5	1229	14.2	24916	1148	1.1	69	28	247	84	15.9	17.9	0.5	101	14
Spoil 11	21.3	3179	9.7	42729	863	1.1	73	27	237	90	19.4	43.8	0.4	181	9.6
Spoil 12	2.8	603	4.0	8299	45	1.1	53	14	139	62	2.6	11.4	0.3	60	0.7
Spoil 13	4.6	538	13.5	10143	234	1.1	94	34	282	127	4.2	5.7	0.4	36	1.8
Spoil 14	25.1	904	12.6	30677	549	1.1	101	41	320	132	22.8	9	0.3	96	4.2
Spoil 15	12.3	1205	8.7	21329	395	1.1	57	17	163	67	11.2	21.2	0.5	131	5.9
Spoil 16	32.9	5530	3.5	55284	2382	1.1	42	13	127	45	29.9	133	0.3	435	53
Spoil 17	26	3908	6.3	72871	3684	1.1	60	22	207	70	23.7	65.3	0.3	352	52
Spoil 18	17.8	3056	6.7	70134	896	1.1	65	21	197	80	16.2	47	0.3	357	11
Spoil 19	22	4895	11.7	55685	5741	1.1	65	21	196	79	20	75.2	0.6	285	72
Spoil 20	17.1	3818	6.7	39435	3994	1.1	87	35	290	114	15.6	44.1	0.2	136	35
Spoil 21	1.5	174	14.0	2704	92	1.1	88	30	257	119	1.4	2	0.5	11	0.8

Table A11. Load data

,

24/04/14 - Total Concentrations (After rainfall)

Site Location	Discharge (m ^{3/} S)	Cu (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Zn (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Pb (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Cd (µg/
Cholwell Brook D/S of Wheal Jewell Reservoir	0.017	0	0	5.1	8	0	0.0	0
Cholwell Brook U/S of Adit	0.069	10.9	65	140	836	81.8	488	1.12
Cholwell Brook Adit	0.032	30.4	84	379	1053	260	722	1.94
Cholwell Brook D/S of Adit	0.101	15.6	136	206	1802	121	1059	1.35
Cholwell Brook at Brook Tavy	0.187	19.4	313	127	2052	42.2	682	0.80
Diff' betw'n d/stream & upstream of adit (g/day)			71		966		570	
% Adit of d/stream – u'stream load (g/day)			118%		109%		127%	
% Contribution of Adit load to d/s Adit sample			62%		58%		68%	
% Contribution of Adit at Brook Tavy			27%		51%		106%	

11/06/14 - Total Concentrations (Dry conditions)

Site Location	Discharge (m ^{3/} S)	Cu (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Zn (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Pb (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Cd (µg/
Cholwell Brook D/S of Wheal Jewell Reservoir	0.012	<1	0	0	0	<2	-	<0.1
Cholwell Brook U/S of Adit	0.027	8.9	21	121	286	66.3	157	0.98
Cholwell Brook Adit	0.018	27.8	44	391	613	322	505	1.9
Cholwell Brook D/S of Adit	0.046	17.1	67	245	964	170	669	1.44
Cholwell Brook at Brook Tavy	0.095	13.9	115	147	1211	22.2	183	0.86
Diff' betw'n d/stream & upstream of adit (g/day)			46		678		512	
% Adit of d/stream – u'stream load (g/day)			94%		91%		99%	
% Contribution of Adit load to d/s Adit sample			65%		64%		76%	
% Contribution of Adit at Brook Tavy			38%		51%		276%	

24/04/14 - Dissolved loads

Site Location	Discharge (m ³)	Cu (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Zn (µg/ I)	Load (g/day)	Pb (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	(
Cholwell Brook D/S of Wheal Jewell Reservoir	0.017	0.5	0.7	2.5	3.7	1	1.5	
Cholwell Brook U/S of Adit	0.069	9.24	55.2	137	818	66	394	
Cholwell Brook Adit	0.032	27.6	76.7	373	1036	100	278	
Cholwell Brook D/S of Adit	0.101	13.1	114.6	199	1741	62.2	544	
Cholwell Brook at Brook Tavy	0.187	13	210.0	121	1955	7.95	128	(
11/06/2014								
Diff' betw'n d/stream & upstream of adit (g/day)			59.44		923		150	
% Adit of d/stream – u'stream load (g/day)			129%		112%		185%	
% Contribution of Adit load to d/s Adit sample			67%		60%		51%	
% Contribution of Adit at Brook Tavy			37%		53%		216%	

Site Location	Discharge (m ³)	Cu (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	Zn (µg/ I)	Load (g/day)	Pb (µg/l)	Load (g/day)	(
Cholwell Brook D/S of Wheal Jewell Reservoir	0.012	0.50	0.5	6.06	6.2	1	-	
Cholwell Brook U/S of Adit	0.027	8.31	19.7	119	281.5	59.6	141.0	0
Cholwell Brook Adit	0.018	25.80	40.5	386	605.5	133	208.6	

Cholwell Brook D/S of Adit	0.046	14.40	56.6	243	955.9	78.9	310.4	
Cholwell Brook at Brook Tavy	0.095	10.90	89.8	140	1153.7	7.16	59.0	
Diff' betw'n d/stream & upstream of adit (g/day)			37.0		674		169	
% Adit of d/stream – u'stream load (g/day)			109%		90%		123%	
% Contribution of Adit load to d/s Adit sample			71%		63%		67%	
% Contribution of Adit at Brook Tavy			45%		52%		354%	

Table A12. Macroinvertebrate raw data collected from the Cholwell Brook and River Tavy.

Water Body	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BR
Site/Station Name	DOWNSTREAM WHEAL	UPSTREAM WHEAL	UPSTREAM	DOWNSTREA
	JEWELL RESERVOIR	BETSY SPOIL	CHOLWELL ADIT	CHOLWELL A
Site/Station ID	171217	171218	171219	171220
Site/Station Location	SX 52155 81720	SX-51214-81478	SX 50927 80683	SX 50956 805
Sample Date	04-Apr-14	04-Apr-14	04-Apr-14	04-Apr-14
ТАХА	NUMBER ESTIMATED	NUMBER ESTIMATED	NUMBER ESTIMATED	NUMBER ESTIM
Polycelis felina	3		4	
Potamopyrgus antipodarum				
Pisidium		1		
Oligochaeta	1	10		
Erpobdella octoculata				
Hydracarina		1		
Gammarus pulex				
Alainites muticus				
Baetis rhodani				
Baetis vernus	3	40		
Rhithrogena semicolorata				
Ecdyonurus				
Electrogena lateralis	70	3		
Serratella ignita				
Caenis rivulorum				

Water Body	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BR
Site/Station Name	DOWNSTREAM WHEAL JEWELL RESERVOIR	UPSTREAM WHEAL BETSY SPOIL	UPSTREAM CHOLWELL ADIT	DOWNSTREA CHOLWELL A
Brachyptera risi	3	1	3	
Protonemura meyeri	3		50	6
Amphinemura sulcicollis	3			
Nemurella picteti				3
Leuctra hippopus	100	90	400	400
Leuctra inermis	60	300	500	200
Leuctra nigra		2		1
Isoperla grammatica	20	2		
Chloroperla torrentium	10	10	4	1
Cordulegaster boltonii			1	
Velia caprai	4			1
Gerris najas				
Oreodytes sanmarkii				
Agabus				1
Agabus guttatus	2			
Orectochilus villosus				
Hydraena gracilis				
Elodes	2		1	
Elmis aenea	1	1		
Limnius volckmari		3	2	
Rhyacophila		2		
Rhyacophila dorsalis	2	2		
Agapetus				
Philopotamus montanus				
Wormaldia	4			

Water Body	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BR
Site/Station Name	DOWNSTREAM WHEAL JEWELL RESERVOIR	UPSTREAM WHEAL BETSY SPOIL	UPSTREAM CHOLWELL ADIT	DOWNSTREA CHOLWELL A
Tinodes		1		
Polycentropodidae	2			
Plectrocnemia	8	1		1
Plectrocnemia conspersa	8	3	2	
Plectrocnemia geniculata	2			
Polycentropus			1	
Polycentropus flavomaculatus				
Polycentropus kingi				
Hydropsyche siltalai	1	7	20	5
Lepidostomatidae				
Crunoecia irrorata				
Lepidostoma hirtum				
Limnephilidae	5	1		
Drusus annulatus		4		
Halesus radiatus	1			
Potamophylax cingulatus		3		
Silo pallipes		3		
Beraea maurus				1
Sericostoma personatum				
Odontocerum albicorne				1
Adicella reducta				
Oecetis testacea				
Limoniidae			1	
Pedicia	1		1	1
Dicranota		20	1	2

Water Body	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BROOK	CHOLWELL BR
Site/Station Name	DOWNSTREAM WHEAL JEWELL RESERVOIR	UPSTREAM WHEAL BETSY SPOIL	UPSTREAM CHOLWELL ADIT	DOWNSTREA CHOLWELL A
Simulium	60	90	40	10
Simulium tuberosum				
Simulium variegatum				
Chironomidae	3	400	9	5
Empididae		20		1
Ibisia marginata				
Neolimnomyia		1		
BMWP	128	119	84	76
ASPT	6.40	6.61	6.46	6.91
N-TAXA	20	18	13	11