Nursing and Health Sciences (2015), ••, ••-••

Research Article

Experiences regarding maternal age-specific risks and prenatal testing of women of advanced maternal age in Japan

Kyoko Murakami, RN, RM, MSN,¹ Sue Turale, RN, DEd, FACN, FACMHN,^{1,2} Heather Skirton, PhD, RGN, RGC, QMW, PGCAP,³ Faye Doris, RM, MSc,³ Kumiko Tsujino, RN, PhD,⁴ Misae Ito, RN, RM, PhD⁵ and Saeko Kutsunugi, RN MHsc¹

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Ube, Japan ²Department of Global Health and Nursing, Division of Nursing Science, College of Health Sciences, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, South Korea ³Faculty of Health and Human Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth, UK ⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ryukus, Urasoe, Okinawa, Japan and ⁵Faculty of Health & Welfare, Kawasaki University of Medical Welfare, Kurashiki, Japan

- Abstract The number of pregnant women of advanced maternal age has increased worldwide. Women in this group have an increased chance of fetal abnormality. To explore Japanese women's experiences regarding maternal age-specific risks and prenatal testing, we conducted a descriptive qualitative study. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 16 women aged 35 years or over who had given birth within the previous three months to a healthy, term infant. Thematic analysis of transcribed interview data was performed and three major themes were identified: inadequate understanding of genetic risks; insufficiently informed choice regarding prenatal testing; and need for more information from health professionals. Some participants were not aware of maternal age-specific risks to the fetus. Many took their cues from health professionals and did not raise the topic themselves, but would have considered prenatal testing if made aware of the risks. Nurses, midwives and other health professionals need to adequately inform pregnant women about the genetic risks to the fetus and offer testing at an appropriate stage early in the pregnancy.
- **Key words** advanced maternal age, informed choice, prenatal testing, qualitative study, risk perception, thematic analysis, fetal abnormality.

INTRODUCTION

Advanced maternal age (AMA) is defined as 35 years or over for primipara and 40 years or over for multiparous women at the time of delivery (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2014). The number of pregnant women of AMA has increased worldwide (Laopaiboon *et al.*, 2014). For example, the proportion of births to women \geq 35 years in Japan increased from 8.6% in 1990 to 25.9% in 2012 (Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, 2013). This is mainly attributed to women marrying at an older age than previously, and advancements in the use of fertility treatments, including assisted reproductive technology (ART) (Billari *et al.*, 2011; Ooki, 2013).

Increasing maternal age is associated with a raised incidence of adverse maternal outcomes (Khalil *et al.*, 2013; Laopaiboon *et al.*, 2014), and women of any parity have an mality as they age (Nakata *et al.*, 2010; Savva *et al.*, 2010). Technical progress has brought greater opportunities for antenatal screening and testing to detect whether the fetus has an abnormality (Nakata *et al.*, 2010; Hagen *et al.*, 2011). For five decades, amniocentesis has been offered, often on the basis of a woman's age. In more recent decades, women ≥ 35 years have had access to antenatal fetal screening using maternal serum tests and ultrasound before making a decision about an invasive test (Nakata *et al.*, 2010; Chitty & Bianchi, 2013). Despite the fact that screening and testing should be offered as options rather than routine tests, and that counselling and information affect the uptake of testing (Godino *et al.*, 2013), there is still evidence that women and their partners do not make informed choices (Barr & Skirton, 2013).

increased chance of having a baby with a chromosomal abnor-

In Japan, women concerned about the risks of fetal abnormalities can choose non-invasive screening at 10–13 gestational weeks. In the second trimester, amniocentesis is offered to women at increased risk of fetal chromosomal abnormality, that is, women \geq 35 years at time of delivery (Genetic-Medicine-Related Societies, 2003). Recently, the

Correspondence address: Sue Turale, Department of Global Health & Nursing, Devision of Nursing Science, Ewha Womans University, 52 Ewhayeodae-gil, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, 120-750, Korea. e-mail: turale@ewha.ac.kr Received 16 June 2014; revision received 6 January 2015; accepted 18 January 2015

obstetric clinical setting has changed rapidly as a result of a obstetrician shortages (Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, 2013) and expanding options for prenatal testing (Suzumori *et al.*, 2014).

Bayrampour *et al.* (2012a,b) conducted a qualitative study of 15 primiparous women of AMA, and identified four themes related to perception of pregnancy risk: definition of pregnancy risk; factors influencing risk perception; risk alleviation strategies; and risk communication with health professionals. Yang *et al.* (2007) studied experiences of primiparous women in Asian countries and reported that their reactions included surprise and worry about childbirth outcomes, and embarrassment and ambivalence regarding lifestyle changes. In a quantitative study, Yotsumoto *et al.* (2012) reported that pregnant women with less knowledge of noninvasive prenatal testing had a more positive attitude toward it. However, there are few studies reporting the perceptions of women of AMA regarding risks related to their age.

In this study, we focused on a particular subset of women who are at increased risk of bearing a child with a congenital abnormality.

Study aim

The purpose of this study was to explore the experiences of mothers regarding maternal age-specific risks and prenatal testing, within the context of their AMA.

METHODS

Design

This was a descriptive qualitative study using semi-structured interviews.

Participants

Participants were eligible for inclusion if they were aged 35 years or older and had given birth within the last three months to a healthy term infant. Purposive sampling was carried out in order to achieve a maximum variation sample (Coyne, 1997). An effort was made to recruit women across the age range of 35–50 years, women who had invasive testing, as well as those who had not, and women with uncomplicated and complicated pregnancies or births. Women were excluded if: they or their infants had an existing medical problem after delivery; their infant had been diagnosed with or was suspected of having a congenital abnormality; or if they were health professionals.

Data collection

Data were collected using semi-structured interviews, which is a suitable method for exploratory studies (Polgar & Thomas, 2008). Prior to the interview, participants' demographic information was collected using a short questionnaire. Interviews were then undertaken using a set of open questions focused on the woman's pregnancy experience. The researchers, all of whom have midwifery experience, designed the interview guide. The major foci of the interview questions were: (i) given your age when you were pregnant, did you have any specific concerns about the baby's health? and (ii) what information were you given about the chances that the baby might have Down syndrome or other similar conditions? Who discussed it with you?

Potential participants were invited to be involved in the study when they attended a Japanese university hospital for a routine postnatal check-up one month after birth. The mother was asked for her permission to be contacted by the researcher and to have an interview two months after birth. Sixteen interviews were conducted over nine months during 2011-2012 in quiet, private locations convenient to participants. Interviews lasted 40-60 mins and 15 interviews were digitally audio-recorded with the consent of the participant, with the interviewer taking notes. One interview was not audio-recorded according to the participant's wishes. However, detailed handwritten notes were taken and transcribed almost verbatim immediately after the interview. The interviewee performed verification of the transcript. We conducted two practice interviews with mothers in the outpatient clinic to test the interview questions. After these practice interviews, a question on the information needs of women of AMA was added because we had obtained little information on this topic. Interviews ceased when data saturation occurred.

Data analysis

Interviews were transcribed verbatim, and translated from Japanese to English by a bilingual researcher, then translated back into Japanese by another researcher to check that the translation was correct. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis described by Braun and Clarke (2006). This inductive approach enabled identified themes to emerge from the raw data. First, three researchers independently read the interview transcripts several times to obtain overall impressions. Second, we generated initial codes, which refer to the most basic elements of the raw data that can be assessed in a meaningful way. We paid attention to ensure that repeated patterns within the data were noted for later phases. Third, we identified a set of subthemes and organized these under major themes. We then reviewed the themes, identified the essence of each theme, and named each accordingly. Lastly, we made a final analysis and selected examples of data to underpin each theme from the transcripts.

Rigor

Regarding trustworthiness, the interviewer asked participants questions during and after the interviews to clarify their perceptions and validate the interpretations of their intended meanings. To enhance credibility, two experts checked the two practice interviews. After interviewing, member checking was performed to confirm the credibility of the data. One Japanese researcher and two English-speaking researchers independently analyzed the data at each stage using the same analysis method. Emergent themes were then compared. University faculty members and a peer debriefer

Participant no.	Age (years)	Parity	Using ART	Desire for another child	Return to full-time work	Pregnancy complication
1	38	3	_	No	No	_
2	37	1	ICSI	Yes	Yes	PIH
3	37	1	ICSI	Yes	No	_
4	36	4	_	No	No	Threatened miscarriage
5	40	2	IVF	No	No	-
6	37	1	ICSI	Yes	Yes	Threatened miscarriage
7	39	1	-	Yes	Yes	Threatened miscarriage & had amniocentesis
8	43	2	_	No	No	_
9	39	1	-	No	No	PIH, asthma, threatened premature labor
10	39	1	ICSI	Yes	No	Myoma
11	36	2	AIH	No	No	Threatened miscarriage
12	41	1	_	Yes	Yes	-
13	39	1	_	Yes	Yes	_
14	37	1	_	Yes	Yes	Placenta praevia
15	35	2	AIH	Yes	No	_
16	36	3	-	No	No	Threatened premature labor

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the women in the study

AIH, artificial insemination with husband's sperm; ART, assisted reproductive technology; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in vitro fertilization; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension.

established transferability. Discussion continued until consensus on themes and subthemes was reached and confirmed by member checking (Holloway & Wheeler, 2009).

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Boards of Yamaguchi University Hospital and Yamaguchi University. Prior to the study, participants were informed of the study purpose and processes, guaranteed anonymity and confidentiality by oral and written information, and gave written informed consent.

RESULTS

The 16 participants had a mean age of 38.1 years (range, 35–43); nine were primiparous and seven were multiparous. All were married, and six were employed. Of the 11 participants who considered their pregnancy to be planned, seven became pregnant using ART. Nine wished to have another child. One primiparous mother had undergone amniocentesis during pregnancy, and one multiparous mother had undergone amniocentesis in a previous pregnancy (Table 1). The three emergent themes are described below.

Theme 1: Inadequate understanding of genetic risks

Most participants were aware that the risk of fetal congenital anomaly increased with maternal age; however, many did not understand the risks in detail:

It may have been due to my age, but I had two concerns, one is my physical matters (sic) and the other is whether my baby would be born with a handicap or not in this second pregnancy ... I was most worried about if my baby has normal fingers and feet or no heart problem because I had got a lot of information about risk to the fetus with advanced maternal age from elsewhere. (Participant 5)

Participants recognized the connection between their age and pregnancy risks by hearing the word *kourei ninshin*, referring to a woman who gives birth at \geq 35 years. This label triggered women's anxiety about the risks. Some participants felt that they might have additional risks if they were > 40 years. Additionally, some participants who had conceived using ART were worried about additional genetic risk to the fetus because of the use of this method. However, some were surprised at their high-risk status:

I think that micro-insemination is done by hand using an injection needle. I am not an expert in this area so I was really worried that there was some risk involved, or that there might be some problems with the baby ... (Participant 3)

When I was first examined at a clinic, I was told to go to the university hospital because I was a primiparous advanced maternal age woman ... I didn't even go into the exam room. I was very shocked. (Participant 12)

However, a few participants did not know that the risk to the fetus increased with maternal age. Two multiparous mothers believed the risks of AMA were only associated with primiparous pregnancies and many multiparous women mentioned that they were not given information during this pregnancy:

I only heard that Down syndrome [is] caused by increased maternal age after delivery of this child [third child]. I had never heard of it before then. When [I transferred to a university hospital, and] I gave birth and heard about it for the first time, and was like, what is all this about? (Participant 1)

I do not know how being old is increases the risk to the baby. I think it also depends on whether or not it's your first child. I think whether or not you've had a miscarriage before . . . but I don't really know for sure. (Participant 2)

Theme 2: Insufficiently informed choice for prenatal testing

Subtheme 1: Recognition for invasive testing

Regarding testing, some participants were aware of the miscarriage risk associated with amniocentesis and believed testing did not provide a definite result. On the other hand, some participants knew nothing about testing and some were given information about testing or obtained it too late for termination of pregnancy:

I didn't know that there are many tests of genetic screening before I watched it on the TV. Unless I had said something, the doctor probably wouldn't say anything, would they? ... When I found out on TV that amniocentesis was possible, it was too late. On the other hand, without TV, I would probably have never known. (Participant 5)

Subtheme 2: Ambivalent feelings and inferring risks to the fetus

Many participants would have continued with the pregnancy even if the fetus had an abnormality and were, thus, dissuaded from testing. While many women were ambivalent about testing, they were reassured and/or made their own calculations of risk, based on ultrasound screening:

When thinking of having a test, if I found my baby was abnormal from the test . . . when I think about what I would have done with the baby, then I think "I would rather not know" . . . I'm not sure if I could raise the child even if it is born, but what is most difficult is being asked to choose. (Participant 5)

I could guess the risks of my pregnancy personally, and asked my doctor "Isn't my baby pretty small?" because my first baby was stillborn and I was quite nervous. But the doctor didn't worry about my baby.... In the ultrasound up until the amniocentesis there was no abnormality with the size, and all I could do was believe in the child. (Participant 10)

Subtheme 3: Couples' decision-making process

In the decision-making process, some participants discussed testing with their husband. Some then had an amniocentesis because they wanted to know as much as possible and do what was best for the baby: While reading the book, it mentioned lots of different things. I realized it would be a problem if the baby had a disability, so I discussed it with my husband. I was concerned about a disability with my baby, but really the only thing we could do was amniocentesis, but ... we decided to do whatever we could, and I had the test. The test didn't show any abnormalities, so I was able to be reassured about those aspects ... I think that helped me through my pregnancy. (Participant 7)

However, some made individual choices and/or withheld information from their husbands:

My husband seemed to want to do the testing for congenital abnormalities, but didn't know what it involved in detail. When he came to the check-up with me, he asked the doctor about it directly, but we were told that it was already "too late." Although I already knew, I didn't say anything because I felt sorry for the baby. Either way, I would have wanted to have the baby if the baby was disabled. (Participant 9)

Theme 3: Need for more information from health professionals

Subtheme 1: Interaction with health professionals

Many participants reported they had received no information from health professionals. In some cases, information was only given by a health professional if the mother asked a direct question:

I wondered if I would have explanations of the risks and tests. I thought I shouldn't worry since I was told no problem of my pregnancy. But, I did wonder if there would be any explanations. (Participant 13)

Many participants appear to have been reassured by doctors telling them that the baby was healthy. They believed that if an abnormality were suspected, their doctor would mention it. They were, therefore, reassured by the lack of information provided about risks or tests:

I just have read about it [amniocentesis] through books and the Internet . . . I thought maybe I would be told to have the test, but I was not. So I thought I must be OK. (Participant 14)

Doctors sometimes actively discouraged women to have testing, such as amniocentesis:

When I said that, my doctor told me "Everyone asks about the tests hoping that their own child won't have any problems, but if they did, have you thought about what you would do?" and "If there was a problem, would you terminate the pregnancy?" So I was a bit startled by that. After that, the reaction from the hospital was like "Well, we don't really support terminating a pregnancy for that reason." I thought a lot about it but decided that maybe it was better not to know, and in the end I didn't do the testing. (Participant 2)

Subtheme 2: Information needs

Many participants reported ambivalence about obtaining information, because too much information might increase worry. In fact, some participants consciously resisted obtaining information. Furthermore, some women appeared to feel obliged to have tests performed if the doctor actively mentioned it:

Well, if my doctor had told me about the test maybe that would have caused me to be anxious anyway, so I think for me it was better that they didn't talk to me about it. ... but I do wish a little bit that the doctor had spoken to me about amniocentesis. (Participant 3)

... I know a mother who was still thinking of having the test, so if the doctor explains about the test, she would decide to have it ... They may think it is kind of obligatory. If the doctor said so, I had better [do it] ... (Participant 15)

Mothers stressed that the decision should be made without any type of coercion. However, they wished to obtain at least minimal information about testing because without this they would not know what to question. Therefore, they wished to have written information, such as pamphlets or books to read, and, subsequently, raise the issue with health professionals themselves if they wished:

Even if I was told to ask, I think that some people who would not know how to ask. I think that if at [the] least information is provided, then anxiety would be eased. I think that depending on the person, as expected there are people who obtain information and those who don't know how to ask . . . I think if we got told a little then it would be helpful for pregnant women. (Participant 11)

In addition, women wished to talk about the risk of the pregnancy and/or testing with their "own" doctors and midwives. A lack of opportunity for discussion with their midwife or doctor often led to an inability to make an informed choice:

If I need to know something, I'd rather hear it from a specialist doctor than from the Internet or the TV, so I'd like the doctor to tell me everything I need to know Rather than worrying myself with the talk of people who don't know anything about it, I'd rather worry myself with what I have been told directly by a specialist. My baby is healthy now, so I think maybe it was OK that I didn't ask, but if things hadn't gone well then I'd probably wish I'd asked about it. (Participant 3)

DISCUSSION

Our findings highlight the experiences of women of AMA in relation to risks to the fetus and prenatal testing. These experiences are important because they affect prenatal care use, information seeking and decision-making about prenatal testing.

Risk perception of women of advanced medical age

Many participants recognized that there were risks of having a baby with a congenital anomaly, such as Down syndrome, that were connected with maternal age; this finding reflected those of previous studies (Maheshwari et al., 2008; Bayrampour et al., 2012a,b). On the other hand, some women did not fully recognize the extent of the risk - this was especially true of multiparous women. This might be because women who conceive at an older age may feel fortunate to conceive and are, thus, unwilling to consider testing or termination. It has been shown that information about risk may increase anxiety rather than alleviate concerns (Carolan & Nelson, 2007), and this may lead women to limit informationseeking to avoid such anxiety (Bayrampour et al., 2012a,b). Furthermore, some women were worried about additional risks to the fetus if they conceived using ART. Misperception of the risks may either lead women to seek higher levels of medical intervention because they incorrectly perceive themselves as being high risk, based on their age alone (Cooke et al., 2010), or to be ignorant of their high-risk status (Carolan & Nelson, 2007; Carolan & Frankowska, 2011). To promote the empowerment of women, health professionals should be sensitive to the fact that women may not be aware of all of the risks associated with maternal age, and provide appropriate information, such as the fact that maternal age itself does not involve noticeable extra risk for nonchromosomal birth defects (Ooki, 2013). Pre-conception education and risk communication will benefit women, as suggested in a previous study (Cooke et al., 2010).

Informed choice and decision-making

Our findings support the idea that women of AMA have ambivalent feelings about seeking information since they might aware of pregnancy risks. Similar to previous findings (Cooke *et al.*, 2012), some of the women in our study would have liked to have known the status of their fetus, but would have continued the pregnancy even if the fetus was affected by a condition; thus, they were dissuaded from testing.

On the other hand, many participants were given little information by health professionals and in many cases were only provided with information once they had asked for it. Women expressed a wish for individual face-to-face discussions with their health professionals about "their own" risks, but this may not have been offered because of limitations on pregnancy termination and restrictions on discussion of screening in the past. In Japan, the guideline for screening using serum markers (Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, 1999) recommends that doctors need not actively explain this and should not actively recommended it to pregnant women. Termination may be conducted only before the gestation age at which the fetus would be viable outside the womb. This is usually prior to 22 weeks gestation (JAOG, 1990). However, it is not legal to terminate a pregnancy at any time because of fetal abnormalities. Therefore, doctors may refrain from explaining prenatal testing because, if they find a fetal abnormality, they cannot terminate pregnancy for that reason. As a result of the expansion of genetic counselling services in 2011, the national guideline now requires those considering the maternal serum marker test to have adequate and sufficient genetic counselling (Guideline for NIPT, 2013). While technical progress has brought greater opportunities, the legal and social systems continue to present challenges, which need to be discussed nationally.

In addition, culture may influence the discussion of topics such as disability. In Japan, serious illness is still not always discussed between care provider and patient, and some patients prefer not to know if their illness is life threatening or incurable (Turale & Ito, 2008). Moreover, some women in our study believed that the baby was a blessing and that parents have the potential to look after a child if he/she is born with a disability. While some participants preferred not to have an amniocentesis, they wanted ultrasound screening. However, they may have been unaware that soft markers (minor anatomical variants detected on ultrasound) can indicate a risk that the fetus has a chromosomal abnormality, such as Down syndrome (Holmgren & Lacoursiere, 2008). Furthermore, many of our participants believed that if the fetus had a suspected abnormality, the doctor would have mentioned it and recommended prenatal testing. These misunderstandings might hinder accurate informed consent for prenatal testing. Health professionals should pay attention to these aspects of prenatal testing and informed consent.

While some participants discussed prenatal testing with their husbands, many participants made their own choices. Sharing the decision-making process represents a possible cause of conflict between the woman and her husband (Arimori, 2006; Durand et al., 2010). Therefore, women might take responsibility for their own decisions during pregnancy. In Japan, some women and their partners believe in traditional gender role ideology, that is, "a man's job is to earn money and a woman's job is to look after the home and family" (Cooke et al., 2012). However, work by Barr and Skirton (2013) in the United Kingdom has shown that while fathers wish to be involved in decision-making, nurses/ midwives make little effort to include partners in discussions about prenatal screening (Suplee et al., 2007). Further research is needed on the role of the partner in the decisionmaking process in Japan and other countries.

Information needs and nursing care

Although they expressed a need for "adequate" information, many participants felt they had been over-informed regarding the pregnancy, which reflects findings of previous studies (Carolan, 2007). Therefore, most participants sought useful information, given sensitively and unobtrusively. This finding is consistent with studies of women in the UK (Barr & Skirton, 2013). Both the volume and method in which information is delivered influences the decisions of a woman and her partner.

K. Murakami et al.

Limitations

In this study, participants were sampled from only one hospital; therefore, it is not clear if the findings are transferable to other settings. However, the experiences and beliefs of women from an eastern country such as Japan may be important not only in the East, but in Western countries with multicultural populations. Future research is needed using triangulation methods, such as collecting data from partners or health professionals, to obtain more data across a wider population.

CONCLUSION

While some of our participants recognized maternal agespecific risks to the fetus, others were unaware of such risks. A lack of awareness of these risks could result in uninformed decision-making and decisional conflicts between women and healthcare providers. It is important that health professionals consider women's informational needs, taking into account cultural and religious influences. Nurses, midwives, and doctors, in particular, must take more responsibility for providing adequate information and access to appropriate counselling regarding possible genetic issues. Further research to explore the most effective way of sensitively providing adequate information is required.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We greatly thank the participants who kindly shared their childbearing experience. We also thank Yamaguchi University Hospital and staff for their advice. This study was funded by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research © 22592484 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

CONTRIBUTIONS

Study Design: KM, ST, HS, FD, KT, MI, SK. Data Collection: KM. Data Analysis: KM, ST, HS. Manuscript Writing: KM, ST, HS, FD, KT, MI, SK.

REFERENCES

- Arimori N. Randomized controlled trial of decision aids for women considering prenatal testing: the effect of the Ottawa Personal Decision Guide on decisional conflict. *Jpn. J. Nurs. Sci.* 2006; **3**: 119–130.
- Barr O, Skirton H. Informed decision making regarding antenatal screening for fetal abnormality in the United Kingdom: A qualitative study of parents and professionals. *Nurs. Health Sci.* 2013; **15**: 318–325.
- Bayrampour H, Heaman M, Duncan K, Tough S. Advanced maternal age and risk perception: A qualitative study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2012a; **12**: 100.
- Bayrampour H, Heaman M, Duncan K, Tough S. Comparison of perception of pregnancy risk of nulliparous women of advanced maternal age and younger age. *J. Midwifery Womens Health* 2012b; 57: 445–453.

Billari FC, Goisis A, Liefbroer AC et al. Social age deadlines for the childbearing of women and men. Hum. Reprod. 2011; 26: 616–622.

Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual. Res. Psychol.* 2006; **3**: 77–101.

- Carolan M. Health literacy and the information needs and dilemmas of first-time mothers over 35 years. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2007; **16**: 1162–1172.
- Carolan M, Frankowska D. Advanced maternal age and adverse perinatal outcome: A review of the evidence [preview]. *Midwifery* 2011; 27: 793–801.
- Carolan M, Nelson S. First mothering over 35 years: Questioning the association of maternal age and pregnancy risk. *Health Care Women Int.* 2007; **28**: 534–555.
- Chitty LS, Bianchi DW. Noninvasive prenatal testing: The paradigm is shifting rapidly. *Prenat. Diagn.* 2013; **33**: 511–513.
- Cooke A, Mills TA, Lavender T. "Informed and uninformed decision making" women's reasoning, experiences and perceptions with regard to advanced maternal age and delayed childbearing: A meta-synthesis. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2010; **47**: 1317–1329.
- Cooke A, Mills TA, Lavender T. Advanced maternal age: Delayed childbearing is rarely a conscious choice. A qualitative study of women's views and experiences. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2012; 49: 30–39.
- Coyne IT. Sampling in qualitative research. Purposeful and theoretical sampling; Merging or clear boundaries? J. Adv. Nurs. 1997; 26: 623–630.
- Durand MA, Stiel M, Boivin J, Elwyn G. Information and decision support needs of parents considering amniocentesis: Interviews with pregnant women and health professionals. *Health Expect.* 2010; **13**: 125–138.
- Genetic-Medicine-Related Societies. "Guidelines for Genetic Testing". 2003. English edition: Oct 2004. [Cited 12 Apr 2014] Available from URL: http://jshg.jp/e/resources/index.html.
- Godino L, Turchetti D, Skirton HA. Systematic review of factors influencing uptake of invasive fetal genetic testing by pregnant women of advanced maternal age. *Midwifery* 2013; 29: 1235–1243.
- Guideline for NIPT. [Notice No.1, by Director of Maternal and Child Health Division in Equal Employment, Children and Families Bureau.] 2013. (in Japanese). [Cited 12 Jun 2014] Available from URL: http://jshg.jp/news/data/news_130319.pdf.
- Hagen A, Entezami M, Gasiorek-Wiens A *et al.* The impact of first trimester screening and early fetal anomaly scan on invasive testing rates in women with advanced maternal age. *Ultraschall Med.* 2011; **32**: 302–306.
- Holloway I, Wheeler S. *Qualitative Research in Nursing and Health Care*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2009.
- Holmgren C, Lacoursiere DY. The use of prenatal ultrasound for the detection of fetal aneuploidy. *Clin. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2008; **51**: 48–61.
- International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Headquarters London, UK. 2014. [Cited 12 Apr 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.figo.org.

- JAOG. [Notice No.55 by Vice-Minister in Ministry of Health], 1990. (in Japanese). [Cited 12 Jun 2014.] Available from URL: http:// www.jaog.or.jp/sep2012/JAPANESE/teigen/teigi.htm.
- Khalil A, Syngelaki A, Maiz N, Zinevich Y, Nicolaides KH. Maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcome: A cohort study. Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. 2013; 42: 634–643.
- Laopaiboon M, Lumbiganon P, Intarut N et al. WHO Multicountry Survey on Maternal Newborn Health Research Network. Advanced maternal age and pregnancy outcomes: A multicountry assessment. BJOG 2014; 121: 49–56.
- Maheshwari A, Porter M, Shetty A, Bhattacharya S. Women's awareness and perceptions of delay in childbearing. *Fertil. Steril.* 2008; 90: 1036–1042.
- Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. [Opinion on Maternal Serum Marker Test (Report). Issuance No.582 by the Chief of Children and Families Bureau, Ministry of Health], 1999. (in Japanese). [Cited 12 Apr 2014.] Available from URL: http://www1.mhlw.go.jp/ houdou/1107/h0721-1_18.html.
- Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. Vital statistics, Statistics and Information Department, Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, Japan. 2013. [Cited 12 Apr 2014.] Available from URL: http:// www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/jinkou/kakutei12/.
- Nakata N, Wang Y, Bhatt S. Trends in prenatal screening and diagnostic testing among women referred for advanced maternal age. *Prenat. Diagn.* 2010; **30**: 198–206.
- Ooki S. Maternal age and birth defects after the use of assisted reproductive technology in Japan, 2004–2010. *Int. J. Womens Health* 2013; **5**: 65–77.
- Polgar S, Thomas S. *Introduction to Research in the Health Sciences* (5th edn). Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 2008.
- Savva GM, Walker K, Morris JK. The maternal age-specific live birth prevalence of trisomies 13 and 18 compared to trisomy 21 (Down syndrome). *Prenat. Diagn.* 2010; **30**: 57–64.
- Suplee PD, Dawley K, Bloch JR. Tailoring peripartum nursing care for women of advanced maternal age. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Neonatal Nurs. 2007; 36: 616–623.
- Suzumori N, Ebara T, Kumagai K et al. Non-specific psychological distress in women undergoing noninvasive prenatal testing because of advanced maternal age. *Prenat. Diagn.* 2014; 34: 1055– 1060.
- Turale S, Ito M. People of Japanese heritage. In: Purnell LD, Paulanka BJ (eds). *Transcultural Health Care: a Culturally Competent Approach*. Philadelphia, PA: F.A. Davis Company, 2008; 260–277.
- Yang YO, Peden-McAlpine C, Chen CH. A qualitative study of the experiences of Taiwanese women having their first baby after the age of 35 years. *Midwifery* 2007; 23: 343–349.
- Yotsumoto J, Sekizawa A, Koide K *et al.* Attitudes toward noninvasive prenatal diagnosis among pregnant women and health professionals in Japan. *Prenat. Diagn.* 2012; **32**: 674–679.