A Tutorial on Internet of Things: From A Heterogeneous Network Integration Perspective

Ke Xu, *Member, IEEE,* Yi Qu, and Kun Yang, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—The days that the Internet is the only focus of the information society have already gone and innovative network paradigms such as Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, smartphone networks, social networks and industrial networks are gaining popularity and establishing themselves as indispensable ingredients of the future smart universe. Among them, IoT is the most widespread one that is envisioned to involve all things in the world. However, its potential will never be fully explored before the complete formation of the cyberspace, where humans, computers and smart objects are pervasively interconnected. Therefore, one of the most important development trends of IoT is its integration with existing network systems. In this tutorial, we provide a detailed analysis on this issue. With particular attention, the latest achievements, technical solutions and influential ongoing projects are described and possible visions and open challenges are also discussed.

Keywords—Internet of Things, heterogeneous networks, integration.

◆

1 INTRODUCTION

INTERNET of Things (IoT) is the network of physical objects embedded with actuators, RFIDs, sensors, software and connectivity to enable it to interact with manufacturers, operators and/or other connected devices to reach common goals [1]. It has been a hotspot both in academia and industry since it was proposed by Kevin Ashton in 1999.

As a paradigm that is envisioned to involve all things in the world, IoT can never be an isolate kingdom. It has extensible and compatible features and is always ready to absorb advantages in every aspect of information domains. Its potential will never be fully explored before the complete formation of the cyberspace, where humans, computers and smart objects are pervasively interconnected. This process can be regarded as the integration of IoT and existing network systems including cloud computing, Internet, smartphone, social and industrial networks. Actually, in recent years, the integration of heterogeneous network systems have become a main source of network innovations and has stimulated the proposition of novel interdisciplinary concepts such as Cloud of Things (CoT), Web of Things (WoT) and Social Internet of Things (SIoT).

With the deepening of integration processes, system boundaries are disappearing and territories originally belonged to IoT are becoming shared domains. As shown in Fig. 1, many interdisciplinary territories and overlaps exist between IoT and existing network systems. Generally, IoT expands the scale of the Internet from computers to everything while the Internet and smartphone networks together provide IoT with fast network backbones. Moreover, leveraging cloud computing, infrastructures and platforms provided by IoT and the Internet are utilized by social, industrial and smartphone networks to offer human society with innovative services.

Specifically, short-range wireless transmission technologies commonly adopted in IoT are unsuitable for long-distance and high-speed connection. Leveraging network infrastructures provided by the Internet and smartphone networks are necessary to interconnect the numerous, various and globally distributed objects. Further, IoT itself cannot store, compute or analyze massive data collected from trillions of sensors. This weakness is exactly the strength of cloud computing, whose computation and storage can be regarded as infinite, such that the IoT-Cloud integration will lead to a win-win situation. Moreover, the combination of IoT and social, industrial and mobile networks will promote the spring-up of close human-object interactions, premium products and various novel applications. All of these services are highly desired and the integration of heterogeneous network systems will create a better life for mankind.

Recently, a flourish of efforts have been paid to study this issue. In [2], the authors focus on the integration between IoT and social networks. And in [3], current researches of IoT in industries are reviewed in detail. The adoption of IoT system in smart cities is introduced in [4] while the cluster of IoT and cloud computing is presented in [5]. Different from these studies that only focus on one aspect, in this tutorial, we provide a comprehensive review on the integration of IoT and existing network systems including cloud computing, Internet, smartphone, social and industrial networks. With particular attention, the latest achievements, technical

---

- *Ke Xu and Yi Qu (corresponding author) are at Department of Computer Science and Technology, Tsinghua University, and Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology.*
- *Kun Yang is at School of Computer Science & Electronic Engineering, University of Essex.*



Fig. 1. Interconnections between IoT and clouds, the Internet, smartphone, social, and industrial networks.

solutions and influential ongoing projects are described and possible visions and open challenges are also discussed. Due to the extremely wide scope of related works, researches and projects discussed in this tutorial are representative rather than complete.

2 CLOUD COMPUTING

In practice, most objects are tiny not only in sizes, but also in capacities. Successfully monitoring the physical world requires a great number of sensors to generate a huge amount of data, which should be stored and processed effectively. Cloud computing provides infinite computation and storage through a shared pool of resources, which can be dynamically allocated and easily obtained by any IoT application.

2.1 Cloud of Things (CoT)

Although conceptual analyses are abundant in literatures, it is still questionable how IoT should be integrated with clouds. It is challenging to consider that objects are huge in numbers. They are largely dynamic, their lifespans vary and the provided applications require totally different quality-of-service.

A meaningful attempt can be found in [6], where a novel architecture called Cloud of Things (CoT) is proposed. CoT can be classified into 4 layers. The lowest IntraNode layer is responsible for management and abstraction of geographically distributed objects. Above it, IntraCloud and InterCloud layers are designated to deal with object interactions inside an individual cloud and among heterogeneous cloud peers. The upmost layer provides actual services to end-users. Utilizing techniques such as volunteer contribution and mash-up [1], CoT makes it possible for tiny objects to perform complicated, burdensome, collaborative tasks by delivering all decision-making and computation-intense work to clouds.

2.2 Big Data

The involving of trillions of objects will raise the IoT data scale to an unprecedented level. The large size, high complexity and wide variety of IoT raw data prevents traditional data processing techniques from discovering valuable information. Fortunately, big data is deemed as a promising solution for IoT data management. Recent work [7] proposed a next-generation Operational Data Historian (ODH) system, which is designed to store sampling data of objects for long periods, process real-time queries, and analyze historical data. ODH combines the advantages of relational data model and time series data model to support high write throughput and fast queries. All sampling data are packaged and compressed into Regular Time Series (RTS) structure, Irregular Time Series (IRTS) structure and Mixed Grouping (MG) structure according to data characteristics. Compared with traditional databases, ODH improves data processing performance by 1-2 order of magnitude.

Although progresses are achieved in this area, more researches are necessary on issues such as data analyzing, knowledge creation and event predictions.

---

[1] Mash-up is a web application that uses content from more than one source to create a single new service displayed in a single graphical interface.



(a) Protocol translation



(b) IP over WSNs



(c) WoT

Fig. 2. Integration of IoT and the Internet. Here, solid line represents direct communication since protocols are same while dash line represents the contrary situation.

2.3 ClouT

Recognizing the great benefits of IoT-cloud integration, lots of business organizations, academic institutions and governments are investing significantly in related projects such as openIoT [2], iGovernment [3], Phenonet [4], ClouT [5] and European Smart Cities [6].

Here, we take ClouT as an example. It is a collaborative project jointly funded by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7) and the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology of Japan (NICT) in 2013. Leveraging cloud computing, city infrastructures will be provided with almost infinite processing and storage capacities, leading to a city with powerful elaborative faculty. On its basis, efficient communication platforms will be established to promote collaborations between objects and individuals. Through ClouT, data from objects will be precisely accessed and universal human-object inter-operability can be assured. Following the concept of regarding infrastructure, platform and software as services (IaaS, PaaS and SaaS), objects will be easily shared among all citizens in the form of Thing as a Service (TaaS) and Sensing as a Service (SenaaS). We emphasize that ClouT not only provides us with future visions, but also a series of innovative applications and field trials are already in progress in cities such as Santander in Spanish and Mitaka in Japan.

3 INTERNET

Due to the fact that the Internet is the largest network in the world, connecting IoT with Internet infrastructures seems to be an optimal way to provide the future universe with fast backbones.

3.1 Protocol Translation

In most scenarios, object resources are so limited that IP-compatible protocols are too burdensome to be adopted, which hinders communication between objects and computers. To deal with it, protocol translation approaches are proposed, which interconnects sensors through proprietary WSN protocols, while gateways act as proxies to convert proprietary protocols to TCP/IP and vice versa (see Fig. 2(a)). Under the assistance of dedicated translation gateways, proprietary protocols could continually focus on extremely lightweight protocols occupying minimal storage and obtaining maximal sensor lifetime without considering IP compatibility. Meantime, protocol translation provides a solution for legacy WSNs, allowing them to be connected to the Internet with seldom modification. This kind of approach is low-complexity, low-cost and can be easily adopted. However, for lack of unified standards, it also causes isolation among IoT applications.

---

[2] http://openiot.eu/
[3] http://www.igovernment.in/
[4] http://www.plantphenomics.org.au/projects/phenonet
[5] http://clout-project.eu/home2/
[6] http://www.smart-cities.eu/

3.2 IP Over WSNs

Another group of researchers are making efforts to apply IP over WSNs. Compared with protocol translation, IP has several advantages. First, IP as the de facto standard of the Internet offers a flexible and extensible architecture. Second, a variety of IP-compatible mechanisms and protocols are already developed, validated and operationally deployed in computer networks, which can be easily migrated to IP-enabled WSNs. Third, programmers, designers and researchers are familiar with TCP/IP stacks. Thus, innovative ideas and techniques for the Internet could be quickly applied to WSNs.

Recognizing its benefits, IETF selects IPv6 as the only choice to enable wireless communication of WSNs and proposes an IPv6-based protocol stack, which consists of IEEE 802.15.4 as physical and MAC layers, 6LowPAN as the adaption layer between IPv6 and IEEE 802.15.4 (see Fig. 2(b)), IPv6 as the network layer, lightweight TCP/UDP as transportation layer and Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) as a simplified application layer. However, as things are of different sorts and sizes, further efforts are still required to ensure the proposed stack be adaptable to devices with different and limited capabilities. We refer the reader to [8] for a detailed discussion of recent standard activities.

3.3 Web of Things (WoT)

Protocol translation and IP based approaches focus on providing interconnected network infrastructures, however, they cannot solve the problem that various objects speak various languages. For example, in a smart home, air-conditioner, fridge and stove temperatures are coded differently since they were produced by different manufacturers. A common language that can be understood by every object is urgently required.

Leveraging existing ubiquitous Web protocols, WoT is totally application-oriented and aims at ubiquitous interoperability by giving every object with Web abilities. In a typical scenario, a gateway runs a Web server and offers object functionalities via a RESTful [7] API (see Fig. 2(c)). Object information is obtained through a Web browser installed on user devices. In WoT, all services are presented in the form of Web applications. As long as Web applications being operated smoothly, network protocols can be tailored to a minimal degree. For example, Smew [9] is a prototype of WoT, which can be installed on most sensors because it only requires 0.2-KB Random Access Memory (RAM) and 7-KB flash memory.

4 SMARTPHONE NETWORKS

The proliferation of smartphones have brought an explosive growth in smartphone networks. However, smartphones only cover areas with human activities. This disadvantage could be made up by IoT, because objects are always deployed in places that humans are unable to reach. Moreover, smartphone networks focus on communications among humans while IoT mainly involves Machine-to-Machine (M2M) interactions. Integrating IoT with smartphone networks will produce a pervasive connected world with promoted human-object interactions and full coverage of both human concentrated areas and deserted lands. Based on three different roles the smartphone performs as shown in Fig. 3, we divide this section into three parts and each part is described separately in the following subsections.



Fig. 3. IoT-smartphone integration.

4.1 Crowdsensing

Crowdsensing is the concept of a group of individuals with mobile devices collectively monitor, share and utilize sensory information of environment with common interests. Compared with traditional sensors, mobile devices carried by humans are always incorporated with powerful capacities, leading to more comprehensive sensory data and premium monitoring quality. The huge amount of mobile users and the pervasive distribution of humans significantly expand the potential monitoring area to global scales. Human mobility, intelligence and collaborations further promote the ability of crowdsensing applications.

Take CrowdSense@Place (CSP) as an example [10]. It is a framework for understanding different places that relies on opportunistically crowdsourced place hints, including words spoken by people, text written on signs and buildings recognized in the environment. All places are classified into 7 categories including college, entertainment, restaurant, home, shop, workplace and others. Employing crowdsensing and topic model techniques, CSP is able to recognize places with 69% overall accuracy. However, since crowdsensing participators vary frequently, the sensory information is exhibited in various

---

[7] Representational state transfer (REST) is a distributed system framework that uses web protocols and technologies and involves client and server interactions built around the transfer of resources. Systems that conform to REST principles are referred to as RESTful.

forms (e.g., images, audio, videos etc.) and the data accuracies are different. Extracting appropriate environment parameters from tremendous information and assuring desired monitoring quality are main challenges here.

4.2 Resilient Networks

Although network infrastructures are pervasively deployed all over the world, insufficient network access remains inevitable in extreme situations. For example, a large proportion of network applications (e.g., habitat monitoring, environment surveillance) are deployed in remote areas, where information infrastructures are not enough and direct Internet accesses are often impossible. Another example is network outage caused by disasters such as earthquake, flood and hurricane. Totally 385 telephone offices and 1.5 million users suffer from network breakdown in the ”great east Japan earthquake” occurred on March 11, 2011. Resilient networks are required to provide fast-constructed and low-cost network access in these situations.

Incorporated with communication interfaces, smartphones and sensors can be utilized to provide temporary network services when network backbone breaks. Qu *et al.* [11] proposed that smartphones can serve as data relays for objects without Internet accesses. On the phone-object side, WiFi and Bluetooth are used to build low-cost communication channels for data collection whenever smartphones meet with objects. On the phone-Internet side, the collected data are uploaded to the Internet whenever phone-owners move to areas with Internet accesses. Sakano *et al.* [12] proposed a novel network architecture that is resilient to disasters exploiting especially designed Movable and Deployable Resource Units (MDRU), which is a transportable container accommodates modularized equipment for networking, information processing, and storage. Whenever a disaster happens, MDRUs are transported to the damaged area and mesh networks are constructed in a self-organized manner. Then, network access and information communications can be quickly restored by interconnecting MDRU mesh networks to the network backbone. We refer readers to MDRU website [8] for more information.

4.3 Collaborative Applications

Objects are recognized as a main source of monitoring data and expected to stimulate innovative applications by intensive human-object collaborations. Installed with various apps, smartphones become a primary platform that first collects information from all kinds of sensors and then provides phone-owner with favorable services leveraging the collected information. An interesting example is Lullaby [13], proposed by Kay *et al.*, which combines motion, light, temperature, audio and photo sensors to provide a comprehensive record of an individual sleep at night. In the next morning, all of the collected records are transmitted to the smartphone. Through smartphone screen, users review graphs, analyze factors relating to their sleep qualities, and find potential causes of poor sleep. With the help of Lullaby applications, unconscious behaviors such as coughs, snores and frequent motions are surprisingly identified and users could make adjustments to ensure the best-quality sleep.



Fig. 4. Stages of IoT-social integration.

5 SOCIAL NETWORKS

In the future, IoT services will no longer be provided by a single object but be presented in composite ways, which means many objects work together to accomplish complex tasks. Also, since most objects are possessed by individuals or organizations, people accessing the same group of sensors imply close social relationships. Together with the management requirements of a huge number of nodes, it is crucial important to give objects with social structures. In this way, network navigability can be guaranteed and objects can be accessed easily. Based on the degree of object intelligence, three identified stages of IoT-social integration are illustrated in Fig. 4 and described in the following subsections.

5.1 Online Publication

Nowadays, a large proportion of IoT applications are designed for commercial purpose. Publishing information to interested users without delay is critical for business success. Compared to other data sharing mediums, leveraging social platforms possesses at least three advantages: (1) they are prevailing platforms with extremely high user engagement and worldwide accessibility. (2) Since human social relationships are clearly classified on the social platforms, accurate data sharing and fine-tuned access control can be easily implemented. (3) It provides a much simpler way for service discovery, advertising and acquirement.

As an example, Baqer et al. [14] proposed S-sensor, a paradigm that sharing environmental information collected by sensors to human-centric context of the Internet. Twitter is selected as data sharing media due

---

[8] http://mdru.org/

to the following reasons. It is a prevailing application with extremely high user engagement and worldwide accessibility. And it allows users to build communities according to social relationships or common interests, thus information can be shared accurately. Tweets are in consistent with sensory data pattern that leveraging short messages to convey the environment statuses. S-sensor includes sensor networks, base-station and Twitter pages with functionalities that monitoring the environment, connecting sensor networks and the Internet, exhibiting shared information, respectively. Before publication, locally trivial raw sensory data are always processed (e.g., redundancy removing, exception filtering, secret hiding, data summarization etc.) into meaningful short messages no more than 140 words that reflect the real important aspect of the environment. Connecting the physical world with the human social networks provides new opportunities for environment understanding and application innovations.

5.2 Mobile Interaction

Propelled by the fast development of tiny wearable devices, more and more researchers realize that human will become the center of information production and consumption. Therefore, the integration of IoT and social networks should not only provide convenience for data sharing but also promote human community awareness.

Following the idea of communicating by doing, Nazzi *et al.* [15] explored how to design objects with augmented functions that automatically generate and share activity information on social platforms whenever a human-object contact happens. To give a prototype application, an improved rollator (a tool to maintain balance for elders while walking) with wireless interfaces, actuators and a dedicated screen has been designed, which is a smart device that can perform simple social behaviors. Without human intervention, rollators collect walking status of owners and broadcast notifications to their friends. Upon receiving notifications, friends can predict the sojourn locations and join this journey. Applying in aged communities, smart rollators create additional openings for social interactions among senior citizens. However, social behaviors of these smart objects entirely depend on the social relationships of their owners.

5.3 Social Internet of Things (SIoT)

Believing that objects will obtain complete social abilities in the future, Atzori *et al.* proposed SIoT [16]. It envisions that trillions of objects build their own social relationships autonomously rather than just participate in human social networks and serve as subsidiaries. Based on common interests, collaborations and trustworthiness, objects can build friendships with other objects spontaneously to offer value-added services to humans. All relationships in the SIoT can be tuned to offer the network with desired features such as navigability and scalability. However, to implement SIoT, great research efforts are required to solve challenges such as: semantics to represent social relationship among sensors, mechanisms to autonomously discover heterogeneous objects and exchange profiles, and novel analysis algorithms to model relationships among trillions of objects.

6 INDUSTRIAL NETWORKS

In the past decades, the most notable trend in industries is probably the move towards networks at all aspects. The recent technological advances in automation, RFID and sensor domains as well as the proposition of the IoT paradigm are transferring current industrial network systems to smart industries.

6.1 Industrial Wireless Sensor Networks (IWSNs)

Nowadays, intelligent and low-cost industrial automation systems are urgently required to improve product quality, operation safety and reduce pollution. Installing tiny sensors in factories to monitor the critical parameters of circumstances, production processes and key equipment could effectively promote factory automation. One example is IWSNs, presented by Krishnamurthy *et al.* [17]. They studied IWSNs through trial applications in semiconductor fabrication plants and oil tankers. Vibration sensors are used in both applications to detect impending equipment failures. Repairing or replacing defective equipment in advance not only guarantees smooth operations in factories, but also saves a lot of money. Meantime, IWSNs provide high quality data at a relatively low investment in installation and operation, which validates the broad applicability.

6.2 INDUSTRIE 4.0

Many experts regard IWSNs as elementary forms of applying IoT in manufacturing. They believe that the further integration of IoT and industries will definitely lead to the fourth industrial revolution within 10 to 20 years. To ensure the global leading position in the manufacturing equipment sector during the fourth revolution, INDUSTRIE 4.0 [18] is launched as a high-tech strategy with top priority by German government, which is aimed to significantly promote the smartness of traditional industries. Specifically, INDUSTRIE 4.0 is a subset of IoT that mainly focuses on applying IoT concepts and technologies in manufacturing and business areas.

Taking full advantages of IoT paradigms, the INDUSTRIE 4.0 Working Group envisions that the future smart industries will have the following main features: all stakeholders in the product value chains such as raw material providers, factories, sellers, transporters and customers are seamlessly integrated. Hence, it is possible to meet multiple optimization targets including the lowest cost, the fastest manufacturing, and the highest user satisfactions, etc. Every product is uniquely identifiable by using RFID-tags and knows the details of its



Fig. 5. The future smart universe: a possible vision.

own manufacturing operations throughout its life cycle. Flexible working conditions are provided to workers to enable better balance between work and personal life, and employees focus on creative activities rather than burdensome routine tasks. Customers are involved into the design process of a single product, such that specific requirements of each customer are satisfied.

7 A POSSIBLE VISION AND CHALLENGES

The integration of IoT and existing network systems is accelerating the formation of a unified smart universe. To achieve it, the spectrum of efforts required include not only academic innovations at a fundamental level, but also industrial collaborations from a wider range.

7.1 A Possible Vision

IoT has aroused great enthusiasm among researchers, engineers and customers, and people hold different opinions on future cyberspace. To give an example, here, we describe a vision with open, extensible and flexible characteristics as a possibility of the future smart universe. As shown in Fig. 5, the leftmost layer is responsible for managing numerous objects and heterogeneous network infrastructures. During application deployment, user requirements including network topology, bandwidth, timeliness etc. are collected. Then, based on techniques such as abstraction and optimization, all of these requirements are transferred into scripts and configured in network devices. Routers with reconfiguration ability [19] are highly demanded such that innovative protocols and incentive frameworks can be loaded, updated and unloaded dynamically in the executable environments in the form of components. Rather than a small group of giant corporations, most components will be developed by individuals or tiny institutions, and component markets similar to App Store will emerge. In terms of link transmission, executable codes are embedded in the packet header, such that every packet knows how it will be processed in its entire lifetime. Although unable to be counted as a complete solution, innovative ideas and novel applications can be inspired by the proposed vision.

7.2 Challenges

From a long-term perspective, we identify the following challenges:

Resource management. There exist many factors that increase management difficulty for future IoT. For example, the huge number of involved objects, the complexity and variability of deployment environments, and the constrained object capability. It is necessary to develop comprehensive resource analyzing mechanisms, quantitative resource assessment theories, and precise resource allocation and scheduling techniques.

Quality assurance. The cyberspace is evolving to involve every aspect of the physical world, which leads to a mixture of applications that vary greatly in bandwidth, security, timeliness and reliability requirements. Take factory surveillance as an example, to obtain a whole picture of manufacturing process, sensors and video monitors are both deployed, where sensor networks require accurate data transmission with low bandwidth while video streams require high bandwidth with low accuracy. Even simple application goals such as synchronizing both sensory information and videos need extensive coordination of cable and wireless transmission technologies.

Extensive involvements. Unlike most Internet devices that are possessed by a small number of giant Internet Service Providers (ISPs), in the future smart universe, most objects will be owned by tiny institutions and individuals. Users can be object designer, service provider and customer at the same time. Relationships among stakeholders will become much more intricate and services will be provided from heterogeneous environments, thus robust and flexible information exchange techniques are required.

Trust. It is inevitable that the hostilities and maliciousness in human society will influence the interaction among objects in the future IoT. Trust mechanisms are required to help peers distinguish potential benevolent partners from hostile ones. However, this cannot be achieved easily considering the existence of identification cheating, the uncertainty of object status and the conflicts between human communities.

Security and privacy. Before IoT can be widely applied, security and privacy are major barriers that must be tackled. However, protecting IoT is almost an impossible mission due to the following reasons. Large part of IoT networks adopt lightweight wireless transmissions that are vulnerable to attacks [20], let alone the fact that objects are fragile devices themselves. Objects are distributed in various contexts to interact with heterogeneous devices, which complicates the design of protection mechanisms. Given that global connectivity and navigability are important features for IoT, attacks from local areas are prone to cause overall impacts. Novel techniques suitable for IoT applications need to be designed including user anonymity, failure recovery, attack resilience, access control and data encryption.

Incentive frameworks. At the time that most services are developed, shared and purchased by individuals, service qualities will vary in great extent due to the knowledge, profession and skill variance of developers. Appropriate incentive frameworks are urgently required to encourage individuals developing services with high quality, sharing premium services in wide scale and protecting the security and privacy of service purchasers.

8 CONCLUSION

This tutorial provides a brief overview of the integration issue of IoT and some existing network systems. We have presented the latest achievements, representative technical solutions and influential ongoing projects in both academia and industry. Some obscure interdisciplinary concepts such as CoT, WoT, SIoT and IWSNs are explained. We believe that the proposed vision is a good start, though many open challenges still remain. However, based on the development trend of IoT combined with more insightful thinking, better solutions capable of providing better infrastructures and services for the future smart universe can be designed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback. This work has been supported in part by NSFC Project (61170292, 61472212), 973 Project of China (2012CB315803), 863 Project of China (2013AA013302, 2015AA010203), EU MARIE CURIE ACTIONS EVANS (PIRSES-GA-2013-610524) and multidisciplinary fund of Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Atzori, A. Iera, and G. Morabito, "The internet of things: A survey," *Elsevier Computer networks*, vol. 54, no. 15, pp. 2787–2805, 2010.

[2] A. M. Ortiz, D. Ali, S. Park, S. N. Han, and N. Crespi, "The cluster between internet of things and social networks: Review and research challenges," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 206–215, 2014.

[3] L. Da Xu, W. He, and S. Li, "Internet of things in industries: A survey," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Informatics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2233–2243, 2014.

[4] A. Zanella, N. Bui, A. P. Castellani, L. Vangelista, and M. Zorzi, "Internet of things for smart cities," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 22–32, 2014.

[5] H. Yue, L. Guo, R. Li, H. Asaeda, and Y. Fang, "Dataclouds: Enabling community-based data-centric services over internet of things," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 472–482, 2014.

[6] S. Distefano, G. Merlino, and A. Puliafito, "Enabling the cloud of things," in *Proc. IEEE IMIS*, 2012, pp. 858–863.

[7] S. Huang, Y. Chen, X. Chen, K. Liu, X. Xu, C. Wang, K. Brown, and I. Halilovic, "The next generation operational data historian for iot based on informix," in *ACM SIGMOD*, 2014, pp. 169–176.

[8] X. Costa-Pérez, A. Festag, H.-J. Kolbe, J. Quittek, S. Schmid, M. Stiemerling, J. Swetina, and H. Van Der Veen, "Latest trends in telecommunication standards," *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 64–71, 2013.

[9] S. Duquennoy, G. Grimaud, and J. Vandewalle, "The web of things: interconnecting devices with high usability and performance," in *Proc. IEEE ICESS*, 2009, pp. 323–330.

[10] Y. Chon, N. D. Lane, F. Li, H. Cha, and F. Zhao, "Automatically characterizing places with opportunistic crowdsensing using smartphones," in *Proc. ACM UbiComp*, 2012, pp. 481–490.

[11] Y. Qu, K. Xu, J. Liu, and W. Chen, "Towards a practical energy conservation mechanism with assistance of resourceful mules," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 145–158, 2015.

[12] T. Sakano, Z. M. Fadlullah, T. Ngo, H. Nishiyama, M. Nakazawa, F. Adachi, N. Kato, A. Takahara, T. Kumagai, H. Kasahara *et al.*, "Disaster-resilient networking: a new vision based on movable and deployable resource units," *IEEE Network*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 40–46, 2013.

[13] M. Kay, E. K. Choe, J. Shepherd, B. Greenstein, N. Watson, S. Consolvo, and J. A. Kientz, "Lullaby: a capture & access system for understanding the sleep environment," in *ACM UbiComp*, 2012, pp. 226–234.

[14] M. Baqer and A. Kamal, "S-sensors: integrating physical world inputs with social networks using wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE ISSNIP*, 2009, pp. 213–218.

[15] E. Nazzi and T. Sokoler, "Walky for embodied microblogging: sharing mundane activities through augmented everyday objects," in *Proc. ACM MobileHCI*, 2011, pp. 563–568.

[16] L. Atzori, A. Iera, G. Morabito, and M. Nitti, "The social internet of things (siot)–when social networks meet the internet of things: Concept, architecture and network characterization," *Elsevier Computer Networks*, vol. 56, no. 16, pp. 3594–3608, 2012.

[17] L. Krishnamurthy, R. Adler, P. Buonadonna, J. Chhabra, M. Flanigan, N. Kushalnagar, L. Nachman, and M. Yarvis, "Design and deployment of industrial sensor networks: experiences from a semiconductor plant and the north sea," in *Proc. ACM SenSys*, 2005, pp. 64–75.

[18] Industrie 4.0 Working Group, "Securing the future of german manufacturing industry: Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative industrie 4.0 (final report)," *Federal Ministry of Education and Research of German*, 2013.

[19] K. Xu, W. Chen, C. Lin, M. Xu, D. Ma, and Y. Qu, "Towards practical reconfigurable router- a software component development approach," *IEEE Network*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 74–80, 2014.

[20] W. Tan, K. Xu, and D. Wang, "An anti-tracking source-location privacy protection protocol in wsns based on path extension," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 461–471, 2014.

Ke Xu (M'02-SM'09) received his Ph.D. from the Department of Computer Science and Technology of Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, where he serves as a full professor. He has published more than 100 technical papers and holds 20 patents in the research areas of next generation Internet, P2P systems, Internet of Things (IoT), network virtualization and optimization. He is a member of ACM and has guest edited several special issues in IEEE and Springer Journals. Currently, he is holding a visiting professor position at the University of Essex. Contact him at xuke@tsinghua.edu.cn.

Yi Qu received the BEng degree in software engineering from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China in 2011. Currently he is a Ph.D. student at the Department of Computer Science and Technology of Tsinghua University, Beijing, China. His research interests include wireless network and wireless sensor network. Contact him at quy11@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn.

Kun Yang received his Ph.D. from the Department of Electronic & Electrical Engineering of University College London (UCL), UK. He is currently a full professor at the School of Computer Science & Electronic Engineering, University of Essex, UK, and the head of the Network Convergence Laboratory (NCL) in Essex. His main research interests include wireless networks/communications, fixed mobile convergence, future Internet technology and network virtualization. He has published 150+ papers in the above research areas. He serves on the editorial boards of both IEEE and non-IEEE journals and (co-)chairs of IEEE conferences. He is also a senior member of IEEE and a fellow of IET. Contact him at kunyang@essex.ac.uk.