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#### Abstract

We call a semigroup on which the Green's quasiorder $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$ is operation-compatible, a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible ( $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible) semigroup. We study the classes of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible semigroups, using the smallest operation-compatible quasiorders containing Green's quasiorders as a tool. We prove a number of results, including the following. The class of $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible ( $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible) semigroups is closed under taking homomorphic images. A regular periodic semigroup is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible if and only if it is a semilattice of simple semigroups. Every negatively orderable semigroup can be embedded into a negatively orderable $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.


## 1. Introduction

Green's relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are one of the most important tools in studying the structure of semigroups. They can also be viewed from a less common angle: as being defined via quasiorders (or preorders), which we shall refer to as Green's quasiorders and denote by $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$, respectively. Studying the properties of these quasiorders is of interest, because of the importance of Green's relations and due to the fact that in a certain sense these associated quasiorders contain 'more information' about a semigroup than Green's relations: given only a Green's quasiorder on a semigroup we can reconstruct the corresponding Green's relation, whereas the converse is not true. We shall call a semigroup $S \leq_{\mathcal{L}^{-}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}^{-}}$ compatible, respectively, if $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ is operation-compatible on $S$. The aim of this paper is to explore some properties of the classes of $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroups. These classes are natural to consider; operation-compatible quasiorders have the convenient property that the equivalences induced by them are congruences, hence yield factor semigroups. We shall denote the smallest operation-compatible quasiorders containing $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ by $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$,
$\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$, respectively. In [9] it was shown that there is a close connection between $\leq_{\mathcal{j}}$ and the filters of a semigroup, and thus $\leq_{\mathcal{j}}$ can be used to determine the lattice of filters and the largest semilattice image of a semigroup.

## 2. Definitions and observations

2.1. Main concepts. A quasiorder (or preorder) on a set is a reflexive and transitive relation. If $S$ is a semigroup, by $S^{1}$ one denotes $S$ if it has an identity element or, otherwise, $S$ with an added identity element. We shall call Green's quasiorders the relations defined on every semigroup as follows:

Definition 2.1. For any elements $s, t$ of a semigroup $S$ let

- $s \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t$ if and only if $s=x t$ for some $x \in S^{1}$,
- $s \leq_{\mathcal{R}} t$ if and only if $s=t y$ for some $y \in S^{1}$,
- $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ if and only if $s=x t y$ for some $x, y \in S^{1}$.

It is easy to show that the relations $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ are quasiorders and that $\mathcal{L}=\leq_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \leq_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}, \mathcal{R}=\leq_{\mathcal{R}} \cap \leq_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{J}=\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \cap \leq_{\mathcal{J}}^{-1}$.

A quasiorder $\leq$ on a semigroup $S$ is left (right) operation-compatible if for all $a, b, c \in S, a \leq b$ implies $c a \leq c b(a c \leq b c)$. A quasiorder is operation-compatible if it is both left and right operation-compatible. Clearly, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$ is right (left) operation-compatible on every semigroup. However, Green's quasiorders are not operation-compatible in general. As operation-compatible quasiorders on any semigroup form a complete lattice, for any quasiorder on a semigroup there exists a smallest operation-compatible quasiorder containing it.

Definition 2.2. We call a semigroup $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible ( $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible) if $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$ is operation-compatible on $S$.
Definition 2.3. Denote by $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$ the smallest operation-compatible quasiorder containing $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$.

Relations $\leq_{\mathcal{j}}, \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ will be a useful instrument for us in this paper because, obviously, a semigroup is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible ( $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible) if and only if $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\leq_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\leq_{\mathcal{L}}=\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}=\leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$.

In [10] a description of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}, \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ was given. In Lemma 2.1 below we give another description, which will be convenient later. In this lemma, for any relation $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ denotes the transitive closure of $\theta$.

Let $S$ be a semigroup. Define the relation $\prec_{\mathcal{J}}$ as follows: for any $s, t \in S$ let $s \prec_{\mathcal{J}} t$ if and only if $s=t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}$ and $t=t_{1} t_{2}$ for some $t_{1}, t_{2}, s_{1} \in S^{1}$. Define the relation $\prec_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\prec_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$ as follows: for any $s, t \in S$ let $s \prec_{\mathcal{L}} t\left(s \prec_{\mathcal{R}} t\right)$ if and only if $t_{2} \in S, t_{1}, s_{1} \in S^{1}\left(t_{1} \in S, t_{2}, s_{1} \in S^{1}\right)$.

Lemma 2.1. In every semigroup
(1) $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\prec_{\mathcal{J}}$
(2) $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}=\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\prec_{\mathcal{L}}}$
(3) $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}=\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\prec_{\dot{R}}}$.

Proof. We only prove Statement 1, since Statements 2 and 3 can be verified similarly.

If $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}} b$ then $a=s b t$ for some $s, t \in S^{1}$; since $a=s b t \prec_{\mathcal{J}} s b \prec_{\mathcal{J}} b$, we have $a \prec_{\mathfrak{J}} b$. Therefore, $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \prec_{\mathfrak{J}}$. It is obvious that if $a \prec_{\mathfrak{J}} b$ then for any $s, t \in S^{1}$, sat $\prec_{\mathcal{J}} s b t$. Hence, if $a \prec_{\dot{\mathcal{J}}} b$ then for any $s, t \in S^{1}$ sat $\prec_{\mathcal{J}} s b t$. Therefore, $\varlimsup_{\mathcal{J}}$ is operation-compatible. Obviously, $\prec_{\mathcal{J}}$ is transitive. Therefore, $\leq_{\mathfrak{j}} \subseteq{\prec_{\mathcal{J}}}$.
It is obvious that $\prec_{\mathcal{J}}$ is contained in the operation-compatible closure of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$. Hence, ${\prec_{\mathcal{J}}}$ is contained in the transitive operation-compatible closure of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$, which is exactly $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$. Therefore, $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \supseteq \varlimsup_{\mathfrak{J}}$.

### 2.2. Examples of classes of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroups.

Proposition 2.1. Every group and every commutative semigroup is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^{-} \text {-compatible }}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible.

Proof. The result follows from the fact that in a group or in a commutative semigroup $\prec_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{J}}, \prec_{\mathfrak{L}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and from Lemma 2.1.

As we shall see in Sections 4 and 5, every band is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible, but not necessarily $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible.
2.3. Monoids. As the following statements demonstrate, results concerning $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ are not affected by a semigroup being a monoid; however, results concerning $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak{R}}$ are affected by this fact.

Proposition 2.2. Consider a semigroup $S$ and a monoid $M=S \cup 1$ with the neutral element 1 , where $1 \notin S$. Then the relation $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ on $S$ is equal to the restriction of $\leq_{\mathfrak{J}}$ on $S$.

Proof. This follows from the description of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ in Lemma 2.1.
Proposition 2.3. In every monoid $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\leq_{\mathcal{L}}=\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$.
Proof. From the definition it follows that in any monoid $M$ we have $\prec_{\mathcal{J}}=\prec_{\mathfrak{L}}=\prec_{\mathfrak{R}}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\leq_{\mathcal{L}}=\leq_{\mathfrak{R}}$.

## 3. Congruences

3.1. Induced equivalence relations. For any element $s$ in a semigroup $S$ and any congruence $\theta$ on $S, s^{\theta}$ shall denote the image of $s$ under the natural homomorphism $S \rightarrow S / \theta$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $S$ be a semigroup and let $s, t \in S$ be such that $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ $\left(s \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t, s \leq_{\mathcal{R}} t\right)$. Then for any congruence $\theta$ on $S, s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t^{\theta}\left(s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathfrak{\mathcal { L }}} t^{\theta}\right.$, $s^{\theta} \leq_{\dot{\mathcal{R}}} t^{\theta}$ ) in $S / \theta$.

Proof. If $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ then by Lemma 2.1 there exist $s=s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}=$ $t \in S$ such that $s_{i} \prec_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Fix an arbitrary $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $s_{i}=a b c$ and $s_{i+1}=a c$ for some $a, b, c \in S^{1}$. Hence $s_{i}^{\theta}=a^{\theta} b^{\theta} c^{\theta}$ and $s_{i+1}^{\theta}=a^{\theta} c^{\theta}$ (where for $1_{S} \in S^{1}$ we have $1_{S}^{\theta}=1_{T} \in T^{1}$ ), and so $s_{i}^{\theta} \prec_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1}^{\theta}$. Therefore by Lemma $2.1 s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t^{\theta}$. (The proof is similar for $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$.)

Definition 3.1. Denote by $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}, \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}$ the equivalences $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \cap \leq_{\dot{\mathcal{J}}}^{-1}$, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \leq_{\dot{\mathcal{L}}}^{-1}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}} \cap \leq_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}$, respectively.

For any operation-compatible quasiorder $\leq, \leq \cap \leq^{-1}$ is a congruence (see [13] for instance), hence $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}, \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}$ are congruences.
Definition 3.2. Let us say that a semigroup is $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial $(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial,


We call a quasiorder on a semigroup $S$ a negative quasiorder if $s t \leq s$ and $s t \leq t$ for every $s, t$ in $S ; S$ is called negatively orderable if there exists an operation-compatible negative partial order on $S$.

Proposition 3.1. A semigroup is $\mathfrak{J}$-trivial if and only if it is negatively orderable.

Proof. If a semigroup $S$ is $\dot{\mathcal{J}}^{-}$-trivial then, obviously, $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ is an operationcompatible negative partial order on $S$. If there is an operation-compatible negative partial order $\leq$ on $S$ then $\prec_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \leq$, by the definition of $\prec_{\mathcal{J}}$, hence, $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \leq$, therefore, $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ is an order and, hence, $\mathcal{J}$ is the identity relation.

According to the usual convention, let us call a congruence $\theta$ on a semigroup $S$ a $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence $(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial congruence, $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}$-trivial congruence) if $S / \theta$ is a $\stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{ }$-trivial semigroup ( $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial semigroup, $\stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{ }$ trivial semigroup).

Proposition 3.2. In any semigroup $S$, the congruence $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}, ~ \mathcal{R})$ is the smallest $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial ( ${ }^{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial, $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}$-trivial) congruence.

Proof. Let $S$ be a semigroup. First we prove that $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$ is contained in every $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence on $S$. Let $\theta$ be a $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence on $S$ and let $s, t \in S$ be such that $s \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}} t$. Then we have $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ and $t \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s$. By Lemma 3.1 in the factor semigroup $S / \theta$ we have $s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t^{\theta}$ and $t^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s^{\theta}$. Then $t^{\theta} \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{J}} s^{\theta}$ and since $\theta$ is a $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence, we have $t^{\theta}=s^{\theta}$. Therefore $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \theta$.
We show that $\mathcal{J}$ is a $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence on $S$. Suppose that $s^{\circ} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t^{\mathcal{J}}$ and $t^{\mathcal{J}} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s^{\mathcal{J}}$ for some $s$ and $t$ in $S$. Then - by Lemma 2.1 - there exists a sequence $s=s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n}=t$ in $S$ such that $s_{i}^{\dot{\mathcal{J}}} \prec_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1}^{\dot{\mathcal{J}}}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. By definition of $\prec_{\mathcal{J}}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ there exist $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in S^{1}$ such that $s_{i}^{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{J}}}=a_{i}^{\mathcal{J}} b_{i}^{\mathcal{J}} c_{i}^{\mathcal{J}}$ and $s_{i+1}^{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}}=a_{i}^{\mathcal{\mathcal { J }}} c_{i}^{\mathcal{J}}$ (where for $1_{S} \in S^{1}, 1_{S}^{\mathfrak{\mathcal { J }}}$ is defined as $\left.1_{S}^{\mathfrak{\mathcal { J }}}=1_{S / \mathcal{J}} \in(S / \mathcal{J})^{1}\right)$. Then $s_{i}^{\mathfrak{\mathcal { J }}}=a_{i}^{\mathfrak{\mathcal { J }}} b_{i}^{\mathfrak{\mathcal { J }}} c_{i}^{\mathfrak{\mathcal { J }}}=$ $\left(a_{i} b_{i} c_{i}\right)^{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}}$ and $s_{i+1}^{\stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{ }}=a_{i}^{\mathcal{J}} c_{i}^{\mathcal{J}}=\left(a_{i} c_{i}\right)^{\circ}$, hence $s_{i} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}} a_{i} b_{i} c_{i} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} a_{i} c_{i} \mathcal{J} s_{i+1}$, thus $s_{i} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. By transitivity $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ follows. Similarly we can show that $t \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s$, thus $s \dot{\mathcal{J}} t$ and so $s^{\mathcal{J}}=t^{\circ}$ holds. Therefore $S / \mathcal{\mathcal { J }}$ is a $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial semigroup and $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$ is a $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence.

The statement regarding the congruences $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{ }$ and $\dot{\mathcal{R}}$ can be proved similarly.

As a comment to the previous result, we would like to emphasize that we do not say that every congruence containing $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$ is $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial. For instance, a free semigroup obviously has non- $\mathcal{J}$-trivial factor semigroups, and it is $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial. Indeed, let $A$ be an alphabet. Then - by Lemma 2.1 - it is easy to show that for any $u, v$ in the free semigroup $A^{+}$we have $u \leq_{\mathcal{J}} v$ if and only if $v$ is a subword of $u$. Hence $u \leq_{\mathcal{J}} v$ and $v \leq_{\mathfrak{J}} u$ imply $u=v$, and so $A^{+}$is $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial. $^{\text {then }}$

One might think incorrectly that if in a semigroup $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}=\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{L}}$, $\mathcal{R}=\stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{ }$ ) then it is a $\leq_{\mathcal{J} \text {-compatible }}$ ( $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible) semigroup. However, this is wrong even in semigroups which are $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$ trivial; now we present an example of a $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial semigroup which is not $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible.

Example 3.1. For any positive integer $n$, the semigroup $O E_{n}$ of all order-preserving decreasing mappings on an $n$-element set is well known to be negatively orderable (we cannot find this observation in the literature formulated explicitly, although it is implicit in, for instance, [6]). Hence, $O E_{n}$ is $\mathcal{J}$-trivial. Consider the mappings $\alpha, \beta \in O E_{4}$ defined as follows. Let $\alpha: 4 \mapsto 3,3 \mapsto 2,2 \mapsto 1$ and $\beta: 4 \mapsto 3,3 \mapsto 3,2 \mapsto 1$ (and $1 \mapsto 1$, as in every element of $O E_{n}$ ). Then $\alpha \not \mathbb{J}_{\mathcal{J}} \beta$, since $\operatorname{rank}(\alpha) \not \leq \operatorname{rank}(\beta)$ (where the rank of a mapping is the size of its image). Let us demonstrate that $\alpha \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \beta\left(\alpha \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \beta, \alpha \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \beta\right)$. Indeed, let $\alpha_{1}: 4 \mapsto 4,3 \mapsto 2,2 \mapsto 2, \beta_{1}: 4 \mapsto 4,3 \mapsto 3,2 \mapsto 1$ and $\beta_{2}: 4 \mapsto 3,3 \mapsto 3,2 \mapsto 2$. It is easy to see that $\beta=\beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ and $\alpha=\beta_{1} \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}$, hence by Lemma $2.1 \alpha \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \beta\left(\alpha \leq_{\mathcal{j}} \beta, \alpha \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \beta\right)$.

As to an example of a completely different kind, any free semigroup with at least two generators is also a $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial semigroup with $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \neq$ $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$.
3.2. Homomorphic images of $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible and $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroups. The class of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroups is not closed with respect to subsemigroups (for example, a counterexample can be produced on the basis of Corollary 6.1 below). However, the following is true:

Theorem 3.1. The class of $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible ( $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible) semigroups is closed under taking homomorphic images.

Proof. Let $S$ be a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup and let $T$ be a homomorphic image of $S$ under a homomorphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that $\alpha(s) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha(t)$ in $T$. Then - by Lemma 2.1 - there is a sequence $s=s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}=t \in S$ such that for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\alpha\left(s_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(a_{i}\right) \alpha\left(b_{i}\right) \alpha\left(c_{i}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(s_{i+1}\right)=\alpha\left(a_{i}\right) \alpha\left(c_{i}\right)$ for some $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in S^{1}$ (where for $1_{S} \in S^{1}, \alpha\left(1_{S}\right)$ is defined as $\alpha\left(1_{S}\right)=1_{T} \in T^{1}$ ). Let us fix an index $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $a_{i} b_{i} c_{i} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} a_{i} c_{i}$ in $S$ and as $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ in $S$, there exist $u_{i}, v_{i} \in S^{1}$ such that $a_{i} b_{i} c_{i}=u_{i} a_{i} c_{i} v_{i}$. Then $\alpha\left(s_{i}\right)=$ $\alpha\left(a_{i} b_{i} c_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{i} a_{i} c_{i} v_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{i}\right) \alpha\left(a_{i} c_{i}\right) \alpha\left(v_{i}\right) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha\left(a_{i} c_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(s_{i+1}\right)$. Hence, $\alpha\left(s_{i}\right) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha\left(s_{i+1}\right)$ and by transitivity, $\alpha(s) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha(t)$. For $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ the statement can be proved similarly.

## 4. REGULAR PERIODIC $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-COMPATIBLE $\left(\leq_{\mathcal{L}}\right.$-COMPATIBLE, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-COMPATIBLE) SEMIGROUPS

In this section we shall provide a description of regular periodic $\leq_{\mathcal{J}^{-}}$ compatible, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible semigroups.

By $\mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$ one denotes the smallest congruence containing $\mathcal{J}$. It is well known that in regular semigroups the congruence $\mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$ plays a special role: it is the smallest semilattice congruence; see, for instance, Proposition 3.2.3 in [5].
Lemma 4.1. In a regular semigroup, $\mathcal{J}^{\sharp}=\dot{\mathcal{J}}$.
Proof. From Proposition 3.2 and from $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \dot{\mathcal{J}}$ it follows that $\mathcal{J}^{\sharp} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Let us prove that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$. Indeed, by Proposition 3.2, $\mathcal{J}$ is the smallest $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial congruence. At the same time, $\mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$ is the smallest semilattice congruence. Since every semilattice is $\mathcal{J}^{\circ}$-trivial, $\mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$ is a $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}^{-}$-trivial congruence, hence by Proposition $3.2 \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$.

Example 4.1. As the following example shows, in a regular semigroup $\mathcal{R}^{\sharp} \neq \mathcal{R}$ in general. Consider the variety $\mathbf{M K}_{1}$ of semigroups defined by the identities $x=x^{2}$ and $x y=x y x$ within the variety of all semigroups (the notation was first introduced in [11]). Let $B$ denote the band which is free in $\mathbf{M K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ with generators $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ for some $n \geq 3$. Since $B$ is a band, it is a regular semigroup. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}$ is the identity relation on $B$, hence $\mathcal{R}^{\sharp}=\mathcal{R}$ is also the identity. We
show that $\mathcal{R}$ is not the identity on $B$. For $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ in $B$ we have $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \geq_{\mathcal{R}} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{3}=a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}$ and $a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} \geq_{\mathcal{R}} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{2}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$, hence $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}$. It is easy to see - and it also follows from Lemma 5.1 which will be proved in Subsection 5.2 - that $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \neq a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}$ in $B$. Therefore $\dot{\mathcal{R}}$ is not the identity relation on $B$ and thus $\mathcal{R}^{\sharp} \neq \mathcal{R}$. Similarly we can show that in a regular semigroup $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp} \neq \dot{\mathcal{L}}$ in general.
Lemma 4.2. If $S$ is a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible band of simple semigroups then $S$ is $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.

Proof. Let $S$ be a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible band of simple semigroups and let $\theta$ be a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible band congruence on $S$ such that each $\theta$-class is a simple semigroup and let $R=S / \theta$. For every $s \in S$ let $\theta_{s}$ denote the $\theta$-class of $s$. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$. Then by Lemma 3.1 we have $s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}}^{R} t^{\theta}$. Since $R$ is a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible band, it implies $s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}}^{R} t^{\theta}$ and thus $s^{\theta}=x^{\theta} t^{\theta} y^{\theta}$ for some $x, y \in S^{1}$ (where for $1_{S} \in S^{1}$, $1_{S}^{\theta}$ is defined as $1_{S}^{\theta}=1_{R} \in R^{1}$. Hence $s^{\theta}=x^{\theta} t^{\theta} y^{\theta}=(x t y)^{\theta}$ and thus $s \theta$ xty and - since $\theta_{s}$ is a simple semigroup $-s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} x t y \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$.

The following statement is a classical result, see, for instance, Theorem 1.3.10 in [7] or Theorem 4.1.3 in [8]:

Theorem 4.1. (Clifford's Theorem) Every completely regular semigroup is a semilattice of completely simple semigroups.

Corollary 4.3. Every completely regular semigroup is $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.

Since every band is completely regular, by Corollary 4.3:
Corollary 4.4. Every band is $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.
Theorem 4.2. For a regular periodic semigroup $S$ the following are equivalent:
(1) $S$ is $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup
(2) $S$ is a band of simple semigroups
(3) $S$ is a semilattice of simple semigroups.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$ Let $S$ be a regular periodic $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup. Then $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}$ in $S$, hence by Proposition $3.2, \mathcal{J}$ is a $\mathfrak{J}$-trivial congruence on $S$. Therefore $B=S / \mathcal{J}$ is a $\mathfrak{J}$-trivial semigroup. Since $S$
is regular, every $\mathcal{J}$-class of $S$ contains an idempotent. It follows that each $\mathcal{J}$-congruence class of $S$ is a semigroup, hence $B$ is a band. We show that every $\mathcal{J}$-congruence class is a simple semigroup. For any element $s \in S$ let $J_{s}^{S}, L_{s}^{S}, R_{s}^{S}$ denote the $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$-class, respectively of $s$ in $S$. Let $T$ be an arbitrary $\mathcal{J}$-class of $S$. We show that $\mathcal{L}^{T}=\left.\mathcal{L}^{S}\right|_{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{T}=\left.\mathcal{R}^{S}\right|_{T}$. Let $s, t \in T$ be such that $s \mathcal{L}^{S} t$. Let $e \in L_{s}^{S}$ be an idempotent (as $S$ is regular, such an idempotent exists, see Proposition 2.3.2 in [8]) and let $s^{\prime} \in J_{s}^{S}$ be an inverse of $s$ such that $s^{\prime} s=e$. (Such an inverse exists, see [8]). Then $e$ is a right identity in $L_{s}^{S}$ (see Proposition 2.3.3 in [8]), therefore $t=t e=t s s^{\prime} s$ and thus $t \leq_{\mathcal{L}}^{T} s$. Similarly we can show $s \leq_{\mathcal{L}}^{T} t$, hence $s \mathcal{L}^{T} t$ follows. Therefore $\mathcal{L}^{T}=\left.\mathcal{L}^{S}\right|_{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{T}=\left.\mathcal{R}^{S}\right|_{T}$ can be verified similarly. Since $S, T$ are periodic, we have $\mathcal{J}^{T}=\mathcal{L}^{T} \circ \mathcal{R}^{T}=\left.\left.\mathcal{L}^{S}\right|_{T} \circ \mathcal{R}^{S}\right|_{T}=\left.\mathcal{J}^{S}\right|_{T}=T \times T$ and thus $T$ is a simple semigroup.
$2 \Rightarrow 1$ It follows from Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.4.
$3 \Rightarrow 2$ This implication is trivial.
$1 \Rightarrow 3$ Let $S$ be a regular periodic $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup. Then by Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{J}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}=\mathcal{J}^{\sharp}$ is a semilattice congruence on $S$. Above we proved that each $\mathcal{J}$-class in a regular periodic semigroup is a simple semigroup, thus $S$ is a semilattice of simple semigroups.

Definition 4.1. A band is called a left (right) normal band if it satisfies the identity $x y z=x z y(x y z=y x z)$.

Lemma 4.5. In any left normal band $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}=\leq_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$; in any right normal band $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\leq_{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof. Let $B$ be a left normal band. The containment $\leq_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq_{{ }_{\mathcal{R}}}$ trivially holds. To verify $\leq_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ it is sufficient to show that $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ is operation-compatible. Clearly, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ is left operation-compatible. We show that $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ is also right operation-compatible. Let $s, t \in B$ be such that $s \leq_{\mathcal{R}} t$, namely, $s=\operatorname{tr}$ for some $r \in B$. Then for any $u \in B$, $s u=t r u=t u r \leq_{\mathcal{R}} t u$, thus $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ is right operation-compatible, and hence $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}=\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$.

As to the second part of the statement, let $s, t \in B$ be such that $s \leq_{\mathcal{R}} t$, namely, $s=t r$ for some $r \in B$. Then $s=t r=t t r=t r t \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t$ and thus, $\leq_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq^{\leq_{\mathcal{L}}}$.

The dual statement can be proved similarly.

Lemma 4.6. Every left normal band is $\mathcal{\mathcal { R }}$-trivial, and every right normal band is $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial.

Proof. Let $B$ be a left normal band. Let $e, f \in B$ be such that $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f$ and $f \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$. By Lemma 4.5 it implies $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f$ and $f \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$, hence there exist $x, y \in B$ such that $e=f x, f=e y$. Then $f=e y=f x y=e y x y=$ $e y^{2} x=e y x=f x=e$ holds.

The dual statement can be proved similarly.
Lemma 4.7. Let $S$ be a band. The following conditions are equivalent: (1) $S$ is $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial ( $(\stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\mathrm{R}}$-trivial);
(2) $S$ is $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial $\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}\right.$-trivial) and $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible $\left(\leq_{\mathcal{R}}\right.$-compatibl9);
(3) $S$ is a right (left) normal band.

Proof. $3 \Rightarrow 2$ By Lemma 4.6 every right (left) normal band is $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial ( $\mathcal{R}^{\circ}$-trivial). By Lemma 4.5 every right (left) normal band is an $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^{-}}$ compatible semigroup ( $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible semigroup).
$2 \Rightarrow 1$ Obvious.
$1 \Rightarrow 3$ Indeed, in a band we have $x y z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x z y z y=x z y$. In the same way, $x z y \leq_{\dot{\mathcal{R}}} x y z$. If the band is $\mathcal{R}^{\circ}$-trivial then $x y z=x z y$, hence, the band is left normal. The result for right normal bands can be proved in the same way.

Theorem 4.3. A regular periodic semigroup is an $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^{-}}$-compatible semigroup ( $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible semigroup) if and only if it is a right normal band (left normal band) of $\mathcal{L}$-simple ( $\mathcal{R}$-simple) semigroups.

Proof. Let $S$ be a regular periodic semigroup which is a right normal band of $\mathcal{L}$-simple semigroups; thus, there is a congruence $\theta$ on $S$ such that $\theta$ is a right normal band congruence and every $\theta$-class is $\mathcal{L}$-simple. We show that $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}=\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ in $S$. Clearly, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$. Let $B=S / \theta$. For any $s \in S$ let $s^{\theta}$ denote the image of $s$ under the natural homomorphism $S \rightarrow S / \theta$. By Lemma 3.1 $s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t^{\theta}$ follows. By Lemma $4.7 B$ is $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible semigroup, hence $s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t^{\theta}$ implies $s^{\theta} \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t^{\theta}$ and therefore $s^{\theta}=x^{\theta} t^{\theta}$ for some $x \in S^{1}$ (where $1^{\theta}=1_{B} \in B^{1}$ ). Then $s^{\theta}=x^{\theta} t^{\theta}=(x t)^{\theta}$, hence $s \theta(x t)$ and since each $\theta$-class of $S$ is $\mathcal{L}$-simple, it implies $s \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x t \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t$. Thus $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ and hence $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}=\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$.

For the other direction, let $S$ be a regular periodic $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible semigroup. Then clearly, $\mathcal{L}=\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{ }$ on $S$ and hence by Proposition 3.2, $\mathcal{L}$ is the smallest $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial congruence on $S$. In a regular semigroup every $\mathcal{L}$-class of $S$ contains an idempotent (see [8]), hence $B=S / \mathcal{L}=S / \mathcal{L}$ is a band. By Proposition $3.2 B$ is $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{ }$-trivial, therefore - by Lemma 4.7 - $B$ is a right normal band. Let $L$ be an arbitrary $\mathcal{L}$-class of $S$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a band congruence on $S, L$ is a subsemigroup of $S$. Let $s, t \in L$ be arbitrary elements and $m$ be a positive integer such that $s^{\omega}=s^{m}$. Then $s^{\omega} \in L$ is a right identity in $L$ (see [8]), hence $t s^{m}=t s^{\omega}=t$ and thus $t \leq_{\mathcal{L}}^{L} s$ in $L$. Similarly, $s \leq_{\mathcal{L}}^{L} t$. Therefore, $L$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-simple semigroup.

## 5. Counterexamples

Every completely regular semigroup is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible; an example below shows that not every inverse semigroup is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible.

Every band is $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible; an example below shows that not every band is $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible ( $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible).
5.1. An inverse semigroup which is not $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible. We shall demonstrate through an example that not every inverse semigroup is a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup. Consider the set $X=\{a, b, c\}$ and define the partial transformations $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ on $X$ as follows: $\alpha=$ $\{(a, b),(b, c)\}, \beta=\{(b, c),(c, a)\}, \gamma=\{(c, a),(a, b)\}$. The inverses (in the relation sense) $\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}$ and $\gamma^{-1}$ of these partial transformations are also partial transformations on $X$. Let $S$ denote the semigroup of partial transformations generated by $\left\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}\right\}$. It is known that this semigroup is an inverse semigroup. Then $\alpha \gamma=\{(b, a)\}$ and $\alpha \beta \gamma=\{(a, a),(b, b)\}$. Since $|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha \beta \gamma)|=2>1=|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha \gamma)|$, we have $\alpha \beta \gamma \not \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha \gamma$, but clearly $\alpha \beta \gamma \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha \gamma$. Therefore $\leq_{\mathcal{J}} \neq \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ in $S$.
5.2. A band which is not $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible. As we have seen in Corollary 4.4 every band is a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup. Here we shall show through two examples that the analogous statements involving $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^{-} \text {-compatible }}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}^{-} \text {-compatible semigroups, respectively, do not }}$ hold.

Like in Example 4.1, consider the variety $\mathbf{M K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ of bands defined by the identities $x=x^{2}$ and $x y=x y x$ within the variety of all semigroups. Let $B$ denote the band which is free in $\mathbf{M K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ with generators $A=$
$\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ for some $n \geq 3$. Obviously, $B \cong A^{+} / \theta$ where $\theta$ is the smallest $\mathrm{MK}_{1}$-congruence on $A^{+}$.

For any word $w \in A^{+}$the content of $w$, denoted by $c(w)$, is the set of all letters of $w$; let $f(w)$ denote the first letter of $w$ and let $i(w)$ denote the subword of $w$ obtained by keeping only the first occurrence of each letter of $w$ and deleting all other letters of $w$. Let $\bar{w}$ denote the image of $w$ under the natural homomorphism $A^{+} \rightarrow A^{+} / \theta$.

The following two facts can be found in literature $[12,3,4]$ and are not difficult to prove.
Lemma 5.1. Let $v, w \in A^{+}$be arbitrary words. Then
(1) we have $w \theta i(w)$;
(2) we have $v \theta w$ if and only if $i(v)=i(w)$;
(3) if $v \theta$ then $c(v)=c(w)$ and $f(v)=f(w)$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $a, b \in B$ and $i(a)=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}, i(b)=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}$. We have $a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} b$ if and only if $q \leq p$ and $x_{i}=y_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq q$.
Theorem 5.1. Let $a, b \in B$. We have $a \leq_{\dot{\mathcal{R}}} b$ if and only if $c(b) \subseteq c(a)$ and $f(a)=f(b)$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose $a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} b$. Then by Lemma 2.1 for some positive integer $m$ there exist elements $a=a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}=b \in B$ such that $a_{i} \prec_{\mathcal{R}} a_{i+1}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. Let us fix an arbitrary index $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. By definition there exist $d \in B$ and $e, f \in B^{1}$ such that $a_{i}=d e f$ and $a_{i+1}=d f$. Let $w_{a_{i}}, w_{a_{i+1}}, w_{d} \in A^{+}$and $w_{e}, w_{f} \in A^{*}$ be such that $a_{i}=\overline{w_{a_{i}}}, a_{i+1}=\overline{w_{a_{i+1}}}, \frac{d}{\bar{x}}=\overline{w_{d}}, e=\overline{w_{e}}$ and $f=\overline{w_{f}}$ (where for the empty word $\lambda \in A^{*}, \bar{\lambda}$ is defined as $\bar{\lambda}=1 \in B^{1}$ ). Then clearly $a_{i}=\overline{w_{d}} \overline{w_{e}} \overline{w_{f}}=\overline{w_{d} w_{e} w_{f}}$ and $a_{i+1}=\overline{w_{d} w_{f}}$. Therefore $c\left(a_{i+1}\right)=c\left(w_{d} w_{f}\right) \subseteq c\left(w_{d} w_{e} w_{f}\right)=c\left(a_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(a_{i+1}\right)=f\left(w_{d} w_{f}\right)=$ $f\left(w_{d} w_{e} w_{f}\right)=f\left(a_{i}\right)$, hence $c(b) \subseteq c(a)$ and $f(a)=f(b)$ follows.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose $c(b) \subseteq c(a)$ and $f(a)=f(b)$ and let $a=\overline{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}}$, $b=\overline{y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}}$ for some $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}, y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{q} \in A^{+}$. Then by definition $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\}=c(b) \subseteq c(a)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\}$ and $x_{1}=f(a)=$ $f(b)=y_{1}$. Also $i\left(y_{1} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}\right)=i\left(x_{1} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}\right)=$ $i\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}\right)$. Therefore by Lemma 5.1 we have $a=\overline{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}}=$ $\overline{y_{1} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}}=\overline{y_{1}} \overline{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}} \overline{y_{2} \ldots y_{q}} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \overline{y_{1}} \overline{y_{2} \ldots y_{q}}=\overline{y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}}=$ $b$, hence $a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} b$.
Corollary 5.3. Let $a, b \in B$ and $i(a)=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{p}, i(b)=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}$. We have $a \leq_{\mathfrak{R}} b$ if and only if $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\} \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\}$ and $x_{1}=y_{1}$.

Proof. The statement follows from Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.1.
Example 5.1. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then the free semigroup in $\mathbf{M K}_{1}$ over an $n$-element set is not an $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$-compatible semigroup. This follows from the fact that the conditions describing $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ in Corollary 5.3 and $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ in Lemma 5.2 are clearly not equivalent.

The dual variety $\mathbf{M K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ of bands is defined by the identities $x=x^{2}$ and $y x=x y x$ within the variety of all semigroups. Similarly to the above proof we can show that for any integer $n \geq 3$ the semigroup which is free in $\mathbf{M K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ over an $n$-element set is not an $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^{-} \text {-compatible semigroup. }}$.

## 6. Embedding into a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-COMPATIBLE SEMIGROUP

Every semigroup can be embedded into a simple semigroup as was proved by R. H. Bruck (see [1] or [2]). Since every simple semigroup is clearly a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup, we have the following statement:

Corollary 6.1. Every semigroup can be embedded into $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.

In the rest of this section we shall show that if a semigroup $S$ is $\dot{\mathcal{J}}^{\mathcal{J}}$ trivial then $S$ can be embedded into a $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup which is also $\mathfrak{J}$-trivial.

Let $S$ be an arbitrary semigroup. For each triple $(a, b, c) \in S^{3}$ let us introduce new elements $\overrightarrow{a b c}$ and $\overleftarrow{a b c}$ (not contained by $S$ ), and let $A=\{\overrightarrow{a b c}, \overleftarrow{a b c} \mid a, b, c \in S\}$. Consider the free semigroup $(S \cup A)^{+}$. For any word $w \in S^{+}$let $\bar{w}$ denote the element of $S$ represented by $w$. Let $\approx$ denote the congruence on $(S \cup A)^{+}$generated by the set of all relations of the form $s t=\overline{s t}$ where $s, t \in S$.

Let $\sim$ denote the congruence on $(S \cup A)^{+}$generated by the set of relations of the form $\overrightarrow{a b c} a c \overleftarrow{a b c}=a b c$ where $a, b, c \in S$. Let $\theta$ denote the smallest congruence on $(S \cup A)^{+}$containing $\approx$ and $\sim$, and let $\overleftrightarrow{S}=(S \cup A)^{+} / \theta$. For any $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$let $\theta(w)$ denote the image of $w$ under the natural homomorphism $(S \cup A)^{+} \rightarrow(S \cup A)^{+} / \theta=\overleftrightarrow{S}$.

By a $\approx-$ step we shall understand replacing, in a word $w \in(S \cup \underline{A})^{+}$, a two-letter factorword of the form st by a one-letter factorword $\overline{s t}$ or vice versa, a factorword of the form $\overline{s t}$ by $s t$, for some $s, t \in S$. By a $\sim$-step we shall understand replacing, in a word $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$, a
factorword of the form $\overrightarrow{a b c} a c \overleftarrow{a b c}$ by $a b c$ or vice versa, a factorword of the form $a b c$ by $\overrightarrow{a b c} a c \overleftarrow{a b c}$, for some $a, b, c \in S$. By an inserting step we shall understand inserting a letter from $S \cup A$ somewhere between two letters of a word $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$, or before the first or after the last letter of $w$.

The following statement is straightforward, as it follows immediately from the definition of $\theta$ :

Lemma 6.2. For any words $v, w \in(S \cup A)^{+}, \theta(v)=\theta(w)$ holds if and only if there is a finite sequence $v=v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}=w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$ such that for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $v_{i+1}$ can be obtained by applying one $\approx$-step or one $\sim$-step to $v_{i}$.

Lemma 6.3. If $\theta(v) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(w)$ for some $v, w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$then there exists a sequence $w=w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}=v \in(S \cup A)^{+}$such that for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1 w_{i+1}$ can be obtained from $w_{i}$ by one $\approx-$ step or one $\sim$-step or one inserting step.

Proof. Since $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the transitive closure of $\leq_{\dot{\mathcal{J}}}{ }^{\prime}$, hence, it is sufficient to prove the statement for words $v, w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$such that $\theta(v) \leq_{{ }_{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}} \theta(w)$. Let $v, w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$be such that $\theta(v) \leq_{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime} \theta(w)$. Then by definition there exist $w_{1}, w_{2}, u \in(S \cup A)^{*}$ such that $\theta(w)=\theta\left(w_{1}\right) \theta\left(w_{2}\right)$ and $\theta(v)=$ $\theta\left(w_{1}\right) \theta(u) \theta\left(w_{2}\right)$ (where for the empty word $\lambda$ we put $\theta(\lambda)=1 \in \overleftrightarrow{S}^{1}$ ). Then $\theta(w)=\theta\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right)$ and $\theta(v)=\theta\left(w_{1} u w_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 6.2, $w_{1} w_{2}$ can be obtained from $w$ by $\approx-$ and $\sim-$ steps and similarly, $v$ can be obtained from $w_{1} u w_{2}$ by $\approx-$ and $\sim$-steps. Clearly, $w_{1} u w_{2}$ can be obtained from $w_{1} w_{2}$ by inserting steps, hence the statement follows.

Starting from now, when we speak about factorwords or subwords of a word $w$, we shall normally mean factorwords or subwords whose position within $w$ is fixed. This should not lead to confusion.

Now we are going to extend the $\bar{w}$ notation to certain 'good words' over $(S \cup A)^{+}$. Let us call a word $\underset{\sim}{w} \in(S \cup A)^{+}$a bracketed word if the first and last letters of $w$ are $\overrightarrow{a b c}$ and $\overleftarrow{a b c}$, respectively, for some $a, b, c \in S$. For a bracketed word $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$with first letter $\overrightarrow{a b c}$ let $\bar{w}$ be defined as $\bar{w}=\overline{a b c}$. Let us call a sequence $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k} \in(S \cup A)^{+}$ of words a good sequence if for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ either $w_{i} \in S^{+}$or $w_{i}$ is a bracketed word. For a good sequence $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k} \in(S \cup A)^{+}$ define $\pi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ as $\pi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \overline{w_{i}}$.

Let $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$be an arbitrary word. Let us call a good sequence $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}$ a good factor-sequence of $w$, if $w$ can be written in the form $w=u_{0} w_{1} u_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{k} u_{k}$, for some $u_{i} \in(S \cup A)^{*}, 0 \leq i \leq k$. For any word $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$, define the trace $\operatorname{Tr}(w)$ of $w$ as the set $\operatorname{Tr}(w)$ consisting of elements $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ for all good factor-sequences $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ of $w$. Let us call a good factor-sequence $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ of $w S$-merged if it contains all letters from $S$ occurring in $w$ and such that any two words $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ from $S^{+}$do not neighbor one another within $w$; in other words, if $w=u_{0} w_{1} u_{1} w_{2} u_{2} \ldots w_{k} u_{k}$ for some $u_{i} \in(S \cup A)^{*}, 0 \leq i \leq k$, then we have $u_{i} \in A^{*}$ for each $u_{i}$, and if $w_{j}, w_{j+1} \in S^{+}$then $u_{j}$ is not empty.

The following statement is easy to prove:

Lemma 6.4. Let $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$. For any good factor-sequence $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}$ of $w$ there is an $S$-merged good factor-sequence $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ of $w$ such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ there is a $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $w_{i}$ is a factorword of $y_{j}$ and $\pi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$.

Proof. Let $s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{l}$ be the subword of $w$ which we obtain by deleting the factorwords $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}$ from $w$ and also deleting all letters of $w$ from $A$. If $s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{l}$ is not the empty word then for every $1 \leq i \leq l$ we have $s_{i} \in S$, hence $s_{i}$ is a (one-letter) good factorword of $w$. Consider the factor-sequence $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+l}$ of $w$ which consists of all the factors $w_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$ and $s_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq l$. Then $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+l}$ is a good factor-sequence which contains all letters of $w$ from $S$. If $s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{l}$ is the empty word then let $l=0$ and let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be identical to $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}$. In both cases - as $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a subsequence of $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+l}$ - we have $\pi\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right)=\Pi_{i=1}^{k+l} \overline{v_{i}} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \Pi_{i=1}^{k} \overline{w_{i}}=$ $\pi\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$.

If $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}$ is $S$-merged then the proof is complete. Otherwise there exists an index $1 \leq i \leq k+l-1$ such that $v_{i}, v_{i+1} \in S^{+}$and $v_{i}$ and $v_{i+1}$ are neighboring factorwords in $w$. Let $v_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i} v_{i+1} \in S^{+}$be the word obtained by the concatenation of the words $v_{i}$ and $v_{i+1}$. Then $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, \ldots, v_{k+l}$ is a good factor-sequence of $w$. Since $\overline{v_{i}^{\prime}}=\overline{v_{i} v_{i+1}}$, we have $\pi\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right)=\pi\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right)$. By the repeated use of such concatenations of factorwords eventually we shall obtain an $S$-merged good factor-sequence $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}$ of $w$ such that $\pi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)=\pi\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$. (The
process will terminate after finitely many steps, since by each concatenation we decrease the number of factorwords in our good factorsequence by one.) It is easy to see that for every $1 \leq i \leq n, w_{i}$ is a factorword of $y_{j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Lemma 6.5. If $v, w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$are such that $\theta(v) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(w)$ then for any $r \in \operatorname{Tr}(w)$ there exists $r^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Tr}(v)$ such that $r^{\prime} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} r$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, it is sufficient to prove the statement for the cases when $v$ can be obtained from $w$ by one $\approx-$, one $\sim-$ or one inserting step. Let $r \in \operatorname{Tr}(w)$ be arbitrary and let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ be a good factor-sequence of $w$ such that $r=\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$. By Lemma 6.4 it is sufficient to prove the statement for the case when $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is $S$-merged.

Case 1: $v$ can be obtained from $w$ by one $\approx$-step. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that by changing the factorword st in $w$ to $\overline{s t}$ or changing the factorword $\overline{s t}$ to st, we can obtain $v$. Let $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ denote the factorword which is changed before and after the change, respectively. Then $z$ is a factorword of $w_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ (as $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is $S$-merged). Let $w_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the factorword obtained from $w_{i}$ by changing the factorword $z$ of $w_{i}$ to $z^{\prime}$. Then clearly, $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$ and $r^{\prime}=\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=$ $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=r$, hence the statement follows.

Case 2: $v$ can be obtained from $w$ by one $\sim$-step. Let $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ denote the factorwords of $w$ and $v$, respectively, such that $z$ is changed to $z^{\prime}$ in the $\sim$-step. If $z=\overrightarrow{a b c} a c \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a b c}$ for some $a, b, c \in S$ then from the definition of a $\sim$-step it follows that we have one of two situations: (1) $z$ is a factorword of some $w_{i}$ where $w_{i}$ is a bracketed word; or (2) $z=\overrightarrow{a b c} w_{i} \overleftarrow{a b c}$ where $w_{i}=a c$, for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.

In case (1), $z$ is a factorword of $w_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ where $w_{i}$ is a bracketed word. Let $w_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the factorword obtained from $w_{i}$ by changing $z$ to $z^{\prime}$. If the first letters of $z$ and $w_{i}$ are identical then $\overline{z^{\prime}}=\overline{w_{i}}$ and since $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, z^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$, the statement follows. If the first letters of $z$ and $w_{i}$ are different then $\overline{w_{i}^{\prime}}=\overline{w_{i}}$ and as $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factorsequence of $v$, the statement follows. In case (2), when $z=\overrightarrow{a b c} w_{i} \overleftarrow{a b c}$ then $\overline{z^{\prime}}=\overline{a b c} \leq_{\mathfrak{J}} \overline{a c}=\overline{w_{i}}$, hence $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, z^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right) \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ and since $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, z^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$, the statement follows.

Now consider the opposite direction. If $z=a b c$ for some $a, b, c \in S$ then $z$ is a factorword of $w_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $w_{i} \in S^{+}$then $w_{i}=y_{1} a b c y_{2}$ for some $y_{1}, y_{2} \in S^{*}$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, y_{1}, \overrightarrow{a b c a c a b c c}, y_{2}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$ and as $\overline{w_{i}}=\overline{y_{1}} \overline{a b c} \overline{y_{2}}=\overline{y_{1}} \overline{\overrightarrow{a b c} a c \overleftarrow{a b c}} \overline{y_{2}}$ thus

$$
\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, y_{1}, \overrightarrow{a b c a c} \overleftarrow{a b c}, y_{2}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)
$$

hence the statement follows. If $w_{i}$ is a bracketed word then let $w_{i}^{\prime}$ be the word obtained from $w_{i}$ by changing $z$ to $z^{\prime}$. Then clearly $\overline{w_{i}^{\prime}}=\overline{w_{i}}$, thus $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots w_{k}\right)$ and since $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$, the statement follows.

Case 3: $v$ can be obtained from $w$ by one inserting step. Let $x \in S \cup A$ denote the letter inserted into $w$ in the inserting step. For any factorword $w_{i}$ of $w$ let us say that $x$ splits $w_{i}$ if $x$ is inserted into $w$ between two consecutive letters of $w_{i}$. If $z$ does not split $w_{i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ then $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$. If $x$ splits $w_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ then let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in S^{+}$be such that $w_{i}=y_{1} y_{2}$ and $x$ is inserted between $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ in the inserting step. If $w_{i} \in S^{+}$ then $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$ and $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$. If $w_{i}$ is a bracketed word then let $w_{i}^{\prime}=y_{1} x y_{2}$. Then $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ is a good factor-sequence of $v$, and as $\overline{w_{i}^{\prime}}=\overline{w_{i}}$, therefore $\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}, w_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=\pi\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$. Hence, in both cases the statement follows.

The following statement is easy to prove:
Lemma 6.6. If $w \in S^{+}$then for every $t \in \operatorname{Tr}(w), \bar{w} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$.
Lemma 6.7. Let $S$ be $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial. Then if $v, w \in S^{+}$are such that $\theta(v) \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}} \theta(w)$ then $\bar{v}=\bar{w}$.

Proof. Since $w_{1}=w$ is a good factor-sequence of $w$ and $\theta(v) \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(w)$, by Lemma 6.5 there exists $r \in \operatorname{Tr}(v)$ such that $r \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \bar{w}$. By Lemma $6.6 \bar{v} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} r$, hence $\bar{v} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \bar{w}$. Similarly, $\bar{w} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \bar{v}$ holds and by $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-triviality of $S, \bar{v}=\bar{w}$ follows.

Let $\widehat{S}=\overleftrightarrow{S} / \dot{\mathcal{J}}$ and let $\tau$ denote the natural homomorphism ( $S \cup$ $A)^{+} \rightarrow \overleftrightarrow{S} / \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}=\widehat{S}$.

Lemma 6.8. $S$ can be embedded into the semigroup $\widehat{S}$ and $\widehat{S}$ is a $\mathcal{J}$ trivial semigroup.

Proof. Define the map $\alpha: S \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ in the following way: for any $s \in S$ let $\alpha(s)=\tau(w)$ where $w \in(S \cup A)^{+}$is such that $s=\bar{w}$. Then $\tau$ is clearly well-defined and a homomorphism. By Lemma 6.7, $\tau$ is injective, hence is an embedding of $S$ into $\widehat{S}$. By Proposition $3.2 \widehat{S}$ is a $\stackrel{\mathcal{J}}{ }$-trivial semigroup.

Consider the infinite sequence $S=T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots$ of semigroups such that $T_{i+1}=\widehat{T}_{i}$ for every $i \geq 0$ and define the semigroup $T$ as the projective limit of $S=T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots$ that is: let the set of elements of $T$ be equal to $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} T_{i}$; if $s, t \in T$ then let $k$ be the smallest index such that $s, t \in T_{k}$ and define the product of $s$ and $t$ in $T$ as the product of $s$ and $t$ in $T_{k}$.

Lemma 6.9. If $S$ is a $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial semigroup and $T$ is defined as above then:
(1) $S$ can be embedded into $T$
(2) $T$ is $\mathfrak{J}$-trivial
(3) $T$ is $a \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.

Proof. 1. This is obvious from the definition of $T$.
2. Suppose $s, t \in T$ and $s \mathcal{J} t$. Then, thanks to our description of $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$ in Lemma 2.1, we also have $s \mathcal{J} t$ within one of the semigroups $T_{i}$. The semigroup $T_{i}$ is $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial by Proposition 3.2; therefore, $s=t$. Hence, $T$ is $\mathfrak{J}$-trivial.
3. We only need to prove that for any $s, t \in T$ if $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ then $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ $t$. Indeed, suppose that $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$. By Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to consider the case $s \leq_{j}^{\prime} t$. By the definition of $\leq_{j}^{\prime}$, there exist elements $s_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in T^{1}$ such that $s=t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}, t=t_{1} t_{2}$. Assume that $s_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in$ $T$; if some of these elements are equal to 1 , the proof can be easily modified accordingly. Consider a semigroup $T_{i}$ containing all these elements $s_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$. In the semigroup $\overleftrightarrow{T_{i}}$ we have $\overrightarrow{t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}} t \overleftarrow{t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}}=$ $\overrightarrow{t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}} t_{1} t_{2} \overleftarrow{t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}}=t_{1} s_{1} t_{2}=s$ and thus $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ in $\overleftrightarrow{T_{i}}$. Clearly this inequality is preserved when we factorise by $\mathcal{J}$ to produce $T_{i+1}$. Since $T_{i+1}$ is a subsemigroup of $T$, we have $s \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t$ in $T$.

From the results of this section, the theorem below follows.

Theorem 6.1. Every $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$-trivial semigroup can be embedded into a $\dot{\mathcal{J}}$ trivial $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$-compatible semigroup.

In the beginning of this section we have recalled that every semigroup can be embedded into a simple (that is, $\mathcal{J}$-simple) semigroup. However, it is easy to show that not every semigroup can be embedded into an $\mathcal{L}$-simple (or $\mathcal{R}$-simple) semigroup. There is a certain analogy between this and what happens with $\mathcal{J}$-trivial semigroups (as described in Theorem 6.1) versus $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial (or $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}$-trivial) semigroups, see the example below.

Lemma 6.10. Let $S$ be a semigroup and let $s, t, a, b \in S$. If $s \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t$ and $t a=t b$ then $s a=s b$.

Proof. Since $s \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t$, one has $s=c t$ for some $c \in S^{1}$ and thus $s a=$ $c t a=c t b=s b$.

Example 6.1. We give an example of an $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial semigroup which cannot be embedded into any $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible semigroup (not only into an $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{L}}$-trivial $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$-compatible semigroup). As we stated in Example 3.1 $O E_{4}$ is an $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{ }$-trivial semigroup. Consider the following mappings in $O E_{4}$. Let $\alpha_{1}: 4 \mapsto 4,3 \mapsto 3,2 \mapsto 1$ (and $1 \mapsto 1$, as in every element of $\left.O E_{n}\right)$. Let $\alpha_{2}: 4 \mapsto 4,3 \mapsto 2,2 \mapsto 2$. Let $\alpha_{3}: 4 \mapsto 3,3 \mapsto 3,2 \mapsto 2$. Let $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}$ and let $\beta=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}$. By definition, $\beta \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \alpha$. It is easy to see that $\alpha \alpha_{1}=\alpha \alpha_{3}$. However, $\beta \alpha_{1} \neq \beta \alpha_{3}$. Therefore, by Lemma 6.10, in no semigroup containing $O E_{4}$ as a subsemigroup, we can have $\beta \leq_{\mathcal{L}} \alpha$.
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