BMC Infectious Diseases

DEBATE

Open Access



End TB strategy: the need to reduce risk inequalities

M. Gabriela M. Gomes^{1,2,3*}, Maurício L. Barreto^{4,5}, Philippe Glaziou⁶, Graham F. Medley⁷, Laura C. Rodrigues⁷, Jacco Wallinga^{8,9} and S. Bertel Squire¹

Abstract

Background: Diseases occur in populations whose individuals differ in essential characteristics, such as exposure to the causative agent, susceptibility given exposure, and infectiousness upon infection in the case of infectious diseases.

Discussion: Concepts developed in demography more than 30 years ago assert that variability between individuals affects substantially the estimation of overall population risk from disease incidence data. Methods that ignore individual heterogeneity tend to underestimate overall risk and lead to overoptimistic expectations for control. Concerned that this phenomenon is frequently overlooked in epidemiology, here we feature its significance for interpreting global data on human tuberculosis and predicting the impact of control measures.

Summary: We show that population-wide interventions have the greatest impact in populations where all individuals face an equal risk. Lowering variability in risk has great potential to increase the impact of interventions. Reducing inequality, therefore, empowers health interventions, which in turn improves health, further reducing inequality, in a virtuous circle.

Keywords: Tuberculosis, Heterogeneity, Cohort selection, Social inequality, Intervention impact

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) has defined a new strategy for tuberculosis (TB) prevention, care and control - the End TB Strategy - and set, among its targets, to reduce the incidence rate of the disease by 90 % in 20 years (2015–2035) [1]. There is some urgency for TB control as drug resistance increases and populations become more mobile - TB becomes more difficult to treat while effectively infecting the world's poorest communities no matter where they live. Consequently, WHO estimates that the reduction in global incidence must accelerate from the current 2 % per year [2] to meet new targets, and advocates strong social protection in addition to universal health care [3]. The marked heterogeneity in TB risk makes its dynamics particularly responsive to synergistic policies, such as targeted social measures or targeted HIV treatment to reduce variation,

* Correspondence: Gabriela.Gomes@lstmed.ac.uk

¹Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

²CIBIO-InBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Vila do Conde, Portugal Full list of author information is available at the end of the article combined with TB interventions targeting the whole population to reduce disease. Adding to previous studies, which have assessed targeting control at the poor [4] or implementing population-wide measures with fixed stratification of disease risk [5], here we examine how the impact of universal coverage interventions, such as a hypothetical vaccine against TB, depends on the underlying risk distribution in a population. Universal coverage interventions have greater impact on disease in populations where all individuals face similar risks, indicating that reducing inequality empowers existing disease control tools.

Misuse of population averages

In tuberculosis, it is well known that some groups have much higher risk to acquire infection and develop disease than the majority of the population: incarcerated prisoners (20 times higher in Brazil [6]), persons living with HIV (8 times higher in a South African community [7]), geographical hotspots within urban settings (3 times higher in people living in the poorest areas in Rio de Janeiro [8]), among others. Behind these differences are differences in basic parameters, which measure how



© 2016 Gomes et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. frequently each person is likely to meet an infectious case of TB and become infected, as determined by the way social mixing patterns combine with individual vulnerabilities [4]. These effective contact rates are then averaged and scaled into the so-called basic reproduction number, R_0 , defined as the average number of secondary disease cases caused by an average infectious person in a totally susceptible population. Similarly, the incidence of TB in the population is usually presented as a single number, which implicitly represents a weighted average of the incidences in groups of different sizes and relative risks. All this averaging would be fine if disease probabilities were linearly related with exposure intensity, but incidence describes a non-linear relationship with R_0 (Fig. 1). This relation has a concave form due to the stronger depletion of susceptible hosts at higher transmission intensities. As a result, averaging incidences leads to underestimated R_0 and overoptimistic control expectations at the population level as recently demonstrated by a theoretical study applicable to infectious diseases more generally [9].

Why there is a tendency to underestimate control efforts

To illustrate the idea, we use a transmission model for TB, developed previously [10] and replicated in the appendix. In Fig. 1a, b incidence data for different countries are shown in a colour scheme defined by WHO region [2], superimposed on how rates of TB incidence relate to R_0 according to two realisations of the model: a homogenous assumption, where all individuals experience the same rates of infection, disease progression and transmission (Fig. 1a); and a heterogeneous implementation where 4 % of individuals have a higher risk to contract the infection and infect others [8, 10, 11] (Fig. 1b). All parameters satisfy the same mean values across the two model realisations, allowing comparison and demonstrating how heterogeneity in risk has a striking ability to modify the correspondence between incidence and R_0 . More specifically, to a given incidence, the heterogeneous model associates a reproduction number that is higher than that given by the corresponding homogeneous counterpart, resulting in greater resilience to universal coverage control efforts.

For intuition, consider a population with some distribution of TB risk. The first people to be infected are those with higher risk, leaving behind a susceptibility pool with an average risk that decreases over time, by a process of cohort selection [12, 13], until the system reaches equilibrium. Thus cohort selection acts effectively to suppress disease incidence, the more so the higher the transmission intensity. Now suppose that TB transmission is formulated into a model that collapses the risk distribution into its mean value. Cohort selection no longer occurs because all individuals in this



model have the same risk, and therefore the system sustains a higher disease incidence by the time it reaches equilibrium. It follows that when two populations present the same overall disease incidence, we should expect a higher average risk where inequality is higher, but this is not revealed in overall disease incidence. Interestingly, any universal coverage intervention that reduces (directly) transmission potential also reduces (indirectly) the suppression imposed by cohort selection, and this effect is greater where inequality is higher. Therefore, intervention impact is effectively lower under risk inequality and this is aggravated as the intervention progresses.

Universal coverage intervention impact

In Fig. 1c, we introduce a universal coverage intervention - for example a vaccine against TB. We consider settings that, in 2015, present mean incidences of 1000 per 100,000 person-years (red), as in the highest burden African countries, and a more common scenario of 50 per 100,000 person-years (grey). For each setting, we contrast the time course of incidence rates under a vaccine that effectively halves everybody's susceptibility to infection and reduces reactivation rate by 90 %, assuming that the risk distribution is homogeneous (dashed) or heterogeneous (dash-dotted). The impact of the intervention is consistently higher under homogeneous risks, although the magnitude of the difference can vary between settings. In this case, the higher incidence setting shows greater difference in predicted impact: over 20 years, the vaccine reduces incidence by 91 % or just by 10 %, depending on whether the variance-to-mean ratio in risk is 0 (homogeneous) or 20 (heterogeneous) (Fig. 1c, red). The same simulation for a population presenting a lower baseline incidence of 50 per 100,000 person-years leads to more consistent reductions by, respectively, 94 % or 92 % after 20 years although the reduction is slower when heterogeneity is accounted for (Fig. 1c, grey).

Figure 2 summarises how the 20-year impact of the simulated vaccination programme decays with risk heterogeneity, considering a range of variance-to-mean ratios between 0 and 20. An extended impact analysis over baseline incidences is provided in the appendix. Additional file 1: Figure S1 shows expected incidences 20 years since the intervention has started, as well as a projection of the same measures when new equilibria are eventually established. Time to approach equilibrium varies considerably across settings, appearing particularly high in those settings which are closer to



per 100,000 person-years (red); and 50 per 100,000 person-years (grey)

elimination, thus indicating the importance of accurate time descriptions as elimination is approached.

Conclusions

The analysis provided here has profound implications for the assessment of progression towards control targets, such as those recently set by the WHO [1]. We have used a hypothetical vaccine to quantify how heterogeneity in individual disease risk can compromise the performance of control programmes. In this exercise, the recommended target of 90 % reduction in incidence by 2035 can be comfortably met in settings where the incidence is 50 per 100,000. In contrast, when incidence is much higher, e.g. 1000 per 100,000, then considerably more effort is required. Averaging model parameters over groups of very different risk tends to unrealistically assign low values to transmission indices, such as R_0 , leading to overoptimistic control expectations especially in high incidence regions.

In highlighting the sensitivity of programme outcomes to the actual distribution of disease risks in populations, we hope to stimulate a concerted action by the research community to optimise analytic tools. Incidence data at finer stratification are needed for the construction of strategic models that better capture heterogeneities in transmission. Models and targets need to take explicit account of risk inequalities and their determinants. Meanwhile, as shown here, policies that reduce risk inequalities, whether social [14, 15] or biomedical [16], are expected to boost the impact of population-wide interventions that target tuberculosis. Any disease specific control programme that ensures health interventions to work better for those at greater risk [17], contributes to the huge task of making populations more homogeneous, besides the more immediate returns in terms of reducing the target disease. Social protection and poverty alleviation actions [18, 19] can then synergise with these programmes to increase the power of already existing disease control tools.

Additional file

 $\label{eq:additional file 1: Mathematical model and extended intervention impact analysis. (PDF 422 kb)$

Abbreviations

WHO: World Health Organization; TB: tuberculosis.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MGMG carried out the analyses and drafted the manuscript. MLB, PG, GFM, LCR, JW, SBS read, revised and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

MGMG is grateful to Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência for hosting during the development of these ideas.

Funding

The work was supported by FCT (IF/01346/2014) and CAPES (Science without Borders).

Author details

¹Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK. ²CIBIO-InBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Vila do Conde, Portugal. ³Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. ⁴Centro de Pesquisas Gonçalo Moniz, FIOCRUZ, Salvador, Brazil. ⁵Instituto de Saúde Coletiva, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Brazil. ⁵World Health Organization, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. ⁷London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ⁸Department of Infectious Diseases Epidemiology, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, PO Box 13720 BA Bilthoven, The Netherlands. ⁹Department of Medical Statistics and Bioinformatics, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 96002300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands.

Received: 18 December 2015 Accepted: 10 March 2016 Published online: 22 March 2016

References

- 1. Uplekar M, Weil D, Lönnroth K, et al. WHO's new End TB Strategy. Lancet. 2015;385:1799–01.
- WHO. Global tuberculosis control: WHO report 2014 (WHO/HTM/TB/2014. 08). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- Lönnroth K, Glaziou P, Weil D, et al. Beyond UHC: monitoring health and social protection coverage in the context of tuberculosis care and prevention. PLoS Med. 2014;11, e1001693.
- Andrews JR, Basu S, Dowdy DW, Murray MB. The epidemiological advantage of preferential targeting of tuberculosis control at the poor. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2015;19:375–80.
- Knight GM, Griffiths UK, Sumner T, et al. Impact and cost-effectiveness of new tuberculosis vaccines in low- and middle-income countries. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(43):15520–5.
- Carbone ASS, Paião DS, Sgarbi RV, et al. Active and latent tuberculosis in Brazilian correctional facilities: a cross-sectional study. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:24.
- Wood R, Middelkoop K, Myer L, et al. Undiagnosed tuberculosis in a community with high HIV prevalence. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;175:87–93.
- Dowdy DW, Golub JE, Chaisson RE, Saraceni V. Heterogeneity in tuberculosis transmission and the role of geographic hotspots in propagating epidemics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:9557–62.
- Gomes MGM, Gjini E, Lopes JS, Souto-Maior C, Rebelo C. A theoretical framework to identify invariant thresholds from global infectious disease data. J Theor Biol. 2016;395:97–102.
- Gomes MGM, Aguas R, Lopes JS, et al. How host selection governs tuberculosis reinfection. Proc Biol Sci. 2012;279:2473–8.
- Ypma RJF, Altes HK, van Soolingen D, Wallinga J, van Ballegooijen WM. A sign of superspreading in tuberculosis: highly skewed distribution of genotypic cluster sizes. Epidemiol. 2013;24:395–400.
- Vaupel JW, Manton KG, Stallard E. Impact of heterogeneity in individual frailty on the dynamics of mortality. Demography. 1979;16:439–54.
- Vaupel JW, Yashin AI. Heterogeneity's ruses: some surprising effects of selection on population dynamics. Am Stat. 1985;39:176–85.
- Boccia D, Hargreaves J, Lönnroth K, et al. Cash transfer and microfinance interventions for tuberculosis control: review of the impact evidence and policy implications. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2011;15 Suppl 2:S37–49.
- Reeves A, Basu S, McKee M, et al. Social protection and tuberculosis control in 21 European countries, 1995–2012: a cross-national statistical modelling analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14:1105–12.
- 16. Lessells RJ, Swaminathan S, Godfrey-Faussett P. HIV treatment cascade in tuberculosis patients. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2015;10:439–46.
- Simwaka BN, Theobard S, Willets A, et al. Acceptability and effectiveness of the storekeeper-based TB referral system for TB suspects in sub-districts of Lilongwe in Malawi. PLoS One. 2012;7(9), e39746.
- Wingfield T, Boccia D, Tovar M, et al. Defining catastrophic costs and comparing their importance for adverse tuberculosis outcome with multi-drug resistance: a prospective cohort study, Peru. PLoS Med. 2014;11(7), e1001675.
- Wingfield T, Boccia D, Tovar MA, et al. Designing and implementing a socioeconomic intervention to enhance TB control: operational evidence from the CRESIPT project in Peru. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:810.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

