Williams *et al. Malar J* (2016) 15:53 DOI 10.1186/s12936-016-1092-1

RESEARCH

Malaria Journal

Open Access

Non-falciparum malaria infections in pregnant women in West Africa

John Williams¹, Fanta Njie², Matthew Cairns³, Kalifa Bojang², Sheick Oumar Coulibaly⁴, Kassoum Kayentao⁵, Ismaela Abubakar³, Francis Akor², Khalifa Mohammed², Richard Bationo⁴, Edgar Dabira⁴, Alamissa Soulama⁴, Moussa Djimdé⁵, Etienne Guirou⁵, Timothy Awine¹, Stephen L. Quaye¹, Jaume Ordi⁶, Ogobara Doumbo⁵, Abraham Hodgson¹, Abraham Oduro¹, Pascal Magnussen⁷, Feiko O. ter Kuile⁸, Arouna Woukeu³, Paul Milligan³, Harry Tagbor⁹, Brian Greenwood³ and Daniel Chandramohan^{3*}

Abstract

Background: Non-*Plasmodium falciparum* malaria infections are found in many parts of sub-Saharan Africa but little is known about their importance in pregnancy.

Methods: Blood samples were collected at first antenatal clinic attendance from 2526 women enrolled in a trial of intermittent screening and treatment of malaria in pregnancy (ISTp) versus intermittent preventive treatment (IPTp) conducted in Burkina Faso, The Gambia, Ghana and Mali. DNA was extracted from blood spots and tested for *P. falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium malariae* and *Plasmodium ovale* using a nested PCR test. Risk factors for a non-falciparum malaria infection were investigated and the influence of these infections on the outcome of pregnancy was determined.

Results: *P. falciparum* infection was detected frequently (overall prevalence by PCR: 38.8 %, [95 % CI 37.0, 40.8]), with a prevalence ranging from 10.8 % in The Gambia to 56.1 % in Ghana. Non-falciparum malaria infections were found only rarely (overall prevalence 1.39 % [95 % CI 1.00, 1.92]), ranging from 0.17 % in the Gambia to 3.81 % in Mali. Ten non-falciparum mono-infections and 25 mixed falciparum and non-falciparum infections were found. *P. malariae* was the most frequent non-falciparum infection identified; *P. vivax* was detected only in Mali. Only four of the non-falciparum mono-infections were associated with an increased risk of non-falciparum malaria as well as falciparum malaria. The outcome of pregnancy did not differ between women with a non-falciparum malaria infection and those who were not infected with malaria at first ANC attendance.

Conclusions: Non-falciparum infections were infrequent in the populations studied, rarely detected when present as a mono-infection and unlikely to have had an important impact on the outcome of pregnancy in the communities studied due to the small number of women infected with non-falciparum parasites.

Keywords: Non falciparum malaria, Pregnancy, Africa


Background

Plasmodium falciparum is the dominant malaria parasite in most parts of sub-Saharan Africa and hence responsible for most infections in pregnancy [1]. *Plasmodium*

*Correspondence: daniel.chandramohan@lshtm.ac.uk

³ London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel St.,

London WC1E 7HT, UK



TrossMark



© 2016 Williams et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

proportion of the population of Duffy antigen negative red cells which cannot be invaded by this malaria parasite. P. vivax infections have been recorded only rarely during pregnancy in East or West Africa [12], although they are relatively prevalent in parts of Ethiopia [13]. In contrast, Plasmodium ovale and Plasmodium malariae are relatively common in many parts of East and West Africa causing a significant proportion of febrile malaria episodes [14] and asymptomatic infections [15]. However, very little is known about the potential impact of nonfalciparum malaria infections on pregnancy and there have been very few reports of non-falciparum infections in pregnant women from East or West Africa. Walker-Abbey et al. [16] detected *P. malariae* and *P. ovale* in 6.9 and 1.8 % of pregnant Cameroonian women respectively, nearly always in mixed infections with P. falciparum. Mockenhaupt et al. [17] reported an increased susceptibility of pregnant Ghanaian women with alpha + thalassaemia to infection with P. malariae and Coldren et al. [18] describe a relapsing case of *P. ovale* in a pregnant women which was probably acquired many years previously in East or West Africa.

Screening of pregnant women at ante-natal clinic (ANC) visits with a rapid diagnostic test (RDT) for malaria and treatment of those who are positive with artemisinin-based combination therapy, an approach termed intermittent screening and treatment (ISTp), is being considered as a potential approach to the control of malaria in some epidemiological situations such as low transmission areas where few women are likely to benefit from currently recommended intermittent preventive treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (IPTp-SP). However, there is concern that this approach may lead to some malaria infections, especially those present at low density, being missed and adversely affecting the outcome of pregnancy. This is especially likely to be the case for non-falciparum infections which are often present at only a low density and for which many RDTs have poor sensitivity. For this reason, the prevalence of falciparum and non-falciparum infections at first ANC attendance was investigated in women enrolled in a trial of ISTp versus IPTp-SP conducted in four West African countries (Burkina Faso, The Gambia, Ghana and Mali) and determined how many of these infections were detected by an RDT.

Methods

Details of the way in which the trial of ISTp versus IPTp-SP was conducted have been presented previously [19]. In brief, 5354 primigravid or secundigravid women were randomised individually at their first ANC visit to the ISTp or IPTp-SP group. Women in the ISTp group were screened for malaria with a RDT at enrollment and at each subsequent routine ANC visit and treated with artemether–lumefantrine (AL) if positive, whilst women in the IPTp group received a dose of SP at each routine ANC visit. Haemoglobin (Hb) concentration was determined at each woman's final ANC visit, scheduled to occur between 36 and 40 weeks of gestation. Study women were encouraged to deliver in hospital where placental biopsies were obtained for histology and examined using a standardized protocol as described previously [19]. Birth weight was recorded at delivery in women who delivered in hospital and within 7 days of delivery in women who delivered at home. Infants and mothers were evaluated 6 weeks after birth.

The analysis reported in this paper is based on blood samples obtained at the first ANC visit from the 2526 women in the ISTp-AL group. An RDT (First Response® Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Combo Test) was done and blood films and filter paper blots prepared. Blood films were examined for malaria parasites according to the methods described by Swysen et al. [20]. PCR testing was conducted at the MRC Unit, The Gambia. Following extraction of DNA from a filter paper blood spot, the first stage of this nested PCR test involves a PCR assay with the primers rPLU5 + rPLU6. This assay includes positive controls for each of the four main malaria species (P. falciparum, P. vivax, P. ovale and P. malariae) and a negative control without DNA. The second PCR reaction detects the presence of *Plasmodium* infection using the genus specific primers Plasmo-1 and Plasmo-2. The third stage, undertaken on genus specific samples, determines species by running four parallel PCR assays using the following primers (P. falciparum: rFAL 1 + rFAL 2; P. malariae: rMAL 1 + rMAL 2; P. ovale: rOVA 1 + rOVA 2; *P. vivax*:rVIV 1 + rVIV 2). For further differentiation of *P. ovale* into the two distinct species *P. ovale curtisi* and *P.* ovale wallikeri, the P. ovale sp. Tryptophan-rich antigen (PoTRA) nested PCR assay was used [21]. Quality control of the assays undertaken in The Gambia was undertaken at Duke University, North Carolina. The lower level of detection of the assay is approximately 10 parasites per µl. The sensitivity of microscopy and an RDT in detecting non-falciparum infections detected by PCR was explored.

The association between demographic and obstetric factors and the presence of falciparum and non-falciparum malaria infections was explored. A multi-variable logistic regression model was then built to explore risk factors for non-falciparum infection, controlling for potential confounding from other risk factors, using those without a malaria infection as the reference group. Women with falciparum malaria infection were excluded from the analysis, as many of the risk factors for *P. falciparum* and non-falciparum infections are likely to be shared. An exploratory analysis was conducted to investigate whether there was any evidence of an association between non-falciparum malaria infections and the primary outcomes of the main trial: active malaria infection of the placenta, low birth weight and haemoglobin at the last ANC contact prior to delivery, again using those with no malaria infection as the reference group. The relationship with measured birth weight, an important secondary end-point for the trial, was also explored.

The trial which provided the samples for this study was approved by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Ethical Committee and by the ethics committees of all the partner African institutions: Comité National d'Ethique pour la Recherche en Santé (CNERS), Burkina Faso; Ethics committee of the Ghana Health Service Committee Research & Development Division, Ghana Health Science, Ghana; Navrongo Health Research Centre Institutional Review Board, Ghana; Comité d'éthique de la Faculté de la Faculté de Médecine et d'Odontostomatologie, Mali; The Gambia Government/MRC Laboratories Joint Ethics Committee, The Gambia. Written informed consent was obtained from all participating women. The trial is registered with Clinical-Trials.gov (NCT01084213) and the Pan African Clinical trials Registry (PACT201202000272122).

attendance (Table 1), but only 35 non-falciparum infections were detected, an overall prevalence of 1.39 % (95 % CI 1.00, 1.92) (Table 1). Ten of the non-falciparum infections were mono-infections and 25 were mixed infections with P. falciparum. Only three of the 10 mono-infections were detected by RDT and only three by microscopy (two infections were detected by both methods, and one each was detected by RDT and microscopy alone). In two of the latter cases, the microscopic diagnosis was P. falciparum. Twenty-three (92 %) of the 25 mixed P. falciparum and non-falciparum infections were detected by RDT, and 18 (72 %) were detected by microscopy, with the microscopic diagnosis being P. falciparum in all cases. Overall, only nine non-falciparum infections were missed by RDT and 14 missed by microscopy in 2526 pregnant women.

Plasmodium malariae was the most common nonfalciparum species detected, being present alone in three women (all from Mali) and in 14 mixed infections (11 with *P. falciparum*, and three with *P. falciparum* and *P. ovale*). Eleven mixed *P. ovale* and *P. falciparum* infections were detected. Both forms of *P. ovale* were found in roughly equal abundance (seven *P. ovale curtisi*, nine *P. ovale wallikeri*, and one either mixed infection of *P. ovale curtisi* with *P. ovale wallikeri*, or an indeterminate *P. ovale* infection). *P. vivax* was found in only four women (two mixed with *P. falciparum*), all of whom lived in Mali.

Results

PCR detected *P. falciparum* malaria in 981/2526 (38.8 %; 95 % CI 37.0, 40.8) pregnant women at first ANC

Table 1 Falciparum and non-falciparum malaria infections detected in 2526 pregnant West African women at first ANC clinic attendance

| | Burkina Faso | Gambia | Ghana | Mali | Total |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Number of women | 695 | 604 | 624 | 603 | 2526 |
| Number of <i>P. falciparum</i> infections | 377 | 65 | 350 | 189 | 981 |
| Prevalence of <i>P. falciparum</i> | 54.2 % | 10.8 % | 56.1 % | 31.3 % | 38.8 % |
| (95 % Confidence interval) | (50.5, 57.9) | (8.53, 13.5) | (52.2, 59.9) | (27.8, 35.2) | (37.0, 40.8) |
| Number of non-falciparum malaria infections overall | 5 | 1 | 6 | 23 | 35 |
| Prevalence of non-falciparum malaria | 0.72 % | 0.17 % | 0.96 % | 3.81 % | 1.39 % |
| (95 % Confidence interval) | (0.30, 1.72) | (0.02, 1.17) | (0.43, 2.13) | (2.55, 5.68) | (1.00, 1.92) |
| Number of mono-infections | | | | | |
| P. falciparum | 374 | 65 | 345 | 172 | 956 |
| P. malariae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| P. vivax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| P. ovale curtisi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| P. ovale wallikeri | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Mixed infections | | | | | |
| P. falciparum, P. malariae | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| P. falciparum, P. ovale curtisi | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| P. falciparum, P. ovale wallikeri | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| P. falciparum, P. vivax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| P. falciparum, P. malariae, P. ovale curtisi | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| P. falciparum, P. malariae, P. ovale wallikeri | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Because of the unexpected finding of *P. vivax* parasites in four black, African women resident near Bamako, the identity of these parasites was confirmed using a realtime PCR assay targeting the *P. vivax* ribosomal RNA gene (S.R. Meshnick, personal communication).

There was variation in the prevalence of non-falciparum malaria between study sites, with Mali having the highest prevalence (3.81 % [95 % CI 2.55, 5.68]) compared with Ghana (0.96 % [95 % CI 0.43, 2.13]), Burkina Faso (0.72 % [95 % CI 0.30, 1.72]) and The Gambia (0.17 % [95 % CI 0.02, 1.17]).

There were no major differences in the prevalence of non-falciparum malaria infections overall according to gravidity, gestational age at enrolment, educational level or socio-economic status (Table 2). There was some suggestion of differences in the prevalence of non-falciparum malaria between age groups, although the statistical evidence for this was not strong. Relative to mothers below 18 years of age, the adjusted odds ratio [OR] for mothers aged 18–20 years was 3.77 (95 % CI 1.03, 13.8) (p = 0.045) but there were no differences in older age groups compared to mothers below 18 years of age. Nonfalciparum malaria infections were more common among women in the two poorest socio-economic groups; adjusted OR relative to the wealthiest group (6.48 [95 % CI 1.68, 25.0] and 6.55 [95 % CI 1.27, 33.7]) in the poor

Table 2 The prevalence of *P. falciparum* and non-falciparum malaria infections overall at first ANC visit according to baseline characteristics

| | Uninfected | | P. falciparui | P. falciparum infection | | Non-falciparum infection | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------|---------------|-------------------------|-----|--------------------------|--|
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | |
| Gravidity | | | | | | | |
| Primigravid | 754 | 54.7 | 605 | 43.9 | 20 | 1.5 | |
| Secundigravid | 736 | 64.8 | 385 | 33.9 | 15 | 1.3 | |
| Gestational age ^a | | | | | | | |
| <20 weeks | 510 | 55.6 | 397 | 43.3 | 10 | 1.1 | |
| 20–24 weeks | 794 | 59.9 | 509 | 38.4 | 23 | 1.7 | |
| 25–30 weeks | 187 | 68.0 | 86 | 31.3 | 2 | 0.7 | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| Under 18 years | 194 | 61.4 | 119 | 37.7 | 3 | 0.9 | |
| 18-20 years | 656 | 53.8 | 542 | 44.4 | 22 | 1.8 | |
| 21-24 years | 434 | 64.4 | 234 | 34.7 | 6 | 0.9 | |
| 25 years + | 211 | 66.8 | 101 | 32.0 | 4 | 1.3 | |
| Educational level ^b | | | | | | | |
| 1-none | 689 | 59.6 | 452 | 39.1 | 15 | 1.3 | |
| 2-basic | 557 | 56.3 | 416 | 42.0 | 17 | 1.7 | |
| 3-secondary | 210 | 65.8 | 107 | 33.5 | 2 | 0.6 | |
| 4-tertiary | 20 | 57.1 | 14 | 40.0 | 1 | 2.9 | |
| Season enrolled ^c | | | | | | | |
| Early wet (6–8) | 614 | 69.6 | 261 | 29.6 | 7 | 0.8 | |
| Late wet (9–11) | 188 | 45.4 | 215 | 51.9 | 11 | 2.7 | |
| Early dry (12–2) | 251 | 46.5 | 282 | 52.2 | 7 | 1.3 | |
| Late dry (3–5) | 442 | 64.1 | 238 | 34.5 | 10 | 1.4 | |
| Socio-economic status ^d | | | | | | | |
| 1-wealthiest | 375 | 77.8 | 99 | 20.5 | 8 | 1.7 | |
| 2-wealthy | 325 | 67.8 | 142 | 29.6 | 12 | 2.5 | |
| 3-medium | 262 | 54.5 | 217 | 45.1 | 2 | 0.4 | |
| 4-poor | 230 | 48.0 | 241 | 50.3 | 8 | 1.7 | |
| 5-poorest | 226 | 46.1 | 259 | 52.9 | 5 | 1.0 | |

^a Gestational age at enrolment assessed by symphysis-fundal height

^b Educational level reported by the mother at the enrolment visit

^c Season enrolled indicates the month of the year when the woman first presented to ANC and was enrolled into the study

^d Socio-economic status defined using principal components analysis of durable household assets and household amenities, as described in [16]

and poorest groups respectively. As found in the previous analysis of *P. falciparum* infections in study women [16], non-falciparum malaria infections were more common among women who were enrolled in the late wet season (between September and November): adjusted OR 7.10 (95 % CI 2.56, 19.7), p < 0.001 (Table 3). Following adjustment for these other factors, the association between

Table 3 Risk factors for non-falciparum malaria infections overall at first ANC attendance

| Risk factor | Crude OR (95 % CI) | <i>p</i> value | Adjusted OR (95 % CI) | <i>p</i> value |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Country | | | | |
| Ghana | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| Burkina Faso | 0.74 (0.22, 2.46) | 0.627 | 0.53 (0.14, 2.03) | 0.353 |
| Gambia | 0.08 (0.01, 0.69) | 0.021 | 0.10 (0.01, 0.88) | 0.038 |
| Mali | 2.52 (1.01, 6.28) | 0.047 | 6.54 (1.79, 23.9) | 0.005 |
| Gravidity | | | | |
| Primigravid | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| Secundigravid | 0.85 (0.42, 1.69) | 0.639 | 0.71 (0.33, 1.54) | 0.386 |
| Gestational age | | | | |
| <20 weeks | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| 20–24 weeks | 1.51 (0.70, 3.23) | 0.290 | 1.92 (0.85, 4.31) | 0.115 |
| 25–30 weeks | 0.57 (0.12, 2.64) | 0.469 | 0.60 (0.12, 2.90) | 0.521 |
| Age | | | | |
| Under 18 years | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| 18–20 years | 2.98 (0.86, 10.3) | 0.084 | 3.77 (1.03, 13.8) | 0.045 |
| 21–24 years | 1.38 (0.32, 5.83) | 0.665 | 2.14 (0.43, 10.6) | 0.351 |
| 25 years + | 1.75 (0.36, 8.41) | 0.484 | 2.54 (0.45, 14.3) | 0.290 |
| Education level | | | | |
| 1-none | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| 2-basic | 0.92 (0.43, 1.96) | 0.833 | 0.96 (0.41, 2.22) | 0.924 |
| 3-secondary | 0.27 (0.06, 1.23) | 0.092 | 0.27 (0.05, 1.32) | 0.106 |
| 4-tertiary | 1.36 (0.17, 11.2) | 0.774 | 3.73 (0.35, 40.2) | 0.277 |
| Season enrolled | | | | |
| Early wet (6–8) | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| Late wet(9–11) | 5.59 (2.10, 14.9) | 0.001 | 7.10 (2.56, 19.7) | < 0.001 |
| Early dry (12–2) | 2.84 (0.97, 8.27) | 0.056 | 2.54 (0.84, 7.67) | 0.098 |
| Late dry(3–5) | 1.76 (0.66, 4.69) | 0.260 | 1.85 (0.68, 5.04) | 0.228 |
| SES | | | | |
| 1-wealthiest | [Reference] | - | [Reference] | - |
| 2-wealthy | 2.14 (0.85, 5.34) | 0.105 | 1.82 (0.68, 4.83) | 0.230 |
| 3-medium | 1.07 (0.21, 5.33) | 0.935 | 0.96 (0.18, 5.02) | 0.963 |
| 4-poor | 6.30 (1.83, 21.7) | 0.004 | 6.48 (1.68, 25.0) | 0.007 |
| 5-poorest | 5.89 (1.35, 25.7) | 0.018 | 6.55 (1.27, 33.7) | 0.024 |

Crude ORs for gravidity, gestational age, age, education level, season of enrolment, and social economic status are adjusted for country as an a priori covariate. Adjusted ORs presented adjusted for all other covariates in the multivariable model. Gestational age at enrolment was assessed by symphysisfundal height. Educational level reported by the mother at the enrolment visit. Season enrolled indicates the month of the year when the woman first presented to ANC and was enrolled into the study. Socio-economic status defined using principal components analysis of durable household assets and household amenities, as described in [16] non-falciparum malaria and study centre was strengthened: relative to Ghana (the centre with the second highest prevalence), the adjusted OR for Mali was 6.54 (95 % CI 1.79, 23.9), p = 0.005 and for The Gambia 0.10 (95 % CI 0.01, 0.88) (p = 0.038). There remained no differences between Ghana and Burkina Faso, adjusted OR 0.53 (95 % CI 0.14, 2.03) (p = 0.353).

The prevalence of active placental malaria infection at delivery was similar in those with a mono- or mixed nonfalciparum malaria infection and those with no infection: 23.1 versus 21.8 % respectively, adjusted OR 1.63 (95 % CI 0.58, 4.60), p = 0.356 (Table 4). Of the six women with a non-falciparum mono infection who also had a placental malaria result, only two had an active infection of the placenta (acute in both cases) and the infection was not noted to be one with a non-falciparum infection. Sections from four of these women showed pigment in fibrin and/or macrophages (three without malaria infection, one with malaria infection) and one mild inflammation in a woman with malaria infection. Prevalence of low birth weight was similar between those with a monoor mixed non-falciparum malaria infection and those with no malaria infection: 16.1 and 17.1 % respectively, adjusted odds ratio 0.95 (95 % CI 0.35, 2.58) (p = 0.915). Similarly, there was no evidence of a difference in mean birth weight between women with a mono- or mixed non-falciparum infection and those with no malaria infection during pregnancy: adjusted difference-51.9 g (95 % CI - 220.8 g, +117.0 g) (p = 0.547), Table 4. Finally, measured Hb at the final ANC contact was also similar in those with no malaria infection (10.93 g/dL) and in those with a mono- or mixed non-falciparum infection (11.05 g/dL): adjusted difference 0.01 g/dL (95 % CI -0.55, +0.56) (p = 0.983).

Discussion

This study confirmed that non-falciparum malaria infections are present in pregnant women in West Africa but that they are uncommon, with an overall prevalence across four countries of 1.4 % at first ANC attendance. It is likely that some additional infections occurred later in pregnancy. However, among the women from Ghana enrolled in this study who were followed throughout pregnancy, at least a half of all malaria infections were detected at the first ANC visit [22]; the figures for all ANC visits were 53.6 % for RDT, 60.6 % for microscopy and 64.3 % for PCR respectively. Thus, unless there is a differential rate of infection between non-falciparum and falciparum infections, it is likely that the prevalence of non-falciparum infections at any time point during the course of pregnancy would have been be low in the study population. Prevalence of non-falciparum infections varied between centres, being less than 1 % in

| | No malaria infection | | Non-falciparum infection | | |
|---|----------------------|------|--------------------------|------|--|
| | No. | % | No. | % | |
| No active PM | 744 | 78.2 | 20 | 76.9 | |
| Active PM | 207 | 21.8 | 6 | 23.1 | |
| Crude OR (95 % CI), <i>p</i> value | [Reference] | - | 1.06 (0.41, 2.76) | 0.90 | |
| Adjusted OR (95 % Cl), p value | [Reference] | - | 1.63 (0.58, 4.60) | 0.36 | |
| Normal birth weight | 1099 | 82.9 | 26 | 83.9 | |
| Low birth weight | 227 | 17.1 | 5 | 16.1 | |
| Crude OR (95 % CI), <i>p</i> value | [Reference] | - | 0.97 (0.36, 2.57) | 0.94 | |
| Adjusted OR (95 % CI), <i>p</i> value | [Reference] | - | 0.95 (0.35, 2.58) | 0.92 | |
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| Birth weight (kg) | 2.85 | 0.48 | 2.78 | 0.31 | |
| Crude difference, grammes (95 % Cl), p value | [Reference] | - | -57.7 (-227.7, 112.3) | 0.51 | |
| Adjusted difference, grammes (95 % Cl), p value | [Reference] | - | -51.9 (-220.8, 117.0) | 0.54 | |
| Hb at final ANC | 10.93 | 1.36 | 11.05 | 1.16 | |
| Crude difference, g/dL (95 % Cl), p value | [Reference] | - | -0.09 (-0.64, 0.47) | 0.76 | |
| Adjusted difference, g/dL (95 % Cl), p value | [Reference] | - | 0.01 (-0.55, 0.56) | 0.98 | |

Table 4 Association of non-falciparum malaria overall with birth outcomes including placental malaria (PM), birthweight and haemoglobin concentration

Crude odds ratios/differences are adjusted for country as an a priori covariate. Adjusted odds ratios/differences also adjust for gravidity, gestational age, age, education level, season of enrolment, and social economic status

three, but close to 4 % in Mali. These differences cannot be explained solely by differences in the intensity of transmission between centres as Mali had the second lowest prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection of the four study sites. *P. vivax* was detected only in Mali, and only in one sub-site in suburban Bamako. This malaria parasite is known to be present in northern Mali [10], perhaps because of some mixing with north African populations, but not in the southern parts of the country where all these infections were found. This unexpected finding is being followed up further.

The results of this study indicate the difficulty in identifying non-falciparum malaria infections by microscopy when these infections are encountered rarely and often present in mixed infections with P. falciparum: only three of the 10 pure non-falciparum infections were detected by microscopy, and two of these were mistaken for P. falciparum despite the fact that study slides were read by two well-trained microscopists and that the quality of microscopical reading was well controlled. In sub-Saharan Africa, microscopists expect malaria infections present in a blood film to be due to P. falciparum and this may have influenced their recording of the results. Rapid diagnostic tests did not perform any better than microscopy, also missing seven of the 10 non-falciparum mono-infections, perhaps because these were present at only a low density. When *P. falciparum* was also present, RDTs detected all but two of these infections, whereas microscopy missed seven of the mixed infections. These findings suggest that when, in sub-Saharan Africa, detection of non-falciparum malaria infection in pregnancy depends upon microscopy, many non-falciparum infections will be missed. Factors likely to have contributed to the poor performance of microscopy and a RDT in detecting non-falciparum infections are their frequent occurrence as mixed infections with *P. falciparum* and their presence at only a low density. Why the latter should be the case is uncertain, but it may be due in part to suppression of the minor parasite by *P. falciparum* in mixed infections and in part due to the fact these may have been more chronic infections than those caused by *P. falciparum*.

Enrolment at the end of the wet season was a risk factor for non-falciparum malaria infection, as was lower socio-economic status. These are both well-established risk factors for malaria infection in general. The suggestion of an association of one specific age group with higher risk of non-falciparum infections does not have a biological explanation and is likely to be a chance finding as the numbers of non-falciparum infections studied was small.

There was no association between the presence of a non-falciparum malaria infection at first ANC presentation and an adverse outcome of pregnancy. However, the number of non-falciparum infections was small, 26 of the 35 individuals with non-falciparum infections at baseline were treated with artemether-lumefantrine and it is possible that some women in the uninfected reference group were infected at later time points, diluting differences between the two groups, so this finding is not surprising.

This study was undertaken as part of an evaluation of ISTp because of concerns that a significant number of infections caused by non-falciparum species might be missed during RDT testing, with an adverse impact on the outcome of pregnancy, if this approach to malaria control in pregnancy was to be implemented. Because the majority of non-falciparum infections occurred in conjunction with a falciparum infection which was detected by a RDT, as noted in another recent study in Burkina Faso and Uganda (Hopkins et al. personal communication), the majority of women with a non-falciparum infection received appropriate treatment; only seven non-falciparum mono-infections and two mixed non-falciparum and falciparum infections were missed at first ANC visit using a 'combo' RDT among 2526 women. For this reason the occurrence of non-falciparum infections is unlikely to be a concern should ISTp be recommended for any population group in sub-Saharan Africa. However, this might not be the case in other parts of the malaria endemic world where non-falciparum infections, particularly those caused by P. vivax, are more prevalent and where many more infections might be missed using currently available RDTs.

Authors' contributions

JW, KB, SOO, KK, IA, FA, KM, RB, ED, AS, MD, EG, TA, SQ, OD, AH, AO conducted the clinical trial during which samples on which this study was based were collected. FN and JW conducted the molecular analysis and JO supervised placental histology. AW was responsible for data management, MC and P Milligan for statistical analysis. P Magnussen, F ter K, HT, DC and BG contributed to the conception and design of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Navrongo Health Research Centre, Navrongo, Ghana. ² Medical Research Council Unit, Fajara, Gambia. ³ London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel St., London WC1E 7HT, UK. ⁴ Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ouagadougou, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. ⁵ Malaria Research and Training Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Odonto-Stomatology, University of Sciences, Techniques and Technologies, Bamako, Mali. ⁶ JSGlobal, Barcelona Centre for International Health Research (CRESIB), Department of Pathology, Hospital Clinic-Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁷ Institute of International Health, Immunology and Microbiology, Centre for Medical Parasitology and Institute of Veterinary Disease Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁸ Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK. ⁹ School of Public Health, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

Acknowledgements

We thank the women who participated in the trial, the many field, laboratory and data management staff at each of the trial sites who contributed to its successful outcome and the Ministries of Health which supported this study. We thank Manuela Claite for her administrative support for the trial. We also thank members of the Data Safety and Monitoring Board (Prof. GAT Targett [chair]; Dr. GA Quansah-Asare, Prof. F Little, Prof. O Nyan, Dr. C Ouedraogo and Prof. S Sow). Raouf Osseni and Adama Gansane were the trial's clinical monitors. High quality placental histology slides were prepared by the staff of the Department of Pathology, Korle Bu Hospital, Accra, Ghana. We thank Professors Steve Meshnik, Steve Taylor and Colin Sutherland for assistance with the PCR assays and Dr María Rupérez for helpful comments on the paper. The study was funded by the European Developing Country Clinical Trials Programme (Grant Number IP.2007.31080.003) and by the Malaria in Pregnancy Consortium which is funded through a grant from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine. MC is supported by a fellowship jointly funded the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 9 October 2015 Accepted: 10 January 2016 Published online: 29 January 2016

References

- Desai M, ter Kuile FO, Nosten F, McGready R, Asamba K, Brabin B, et al. Epidemiology and burden of malaria in pregnancy. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7:93–104.
- 2. Singh N, Shukla MM, Sharma VP. Epidemiology of malaria in pregnancy in central India. Bull World Health Organ. 1999;77:567–71.
- 3. Nosten F, McGready R, Simpson JA, Thwai KL, Balkan S, Cho T, et al. Effect of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in pregnancy. Lancet. 1999;354:546–9.
- Ter Kuile FO, Rogerson SJ. *Plasmodium vivax* infection during pregnancy: an important problem in need of new solutions. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46:1382–4.
- Rijken MJ, McGready R, Boel ME, Poespoprodjo R, Singh N, Syafruddin D, et al. Malaria in pregnancy in the Asia-Pacific region. Lancet Infect Dis. 2012;12:75–88.
- Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Sanchez E, Vargas M, Piccolo C, Colina R, Arria M, et al. Pregnancy outcomes associated with *Plasmodium vivax* in northeastern Venezuela. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006;74:755–7.
- Brutus L, Santall J, Schenider D, Avila JC, Deloron P. Plasmodium vivax malaria during pregnancy, Bolivia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19:1605–11.
- Souza RM, Ataide R, Dombrowski JG, Ippólito V, Aitken EH, Valle SN, et al. Placental histopathological changes associated with *Plasmodium vivax* infection during pregnancy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7:e2071.
- Culleton R, Ndounga M, Zeyrek FY, Coban C, Casimiro N, Takeo S, et al. Evidence for transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* in the Republic of the Congo, West Central Africa. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;200:1465–9.
- Bernabeu M, Gomez-Perez GP, Sissoko S, Niambélé MB, Haibala AA, Sanz A, et al. *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in Mali: a study from three different regions. Malar J. 2012;11:405.
- Fru-Cho J, Bumah VV, Safeuku I, Nkuo-Akenji T, Titanji VPK, Haldar K. Molecular typing revelas substantial *Plasmodium vivax* infection in asymptomatic adults in a rural area of Cameroon. Malar J. 2014;13:179.
- 12. Dhorda M, Nyehangane D, Rénia L, Piola P, Guerin PJ, Snounou G. Transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* in South Western Uganda; report of three cases in pregnant women. PLoS ONE. 2011;6:e19801.
- Newman RD, Hailemariam A, Jimma D, Degifie A, Kebede D, Rietveld AEC, et al. Burden of malaria during pregnancy in areas of stable and unstable transmission in Ethiopia during a nonepidemic year. J Infect Dis. 2003;187:1765–72.
- 14. Roucher C, Rogier C, Sokhna C, Tall A, Trape JF. A 20-year longitudinal study of *Plasmodium ovale* and *Plasmodium malariae* prevalence and morbidity in a West African population. PLoS ONE. 2014;10:e87169.
- Doderer-Lang C, Atchade PS, Meckert L, Haar E, Perrotey S, Filisetti D, et al. The ears of the African elephant; unexpected high seroprevalence of *Plasmodium ovale* and *Plasmodium malariae* in healthy populations in Western Africa. Malar J. 2014;13:e240.
- Walker-Abbey A, Djorkam RRT, Eno A, Leke RFG, Titanji VPK, Fogako J, et al. Malaria in pregnant Cameroonian women; the effect of age and gravidity on submicroscopic and mixed-species infections and multiple parasite genotypes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005;72:229–35.
- Mockenhaupt FP, Rong B, Till H, Thompson Wn, Bienzle U. Increased susceptibility to *Plasmodium malariae* in pregnant alpha (+)-thalassemic women. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2001;64:6–8.

- Coldren RL, Jongsakul K, Vayakornvichit S, Noedl H, Fukudas MM. Apparent relapse of imported *Plasmodium ovale* malaria in a pregnant woman. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007;77:992–4.
- Tagbor H, Cairns M, Bojang K, Coulibaly SO, Kayentao K, Williams J, et al. A non-inferiority, individually randomized trial of intermittent screening and treatment versus intermittent preventive treatment in the control of malaria in pregnancy. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:e137.
- Swysen C, Vekemans J, Bruls M, Oyakhirome S, Drakeley C, Kremsner P, et al. Development of standardized laboratory methods and quality processes for a phase III study of the RTS, S/AS01 candidate malaria vaccine. Malar J. 2011;10:223.
- Sutherland CJ, Tanomsing N, Nolder D, Oguike M, Jennison C, Pukrittayakamee S, et al. Two non-recombining sympatric forms of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium ovale* occur globally. J Infect Dis. 2010;201:1544–50.
- 22. Williams JE, Cairns M, Njie F, Quaye SL, Awine T, Oduro A, et al. The performance of a rapid diagnostic test in detecting malaria infection in pregnant women and the impact of missed infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2015. pii:civ1198 (in print).

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

