

Proc. R. Soc. B doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3739

Selection against males in *Caenorhabditis elegans* under two mutational treatments

Diogo Manoel¹, Sara Carvalho¹, Patrick C. Phillips² and Henrique Teotónio^{1,*}

¹Centro de Biologia do Desenvolvimento, Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Apartado 14, 2781-901 Oeiras, Portugal ²Centre for Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, 5289 University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-5289, USA

Within populations with mixed mating systems, selfing is expected to be favoured over outcrossing unless a countervailing process such as severe inbreeding depression is present. In this study, we consider the relationship between the expression of deleterious alleles and the maintenance of outcrossing in nematode species, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. This species is characterized by an androdioecious breeding system composed of males at low frequency and self-fertilizing hermaphrodites that can only outcross via males. Here, we find that experimentally increasing the mutational load in four different isogenic wild isolates using 10 generations of Ethylmethane sulphonate (EMS) mutagenesis and UV irradiation significantly diminishes the cost of males. Males are maintained at higher frequencies in mutagenized versus non-mutagenized populations. Nevertheless, males still tend to be driven to low frequencies within isolates that are known to be prone to lose males. Further, we determine the viability effects of a single round of mutagen exposure and find that, for EMS, outcrossing overcomes the almost completely recessive and nearly lethal effects generated. We briefly interpret our results in light of current evolutionary theory of outcrossing rates.

Keywords: Caenorhabditis elegans; self-fertilization; outcrossing; inbreeding depression; mutation effects

1. INTRODUCTION

Current evolutionary theory relies on two classes of selective factors for the evolution of outcrossing rates: reproductive assurance in its most general sense and the expression of deleterious alleles (Jarne & Charlesworth 1993; Charlesworth & Charlesworth 1998; Pannel 2002). Considering only the expression of deleterious mutations, when the level of inbreeding depression (defined as the difference in fitness among selfing and outcrossing lineages) generated by partially recessive alleles is strong (greater than 0.5), selfing is disadvantageous relative to outcrossing despite its possible transmission advantage (e.g. Fisher 1941; Lande & Schemske 1985; cf. Stewart & Phillips 2002). However, if inbreeding depression is not strong, deleterious recessive mutations can be purged from a population via selfing since more homozygotes will be produced than with outcrossing (Lande & Schemske 1985; Charlesworth et al. 1993; Byers & Waller 1999; Crnokrak & Barrett 2002). Moreover, the distributions of both inbreeding depression and heterozygous and homozygous selective coefficients within populations will determine the specific conditions under which outcrossing Q1 rates evolve (Holsinger 1988; Lande 1994; Schultz & Q2 Willis 1997; Charlesworth & Charlesworth 1998). In general, then, it is expected that inbreeding depression will constrain the evolution of outcrossing rates.

In this study, we use the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, as an experimental model to test the hypothesis that increasing levels of inbreeding depression should favour increasing levels of outcrossing via the retention of males. *Caenorhabditis elegans* is ideal for this question both because of its ease of cultivation and because it shows an

androdioecious breeding system in which populations are composed of hermaphrodites and males (Brenner 1974). Hemizygous sex determination results from X chromosome number, hermaphrodites having two and males only one. Males are produced either from male-hermaphrodite breeding or from the fertilization of aneuploid gametes, in which the meiotic non-disjunction of the X chromosome has occurred, with normal gametes. The presence of males above the very low non-disjunction threshold is therefore a measure of outcrossing within C. elegans. Previously, it has been shown that males are selected against in laboratory environments (Stewart & Phillips 2002; Cutter 2005; Teotónio et al. 2006). Here, we demonstrate that the expression of deleterious partially recessive alleles diminishes the strength of selection against males in four different genetic backgrounds, thereby demonstrating the importance of deleterious mutations in the evolution of outcrossing rates.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Selection against males under two mutational environments

Stewart & Phillips (2002) have shown that selection against males occurred in the reference N2 strain, observing that populations with approximately 50% of males rapidly lose them in the span of less than 10 generations in the laboratory (see also Cutter 2005; Teotónio et al. 2006). Here, we used a similar experimental design for four different wild strains: CB4856 and N2 obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, JU440 obtained from Marie-Anne Félix and PX174 obtained from B. White and P. C. Phillips (sampled in Oregon in 2002). To ensure isogenicity, wild strains were inbred by single individual selfing for 10 generations and stocks cryogenically frozen for posterior experimental use

Accepted 19 September 2006

^{*}Author for correspondence (teotonio@igc.gulbenkian.pt).

Q4

2 D. Manoel and others Selection against males in C. elegans

(Stiernagle 1999). These strains were chosen based on our previous genetic characterization of outcrossing characters
(Teotónio *et al.* 2006) and gene diversity data (Koch *et al.*203 2000; Haber *et al.* 2005; Cutter 2006) to represent extreme
phenotypes and the extant genetic variation in this species.

134 Our standard laboratory environment is different from the one described in Stewart & Phillips (2002). Briefly, it consists 135 of the maintenance of approximately 1000 individuals in a 9 136 137 cm diameter Petri dish with NGM-light agar (US Biological) with a lawn of HT115 Escherichia coli as the source of food. In 138 each generation, gravid adults are killed by a hypochlorite/ 139 sodium hydroxide solution, so that only eggs survive 140 141 (Stiernagle 1999). These are then maintained in an M9 buffer solution for 16-18 h until all individuals hatch and 142 143 developmentally arrest at the first larval stage (L1). To 144 propagate the next generation, L1 individuals are placed onto 145 fresh Petri dishes at the appropriate density. Completion of the life cycle takes 4 days at 20°C and 80% relative humidity. 146

147 For each isogenic strain, eight replicate lines were obtained 148 by placing several hermaphrodites with an excess of males to 149 ensure outcrossing and a high proportion of males at 150 generation zero of the experiments (more than 30%), for a 151 total of 32 separate lines. Half of the replicate lines were 152 exposed to an external mutagen treatment during day 3 of the 153 life cycle when most individuals are at the late L4/early 154 adulthood phase and when gametogenesis has started. 155 Ethylmethane sulphonate (EMS) at 50 mM for 2 h and 254 nm UV radiation at 10 Jm^{-2} were applied in alternate 156 157 generations to minimize direct adaptation to the mutagen. 158 Preliminary experiments identified a decrease in egg to adult 159 viability of ca 10%. The remaining replicate lines were 160 maintained as above but without mutagen exposure, and thus serve as controls. Following 10 generations of treatment, 161 generation 11 was scored for male proportions by counting 162 163 approximately 1000 individuals per line.

164 An estimate of mutational input per diploid genome (U) 165 can be given for EMS (cf. Davies et al. 1999). For our 166 experimental populations, and using the same rationale as Davies et al. (1999), calibrated for 2 h of EMS exposure, there 167 are $ca 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ transitions per GC base pair (EMS is known 168 169 to mostly generate G/C to A/T transitions), giving U=61170 transitions per diploid genome per generation. U estimated 171 from phenotypic assays in mutation accumulation experi-172 ments (e.g. Vassilieva et al. Evolution 2000), is lower than 1, 173 which means that most mutations are unaccounted for, and 174 that most mutations should have small selective coefficients 175 (see table 3 of Davies et al. 1999).

176 Since measurements made at generation 11 could reflect the 177 expression of maternal mutagen environmental effects, both 178 mutagen and non-mutagen treatments were measured again for 179 male proportions after three generations of maintenance in a 180 common environment. Specifically, eggs laid by generation 181 11 lines were allowed to grow until they depleted their food over 182 the next two weeks. After this period, all lines were transferred to 183 fresh Petri dishes and maintained under standard conditions 184 until generation 13 adult individuals could be counted. Egg to 185 adult viability was also assayed at generation 13 to assess the 186 accumulation of deleterious mutations during the first 10 187 generations. Here, 100 eggs were established on a fresh plate 188 and allowed to develop and grow. Viability was scored as the 189 number of live adults. Four replicate plates were used per 190 replicate line and per wild strain.

191 To determine whether the mutagen treatment increases the192 rates of non-disjunction, and therefore the number of males,

Proc. R. Soc. B

and/or increases the rate of beneficial mutations associated with 193 male function, a similar set of selection and mutagenesis 194 experiments were performed following the initial set. In these 195 experiments, three separate hermaphrodites were taken from 196 frozen isogenic stocks and used to establish three different 197 replicate lines for the N2 and CB4856 strains, for a total of 198 12 lines. Therefore, males were initially at a high frequency 199 in the first set of experiments, whereas in the second set of 200 experiments, males could only appear as a consequence of 201 meiotic non-disjunction of the X chromosome during 202 hermaphrodite gametogenesis. Male frequency was scored for 203 each replicate by counting approximately 10 000 individuals 204 after 10 generations of mutagen treatment. 205

206

207

208

229 230

(b) Inbreeding depression generated by a single round of mutagen exposure

Inbreeding depression is known to be negligible within 209 natural isolates of C. elegans (Johnson & Hutchinson 1993; 210 Dolgin et al., personal communication). In order to address 211 the effects of mutation accumulation in the experimental 212 populations, inbreeding effects were measured as egg to adult 213 viability after a single round of exposure to either EMS 214 $(50 \text{ mM for } 2 \text{ h}) \text{ or } 254 \text{ nm UV radiation } (10 \text{ J m}^{-2}). \text{ Male-}$ 215 enriched populations were obtained as before from CB4856, 216 PX174, N2 and JU440. EMS or UV light was applied to each 217 of these populations and F1 offspring either allowed to self-218 fertilize or forced to outcross with sibling males. Viability was 219 estimated in the F2 offspring. Contemporaneously, the 220 221 parental lines without mutagen exposure and an F1 generation whose parents had been exposed were assayed to 222 account for any inter-generational directional environmental 223 effects. There were thus seven different groups of individuals 224 per wild strain assayed: unexposed parentals, EMS or UV F1 225 individuals, EMS or UV selfed F2 individuals and EMS or 226 UV outcrossed F2 individuals. Viability was assayed as above. 227 Replicates were divided over 2 consecutive days. 228

(c) Statistical analysis

231 The unit of observation for the 10 generation mutagen 232 exposure treatment was each of the four replicate populations 233 within each treatment (a total of 32 data points at each generation). All data were obtained as proportions and thus 234 several transformations were tested for conformity with linear 235 236 model assumptions. Normality of residuals was tested 237 with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and homocedasticity with 238 Bartlett's test. The log (X \times 1000) transformation gave the best-fit models for all data on male proportions, while 239 240 viability was best modelled when left untransformed. Data in figures are shown in the original proportions for clarity. 241 242 A single two-way ANOVA was modelled to generation 11 and 243 generation 13 separately, with strain as a four-level fixed 244 factor (CB4856, JU440, PX174 and N2) and treatment as a two-level (mutagen and non-mutagen exposure) fixed factor. 245 Interaction between strain and treatment was also assessed. 246 Posterior contrasts testing mutagen effects within each strain 247 were done with Tukey tests, but only when the interaction 248 effects between the two factors were significant. 249

The experimental design used in the inbreeding experiments allows for the partitioning of phenotypic variance into 251 mutational and environmental effects. Inbreeding depression 252 for viability is estimated as $\delta = [1 - (\text{viability of F2 selfed}/ 253)$ viability of F2 outcrossed)]. Data for the F2 generations were 254 standardized by subtracting the average value of both the 255 parental and the F1 generations for each mutagen. Each assay 256

321

322

323

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

Table 1. (top) Regression coefficients in a genetic model of heterozygous and homozygous mutational effects on viability. (bottom) Results for each strain, after a single round of EMS. (Estimates of dominance (*h*) and homozygous (*s*) selection coefficients are shown. Regression coefficients as different from zero are p < 0.05 and p < 0.001 (two-tailed Student's *t*-tests).)

strain	intercept	heterozygosity	homozygosity	$F_{2,23}$	R^2	h	S
parentals	1	0	0				
F1	1	1	0				
F2 selfed	1	0.5	0.25				
F2 outcrossed	1	1	0				
CB4856	0.863**	-0.099^{*}	-0.902^{**}	24.17	59.5%	0.095	1.039
U440	0.837^{**}	-0.053	-0.947^{**}	24.83	70.3%	0.048	1.110
N2	0.945^{**}	-0.084^{*}	-0.825^{**}	20.04	65.6%	0.096	0.880
PX174	0.895**	-0.083^{*}	-0.848^{**}	16.90	61.7%	0.087	0.953
nean strains	0.885	-0.080	-0.881			0.081	0.996
s.d.	0.047	0.019	0.056			0.023	0.100

plate was taken as the unit of observation. To this F2 data,
and separately for each mutagen, a two-way ANOVA was
done with strain as a four-level fixed factor (CB4856, JU440,
PX174 and N2) and breeding treatment as a two-level fixed
factor (self and outcross). Interaction between factors
was also assessed for significance. Day of set-up was modelled
as a covariate.

Multiple regression models were also employed to 282 estimate heterozygous and homozygous mutation effects, 283 according to the model of table 1, for each strain separately 284 and taking data from all generations. Based on these 285 estimates, the selective coefficient under homozygocity (s) 286 and the dominance coefficient (h) were estimated using the 287 standard diploid model, in which heterozygous lineages will 288 have a lower viability than the parental lineages by the 289 quantity hs, while homozygous lineages will have lower 290 viability than the parentals by a quantity s (Crow & Kimura 291 1970). This model assumes equality of effects among 292 mutations and no epistasis if more than one mutation is 293 present per genome. 294

297 3. RESULTS

295

296

298 (a) Selection against males

299 Q5 As in the previous studies (Stewart & Phillips 2002; 4 2005), 300 we find that males are selectively costly, since their 301 proportion fell to less than 10% from initial proportions of 302 more than 30%. However, males were kept at higher proportions in mutational treatments when compared with 303 controls (figure 1; mutagen treatment: $F_{1,24}=197.04$, 304 305 p < 0.001). Similarly, there were differences among the 306 four different strains ($F_{3,24}$ =393.24, p<0.001), with N2 307 and JU440 males being driven to much lower frequencies 308 than CB4856 and PX174 (see Teotónio et al. 2006). The 309 interaction between strain and treatment was significant as 310 well ($F_{3,24} = 7.08$, p = 0.001). Posterior contrasts by Tukey 311 tests revealed differences within all strains between treated 312 and untreated replicates (all p < 0.01).

313 The observed differences in male proportions were not 314 due to directional maternal (environmental) effects caused 315 by the mutagens, since male proportion differences 316 measured at generation 13 continue to be significantly 317 explained by mutagen treatment (figure 2; $F_{1,24}=6.43$, 318 p=0.018). Strain effects are also still significant 319 $(F_{3,24}=135.83, p<0.001)$, but the interaction no longer is 320 $(F_{3,24}=0.99, p=0.415, \text{ figure 2})$. Differences in male





Figure 1. Male proportions in four isogenic strains subject to mutagen exposure (white bars) or control (solid bars) after 10 generations of laboratory maintenance. Data are shown as mean values of the four replicates with standard error of the mean as the error bars. There are significant treatment, strain and interaction effects. Differences within each strain between the two treatments are all significant after multiple comparison correction.

proportions are smaller than in generation 11 since purging of deleterious mutations must have occurred during the two generations of common environment.

Further, viability measurements at generation 13 demonstrate that populations which experienced mutagen treatment were less viable than the controls (figure 2; $F_{1,24}=8.33$, p=0.008), probably as a result of the accumulation of deleterious mutations. Differences among strains were also significant ($F_{3,24}=8.51$, p=0.001), but not the interaction term ($F_{3,24}=0.37$, p=0.774).

Finally, the observed differences in the number of males 375 in the mutagen treatments are not due to an increase in the 376 rates non-disjunction of the X chromosome and/or an 377 increase in the rates of beneficial mutations associated with 378 male phenotypes. Experiments starting with replicates of the 379 CB4856 and N2 strains from single hermaphrodites did not 380 show a significant increase in the number of males after 10 381 generations of mutagen exposure (figure 3; treatment 382 effect: F_{1,8}=0.88, p=0.376; strain effect: F_{1,8}=3329.19, 383 p < 0.001; interaction: $F_{1,8} = 2.18$, p = 0.178). 384



Figure 2. (*a*) Male proportions and (*b*) viability are shown for generation 13, three generations after stopping the mutagen treatment. Black bars indicate mean values of four replicates for control treatment and white bars for mutagen treatment, with associated standard error of the mean. For both characters, there are significant mutagen treatment and strain effects.

402 (b) Inbreeding depression

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

401

A single round of EMS exposure generated mutations with 403 strong deleterious effects, such that the average inbreeding 404 depression for viability across strains was $\delta = 0.22 \pm 0.01$ 405 s.e.m. (figure 4). Progeny resulting from outcrossing 406 have significantly higher viability than those from self-407 fertilization ($F_{1,39}=50.01$, p<0.001). There were no 408 differences among the four different strains ($F_{3,39}=0.63$, 409 410 p=0.599) or in the interaction among strains and breeding treatment ($F_{3,39}=0.85$, p=0.477). Replication across days 411 was also not significant ($F_{1,39} = 3.42, p = 0.072$). 412

To estimate the dominance (h) and recessive (s) selective 413 coefficients, a multiple regression model was employed to 414 each strain independently (table 1). It is clear that 415 mutations created by EMS are nearly lethal when 416 homozygous, and that they are also partially recessive, 417 with heterozygous lineages being approximately 8% less 418 viable than parentals. Results for a single round of UV light 419 exposure are more complex (figure 4). Day of assay set-up 420 was a significant covariate ($F_{1,39} = 6.55$, p = 0.014), as well 421 as strain $(F_{3,39}=3.63, p=0.021)$ and breeding treatment 422 $(F_{1,39}=17.2, p<0.001)$. Here, however, the outcrossed 423 individuals were less viable than selfed individuals, which is 424 indicative of underdominant effects among different 425 mutations. The interaction between strain and treatment 426 was not significant. The ANOVA model has however a poor 427 fit $(R^2 = 6.43\%)$. The multiple regression models also have 428 a very poor fit (R^2 for all strains below 10%, not shown), so 429 estimates of h and s were not calculated. 430

433 4. DISCUSSION

431

432

434 The role of males in C. elegans populations has been 435 Q6 something of a conundrum. Are they evolutionary relics 436 (Chasnov & Chow 2002) or does outcrossing via males 437 have an important impact on variation within a between 438 populations (Stewart & Phillips 2002; Cutter 2005)? It has 439 previously been demonstrated that outcrossing in 440 C. elegans is selected against in laboratory environments 441 (Stewart & Phillips 2002), which agrees well with the very 442 low proportions of males and outcrossing observed in 443 natural isolates (Barrière & Félix 2005; Teotónio et al. 444 2006), as well as with the negligible inbreeding depression 445 found for several life-history characters in C. elegans 446 (Johnson & Hutchinson 1993; Dolgin et al., personal 447 communication). The experiments presented here study 448 the effects of increased mutational load, as defined by

RSPB 20063739-18/10/2006-20:31-THIAGU-225194-XML - pp. 1-9



Figure 3. Male proportions after 10 generations of mutagen exposure with production of males in the initial generation being solely due to the meiotic non-disjunction of X chromosome in hermaphrodite gametogenesis. Black bars indicate mean values of three replicates for control treatment and white bars for mutagen treatment, with associated standard error of the mean. There are no detectable differences among treatments.

a decrease in population fitness due to the expression of induced mutations, on outcrossing rates. We show that the selective cost of outcrossing and the production of males can diminish under conditions of increased mutational loads. Cutter (2005) has found a similar effect, under different laboratory conditions, when increasing mutational loads through genetic disruption of a DNA repair pathway. We extend his study to more than one natural isolate, while controlling for male reproductive success and genotype by environment effects, as well as estimating the selective properties of the induced mutations.

We find that after 10 generations of EMS/UV mutagen 503 exposure, experimental populations have higher male 504 frequencies than controls, and therefore higher rates of 505 outcrossing. These differences are not due to inadvertent 506 environmental effects generated by the mutagen, since 507 mutagen-treated and control populations maintain male 508 proportion differences after two full generations of 509 510 maintenance in a common environment. These differences 511 are nevertheless lower at generation 13 than generation 11, 512 undoubtedly reflecting the purging of a significant number

497

498

499

500

501

502

449

450

451

452 453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Selection against males in C. elegans D. Manoel and others 5



Figure 4. Inbreeding and outcrossing effects after a single round of mutagen exposure, EMS in (a) and UV light in (b), for four 559 isogenic strains, and shown as the difference from the parental viability with standard deviations as error bars. 560

561 of the accumulated mutations under mixed selfing and 562 outcrossing following cessation of additional mutational input (see below). Further, deleterious mutations have 563 564 accumulated in the treated populations, since their egg to adult viability is low relative to control populations. We also 565 566 do not detect any evidence that rates of neither X 567 chromosome non-disjunction during gametogenesis, the 568 mechanism by which males can be generated from 569 unmated hermaphrodites, nor mutations that could 570 increase male reproductive success, increase in mutagen-571 treated populations relative to controls. While the 572 accumulation of deleterious mutations causes the selective 573 cost of outcrossing to diminish, it is not clear that it allows 574 the maintenance of mixed outcrossing rates, since 575 experimental populations have yet to reach equilibrium 576 and male frequencies are still fairly low.

Available phenotypic models predict that males will be maintained whenever the effectiveness of male mating 626 (discounted by selection against males) can overcome the 627 selfing advantage of hermaphrodites (discounted by the 628 effects of inbreeding depression), as in the relationship 629 $\alpha(1-\sigma) > 2\beta(1-\delta)$, where α is the male reproductive 630 success; σ is the viability difference among males and 631 hermaphrodites; β is the proportion of oocytes that are self-632 fertilized; and δ is the inbreeding depression (Stewart & 633 Phillips 2002; Cutter et al. 2003). Since our experimental 634 populations are not at equilibrium, we cannot fully address 635 this relationship, but we can test for the existence of an 636 637 association between male reproductive success and 638 inbreeding depression. First, we find that higher male 639 reproductive successes are associated with a decrease in egg 640 to adult viability (the overall correlation between log male

623

624

6 D. Manoel and others Selection against males in C. elegans

641 proportion and viability with all mutagen-treated and 642 control populations, at generation 13 of the experiment, is $r_{\text{Pearson}} = -0.517$, n = 32, p = 0.002). This observation 643 can only be interpreted as increased mutation accumu-644 lation in populations with higher rates of outcrossing. An 645 alternative interpretation is that the lower viabilities 646 observed in those populations with higher male reproduc-647 648 tive success reflect lower viability of males relative to 649 hermaphrodites, since males are hemizygous for the X chromosome. At this generation 13, however, male 650 numbers are so low that even large differential viability 651 652 among genders does not change the results (not shown). 653 Second, the significant interaction term at generation 11 between strain and mutagen treatment also suggests that 654 655 mutation accumulation is higher in the two strains that have 656 higher male proportions, CB4856 and PX174, relative to the two that have lower male proportions, N2 and JU440, 657 658 since the differences observed between mutagen-treated 659 and control populations are larger. Taken together, it 660 appears that variation in male reproductive success and 661 outcrossing rates influences the magnitude of mutational 662 loads and presumably inbreeding depression as well 663 (see also Charlesworth et al. 1993; Schultz & Willis 1995; 664 for genetic models with varying outcrossing rates).

665 The selective effects of mutations generated by a single round of either EMS or UV exposure were also estimated. 666 For EMS, we find nearly lethal mutations (s = 0.996), these 667 being close to fully recessive (h=0.08), across the four 668 strains. For UV, the ANOVA models fitted were significant 669 670 but poorly predictive. There is a suggestion of under- Q7 671 dominance, which can be explained if UV generates small 672 rearrangements, such as deletions, duplication and translo-673 cations (cf. Anderson 1995; Johnsen & Baillie 1997), which 674 in turn impair the proper segregation of chromosomes 675 during the meiosis of heterozygotes (cf. Villeuneuve 1994; 676 Villeuneuve & Hillers 2001). If real, however, under-677 dominance has hampered our power to observe higher 678 male frequency in the mutagen treatments, since out-679 crossing will be selected against to an even larger extent 680 than in controls. For this reason, and because UV models 681 were poorly fitted, we only interpret the five generations of 682 EMS mutational input for the remaining of discussion.

683 The critical element in the theories for the maintenance 684 of outcrossing is the level of inbreeding depression in 685 the population (Lloyd 1979; Lande & Schemske 1985; Charlesworth et al. 1990). We have shown that the EMS 686 687 treatment is capable of inducing a large amount of 688 inbreeding depression within a single generation 689 $(\delta = 0.22)$, whereas the UV treatment would appear to 690 generate little inbreeding depression or perhaps outbreeding 691 depression, instead. While the per-generation rate of 692 inbreeding depression is less than the $\delta > 0.5$ needed for 693 the deterministic maintenance of outcrossing in most 694 models (review in Charlesworth & Charlesworth 1998), 695 this value represents the standing level of inbreeding 696 depression, not the rate of input as measured here. Further, 697 this result is for the general case in which selfers and 698 outcrossers have equal mating availability. For the asymme-699 trical mating system of C. elegans (outcrossing only via male 700 reproduction), variation in male mating success can have a 701 large influence on the equilibrium frequency of males (see 702 above; Stewart & Phillips 2002). This is equivalent to 703 extreme 'pollen discounting', which facilitates the persist-704 ence of intermediate levels of outcrossing (Nagylaki 1976;

Proc. R. Soc. B

Holsinger 1991; Harder & Wilson 1998; Porder & Lande 705 2005). Finally, the distribution of mutational effects will also 706 have a large influence on the standing level of inbreeding 707 depression, as mutations with large effects, such as those 708 observed here (and which have been routinely observed in 709 natural mutation accumulation studies in C. elegans; e.g. 710 Vassilieva et al. 2000; Ajie et al. 2005), are more readily 711 purged from partially selfing populations than mutations of 712 smaller effect (Heller & Maynard Smith 1979; Lande & 713 Schemske 1985; Holsinger 1988; Hedrick 1994; Lynch et al. 714 1995; Wang et al. 1999). 715

Although the average effect of mutations generated by 716 EMS detected under laboratory conditions can be quite 717 large, the distribution of effect sizes appears to be very 718 skewed, with the majority of mutations (perhaps 90% or 719 more) having small effects (s < 0.1; cf. Davies et al. 1999; 720 Keightley et al. 2000). Inbreeding depression is driven 721 primarily by mutation rate rather than effect size, with 722 mutations of intermediate effect having the largest impact 723 on finite populations (Lynch et al. 1995). The increased 724 mutation rate used here is therefore likely to have generated 725 substantial inbreeding depression within the experimental 726 populations. Further, dominance coefficients (h) can also 727 decrease the mean fitness of selfing lineages to an extent 728 that outcrossing will be favoured. For example, for alleles 729 with h < 0.1, inbreeding depression can be well above 50% 730 (e.g. Latta & Ritland 1994; Peters et al. 2003). With 731 overdominance (h < 0) on the other hand, outcrossing 732 alleles can be favoured even if inbreeding depression is low 733 (Holsinger 1988; Charlesworth & Charlesworth 1990). In 734 the best empirical study of the heterozygous effects of 735 mutants generated by EMS to date, Peters et al. (2003) have 736 shown that on average h=0.1, which is very close to our 737 own estimate of h = 0.08. Further, variation of h around this 738 mean was found to be significant with several alleles 739 showing overdominant effects. Hence, in our experimental 740 populations, mutants with h < 0.08 should have been 741 generated, contributing to an increase in inbreeding 742 depression in the experimental populations. With these 743 strongly recessive mutations, males are maintained at 744 745 higher frequencies in the high mutation treatments because the outcrossing they induce effectively complements the 746 mutations' deleterious effects, thereby increasing the 747 relative fitness of outcrossed (and male producing) versus 748 749 selfed progeny.

750 Overall, then, increasing the rate of deleterious 751 mutations can lead to an increase in the frequency of males and a concomitant increase in the level of out-752 753 crossing within these nematode populations. However, 754 increasing the rate of mutation is not sufficient to preserve 755 males in all backgrounds. Male mating ability must be 756 sufficiently high so that the rate of male production can overcome the rate of purging of mutations of large effect 757 via selfing. It is therefore not surprising that increasing the 758 rate of deleterious mutation is more effective at maintain-759 ing males in genetic backgrounds in which the rate of loss 760 of males is relatively slow under control conditions, as 761 predicted by theory (figure 2; Stewart & Phillips 2002; 762 Teotónio et al. 2006). Such mutation by background 763 interactions are likely to prove critical for our under-764 765 standing of the variable levels of outcrossing observed in 766 natural populations (primarily plants; Goodwille et al. 767 2005). The experimental circumstances explored here are 768 decidedly non-equilibrium in nature; therefore, more

Selection against males in C. elegans D. Manoel and others 7

- theory needs to be developed before the precise balance
- 770 factors necessary for long-term maintenance of males in
- 771 the face of continual mutational input and purging via
- selfing. However, we have demonstrated that level ofmutational input and strain-specific characteristics such as
- material input and strain opcome characteristics such asmale mating are important in determining whether or not
- 775 males will persist within these partially selfing populations.
- 776 777

778

We thank R. Koroloff and A. Homem for their technical help. We also thank J. Anderson, A. Cutter, M.-A. Félix, I. Gordo, L. Morran, J. Thompson, and especially some careful

- reviewers for comments regarding the project and the
 manuscript. This work was supported by the National
 Science Foundation grant DEB-0236180 (to P.C.P.), and
- Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT/FEDER/ POCTI/BIA-BDE/61127/2004) and Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian (to H.T.).
- 784

785

786

787 **REFERENCES**

- Ajie, B., Estes, S., Lynch, M. & Phillips, P. C. 2005 Behavioral degradation under mutation accumulation. *Genetics* 170, 655–660. (doi:10.1534/genetics.104.040014)
- 791 Anderson, P. 1995 Mutagenesis. Methods Cell Biol. 48, 31–58.
- Barrière, A. & Félix, M. 2005 High local genetic diversity and low outcrossing rate in *Caenorhabditis elegans* natural populations. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 1176–1184. (doi:10.1016/ j.cub.2005.06.022)
- ⁷⁹⁵ Brenner, S. 1974 The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*.
 ⁷⁹⁶ *Genetics* 77, 71–94.
- Byers, D. L. & Waller, D. M. 1999 Do plant populations
 purge their genetic load? Effects of population size and
 mating history on inbreeding depression. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **30**, 479–513. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.30.1.479)
- Chasnov, J. R. & Chow, L. K. 2002 Why are there tales in the
 hermaphroditic species *Caenorhabditis elegans? Genetics*160, 983–994.
- Charlesworth, B. & Charlesworth, D. 1998 Some evolutionary consequences of deleterious mutations. *Genetica* **102/103**, 3–19. (doi:10.1023/A:1017066304739)
- Charlesworth, B., Charlesworth, D. & Morgan, M. T. 1990
 Genetic loads and estimates of mutation rates in highly
 inbred plant populations. *Nature* 347, 380–382. (doi:10.
 1038/347380a0)
- Charlesworth, D., Morgan, M. T. & Charlesworth, B. 1993
 Mutation accumulation in finite outbreeding and inbreeding populations. *Genet. Res. Camb.* 61, 39–56.
- 813 Crnokrak, P. & Barrett, S. C. 2002 Perspective: purging the genetic load: a review of the experimental evidence. *Evolution* 56, 2347–2358. (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2002)
 816 Content of the experimental evidence.
 817 Description 10.1000 (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2002))
 818 Description 10.1000 (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2002))
 819 Description 10.1000 (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2002))
 810 Description 10.1000 (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2002))
- Crow, J. F. & Kimura, M. 1970 An introduction to population genetics theory. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- ⁸¹⁸ Cutter, A. D. 2005 Mutation and the experimental evolution of outcrossing in *Caenorhabditis elegans. J. Evol. Biol.* 18, 27–34. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2004.00804.x)
- 821 Cutter, A. D. 2006 Nucleotide polymorphism and linkage
 822 disequilibrium in wild populations of the partial selfer
 823 *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* 172, 171–184. (doi:10.
 824 1534/genetics.105.048207)
- Cutter, A. D., Avilés, L. & Ward, S. 2003 The proximal determinants of sex ratio in *C. elegans* populations. *Genet. Res. Camb.* 91, 91–102.
- Davies, E. K., Peters, A. D. & Keightley, P. D. 1999 High frequency of cryptic deleterious mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Science* 285, 1748–1751. (doi:10.1126/ science.285.5434.1748)
- Fisher, R. A. 1941 Average excess and average effect of a gene
 substitution. *Ann. Eug.* 11, 53–63.

Goodwillie, C., Kalisz, S. & Eckert, C. G. 2005 The evolutionary enigma of mixed mating systems in plants: occurrence, theoretical explanations, and empirical evidence. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **36**, 47–79. (doi:10.1146/ annurev.ecolsys.36.091704.175539)

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

- Haber, M., Scüngel, M., Putz, A., Müller, S., Hasert, B. & Schulenburg, H. 2005 Evolutionary history of *Caenorhabditis elegans* inferred from microsatellites: evidence for spatial and temporal genetic differentiation and the occurrence of outbreeding. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 22, 160–173. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msh264)
- Harder, L. D. & Wilson, W. G. 1998 A clarification of pollen discounting and its joint effects with inbreeding depression on mating system evolution. *Am. Nat.* 152, 684–695. (doi:10.1086/286199)
- Hedrick, P. W. 1994 Purging inbreeding depression and the probability of extinction: full-sib mating. *Heredity* **73**, 363–372.
- Heller, R. & Maynard Smith, J. 1979 Does Muller's ratchet work with selfing? *Genet. Res. Camb.* **32**, 289–293.
- Holsinger, K. E. 1988 Inbreeding depression doesn't matter: the genetics basis of mating system evolution. *Evolution* **42**, 1235–1244. (doi:10.2307/2409007)
- Holsinger, K. E. 1991 Mass-action models of plant mating systems: the evolutionary stability of mixed mating systems. *Am. Nat.* **138**, 606–622. (doi:10.1086/285237)
- Jarne, P. & Charlesworth, D. 1993 The evolution of the selfing rate in functionally hermaphrodite plants and animals. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **24**, 441–466. (doi:10. 1146/annurev.es.24.110193.002301)
- Johnsen, R. C. & Baillie, D. L. 1997 Mutation. In C. elegans II (ed. D. L. Riddle, T. Blumenthal, B. J. Meyer & J. R. Priess), pp. 79–95. New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Johnson, T. E. & Hutchinson, E. W. 1993 Absence of strong heterosis for life span and other life history traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* **194**, 465–474.
- Keightley, P. D., Davies, E. K., Peters, A. D. & Shaw, R. G. 2000 Properties of ethylmethane sulfonate-induced mutations affecting life-history traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and inferences about bivariate distributions of mutation effects. *Genetics* 156, 143–154.
- Koch, R., VanLuenen, H. G. A. M., Van der Horst, M., Thijssen, K. L. & Platerk, R. H. A. 2000 Single nucleotide polymorphisms in wild isolates of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genome Res.* 10, 1690–1696. (doi:10.1101/gr.GR-1471R)
- Lande, R. 1994 Risk of population extinction from fixation of new deleterious mutations. *Evolution* 48, 1460–1469. (doi:10.2307/2410240)
- Lande, R. & Schemske, D. W. 1985 The evolution of selffertilization and inbreeding depression in plants. I. Genetic models. *Evolution* 39, 24–40. (doi:10.2307/2408514)
- Latta, R. & Ritland, K. 1994 Conditions favoring stable mixed mating systems with jointly evolving inbreeding depression. *J. Theor. Biol.* **170**, 15–23. (doi:10.1006/jtbi. 1994.1165)
- Lloyd, D. G. 1979 Some reproductive factors affecting the selection of self-fertilization in plants. *Am. Nat.* **113**, 67–79. (doi:10.1086/283365)
- Lynch, M., Conery, J. & Burger, R. 1995 Mutation accumulation and the extinction of small populations. *Am. Nat.* 146, 489–518. (doi:10.1086/285812)
- Nagylaki, T. 1976 A model for the evolution of self-fertilization and vegetative reproduction. *J. Theor. Biol.* 58, 55–58. (doi:10.1016/0022-5193(76)90138-7)
- Pannel, J. R. 2002 The evolution and maintenance of androdioecy. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 33, 397–425. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150419)

Proc. R. Soc. B

- 8 D. Manoel and others Selection against males in C. elegans
- Peters, A. D., Halligan, D. L., Whitlock, M. C. & Keightley, P. D. 2003 Dominance and overdominance of mildly deleterious induced mutations for fitness traits in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 165, 589-599.
- Porder, E. & Lande, R. 2005 The evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding depression under pollen discounting and pollen limitation. J. Evol. Biol. 18, 497–508. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.00905.x)
- Schultz, S. T. & Willis, J. H. 1995 Individual variation in inbreeding depression: the roles of inbreeding history and mutation. Genetics 141, 1209-1223.
- Stewart, A. D. & Phillips, P. C. 2002 Selection and maintenance of androdioecy in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 160, 975-982.
- Stiernagle, T. 1999 Maintenance of C. elegans. In C. elegans a practical approach (ed. I. Hope), pp. 51-67. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

- Teotónio, H., Manoel, D. & Phillips, P. C. 2006 Genetic variation for outcrossing among Caenorhabditis elegans isolates. Evolution 60, 1300-1305. (doi:10.1554/06-085.1)
- Vassilieva, L. L., Hook, A. M. & Lynch, M. 2000 The fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in Caenorhabditis elegans. Evolution 54, 1234–1246. (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2000) 054[1234:TFEOSM]2.0.CO;2)
- Villeneuve, A. M. 1994 A cis-acting locus that promotes crossing over between X chromosomes in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 136, 887–902.
- Villeneuve, A. M. & Hillers, K. J. 2001 Whence meiosis? Cell 106, 647-650. (doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00500-1)
- Wang, J., Hill, W. G., Charlesworth, D. & Charlesworth, B. 1999 Dynamics of inbreeding depression due to deleterious mutations in small populations: mutation parameters and inbreeding rate. Genet. Res. 74, 165-178. (doi:10. 1017/S0016672399003900)