1	AMENDED The effect of type, concentration and volume of dispersing agent on the
2	magnitude of the clay content determined by the hydrometer analysis
3	Words 3804
4	<u>A Kaur¹</u> and G C Fanourakis ²
5	¹ Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Department of Civil Engineering Technology, University of
6	Johannesburg, Doornfontein Campus, Johannesburg 2028, South Africa, contact: +27
7	115596898, email: akaur@uj.ac.za
8	² Associate Professor, FSAICE, Department of Civil Engineering Technology, University of
9	Johannesburg, Doornfontein Campus, Johannesburg 2028, South Africa, contact: +27
10	115596416, email: georgef@uj.ac.za
11	
12	ABSTRACT: Knowledge of the physical properties of soils, including the clay content, is of
13	utmost importance in the field of Geotechnical Engineering. The hydrometer analysis is the
14	most widely used technique for the analysis of the particle size distribution of the fine grained
15	fraction of a soil, calculated using sedimentation principles. The hydrometer analysis utilizes a
16	dispersing agent. Calgon 33:7 (comprising 33 grams of sodium hexametaphosphate and 7
17	grams of sodium carbonate when mixed in 1 litre of water) is universally considered as the
18	most effective dispersing agent.
19	In this investigation, hydrometer analyses were conducted (according to the TMH1 1986
20	method) on two soils (alluvium and black clay), using five dispersing agents. The results show
21	that the clay size fraction can vary significantly (from 1 % to 32 %) for the two soils, depending
22	upon the dispersing agent used. From these initial results, the two most effective dispersing
23	agents (Calgon and sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate - NaPP) were investigated further to
24	establish the optimum concentration and volume.

25 Calgon proved to be the most effective in the alluvial soil increasing the clay content by 38%.

26 The NaPP was most effective in the relatively active black soil increasing the clay content by

27 25%.

28 **KEYWORDS:** Grain-size analysis, Hydrometer tests, Dispersing agents, Concentration,

29 Volume.

30 INTRODUCTION

31 The determination of the particle size distribution of a soil, including the clay content, is one of the most problematic areas in geotechnical engineering testing. The particle size analysis is 32 33 a method of separating soils into different fractions based on the sizes of particles present in the soil. The particle size analysis is divided into two categories (coarse and fine) with 34 associated laboratory test methods. A sieve analysis is used to separate the coarse grained 35 36 fraction of soil, i.e. the fraction of soil with particle sizes greater than 425 microns. On the other hand, sedimentation analysis, which is based on the principles of dispersion and sedimentation, 37 is used for the analysis of the fine grained fraction of the soil, such as silt and clay whose 38 particle size is less than 75 microns. Analysis of these fine grained soils is done either by the 39 pipette method or by the hydrometer method (Arora, 2003). 40

Since the clay content of a soil is used to determine its activity, which in turn is used for design 41 purposes, it is very important to accurately determine the clay content of soils. Inaccurate clay 42 content determinations have resulted in inappropriate design solutions which have even led to 43 44 unacceptable damage to the structures. In South Africa, there is a problem with the accurate determination of the clay content of soils. This problem, which was formally expressed by 45 Jacobsz and Day (2008), reinforces the need for research into all of the variables of the 46 hydrometer test with a view to improving its accuracy and perhaps to standardize the test 47 nationally and possibly, in future, internationally. 48

In the hydrometer analysis, dispersing agents are used to disperse the fine grained particles of
the soil in the suspension medium (water). Dispersing agents can either act as a protective
colloid on the solid particle or alter the electrical charge on the particle to prevent the formation
of flocs (Sridharan et al., 1991).

A variety of dispersing agents is used in different parts of the world for the sedimentation 53 analysis. These include sodium silicate and sodium oxalate (TMH1, 1986), Calgon (BS 1377: 54 55 1990, IS 2720: 1985 and ISRIC: 2002), sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate (Schuurman and Goedewaagen, 1971), sodium tetra pyrophosphate (Yoo and Boyd, 1994), sodium 56 57 hexametaphosphate (ASTM D422-63, Lambe 1951, SANS 3001) and Di-sodium Di-hydrogen pyrophosphate (formerly used by the Soils Testing Laboratory of Department of Water Affairs 58 of South Africa). The justification for the use of this latter dispersing agent (Di-sodium Di-59 60 hydrogen pyrophosphate) could not be established.

Calgon, which is a combination of sodium hexametaphosphate and sodium carbonate, is one 61 of the popular dispersing agents adopted by various countries for the sedimentation test 62 63 analysis. The BS 1377 Part 2 -1990 and IS 2720 - Part IV methods recommend 33 grams of sodium hexametaphosphate with 7 grams of sodium carbonate, while the (South African) 64 Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) recommends 35 grams of sodium 65 hexametaphosphate with 7 grams of sodium carbonate and the International Soil Reference and 66 Information Centre (ISRIC) recommends 40 grams of sodium hexametaphosphate with 10 67 68 grams of sodium carbonate. All the methods mentioned above use 125 ml of solution of the prescribed concentrations, except for ISRIC which uses only 20 ml of solution of the prescribed 69 concentration. 70

Sridharan et al (1991) described a study on the effect of different types and quantities of
dispersing agents on the grain size distribution, particularly the percentage of clay sized
material. They concluded that the clay size fraction can vary from 4% - 45% for marine clays,

depending upon the dispersing agent used, strictly following the IS (1985) method. It was
further seen that 100 – 125 ml of Calgon (33 grams of sodium hexametaphosphate and 7 grams
of sodium carbonate in 1 litre of distilled water) was found to be the most effective dispersing
agent.

Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010) conducted a study to evaluate the effect of the concentration of the dispersing agent on the hydrometer analysis and attempted to optimize the concentration of the dispersing agent to be added to obtain maximum dispersion. It was observed that the addition of sodium carbonate improved the dispersing capacity of sodium hexametaphosphate. The optimum volume and concentration was found to be 100 ml of 6% mixture of sodium hexametaphosphate and sodium carbonate and there was a significant decrease in dispersion with a further increase in the concentration as well as volume of the dispersing agent added.

The objective of the current study was to investigate the effect of different dispersing agents on two different soil samples with varying mineralogy. An effort was made to compare the results of the hydrometer test analyses by varying the concentration and volume of the best two dispersing agents on the two soil samples, following the THM1 (1986) test method.

89 EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

90 Materials

Two soil samples were collected from various parts of South Africa. The first sample 91 92 comprised an alluvial soil from the Sebokeng Township in the Gauteng Province and the other 93 was a black soil from the town of Brits in the North-west Province. The Atterberg Limits and Activity of these soils were determined, at the laboratories of the Department of Civil 94 Engineering Technology of the University of Johannesburg, as shown in Table 1. TMH1 (1986) 95 96 method was used for the determination of the Liquid Limit and Plastic Limit. The clay content was determined by means of the hydrometer analysis (Method A6 of TMH1 - 1986) with a 97 deviation in the prescribed dispersing agent type, quantity and adjustment in the readings by 98

99 subtracting the hydrometer readings obtained on the "blank" companion specimens to account 100 for the effect of the dispersing agent. TMH1 (1986) Method A6 prescribes 5 ml of sodium 101 silicate and 5 ml of sodium oxalate as the dispersing agent. The activities of the soils used for 102 current study were computed by using the clay content obtained by the hydrometer analysis 103 when 125 ml of Calgon 33:7 (a solution comprising 33 grams of sodium hexametaphosphate 104 (NaHMP) and 7 grams of sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) in 1 litre of distilled water) was used.

105 Table 1 Atterberg Limits, Linear Shrinkage and Activity of the soils sampled

Properties	Alluvial Soil	Black Soil	Black Soil		
	22	57			
Liquid Limit (LL)	32	56			
Plastic Limit (PL)	16	22			
Plasticity Index (PI)	16	34			
Clay Content (%)	21.4	32			
Activity (A)	0.75	1.07			

106 Hydrometer Tests

107 Hydrometer analyses were conducted on both samples, according to the TMH1 (1986) method,

108 to determine their clay content.

109 These analyses were carried out in three stages. Stage I comprised two tests, one on each soil

110 type, which excluded dispersing agents. These served as the control test results.

111 Stage II testing entailed a total of ten hydrometer tests, five on each of the two soil types, using

112 the dispersing agents shown in Table 2.

113

114

- 115
- 116
- 117
- 118

119 Table 2 Details of various dispersing agents used

Dispersing Agent	Preparation of Stock Solutions
5 ml of Sodium silicate	Sodium Silicate: Dissolve sodium silicate, preferably the water glass solution (Na ₂ SiO ₃) in
and 5 ml of Sodium	distilled water until the solution yields a reading of 36 at a temperature of 20°C on the standard
oxalate	soil hydrometer.
	Sodium Oxalate: This consists of a filtered saturated solution of sodium oxalate (Na ₂ C ₂ O ₄).
125 ml of Calgon solution	Calgon: Mix 35 grams of Sodium hexametaphosphate (NaPO ₃) with 7 grams of sodium
	carbonate (Na ₂ CO ₃) and add a sufficient quantity of distilled water to bring the volume of the
	solution to one litre.
20 ml of sodium	Sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate: Mix 36 grams of sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate
pyrophosphate	(Na ₄ P ₂ O ₇ .10H ₂ O) with a sufficient quantity of distilled water to bring the volume to the
	solution to one litre.
20 ml of Sodium tetra	Sodium tetra pyrophosphate: Mix 36 grams of sodium tetra pyrophosphate with a sufficient
pyrophosphate	quantity of distilled water to bring the volume of the solution to one litre.
40 ml of sodium silicate	Di-sodium di-hydrogen pyrophosphate: Mix 36 grams of di-sodium di-hydrogen
and 40 ml of di-sodium di-	pyrophosphate (Na ₂ H ₂ P ₂ O ₇) with a sufficient quantity of distilled water to bring the volume
hydrogen pyrophosphate	of the solution to one litre.
	Sodium Silicate: Add sodium silicate syrup (Na2SiO2) to distilled water until the solution
	yields a reading of 36 at the temperature of 19.5°C on the standard soil hydrometer.

Stage III comprised further testing, on each of the two soil types, which entailed varying the concentration and volume of two of the dispersing agents (Calgon and sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate). These two dispersing agents were selected for further investigation as the results of the Stage II testing indicated these to be the most effective of the five dispersing agents. The objective of this Stage III testing was to compute the optimum concentration and volume of the dispersing agent.

Internationally, there are at least four methods (BS 1377 Part 2 – 1990, IS 2720 Part IV – 1985,
South African CSIR, International Soil Reference and Information Centre (ISRIC – 2002)
which recommend the use of Calgon as a dispersing agent. However, all four of them use
Calgon in different concentrations. BS 1377 Part 2 – 1990 and IS 2720 Part IV – 1985 both

recommend 125 ml of Calgon 33:7 (33 grams of sodium hexametaphosphate and 7 grams of

- sodium carbonate mixed with 1 litre of distilled water), CSIR recommends 125 ml of Calgon
 35:7 while ISRIC recommends 20 ml of Calgon 40:10.
- Amounts of 4%, 4.2%, 5%, 7%, 8% and 9% solution of Calgon and 3%, 3.6%, 5%, 6% and row solution of sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate were prepared, by mixing the required quantity in 1 litre of distilled water. The quantities (in grams) of chemicals added for the preparation of these stock solutions are given in Table 3.

Table 3 Quantity of chemicals added for preparation of Calgon and Sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate solutions

Concentration of	Calgon		Sodium Pyrophosphate
Solution (%)			decahydrate
	Quantity of NaHMP	Quantity of Na ₂ CO ₃	Quantity of NaPP Added (g)
	Added (g)	Added (g)	
3	-	-	30
3.6	-	-	36
4	33	7	-
4.2	35	7	-
5	40	10	50
6	-	-	60
7	60	10	70
8	70	10	-
9	80	10	-

In these tests the minimum volumes of Calgon and sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate used
were 100 ml and 20 ml, respectively. These volumes were incrementally increased until the
optimum volume for each concentration was established.

142 Testing Procedure and Calculations

The testing was in accordance with the procedure described in TMH1 (1986). For all the testsperformed, 50 grams of soil sample passing through a 425 micron sieve was mixed with the

desired quantity of dispersing agent and about 400 ml of distilled water in a canning jar. The

soil water mixture was allowed to stand overnight. After the mixture had been allowed to stand,
it was dispersed for 15 minutes with a standard paddle. The paddle was washed clean with
distilled water allowing the wash water to run into a container with the suspension.

The suspension was then poured into the Bouyoucos cylinder and the canning jar was rinsed 149 with distilled water from the wash bottle. The cylinder was then filled with distilled water to 150 1130 ml mark with the Bouyoucos hydrometer (152H) inside. Then, the hydrometer was 151 152 removed and the cylinder was inverted a few times, using the palm of one hand as a stopper over the mouth of the cylinder to ensure that the temperature was uniform throughout. After 153 154 bringing the cylinder to a vertical position, a stop watch was started. The hydrometer was inserted and readings were taken at 18 and 40 seconds without removing the hydrometer from 155 the cylinder. The hydrometer was then taken out and rinsed with water and it was again inserted 156 157 into suspension when the elapsed time was 2 minutes. This reading was noted and the hydrometer was removed and placed in distilled water. This procedure was repeated for the 5 158 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour, 4 hour and 24 hour readings. After taking each 159 hydrometer reading, the temperature of the liquid was recorded. Temperature corrections were 160 applied to the readings. 161

162 Also a blank solution comprising distilled water and dispersing agent was prepared, in a second 163 Bouyoucos cylinder in the same proportions as solutions prepared with the soil. The dispersing 164 agent and water mixture was also soaked overnight and identical hydrometer tests were 165 performed for the blank solutions.

The hydrometer reading taken on the samples which contained soil were appropriately adjusted by subtracting the hydrometer readings obtained on the "blank" companion specimens, at the relevant times. This accounted for the effect of the dispersing agent on the readings. It should be noted that TMH1 does not make any provisions for this correction.

The percentages finer than 0.075 mm, 0.05 mm, 0.04 mm, 0.026 mm, 0.015 mm, 0.01mm,
0.0074 mm, 0.0036 mm and 0.0015 mm were respectively calculated by the readings taken at
18 sec, 40 sec, 2 min, 5 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 hour, 4 hour and 24 hours, by means by Equation
1.

174
$$P = \frac{C \times Sf}{Sm} \tag{1}$$

- 175 Where, P = Percentage finer than relevant size,
- 176 S_m = Mass of soil fines used in analysis (50 grams),
- 177 S_f = Percentage soil fines in total sample (<0.425 mm),
- 178 C =Corrected hydrometer reading

The percentage clay content present in each sample (fraction finer than 0.002 mm) was obtained from the relevant particle size distribution curve. The tests which gave the best dispersing agent, optimum concentration and volume were repeated to check the consistency of the results.

183 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

184 Stage I Results

In this stage, two tests were performed, one on each soil. These were the control tests that excluded dispersing agents. Figure 1 shows the soil suspension after 24 hours of both the black soil and the alluvial soil. In both the cases, it can be seen that the supernatant water is almost clear of soil grains including colloids.

189

[Insert Figure 1]

190 The particle size distribution curves for these two control tests are shown in Figure 2.

- 191 Stage II Results
- 192 The particle size distribution curves obtained for the two soil types, with the use of each of the
- 193 five dispersing agents used (detailed in Table 2), are shown in Figure 2.
- 194

[Insert Figure 2]

195 From Figure 2, it is evident that the control samples of both the alluvial and black soils yielded196 near zero clay contents.

The analyses using the five dispersing agent types indicated that, the clay content of the alluvial soil ranged from 7 % to 21 % and that of the black soil ranged from 0.1 % to 32 %. Further, it can be seen that in the case of both soil types, Calgon (combination of sodium hexametaphosphate plus sodium carbonate), which has been recommended by many methods and researchers internationally (BS 1377 Part 2 - 1990, IS 2720 Part IV - 1985, ISRIC - 2002, Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010) and Sridharan et al (1991)), yielded the maximum clay content. This was most effective in the case of the black soil.

The second best dispersing agent, after Calgon, was found to be sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate (NaPP). Calgon yielded 21 % and 32.1 % clay content while sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate yielded 20 % and 20.5 % clay content in alluvial and black soil, respectively.

In addition, sodium silicate and sodium oxalate, which is prescribed in TMH1 (1986) was the least effective dispersing agent in the case of the black soil yielding a clay content of 0%. The least effective dispersing agent in the case of the alluvial soil was sodium silicate and di-sodium di-hydrogen pyrophosphate which yielded a clay content of 6.2 %.

212 Stage III Results

213 Calgon

The effect of different concentrations and volumes of Calgon, for the alluvial and black soils is shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The clay contents determined by the different concentration and volume combinations are shown in Table 4. The best and worst results for each concentration are shown in green and red, respectively.

218[Insert Figure 3]219[Insert Figure 4]

It is evident that at the volume of 125 ml (the volume recommended by BS 1377 Part 2 - 1990 and IS 2720 Part IV - 1985), 5% Calgon yielded the maximum clay content of 25.5% for the alluvial soil (Figure 3 and Table 4), while for black soil, the 4.2%, 5% and 7% Calgon all yielded the maximum clay content of 32 % (Figure 4 and Table 4).

Table 4 Variation of clay content depending on the concentration and volume of Calgon

Volume	Alluvial Soil							Black Soil						
(ml)	4%	4.2%	5%	7%	8%	9%	4%	4.2%	5%	7%	8%	9%		
100	19.7	22.8	22.7	21.5	22.2	25	28.5	32.5	28.2	30.5	30	26.6		
125	20.1	21.4	25.5	23.2	21.1	24.3	29.1	32	32	32	29	26.4		
150	20.2	23	23.5	21.8	24.7	22.1	28.2	32.6	33.7	28.4	29.5	27.5		
175	19.9	21.5	25	21.7	23.3	21.7	28	33	34	28.5	26	25.3		
200	18	24	22.5	20.6	23.6	19.5	26.5	35	31.5	26	26.2	22.5		
225		29.5	19.7	26.4	23.4	18.2	25.5	34.6	32	27.9	23.5	22		
250		20.1	19.5	25	20.7	15.6		32.8	31.6	24.6	22.7	22.2		
275				24.4	23.2			32	30.5	21.8	22	20.5		
300				24.9	20.7			26	25	22	16.8	18.6		
325				20.5					24	19.3	13	16		
350				17								11.9		
375				15.5	1	1					1			

added to alluvial soil and black soil

226

The bold numerals indicate the optimum concentration and volume for each soil type.

Figures 3 and 4 and Table 4 indicate the following.

The 4.2 % Calgon proved to be the best dispersing agent yielding maximum clay
contents of 29.5 % and 35 % for the alluvial and black soils, respectively. This is in close
agreement with the concentration prescribed by the South African CSIR CA 17 method, but in
disagreement with Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010) and Sridharan et al (1991). According to
Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010), the optimum concentration of Calgon was found to be 53:7
while Sridharan et al (1991) found Calgon 33:7 to be the optimum concentration.

• The optimum volumes of Calgon for the alluvial and black soil were 225 ml and 200 ml, respectively. This is in disagreement with Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010) and Sridharan et al (1991). An optimum volume of 100 ml (53:7) was obtained by Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010) while Sridharan et al (1991) indicated that 100 - 125 ml of Calgon 33:7 yielded the optimum clay content. The results obtained by Sridharan et al (1991) were in close agreement with the concentrations and volumes prescribed by the British and Indian standards.

• Low (4 %) and high (9 %) concentrations of Calgon proved to be less effective in the case of both soils.

In the case of the alluvial soil, 5 % and 8 % Calgon concentrations were more effective
than 4.2% Calgon for volumes up to 175 ml.

In the case of the black soil, the 5 % Calgon was more effective that 4.2% Calgon for
volumes ranging from 125 to 175 ml.

• In the case of the black soil, for all the concentrations of dispersing agent, any further increase in volume of chemical after attaining optimum volume, generally resulted in a decrease in clay content. Similar results were obtained by Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010) and Sridharan et al (1991).

With regards to the concentrations of Calgon, no general trend was evident regarding the relative effect of the quantities (ratio of) sodium carbonate and sodium hexametaphosphate on the results obtained for both soils. However, in the black soils, with the exception of the 4% Calgon results, an increase in sodium hexametaphosphate resulted in a decrease in clay contents. This decrease is due to saturation absorption of the dispersants on to the clay particles after which aggregation of particles might occur (Bindu and Ramabhadran (2010)).

256

257

258

259 Sodium Pyrophosphate Decahydrate (NaPP)

The effect of different concentrations and volumes of NaPP, for the alluvial and black soils are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. The clay contents determined by the different concentration and volume combinations are shown in Table 5. The best and worst results for each concentration are shown in green and red, respectively.

- 264 [Insert Figure 5]
- 265 [Insert Figure 6]

266 Table 5 Variation of clay content depending on the concentration and volume of NaPP

Volume	Alluvia	ıl Soil				Black Soil				
(ml)	3%	3.6%	5%	6%	7%	3%	3.6%	5%	6%	7%
20	18.4	20	24	24	24.4	10	20.4	20.5	22.3	25.4
40	17.7	25.5	26	25.2	24.7	20	27	26.1	33.5	33.4
60	20.5	24	23.3	25	24.3	22.2	32	32.1	32.5	28
80	19.3	25.4	24.2	24	23.5	21.9	35.2	28.3	34.2	34
100	20.3	24.9	24.9	23.5	23.1	26	32.5	34	33.5	33.4
120	18.7	25.2	25.3	24.7	21.2	26	34	34	33.9	22.7
140		25.1	24.7	21.2		27	32.1	34.8	32	26
160		21.9	24.7			25	33	32.3	34	22.5
180		21.2	25			25	27.8	31.8	26.9	21.3
200		21.1	23.2						20.8	20
220										21
240										17.9

267 added to alluvial soil and black soil

268

- The bold numerals indicate the optimum concentration and volume for each soil type.
- 269 From Figures 5 and 6 and Table 5, the following is evident.

• In the case of the alluvial soil, 40 ml of NaPP 5% gave the maximum clay content of 26% while 40 ml of NaPP 3.6% yielded a clay content of 25.5% (which is a little less than the

former). Hence, NaPP 3.6% may be considered as the optimum concentration for the alluvialsoil.

• When the test was conducted on the black clay, the clay fraction obtained was at a maximum (35.2 %) when using 80 ml with a 3.6% concentration. Hence, 80 ml of NaPP 3.6% is considered as the optimum concentration and volume for the black soil.

• In general, the 3.6 % NaPP appears to be the most effective concentration in the case of both soils.

Low (3 %) and high (7 %) concentrations of NaPP generally proved to be less effective
in the case of both soils.

• NaPP concentrations of 5 % and 6 % yielded similar results in the case of both soils.

• The volume of dispersing agent generally appeared to have an insignificant effect in the volume range of 40 ml to 120 ml in the alluvial soil and for the volumes in excess of 40 ml in the case of the black soil.

On the basis of the above, the optimum NaPP volume for the alluvial and black soil appears to be 40 ml and 80 ml, respectively.

287 Summary of Results

Table 6 shows the optimum concentrations and volumes of Calgon and NaPP for the alluvialand black soil and the clay contents yielded by these dispersing agents.

290

- 291
- 292
- 293
- 294
- 295
- 296

297 Table 6 Summary of results

Property	Alluvial Soil		Black Soil	
	Calgon	NaPP	Calgon	NaPP
Optimum Concentration (%)	4.2	3.6	4.2	3.6
Optimum Volume (ml)	225	40	200	80
Clay Content (%)	29.5	25.5	35	35.2
$\Delta\%$ in Clay Content (Relative to Table 1)	38	19	9.4	10
Activity	0.54	0.63	0.97	0.97
$\Delta\%$ in Activity (Relative to Table 1)	-28	-16	-9.4	-9.4

With reference to Table 6, it is evident that the Calgon and NaPP yielded the highest clay contents for the alluvial and black soils, respectively.

Furthermore, Figures 3 to 6 and Tables 4 and 5 indicate that increase in volume beyond the optimum volume resulted in a decrease in the percentage clay content in most cases. However, a contradictory trend was noticed where, with an increase in volume there was an increase in percentage of clay content. The reason for this is that with an increase in the volume of dispersing agent in the companion "blank" solutions, the hydrometer readings increased but the increase was not constant.

306 It was also found that, in the case of both soils and both dispersing agents, there was no 307 correlation between the mass of dispersing agent granules in solution and the clay contents 308 yielded.

Furthermore, when comparing the maximum clay contents of the two soils determined as part of this investigation (Table 6) with those in Table 1, the maximum clay content for the alluvial soil increased by 38 % (from 21.4 % to 29.5 %). The maximum clay content for the black soil increased by 10 % (from 32 % to 35.2 %). These increases in clay content resulted in decreases in the Activity of the alluvial and black soils of 28 % (from 0.75 to 0.54) and 10 % (from 1.07 to 0.97), respectively.

315

316 CONCLUSIONS

317 The following conclusions were drawn from the study conducted:

Tests with different dispersing agents clearly indicated that the percentage of clay sized material can vary significantly depending upon the type of dispersing agent. In this investigation, which included ten dispersing agent types, Calgon and Sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate (NaPP) were the most effective dispersing agent types.

In the case of both soils, the optimum concentrations of Calgon and NaPP were found to be 4.2

323 % and 3.6 %, respectively. Furthermore, 225 ml of Calgon yielded the highest clay content in

- the case of the alluvial soil whereas 80 ml of NaPP yielded the highest clay content in the caseof the black soil.
- Relatively high and low concentrations of Calgon and NaPP yielded low clay contents in the case of both soil types.
- No correlation exists between the mass of the dispersing agent granules in solution and the claycontents yielded.

The results of this investigation confirm the findings of Means and Parcher (1963) that differentdispersing agent types are more effective with certain clay types.

Finally, the effect of the dispersing agent on the hydrometer readings, particularly in the case of relatively high volumes, was considerable and hence should be accounted for by accordingly correcting (reducing) the hydrometer readings. The current South African method (SANS 3001) which utilizes Sodium hexametaphosphate as a dispersing agent, makes provision for such a correction to the hydrometer readings.

337 **REFERENCES**

Arora, K R 2003. *Soil mechanics and foundation engineering*. New York: Standard
 Publishers Distributers.

ASTM 1965. *Grain size analysis of soils*. ASTM Designation D422-63, ASTM,
 Philadelphia.

British Standard, BS, 1990. *Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes*. BS
 Designation 1377 Part 2, BSI, London.

Bindu, J and Ramabhadran, A 2010. Effect of concentration of dispersing agent on the
 grain size distribution of fine grained soil. *Proceedings*, Indian Geotechnical Conference,
 Mumbai, pp 275-278.

Indian Standard IS 1985. *Methods of tests for soils*. IS Designation 2720 Part IV, BIS,
 New Delhi.

International Soil Reference and Information Centre, ISRIC 2002. *Procedures for soil analysis*. Technical paper 9, The Netherlands.

Jacobsz, S W and Day, P 2008. Are we getting what we pay for from geotechnical
 laboratories? *Journal of South African Institution of Civil Engineering*, 16(4):8-11.

• Lambe, T W 1951. Soil testing for engineers, New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Means, R E and Parcher, J N 1963. *Physical properties of soils*. Ohio: Charles E. Merrill
 Book Co.

SANS 2014. *Civil engineering test methods*. SABS Standard Division Designation
 3001 Part GR3, SABS, Pretoria.

Schuurman, J J and Goedewaagen, M A J 1971. *Methods for the examination of root systems and roots*, Wageningen, The Netherlands: Pudoc.

Sridharan, A., Jose, B T., Abraham, B M 1991. Determination of clay size fraction of
 marine clays. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 14(1):103-107.

TMH1:1986. *Standard methods of testing road construction materials*. Method A6.
 Pretoria: National Transport Commission.

Arshdeep Kaur and George C Fanourakis

- Yoo, K H and Boyd, C E 1994. *Hydrology and water supply for pond aquaculture*,
- 365 USA: Springer.

367 **Figures**



368

369 Figure 1. a) Black soil without dispersing agent; b) Alluvial soil without dispersing

370

agent.





Figure 2. Effect of different dispersing agents in grain-size analysis.





determining the clay content of Alluvial Soil.





determining the clay content of Black Soil.







379

determining the clay content of Alluvial Soil.



Figure 6. Effect of volume and concentration of NaPP as a dispersing agent in

determining the clay content of Black Soil.

385

384