

Original citation:

Finch-Savage, William E. and Footitt, Steven (2017) Seed dormancy cycling and the regulation of dormancy mechanisms to time germination in variable field environments. Journal of Experimental Botany, 68 (4). pp. 843-856.

Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/84455

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Experimental Botany following peer review. The version of Finch-Savage, William E. and Footitt, Steven (2017) Seed dormancy cycling and the regulation of dormancy mechanisms to time germination in variable field environments. Journal of Experimental Botany, 68 (4). pp. 843-856. is available online at https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw477 A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

- 1 Seed dormancy cycling and the regulation of dormancy mechanisms to time
- 2 germination in variable field environments
- 3
- 4 William E Finch-Savage and Steven Footitt
- 5
- 6 School of Life Sciences, Wellesbourne Campus, University of Warwick, Warwickshire,
- 7 CV35 9EF, UK
- 8
- 9 bill.finch-savage@warwick.ac.uk
- 10 <u>s.footitt@outlook.com</u>
- 11
- 12 Corresponding Author: W.E. Finch-Savage
- 13 Tel no. 024 7657 4968
- 14 Fax. No. 024 7657 4500
- 15
- 16 Date of submission: 11 August 2016
- 17 Number of tables: 0
- 18 Number of Figures: 6
- 19 Colour figures: 6
- 20 Total word count of text: 6541
- 21 Total words including references and figure legends: 11387
- 22 Supplementary Date: Supplementary Figure S1
- 23 Running Title: Seed dormancy cycling in field soils
- 24

25 Highlight:

- 26 Physiological, molecular and ecological aspects of seed dormancy in Arabidopsis are
- 27 reviewed and interpreted in the context of dormancy cycling in the variable
- 28 environment of the soil seed bank.

30 Abstract:

29

31 Many molecular mechanisms that regulate dormancy have been identified individually 32 in controlled laboratory studies. However, little is known about how the seed employs 33 this complex suite of mechanisms during dormancy cycling in the variable environment 34 of the soil seed bank. Nevertheless, this behavior is essential to ensure germination 35 takes place in a favourable habitat and climate space, and in the correct season for the 36 resulting plant to complete its life cycle. During their time in the soil seed bank seeds 37 continually adjust their dormancy status by sensing a range of environmental signals. 38 Those related to slow seasonal change (e.g. temperature) are used for temporal sensing 39 to determine the time of year and depth of dormancy. This alters their sensitivity to 40 signals related to their spatial environment (e.g. light, nitrate, water potential) that 41 indicate conditions are suitable for germination, and so trigger the termination of 42 dormancy. We review work on the physiological, molecular and ecological aspects of 43 seed dormancy in Arabidopsis and interpret it in the context of dormancy cycling in the 44 soil seed bank. This approach has provided new insight into the coordination of mechanisms and signaling networks and the multidimensional sensing that regulates 45 46 dormancy cycling in a variable environment. 47

48 **Key Words:** Seed dormancy, dormancy cycling, germination, annual life cycle, DOG1, 49 PHYA, nitrate signaling, Arabidopsis

50

51 Introduction:

52 Many genes and molecular mechanisms that can regulate seed dormancy and 53 germination have been identified individually in controlled laboratory studies (Finch-54 Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006; Holdsworth et al., 2008; North et al., 2010; 55 Graeber et al., 2012; Dekkers and Bentsink, 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2015). For good 56 experimental reasons these studies minimize variation and usually consider only one 57 gene and a single environmental variable, such as light, temperature or nitrate. 58 However, little is known about how the seed employs this complex suite of 59 mechanisms to regulate dormancy in the variable field environment. Nevertheless, this 60 behavior is essential to ensure germination takes place in a favourable habitat and 61 climate space, and in the correct season for the resulting plant to complete its life 62 cycle. Dormancy cycling is therefore also central to the competitiveness of weeds in 63 crop production practice; and understanding it is crucial to the future development of 64 more environmentally benign cultural weed management practices. 65

2

66 When shed from the mother plant in the field environment, seeds that do not germinate 67 immediately enter the soil seed bank where they may remain in an imbibed dormant 68 state for considerable periods (Baskin and Baskin, 1998; Fenner and Thompson, 2004; 69 Long et al., 2014). During their time in the soil seed bank seeds repair their DNA to 70 maintain genetic fidelity (Waterworth et al., 2016), they also continually adjust their 71 dormancy status by sensing and integrating a range of environmental signals (Fig. 1). 72 These signals inform the seed whether it is in an appropriate habitat, climate space 73 and time of the year suitable for the resulting plant to survive, be competitive and 74 reproduce. Dormancy cycling coupled to seed longevity represents a bet-hedging 75 strategy through persistence in the soil seed bank (Evans and Dennehy, 2005; Walck 76 et al., 2011; Footitt et al., 2014). Subtle differences in this behaviour result in local 77 adaptation and ecotypic differences.

78

79 In this review we develop a molecular ecophysiological view of the involvement of seed 80 dormancy and its role in the natural and agricultural environment. We then consider its 81 regulation by signals from these environments through current knowledge of molecular 82 mechanisms identified for seeds in the laboratory. We focus on Arabidopsis thaliana 83 since most of these molecular mechanisms have been identified in this model species 84 and its proven relevance in ecological studies. Furthermore, although not a competitive 85 weed, it is a relevant model for the seed dormancy cycling behavior of many dicot 86 weed species.

87

88 Dormancy, dormancy cycling and the concept of a dormancy continuum:

89 Mature dry seeds are termed quiescent, they generally have a low moisture content (5-90 15%) and almost stationary metabolic activity; in this state seeds can survive for 91 decades (Long et al., 2014). It is only when seeds are hydrated and placed under 92 conditions suitable for germination that dormancy can be assessed. Dormancy is then 93 recognized as an innate property (physical or physiological) of the seed that blocks the 94 capacity to germinate over a specified time period under any combination of 95 environmental conditions (adequate water, temperature, oxygen and light) that will 96 support the germination process (Baskin and Baskin, 2004). A diverse range of 97 "blocks" or dormancy mechanisms has evolved, in line with the diversity of climates 98 and habitats that plant species have been able to colonise (Willis et al., 2014). These 99 mechanisms can be used to define five classes of seed dormancy (Baskin and Baskin, 100 2004). Of these classes "physiological" dormancy (PD) is the most abundant form 101 occurring across all major angiosperm clades and the class present in most seed 102 model species including Arabidopsis (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006). 103

104 In order to interpret seed responses to the environment it is necessary to have a 105 common general understanding of dormancy beyond its basic definition. It is agreed by 106 many that dormancy exists as a continuum with a number of layers (blocks to 107 germination completion) that are successively removed by appropriate environmental 108 signals; the removal of the final layers or layer (often in response to light) is 109 synonymous with the stimulation/induction of germination completion (radicle 110 emergence through the layers surrounding the embryo) (Finch-Savage and Leubner-111 Metzger, 2006). There is a contrary view that dormancy relief and stimulation of 112 germination are separate processes so that non-dormant seeds can remain in the soil 113 awaiting stimulation of germination by a change in the environment (Thompson and 114 Ooi, 2010). Initially this distinction may seem trivial, but it is central to an agreed 115 understanding of dormancy and dormancy cycling in the soil as a negatively regulated 116 and dynamic process of changes in the seed; rather than a passive response to a 117 change in the environment. A comprehensive argument has been provided for the 118 former approach (dormancy continuum) based on advances in both the physiological 119 and molecular understanding of dormancy and germination (Finch-Savage and Footitt, 120 2012); this view is adopted in the rest of this review.

121

122 Environmental signals related to slow seasonal change, principally temperature 123 (Probert, 2000), are used for temporal sensing to determine the time of year and depth 124 of dormancy (Fig. 2). Response to temperature differs between species resulting in 125 characteristic germination timings (Battla and Benech-Arnold, 2015). This response 126 alters the depth of dormancy and therefore the seeds sensitivity to signals related to 127 their spatial environment, henceforth termed spatial signals (Fig. 1, e.g. light, nitrate, 128 and water potential). These signals indicate when conditions are suitable for 129 germination, and so trigger the termination of dormancy if these conditions are present 130 at that time (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006). . The process usually needs 131 to be carried out in a set order for it to work, i.e., spatial signals only have an effect if 132 temporal sensing has enhanced sensitivity to them. In an obvious example deeply 133 dormant seeds are not responsive to light, but as deep dormancy is relieved sensitivity 134 and response to different signals (e.g. nitrate and light) occur progressively (Finch-135 Savage et al., 2007). Thus, a dormancy continuum has been proposed that is driven in 136 both directions by environmental signals, and when all layers are removed germination 137 occurs. In the annual dormancy cycle, if the correct spatial signal is not sensed during 138 the spatial sensing phase the seed becomes increasingly dormant. 139

Although spatial signals can have a temporal pattern, they appear to have little impactoutside the spatial sensing phase. Once in the soil seed bank the physical position of

the seeds space is not likely to change, except by disturbance, but the nature of that space can alter either slowly or rapidly. For example, if competing plants die or are otherwise removed light and nitrate signals to the seed are altered; or if it rains water potential and nitrate are altered. Although, these are temporal changes to spatial signals the effect is not integrated over time, but the suitability for germination completion is altered and within the spatial sensing phase the seed response to this is rapid.

149

150 Dormancy cycling: Adaptation to climate as a driver of winter and summer151 annual life histories:

152 Within Arabidopsis, both winter annual (WA; e.g. Cvi)) and summer annual (SA; e.g. 153 Bur) behavior has been identified based on the requirement for vernalisation induced 154 flowering (Effmertova, 1967; Des Marais et al., 2012). The annual weather patterns in 155 the regions of origin of Cvi and Bur indicate this behaviour is driven by adaptation to 156 climate (Footitt et al., 2013) in agreement with the observations of Cetl et al., (1965) 157 (Supplementary Fig. S1). When sown and compared in a common temperate 158 environment, as illustrated in Fig. 2, they retain their winter or summer annual 159 behaviour; and seedling emergence patterns reflect the adaptive positioning of the 160 spatial sensing phase in response to soil temperature. Their contrasting behaviours 161 make them ideal for studying the differential adaptation of dormancy cycling and 162 germination mechanisms and we return to this at the end of the review.

163

Soil temperature is the dominant environmental factor controlling depth of dormancy
 during cycling in imbibed seeds (Probert, 2000; Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger,

166 2006). Seasonal changes in soil temperature control the rate of increase and decrease

167 in seed dormancy throughout the year. Many other signals also provide the seed with

168 spatial information (Fig. 1). Furthermore, seasonal cycles in soil microbial activity (also

- 169 temperature driven) drive the soil nitrogen (nitrous oxide) and CO₂ cycles and the
- 170 release of organic compounds. These can also have a positive impact on seed
- 171 germination potential as dormancy declines through changing sensitivity to soil nitrate

172 and CO₂. (see nitrate section below; Yoshioka *et al.*, 1998).

173

174 Contribution of the mother plant to subsequent dormancy cycling:

175 Depth of dormancy at shedding is genetically determined, but environmental conditions

- 176 experienced by the mother plant significantly influence the characteristics and
- 177 performance of the seeds produced (Fenner, 1991; Baskin and Baskin, 1998; Fenner
- and Thompson, 2005). As in the soil, temperature is the major factor during seed
- 179 maturation that affects the depth of seed dormancy (Fenner, 1991; Chiang *et al.*, 2011;

180 Kendall at al., 2011; Huang et al., 2014; Springthorpe and Penfield, 2015), for example 181 via the quantitative expression of DOG1 (DELAY OF GERMINATION 1) in Arabidopsis 182 (Chiang et al., 2011; Kendall et al., 2011; Nakabayashi et al., 2012). DOG1 protein 183 levels increase during seed development, but appear to remain constant even in after 184 ripened (AR) seeds that subsequently germinate. However, modification of DOG1 in 185 AR seeds indicated protein inactivation was involved in reduced dormancy levels 186 (Nakabayashi et al., 2012); we return to this in describing regulation of dormancy 187 following shedding.

188

189 Lower temperatures to the mother plant tend to enhance depth of dormancy (Fenner, 190 1991; Fenner and Thompson, 2005; Huang et al, 2014; Springthorpe and Penfield, 191 2015). Higher and lower dormancy at maturity appear to occur either side of a critical 192 temperature in the region of 15 °C experienced during seed development 193 (Springthrope and Penfield, 2015). Other environmental factors experienced by the 194 mother plant during seed maturation such as water stress (e.g. Peters, 1982) and 195 nutrient supply, in particular nitrate (Alboresl et al., 2005; Matakiadis et al., 2009; 196 Huang et al., 2014) also influence the depth of dormancy. At one extreme, maternal 197 effects can result in minimal dormancy and pre-harvest sprouting; principally a problem 198 in grain crops and reviewed elsewhere (Rodriguez et al., 2015). These behaviours 199 impact on the proportion of seeds that germinate immediately or enter the soil seed 200 bank each year.

201

202 **Dormancy in the freshly shed seed:**

203 Despite the obvious importance of dormancy cycling in the whole life cycle of plants 204 very little is known about its regulation at the molecular level. In contrast, a great deal 205 is known about mechanisms that influence dormancy loss in short-term laboratory 206 experiments, many of which involve the screening of mutants for altered dormancy and 207 germination (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006; Baskin and Baskin, 1998; 208 Nambara et al., 2010; Bassel et al., 2011; Graeber et al., 2012; Dekkers et al., 2013). 209 This laboratory-based work has largely used seeds from accessions of the model 210 species Arabidopsis that naturally have limited dormancy. In addition, the seeds used 211 for study have been produced under optimal conditions, with temperatures sufficiently 212 high to minimize dormancy (Kendall et al., 2011). Many of the genes identified have 213 subsequently been found to be involved in the abscisic acid (ABA) and gibberellin (GA) 214 metabolism and signalling pathways (Fig. 3: Kucera et al., 2005; Graeber et al., 2012). 215 This has confirmed the central involvement of the ABA/GA balance hypothesis in the 216 seeds ability to interpret the environment and thereby regulate dormancy and

217 germination (Fig. 4; Kucera *et al.*, 2005; Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006).

218 This balance appears to operate as a central integration point for upstream incoming 219 environmental signals (Fig. 5; Bassel, 2016). Downstream signaling is becoming well 220 documented, but the critical control points remain unclear (Finch-Savage and Bassel, 221 2015). This signaling ultimately drives changes in turgor generation, altered 222 mechanical properties of the cell wall and sensitivity to external water potential 223 resulting in growth and the completion of germination. The key questions now are 224 related to what exists upstream to influence and regulate this ABA/GA balance in 225 response to environmental signals. We consider this below, but first discuss this central 226 integrating hormone balance in the context of dormancy cycling in the field. 227 228 Temporal separation of mechanisms during dormancy cycling in the soil seed 229 bank: 230 As discussed above most often, genes/mechanisms have been considered in isolation, 231 in constant and therefore simple environments. From these experiments it is not 232 obvious why so many different mechanisms are required and there is an apparent 233 duplication of function and redundancy. However, in the field seeds have to operate in 234 the complex and variable conditions of the soil seed bank that may require a 235 complexity of subtle dormancy regulation for its interpretation. Footitt et al. (2011) 236 began a series of field experiments to investigate how molecular mechanisms identified 237 as controlling dormancy in the laboratory could be seasonally coordinated in seeds

- buried in field soil. They used the deeply dormant ecotype Cvi and initially approached
 this through gene expression studies targeted at the dynamic ABA/GA balance and key
 dormancy regulating genes identified in the laboratory. The relative importance of
 these genes for dormancy cycling had previously been identified using full genome
 arrays of laboratory derived samples of Cvi that built up the components of dormancy
- 243 cycling (Cadman *et al.*, 2006; Finch-Savage *et al.*, 2007).
- 244

245 They found that depth of dormancy and gene expression patterns were correlated with 246 seasonal changes in soil temperature. Dormancy and the expression of dormancy 247 related genes were highly sensitive to the soil environment and molecular and 248 physiological changes could be equated to changes in sensitivity to soil temperature 249 history, nitrate, light and gibberellins. This was consistent with dormancy as a 250 continuum with layers of dormancy being progressively removed by environmental 251 signals until only light is required, in the absence of which seeds remain dormant and 252 enter into another dormancy cycle as the seasons change (Footitt et al., 2011, 2013, 253 2014; Finch-Savage & Footitt, 2012). The temporal patterns of gene expression were 254 consistent with ABA signaling linked to deep dormancy in winter being repressed in

spring concurrent with enhanced DELLA repression of GA signaling and germination

as depth of dormancy decreased to a shallow dormancy phase (Fig. 4).

257

258 As soil temperature declined in winter dormancy increased as expression of ABA 259 synthesis (NCED6) and GA catabolism (GA2ox2) genes increased (Fig. 4). This was 260 linked to an increase in endogenous ABA that plateaus, but dormancy and DOG1 and 261 *MFT* expression continued to increase. The expression of SNF1-related protein 262 kinases, SnrK 2.1 and 2.4, also increased consistent with enhanced ABA signaling and 263 sensitivity being modulated by seasonal soil temperature. Temperature then increased 264 in spring and summer and dormancy declined. Concurrent with this was a decrease in 265 endogenous ABA along with positive ABA signaling as expression of ABI2, ABI4, and 266 ABA catabolism (CYP707A2) and GA synthesis (GA3ox1) genes increased. However, 267 during the low dormancy phase in the summer, expression of transcripts for the 268 germination repressors RGA and RGL2 increased.

269

270 Therefore, temporal separation of mechanisms exists with deep dormancy in winter 271 promoted by ABA signaling and this contrasted with shallow dormancy in spring and 272 summer controlled by repression of GA signaling. Thus seeds remain dormant 273 throughout, but crucially the deep, ABA regulated dormancy is unresponsive to spatial 274 signals such as light (and GA), whereas the shallow dormancy due to DELLA 275 repression is rapidly removed by exposure to light. That is to say the switch to shallow 276 dormancy enables a response to spatial signals such as light. Before discussing this 277 response further, we consider the deep dormancy phase in more detail.

278

279 **Deep dormancy and DOG1:**

ABA has been linked to depth of dormancy in Cvi (Al-Rachedi *et al.*, 2004). However, during dormancy cycling in the soil, following an initial rise in the amount of ABA with dormancy, it reached a plateau while depth of dormancy continued to increase (Fig. 4), showing that the final depth of dormancy is not set during seed maturation (Footitt *et al.*, 2011). This indicated that ABA signaling and sensitivity are more likely regulators of dormancy than the absolute amount of ABA.

286

287 In the laboratory, functional analysis shows that both DOG1 and ABA are essential for

- 288 establishing primary dormancy. However, DOG1 can act independently of ABA to
- 289 delay germination of less dormant seeds (Graeber et al., 2014). Although ABA
- 290 promotes *DOG1* expression (Graeber *et al.*, 2010), reduced dormancy was seen both
- in an ABA deficient background (*aba1*) in the presence of the strong Cvi *DOG1* allele
- and in a high ABA content background in the absence of DOG1 (dog1-2 cyp707a2-1)

(Bentsink *et al.*, 2006; Nakabayashi *et al.*, 2012); indicating both are required for
induction of primary dormancy. In contrast, thermo-inhibition of germination was DOG1
dependent and not reliant on an increased amount of ABA indicating they operate in
parallel interacting pathways (Huo *et al.*, 2016).

297

298 In the field, Footitt et al. (2011) show ABA is not quantitatively related to depth of 299 dormancy during cycling. Therefore, once seeds enter deep dormancy, DOG1 300 expression may be the dominant factor by influencing ABA sensitivity so that dormancy 301 can be enhanced without an increase in ABA. Postma and Agren (2016) show that the 302 major QTL for seedling establishment was collocated with the QTL DOG1 and that 303 selection during this phase had a significant role in the fitness advantage of local 304 genotypes. This indicates the importance of seed dormancy and the DOG1 QTL in 305 explaining variation in fitness across the whole life cycle. In other field studies, there 306 was also colocation of QTL at DOG1 in both germination and seedling emergence 307 (Huang et al., 2010; Postma and Agren, 2016). Furthermore, annual seedling 308 emergence pattern traits in a Cvi x Bur RIL mapping population also show the principle 309 QTL for emergence timing also collocates with DOG1 (Footitt, Walley, Lynn, Hambidge 310 and Finch-Savage unpublished). Collocation of these QTLs is presumably related to 311 the influence of DOG1 on miRNA 156, which regulates phase transitions (see below). 312 Thus DOG1 is of central importance to dormancy cycling in the field in addition to its 313 importance in determining the extent of primary seed dormancy (Bentsink et al., 2006; 314 Chiang et al., 2011).

315

Overall, during the annual dormancy cycle expression of *DOG1* is positively correlated
with expression of genes that are positive regulators of dormancy and negatively
correlated with negative regulators (Footitt *et al.*, 2011; 2013, 2014, 2015). In the
spatial sensing phase of the dormancy cycle germination only occurs in the light if *DOG1* expression is low as a result of chromatin remodeling (see below) and based on
the observations of Nakabayashi *et al.* (2012, 2015) the level of active DOG1 protein is
reduced.

323

324 Is DOG1 part of a thermal sensing mechanism?

The strong relationship between *DOG1* expression, temperature and dormancy described above may constitute part of a thermal sensing mechanism for the setting of dormancy levels in response to the prevailing environment during seed maturation and during dormancy cycling in the soil seed bank. This response may be regulated at the chromatin level. When Arabidopsis seeds lose dormancy H3K4me3 marks on *DOG1* chromatin decrease while H3K27me3 marks increase, and *DOG1* expression 331 decreases (Muller et al., 2012). Footitt et al. (2015) investigated the deposition of these 332 specific histone modifications (activating H3K4me3; repressing H3K27me3) to DOG1 333 and its expression during a complete laboratory induced dormancy cycle. They had 334 previously suggested that DOG1 accumulation may represent accumulated thermal 335 time (temporal sensing) to regulate the depth and persistence of dormancy (Footitt et 336 al., 2014). This more recent work by Footitt et al. (2015) led to the additional proposal 337 that the changing proportions of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 marks act as part of a 338 thermal sensing mechanism in the regulation of *DOG1* transcription in line with 339 seasonally changing soil temperature to provide another layer of regulation.

340

341 The mechanism by which DOG1 operates is complex and is only partially understood 342 (Nakabayashi et al., 2012, 2015; Cyrek et al., 2016) so the question remains as to how 343 DOG1 alters dormancy and the potential to germinate. Recently it was shown DOG1 344 regulates seed dormancy by influencing levels of miRNAs miR156 and miR172 in both 345 Lettuce and Arabidopsis (Huo et al., 2016). These miRNAs govern the progression 346 through the transition from dormancy to germination and indicate a potential 347 mechanism for DOG1 action. In Arabidopsis higher miR156 levels resulted in 348 enhanced seed dormancy (Huo et al., 2016). It is interesting to note that sequencing of 349 a small RNA library of field seed samples collected in mid-winter (high dormancy) and 350 mid-summer (low dormancy, requiring only light) identified highly abundant levels of 351 miR156 at both stages (Footitt, Smith and Finch-Savage unpublished). This indicates 352 that in the soil seed bank DOG1 maintains high levels of miR156 even during the 353 spatial sensing phase until the final layer of dormancy is removed. Overall the data 354 suggest accumulation of DOG1 can transduce the environmental effect during 355 maturation and that subsequent changes in its regulation at the chromatin level are 356 closely linked to environmental signals in the soil seed bank. This is consistent with the 357 hypothesis that DOG1 largely affects the sensitivity of the process to environmental 358 signals rather than directly determining the resulting phenotype (Murphy et al., 2015) 359 360 Are there other mechanisms that inform about the passage of time (thermal time)

360 Are there other mechanisms that inform about the passage of time (thermal time)361 and result in a seasonal response?

362 Oxygen availability in the soil can have a temporal pattern and impacts dormancy

363 status with hypoxia inducing secondary dormancy (Benvenuti and Macchia, 1995).

- 364 Oxygen is also important in the guise of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in further
- 365 modulating dormancy and relaying environmental signals (Bailly *et al.*, 2008; Kranner
- 366 *et al.*, 2010). For example, seed dry after-ripening is associated with the accumulation
- 367 of ROS resulting in targeted mRNA oxidation and protein carbonylation of transcripts
- 368 and proteins associated with cell signaling (mRNA Bazin *et al.*, 2011) and protein

369 storage (Oracz et al., 2011). These modifications have been linked to dormancy 370 changes during after-ripening (El-Maarouf-Bouteau et al., 2013) and could underpin a 371 mechanism indicating the passage of time. Recently the possibility of a further role for 372 ROS to inform the seeds seasonal response through ultra-weak photon emission 373 (UPE) has been suggested by Footitt et al., (2016). They hypothesize that beneath the 374 soil surface the attenuation of light (virtual darkness: low background noise) enables 375 seeds to exploit UPE for transducing key environmental variables in the soil 376 (temperature, humidity and oxygen) to inform them of seasonal and local temperature 377 patterns.

378

379 Underlying the suggested potential mechanisms indicating the passage of time/thermal 380 time it is likely there is a background reference annual rhythm using components of the 381 circadian clock. The circadian clock plays a role in the setting of primary seed 382 dormancy and dormancy relief as well as in tree bud dormancy (Penfield and Hall, 383 2009; Foley et al., 2010; Cooke et al., 2012). On an annual basis the existence of a 384 circannual rhythm in dormancy has been observed in both dry and hydrated seeds at 385 constant temperature (Gutterman and Gendler, 2005; Duarte and Garcia, 2015) 386 consistent with that seen elsewhere (Matrai et al., 2005).

387

388 Shallow dormancy and sensitivity to spatial signals (soil water potential, light389 and nitrate):

390 In contrast to those in deep dormancy, seeds in shallow dormancy, resulting largely 391 from germination repression by DELLAs, can respond rapidly to spatial signals that 392 indicate favorable germination conditions (spatial sensing). For example, exposure to 393 light dramatically enhances GA3ox expression to remove DELLA repression (Cadman 394 et al., 2006). Nitrate sensitivity is also related to the enhancement of germination in the 395 light in shallow dormancy (Hilhorst and Karssen, 1988), and so could complement light 396 sensitivity during the spatial sensing phase (Pons, 1989). Although there are a wide 397 range of other spatial signals (Fig. 1) for brevity we will consider only light, nitrate and 398 the presence of adequate soil moisture. In Fig. 5 we link the change to shallow 399 dormancy and the response to these spatial signals with the central integrating function 400 of the ABA/GA balance. In the following text we add detail to this schematic. 401

Soil moisture content: The impact of moisture availability on germination has been
extensively studied in the laboratory and can be described using hydro- and
hydrothermal time analysis (Fig. 5; reviewed by Bradford, 1995); and extended to the
field environment (Finch-Savage, 2004; Finch-Savage and Bassel, 2016). Conditions in
soil can be very different from those in the petri dish and this has been described

407 elsewhere (Whalley and Finch-savage, 2006). Seeds are not sensitive to the water 408 content of soil per se, but the availability of water measured as water potential (MPa); 409 the sum of matric potential (adhesion of water to soil structure) and osmotic potential 410 (influence of solutes). It is this potential that is referred to in the hydrothermal time 411 model for seed germination. In the model, rate of progress towards germination is 412 proportional to the extent ambient water potential exceeds the threshold (base) water 413 potential (Ψ_b) below which progress ceases (Fig. 5). Ψ_b is a key unifying parameter 414 relating germination performance to moisture stress that is likely determined by the 415 physical restraint to germination of surrounding tissues and cell wall extensibility 416 (Welbaum *et al.*, 1998). In the context of dormancy cycling it is notable that Ψ_b changes 417 as primary dormancy is relieved (Bradford, 2002; Fig. 5). Furthermore, $\Psi_{\rm b}$ increases 418 and decreases as seed dormancy changes (primary and secondary dormancy) during 419 the annual dormancy cycle (Footitt et al., 2013) and therefore so does sensitivity to this 420 spatially and temporally variable parameter.

421

422 Light and Nitrate: Footitt *et al.* (2013) argue that during dormancy cycling the
423 response (sensitivity) to nitrate alters via the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of
424 NITRATE TRANSPORTER 1 (NRT1.1) now known to involve both CBL-

425 INTERACTING PROTEIN KINASE 23 (CIPK23) and the PP2C phosphatase ABI2; and 426 the response (sensitivity) to light alters via PHYTOCHROME A (PHYA). Fig. 4 shows 427 coordinated annual expression patterns in Cvi for DOG1, PHYA and CIPK23 with low 428 expression at the point where germination/seedling emergence occurs. Thus all three 429 act in a temporal pattern and appear to promote dormancy. However, preliminary 430 mutant analyses show that CIPK23 and PHYA act as negative regulators of secondary 431 dormancy during simulated dormancy cycling (Footitt, Ölçer-Footitt, Hambidge and 432 Finch-Savage unpublished). Further work will be required to fully resolve observations 433 made on seeds exhumed from field soil and results obtained in the laboratory, but we 434 consider current understanding of these signals and the responses to them below.

435

436 *Light:* Light is a key spatial signal and phytochromes play a dominant role in its 437 perception in seeds. In laboratory experiments, as seeds become increasingly light 438 sensitive, regulation of germination by phytochromes A and B (PHYB) is under 439 hierarchical and temporal regulation. For example, under a low R/FR ratio (Red/Far red 440 e.g. under a canopy of competing plants) PHYB in the endosperm promotes ABA 441 biosynthesis (Lee et al., 2012), and as seeds do not germinate this likely maintains 442 dormancy (positive regulation). As the signal declines PHYA in the embryo removes 443 the final layer of dormancy enabling germination (Lee et al., 2012). Revealing PHYA as 444 a negative regulator of dormancy and the final sensor in the removal of dormancy by

445 light. PHYA is the most abundant phytochrome in seeds with high protein levels 446 accumulating in the dark (Sharrock and Clack, 2002) that photo-irreversibly result in 447 germination in monochromatic light from 300 – 770 nm (Shinomura et al., 1996). 448 However, in tomato PHYA can both positively and negatively regulate germination 449 depending on the fluence rate of red light; in low fluence rate PHYA can relieve 450 dormancy, whereas at high fluence rate PHYA maintains dormancy (Appenroth et al., 451 2006). Array data from laboratory experiments shows that during Arabidopsis 452 dormancy cycling of the two phytochromes A and B only PHYA has a strong dormancy 453 associated expression pattern (Cadman et al., 2006; Finch-Savage et al., 2007). 454 455 In the soil seed bank seeds are effectively in perpetual darkness at depths of 5 mm or 456 more depending upon soil type and vegetation cover (Tester and Morris, 1987). During 457 the spatial sensing phase the final layer of dormancy can be removed by millisecond 458 flashes of low fluence sunlight as the soil is disturbed (the very low fluence response -459 VLFR). Seeds therefore are extremely light sensitive. The mechanism for this is PHYA 460 mediated and saturated by < 1% of active phytochrome (Batlla and Benech-Arnold, 461 2014). Dark incubation of seeds sensitized them to dormancy breaking by PHYA 462 mediated low fluence red light in the range 1-100 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at wavelengths from 300 463 - 560nm (Shinomura et al., 1996). With seed coat attenuation of transmitted light in the 464 phytochrome range of 95% or greater (Scopel et al., 1991) the effective fluence rate 465 under the seed coat required to remove the final layer of dormancy in the embryo must 466 be exceptionally low. Finally, the potential involvement of heterotrimeric G-proteins in 467 PHYA mediated signalling and germination (Botto et al., 2009) provides a mechanism 468 for signal amplification similar to that in retinal rod photoreceptors where heterotrimeric

G-proteins enable signal amplification from single photons into a response (Kolesnikov*et al.*, 2011).

471

472 PHYA is implicated in the positive regulation of dormancy in seeds matured at low, but 473 not warm temperature (Donohue et al., 2008). This effect was lost as dormancy 474 declined through dry after-ripening and stratification potentially related to increased GA levels/sensitivity (Donohue et al., 2008 and references therein). This is consistent with 475 476 field observations of PHYA expression (Fig. 4). However, the response was dependent 477 upon the conditions under which seeds were produced (Donohue, 2008, Dechaine et 478 al., 2009). Furthermore, regulation by PHYA could appear positive or negative 479 depending on the wavelength and fluence rate used in experiments (Appenroth et al., 480 2006). The cause of this PHYA effect is unclear although PHYA overexpression 481 represses GA levels (Jordon et al., 1995). For dormancy cycling it should also be 482 considered that such differences likely occur during the continuous process of change

in dormancy level in the soil seed bank. The response can also differ with ecotype
(Dechaine *et al.*, 2009) consistent with observed differences in Cvi and Bur. Such
differences in PHYA expression may represent adaptations to climate effecting fitness
as found by Donohue et al., (2012).

487

488 *Nitrate:* Nitrate, especially in conjunction with light, is another important spatial signal 489 that has been studied in both the laboratory and field. Nitrate concentration in soil 490 solution fluctuates and can vary from almost 0 to 50 mmol l⁻¹ (Bouwmeester et al., 491 1994), covering the range provoking a response from seeds in the laboratory. 492 However, although annual variations in soil nitrate (Bouwmeester and Karssen, 1993, 493 Derkx and Karssen, 1993) and Sysmbrium officinale seed nitrate content (Derkx and 494 Karssen, 1993) were observed changes in dormancy appeared driven by temperature. 495 and not influenced by soil moisture or soil nitrate. In Arabidopsis, similar conclusions 496 were reached and temperature driven seasonal dormancy patterns appeared to be 497 regulated by changes in sensitivity to light (Derkx and Karssen 1994). Nevertheless, 498 seed nitrate content in Arabidopsis affected the maintenance of dormancy in the 499 laboratory (Alboresi et al., 2005). A reason for this apparent contradiction is provided 500 by Hilhorst (1990) who showed most endogenous nitrate is leached from seeds in the 501 first 24 h of imbibition on water in the laboratory. Thus high nitrate content will relieve 502 dormancy, but only temporally when placed in soil and therefore nitrate concentration 503 may have little ecological importance (Bouwmeester, et al. 1994). In contrast, seed 504 sensitivity to nitrate is likely to have a significant ecological role in response to soil 505 nitrate that varies both spatially and temporally.

506

507 In Arabidopsis, nitrate is thought to have a direct regulatory role and promotes 508 germination by reducing the light requirement (Hilhorst and Karssen, 1988). Based on 509 field studies, Derkx and Karssen (1994) suggested a model where temperature results 510 in reversible changes in sensitivity to light and nitrate, which occur at the level of 511 receptors. This was consistent with the model and earlier conclusions of Hilhorst 512 (1990) in the laboratory studying secondary dormancy. It was later suggested that the 513 nitrate receptor could be NRT1.1 (Alboresi et al., 2005; Footitt et al., 2013). 514 Furthermore, nitrate release of seed dormancy acts by accelerating the decrease in 515 ABA during germination (Ali-Rachedi et al. 2004) via induction of the catabolic ABA 516 gene CYP707A2 (Matakiadis et al., 2009). This response is therefore separate from 517 the GA response to light consistent with nitrate acting to enhance the effect of light. 518

Alboresi *et al.* (2005) questioned whether nitrate acts *per se* on seed germination or
through the production of N-related signals. NRT1.1 is a dual affinity nitrate transceptor

521 (transporter/receptor), having high or low affinity functions depending on its 522 phosphorylation status (Ho et al., 2009). It acts as part of a complex with the kinase 523 CIPK23 and the calcium sensor CBL9 (CALCINEURIN B-LIKE PROTEIN 9). The high 524 affinity complex is produced by CBL9 phosphorylating CIPK23, which in turn 525 phosphorylates threonine-101 of NRT1.1 This form has repressed transport activity 526 and reduced signaling resulting in reduced expression of a second high affinity (<1 527 mM) nitrate transporter NRT2.1 (Ho et al., 2009). 528 529 When this complex is dephosphorylated by ABI2 it is converted to the low affinity form 530 in which nitrate transport and signaling are higher (Leran et al., 2015). In seeds this 531 would be expected to relieve dormancy leading to germination. However, nitrate 532 signaling via NRT1.1 irrespective of its phosphorylation state activates the protein NIN-533 LIKE PROTEIN 8 (NLP8), which binds the CYP707A2 promoter inducing its 534 expression. The resulting decrease in ABA levels results in the removal of the final 535 level of dormancy proportional to the external nitrate concentration (Yan et al., 2016). 536 In the field, during the spatial sensing phase there is a transient increase in NRT1.1 537 expression followed by increased expression of CYP707A2 and ABI2, and nitrate 538 sensitivity (Fig. 4; Footitt et al., 2013). Thus nitrate transport/signalling is occurring at 539 this point as CYP707A2 expression is induced by external nitrate (Matakiadis et al., 540 2009). Collectively this suggests that the level of NRT1.1 limits nitrate signaling in 541 seeds outside of the spatial sensing phase before the transient rise in its gene 542 expression. At this time a switch between high and low affinity forms of the transceptor 543 will further increase sensitivity to nitrate. This switch may also be linked to the control 544 of the primary nitrate response; known to regulate downstream expression of genes 545 (Krapp et al., 2014) involved in events important in cellular repair and readiness for

546 germination.

547

548 Adaptation to local environments:

549 There can be substantial variation in both genetic and phenotypic plasticity for seed 550 dormancy and germination within Arabidopsis and other species over elevational and 551 latitudinal gradients (Baskin and Baskin, 1998; Cavieres and Arroyo, 2000; Chiang et 552 al., 2011). Genetically identical cohorts of seeds can adapt to contrasting life cycles 553 (Montesinos-Navarro et al., 2012) and both spring and autumn germination windows 554 have been described in coastal but not montane Spanish populations (Montesinos et 555 al., 2009); supporting the predictions of Springthorpe and Penfield (2015) that winter 556 and summer annual life cycles can arise in the same population depending on the 557 environments encountered.

558

559 DOG1 is thought to have an important role in the adaptation of dormancy to climate 560 (Kronholm et al., 2012) and to local environments (Postma and Agren (2016). When 561 Cvi (winter annual) and Bur (summer annual) were put through a summer annual 562 dormancy cycle (Fig. 6; Footitt et al., 2011, 2013) some intriguing adaptive differences 563 were revealed. In the case of *DOG1*, transcription profiles were negatively correlated 564 with the soil temperature cycle in both ecotypes. However, although dormancy level 565 correlates with the *DOG1* profile in Cvi it did not in Bur. This may reflect differences 566 between transcript and protein profiles, but also suggests that the relationship between 567 thermal sensing and dormancy is plastic as a result of allelic variation in DOG1; hence 568 contributing to adaptation (e.g. Chiang et al., 2011; Kronholm et al., 2012). Differences 569 in the spatial sensing phase also become apparent with the transcript profiles of genes 570 associated with spatial sensing being highly correlated with one another in the shallow 571 dormant Bur ecotype compared to Cvi (Footitt et al., 2011, 2013). This implies that in a 572 background not dominated by the strong Cvi DOG1 allele there is a greater role for 573 dormancy regulation involving increased ABA signaling/sensitivity.

574

575 Of the genes examined, two had reversed transcript profiles in relation to temperature 576 highlighting this enhanced role (Fig. 6; Footitt et al., 2013). In Bur, transcription of the 577 SNF1-related protein kinase SnRK2.1 (positive regulator of ABA signaling) and MFT 578 are positively correlated with temperature, but are negatively correlated in Cvi (Footitt 579 et al., 2013). MFT transcription is high in Bur during the spatial sensing phase of the 580 cycle prior to seedling emergence indicating MFT contributes to shallow dormancy 581 maintenance (Fig. 6B). While, in Cvi it positively correlates with DOG1 and dormancy 582 level, but has low expression during spatial sensing phase(Fig. 6A). Crucially, this 583 changes when the deeply dormant Cvi ecotype undergoes its natural winter annual 584 dormancy cycle with newly shed seed in spring spending the summer in the soil seed 585 bank (compare Autumn and Spring burial in Fig. 6A). Here in the absence of a low 586 temperature winter phase DOG1 is not highly induced therefore bypassing induction of 587 deep dormancy. Possibly as a result, *MFT* transcription increases in the spatial sensing 588 phase implying *MFT* now has a more dominant role in dormancy maintenance in this 589 phase similar to that seen in the summer annual Bur. Nevertheless, in both situations 590 maximum germination in Cvi coincides with the lowest MFT transcription. This is 591 consistent with laboratory results; MFT has a role in signaling by the oxylipin, 12-oxo-592 phytodienoic acid (OPDA), which acts through MFT to induce ABA biosynthesis and 593 sensitivity with MFT and ABA then acting via a feedback loop to enhance OPDA levels 594 (Dave et al., 2016) to enhance low dormancy levels.

595

596 The implication is that when seeds are shed to the soil seed bank at their natural time 597 only a shallow dormancy cycle is required to position the spatial sensing phase at the 598 appropriate time of year for seedling emergence. If seeds are shed outside of this 599 period or do not receive appropriate spatial signals to remove the final layer of 600 dormancy they enter the persistent soil seed bank (Fig. 2, Fig. 6). Then seeds enter a 601 DOG1 dominated deep dormancy phase in order to correctly position the spatial 602 sensing phase in the following year. This may represent events in the persistent seed 603 bank and highlights the innate plasticity of dormancy cycling.

604

605 **Concluding perspective:**

606

607 In recent years significant advances have been made in understanding of the 608 mechanistic underpinning of primary seed dormancy through the use of mutants, which 609 have elucidated the pathways involved in the ABA/GA balance system. The natural 610 variation of Arabidopsis exploited by mapping populations has led to the identification 611 of DOG1 and showed its' apparently overarching dominance of dormancy, germination 612 timing (dormancy cycling) and seedling establishment. Natural variation has also led to 613 advances in understanding of adaptation to climate and how dormancy and flowering 614 times are linked to determine life cycle patterns. Nevertheless, we need a more 615 detailed understanding of the regulation of dormancy cycling, in particular interaction at 616 the molecular level between deep and shallow dormancy. Studying dormancy cycling 617 in the field is a long-term undertaking and ethical and regulatory reasons can preclude 618 the use of seeds from genetically modified plants to dissect the role of individual genes. 619 Progress in understanding is therefore likely to be slow. However, recent laboratory 620 studies show cycling can be simulated in Col-0 and Ler by enhancing their primary 621 dormancy during production and by manipulating temperature and water stress to cycle 622 them through secondary dormancy (Footitt and Finch-Savage unpublished). Future 623 use of such dormancy cycling screens to compare ecotypes and mutants should more 624 rapidly enhance understanding. 625 626 Supplementary data 627 Figure S1: Climate of origin of the winter and summer annual Arabidopsis ecotypes Cvi

- 628 and Bur respectively
- 629

630 Acknowledgements

631 WEF-S and SF were funded by the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural

Affairs (e.g. grant IF0116); BBSRC grant BB/1022201/1; and WEF-S by the EU (FP7

- 633 grant 311840 EcoSeed). The seed literature is vast, we apologise to the authors of the
- 634 many excellent publications it was not possible to include through limited space.

REFERENCES

Alboresi A, Gestin C, Leydecker MT, Bedu M, Meyer C, Truong HN. 2005. Nitrate, a signal relieving seed dormancy in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell and Environment **28**, 500-512.

Ali-Rachedi S, Bouinot D, Wagner MH, Bonnet M, Sotta B, Grappin P, Jullien M. 2004. Changes in endogenous abscisic acid levels during dormancy release and maintenance of mature seeds: studies with the Cape Verde Islands ecotype, the dormant model of Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta **219**, 479-488.

Alvarado V, Bradford KJ. 2005 Hydrothermal time analysis of seed dormancy in true (botanical) potato seeds. Seed Science Research **15**, 77-88

Appenroth K-J, Lenk G, Goldau L, Sharma R. 2006. Tomato seed germination: regulation of different response modes by phytochrome B2 and phytochrome A. Plant Cell and Environment **29**, 701-709.

Bailly C, El-Maarouf-Bouteau H, Corbineau F. 2008. From intracellular signaling networks to cell death: the dual role of reactive oxygen species in seed physiology. C.
R. Biologies 331, 806–814 doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2008.07.022.

Bassel GW. 2016. To grow or not to grow? Trends in Plant Science 21, 498-505.
Bassel GW, Lan H, Glaab E, Gibbs DJ, Gerjets T, Krasnogor N, Bonner AJ,
Holdsworth MJ, Provart NJ. 2011. Genome-wide network model capturing seed
germination reveals coordinated regulation of plant cellular phase transitions.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 108, 9709-9714.

Bassel GW, Zielinska E, Mullen RT, Bewley JD. 2004. Down-regulation of DELLA genes is not essential for germination of tomato, soybean, and Arabidopsis seeds. Plant Physiology **136**, 2782-2789.

Baskin CC, Baskin JM. 1998. Seeds – ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination. San Diego: Academic Press.

Baskin JM, Baskin CC. 2004. A classification system for seed dormancy Seed Science Research **14**, 1-16.

Batlla D, Benech-Arnold RL. 2014. Weed seed germination and the light environment: Implications for weed management. Weed Biology and Management **14**, 77–87.

Batlla D, Benech-Arnold RL. 2015. A framework for the interpretation of temperature effects on dormancy and germination in seed populations showing dormancy Seed Science Research **25**, 147–158.

Batlla D, Kruk BC, Benech-Arnold RL. 2004. Modelling changes in dormancy in weed soil seed banks: Implications for the prediction of weed emergence. In Benech-

Arnold RL, Sanchez RA eds *Handbook of seed physiology: applications to agriculture*. New York, NY, USA: Food Product Press and the Haworth Reference Press 245-270 **Bazin j, Batlla D, Dussert S, El-Maarouf-Bouteau H, Bailly C.** 2011. Role of relative humidity, temperature, and water status in dormancy alleviation of sunflower seeds during dry after-ripening. Journal Experimental Botany **62**, 627–640.

Bentsink L, Jowett J, Hanhart CJ, Koornneef M. 2006. Cloning of DOG1, a quantitative trait locus controlling seed dormancy in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **103**, 17042-17047.

Benvenuti S, Macchia M. 1995. Effect of hypoxia on buried weed seed germination. Weed Research **35**, 343-351.

Botto JF, Ibarra S, Jones AM. 2009. The Heterotrimeric G- protein Complex Modulates Light Sensitivity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* Seed Germination. Photochemistry and Photobiology **85**, 949-954.

Bouwmeester HJ, Karssen CM. 1993. Annual changes in dormancy and germination in seeds of *Sisymbrium officinale (I)* scop. New Phytologist **124**, 179–191.

Bouwmeester HJ, Derkx L, Keizer JJ, Karssen CM. 1994. Effects of endogenous nitrate content of Sisymbrium officinale seeds on germination and dormancy. Acta Botanica Neerlandica **43**, 39–50.

Bradford KJ. 1995. Water relations in seed germination In: Kigel J, Galili G, eds. *Seed Development and Germination*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 351-396.

Bradford KJ. 2002. Applications of hydrothermal time to quantifying and modeling seed germination and dormancy. Weed Science **50**, 248-260.

Bradford KJ. 2005. Threshold models applied to seed germination ecology. New Phytologist **165**, 338-341.

Cadman CSC, Toorop PE, Hilhorst HWM, Finch-Savage WE. 2006. Gene expression profiles of Arabidopsis Cvi seeds during dormancy cycling indicate a common underlying dormancy control mechanism. The Plant Journal **46**, 805-822.

Cao D, Cheng H, Wu W, Soo HM, Peng J. 2006. Gibberellin mobilizes distinct DELLA-dependent transcriptomes to regulate seed germination and floral development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **142**, 509-525.

Cavieres LA, Arroyo MTK. 2000 Seed germination response to cold stratification period and thermal regime in Phacelia secunda (Hydrophyllaceae): altitudinal variation in the mediterranean Andes of central Chile. Plant Ecology **149**, 1-8.

Cetl I, Dobrovolna J, Effmertova E. 1965. Distribution of spring and winter types in the local populations of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. from various localities in Western Moravia. Arabidopsis Inform. Serv. 2

http://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1965/cetl--1965-aagmh.html

Chiang GC, Bartsch M, Barua D, Nakabayashi K, Debieu M, Kronholm I,
Koornneef M, Soppe WJ, Donohue K, De Meaux J. 2011. DOG1 expression is predicted by the seed-maturation environment and contributes to geographical variation in germination in Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Ecology 20, 3336-3349.
Cooke, JEK, Eriksson ME, Junttila O. 2012. The dynamic nature of bud dormancy in trees: environmental control and molecular mechanisms Plant, Cell and Environment

35, 1707–1728

Cyrek M, Fedak H, Cieslelski A, Guo Y, Sllwa A, Brzezniak L, Krzyczmonik K, Pietras Z, Liu F, Kaczanowski S, Swiezewski S. 2016. Seed dormancy in Arabidopsis is controlled by alternative polyadenylation of DOG1. Plant Physiology 170, 947-955.

Dave A, Vaistij FE, Gilday AD, Penfield SD, Graham IA. 2016. Regulation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* seed dormancy and germination by 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid. Journal of Experimental Botany doi:10.1093/jxb/erw028.

Dechaine JM, Burger JC, Chapman MA, Seller GJ, Brunick R, Knapp SJ, Burke JM. 2009. Fitness effects and genetic architecture of plant-herbivore interactions in sunflower crop-wild hybrids. New Phytologist 184, 828-841.

Dekkers BJW, Bentsink L. 2015. Regulation of seed dormancy by abscisic acid and DELAY OF GERMINATION 1. Seed Science Research **25**, 82-98.

Dekkers BJW, Pearce S, van Bolderen-Veldkamp RP, Marshall A, Widera P, Gilbert J, Drost HG, Bassel GW, Muller K, King JR, Wood ATA, Grosse I, Quint M, Krasnogor N, Leubner-Metzger G, Holdsworth MJ, Bentsink L. 2013.

Transcriptional Dynamics of Two Seed Compartments with Opposing Roles in Arabidopsis Seed Germination. Plant Physiology **163**, 205-215.

Derkx MPM, Karssen CM. 1993. Changing sensitivity to light and nitrate but not to gibberellins regulates seasonal dormancy patterns in *Sisymbrium officinale* seeds. Plant Cell and Environment **16**, 469–479.

Derkx MPM, Karssen CM. 1994. Are Seasonal Dormancy Patterns in Arabidopsis-Thaliana Regulated by Changes in Seed Sensitivity to Light, Nitrate and Gibberellin. Annals of Botany **73**, 129-136.

Des Marais DL, McKay JK, Richards JH, Sen S, Wayne T, Juenger TE. 2012. Physiological genomics of response to soil drying in diverse Arabidopsis accessions. The Plant Cell **24**, 893-914.

Donohue K, Barua D, Butler C, Tisdale TE, Chiang GC, Dittmar E, Rubio de Casas R. 2012. Maternal effects alter natural selection on phytochromes through seed germination. Journal of Ecology **100**, 750-757.

Donohue K, Burghardt LT, Runcie D, Bradford KJ, Schmitt J. 2015. Applying developmental threshold models to evolutionary ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution **30**, 66-77.

Donohue K, Heschel MS, Butler CM, Barua D, Sharrock RA, Whitelam GC, Chiang GC. 2008. Diversification of phytochrome contributions to germination as a function of seed- maturation environment. New Phytologist **177**, 367-379.

Duarte DM, Garcia QS. 2015. Interactions between substrate temperature and humidity in signalling cyclical dormancy in seeds of two perennial tropical species. Seed Science Research **25**, 170-178.

Effmertova E. 1967. The behaviour of "summer annual", "mixed", and "winter annual" natural populations as compared with early and late races in field conditions. Arabidopsis Inform. Serv. 4. http://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1967/effme-1967-aagph.html

EI-Maarouf-Bouteau H, Meimoun P,Job C, Job D, Bailly C 2013. Role of protein and mRNA oxidation in seed dormancy and germination. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**: 77.

Evans MEK, Dennehy JJ. 2005. Germ banking: Bet-hedging and variable release from egg and seed dormancy. Quarterly Review of Biology **80**, 431-451.

Evans J, Ratcliffe D. 1972 Variation in 'after-ripening' of seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana and its ecological significance. Arabidopsis Inform. Serv. 9.

http://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1972/evans-1972-aagvz.html

Fenner M. 1991. The effects of the parent environment on seed germinability. Seed Science Research **1**, 75-84.

Fenner M, Thompson K. 2005. *The ecology of seeds.* Cambridge University Press, New York.

Finch-Savage WE. 2004. The use of population-based threshold models to describe and predict the effects of seedbed environment on germination and seedling emergence of crops. In: Benech-Arnold RL, Sánchez RA, eds *Handbook of Seed physiology: Applications to Agriculture*, New York: Haworth Press, 51-96.

Finch-Savage WE, Bassel GW. 2016. Seed vigour and crop establishment: extending performance beyond adaptation. Journal of Experimental Botany 67,567-591.

Finch-Savage WE, Cadman CSC, Toorop PE, Lynn JR, Hilhorst HWM. 2007. Seed dormancy release in Arabidopsis Cvi by dry after-ripening, low temperature, nitrate and light shows common quantitative patterns of gene expression directed by environmentally specific sensing. The Plant Journal 51, 60-78.

Finch-Savage WE, Footitt S. 2012. To germinate or not to germinate: a question of dormancy relief not germination stimulation. Seed Science Research **22**, 243-248 **Finch-Savage WE, Footitt S**. 2015. Regulation of seed dormancy cycling in seasonal field environments. In: Anderson JV, ed *Advances in Plant Dormancy*, Switzerland:

Springer International Publishing, 35-47.

Finch-Savage WE, Leubner-Metzger G. 2006. Seed dormancy and the control of germination. New Phytologist **171**, 501-523.

Foley ME, Anderson JV, Chao WS, Doğramacı M, Horvath DP. 2010. Initial changes in the transcriptome of Euphorbia esula seeds induced to germinate with a combination of constant and diurnal alternating temperatures. Plant Molecular Biology **73**,131-142.

Footitt S, Clay H, Dent K, Finch-Savage WE. 2014. Environment sensing in springdispersed seeds of a winter annual Arabidopsis influences the regulation of dormancy to align germination potential with seasonal changes. New Phytologist **202**, 929-939.

Footitt S, Douterelo-Soler I, Clay H, Finch-Savage WE. 2011. Dormancy cycling in Arabidopsis seeds is controlled by seasonally distinct hormone signalling pathways. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **108**, 20236-20241

Footitt S, Huang Z, Clay H, Mead A, Finch-Savage WE. 2013. Temperature,light and nitrate sensing coordinate Arabidopsis seed dormancy cycling resulting in winter and summer annual phenotypes. The Plant Journal **74**, 1003-1115

Footitt, S, Muller, K, Kermode, AR and Finch-Savage WE. 2015. Seed dormancy cycling in Arabidopsis: Chromatin remodelling and regulation of DOG1 in response to seasonal environmental signals. The Plant Journal **81**, 413-425.

Footitt S, Palleschi S, Fazio E, Palomba R, Finch-Savage WE, Silvestroni L. 2016. Ultra-weak Photon Emission from the Seed Coat in Response to Temperature and Humidity. A Potential Mechanism for Environmental Signal Transduction in the Soil Seed Bank. Photochemistry and Photobiology doi/10.1111/php.12616/

Graeber K, Linkies A, Müller K, Wunchova A, Rott A, Leubner-Metzger G. 2010. Cross-species approaches to seed dormancy and germination: conservation and biodiversity of ABA-regulated mechanisms and the *Brassicaceae* DOG1 genes. Plant Molecular Biology **73**, 67-87.

Graeber K, Linkies A, Steinbrecher T, Mummenhoff K, Tarkowská D, Turečková V, Ignatz M, Sperber K, Voegele A, de Jong H, Urbanová T, Stmad M, Leuber-Metzger G. 2014. DELAY OF GERMINATION 1 mediates a conserved coat-dormancy mechanism for the temperature-and gibberellin-dependent control of seed germination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 111, E3571-3580.

Graeber K, Nakabayashi K, Miatton E, Leubner-Metzger G, Soppe WJJ. 2012.
Molecular mechanisms of seed dormancy. *Plant Cell and Environment* 35, 1769–1786.
Gutterman Y, Gendler T. 2005. Annual rhythm of germination of seeds of *Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum 3*2 years after collection. Seed Science Research 15, 249-253.

Hartweck LM. 2008. Gibberellin signaling. Planta 229, 1-13.

Hilhorst HWM. 1990. Dose-response analysis of factors involved in germination

and secondary dormancy of seeds of *Sisymbrium officinale*. 2. Nitrate. Plant Physiology **94**, 1096–1102.

Hilhorst HWM, Karssen CM. 1988. Dual effect of light on the gibberellin-and nitratestimulated seed germination of Sisymbrium officinale and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiology **86**, 591–597.

Ho, C.-H., Lin, S.-H., Hu, H.-C., Tsay, Y.-F. 2009. CHL1 functions as a nitrate sensor in plants. Cell **138**, 1184–1194.

Holdsworth MJ, Bentsink L, Soppe WJJ. 2008 Molecular networks regulating Arabidopsis seed maturation, after-ripening, dormancy and germination. New Phytologist **179**, 33-54.

Huang Z, Footitt S, Finch-Savage WE. 2014. The effect of temperature on reproduction in the summer and winter annual *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes Bur and Cvi. Annals of Botany **113**, 921-929.

Huang Z, Ölçer-Footitt H, Footitt S, Finch-Savage WE. 2015. Seed dormancy is a dynamic state: variable responses to pre-and post-shedding environmental signals in seeds of contrasting Arabidopsis ecotypes. Seed Science Research **25**, 159-169.

Huang X.Q, Schmitt J, Dorn L, Griffith C, Effgen S, Takao S, Koornneef M, Donohue K. 2010. The earliest stages of adaptation in an experimental plant population: strong selection on QTLS for seed dormancy. Molecular Ecology **19**, 1335-1351.

Huo H, Wei S, Bradford KJ. 2016. DELAY OF GERMINATION1 (DOG1) regulates both seed dormancy and flowering time through microRNA pathways. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. USA **113**, E2199-2206.

Jordan ET, Hatfield PM, Hondred D, Talon M, Zeevaart JA, Vierstra RD. 1995. Phytochrome A overexpression in transgenic tobacco (correlation of dwarf phenotype with high concentrations of phytochrome in vascular tissue and attenuated gibberellin levels). Plant Physiology **107**, 797-805.

Kendall SL, Hellwege A, Marriot P, Whalley C, Graham IA, Penfield S. 2011. Induction of Dormancy in Arabidopsis Summer Annuals Requires Parallel Regulation of DOG1 and Hormone Metabolism by Low Temperature and CBF Transcription Factors. The Plant Cell **23**, 2568-2580.

Kolesnikov AV, Rikimaru L, Hennig AK, Lukasiewicz PD, Fliesler SJ,

Govardovskii VI, Kefalov VJ, Kisselev OG. 2011. G-protein βγ-complex is crucial for efficient signal amplification in vision. The Journal of Neuroscience **31**, 8067-8077.

Kranner I, Minibayeva FV, Beckett RP, Seal CE. 2010. What is stress? Concepts, definitions and applications in seed science. New Phytologist **188**, 655-673.

Krapp A, David LC, Chardin C, Girin T, Marmagne A, Leprince AS, Chaillou S, Ferrario-Méry S, Meyer C, Daniel-Vedele F. 2014. Nitrate transport and signalling in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany 65, 789-98. **Kronholm I, Picó FX, Alonso- Blanco C, Goudet J, Meaux JD.** 2012. Genetic basis of adaptation in Arabidopsis thaliana: local adaptation at the seed dormancy QTL DOG1. Evolution **66**, 2287-2302.

Kucera B, Cohn MA, Leubner-Metzger G. 2005. Plant hormone interactions during seed dormancy release and germination. Seed Science Rseearch 15, 281-307.
Lee KP, Piskurewicz U, Turečková V, Carat S, Chappuis R, Strnad M, Fankhauser C, Lopez-Molina L. 2012. Spatially and genetically distinct control of seed germination

by phytochromes A and B. Genes and Development **26**, 1984-1996.

Léran S, Edel KH, Pervent M, Hashimoto K, Corratgé-Faillie C, Offenborn JN, Tillard P, Gojon A, Kudla J, Lacombe B. 2015. Nitrate sensing and uptake in Arabidopsis are enhanced by ABI2, a phosphatase inactivated by the stress hormone abscisic acid. Science Signaling **8**, ra43.

Long R, Gorecki M, Renton M, Scott J, Colville L, Goggin D, Commander L, Westcott D, Cherry H, Finch-Savage W.E. 2015. The ecophysiology of seed persistence: a mechanistic view of the journey to germination or demise. Biological Reviews **90**, 31-59.

Lopez-Molina L, Mongrand S, Kinoshita N, Chua NH. 2003. AFP is a novel negative regulator of ABA signaling that promotes ABI5 protein degradation. Genes and Development **17**, 410-418.

Matakiadis T, Alboresi A, Jikumaru Y, Tatematsu K, Pichon O, Renou JP, Kamiya Y, Nambara E, Truong HN. 2009. The Arabidopsis Abscisic Acid Catabolic Gene CYP707A2 Plays a Key Role in Nitrate Control of Seed Dormancy. Plant Physiology **149**, 949-960.

Matrai P, Thompson B, Keller M. 2005. Circannual excystment of resting cysts of Alexandrium spp. from eastern Gulf of Maine populations. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography **52**, 2560-2568.

Montesinos A, Tonsor SJ, Alonso-Blanco C, Pico FX. 2009. Demographic and genetic patterns of variation among populations of Arabidopsis thaliana from contrasting native environments. PLoS One **4**, e7213.

Montesinos-Navarro A, Picó FX, Tonsor SJ. 2012. Clinal variation in seed traits influencing life cycle timing in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Evolution* **66**, 3417-3431.

Müller K, Bouyer D, Schnittger A, Kermode AR. 2012. Evolutionarily Conserved Histone Methylation Dynamics during Seed Life-Cycle Transitions. Plos One **7**, e51532.

Murphey M, Kovach K, Elnacash T, He H, Bentsink L, Donohue K. 2015. DOG1imposed dormancy mediates germination responses to temperature cues. Environmental and Experimental Botany **112**, 33-43. **Nakabayashi K, Bartsch M, Ding J, Soppe WJ.** 2015. Seed Dormancy in Arabidopsis Requires Self-Binding Ability of DOG1 Protein and the Presence of Multiple Isoforms Generated by Alternative Splicing. PLoS Genetics **11**,e1005737.

Nakabayashi K, Bartscha M, Xianga Y, Miattona E, Pellengahra S, Yanob R, Seob M, Soppe W. 2012. The Time Required for Dormancy Release in Arabidopsis Is Determined by DELAY OF GERMINATION1 Protein Levels in Freshly Harvested Seeds. The Plant Cell **24**, 2826-2838.

Nambara E, Okamoto M, Tatematsu K, Yano R, Seo M, Kamiya Y. 2010. Abscisic acid and the control of seed dormancy and germination. Seed Science Research 20, 55-67.

Ni BR, Bradford KJ. 1993. Germination and dormancy of abscisic acid-deficient and gibberellin-deficient mutant tomoto (Lycopersicon esculentum) seeds – Sensitivity of germination to abscisic acid, gibberellin and water potential. Plant Physiology **101**, 607-617.

North H, Baud S, Debeaujon I, Dubos C, Dubreucq B, Grappin P, Jullien M, Lepiniec L, Marion-Poll A, Miquel M, Rajjou L, Routaboul JM, Caboche M. 2010. Arabidopsis seed secrets unravelled after a decade of genetic and omics-driven research. The Plant Journal **61**, 971-981.

Oracz K, Bouteau HE, Farrant JM, Cooper K, Belghazi M, Job C, Job D, Corbineau F, Bailly C. 2007. ROS production and protein oxidation as a novel mechanism for seed dormancy alleviation. The Plant Journal **50**, 452-465.

Penfield S, Hall A. 2009. A role for multiple circadian clock genes in the response to signals that break seed dormancy in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **21**, 1722-1732.

Pons TL. 1989. Breaking of seed dormancy by nitrate as a gap detection mechanism. Annals of Botany **63**, 139-143.

Postma FM, **Agren J.** 2016. Early life stages contribute strongly to local adaptation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA doi/10.1073/pnas. 1606303113.

Probert RJ. 2000. The role of temperature in the regulation of seed dormancy and germination. *Seeds: The ecology of regeneration in plant communities*, ed Fenner M CABI, Wallingford, p. 261-292.

Ratcliffe D. 1976. Germination characteristics and their inter- and intrapopulation variability in arabidopsis. Arabidopsis Inform. Serv. 13.

http://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1976/ratcl-1976-aabdj.html.

Rodríguez MV, Barrero JM, Corbineau F, Gubler F, Benech-Arnold RL. 2015. Dormancy in cereals (not too much, not so little): about the mechanisms behind this trait. Seed Science Research **25**, 99-119. **Scopel AL, Ballaré CL, Sánchez RA.** 1991. Induction of extreme light sensitivity in buried weed seeds and its role in the perception of soil cultivations. Plant Cell and Environment **14**, 501-508.

Sharrock RA, Clack T. 2002. Patterns of expression and normalized levels of the five Arabidopsis phytochromes. Plant Physiology **130**, 442–456.

Shinomura T, Nagatanit A, Hanzawa H, Kubota M, Watanabe M, Furuya M. 1996. Action spectra for phytochrome A- and B-specific photoinduction of seed germination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **93**, 8129-8133.

Springthorpe V, Penfield S. 2015. Flowering time and seed dormancy control use external coincidence to generate life history strategy. Elife **4**.

Sun TP, Gubler F. 2004. Molecular mechanism of gibberellin signaling in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology **55**, 197-223.

Tester M, Morris C. 1987. The penetration of light through soil. Plant, Cell and Environment **10**, 281-286.

Thompson K, Ooi MKJ. 2010. To germinate or not: more than just a question of dormancy. Seed Science Research **20**, 209–211.

Walck JL, Hidayati SN, Dixon KW, Thompson K, Poschlod P. 2011. Climate change and plant regeneration from seed. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 2145–2161.

Waterworth WM, Bray CM, West CE. 2015. The importance of safeguarding genome integrity in germination and seed longevity. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 3549-3558.

Waterworth WM, Footitt S, Bray CM, Finch-Savage WE, West CE. 2016. The DNA damage checkpoint kinase ATM regulates germination and maintains genome stability in seeds. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608829113

Welbaum GE, Bradford Kck Y, Booth DT, Oluoch MO. 1998. Biophysical, physiological and biochemical processes regulating seed germination. Seed Science Research **8**, 161-172.

Whalley WR, Finch-Savage WE. 2006. Seedbed environment, In Black M, Bewley JD, Halmer P, eds *The Encyclopedia of Seeds: Science, Technology and Uses*. CAB International, Wallingford, p. 599-602.

Wigge PA. 2013. Ambient temperature signalling in plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **16**, 661-666.

Willis CG, Baskin CC, Baskin JM, Auld JR, Venable DL, Cavender-Bares J, Donohue K, Rubio de Casas R. 2014. The NESCent Germination Working Group. The evolution of seed dormancy: environmental cues, evolutionary hubs, and diversification of the seed plants. New Phytologist **203**, 300-309. **Yan D, Easwaran V, Chau V, et al.** 2016. NIN-like protein 8 is a master regulator of nitrate-promoted seed germination in Arabidopsis. Nature Communications *7*, 13179

Yoshioka T, Satoh S, Yamasu Y. 1998. Effect of increased concentration of soil CO2 on intermittent flushes of seed germination in *Echinochloa crus-galli* var. crus-galli. Plant, Cell and Environment **21**, 1301–1306.

Figures:

Figure 1. Environmental signals in the soil seed bank. The schematic shows a range of potential signals that could influence dormancy directly; inform the seed about the time of year (temporal information), and/or the suitability of the immediate environment for the completion of germination (spatial information). The precise nature of the signals differs depending on the soil type and the modifying impact of the many other organisms that occupy the soil. In particular, soil microorganisms as their activity is temperature related, and they use oxygen and otherwise modify the gaseous atmosphere, mineralize nutrients and help release many phytoactive chemicals including organics acids. Figure based on Finch-Savage and Footitt, 2015.

Figure 2. Seed response to the environment initiates winter and summer annual life cycles. A. In temperate zones mean soil temperature follows a clear annual cycle (temporal signal) that drives changing sensitivity to spatial signals informing the seed of the immediate environmental suitability for germination. Yellow diamonds indicate increasing and decreasing sensitivity; maximum height of the diamond is when maximum germination occurred in exhumed seeds. Adaptation of this response leads to different patterns of dormancy cycling and subsequent life cycles. This is illustrated here using the Bur and Cvi ecotypes (B and C respectively). Data redrawn from Footitt et al., 2013. B. Seedlings of winter annual Arabidopsis ecotypes such as Cvi emerge in the autumn. The rosettes are cold vernalized over winter to induce flowering and shed their seeds in spring. On entering the soil, seed dormancy (primary dormancy) slowly declines through the impact of warming soil temperature (temporal signal) and the spatial sensing phase of shallow dormancy begins. If signals are received in the correct order the seed will germinate resulting in seedling establishment in autumn. In the absence of these spatial signals the window closes and falling soil temperature cycles dormancy (secondary dormancy) in to the deep dormancy phase that represents the persistent seed bank. C. Seedlings of summer annual Arabidopsis ecotypes such as Bur (Evans and Ratcliffe, 1972; Ratcliffe, 1976) emerge in the spring. The rosettes are vernalization insensitive and require a long rosette phase before flowering over the summer and shedding their seeds in autumn. On entering the soil, seed dormancy

(primary dormancy) initially declines through the impact of low soil temperature, but prolonged low winter soil temperature (temporal signal) causes dormancy to increase (secondary dormancy). It then declines with increasing soil temperature in spring entering the spatial sensing phase at which point seedling emergence is possible. If appropriate spatial signals are not received seeds enter the persistent seed bank. At this point high soil temperature may induce a deep dormancy phase of secondary dormancy.

Figure 3: Schematic model for the regulation of dormancy and germination by ABA and GA in response to the environment. According to this model ambient environmental signals affect the ABA/GA balance and the sensitivity to these hormones. On the ABA side of the balance, the ABA receptors PYR/PYL/RCAR bind to ABA to remove the repression of ABA responses by PP2Cs (Protein phosphatase 2C; Cutler et al., 2010; Nambara et al., 2010). Removal of PP2C repression allows downstream signaling via SnRK2s to ABRE (ABA-response element) binding transcription factors (ABI3, ABI4, ABI5). On the other side of this balance, DELLA proteins (Lee et al., 2012, Bassel et al., 2004) repress GA responses and therefore germination potential (Sun and Gubler, 2004). DELLAs are degraded in the presence of GA (Hartweck, 2008). The repression activity of DELLA is therefore relieved upon GA binding its' receptor GID1 and the F-box protein SLEEPY. Removal of DELLA proteins in seeds leads to a de-repression of cell wall remodeling gene expression and in turn growth of the embryo (Cao et al., 2006). A further checkpoint in seedling establishment is mediated by ABA-INSENSITIVE5 (ABI5) in Arabidopsis, which acts to promote ABA-mediated growth arrest during a late stage of seed germination (Lopez-Molina et al., 2003).

ABA synthesis and signaling and GA catabolism dominates the induction and deepening of the dormant state (pathway indicated in red). Whereas, GA synthesis and signaling and ABA catabolism dominates the relief of dormancy and the transition to germination completion (pathway indicated in black). Change in the depth of dormancy alters sensitivity to spatial signals. When sensitivity overlaps with changing ambient conditions germination will proceed to completion. Figure is adapted from Footitt *et al.*, 2011.

Figure 4. Seasonal patterns of physiological measures and gene expression in Cvi seeds over an annual cycle in field soil. The height of the bars indicates the extent of changing soil temperature (seed depth), the amplitude of physiological response or expression of the genes indicated over the seasons shown in the top panel. Changing dormancy level in buried seeds expressed as AR50 (dry after-ripening time required to

achieve 50% germination) is shown. Temporal sensing represents this slow seasonal change in dormancy for the selection of time of year, climate space and timing of the spatial sensing phase (blue bars). Sensitivity is demonstrated by germination of exhumed seeds at 20 °C/light with and without nitrate (red bars). Spatial sensing represents the period when seeds become sensitive to conditions suitable for germination completion (yellow bars). Completion occurs when sensitivity overlaps with suitable ambient conditions; if suitable ambient conditions do not occur at this time seeds return to deep dormancy. The function of the genes shown is described in the text. (Data redrawn from Footitt *et al.*, 2011 and 2013).

Figure 5: Response to spatial signals during shallow dormancy. The schematic illustrates changes in seeds as they are relieved from ABA dominated deep dormancy and enter DELLA repressed shallow dormancy. A. The ABA/GA balance acts as a central integration system accommodating the response to ambient signals that vary. Entry to shallow dormancy is marked by a reduced temperature (DOG1) driven emphasis on ABA and sensitivity to it. In this phase the ABA/GA balance is influenced by the ambient level of nitrate and exposure to light as a function of the seeds sensitivity (normally distributed in the seed population) to them. Changing sensitivity is illustrated as a shift in this normal distribution with the resulting output for light of enhanced GA3ox1 expression (Cadman et al., 2006) and for nitrate of enhanced CYP707A2 expression (Matakiadis et al., 2009). These increase GA and reduce ABA content and signalling respectively (see Fig. 3). B. The schematic uses the hydrothermal time model (Bradford, 1995, 2002) to illustrate the dynamic impact of changes in the ABA/GA balance on the potential to germinate. In the model progress towards germination is proportional to the extent ambient water potential (Ψ) exceeds the threshold (base; Ψ_b) below which progress ceases. Thresholds differ between individuals in the population giving a distribution of sensitivities ($\sigma_{\Psi b}$). The Ψ_b distribution is shown for a partially dormant population of seeds (Z); in the proportion where Ψ_{b} is greater than ambient water potential germination completion does not occur. As dormancy is progressively relieved (Z>Y>X>W), $\Psi_{\rm b}$ of individuals in the population becomes more negative so the difference to ambient water potential is greater and their progress to germination completion speeds up. The resulting germination curves for W-Z at the same ambient water potential are shown in C. In general, gibberellins decrease Ψ_b to enhance germination, whereas ABA increases Ψ_b to increasingly inhibit germination (NI and Bradford, 1993; Alvarado and Bradford, 2005). In practice, ABA can act independently so that there is a synergistic effect of ABA and reduced water potential. The overall process is complex with multidimensional sensitivity to a range of signals. For clarity here only these three

example inputs (temperature, light and nitrate) to the hormone balance and their consequences are illustrated. The threshold model approach could be used to explain all the responses illustrated and likely other environmental signals (Bradford, 2002, 2005; Donohue *et al.*, 2015). However, continued work is required to fully understand the inputs to the hormone balance to build upon this general framework.

Figure 6. Dormancy and gene expression patterns in winter (Cvi) and summer (Bur) annual ecotypes. All data are from seeds exhumed at intervals during the annual dormancy cycle and for each ecotype show *DOG1* and *MFT* transcript profiles, soil temperature, dormancy levels and germination at 5 and 20 °C/light. The height of the bars indicates the relative levels of gene expression. **A.** Data is shown for seeds buried in the autumn to mimic Cvi in the persistent seed bank (i.e. not germinated following shedding) and **B.** Bur undergoing its natural summer annual dormancy cycle following shedding (refer to Fig. 2B &C). In **A.** data is also shown for Cvi seeds buried in spring to mimic its natural winter annual dormancy cycle following shedding. In this case depth of dormancy, germination timing and *DOG1* expression are the same as autumn buried seeds, however, *MFT* expression is significantly different as shown. Data redrawn from Footitt *et al.*, 2011, 2013, and 2014.