| 1 | Individual variation in hunger, energy intake and ghrelin responses to acute exercise |
|----|--|
| 2 | King JA ^{1,2} , Deighton K ³ , Broom DR ⁴ , Wasse LK ⁵ , Douglas JA ^{1,2} , Burns SF ⁶ , Cordery PA ^{1,2} , |
| 3 | Petherick ES ^{1,2} , Batterham RL ⁷ , Goltz FR ^{1,2} , Thackray AE ^{1,2} , Yates T ^{2,8} , Stensel DJ ^{1,2} . |
| 4 | |
| 5 | ¹ School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, United Kingdom |
| 6 | ² NIHR Leicester-Loughborough, Diet, Lifestyle & Physical Activity Biomedical Research |
| 7 | Unit |
| 8 | ³ Institute for Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, United |
| 9 | Kingdom |
| 10 | ⁴ Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom |
| 11 | ⁵ Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences, University of Manchester, United Kingdom |
| 12 | ⁶ Department of Physical Education & Sport Science, National Institute of Education, |
| 13 | Nanyang Technological University, Singapore |
| 14 | ⁷ Department of Medicine, University College London, United Kingdom |
| 15 | ⁸ College of Medicine, Biological Sciences and Psychology, University of Leicester, United |
| 16 | Kingdom |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Correspondence |
| 19 | Dr James King |
| 20 | Lecturer in Exercise Physiology |
| 21 | School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences |
| 22 | Loughborough University |
| 23 | Leicestershire |
| 24 | United Kingdom |
| 25 | LE11 3TU |
| | |

- 26 Phone: +44(0)1509 228457
- 27 E-mail: J.A.King@lboro.ac.uk

- 29 Abstract length : 275 words
- 30 Manuscript length: 6558 words

31

32

34 ABSTRACT

Purpose: To characterise the immediate and extended impact of acute exercise on hunger, 35 36 energy intake and circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations using a large dataset of homogenous experimental trials; and to describe the variation in responses between 37 individuals. Methods: Data from 17 of our group's experimental crossover trials were 38 39 aggregated yielding a total sample of 192 young, healthy, males. In these studies, single bouts 40 of moderate to high-intensity aerobic exercise ($69 \pm 5\%$ VO₂ peak; mean \pm SD) were completed 41 with detailed participant assessments occurring during and for several hours post-exercise. 42 Mean hunger ratings were determined during (n = 178) and after (n = 118) exercise from visual analogue scales completed at 30 min intervals whilst *ad libitum* energy intake was measured 43 within the first hour after exercise (n = 60) and at multiple meals (n = 128) during the remainder 44 of trials. Venous concentrations of acylated ghrelin were determined at strategic time points 45 during (n = 118) and after (n = 89) exercise. **Results:** At group-level, exercise transiently 46 suppressed hunger (P < 0.010; Cohen's d = 0.77) but did not affect energy intake. Acylated 47 ghrelin was suppressed during exercise (P < 0.001; Cohen's d = 0.10) and remained 48 significantly lower than control (no exercise) afterwards (P < 0.024; Cohen's d = 0.61). 49 50 Between participants, there were notable differences in responses however a large proportion of this spread lay within the boundaries of normal variation associated with biological and 51 technical assessment error. Conclusion: In young men, acute exercise suppresses hunger and 52 circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations with notable diversity between individuals. Care 53 must be taken to distinguish true inter-individual variation from random differences within 54 normal limits. 55

56

57 **KEY WORDS:** Physical activity, Energy balance, Appetite, Variation

59 INTRODUCTION

The interaction between exercise, appetite and food intake has received widespread scientific 60 attention within recent years given the direct relevance for energy balance and weight control 61 62 (4). Emergent from this body of research is a consensus that single bouts of moderate- to highintensity exercise transiently suppress appetite but have no influence on *ad libitum* energy 63 intake (10,33). Energy homeostasis therefore seems insensitive to acute energy deficits 64 65 imposed by exercise; with more prolonged or repeated perturbations necessary to induce partial compensatory responses (36,39). In association with this line of research has been a related 66 67 interest in seeking to understand the mechanisms underpinning appetite control and perturbations in energy balance resulting from exercise and dietary interventions. Notably, the 68 responses of several gut peptides to exercise (acylated ghrelin, peptide YY₃₋₃₆, glucagon-like-69 70 peptide-1, cholecystokinin) have been scrutinised as possible modulators of appetite and food 71 intake (34). The most consistent finding from these investigations is that exercise transiently alters the circulating concentrations of these hormones in directions associated with suppressed 72 73 appetite; however, circulating concentrations are typically not different from control at 30 to 74 60 min post-exercise (10).

75

With a growing emphasis within biomedical science on 'precision medicine' (2) recent 76 77 research has sought to characterise the individual variability in appetite and energy intake 78 responses to exercise (13, 18, 20, 27). The primary question addressed within these studies is whether some individuals are more or less likely to compensate for energy expended during 79 exercise by increasing post-exercise energy intake. The implication of this inquiry is that 80 81 exercise may be less useful for weight management in 'compensators' compared with 'noncompensators'. Unfortunately, to date, the studies which have examined this issue are limited 82 by small sample sizes and the failure to appreciate the importance of internal sources of 83

variation (technical error and biological variation) (1). Additional research is therefore needed
to provide greater insight within this area of research.

86

87 Over the last 15 years our research group has conducted many experimental exercise interventions examining the effects of acute exercise on appetite, ad libitum energy intake and 88 appetite-regulatory hormones. Given the uniqueness of acylated ghrelin as the only circulating 89 hormone known to stimulate appetite and promote positive energy balance (9,40), our research 90 has maintained a central focus on the interaction between exercise, appetite, ad libitum energy 91 92 intake and acylated ghrelin. Usefully, the experimental designs (randomised cross-over trials with exercise and control trials), participants (lean, young, healthy, males) and exercise 93 94 protocols (aerobic moderate- to high-intensity exercise) utilised within these studies have been 95 remarkably similar. This similarity permits the aggregation of data which provides enhanced 96 power to investigate experimental intervention effects and to interrogate associations between key variables. Uniquely, in this context, this large dataset also provides a novel opportunity to 97 98 comprehensively explore the variability in appetite and *ad libitum* energy intake responses to exercise between individuals. 99

100

The primary aims of this study were two-fold. Firstly, using our large, pooled dataset of 101 experimental trials, we sought to characterise the immediate (during and shortly after exercise) 102 103 and extended (several hours post-exercise) impact of acute exercise on perceived hunger, ad *libitum* energy intake and circulating concentrations of acylated ghrelin. Secondly, with precise 104 consideration of the day-to-day biological and technical error inherent within outcome 105 106 measurements, we sought to determine the individual variation in hunger, *ad libitum* energy intake and circulating acylated ghrelin responses, both during and in the hours after a single 107 bout of exercise. To achieve this second aim we have collected new data to determine the day-108

to-day variation (with no intervention) in hunger, circulating acylated ghrelin and energy intake
(during *ad libitum* feeding) in young, healthy males. The findings reported in this manuscript
provide novel insights concerning the interaction between exercise, appetite control and energy
homeostasis.

113

114 METHODS

115 Research studies and participants

The data described in this manuscript were derived from 17 studies (16 published in peer 116 117 reviewed scientific journals; one currently in press) which were conducted between 2004 and 2014 in the exercise physiology laboratory led by Professor David Stensel at Loughborough 118 University, UK. All included studies received ethical approval from the institutional ethical 119 120 advisory board and written informed consent was obtained from all participants before any trial procedures commenced. Each trial included within this pooled analysis was an acute 121 randomised-crossover trial with participants having completed paired exercise (see detail 122 below) and control (resting within the laboratory) trials. The key features of each study in this 123 pooled investigation are described in tables within the accompanying Supplementary Digital 124 Content (1 - 8). In all of the studies the participants (n = 192 in total) were young ((mean \pm 125 SD) 22.3 \pm 2.7 years), lean (BMI 23.4 \pm 2.2 kg/m²), recreationally active (\dot{VO}_2 peak (*n* =178) 126 57.8 ± 8.2 mL/kg/min) males who were metabolically healthy. All of the participants were 127 128 weight stable (< 2.5 kg change in body weight) for at least three months before experimental trials. 129

130

131 Exercise protocol characteristics

132 The exercise stimuli imposed within the studies included in this pooled analysis were 133 homogenous; in all instances being characterised as a single bout of moderate- to high-intensity

134 aerobic exercise. In all trials, exercise was conducted within a controlled laboratory setting with participants exercising under the direct supervision of study experimenters. In all except 135 one study (which involved an acute bout of swimming), the mode of exercise completed was 136 137 treadmill running or ergometer cycling with indirect calorimetry (Douglas bags) used to monitor exercise intensity and determine energy expenditure and substrate oxidation (15). 138 Across exercise trials the intensity of exercise ranged from 56 to 83 percent of $\dot{V}O_2$ peak with 139 a mean intensity of $69 \pm 5\%$. The duration of each acute exercise bout ranged from 30 to 90 140 min (30 min, two studies; 60 min, 11 studies; 90 min, four studies). 141

142

143 Anthropometry and standardisation

Body mass and stature were determined using standard techniques with participants wearing 144 145 light clothing. Body composition (fat mass and fat-free mass) was determined using skin-fold 146 measurements (triceps, bicep, subscapular, suprailiac) and the published equations of Durnin and Womersley (12) and Siri (35). Participants' age, stature and body mass was used to 147 estimate resting metabolic rate as described by Mifflin et al. (31). Participants refrained from 148 consuming alcohol, caffeine and participating in structured exercise for 24-48 h before main 149 experimental trials and during this period dietary intake was standardised using weighed food 150 records. Participants' last meal was consumed before study days on the prior evening (no later 151 152 than 22:00) and all main trials commenced the following morning after an overnight fast. 153 Participants maintained their habitual diet between trials in all experiments.

154

155 Hunger analyses

The primary analyses of interest in this study relating to hunger were: 1) individual variation in fasting hunger (n = 192); 2) the immediate (during exercise, n = 178) and prolonged (up to 8 h post-exercise, n = 118) effects of exercise on perceived hunger. In each of the studies 159 included within these analyses participants reported their perceived hunger at intervals of 30 min using pen and paper based 100 mm visual analogue scales (14). The impact of exercise on 160 hunger was assessed by comparing mean hunger ratings calculated during and after exercise 161 with paired values calculated on each participant's control trial. In the post-exercise hunger 162 analysis mean hunger scores were calculated from data available until the end of trials or until 163 the occurrence of a buffet meal (when standardised appetite scores were no longer comparable). 164 165 The reproducibility of fasting perceived hunger was determined from baseline hunger ratings at the start of paired exercise and control trials. Individual variation in hunger responses during 166 167 and after exercise were calculated by subtracting mean hunger ratings calculated during control trials from mean hunger ratings observed during the same periods within exercise trials. For all 168 post-exercise analyses, hunger ratings obtained within the first 30 min after exercise was 169 170 excluded to eliminate any latent impact of the exercise bout.

171

In order to examine the individual variation in hunger responses during and after exercise we compared each participant's response with our new data (n = 15 young, healthy males) regarding the variation in hunger ratings across one hour (most common duration of exercise in the present analyses) (1 h: ± 30 mm; 17.2%) and over an extended duration (2.5 h: ± 20 mm; 13.8%) with no intervention.

177

178 Energy intake analyses

The primary analyses of interest relating to exercise and *ad libitum* energy intake were: 1) the impact of acute exercise on energy intake at the first meal consumed shortly after exercise (within 60 min) (n = 60); 2) the impact of acute exercise on energy intake across several hours post-exercise (range 5 - 9 h) (n = 128). In each of the studies included within these analyses, *ad libitum* energy intake was determined from buffet-style meals whereby participants had

access to a range of foods for a discrete period of time (30 mins) which was identical on paired 184 exercise and control trials. In all trials, participants were instructed to eat until 'comfortably 185 full and satisfied' and that additional food was available if desired. All meals were consumed 186 in isolation so that social factors did not influence eating behaviour. Variation in energy intake 187 responses to exercise was determined by subtracting each participant's energy intake during 188 the control trial from their intake during paired exercise trials. Within the analyses examining 189 190 the delayed effects of exercise on energy intake, data was included only if participants had remained in the laboratory during the entire period of observation. Additionally, data was only 191 192 assessed from meals consumed on the same day as exercise i.e. data was not included from energy intake assessments conducted on the day after exercise (which occurred in three studies 193 identified within this paper). 194

195

196 Because the natural day-to-day variability in energy intake is highly dependent on the participants studied and the format of *ad libitum* meal provision (i.e. homogenous meal versus 197 buffet meal and types of foods available at laboratory meals), we conducted a new study to 198 characterise the variation in *ad libitum* energy intake across two meals (breakfast and lunch) 199 200 when using a buffet meal (24) (Appendix 1) and participant cohort (n = 18; healthy, lean males) identical to that utilised within the studies described in the present manuscript. In this setting 201 202 we found that the co-efficient of repeatability and intra-subject variation at breakfast was \pm 203 1937 kJ and 18.9%. Furthermore, when energy intake at breakfast was combined with a buffet lunch, together, the corresponding repeatability values were 2138 kJ and 8.9%. These 204 boundaries of variation were used to determine the boundaries of 'true variation' in energy 205 206 intake responses in the present investigation.

207

209 Acylated ghrelin analyses

The primary analyses of interest relating to acylated ghrelin were: 1) the immediate (during 210 exercise, n = 118) and prolonged (up to 8 h post-exercise; n = 89) effects of acute exercise on 211 circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations; 2) day-to-day variation in fasting circulating 212 acylated ghrelin concentrations (n = 138). In each of the studies included within these analyses 213 circulating concentrations of acylated ghrelin were determined from venous blood samples 214 215 taken by venepuncture (fasting measurement in one study) or cannulas (16 studies) positioned in antecubital veins. Across all studies, plasma acylated ghrelin concentrations were 216 217 determined using the same enzyme-linked immune-sorbent assay (SPI-BIO, Montigney le Brettoneux, France) which has demonstrated good intra-assay (typically 6-8%) variation in our 218 219 laboratory. Importantly, identical sampling pre- and post-treatment was performed across all 220 studies as detailed previously (6). Variation in circulating acylated ghrelin responses to exercise 221 was determined by subtracting the plasma acylated ghrelin AUC during the period of interest within the control trial (exercise period and post-exercise period) from the corresponding 222 period during the exercise trial. These data were then expressed as a percentage difference with 223 positive values indicating an increase in circulating acylated ghrelin in response to exercise 224 225 (and vice-versa). Acylated ghrelin data was expressed as percentage difference, rather than absolute values (as per our hunger and energy intake data), due to variation in absolute acylated 226 227 ghrelin values obtained across our data (most likely related to antibody variation with ELISA 228 kits over time). To determine the day-to-day variability in circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations over an extended period, we collected new data whereby circulating acylated 229 ghrelin concentrations were determined from six samples over a 2.5 h period on two separate 230 231 days with no intervention (n = 15 healthy, young males). With diet and physical activity standardised in the prior 24 h, across a period of 1 h (the median exercise duration in the present 232 233 analysis), the co-efficient of repeatability and intra-subject variation for circulating acylated ghrelin was \pm 46 pg/mL and 17.2%, respectively. Over a longer period of 2.5 h the corresponding values were \pm 38 pg/mL/h and 14.4%.

236

237 Statistical analyses

Data was analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software 238 version 22.0 (IBM SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for 239 plasma acylated ghrelin using the trapezoidal method. Repeated measures analysis of 240 covariance (ANCOVA) were used to assess differences in hunger (fasting and mean values), 241 242 energy intake and circulating acylated ghrelin (fasting and AUC) between paired control and exercise trials. Study was included as a covariate for all analyses whilst additional covariates 243 244 were added if they correlated significantly with dependent variables. In effect, age and fat mass 245 were included as additional covariates in the fasting hunger analyses whilst fat mass was 246 included as a covariate in the post-exercise hunger analyses. Variation in fasting hunger ratings and circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations were expressed as the co-efficient of intra-247 subject variation ($CV_{intra} = SDd/(m\sqrt{2})$) and co-efficient of repeatability ($CR = 2 \times SD$) as 248 described by Horner et al (21). The Person product-moment correlation co-efficient was used 249 to examine relationships between key variables with the correlations interpreted as small (0.1), 250 medium (0.3), and large (0.5) (8). Within the correlation analyses exact participant numbers 251 252 are stated in parenthesis when this deviates from the number included within the main outcome 253 analysis. Effect sizes were calculated to determine the magnitude of statistical effects using Cohen's d which adopts the following values to represent small (0.2), medium (0.5) and large 254 (0.8) effects (8). All data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical significance 255 256 was identified if P < 0.05.

257

258 **RESULTS**

259 Hunger responses

Data describing paired fasting hunger scores at the beginning of an exercise and control trial 260 was available for 192 participants (see table; Supplementary Digital Content 1). There was no 261 262 significant difference in fasting hunger scores between trials (exercise 59 ± 23 mm; control 56 \pm 24 mm; *P* = 0.929; *d* = 0.13). The intra-subject variation in fasting hunger between paired 263 exercise and control trials was 38% with a co-efficient of repeatability of \pm 44 mm. Fasting 264 hunger was strongly correlated between each participant's main trials (r = 0.557, P < 0.001). 265 Mean fasting hunger scores were positively associated with fat-free mass (n = 165; r = 0.213; 266 267 P = 0.006) and age (r = 0.143; P = 0.048) and inversely related to fat mass (n = 165; r = -0.213; P = 0.006). Mean fasting hunger was not related to weight (r = -0.032; P = 0.662), BMI (r = -268 0.045; P = 0.537), $\dot{V}O_2$ peak (n = 178; r = -0.057; P = 0.450) or estimated resting metabolic 269 270 rate (r = -0.039; P = 0.591).

271

The tables in Supplementary Digital Content 2 and 3 identify the specific studies, along with 272 273 their associated characteristics, which were pooled to obtain data regarding hunger responses during (n = 178) and after (n = 118) exercise. Mean hunger ratings during exercise were 274 significantly lower compared with paired hunger ratings during control trials (exercise 41±26 275 mm; control 61 ± 22 mm; P = 0.010; d = 0.77). Figure 1a shows each participant's net individual 276 277 hunger response during exercise (difference between exercise and control) and demonstrates 278 the wide range of responses observed (-94 to + 73 mm). Notably, 79% (n = 140) of participants demonstrated suppressed hunger during exercise whilst 19% (n = 34) documented an increase 279 (2% showed no difference between control and exercise trials). Importantly, however, when 280 281 considering the natural variation in hunger assessment with no intervention (\pm 30 mm over one hour) it can be seen that 37% (n = 65) of participants' hunger was suppressed to an extent 282 greater than the boundaries of normal variation whilst 3% (n = 5) demonstrated an increase. 283

The remaining 60% (n = 108) lay within this boundary. Further scrutiny of these data revealed a weak inverse relationship between percent carbohydrate oxidation during exercise and mean hunger (n = 152; r = -0.177; P = 0.030). There were no relationships between mean hunger during exercise and fat oxidation (n = 152; r = 0.079; P = 0.332), exercise intensity (n = 162; r = -0.100; P = 0.204), energy expenditure (n = 162; r = -0.105; P = 0.182) or $\dot{V}O_2$ peak (n =164; r = -0.088; P = 0.260).

- 290
- 291

Insert figure 1 here

292

Hunger responses after exercise were analysed using data collected up until the end of trials, 293 294 or until the provision of an *ad libitum* meal (range 3-8 h post-exercise). There was no significant 295 difference in mean hunger ratings after exercise between the paired exercise (44±17 mm) and 296 control trials (44 \pm 18 mm) (P=0.142; d = 0.01). Figure 1b shows the aggregate of each participant's post-exercise mean hunger responses which varied widely (-52 to +30 mm). Fifty 297 298 percent (n = 59) of participants reported lower mean post-exercise hunger whilst 47% (n = 56)demonstrated higher mean post-exercise hunger (3% reported no difference between trials). 299 300 Importantly, when normal variation is considered, 90% (n = 106) of participants' responses lay within the boundaries of normal variation with 4% (n = 5) demonstrating higher mean hunger 301 302 after exercise and 6% (n = 7) reporting lower. Within these studies, we detected a small 303 significant correlation between post-exercise hunger and fat oxidation during exercise (n = 106; r = -0.247; P = 0.011). No relationships were found between mean post-exercise hunger and 304 carbohydrate oxidation (n = 106; r = -0.011; P = 0.911), age (n = 118; r = -0.062; P = 0.504), 305 306 BMI (n = 118; r = -0.055; P = 0.552), weight (n = 118; r = 0.032; P = 0.730), fat-free mass (n= 107; r = -0.081; P = 0.404), fat mass (n = 107; r = 0.082; P = 0.402), energy expenditure (n307 = 116; r = 0.162; P = 0.082) or exercise intensity (n = 116; r = 0.108; P = 0.250). 308

310 Energy intake responses

Data was pooled from five of our previous research studies (n = 60) to explore the diversity of 311 312 ad libitum energy intake responses at one meal provided within 60 min after a single bout of moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise. The table within Supplementary Digital Content 313 4 describes the characteristics of the individual studies included. As a group, there was no 314 315 significant difference in energy intake between paired exercise and control trials (exercise 5899 \pm 1778 kJ; control 5770 \pm 1966 kJ) (P = 0.977; d = 0.10) with energy intake between trials 316 317 showing a strong positive correlation (P < 0.001; r = 0.688). Figure 2a shows that on a crude individual basis there was a range of responses observed (-5005 to + 4389 kJ) with 55% (n =318 33) of participants consuming more and 45% (n = 27) consuming less after exercise. 319 320 Importantly though, when these data are compared against the natural variation in *ad libitum* 321 energy intake at one meal with no intervention (\pm 1937 kJ; 18.9%) it is apparent that 85% (n =51) of participants exhibited responses within this boundary of normal variation. Seven percent 322 of participants (n = 4) documented reduced post-exercise energy intake beyond this boundary 323 whilst 8% (n = 5) showed an increase above this boundary. 324

- 325
- 326
- 327

Insert figure 2 here

328

In this cohort there was no relationship between post-exercise energy intake and prior energy expenditure (r = 0.054; P = 0.720), exercise intensity (r = 0.029; P = 0.850), carbohydrate (r = 0.113; P = 0.454) or fat oxidation (r = -0.049; P = 0.746) (n = 46). Hunger ratings immediately before the first post-exercise meals were lower after exercise, likely reflecting a delayed appetite suppressive effect (exercise 59 ± 28 mm; control 64 ± 23 mm; P = 0.006; d = 0.36). Despite this, pre-meal hunger did not correlate with subsequent energy intake at the first postexercise meal in the control (r = 0.158; P = 0.229) or exercise trials (r = -0.019; P = 0.886) (n = 60).

337

To examine the influence of acute exercise on food intake over the course of entire laboratory 338 trial days, including multiple ad libitum meals in some instances, data from a further six studies 339 were pooled (n = 128) (see table; Supplementary Digital Content 5). Three of the 11 studies 340 provided data from two *ad libitum* meals, the remainder utilised one meal (which was provided 341 342 > 1 h post-exercise). As a group, there was no significant difference in energy intake between paired exercise and control trials (exercise 9694 \pm 5468 kJ; control 9498 \pm 5435 kJ; P = 0.481; 343 d = 0.11) with responses between trials showing a strong positive correlation (P < 0.001; r =344 345 0.949). Figure 2b shows that on a crude individual basis there was a range of responses 346 observed; 59% (n = 75) of participants consumed more and 41% (n = 53) consumed less after exercise. Importantly though, when these data are compared against the natural variation in ad 347 *libitum* energy intake from multiple meals with no intervention (± 2138 kJ; 8.9%), it is apparent 348 that 81% (n = 105) of participants exhibited responses within this boundary of normal variation 349 350 (Figure 2b). Nine percent (n = 11) of participants documented reduced post-exercise energy intake beyond this boundary whilst 10% (n = 12) showed an increase. Across the control (r =351 0.592) and exercise trials (r = 0.623) ad libitum energy intake was associated with hunger 352 353 ratings (both P < 0.001) determined after exercise (or the equivalent time period on the control trial). 354

355

356

357 Acylated ghrelin responses

358 Data describing paired fasting acylated ghrelin plasma concentrations was available for 141 participants (see table; Supplementary Digital Content 6). Two outliers were identified and 359 removed from these analyses because the difference between paired samples was 4.5 and 10.5 360 361 fold greater than the standard deviation of differences between paired samples for the cohort (± 31 pg/mL). One additional outlier was removed because their mean fasting plasma acylated 362 ghrelin values were 7.7 times greater than the group mean (949 pg/mL vs. 123 pg/mL). With 363 364 these outliers removed (n = 138), fasting acylated ghrelin plasma concentrations did not differ between the control (125 \pm 109 pg/mL) and exercise (121 \pm 100 pg/mL) trials (P = 0.638, d =365 366 0.12). The coefficient of repeatability and intra-subject variation between samples was \pm 63 pg/mL and 19.2%, respectively. There were no significant correlations between mean fasting 367 acylated ghrelin and hunger (r = -0.004; P = 0.959), BMI (r = -0.093; P = 0.275), weight (r = -0.093) 368 369 -0.091; P = 0.288), age (r = -0.015; P = 0.860), estimated resting metabolic rate (r = -0.073; P= 0.392), fat-free mass (n = 114; r = 0.092; P = 0.331) or fat mass (n = 114; r = -0.092; P =370 0.331). 371

372

Acylated ghrelin responses during exercise were examined using data derived from 12 studies 373 374 (n = 118, see table in Supplementary Digital Content 7). In eight studies the duration of exercise was 60 min (80 participants); in three studies it was 90 min (30 participants) and in one study 375 376 it was 30 min (eight participants). As a group, the circulating acylated ghrelin AUC was 24% lower during exercise (99 \pm 94 pg/mL/hour) compared with control (131 \pm 106 pg/mL/hour) 377 (P < 0.001; d = 1.0). Figure 3a shows the wide variation in acylated ghrelin responses to 378 exercise with 89% (n = 105) of participants exhibiting lower values on their exercise trial while 379 380 11% (n = 13) demonstrated higher values after exercise. Notably, when comparing these responses to the natural variation in acylated ghrelin measurement over this period ($\pm 17.2\%$, 381 obtained from our new data) it can be seen that 27% (n = 32) of participants demonstrate 382

responses which fall within this normal range, with 66% (n = 78) and 7% (n = 8) showing a suppression and increase beyond of this range, respectively. No significant correlations were found between acylated ghrelin concentrations during exercise and exercise intensity (r = -0.111; P = 0.251) or carbohydrate oxidation (r = 0.122; P = 0.223). Fat oxidation during exercise was positively associated with acylated ghrelin concentrations (r = 0.286; P = 0.004).

- 389 390

Insert figure 3 here

391 The prolonged effects of exercise on circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations were assessed by comparing paired post-exercise acylated ghrelin AUC values across nine studies (n = 89, 392 see the table in Supplementary Digital Content 8). Plasma acylated ghrelin concentrations were 393 394 measured between 3-8 h after exercise. As a group, the post-exercise acylated ghrelin AUC was 16% lower after exercise (108 \pm 101 pg/mL/hour) compared to control (128 \pm 120 395 pg/mL/hour) (P = 0.024; d = 0.61). Individually, Figure 3b shows that 74% (n = 66) of 396 397 participants demonstrated reduced levels of acylated ghrelin whilst 26% (n = 23) showed an increase after exercise. Notably, again, when comparing these responses with the natural 398 acylated ghrelin sampling variation seen across an extended period ($\pm 14.4\%$), 42% (n = 37) of 399 participants' responses were within the boundaries defined by this normal variation whilst 10% 400 (n = 9) and 48% (n = 43) of participants' responses were above and below this range, 401 402 respectively. 403

404

405

406 **DISCUSSION**

407 In this study we have pooled our research group's expansive data archive of acute experimental research trials in an effort to provide novel insights regarding the interaction between exercise 408 and appetite regulation. Specifically, in this paper, the data from 17 of our group's previous 409 410 studies have been collated to interrogate interactions between exercise, hunger, ad libitum energy intake and acylated ghrelin. Importantly, this large database of tightly controlled 411 experimental trials has enabled us to explore inter-subject variation in response to exercise 412 which is a key consideration in precision medicine and has begun to receive attention in energy 413 balance research (13,18,20,38). Our findings clarify and consolidate several previously 414 415 reported outcomes yet also provide new insights which have emerged from our unique collection of data. 416

417

418 The hunger outcomes reported here are consistent with previous findings published within and 419 external to our laboratory which have shown that single bouts of moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise transiently suppress hunger but have little impact in the hours afterwards 420 421 (22,23,25,26,29,30,37). Specifically, in our pool of 178 individuals, group-level analyses showed that mean hunger perceptions are suppressed by approximately one-third during 422 423 exercise which represents a medium- to large-sized statistical effect. Interestingly, there was marked variation in hunger responses which ranged from an extensive suppression to hunger 424 425 stimulation. Importantly though, even when we accounted for the natural day-to-day variation 426 in hunger assessment that occurs when using visual analogue scales, we saw that just over onethird of the study sample reported suppressed hunger below this boundary of variation whilst 427 only a handful of individuals reported increased hunger above this level. The remainder of 428 participants' responses lay within the boundaries of normal variation and therefore it is 429 uncertain whether or not these responses represent true effects or random variation. 430

431

432 It is relevant to note that in our analyses we compared our hunger data to hunger variability estimates derived from a sample of young, healthy males within our laboratory. We 433 purposefully chose to collect this new data so that our comparator values were derived from 434 435 the same population and under the same circumstances as per the experimental studies included within this manuscript. Our variability estimates showed that mean hunger can vary by ± 30 436 mm over the course of one hour which was greater than with additional assessments over a 437 longer period of observation (2.5 h: ± 20 mm). Variability estimates for hunger ratings 438 calculated over extended durations have been published previously by others and which have 439 440 ranged \pm 14-24 mm (14,16,21,32). These values compare favourably with ours over an extended period and support the validity of our comparisons. This new information shows that 441 despite a large amount of variability being apparent in short-term hunger assessments; exercise 442 443 is associated with a robust suppression of hunger for a large proportion of individuals. Additional work is now needed to examine whether this effect of exercise is reproducible 444 across exposures within individuals and to identify the key moderating factors. 445

446

Our analyses of hunger responses in the hours after exercise demonstrated that single bouts of 447 moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise have no impact on hunger during the remainder of 448 the day thereafter for the majority of individuals. Again, this outcome is consistent with 449 450 previous findings and confirms that acute exercise-induced energy deficits do not create an 451 automatic drive to increase hunger (5). Notably, our data showed an even spread of net mean hunger responses post-exercise; however, the vast majority of responses (90%) lay within 452 reported boundaries of normal variation. Consequently, our data shows that there is little 453 454 definitive variation in post-exercise hunger responses, with only 10% of individuals demonstrating changes in post-exercise hunger outside of the normal variation boundaries. In 455

456 future studies it would be interesting to see whether these responses are consistent across457 additional trials for this sub-set of individuals as opposed to representing random events.

458

Given the large number of fasting hunger ratings (n = 192) obtained at the beginning of the 459 paired control and exercise trials, we examined the variation between repeated assessments. 460 We identified a rather large variation in fasting hunger (38%, \pm 44 mm) which is consistent 461 with results from previous studies. Specifically, in a sample of 12 active males, Gonzalez et al 462 (16) reported a 21% co-efficient of variation whilst in a similar population others have 463 464 calculated higher estimates (24-30%) (32). Furthermore, Horner et al (21) reported a higher estimate in a sample of overweight and obese males (35%). Collectively, these data identify 465 the expected variation in fasting hunger ratings across repeated assessments in young, healthy 466 467 males and these data have implications for sample size calculations within experimental research trials. Such high co-efficients of variation also support the measurement of hunger 468 perceptions at multiple time-points in response to an intervention rather than single fasted 469 470 values.

471

In our fasting hunger data we identified significant, albeit weak, correlations with fat-free mass (positive) and fat mass (inverse). These findings support recent suggestions that fat-free mass is a central driver of daily food intake (4) whilst adipose tissue may exert an inhibitory effect on appetite and food intake in lean individuals (3). Homogeneity in our participants' body composition may explain the lower strength of these associations in our cohort compared with other published data (3). Alternatively, this discrepancy may be attributable to the correlational rather than causal relationships between these variables.

In our analyses we also examined the impact of acute exercise on *ad libitum* energy intake at
buffet meals consumed within 60 min after exercise as well as at meals consumed over several

481 hours post-exercise. Consistent with previous data collected outside of our laboratory (25, 26, 28, 33), our pooled analysis showed that at group-level, energy intake was unaffected at meals 482 consumed within the first post-exercise hour. This outcome was apparent, despite hunger 483 484 ratings being significantly lower (8%) immediately before *ad libitum* meals following exercise. Indeed, we actually found that 85% of participants' net energy intake responses (aggregate of 485 control and exercise values) lay within the boundaries of normal day-to-day variation, as 486 487 determined by our own repeatability experiment which was conducted with a similar population and buffet meal. This is an important finding because it demonstrates that there is 488 489 actually very little true variation in *ad libitum* energy intake beyond the summated boundaries of biological variation and technical measurement error. Previously, researchers have 490 491 attempted to categorise individual participants as 'compensators' or 'non-compensators' with 492 regards to the effect of exercise on energy intake based upon aggregated energy intake 493 responses after paired acute exercise and control trials (13,20). In these previous studies, it can be seen however, that the net impact of exercise on energy intake is actually less than the natural 494 495 variation in energy intake from an *ad* libitum meal which has been defined as \pm 1406-1477 kJ (9-12%) with ad libitum homogenous meals (17,21) and \pm 1937 kJ (18.9%) with ad libitum 496 497 buffet meals (latter reported in this paper). Moreover, a recent study has elegantly demonstrated that energy intake responses after exercise show a marked degree of inconsistency; collectively 498 499 meaning that individuals cannot reliably be classified as 'compensators' or 'non-compensators' 500 based upon their energy intake responses to acute exercise (38). Consequently, it is likely that 501 in our analyses, the 15% of participants who reported exercise-induced alterations in energy intake beyond normal variation boundaries may not exhibit this same response if trials were 502 503 repeated.

In our energy intake analysis it is worth noting that the identified variability estimates for our ad *libitum* buffet meals were considerably higher (\pm 1937 kJ, 18.9%) than previously reported

when homogenous meals are provided (17,21). This is most likely because a small change in food selection with a buffet meal on one occasion can produce large differences in energy intake across paired eating assessments. The implication of this is that for studies simply concerned with intervention effects on *ad libitum* energy intake, rather than food selection, a homogenous meal will reduce the variance in energy intake measurement and increase statistical power.

Our analyses are the first to examine the variation in energy intake responses to multiple meals over several hours after exercise. Again, our findings show that exercise had no impact on energy intake across this extended period. Furthermore, the vast majority of variation in responses once more lay within the boundaries of normal variation that we have determined ourselves across two *ad libitum* buffet meals. Our results therefore confirm previous findings demonstrating little impact of exercise on energy intake over extended periods (28) and highlight the lack of true variability in responses.

520

In this manuscript we report the test-retest variability in circulating fasting acylated ghrelin 521 522 concentrations which has been calculated from a large sample of healthy males. We saw no significant difference in fasting acylated ghrelin concentrations between paired trials. This 523 524 outcome supports the findings of Chandarana et al. (7) who also observed no differences in 525 fasting or postprandial plasma acylated ghrelin concentrations, with or without dietary standardisation. Despite this, in our analyses, we identified a rather large variance in fasting 526 plasma concentrations (~19%) even with prior (24 h) dietary and physical activity 527 528 standardisation. This variance is composed of the technical error associated with the assay measurement (typically 6-8% in our laboratory) and biological variation in ghrelin secretion 529 and clearance. For the participants in these analyses, dietary standardisation relied on 530

⁵¹²

individuals accurately maintaining and subsequently following food diaries and it is possible that biological error could be reduced if diet is standardised for a longer period, or if participants are provided with all of their foods during the standardisation phase. Future research should examine these methodological factors as it has direct relevance for appetite and gut hormone assessment in experimental appetite-regulation research.

536

537 A recent meta-analysis of 18 datasets showed that acute exercise transiently supresses circulating concentrations of acylated ghrelin with a small (Cohen's d -0.2) effect size (34). 538 539 Half of the datasets from this analysis were from our laboratory and therefore it is unsurprising that in the present analysis we identified a statistically large exercise-induced suppression of 540 circulating acylated ghrelin during exercise. The larger effect reported in our laboratory 541 542 compared with others is likely related to the characteristics of studies, particularly the exercise 543 intensity imposed, and also to variation in assays utilised. Importantly, our data shows that circulating levels of acylated ghrelin are suppressed in response to acute exercise in the vast 544 majority of individuals examined. Of primary significance, in two-thirds of these cases the 545 reduction was beyond the boundaries of normal variation which we explicitly defined for the 546 purpose of this report. This finding highlights the consistency in the response to exercise yet 547 poses the question of why such robust changes were not seen in the remainder of the study 548 549 sample. Furthermore, the significance of this response is not fully understood and may be 550 unrelated to appetite given that acute changes in response to exercise have not been found to 551 be correlated consistently. In addition to this, although there have been many speculations (19), the mechanism(s) responsible for the exercise related perturbation of acylated ghrelin remain 552 553 unclear.

554 In the present analysis we identified a statistically significant reduction in circulating acylated 555 ghrelin over the course of several hours post-exercise. This finding is interesting given that on

an individual study basis a prolonged reduction in circulating acylated ghrelin in the hours after exercise has not been identified consistently. The substantially larger study sample used in this pooled analysis was therefore necessary to identify this small statistical effect. Interestingly, our data shows that this persistent effect of exercise can be seen robustly in almost half of participants who exhibited suppressed ghrelin levels after exercise that were beyond the calculated range associated with normal variation. Research is now needed to identify the mechanisms producing this effect and to understand its physiological/metabolic significance.

563

564 The analyses in this paper have provided a novel insight regarding the interaction between exercise, hunger, ad libitum energy intake and circulating acylated ghrelin. These analyses have 565 been made possible by the integration of over 10 years of experimental appetite research in our 566 567 laboratory using study protocols with a high degree of similarity. Our findings do however 568 have some limitations which should be recognised. The first important consideration is the generalisability of our data. Because all of our participants were young, healthy men, we do 569 570 not know whether our findings would generalise to other populations such as women, children, those who are inactive or obese. A second limitation of our data is that our homogenous sample 571 may have inhibited the ability to identify associations between key variables reported in this 572 paper. Thirdly, it is feasible that the energy intake response to exercise may differ between a 573 574 laboratory controlled environment and an ecologically valid social setting. However, the aim 575 of this study was to understand the physiological effects of exercise on appetite and energy intake responses in a tightly controlled laboratory environment to control against other 576 confounding factors. Finally, it should be recognised that the studies included in the present 577 578 investigation involved acute exercise protocols that commenced either in the fasted state (n =13) or after a breakfast snack (n = 4). Although our group have shown previously that appetite 579 580 and energy intake responses to acute exercise do not differ depending on feeding status (11),

there is the possibility that this factor could have interacted differently across the variousstudies in our pooled analyses.

583

584 In conclusion, our large pooled dataset confirms that single bouts of moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise transiently, yet robustly, supress hunger but have no impact on ad libitum 585 energy intake across meals consumed on the day of exercise in healthy young men. 586 587 Additionally, our data shows that exercise robustly suppresses circulating concentrations of acylated ghrelin which in this novel analyses was shown to remain suppressed for several hours 588 589 after exercise. Importantly, our findings underscore the necessity to consider normal day-today variation in these outcomes when examining variability in responses between individuals. 590 Most notably, our research shows that in response to acute exercise, there is very little true 591 592 variation in post-exercise hunger and energy intake.

593

594 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

595 This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Diet, 596 Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit based at University Hospitals of 597 Leicester and Loughborough University. The views expressed are those of the authors and not 598 necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

599

600 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. The results of the present study do notconstitute endorsement by ACSM.

603 **REFERENCES**

Atkinson G, Batterham AM. True or false interindividual differences in the
physiological response to an intervention. *Exp Physiol*. 2015; 100(6): 577-588.

| 606 | | |
|-----|----|---|
| 607 | 2. | Bayer R, Galea S. Public health in the precision medicine era. N Engl J Med. 2015; |
| 608 | | 373(6): 499-501. |
| 609 | | |
| 610 | 3. | Blundell JE, Finlayson G, Gibbons C, Caudwell C, Hopkins M. The biology of |
| 611 | | appetite control: do resting metabolic rate and fat-free mass drive energy intake? |
| 612 | | <i>Physiol Behav.</i> 2015; 152(S1): 473-478. |
| 613 | | |
| 614 | 4. | Blundell JE, Gibbons C, Caudwell P, Finlayson G, Hopkins M. Appetite control and |
| 615 | | energy balance: impact of exercise. Obes Rev. 2015; 16(1): 67-76. |
| 616 | | |
| 617 | 5. | Blundell JE, Stubbs RJ, Hughes DA, Whybrow S, King NA. Cross talk between |
| 618 | | physical activity and appetite control: does physical activity stimulate appetite? Proc |
| 619 | | Nurt Soc. 2003; 62(3): 651-661. |
| 620 | | |
| 621 | 6. | Broom DR, Stensel DJ, Bishop NC, Burns SF, Miyashita M. Exercise-induced |
| 622 | | suppression of acylated ghrelin in humans. J Appl Physiol. 2007;102(6):2165-71. |
| 623 | | |
| 624 | 7. | Chandarana K, Drew ME, Emmanuel J, et al. Subject standardization, acclimatisation |
| 625 | | and sample processing affect gut hormone levels and appetite in humans. |
| 626 | | Gastroenterology. 2009; 136(7): 2115-2126. |
| 627 | | |
| 628 | 8. | Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences. Hillsdale, NJ: |
| 629 | | Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1969. 23 p. |
| 630 | | |

- 631 9. Cummings DE. Ghrelin and the short- and long-term regulation of appetite and body
 632 weight. *Physiol Behav.* 2006; 89(1): 71-84.
- 633
- 10. Deighton K, Stensel DJ. Creating an acute energy deficit without stimulating
 compensatory increases in appetite: is there an optimal exercise protocol? *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2014; 73(2): 352-358.
- 637
- 638 11. Deighton K, Zahra JC, Stensel DJ. Appetite, energy intake and resting metabolic

responses to 60 min treadmill running performed in a fed versus a postprandial state.

- 640 *Appetite*. 2012; 58(3): 946-954.
- 641
- burnin JVGA, Wormersley J. Body fat assessment from total body density and its
 estimation from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from
 16 to 72 years. *Br J Nutr.* 1974; 32(1):77-97.
- 645
- Finlayson G, Bryant E, Blundell JE, King NA. Acute compensatory eating following
 exercise is associated with implicit hedonic wanting for food. *Physiol Behav.* 2009;
 97(1): 62-67.
- 649
- 14. Flint A, Raben A, Blundell JE, Astrup A. Reproducibility, power and validity of visual
 analogue scales in assessment of appetite sensations in single test meal studies. *Int J Obes*. 2000; 24(1):38-48.
- 653
- 654 15. Frayn KN. Calculation of substrate oxidation rates in vivo from gaseous exchange. J
 655 Appl Physiol. 1983;55(2):628-34.

| 656 | |
|-----|--|
| 657 | 16. Gonzalez JT, Veasey RC, Rumbold PL, Stevenson EJ. Consistency of metabolic |
| 658 | responses and appetite sensations under postabsorptive and postprandial conditions. |
| 659 | Appetite. 2012; 59(2): 228-233. |
| 660 | |
| 661 | 17. Gregersen NT, Flint A, Bitz C, Blundell JE, Raben A, Astrup A. Reproducibility and |
| 662 | power of ad libitum energy intake assessed by repeated single meals. Am J Clin Nutr. |
| 663 | 2008; 87(5): 1277-1281. |
| 664 | |
| 665 | 18. Hagobian TA, Yamashiro M, Hinkel-Lipsker J, Streder K, Evero N, Hackney T. |
| 666 | Effects of acute exercise on appetite hormones and ad libitum food intake in men and |
| 667 | women. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2013; 38(1): 66-72. |
| 668 | |
| 669 | 19. Hazell TJ, Islam H, Townsend LK, Schmale MS, Copeland JL. Effects of exercise |
| 670 | intensity on plasma concentrations of appetite-regulating hormones: potential |
| 671 | mechanisms. Appetite. 2016; 98: 80-88. |
| 672 | |
| 673 | 20. Hopkins M, Blundell JE, King NA. Individual variability in compensatory eating |
| 674 | following acute exercise in overweight and obese women. Br J Sports Med. 2014; |
| 675 | 48(20): 1472-1476. |
| 676 | |
| 677 | 21. Horner KM, Byrne NM, King NA. Reproducibility of subjective appetite ratings and |
| 678 | ad libitum test meal energy intake in overweight and obese males. Appetite. 2014; 81: |
| 679 | 116-122. |
| 680 | |

| 681 | 22. Howe SM, Hand TM, Larson-Meyer DE, Austin KJ, Alexander BM, Manore MM. |
|-----|--|
| 682 | No effect of exercise intensity on appetite in highly trained women. Nutrients. 2016; |
| 683 | 8: 233. |
| 684 | |
| 685 | 23. Kawano H, Mineta M, Miyashita M, et al. Effects of different modes of exercise on |
| 686 | appetite and appetite regulating hormones. Appetite. 2013; 66: 26-33. |
| 687 | |
| 688 | 24. King JA, Wasse LK, Broom DR, Stensel DJ. Influence of brisk walking on appetite, |
| 689 | energy intake and plasma acylated ghrelin. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2010; 42(3): 485- |
| 690 | 492. |
| 691 | |
| 692 | 25. King NA, Blundell JE. High fat foods overcome the energy expenditure induced by |
| 693 | high intensity cycling or running. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1995; 49(2): 114-123. |
| 694 | |
| 695 | 26. King NA, Burley VJ, Blundell JE. Exercise-induced suppression of appetite: effects |
| 696 | on food intake and implications for energy balance. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1994; 48(10): |
| 697 | 715-724. |
| 698 | |
| 699 | 27. King NA, Hopkins M, Caudwell P, Stubbs RJ, Blundell JE. Individual variability |
| 700 | following 12 weeks of supervised exercise: identification and characterisation of |
| 701 | compensation for exercise-induced weight loss. Int J Obes. 2008; 32(1): 177-184. |
| 702 | |
| 703 | 28. King NA, Lluch A, Stubbs RJ, Blundell JE. High dose exercise does not increase |
| 704 | hunger or energy intake in free-living males. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1997; 51(7): 478-483. |
| 705 | |

| 706 | 29. Laan DJ, Leidy HJ, Lim E, Campbell WW. Effects and reproducibility of aerobic and |
|-----|---|
| 707 | resistance exercise on appetite and energy intake in young, physically active adults. |
| 708 | Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2010; 35(6): 842-847. |
| 709 | |
| 710 | 30. Martins C, Morgan LM, Bloom SR, Robertson MD. Effects of exercise on gut |
| 711 | peptides, energy intake and appetite. J Endocrinol. 2007; 193(2): 251-258. |
| 712 | |
| 713 | 31. Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA, Scott BJ, Daugherty SA, Koh YO. A new predictive |
| 714 | equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy males. Am J Clin Nutr. 1990; |
| 715 | 51(2): 241-247. |
| 716 | |
| 717 | 32. Raben A, Tagliabue A, Astrup A. The reproducibility of subjective appetite scores. Br |
| 718 | J Nutr. 1995; 73(4): 517-530. |
| 719 | |
| 720 | 33. Schubert MM, Desbrow B, Sabapathy S, Leveritt M. Acute exercise and energy |
| 721 | intake. A meta-analysis. Appetite. 2013; 63: 92-104. |
| 722 | |
| 723 | 34. Schubert MM, Sabapathy S, Leveritt M, Desbrow B. Acute exercise and hormones |
| 724 | related to appetite regulation: a meta-analysis. Sports Med. 2014; 44(3): 387-403. |
| 725 | |
| 726 | 35. Siri WE. The gross composition of the body. Adv Biol Med Phys. 1956;4:239-280. |
| 727 | |
| 728 | 36. Stubbs RJ, Sepp A, Hughes DA, et al. The effect of graded levels of exercise on |
| 729 | energy intake and balance in free-living men consuming their normal diet. Eur J Clin |
| 730 | Nutr. 2002; 56(2): 129-140. |

| 731 | |
|-----|--|
| 732 | 37. Ueda S, Yoshikawa T, Katsura Y, Usui T, Fujimoto S. Comparable effects of |
| 733 | moderate intensity exercise on changes in anorectic gut hormone levels and energy |
| 734 | intake to high intensity exercise. J Endocrinol. 2009; 203(3): 257-364. |
| 735 | |
| 736 | 38. Unick JL, O'Leary KC, Dorfman L, Thomas JG, Strojacker K, Wing RR. Consistency |
| 737 | in compensatory eating responses following acute exercise in inactive, overweight |
| 738 | and obese women. Br J Nutr. 2015; 113(7): 1170-1177. |
| 739 | |
| 740 | 39. Whybrow S, Hughes DA, Ritz P, et al. The effect of an incremental increase in |
| 741 | exercise on appetite, eating behaviour and energy balance in lean men and women |
| 742 | feeding ad libitum. Br J Nutr. 2008; 100(5): 1109-1115. |
| 743 | |
| 744 | 40. Wren AM, Seal LJ, Cohen MA et al. Ghrelin enhances appetite and increases food |
| 745 | intake in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001; 86(12): 5992-5995. |
| 746 | |
| 747 | |
| 748 | |

749 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1: mean hunger ratings (exercise minus control) obtained during (a, n = 178) and after exercise (b, n = 118). Values above zero indicate increased hunger during or after exercise; values below zero indicate reduced hunger. Horizontal lines represent zones of natural variation across 1 h (1a: \pm 30 mm) and 2.5 h (1b: \pm 20 mm).

754

Figure 2: Energy intake (exercise minus control) at (a, n = 60) one meal consumed within 60 min post-exercise and (b, n = 128) at multiple meals after exercise. Each individual data point represents the response for a single study participant. Values above zero indicate increased energy intake after exercise; values below zero indicate reduced energy intake after exercise. Horizontal lines represent zones of natural variation ($2a \pm 1937$ kJ; $2b \pm 2138$ kJ).

760

Figure 3: circulating acylated ghrelin concentrations (exercise minus control) during (a, n =118) and over several hours after (b, n = 89) exercise. Each individual data point represents the response for a single study participant. Values above zero indicate increased acylated ghrelin after exercise; values below zero indicate reduced acylated ghrelin after exercise. Horizontal lines represent zones of natural variation (3a ± 17.2 %; 3b ± 14.4%).

766

767

768

769 770

771

772

774 SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT

| 775 | SDC 1 (.doc file): studies included in the fasting hunger analyses ($n = 192$) |
|-----|---|
| 776 | |
| 777 | SDC 2 (.doc file): Studies included in the analysis examining hunger responses during |
| 778 | exercise $(n = 178)$ |
| 779 | |
| 780 | SDC 3 (.doc file): Studies included in the analysis examining hunger responses after exercise |
| 781 | (n = 118) |
| 782 | |
| 783 | SDC 4 (.doc file): Studies included in energy intake analysis at the first post-exercise meal (n |
| 784 | = 60) |
| 785 | |
| 786 | SDC 5 (.doc file): Studies included in energy intake analysis for all meals after exercise (n = |
| 787 | 128) |
| 788 | SDC 6 (.doc file): Studies included in fasting acylated ghrelin analysis ($n = 138$) |
| 789 | |
| 790 | SDC 7 (.doc file): Studies included in the analysis examining acylated ghrelin responses |
| 791 | during exercise $(n = 118)$ |
| 792 | |
| 793 | SDC 8 (.doc file): Studies included in the analysis examining acylated ghrelin responses after |
| 794 | exercise $(n = 89)$ |
| | |











