1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Manuscript accepted for publication in Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health.
12	This version of the article may not exactly replicate the final version that is published in the
13	journal and is not the copy of record. The final article can be found using the doi:
14	10.1080/2159676X.2016.1261364
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	Olympic and International Level Sports Coaches' Experiences of Stressors, Appraisals, and
34	Coping
35	Faye F. Didymus
36	Leeds Beckett University, United Kingdom
37	$\kappa \mathcal{D}^{\star}$
38	
39	
40	
41	Author Note
42	
43	Faye F. Didymus; Institute for Sport, Physical Activity, and Leisure; Leeds Beckett
44	University; United Kingdom.
45	This work was supported by a grant from the Early Career Researcher Development
46	Scheme at Leeds Beckett University.
47	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Faye F. Didymus,
48	Carnegie Research Institute, Fairfax Hall, Leeds Beckett University, Headingley Campus,
49	Leeds, LS6 3QS, United Kingdom. Telephone: 4411-3812-6709. E-mail:
50	F.Didymus@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

Abstract

52 The aim of this study was to use the cognitive-motivational-relational theory (CMRT) of 53 stress and emotions as a lens to explore psychological stress with Olympic and international 54 level sports coaches. In particular, the study aimed to explore situational properties of stressors and coaches' appraisals to address voids in the published literature. Guided by my 55 constructionist epistemological position that contains traces of post-positivism and my 56 relativist view of reality, I conducted semi-structured interviews with six women and nine 57 58 men. I applied abductive logic during latent thematic analyses to organise and analyse the 59 data. The findings suggest that the coaches experienced many stressors that related to ten themes (e.g., athlete concerns, performance) and that these stressors were underpinned by 60 seven situational properties (e.g., ambiguity, imminence, novelty). The coaches reported 61 challenge and threat appraisals and, to a lesser extent, benefit and harm/loss appraisals. The 62 ways of coping that were discussed with the coaches related to seven families of coping (e.g., 63 dyadic coping, support seeking) that each play a different role in adaptive processes. 64 Collectively, the findings shed new light on the explanatory potential of situational properties 65 and appraisals and go some way toward understanding coaches' diverse experiences. The 66 CMRT was a useful framework for understanding high-level coaches' stress transactions and, 67 68 thus, could be used in future research with this unique population. Coaches, practitioners, and researchers should attend to the ways that coaches appraise and cope with stressors to 69 facilitate their adaptation to the potentially stressful nature of coaching at the highest levels. 70 71 *Keywords:* appraising, elite sport, Lazarus, NVivo, qualitative 72 73 74

51

75

77

Olympic and International Level Sports Coaches' Experiences of Stressors, Appraisals, and

#### Coping

78 The potentially stressful nature of sports coaching at Olympic and international levels 79 has been well documented (e.g., Gould et al. 2002, Olusoga et al. 2009, 2010, 2012). Some 80 of the reasons why coaching at the highest level can be a stressful occupation relate to the multiple roles that coaches are required to fulfil (Lyle 2002, Miller et al. 2002), the pressure 81 to perform that coaches experience in relation to their own performance and that of the 82 athletes they work with (Gould et al. 2002), the long working hours that coaches often endure 83 (Knight et al. 2013), and the volatile nature of the elite coaching profession (Hill and 84 Sotiriadou 2016). These factors make coaching a unique occupation and differentiate elite 85 86 level coaching from other levels of competitive involvement. Despite some knowledge of the reasons why coaching can be stressful and a consensus that understanding stress with sports 87 88 coaches is vitally important for performance and personal reasons (e.g., Fletcher and Scott 2010), coaches' stress experiences are not yet fully understood (Thelwell et al. 2016). 89 Psychological stress, which is an umbrella term that encompasses stressors, 90 appraisals, coping, and strain, can be defined as a 'relationship between the person and the 91 92 environment that is appraised by the person as taxing or exceeding his or her resources and 93 endangering his or her well-being' (Lazarus and Folkman 1984, p. 19). This definition is based on a relational conceptualisation of stress, which was central to Lazarus' (1999) 94 cognitive-motivational-relational theory (CMRT) of stress and emotions. According to this 95 theory, stressors, situational properties (e.g., imminence, duration, timing in relation to life 96 97 cycle), appraising, and coping are closely related concepts that are influential in individuals' experiences of stress. The CMRT describes stressors as environmental demands that have the 98 99 potential to be appraised as psychologically noxious and highlights the important role of 100 situational properties of stressors in determining individuals' appraisals. The theory defines

101 appraising, which is the verb form of the noun appraisal, as 'the evaluative process by which 102 the relational meaning is constructed' (Lazarus 1999, p. 13). This concept is fundamentally 103 different to outcomes of stress (e.g., changes to wellbeing and or performance), which are 104 thought to arise from an inability to cope. According to the CMRT, coping refers to dynamic cognitive and behavioural efforts that aim to manage demands that are appraised as taxing or 105 exceeding the individual's resources (see also Lazarus and Folkman 1984). Some of the 106 107 concepts described here (i.e., stressors, coping) have been explored as individual components of sports coaches' stress experiences (see e.g., Levy et al. 2009, Olusoga et al. 2009) but the 108 relevance of the CMRT to the context of coaching is unknown. This is surprising when 109 considering that the CMRT is widely used in different contexts, including sport (e.g., Uphill 110 111 and Jones 2007) and experimental psychology (e.g., Smith and Lazarus 1993), and when keeping the benefits of theoretically informed research (e.g., advancing understanding of 112 113 complex phenomena) in mind.

In the sports coaching literature, stress has often been explored in relation to burnout 114 (see, for a review, Schaffran et al. 2016) and, as alluded to, some researchers have reported 115 lists of stressors that coaches experience (e.g., Wang and Ramsey 1998, Olusoga et al. 2009) 116 and the coping strategies that they use (e.g., Levy et al. 2009). Such lists are useful for 117 118 developing preliminary understanding of coaches' experiences but they hold limited practical significance and do not provide comprehensive insight to coaches' transactions with their 119 120 environment. This dearth of comprehensive knowledge is problematic because unexplored 121 components of coaches' stress experiences (e.g., situational properties of stressors, 122 appraisals) can play pivotal roles in functioning and adaptation (Lazarus 1999). In addition to 123 list-like overviews of stressors and coping strategies that have often been reported 124 independently of each other, researchers have suggested that coaches perceive 'staying cool under pressure' to be an important factor in their coaching effectiveness (Gould et al. 2002) 125

and that they view coping as important for successful coaching at the Olympic level (Olusoga *et al.* 2012). Using a psychophysiological lens, Hudson *et al.* (2013) reported that coaches'
alpha-amylase activity, subjective stress, arousal, and unpleasant emotions were higher on
competition days when compared to noncompetition days. Collectively, this research
provides insight to individual components of coaches' stress transactions and suggests that
coaches' must be able to effectively cope with stress, particularly on competition days, to
maintain their performance.

133 In addition to studies that have reported coaches' perceptions of their stress transactions, some scholars have explored the links between coaches' and athletes' 134 135 experiences. For example, Hardy (1992) examined athletes' stress experiences and found that 136 social evaluation by the coach was a noteworthy stressor for athletes. Other more recent articles (see e.g., Parent et al. 2014, Alsentali and Anshel 2015) support the suggestion that 137 athletes can experience numerous stressors that relate to their coach. In a study that explored 138 139 athletes' perceptions of coaches' stress experiences, Thelwell et al. (2016) found that both the coaching environment and athletes themselves were negatively affected by coaches' 140 experiences of stress. Other researchers (e.g., Olusoga et al. 2010) have explored the links 141 between coach and athlete stress experiences from the point of view of the coach, rather than 142 143 the athlete, and found that coaches' perceived that their negative responses to stress could be projected onto athletes. With these findings in mind and when considering the potential 144 ramifications of coaches' stressful transactions for athletes and coaches, further research that 145 146 aims to understand how coaches cope with stress is warranted.

When exploring coping, researchers (e.g., Levy *et al.* 2009) have often used broad,
structural coping distinctions (e.g., problem-focused, emotion-focused, avoidance coping)
that are focused on the intention and function of coping efforts (Lazarus and Folkman 1984)
to classify ways of coping. However, other researchers (e.g., Skinner *et al.* 2003, Didymus

151 and Fletcher 2014) have criticised these classifications and proposed a system that, in line with the CMRT, views coping as an adaptive process (Skinner *et al.* 2003). This way of 152 153 classifying coping is based on a hierarchal system of action types that spans the conceptual 154 space between coping at the ground level and the adaptive processes that act as mediators between stress and long-term effects on health and functioning (Skinner et al. 2003). This 155 system consists of twelve families of coping (e.g., problem solving, self-reliance) that have 156 been used in recent research with athletes (Tamminen and Holt 2010, Didymus and Fletcher 157 2014). Skinner et al. (2003) pointed out that some of the families of coping are likely to be 158 more relevant in some contexts than in others. Thus, the classification system was designed 159 for use with various age groups and for diverse contexts. It would, therefore, be useful to 160 161 identify the families of coping that are most relevant to high-level sports coaches and to explore the functions that these families could play in coaches' adaptation to their 162 environment. 163

It is apparent that high-level level coaches' stress experiences are worthy of academic 164 attention. Thus, it is surprising that there appears to be no published research that attempts to 165 understand why different coaches respond to similar stressors in different ways or why the 166 same coach may appraise a stressor as stressful on one occasion yet appraise the same 167 168 stressor as benign on another occasion (Fletcher and Scott 2010). According to the CMRT (Lazarus 1999), situational properties of stressors and appraising offer explanatory potential 169 for understanding individuals' diverse stress experiences. Lazarus (1999) admits that his 170 171 CMRT pays little attention to situational properties of stressors and that further research is 172 needed to examine the properties of situations that determine the potential for a stressful appraisal. The findings of previous research with world class coaches highlight that, despite 173 174 the potentially stressful nature of high level coaching, little is known about why coaches use limited psychological skills to manage stressful encounters (Olusoga et al. 2010). With this 175

and the widespread agreement that coaching at Olympic and international levels is a
demanding profession in mind (e.g., Gould *et al.* 2002), the aim of this study was to use the
CMRT as a lens to explore psychological stress with a sample of Olympic and international
level sports coaches. In particular, the study aimed to explore situational properties of
stressors and coaches' appraisals to address voids in the published literature.

181

### **Methodology and Methods**

#### 182 Philosophical Assumptions

Notwithstanding calls for epistemological ambiguity in qualitative research (e.g., 183 Koro-Ljungberg et al. 2009), this study was paradigm driven due to the usefulness of this 184 approach as a heuristic device for researchers (Wolgemuth et al. 2014). My epistemological 185 186 position is such that knowledge is constructed, rather than created, via social interaction (Crotty 1998, Sparkes and Smith 2008). From this position, which is referred to as 187 constructionism, I see the process of understanding as 'the result of an active, cooperative 188 189 enterprise of persons in relationship' (Gergen 1985, p. 267). My epistemological position also contains traces of post-positivism (see Hill 2012), which allows me to focus on explaining 190 and understanding at the nomothetic level. With reference to ontology, I have a relativist view 191 192 of reality (Smith and Caddick 2012) and assume that my values and experiences influence 193 what I understand. To maintain an open and thoughtful mind throughout this project, I 194 maintained a reflexive journal using the internal sources function in NVivo (QSR International Pty Ltd. 2016). The aims of this activity were to expose implicit biases in my 195 196 approach to knowledge construction (Finlay and Gough 2003), to remain aware of my 197 internal responses to the research process (Etherington 2004), and to acknowledge 198 subjectivity while capturing my developing understanding of the study method and findings 199 (Sparkes and Smith 2014).

200 Interviewees

201 Six women and nine men ( $M_{age} = 36.92$ , SD = 15.43 years) who were coaching at Olympic or international level ( $M_{\text{experience}} = 13.75$ , SD = 11.41 years) and represented 202 203 individual (athletics, equestrian, squash, tennis, triathlon) and team (netball, rugby league, 204 rugby union, water polo) sports took part in this study. Due to the limited number of coaches 205 working at Olympic or international level in each aforementioned sport, I have refrained from including further demographic information that could compromise the coaches' 206 confidentiality. I used a criterion-based variation of purposeful sampling (Patton 2015) to 207 208 recruit the sample. There were two criteria for participation in the study: 1) the coaches had to be coaching at Olympic or international level at the time of data collection and 2) and the 209 coaches needed one or more years of coaching experience at this level. In line with previous 210 211 research (e.g., Rhind et al. 2013), I deemed these criteria appropriate for recruiting interviewees who could co-construct knowledge that was relevant to the aim of this project. I 212 assumed that each coach could articulate his or her sport-related experiences of stressors, 213 214 appraisals, and coping.

215 Data Collection

216 Development of Interview Guide

I developed an interview guide using previous research on coach stressors and coping 217 strategies (Thelwell et al. 2008, Olusoga et al. 2009, 2010). I adopted a semi-structured 218 approach to the design of the interview guide, which included main questions that I asked to 219 each interviewee, flexible probing questions that aimed to encourage the coaches to elaborate 220 221 on their answers, and clarification questions that I could use in instances where an 222 interviewee's answer was unclear. This semi-structured approach allowed interviewees to 223 discuss areas of perceived importance (Sparkes and Smith 2014) while allowing me to collect 224 data that were relevant to the research aim. In addition, the chosen approach complements my 225 constructionist position by allowing me and the interviewees to engage in flexible and

226 collaborative co-construction of knowledge (Roulston 2010).

### 227 Interview Questions

228 The interview questions were divided into four sections. The first section consisted of 229 open questions (e.g., 'what do you understand the term "stress" to mean?') that were 230 designed to ascertain each coach's understanding of key terms (stress, stressors, situational properties, appraising, and coping). Section two of the interview guide asked one open 231 232 question to generate a list of memorable stressors that the interviewees had experienced 233 during their role as an Olympic or international level coach. Section three consisted of a series of open questions that I asked in relation to each stressor that was recalled during the 234 second section. These questions were designed to encourage discussion about pivotal 235 components of the stress process (Didymus and Fletcher 2012, 2014). For example, I asked 236 the interviewees to 'describe the characteristics of the stressor in terms of what made it 237 stressful' to explore underlying situational properties of stressors and encouraged the coaches 238 239 to explain how they evaluated each stressor ('how did you evaluate this stressor?') to explore their appraisals. I explored the coaches' coping strategies by asking 'what did you do to cope 240 with this stressor?' The collective aim of the first three sections of the interview guide was to 241 242 facilitate detailed discussions about the stressors that had left a lasting impression on coaches 243 and, thus, to explore their experiences of stress. The fourth section of the interview guide included open and closed questions to discuss each interviewee's thoughts about the research 244 (e.g., 'how did you find the interview?' and 'were you able to fully discuss your experiences 245 of psychological stress?'). 246

247 *Pilot Study* 

I piloted the interview guide with two coaches. One of these coaches had recently retired after an international coaching career that spanned 18 consecutive years. The second pilot interviewee was coaching national level athletes at the time of the study and had 11 years of experience as an international level coach. During the pilot phase, both of the coaches suggested that the question 'how did you evaluate this stressor?' required further clarification. Therefore, in collaboration with the pilot interviewees, I changed this question to 'at the time that the stressor occurred, how did you evaluate the impact of it on your wellbeing?' No other refinements were made to the interview guide.

#### 256 *Procedure*

Following institutional ethical approval, I contacted high-level coaches via an e-mail 257 that contained information about the nature and purpose of the study. This communication 258 also informed coaches that participation in the study would involve one face-to-face 259 interview with me; that the study was in compliance with the British Psychological Society's 260 261 Code of Ethics and Conduct; and that data would be collected, stored, and destroyed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. Potential interviewees were invited to contact 262 me if they wanted to take part. Those who did make contact with me arranged a convenient 263 date, time, and location for an interview. At this stage of the procedure, I sent a copy of the 264 interview guide to each coach and asked him or her to familiarise with the questions that 265 would be asked. At the beginning of each interview, I asked each coach to confirm that he or 266 she understood the purpose and procedure of the study and that he or she was happy for the 267 268 interview to commence. Each interviewee then provided written informed consent and disclosed his or her age, gender, current coaching level, and coaching experience to a 269 demographic details sheet. I audio recorded each interview using a password encrypted 270 271 digital recording device. Each interview lasted between 45 and 95 minutes ( $M_{\text{length}} = 63$ , SD =17). 272

### 273 Data Analyses

I transcribed the audio files verbatim using Microsoft Word®. The transcription
 process represented an opportunity for me to immerse in the data and, thus, assisted with the

276 analyses. I deemed latent thematic analysis to be appropriate because it encouraged me to identify, analyse, and report patterns in the data (Braun and Clark 2006) and, thus, address the 277 278 aim of the study. In addition, this method is compatible with my constructionist 279 epistemological position that contains traces of post-positivism because it allowed me to 280 focus on explaining and understanding the coaches' experiences by exploring the data set as a whole. I used NVivo (QSR International Pty Ltd. 2016) to assist the six phases of thematic 281 analysis that I conducted in a recursive manner: familiarisation with the data, generating and 282 grouping codes, searching for and identifying themes, reviewing the themes, defining and 283 naming the themes, and producing this article (see Braun and Clark 2006, Merriam and 284 285 Tisdell 2016).

I applied abductive logic (Denzin 1978, Patton 2015) throughout the analyses to 286 encourage creative knowledge construction and to apply a theoretical framework to the 287 interviewees' experiences. This procedure was appropriate because the aim of the study was 288 289 to explore psychological stress (inductive) using the CMRT (Lazarus, 1999) as a theoretical lens (deductive). The abductive approach to latent thematic analysis first involved me 290 generating inductive codes that I grouped together to represent subjective experiences. I then 291 292 searched for and identified themes before making preliminary connections between the 293 coaches' experiences and the CMRT. While remaining open minded to the unexpected, I deductively reviewed, defined, and named each theme as a CMRT-related concept (i.e., 294 stressors, situational properties, appraisals, and coping). Throughout the data analyses, I 295 296 explored various interpretations of the data with a critical friend. These explorations included 297 discussions about the data that appeared to resonate most deeply with or be most pertinent to the coaches (e.g., we explored the number of times that each coach and the entire sample 298 299 discussed a particular theme and the language that the coaches used). In accordance with 300 Ryba and colleagues (2012), the purpose of these and broader discussions with the critical

friend was to bridge 'diverse psychological worlds' (p. 86) and to expose the interpretations to 'new possibilities of meaning' (p. 86). In light of this purpose, I chose a critical friend who is an expert in qualitative research, rather than psychological stress, so that we could draw on our different knowledge and experience to consider various meanings.

305 Research Quality

I view criteria for judging the quality of qualitative enquiry from a non-foundational 306 perspective (Smith and Caddick 2012). Thus, I see quality-related characteristics of research 307 as time- and place-contingent (Sparkes and Smith 2014). With this in mind, I deemed the 308 most appropriate criteria for judging the quality of this research to be the substantive 309 contribution of the findings, coherence, resonance, and credibility. To expand on each of 310 311 these characterising traits briefly, I aimed to co-construct knowledge that contributes to understanding of high-level coaches' experiences of stress and, thus, report findings that are 312 substantive. A substantive report on the findings was also achieved by using thick quotes 313 314 from the participants when creating the results section of this manuscript. I assessed the coherence of the findings (i.e., how well they created a meaningful and complete picture; 315 Smith and Caddick 2012) throughout the study via discussions with a critical friend. With 316 reference to resonance, my aim was to produce findings that are valuable in Olympic and 317 318 international level coaching contexts and in various situations within these contexts (cf. Tracy 2010). Finally, I enhanced credibility by spending time with the participants, by sharing each 319 coach's interview transcription with that individual to encourage reflection and dialogue 320 321 about the data that I had deemed most pertinent, by using NVivo (QSR International Pty Ltd. 2016) to maintain a reflexive journal and an audit trail of the research, and by having a 322 critical friend to scrutinize and discuss matters such as the sampling and data analyses. 323 324 Results

325

The themes that we (me and the participants) constructed relate to stressors (Table 1),

situational properties (Table 2), primary appraisals (Table 3), and coping (Table 4). The
results are presented as quotes from the interviewees that are interweaved with my
interpretations of the data. This method of representation allows the voices of the coaches to
be foregrounded and addresses the aim of the study by providing insight to the coaches'
subjective experiences of working at the highest levels of coaching. Pseudonyms are used
throughout the results section to protect the coaches' identities.

### 332 Stressors Experienced by the Coaches

I defined this dimension of the results as 'environmental demands (i.e., events, 333 situations, or conditions; Fletcher et al. 2006) that were encountered by the coaches.' The 334 coaches reported a variety of stressors that related to the following themes: athlete concerns, 335 336 coaching responsibilities, expectations, finance, governance, interference, organizational management, performance, preparation, and selection (see Table 1). Five of these themes 337 resonated most deeply with the coaches: athlete concerns, coaching responsibilities, 338 339 interference, organizational management, and performance. The codes within the athlete concerns theme related to athlete commitment and professionalism. In the following example, 340 Jonathan described his experience of a lack of athlete professionalism: 'As a coach you face 341 many stressors, like today, I sent a lad home because he went out for some beers last night 342 343 and turned up [to training] not in the best of states. It was unacceptable.'

344

#### [Table 1 near here]

Turning to coaching responsibilities, the codes within this theme related to communicating with athletes, managing athletes psychologically, and meeting athletes' training needs. For example, Peter spoke about his management of athletes' anxiety prior to major competitions: '[Location] and [location] are their two events of the whole year to shine and attract new owners. There's no dress rehearsal and that pressure shows in the rider. It's so stressful because I have to manage their anxiety.'

351	With reference to stressors in the interference theme, the group of codes encompassed
352	conflict between individuals, distractions, equine quandary, media, parents, and weather
353	conditions. With reference to conflict between individuals, Kristin spoke about conflict
354	between members of a netball team: 'When you've got the squad bickering with each other it
355	impacts the on field play. If your players aren't getting on off the field, that creates a bit of
356	tension. So yeah, it's difficult.' Turning to the stressors that related to the media, Roland
357	described his thoughts about relentless media attention: 'You can take it from me, there's no
358	other job like it that will have that amount of impact in terms of media and fans. It is just
359	constant, every day and yes, that's stressful.'
360	Within the organizational management theme, the codes incorporated management
361	responsibilities, reliability of colleagues, travel, and working hours. For example, Roland
362	discussed how long working hours adversely influenced his personal life:
363	I'm getting divorced at the moment and the reason I'm getting divorced is because I
364	am hell bent on making my job work. That means working every hour I have to. The
365	by-product is that I am disconnected from my family. I don't have a partner who is
366	ready to support me and go through the rough and smooth in all of the stressful times,
367	and I don't have time to commit fully to my job and my family. A lot is laid on my
368	doorstep. No matter what, this job has to get done and everything else has to wait.
369	Moving on to performance-related stressors, the codes in this theme related to athlete
370	performance, coach performance, and injury. With reference to athlete performance, many of
371	the coaches discussed stressors related to losing as a result of athlete underperformance. To
372	illustrate, Anabelle spoke about tennis players' underperformance and regular losses: 'When
373	you're losing all the time because players aren't performing it's the hardest job in the world
374	being a coach you're unhappy and you've got to get your players upbeat, you know, it's
375	really hard.' Each of the coaches discussed injury as a significant stressor for them and the

athletes who they work with. In the following quote, Jason described his stressful experiences
relating to injury-anticipation in triathlon: 'The thing that's most stressful is the worry that
something really serious might happen to one of your athletes...an injury. You know, we have
a lot of bike crashes every year and people do get injured, some very badly.'

380 Situational Properties of Stressors

I defined this dimension of the results as 'some underpinning aspect of an 381 environmental demand that determined the potential for a stressful appraisal' (Didymus and 382 383 Fletcher 2012). The coaches discussed seven situational properties that underpinned their stressful experiences: ambiguity, duration, event uncertainty, imminence, novelty, temporal 384 uncertainty, and timing in relation to life cycle (see Table 2). Ambiguity, imminence, and 385 386 novelty appeared to be the most pertinent properties that were experienced by the coaches. Ambiguity, which I conceptualised as situations where the necessary information required to 387 make an appraisal was unavailable or insufficient, is illustrated in the following quote from 388 389 Thomas: 'It is stressful because we're not sure whether, for this tournament in May, whether we're going to get £10,000 or £15,000 or whatever, you know? I'm not sure what to think; 390 it's unclear and that's confusing.' I conceptualised imminence, which was discussed by each 391 392 of the coaches in this study, as the amount of time before an event occurs (see Lazarus and 393 Folkman 1984). In the following example, Nellie spoke about a lack of time before an event, which was influential in forming her appraisal: 'At late notice I had to take another group of 394 athletes and *V* hadn't had time to prepare. That's stressful because you think about things 395 396 differently when you're under time pressure like that.' With reference to novelty, which 397 relates to the effect of prior knowledge, Alison discussed her experiences of being a new 398 coach: 'I was the new coach and I had limited experience; it was me trying to fit in with the 399 other coaches as well as me being a good coach. That was quite stressful.'

400

[Table 2 near here]

With reference to the other situational properties that the coaches discussed, the next quote is from Jason who spoke about the duration of stressors. This property refers to the length of time that a stressor persists: 'I think the really stressful things are those that have built up over a period of time . . . maybe you feel that your relationship with the athlete is not going well . . . that can be stressful if it lasts.' Turning to event uncertainty, which I conceptualised as the probability of an event occurring, Alison spoke about unpredictable weather conditions:

Unpredictable weather is stressful. You could be outside one minute with bright 408 sunshine and the next minute it's chucking it down. Half the time you have no idea 409 whether it's going to rain or not. Even at the elite level, the athletes don't really like 410 411 the rain so that's all added stress when you're not sure whether it's going to happen. In the following quote, Thomas discussed temporal uncertainty (i.e., a lack of clarity 412 regarding the timings of an event) that related to athletes' training sessions: 'One example is 413 that we have certain pool bookings over the weekend but we're not completely sure of when 414 they are ... I mean that's not perfect, that's not the way things should be.' I conceptualised 415 timing in relation to life cycle as the contextual properties that define the timing of an event. 416 In this example, Joshua spoke about the timing of competitive events in relation to public 417 418 holidays:

- The timescales weren't great, linked in with the previous chat about the Christmas
  period happening at the wrong time of the calendar year and the timescales that
  [country] and [governing body] have put on these selection meets . . . it's quite a lot of
  stress.
- 423 Coaches' Primary Appraisals of Stressors

I defined the primary appraisal dimension of data as 'evaluations of environmental
demands in terms of their relevance to the coach's beliefs, values, goal commitments, and

426 situational intentions' (cf. Lazarus 1999). The coaches in this study most often discussed 427 challenge and threat appraisals but did also refer to benefit and harm/loss appraisals on 428 occasion (see Table 3). With reference to challenge appraisals, Hannah suggested that she felt 429 'quite enthusiastic' when experiencing a performance-related stressor and Annabelle reported 430 that she felt 'enthusiastic, kind of happy going to work and, you know, tackling the next thing' when experiencing an unexpected win. In a more lengthy discussion, Katherine spoke 431 about the challenge appraisal that she made in relation to balancing athletes' needs: 432 I remember thinking at the time that the challenge of coaching women with different 433 abilities is quite good. I think that's quite a good thing for me as it challenges me as a 434 coach to balance their needs. If I was working with people of the same ability all the 435 436 time then it wouldn't test me in the same way. [Table 3 near here] 437 Turning to threat appraisals, Joshua articulated the way in which he appraised his own 438 439 coaching performance and the potential influence of this appraisal on his wellbeing: 'It has the potential to damage my wellbeing. I have just got over a period of time where my 440 wellbeing has been affected by this sort of stuff quite badly so I know it could happen again.' 441 442 In another example, Katherine discussed how she evaluated observation of her coaching as a 443 threat: 'It was threatening because someone was watching me and judging me on my coaching. Being watched made me tighten up and so my coaching could have been 444 negatively affected by something that I couldn't control.' 445 In the following example, Peter described a benefit appraisal that he made following 446 447 feedback from an athlete: 'Today was the first time she has ever said to me "I enjoyed today." 448 The session was stressful but I felt a sense of gain from it...it made me feel good.' Another 449 coach, Thomas, spoke about a benefit appraisal that he made in relation to selecting athletes: It's hugely rewarding when it, when you think, "okay we're getting close to the actual 450

451 squad that is ideal for us"... I'm just trying to think about my evaluation of it at the
452 time. It was a positive thing because my overall objective in the sport is to be better ...

- 453 . to build a better team. So the stress of selecting the team was more of a benefit, it454 was helping me to reach that objective of building a better team.
- 455 With reference to harm/loss appraisals, Jason described this type of appraisal when 456 referring to his forced redundancy from a coaching role:
- 457 I have experienced really quite dramatic things like being made redundant and the
- 458 program being cancelled. That was a big setback in terms of me, my wellbeing, and
- 459 the program . . . At the time, I certainly remember thinking that the decisions had had
- 460 a detrimental effect on my wellbeing. I'd go as far as saying that they destroyed it.
- 461 The next quote is from Peter who spoke about how he appraised competition results
- 462 with a sense of harm/loss:
- The all-consuming nature of it was damaging physically and mentally . . . and the
  traipsing all around Europe and being physically exhausted and mentally exhausted as
  a result of never having quite the right result. It would always be like 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> . . .
- 466 you'd done everything other than won . . . we never enjoyed the moment at all.
- 467 Coaches' Ways of Coping

468 I defined the dimension of the results that encompassed coaches' ways of coping as 'cognitive or behavioural strategies that the coaches used to manage stressors that were 469 appraised as stressful' (see Lazarus 1999). The coaches reported an array of coping strategies 470 471 that related to dyadic coping, escape, information seeking, negotiation, problem solving, self-472 reliance, and support seeking (see Table 4). With reference to dyadic coping, codes related to common, delegate, and supportive ways of coping. For example, Annabelle discussed how 473 474 she engaged with de-briefing after a match, which was a form of common dyadic coping: 'We de-briefed at the end of the game about what we could have done better . . . it was an 475

476 open and honest discussion that helped me and the girls cope together.'

477

[Table 4 near here]

478 Codes within the escape family of coping referred to behavioural avoidance, changing 479 focus, and cognitive avoidance. Martin, for example, reported that he avoided conflict 480 between individuals by removing himself from the situation: 'It's easier for me to walk away, else I end up saying things that aren't necessary and that can blow things out of proportion.' 481 Turning to the information seeking family, this included codes relating to asking others, 482 observation, and reading. Many of the coaches reported that they coped with stressors by 483 posing questions to colleagues. To illustrate, the following quote is from Joshua who 484 described a situation when he asked others to glean information and cope with coaching 485 486 responsibilities: 'I asked some people about it. I talked to my colleagues about different movement processes and patterns, and about the transferability of some of the skills.' 487

The negotiation family of coping encompassed communication, prioritising, and 488 setting goals. For example, Martin spoke about his communication with an athlete that helped 489 490 him to cope with a performance-related stressor: 'I discussed a little bit with [the athlete] about what his understanding is, why he finds it difficult, and what he's feeling.' The problem 491 492 solving family referred to changing behaviour, concentration, planning, professional 493 development, and strategizing. In this quote, Roland discussed how he changed his behaviour 494 to work longer hours when coping with athletes' underperformance: 'What I did was work harder and do longer hours, spend longer looking at tapes of the games that we've played, 495 496 spend longer sitting down with individuals.'

Within the self-reliance family of coping, coaches reported strategies relating to
emotion regulation, emotion expression, reflection, and self-comforting. Jonathan described
how he used reflection to cope with his performance during a rugby game: 'After the game
when I got a quiet moment I took some time to reflect because I did tend to...I missed things

and said things because I was so animated.' The support seeking family of coping
encompassed comfort seeking, contact seeking, and instrumental aid. To illustrate, the
following quote is from Kristin who described receiving advice as a form of instrumental aid
to cope with interference from parents: 'I get advice from my manager, she's good. She can

505 give me advice and she will have been through it herself because she's a tennis coach too.'

506

### Discussion

The aim of this study was to use Lazarus' (1999) CMRT as a lens to explore 507 psychological stress with a sample of Olympic and international level sports coaches. In 508 particular, the study aimed to explore situational properties of stressors and coaches' 509 appraisals to address voids in the published literature. The findings support and extend the 510 511 CMRT, which provided a useful framework for developing new understanding. For example, the coaches reported a variety of stressors and suggested that these stressors were 512 underpinned by a number of situational properties that are incorporated within the CMRT. 513 514 Ambiguity and imminence, for example, are key foci of Lazarus' (1999) theory but the findings of this study suggest that other properties, including novelty, were also pertinent 515 during the coaches' experiences. This information could be used to develop the CMRT during 516 future research with high-level coaches. The coaches in this study experienced threat and 517 518 challenge appraisals and, to a lesser extent, harm/loss and benefit appraisals. This supports the CMRT and provides insight to high-level coaches' evaluations of stressful situations, 519 which have not until now been the focus of academic attention. With reference to coping, it is 520 521 perhaps unsurprising that a plethora of coping strategies were discussed but the way in which 522 these have been categorised and reported extends the literature by offering new insight to the role of coping in coaches' adaptation to and success in their coaching profession. 523

524 The stressors that were reported by the coaches in this study support previous research
525 (e.g., Thelwell *et al.* 2008, Olusoga *et al.* 2009) by highlighting the volume and variety of

526 stressors that can be experienced and the potentially stressful nature of Olympic and international level coaching. This information is helpful for understanding the environmental 527 528 demands that high-level coaches may need to cope with but it is the situational properties of 529 stressors that offer a more promising avenue for impact. To the best of my knowledge, no 530 published literature exists that specifically explores these properties with coaches although one paper (Olusoga et al. 2009) did present a comparable finding. To explain briefly, Olusoga 531 532 and colleagues reported that stressors that occurred simultaneously created a demanding environment for their sample of world-class coaches. This finding is similar to the data 533 presented here that relate to timing in relation to life cycle and, thus, the collective findings of 534 both pieces of research suggest that the timing of stressors is important for high-level 535 536 coaches. The current findings compliment the results of some general psychology research that link ambiguity to threat appraisals (see e.g., Chen and Lovibond 2016) by suggesting that 537 ambiguous stressors are influential in coaches' experiences of stress. This may be because 538 539 ambiguity is closely linked to various person factors (e.g., intolerance of uncertainty, Taha et al. 2014) that can provoke threat appraisals and negative affect, and because threat appraisals 540 and negative affect relate to performance (e.g., Gaudreau et al. 2002, Moore et al. 2012). 541 542 With reference to the other situational properties that were reported by the coaches, the 543 pertinence of imminence may be explained by the CMRT, which highlights the moderating 544 role of temporal properties (i.e., duration, imminence, temporal uncertainty, and timing in relation to life cycle) on appraisals (Lazarus 1999). These properties help to explain why a 545 546 stressor may be appraised as harmful at one point in time yet beneficial at another and, thus, 547 hold explanatory potential for a better understanding of stress experiences.

548 The results that relate to appraisals suggest that each of the four transactional 549 alternatives (benefit, challenge, harm/loss, and threat) that are incorporated within the CMRT 550 (Lazarus 1999) were experienced by the coaches in this study. The coaches did, however, 551 report less information relating to their appraisals when compared to that relating to stressors, situational properties, and coping. This suggests that the coaches found it difficult to recall 552 553 their appraisals of stressors during the interviews. One explanation for this may be that 554 appraising can be either deliberate and conscious or automatic and largely unconscious (Lazarus 1999). Thus, it could be that the coaches' appraisals were largely instinctive, which 555 supports some appraisal theorists' (e.g., Moors 2010) suggestions that appraising, or at least 556 some parts of this process, are constructive and can occur automatically (Ferguson and Bargh 557 2003). While no other published research has provided a detailed examination of coaches' 558 appraisals of stressors, Frey (2007) did highlight that coaches can respond to stressors in both 559 positive and negative ways. The current findings support this assertion because the coaches 560 561 discussed both positive (benefit, challenge) and negative (threat, harm/loss) appraisals.

Turning to the coping strategies reported by the coaches, the results presented here 562 suggest that Skinner et al.'s (2003) categorisation offers a helpful framework that dovetails 563 564 the CMRT and allows exploration of coping as an adaptationally relevant process. To expand briefly, the families of coping that were used as a framework to guide the categorisation of 565 coping strategies each serve a different function in adaptive processes and, therefore, offer 566 insight to how high-level coaches may adapt to high performance environments. For 567 568 example, the coaches used coping strategies within the negotiation family of coping and Skinner et al. (2003) suggested that the function of such coping efforts is to 'find new 569 options' (p. 245). This function allows individuals to coordinate coping preferences and 570 571 available options (Skinner et al. 2003), which may explain why the coaches turned to 572 prioritising and setting goals, for example, when managing stressors. The findings of this study highlighted dyadic coping (see Bodenmann 1995, 1997) as a coping option for the 573 574 coaches and, therefore, suggest that high-level coaches' coping does not occur in a social 575 vacuum but can involve athletes and members of their wider network. Collectively, the

576 findings relating to coaches' ways of coping extend knowledge by moving away from lists of 577 strategies that relate to the intention and function of coping (e.g., Levy *et al.* 2009, Olusoga *et al.* 2010, 2012) and toward an understanding of coping as an interpersonal phenomenon that 579 moderates adaptational processes.

580 With my reflexive stance in mind, it is important to consider potential strengths and limitations of this study. One strength relates to the theory driven approach that I took to 581 constructing knowledge. This approach advances understanding of complex phenomena and 582 583 can aid researchers in making decisions on appropriate courses of evidence-based action. Another strength of this study is the sample that consisted of members of a high-level 584 coaching community. Sampling these individuals can provide fascinating insight to the 585 586 psychological factors that underlie the achievements of exceptional individuals (Simonton 1999). Despite these strengths and the methodological rigour that was inherent in the study 587 design and execution, a number of potential limitations should be considered when 588 589 interpreting the findings. For example, the power relationships (Day 2012) that were 590 inevitable within and between me and the interviewees are likely to have influenced the findings. This is because these relationships are tied to broad social structures (Sparkes and 591 592 Smith 2014) that were not fully explored during data collection. In addition, while I explored 593 the usefulness of the CMRT for understanding high-level coaches' experiences, the relational approach that is inherent to this theory and relates to person (e.g., goal relevance, goal 594 conduciveness, coping potential, beliefs) and environmental (e.g., demands, constraints, 595 596 opportunities) characteristics and their relative importance was not fully espoused. This is 597 because the next logical step in understanding coaches' stress experiences was to focus on 598 components of stress that had not been elucidated at the point of starting this study. Once 599 these components are more fully understood, researchers should progress toward 600 understanding the complex relational aspects of stress experiences.

601 To further explore coaches' stress experiences, future research should focus on person 602 and environmental characteristics, and on the role of relational meanings and emotions in 603 high-level coaches' stress transactions. This will aid a more thorough examination of the 604 relational approach that is fundamental to the CMRT. With knowledge that appraising is at 605 the heart of psychological stress in mind (Didymus and Fletcher 2012, Lazarus and Folkman 1984), further research is needed to better understand the explanatory potential of appraising 606 607 in coaches' stress transactions. Future research should also work towards a better understanding of the ways in which high-level coaches cope with the competitive and 608 potentially stressful environment in which they work, and how effective coaches' coping 609 strategies are in managing the negative outcomes of stressors. Such explorations should aim 610 611 to corroborate Skinner et al.'s (2003) families of coping and foster knowledge of coaches' adaptationally relevant, interpersonal stress transactions that occur outside of the social 612 vacuum in which they have been explored to date. 613

To close, this study constructed new knowledge of Olympic and international level 614 coaches' experiences of psychological stress using the CMRT (Lazarus 1999) as a guiding 615 theory. The CMRT was a useful framework that allowed some components of stress 616 transactions, which have not been explored in the published literature with high-level coaches 617 618 to date (i.e., situational properties of stressors, appraisals), to be highlighted as pertinent aspects of coaches' experiences. The findings signpost the explanatory potential of situational 619 properties and appraisals and go some way toward developing a better understanding of high-620 621 level coaches' diverse experiences. Ambiguity, imminence, and novelty were pertinent 622 situational properties that underpinned the stressors that the coaches experienced. Thus, sport 623 psychology practitioners would do well to consider how their coach clients can effectively 624 manage ambiguous, imminent, and novel situations. One example of how practitioners may apply this aspect of the findings is to work with high-level coaches to draw on comparable or 625

- 626 vicarious experience to bolster self-efficacy (see e.g., Bandura, 1977) and, in turn, buffer
- 627 against novel stressors. Practitioners and researchers should also attend to the ways that
- 628 sports coaches appraise and cope with stressors, and how they adapt to the potentially
- 629 stressful nature of coaching at the highest level.

630 References Alsentali, A.M., and Anshel, M.H., 2015. Relationship between internal and external acute 631 632 stressors and coping style. Journal of sport behaviour, 38 (4), 357–375. 633 Bandura, A., 1977. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological review, 84 (2), 191–215. 634 635 Bodenmann, G., 1995. A systemic-transactional conceptualization of stress and coping in couples. Swiss journal of psychology, 54 (1), 34–49. 636 Bodenmann, G., 1997. Dyadic coping: a systemic-transactional view of stress and coping 637 among couples: theory and empirical findings. Revue européenne de psychologie 638 639 *appliquée*, 47 (2), 137–140. 640 Braun, V., and Clark, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in 641 psychology, 3 (2), 77–101. Chen, J.T.-H., and Lovibond, P.F., 2016. Intolerance of uncertainty is associated with 642 increased threat appraisal and negative affect under ambiguity but not uncertainty. 643 Behavior therapy, 47 (1), 42–53. 644 Crotty, M., 1998. The foundations of social research: meaning and perspective in the 645 research process. London: Sage. 646 Day, S., 2012. A reflexive lens: exploring dilemmas of qualitative methodology through the 647 concept of reflexivity. Qualitative sociology review, 8 (1), 60-85. 648 Denzin, N.K., 1978. The research act: a theoretical introduction to sociological methods. 2nd 649 650 ed. New York: McGraw-Hill. Didymus, F.F., and Fletcher, D., 2012. Getting to the heart of the matter: a diary study of 651 swimmers' appraisals of organisational stressors. Journal of sports sciences, 30 (13), 652 1375–1385. 653 Didymus, F.F., and Fletcher, D., 2014. Swimmers' experiences of organizational stress: 654

27

- exploring the role of cognitive appraisal and coping strategies. *Journal of clinical sport psychology*, 8 (2), 159–183.
- 657 Etherington, K., 2004. *Becoming a reflexive researcher*. London: Jessica–Kingsley.
- 658 Ferguson, M.J., and Bargh, J.A., 2003. The constructive nature of evaluation. *In:* J. Musch
- and K. Klauer, eds. *The psychology of evaluation: affective processes in cognition and emotion*. Mahwah: Erlbaum, 169–188.
- Finlay, L., and Gough, B., 2003. *Reflexivity: a practical guide for researchers in health and the social sciences.* Oxford: Blackwell.
- 663 Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., and Mellalieu, S.D., 2006. An organizational stress review:
- 664 conceptual and theoretical issues in competitive sport. *In:* S. Hanton and S.D.
- Mellalieu, eds. *Literature reviews in sport psychology*. Hauppauge: Nova Science,
  321–374.
- 667 Fletcher, D., and Scott, M., 2010. Psychological stress in sports coaches: a review of
- 668 concepts, research, and practice. *Journal of sports sciences*, 28 (2), 127–137.
- 669 Frey, M., 2007. College coaches' experiences with stress -"problem solvers" have problems,

670 too. *The sport psychologist*, 21 (1), 38–59.

- 671 Gaudreau, P., Blondin, J.-P., and Lapierre, A.-M., 2002. Athletes' coping during a
- 672 competition: relationship of coping strategies with positive affect, negative affect, and
- 673 performance–goal discrepancy. *Psychology of sport and exercise*, 3 (2), 125–150.
- 674 Gergen, K.J., 1985. The social constructionism movement in modern psychology. *American* 675 *psychologist*, 40 (3), 266–275.
- 676 Gould, D., *et al.*, 2002. A survey of U.S. Olympic coaches: variable perceived to have
- 677 influenced athlete performances and coach effectiveness. *The sport psychologist*, 16678 (3), 229–250.
- 679 Hardy, L., 1992. Psychological stress, performance, and injury in sport. British medical

- 680 bulletin, 48 (2), 615–629.
- 681 Hill, C.E., 2012. Introduction to consensual qualitative research. In: C.E. Hill, ed. Consensual 682 qualitative research: A practical resource for investigating social science phenomena
- 683 [online]. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- 684 Hill, B., and Sotiriadou, P., 2016. Coach decision-making and the relative age effect on talent selection in football. European sport management quarterly, 16 (3), 292–315. 685
- Hudson, J., Davison, G., and Robinson, P., 2013. Psychophysiological and stress responses to 686 687 competition in team sport coaches: an exploratory study. Scandinavian journal of
- 688 medicine and science in sports, 23 (5), 279–285.
- 689 Knight, C.J., et al., 2013. Personal and situational factors influencing coaches' perceptions of 690 stress. Journal of sports sciences, 31 (10), 1054–1063.
- 691 Koro-Ljungberg, M., et al., 2009. (E)pistemological awareness, instantiation of methods, and uninformed methodological ambiguity in qualitative research projects. Educational 692 researcher, 38 (9), 687–699.
- 693
- Lazarus, R.S., 1999. Stress and emotion: a new synthesis. New York: Springer. 694
- Lazarus, R.S., and Folkman, S., 1984. Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer. 695
- Levy, A., et al., 2009. Organisational stressors, coping, and coping effectiveness: a 696
- 697 longitudinal study with an elite coach. International journal of sports science and coaching, 4 (1), 31-45. 698
- Lyle, J., 2002. Sports coaching concepts: a framework for coaches' behaviour. London: 699 700 Routledge.
- 701 Merriam, S.B., and Tisdell, E.J., 2016. Qualitative research: a guide to design and 702 implementation. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- 703 Miller, P.S., Salmela, J.H., and Kerr, G., 2002. Coaches' perceived role in mentoring athletes.
- 704 International journal of sport psychology, 33 (4), 410–430.

- Moore, L.J., *et al.*, 2012. The effect of challenge and threat states on performance: an
  examination of potential mechanisms. *Psychophysiology*, 49 (10), 1417–1425.
- Moors, A., 2010. Automatic constructive appraisal as a candidate cause of emotion. *Emotion review*, 2 (2), 139–156.
- 709 Olusoga, P., et al., 2009. Stress in elite sports coaching: identifying stressors. Journal of
- 710 *applied sport psychology*, 21 (4), 442–459.
- Olusoga, P., *et al.*, 2010. Stress and coping: a study of world class coaches. *Journal of applied sport psychology*, 22 (3), 274–293.
- Olusoga, P., *et al.*, 2012. Coaching under pressure: a study of Olympic coaches. *Journal of sports sciences*, 30 (3), 229–239.
- 715 Parent, M.M., Kristiansen, E., and Macintosh, E.W., 2014. Athletes' experiences at the youth
- 716 Olympic games: perceptions, stressors, and discourse paradox. *Event management*, 18
  717 (3), 303–324.
- Patton, M.Q., 2015. *Qualitative research and evaluation methods*. 4th ed. Newbury Park:
  Sage.
- 720 QSR International Pty Ltd., 2016. NVivo: the #1 software for qualitative data analysis
- [online]. Victoria, Australia. Available from: http://www.qsrinternational.com/product
  [Accessed 10 May 2016].
- Rhind, D.J.A., Scott, M., and Fletcher, D., 2013. Organizational stress in professional soccer
  coaches. *International journal of sport psychology*, 44 (1), 1–16.
- Roulston, K., 2010. Considering quality in qualitative interviewing. *Qualitative research*, 10
  (2), 199–228.
- 727 Ryba, T.V., et al., 2012. Toward a conceptual understanding of acute cultural adaptation: a
- 728 preliminary examination of ACA in female swimming. *Qualitative research in sport*,
- 729 *exercise and health*, 4 (1), 80–97.

- Schaffran, P., Altfeld, S., and Kellmann, M., 2016. Burnout in sport coaches: a review of
  correlates, measurement and intervention. *Deutsche zeitschrift für sportmedizin*, 67
  (5), 121–125.
- Simonton, D.K., 1999. Significant samples: the psychological study of eminent individuals.
   *Psychological methods*, 4 (4), 425–451.
- 735 Skinner, E.A., et al., 2003. Searching for the structure of coping: a review and critique of
- category systems for classifying ways of coping. *Psychological bulletin*, 129 (2), 216–
  269.
- 738 Smith, B., and Caddick, N., 2012. Qualitative methods in sport: a concise overview for
- 739guiding social scientific sport research. Asia pacific journal of sport and social
- *science*, 1 (1), 60–73.
- Smith, C.A., and Lazarus, R.S., 1993. Appraisal components, core relational themes, and the
  emotions. *Cognition and emotion*, 7 (3/4), 233–269.
- 743 Sparkes, A.C., and Smith, B., 2008. Narrative constructionist inquiry. *In:* J. Holstein and J.
- Gubrium, eds. *Handbook of constructionist research*. London: Guilford Publications,
  295–314.
- 746 Sparkes, A.C., and Smith, B., 2014. *Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and*747 *health*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Taha, S.A., Matheson, K., and Anisman, H., 2014. H1N1 was not all that scary: uncertainty
  and stressor appraisals predict anxiety related to a coming viral threat. *Stress and health*, 30 (2), 149–157.
- Tamminen, K.A., and Holt, N.L., 2010. Female adolescent athletes' coping: a season-long
  investigation. *Journal of sports sciences*, 28 (1), 101–114.
- Thelwell, R.C., *et al.*, 2016. Exploring athletes' perceptions of coach stress in elite sport
  environments. *Journal of sports sciences*. Advance online publication.

- 755 doi:10.1080/02640414.2016.1154979
- Thelwell, R.C., *et al.*, 2008. Stressors in elite sport: a coach perspective. *Journal of sports sciences*, 26 (9), 905–918.
- 758 Tracy, S.J., 2010. Qualitative quality: eight "big tent" criteria for excellent qualitative
- research. *Qualitative inquiry*, 16 (10), 837–851.
- 760 Uphill, M.A., and Jones, M.V., 2007. The antecedents of emotions in elite athletes: a
- cognitive motivational relational theory perspective. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*, 78 (1), 79–89.
- 763 Wang, J., and Ramsey, J., 1998. The relationship of school type, coaching experience, gender
- and age to new coaches' challenges and barriers at the collegiate level. *Applied*
- research in coaching and athletics, 13, 1–22.
- 766 Wolgemuth, J.R., *et al.*, 2014. Participants' experiences of the qualitative interview:
- considering the importance of research paradigms. *Qualitative research*, 15 (3), 351–
- 768 372.

# 770 Stressors experienced by the coaches

~	Groups of	
Codes	Codes	Themes
Failure to take ownership of performance	<b>a</b> .	
Lack of involvement	Commitment	
Lack of motivation		-
Attending training with a hangover		
Bad habits		
Denying mistakes		
Disrespectful behaviour	A	
Doubting ability	C	
Drink driving related incidents		Athlete
Drug related incidents		concerns
Inexperienced athletes	Professionalism	
Lack of belief in the coach		
Making the transition to international competition		
Misusing sports equipment		
Reliability of athletes		
Top players affecting other athletes		
Unhelpful attitudes		
Unprofessional behaviour		
Building rapport	C	
Choosing helpful words when communicating	Communicating with athletes	
Learning how to communicate	with atmetes	_
Athletes' erratic reactions to stressors		
Building a cohesive team		
Developing athletes' attitudes		
Easing athletes' anxiety		
Instilling confidence in athletes		C 1:
Judging and accommodating athletes' moods		Coaching responsibilitie
Maintaining a positive environment	Managing	responsionne
Maintaining positivity during competition	athletes psychologically	
Managing athlete disclosure	psychologically	
Managing desperation to succeed		
Managing athlete temperaments		
Supporting athletes through bereavement		
Unpredictable nature of athletes during training		
Unpredictable nature of athletes during training		

Athletes requiring more time than can be provided Balancing athletes' needs Coaching athletes from different cultures Meeting the needs of different athletes Providing appropriate support	Meeting athletes' training needs	Coaching responsibilities (cont.)
Expectations of coaching performance Unrealistic expectations	Athletes' expectations	
Expectations before a local derby	Expectations of	
Performance expectation	self	
Family expectations		
Horse owner expectations		Expectations
Media expectations	Perceived	
National governing body expectations	external	
Spectator expectations	expectations	
Sponsor Expectations		/
Funding for competitions		
Insufficient financial support	Athlete finance	
Sport costs favouring wealthy athletes		
Budget for competitions		
Budget management	Club finance	Finance
Funding that is dependent on performance		
Costs involved with being a coach		
Devalued assets due to poor performance	Coach finance	
Personal finance		
Being excluded from decisions that affect athletes		
Club board level decisions	Decision	
National governing body level decisions	making	
Centralisation of the training programme		
Confusion around training times		
Disorganised training and competition environments	National	
Emphasis on results	governing body	Governance
Insufficient training time	organisation and foci	
Job insecurity	and foer	
Uncertain competition plans		
Unclear selection criteria		-
Unclear selection procedures	Selection	
Unhelpful timing of selection meets		
Athlete bickering and disagreements		
Coaching a family member	Conflict	Lute C
Conflicting agendas of coach and external agencies	between individuals	Interference
Disagreement between coach and athlete		

Athletes being a training partner for an Olympian Athletes' involvement in other activities Competitions taking athletes away from training Noisy working conditions Horse behaviour	Distractions Equine quandary	
Horse's mental state	quandary	
Agenda driven media		
Constant media attention		- 1. 4
Distorted media reports		Interference
Getting helpful information to the media	Media	(cont.)
Media commitments		
Media portrayals of me as a person		
Social media		
Parents being too hard on children	Parents	
Parents interfering with training		
Flooded facilities	Weather	
Weather affecting competition	conditions	
Weather preventing training		
Completing multiple tasks simultaneously	Management	
Managing multiple executive roles	responsibilities	
Managing staff	1	
Coaches letting athletes down	Reliability of	
Coaches not attending training	colleagues	
Booking flights and accommodation for athletes		Organizational
Travel to competition	Travel	management
Travel to training sessions	IIavei	
Travel visas		
Long working hours		
Working longer hours than contract states	Working hours	
Work-life balance		
Athlete underperformance		
Athletes not learning from instructions	Athlete	
Indolent athletes	performance	
Lack of effort from athletes		
Being observed during training		
Making mistakes during training	Coach performance	Performance
Coaching a new team or athlete		
Doubt in coaching abilities		
Making decisions under pressure		
Making helpful decisions about training plans		
Managing time effectively		

Not giving 100% during coaching Protecting athletes from coach's emotions Starting as a professional coach Teaching technical content Thinking on the spot	Coach performance (cont.)	D. (
Athletes' acute injuries during competition         Athletes' chronic injuries         Athletes' injury rehabilitation         Athletes training despite chronic injuries         Coaches' chronic injuries         Injury-anticipation         Accessing facilities	Injury	Performance (cont.)
Inadequate equipment Inadequate facilities Lack of preparation time Organising athletes before a big tournament Preparing for major events Undoing unhelpful work from other coaches Athletes not having appropriate equipment Getting to training on time Preparing training sessions based on match performance	Competition preparation Training preparation	Preparation
Choosing the best athletes for the team Leaving athletes out of the team Releasing players from contract	Selecting athletes	Selection
Missing a selection opportunity Olympic selection	Selection for major events	
Olympic selection		

## 776 Situational properties of stressors

Codes	Groups of Codes	Themes	
Absence of clear information Excessive and unclear information Insufficient clarity	Ambiguous information	Ambiguity	
Lack of time to prepare for the stressor Minimal time to adjust to the stressor	Acute stressors	K	
Events taking too much time		Duration	
Repeated exposure to the stressor	Chronic stressors		
Stressor building over a period of time			
Unconvinced by the conditions	Uncertainty		
Unsure how possible the event is	regarding event	Essent	
Unsure whether the situation will happen	occurrence	Event	
Unpredictable nature of the stressor Volatility of the situation	Unpredictability	- uncertainty	
Too much time to deliberate the event	Excessive time		
Too much time to prepare	before an event		
Event is just around the corner Event needs to be assessed and addressed quickly Lack of time before an event Late notification of an event Time running out before an event	Insufficient time before an event	Imminence	
Adequate prior experience of the stressor Limited prior experience of events No prior experience of the Olympics	Experience	Novelty	
Limited prior knowledge of the stressor No existing knowledge of the event	Knowledge	_	
Not knowing when a stressor will occur Unsure of precise timing of events	Doubt about timing of stressors	Temporal	
Doubt about how long a stressor will last Doubts about the longevity of a stressor	Doubt relating to the length of an event	uncertainty	
Stressors coinciding with personal commitments Stressors coinciding with public holidays Stressors coinciding with work commitments	Stressors clashing with commitments	Timing in	
Incompatible coach and athlete timetables Multiple stressors occurring simultaneously Stressor occurring late in the season	Timing of stressors	<ul> <li>relation to life cycle</li> </ul>	

Codes	Groups of Codes	Themes
Experienced a sense of gain from the stressor	Benefit to self	
Rewarding process of tackling the stressor	Delle III to sell	Benefit
Stressor helped to achieve a goal	Goal attainment	
Confident that we can overcome the stressor	Assertiveness	
Felt enthusiastic towards the stressor	Assertiveness	K
Saw the stressor as advantageous for my wellbeing	Potential benefit to	Challenge
Sense of potential gain from the stressor	self	
Saw the event as a way to achieve a goal	Potential gain	YY
Event prevented us from achieving our goal	Goals inhibited	2
Felt mentally and physically exhausted by the situation		
Situation caused damage to my wellbeing	Domage to colf	Harm/loss
Situation hurt my feelings	Damage to self	
Stressor caused me to be depressed		
Stressor threatened our goals	Goal-related threat	
Stressor had the potential to damage the players	Potential damage to	-
Terrified that something bad would happen	others	
Felt an impending sense of threat		Threat
Felt negative about the potential outcomes	Potential damage to	
Potential damage to physical and psychological health	self	
Situation could damage my wellbeing		

## 779 Coaches' primary appraisals of stressors

P-cook

780

## *Coaches' ways of coping*

Codes	Groups of Codes	Themes (function in adaptive process)	
De-briefing with athletes		· · · /	
De-briefing with colleagues		×	
Discussing feedback	Common		
Sharing the responsibility of learning		•	
Trying to understand the situation together			
Athletes doing coaching tasks	A	Dyadic (pool available	
Referring athletes to discipline specialists	Delegated	resources)	
Using school masters to help athletes feel movements		resources)	
Athletes helping to relay information			
Encouraging athletes to realise their bad habits	Companying		
Encouraging athletes to think positively	Supportive		
Encouraging athletes to train with 100% effort			
Avoiding every facet of life and sport	9		
Avoiding stressors			
Avoiding the media and third parties			
Backing off from athletes	Behavioural avoidance		
Removing oneself from the situation	avoluance		
Removing the horse from competition		Escape	
Taking a physical step back		(escape noncontinger	
Consuming alcohol		environment	
Exercising	Changing focus		
Using humour			
Putting the stressor to the back of my mind	~ · · ·		
Switching off from the stressor	Cognitive		
Trying not to worry about the stressor	avoidance		
Getting to know the individual athlete			
Having one to one meetings with athletes			
Listening to the athlete	Asking others		
Posing questions to colleagues		Information	
Seeking a second opinion		seeking (find	
Assessing the situation		additional	
Seeking further information about the athletes' situation	Observation	contingencies	
Watching someone else riding the same horse			
Researching relevant information	Reading		
	Roading		

Being honest with players Communicating club rules at the outset Communicating mistakes with athletes Communicating openly with athletes Conducting sessions on athletes' attitudes Highlighting the importance of representing the country Lecturing athletes to motivate them Presenting evidence to athletes Reviewing athletes' performance individually Speaking with parents	Communication	Negotiation (find new options)
Writing notes Focussing first on what is most urgent Prioritising what is important	Prioritising	
Re-adjusting goals Setting goals for each coaching session Setting process orientated goals Setting realistic and timely goals	Setting goals	Y
Accepting the situation Acting during coaching Adapting to the situation Being more organised Coaching the basics Creating flexible training plans Demonstrating on the athlete's horse Developing consequences for athletes' behaviour Involving athletes with decisions Leaving the house on time Making alternative arrangements Making time for a social life Under coaching to boost confidence Working harder Working longer hours	Changing behaviour	Problem solving (adjust actions to be effective)
Concentrating on the athletes Concentrating on what I have control of Focussing on my own career Focussing on the job Focussing on the process Focussing on what can be done	Concentration	
Being realistic about time commitments		

Planning diversity into the athlete cohort Planning for competition Planning for various situations Re-planning based on new information	Planning (cont.)	
Developing myself as a coach Learning about developing athletes Learning about the chimp paradox Learning to see stressors as opportunities	Professional development	Problem solving (cont.)
Developing team trademarks Having well known players on the team Protecting athletes from coach's own stressors Removing an athlete from the team Removing an athlete from training Weighing up pros and cons	Strategizing	
Absorbing stress Maintaining a steady emotional state Not worrying about the stressor Protecting athletes from coach's emotions Remaining calm	Emotion regulation	
Celebrating Panicking about the situation Sharing repartee with colleagues Shouting at athletes Venting to other coaches	Emotion expression	Self-reliance (protect available social resources)
Reflecting on the situation	Reflection	
Having faith in coaching ability Reminding oneself of own ability Using positive self-talk	Self-comforting	
Being comforted Being listened to Being made to feel secure	Comfort seeking	Support seeking (use
Receiving help from an athlete Receiving help from another coach	Contact seeking	available social
Receiving advice Receiving guidance	Instrumental aid	resources)

785	Disclosure Statement
786	The author will gain no financial benefit from and has no financial interest in the
787	publication or application of this research.
788	
789	Biographical Note
790	Faye F. Didymus is a senior lecturer in sport and exercise psychology within the
791	Institute for Sport, Physical Activity, and Leisure at Leeds Beckett University. Faye's
792	fundamental and applied research focuses on the psychology of performance in sport and
793	related environments. In particular, Faye is interested in the ways that psychological stress
794	may inhibit or facilitate peak performance in sports coaches and performers.

...ays that p.