



The University of Manchester Research

A novel mutation conferring the non-brittle phenotype of cultivated barley

DOI: 10.1111/nph.14377

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA): Civan, P., & Brown, T. (2017). A novel mutation conferring the non-brittle phenotype of cultivated barley. *New* Phytologist, 214, 468-472. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14377

Published in: New Phytologist

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



1	RESEARCH PAPER
2	
3	A novel mutation conferring the non-brittle phenotype of cultivated
4	barley
5	
6	Peter Civáň & Terence A. Brown [*]
7	
8	School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Manchester Institute of Biotechnology,
9	University of Manchester, Manchester M1 7DN, UK
10	
11	
12	*Author for correspondence: tel +44 161 306 4173; email
13	terry.brown@manchester.ac.uk
14	
15	Total word count for text: 1972
16	Section word counts: Introduction 412, Materials and Methods 479, Results, 475,
17	Discussion 582, Acknowledgements 24
18	2 figures (2 colour)
19	0 tables
20	Supporting Information: 1 figure (1 colour), 2 tables
21	

22 Summary

- The non-brittle rachis, resulting in a seed head which does not shatter at maturity,
 is one of the key phenotypes that distinguishes domesticated barley from its wild
 relatives. The phenotype is associated with two loci, *Btr1* and *Btr2*, with all
 domesticated barleys thought to have either a 1 bp deletion in *Btr1* or a 11 bp
 deletion in *Btr2*.
- We used a PCR genotyping method with 380 domesticated barley landraces to identify those with the *Btr1* deletion and those with the *Btr2* deletion.
- We discovered two landraces, from Serbia and Greece, hat had neither deletion.
 Instead these landraces possess a novel point mutation in *Btr1*, changing a
 leucine to a proline in the protein product. We confirmed that plants carrying this
 mutation have the non-brittle phenotype and identified wild accessions from the
 Gaziantep region of southeast Turkey as the closest wild relatives of these two
- 35 landraces.
- The presence of a third mutation conferring the non-brittle phenotype of
 domesticated barley shows that the origin of this trait is more complex than
 previously thought, and is consistent with recent models which view the transition
 to agriculture in southwest Asia as a protracted and multiregional process.
- 40
- Key words: agricultural origins, barley, brittle rachis, *Hordeum vulgare*, non-brittle
 phenotype

43

44 Introduction

45 Cultivated barley (Hordeum vulgare L. sbsp. vulgare), the domesticated form of 46 Hordeum vulgare sbsp. spontaneum (C. Koch), was one of the founder crops of 47 agriculture in the Fertile Crescent of southwest Asia (Zohary et al., 2013) and today 48 is the fourth most important in terms of productivity (Ulrich, 2011). Domestication of 49 barley was accompanied by a suite of phenotypic changes brought about by 50 selective pressures resulting from human intervention in propagation of the wild 51 plants (Fuller, 2007). Principal among these changes was an alteration in the 52 architecture of the ears which results in the mature spikelets (the dispersal 53 propagules containing the grains) remaining attached to the flower head after

Manuscript submitted to New Phytologist for review

ripening. This 'non-brittle' phenotype contrasts with the wild ear, which is dehiscent,
shattering at maturity and releasing the detached spikelets (Brown *et al.*, 2009).

56 Genetic studies have associated brittleness with two closely linked loci, 57 brittle-rachis 1 (Btr1) and brittle-rachis 2 (Btr2) (Takahashi & Hayashi, 1964), which 58 have recently been shown to be independent genes located approximately 100 kb 59 apart on chromosome 3H (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015). Although the genes and 60 their predicted protein products lack sequence or structural similarity, *Btr1* and *Btr2* 61 are functionally related, both specifying a thinning of the cell walls in the rachis node, 62 the structure that attaches the spikelet to the ear (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015). As a 63 consequence of this thinning, the mature spikelets of wild plants are able to detach 64 from the ear. The recessive versions of these genes, *btr1* and *btr2*, give rise to rachis 65 nodes with thicker cell walls, which result in a spikelet that can only be detached by 66 threshing. In a recent survey of 240 cultivated barleys, all plants of the *btr1* lineage 67 had the same 1 bp deletion in the *Btr1* gene, and all *btr2* types had the same 11 bp 68 deletion in Btr2 (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015). These results were interpreted as 69 indicating that the *btr1* and *btr2* types of cultivated barley emerged independently in 70 two different geographical regions, *btr1* in the southern Levant and *btr2* somewhat 71 later in the northern Levant.

In this paper we report that some non-brittle barley landraces have neither the 1 bp deletion in *Btr1* nor the 11 bp deletion in *Btr2* described by Pourkheirandish *et al.* (2015). Instead, these accessions have a point mutation in a different part of the *Btr1* coding sequence. The discovery of this third cultivated lineage has implications for our understanding of the events that resulted in domestication of barley.

78

79 Materials and Methods

80 Barley accessions

81 We studied 380 barley landraces (Supporting Information Table S1), the set covering

- 82 all ecological and geographic regions of European barley cultivation, and
- 83 supplemented with a selection of landraces representing African and Asian regions.
- 84 The set is largely non-overlapping with the landraces studied by Pourkheirandish *et*
- 85 *al*. (2015).
- 86
- 87 Genotyping and sequencing the Btr loci

88 We designed rapid genotyping systems capable of distinguishing the dominant and 89 recessive versions of the *Btr1* and *Btr2* genes, assuming the underlying mutations 90 giving rise to the recessive alleles were as previously reported (Pourkheirandish et 91 al., 2015). To detect the 1 bp deletion characteristic of *btr1*, we used a PCR in which 92 the 3'-end of the reverse primer spanned the 1 bp deletion site and produced a 205 93 bp product from the wild type *Btr1* allele and no product from the recessive *btr1* 94 allele. The *Btr2* genotyping system amplified a 154 bp product from the wild type 95 allele, and a 143 bp product from btr2. Both PCRs were carried out in 12.5 µl reactions comprising 2 ng μ l⁻¹ DNA, 1 × Q5 reaction buffer, 1 × Q5 high GC 96 97 enhancer, 0.5 µM each primer, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.02 U µl⁻¹ Q5 hot start high-98 fidelity DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs) with an initial denaturation of 30 s 99 at 98°C, followed by 35 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 20 s at 66°C, 10 s at 72°C, and a final 100 extension of 2 min at 72°C. Primer sequences are given in Supporting Information 101 Table S2a.

102 The status of those landraces that were genotyped as *Btr1 Btr2* according to 103 the above screen was checked by sequencing of the Btr1 and Btr2 loci. The Btr1 104 gene was amplified as a 2425 bp fragment and the Btr2 gene as a 4942 bp 105 fragment, using the primers described in Supporting Information Table S2a and the 106 PCR conditions described above, except that for *Btr1* the annealing step was 107 performed at 62°C and the synthesis step was carried out for 1 min, and for Btr2 108 annealing was at 60°C and synthesis for 2 min. Amplicons were purified (MinElute 109 PCR Purification Kit; Qiagen) and sequenced (ABI 3730 DNA analyser) using 110 various primers (Supporting Information Table S2b). Novel sequences have been 111 deposited in GenBank, accession numbers KX722223-KX722226. 112

113 Sequence data analysis

114 Median joining networks (Bandelt et al., 1999) were prepared by aligning the

115 sequences that we obtained with GenBank accessions KR813340–KR813547

116 (Btr1/btr1) and KR813548–KR813810 (Btr2/btr2) (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015).

117 Alignment gaps were treated as missing data and all polymorphic sites used in

- 118 network construction. In these networks, edge lengths are proportional to the number
- 119 of substitutions, but the node sizes do not reflect haplotype frequencies. PROVEAN
- 120 v1.1 (Choi & Chan, 2015), and the transmembrane orientation of the BTR1 protein

Manuscript submitted to New Phytologist for review

predicted by SOSUI (Hirokawa *et al.*, 1998), were used to assess the impact ofamino acid changes.

123

124 Results

125 The genotyping screen identified 300 of the 380 barley accessions as btr1 Btr2 and 126 78 as *Btr1 btr2*, each of these accessions having the canonical 1 bp or 11 bp 127 deletion described by Pourkheirandish et al. (2015). The remaining two landraces 128 were typed as *Btr1 Btr2*. These two landraces were PI 374426, collected in 1971 at 129 Raška, Serbia (described as awned, six-rowed, spring type, hulled), and HOR 683 130 collected in 1942 from the Peloponnese, Greece (spring type). Sequencing of the 131 *Btr1* and *Btr2* loci in these accessions confirmed that neither possessed the 1 bp or 132 11 bp deletions associated with *btr1* and *btr2*, respectively. Instead, both accessions 133 displayed a single $T \rightarrow C$ transition converting a leucine to proline at position 111 in 134 the *Btr1* protein product (Fig. 1a). Henceforth, we refer to this novel mutation as the 135 *btr1b* allele, in contrast with *btr1a*, which displays the canonical 1 bp deletion.

Btr1 codes for a transmembrane protein similar to signal transduction
receptors. The amino acid substitution specified by the *btr1b* allele is predicted to lie
within the cytoplasmic component of the protein (Fig. 1b). PROVEAN (Protein
Variation Effect Analyzer) assigned a score of -5.0 (deleterious) with 95%
probability, reflecting the different structural and chemical properties of proline
compared with leucine (Wu, 2013). It is therefore reasonable to hypothesize that the
substitution will have an impact on the function of the protein.

143 To confirm that the *btr1b* allele confers the non-brittle phenotype, and that 144 accessions carrying this allele are not mislabeled wild barley accessions, we 145 germinated seeds of PI 374426 and grew plants to maturity. The resulting seed 146 heads had a typical domesticated six-rowed phenotype (Fig. 2). The mature rachis 147 was tough and remained intact after the spikelets had been forcibly removed from 148 the ear. DNA was then extracted from seeds from two spikelets to confirm presence 149 of the btr1b allele. In contrast, with the wild accession PI 662202, which was 150 genotyped as Btr1 Btr2, the mature rachis disarticulated into segments that remained 151 attached to the spikelets, and it was impossible to remove spikelets without breaking 152 the rachis.

153 Median joining networks were constructed to identify the relationships 154 between the btr1b and Btr2 haplotypes of PI 374426 and HOR 683 and the Btr1 and 155 Btr2 haplotypes of wild barley published by Pourkheirandish et al. (2015). In the 156 *Btr1/btr1* network, the two *btr1b* haplotypes were located together at a position 157 distant from the *btr1a* sequences, and were most closely related (1–4 substitutions) 158 to the *Btr1* haplotypes of four brittle wild barleys (IPK IDs FT266, FT624, FT730, 159 FT747), all of which were collected from the Gaziantep region of southeast Turkey 160 (Supporting Information Fig. S1a). The *Btr2/btr2* network gave a similar result, the 161 Btr2 haplotype of PI 374426 and HOR 683 showing the closest relationship with the 162 Btr2 haplotypes of the same four wild Gaziantep accessions (Supporting Information

163 164

165 Discussion

Fig. S1b).

166 The assumption that there are two lineages of cultivated barley, originally called the 167 'Oriental' and 'Occidental' families, dates back 60 years to the pioneering genetic 168 studies of Takahashi and colleagues (Takahashi, 1955). Recently, genomic work has 169 suggested that all cultivated accessions fall into one or other lineage, characterized 170 by a 1 bp deletion giving rise to the *btr1* allele and a 11 bp deletion giving *btr2* 171 (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015). We show that there is a third type of cultivated barley, 172 whose non-brittle genotype is conferred by a different mutation in the Btr1 gene, 173 giving rise to what we have called the *btr1b* allele. Discovery of a third non-brittle 174 lineage shows that the origins of this phenotype of cultivated barley are more 175 complex than previously thought. Although the novel mutation appears to be scarce 176 in modern landrace collections this cannot be taken as evidence that it was equally 177 scarce in the past, as the modern frequency of the allele will be, at least in part, a 178 reflection of the vagaries of the post-domestication population dynamics of the crop. 179 It cannot therefore be assumed that the emergence of this allele was a 'minor' event 180 during the process that led to domestication of barley, nor that the human activities 181 that led to cultivation of this third lineage of domesticated barley were themselves 182 inconsequential. The existence of the novel allele also raises the possibility that 183 other 'minor' lineages are as yet undiscovered in germplasm collections, or may 184 have died out during the 10,000 years since the emergence of the domesticated 185 phenotype.

Page 6 of 12

186 According to their *Btr1/Btr2* haplotypes, the wild accessions most closely 187 related to the *btr1b* domesticates are located in the Gaziantep region of southeast 188 Turkey. Inferring the geographical origin of a domesticated plant lineage by 189 comparison with wild accessions is fraught with danger, even though in this case the 190 wild accessions have been recently collected, and hence have not been affected by 191 gene flow between plants during in situ conservation (Jakob et al., 2014). Inference 192 of a geographical origin still assumes that the wild phylogeography has been 193 unchanged over the last 10,000 years, and that the relationship between a 194 domesticate and its source wild population has not been complicated by more recent 195 hybridization between the domesticate and other wild populations. The former 196 assumption is difficult to test, and the latter is almost certainly incorrect (Poets et al., 197 2015). However, we note that those wild accessions with Btr2 haplotypes closest to 198 btr2 come from northern Syria and the Gaziantep area of Turkey (Pourkheirandish et 199 al., 2015). It is therefore possible that the domesticated lineages with the btr2 and 200 *btr1b* alleles derive from the same source wild population.

201 Hypotheses regarding the origins of agriculture in the Fertile Crescent of 202 southwest Asia have undergone a dramatic shift in recent years. The earlier 203 interpretation of the transition from hunting-gathering to agriculture as a rapid 204 'revolutionary' event, with each of the founder crops of agriculture emerging from one 205 or, at most, two discrete geographical locations (Diamond, 2002), is now being 206 replaced by a more sophisticated model in which the transition to agriculture was a 207 protracted and multiregional process, with the domesticated germplasm of each crop 208 derived from a variety of wild populations (Civáň et al., 2013; Willcox, 2013; Poets et 209 al., 2015). The presence of additional brittle rachis mutations in cultivated barley is 210 consistent with this model and emphasizes the geographically dispersed nature of 211 the anthropological and microevolutionary events that resulted in the emergence of 212 agriculture in southwest Asia.

213

214 Acknowledgements

215 We thank Hayley Craig and Karren Palmer for technical assistance. This work was

- supported by European Research Council grant 339941 awarded to T.A.B.
- 217

218 Author contributions

- P.C. carried out the laboratory work and data analysis. P.C. and T.A.B. jointly
 conceived the project and wrote the manuscript. **References Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A. 1999.** Median-joining networks for inferring
- intraspecific phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 37–46.
- Brown TA, Jones MK, Powell W, Allaby RG. 2009. The complex origins of
 domesticated crops in the Fertile Crescent. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24:
 103–109.
- 228 Choi Y, Chan AP. 2015. PROVEAN web server: a tool to predict the functional

effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. *Bioinformatics* 31: 2745–2747.

- 230 Civáň P, Ivaničová Z, Brown TA. 2013. Reticulated origin of domesticated emmer
- wheat supports a dynamic model for the emergence of agriculture in the Fertile
 Crescent. *PLoS ONE* 8(11):e81955.
- Diamond J. 2002. Evolution, consequences and future of plant and animal
 domestication. *Nature* 418: 700–707.
- Fuller DQ. 2007. Contrasting patterns in crop domestication and domestication
 rates: recent archaeobotanical insights from the Old World. *Annals of Botany* 100:
 903–924.
- Hirokawa T, Boon-Chieng S, Mitaku S. 1998. SOSUI: classification and secondary
 structure prediction system for membrane proteins. *Bioinformatics* 14: 378–379.
- Jacob SS, Rödder D, Engler JO, Shaaf S, Özkan H, Blattner FR, Kilian B. 2014.
- 241 Evolutionary history of wild barley (*Hirdeum vulgare* subsp. *spontaneum*) analyzed
- using multilocus sequence data and paleodistribution modeling. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 6: 685–702.
- 244 **Poets AM, Fang Z, Clegg MT, Morrell PL. 2015.** Barley landraces are
- characterized by geographically heterogeneous genomic origins. *Genome Biology*16:173.
- 247 Pourkheirandish M, Hensel G, Kilian B, Senthil N, Chen G, Sameri M,
- 248 Azhaguvel P, Sakuma S, Dhanagond S, Sharma R, Mascher M, Himmelbach
- A, Gottwald S, Nair SK, Tagiri A, Yukuhiro F, Nagamura Y, Kanamori H,
- 250 Matsumoto T, Willcox G, Middleton CP, Wicker T, Walther A, Waugh R,
- 251 Fincher GB, Stein N, Kumlehn J, Sato K, Komatsuda T. 2015. Evolution of the
- grain dispersal system in barley. *Cell* 162: 527–539.

Page 9 of 12

253	Takahashi R, Hayashi J. 1964. Linkage study of two complementary genes for
254	brittle rachis in barley. Berichte des Ohara Instituts fur Landwirtschaftliche
255	Biologie, Okayama University 12: 99–105.
256	Takahashi R. 1955. The origin and evolution of cultivated barley, volume 7. New
257	York, USA: Academic Press.
258	Ulrich SE. 2011. Barley production, improvement and uses. Chichester, UK: Wiley-
259	Blackwell.
260	Willcox G. 2013. The roots of cultivation in southwestern Asia. Science 341: 39–40.
261	Wu G. 2013. Amino acids: biochemistry and nutrition. Boca Raton, USA: CRC Press
262	Zohary D, Hopf M, Weiss E. 2013. Domestication of plants in the Old World, 4 th
263	edition. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
264	
265	
266	Figure 1 Structure of the novel <i>btr1</i> allele in domesticated barley. (a) Alignment
267	between the amino acid sequences of the wild type brittle allele (Btr1), the previously
268	reported non-brittle allele (here called <i>btr1A</i>), and the novel non-brittle allele that we
269	report (btr1B). The Btr1 and btr1A sequences are from H. spontaneum OUH602 and
270	H. vulgare cv. KNG, respectively (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015). The BTR1 protein
271	has two hydrophobic regions indicated by green shading. Changes giving rise to the
272	two btr1 alleles are highlighted in yellow. (b) Transmembrane orientation of the BTR1
273	protein predicted by SOSUI (Hirokawa et al., 1998). The hydrophobic regions and
274	the Leu-Pro substitution in the btr1B product are indicated using the same colours as
275	in part (a).

276

Figure 2 Comparison of the seed head of barley landrace PI 374426, possessing the *btr1b* allele, with a wild barley seed head. (a) The seed head of PI 374426, displaying a non-brittle, six-row phenotype. (b) After forcibly removing spikelets (right) from the PI 374426 ear, the rachis remains intact (left), (c) The mature seed head of wild barley accession PI 662202. (d) With PI 662202, the mature rachis disarticulates into segments that remain attached to the spikelets. It is impossible to remove spikelets without breaking the rachis. Scale bars 2 cm.

- 285 Supporting Information Figure S1 Median-joining networks showing the
- 286 relationships between the cultivated accessions containing btr1b alleles and wild

287 barley accessions.

- 288
- 289 Supporting Information Table 1 Barley landraces.
- 290
- 291 Supporting Information Table 2 Primers.

, on Table .



Figure 1 Structure of the novel btr1 allele in domesticated barley. (a) Alignment between the amino acid sequences of the wild type brittle allele (Btr1), the previously reported non-brittle allele (here called btr1A), and the novel non-brittle allele that we report (btr1B). The Btr1 and btr1A sequences are from H. spontaneum OUH602 and H. vulgare cv. KNG, respectively (Pourkheirandish et al., 2015). The BTR1 protein has two hydrophobic regions indicated by green shading. Changes giving rise to the two btr1 alleles are highlighted in yellow. (b) Transmembrane orientation of the BTR1 protein predicted by SOSUI (Hirokawa et al., 1998). The hydrophobic regions and the Leu-Pro substitution in the btr1B product are indicated using the same colours as in part (a).

209x209mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2 Comparison of the seed head of barley landrace PI 374426, possessing the btr1b allele, with a wild barley seed head. (a) The seed head of PI 374426, displaying a non-brittle, six-row phenotype. (b) After forcibly removing spikelets (right) from the PI 374426 ear, the rachis remains intact (left), (c) The mature seed head of wild barley accession PI 662202. (d) With PI 662202, the mature rachis disarticulates into segments that remain attached to the spikelets. It is impossible to remove spikelets without breaking the rachis. Scale bars 2 cm.

180x232mm (300 x 300 DPI)