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# SMOOTH DENSITIES OF THE LAWS OF PERTURBED DIFFUSION PROCESSES 

LIHU XU, WEN YUE, AND TUSHENG ZHANG


#### Abstract

Under some regularity conditions on $b, \sigma$ and $\alpha$, we prove that the solution of the following perturbed stochastic differential equation


$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\alpha \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}, \quad \alpha<1 \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits smooth densities for all $0<t \leq t_{0}$, where $t_{0}>0$ is some finite number.
Keywords: Perturbed diffusion processes, Malliavin differentiability, Smooth density. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60H07.

## 1. Introduction

There have been a considerable body of literatures devoted to the study of perturbed stochastic differential equations(SDEs), see [1]-[7],[9], [11], [12]. Let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F},\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ be a filtered probability space with filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, let $\left\{B_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a one-dimensional standard $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$-Brownian Motion. Suppose that $\sigma(x), b(x)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$. It was proved in [5] that the following perturbed stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\alpha \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}, \quad \forall \alpha<1, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits a unique solution. If $|\sigma(x)|>0$, it was shown in [12] that the law of $X_{t}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, i.e. the law of $X_{t}$ admits a density for $t>0$.

There seem no results on the smoothness of the density of the law of a perturbed diffusion process. This paper aims to partly fill in this gap. The smoothness of densities is a popular topic in stochastic analysis and has been intensively studied for several decades. We refer readers to [8], [10] and references therein. Our approach to proving the smoothness of densities is by Malliavin calculus, so let us first recall some well known results on Malliavin calculus [8] to be used in this paper.

Let $\Omega=C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$be the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$which are zero at zero. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the Borel $\sigma$-field on $\Omega$ and $\mathbb{P}$ the Wiener measure, then the canonical

[^0]coordinate process $\left\{\omega_{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}$on $\Omega$ is a Brownian motion $B_{t}$. Define $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}=\sigma\left(B_{s}, s \leq\right.$ $t)$ and denote by $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ the completion of $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $H:=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathcal{B}, \mu\right)$ where $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathcal{B}\right)$ is a measurable space with $\mathcal{B}$ being the Borel $\sigma$-field of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mu$ being the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. We denote the norm of $H$ by $\|\cdot\|_{H}$. For any $h \in H, W(h)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(h)=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(t) \mathrm{d} B_{t} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\{W(h), h \in H\}$ is a Gaussian Process on $H$.
We denote by $C_{p}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ and all of its partial derivatives have polynomial growth. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of smooth random variables defined by

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{F=f\left(W\left(h_{1}\right), \ldots, W\left(h_{n}\right)\right) ; h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in H, n \geq 1, f \in C_{p}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}
$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{S}$, define its Malliavin derivative $D_{t} F$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t} F=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} f\left(W\left(h_{1}\right), \ldots, W\left(h_{n}\right)\right) h_{i}(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its norm by

$$
\|F\|_{1,2}=\left[\mathbb{E}\left(|F|^{2}\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\|D F\|_{H}^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where $\|D F\|_{H}^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|D_{t} F\right|^{2} \mu(\mathrm{~d} t)$. Denote by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the completion of $\mathcal{S}$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$. We further define the norm

$$
\|F\|_{m, 2}=\left[\mathbb{E}\left(|F|^{2}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|D^{k} F\right\|_{H^{\otimes k}}^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ denotes the completion of $\mathcal{S}$ under the norm $\|.\| \|_{m, 2}$.
We shall use the following two propositions:
Proposition 1.1 (Proposition 1.2.3 of [8]). Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow R$ be a continuously differentiable function with bounded partial derivatives. Suppose that $F=\left(F^{1}, \cdots, F^{d}\right)$ is a random vector whose components belong to the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Then $\phi(F) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, and

$$
D(\phi(F))=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{i} \phi(F) D F^{i}
$$

Proposition 1.2 (Proposition 2.1.5 of [8]). If $F \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty, 2}$ with $\mathbb{D}^{\infty, 2}=\cap_{m \geq 1} \mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ and $\|D F\|_{H}^{-1} \in \cap_{p \geq 1} L^{p}(\Omega)$, then the density of $F$ belongs to the space $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ of infinitely continuously differentiable functions.

Throughout this paper, for a bounded measurable function $f$, we shall denote

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)| .
$$

## 2. Main Results

Throughout this paper, we need to assume $\alpha<1$ to guarantee that Eq. (1.1) has a unique solution [5]. Furthermore, it is shown in [12] that
Theorem 2.1. ([12, Theorem 3.1]) Let $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the unique solution to Eq. (1.1). Then $X_{t} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ for all $t>0$.
Theorem 2.2. ([12, Theorem 3.2]) Assume that $\sigma$ and $b$ are both Lipschitz continuous, and $|\sigma(x)|>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $t>0$, the law of $X_{t}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

In this paper, we shall prove the following results about the smoothness of densities:
Theorem 2.3. Assume that $b$ is bounded smooth with $\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and that $\sigma(x) \equiv \sigma$. If $\alpha<1, t_{0}>0$ and $b$ satisfy

$$
\theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, b\right)<1 / 2,
$$

with $\theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, b\right):=\sqrt{2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t_{0}^{2}+8 \alpha^{2}}+\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t_{0}^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}$, then the law of $X_{t}$ in (1.1) admits a smooth density for all $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$.
Theorem 2.4. Assume that b is bounded smooth with $\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and that $\sigma$ is bounded smooth with $\left\|\sigma^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty,\left\|\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|\sigma(x)|>0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(y)=\int_{x}^{y} \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} \mathrm{d} u, \quad y \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{b}(x)=\frac{b\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)}{\sigma\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)$, then $\tilde{b}$ is bounded smooth with $\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. If $\alpha<1, t_{0}>0$ and $b$ satisfy

$$
\theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, \tilde{b}\right)<1 / 2
$$

with $\theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, \tilde{b}\right):=\sqrt{2\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t_{0}^{2}+8 \alpha^{2}}+\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t_{0}^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}$, then the law of $X_{t}$ in (1.1) admits a smooth density for all $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$.
Proofs of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4: The main idea is to use Proposition 1.2 to prove the two theorems. To verify the conditions in Proposition 1.2, it suffices to prove that $X_{t} \in$ $D^{m, 2}$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H} \geq c>0$ a.s. for some constant $c>0$.
Theorem 2.3 immediately follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4 below.
Now we prove Theorem 2.4. Recall $Y_{t}=\int_{x}^{X_{t}} \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} d u$ in Lemma 3.5 below, by the condition of $\sigma, F$ is a continuous and strictly increasing function with bounded derivative and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D Y_{t}\right\|_{H}=\left\|D F\left(X_{t}\right)\right\|_{H} \leq \frac{1}{\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|\sigma(x)|}\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5 below, under the same condition as in Theorem 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H} \geq \inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|\sigma(x)| \cdot\left\|D Y_{t}\right\|_{H} \geq \inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|\sigma(x)| \cdot \frac{\left[1-2 \theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, \tilde{b}\right)\right] t}{2\left(1+2\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}\right)} \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $X_{t}$ admits a smooth density for all $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$.

## 3. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

It is well known that $\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}$ has the following representation [12] for all $t>0$ :

$$
\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}=\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|D_{r} X_{t}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

with $D_{r} X_{t}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r} X_{t}=\sigma\left(X_{r}\right)+\int_{r}^{t} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{r}^{t} D_{r} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\alpha D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall often use the following fact ([12], [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r} X_{t}=0 \quad \text { if } r>t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right)\right\|_{H} \leq \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\|D\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2}=\int_{0}^{t}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r, \quad\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2}=\int_{0}^{t}\left|D_{r} X_{t}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

## 3.1. $X_{t}$ is an element in $\mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ for all $t>0$ and $m \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X_{t}$ be the solution of the perturbed stochastic differential equation (1.1), and suppose that the coefficients $b$ and $\sigma$ are smooth with bounded derivatives of all orders. Then $X_{t}$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ for all $t>0$ and all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. We shall use Picard iteration to prove the lemma. Letting $X_{t}^{0}=x_{0}$ for all $t>0$, define $X_{t}^{n+1}$ be the unique, adapted solution to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{n+1}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\alpha \max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(X_{s}^{n+1}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obviously implies

$$
\max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(X_{s}^{n+1}\right)=x_{0}+\max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)+\alpha \max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(X_{s}^{n+1}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(X_{s}^{n+1}\right)=\frac{x_{0}}{1-\alpha}+\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)
$$

this and (3.4) further gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{t}^{n+1}= & \frac{x_{0}}{1-\alpha}+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \sigma\left(X_{u}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{u}+\int_{0}^{s} b\left(X_{u}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above representation of $X_{t}^{n+1}$ and a standard method [5], for every $t>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t}^{n}=X_{t} \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m \geq 1$, it is standard to check that $X_{t}^{n} \in \mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ for every $t>0$ and $n \geq 1[12$, Theorem 3.1]. By a similar argument as in [12, Theorem 3.1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|D^{k} X_{t}^{n}\right\|_{H \otimes k}^{2}\right]<\infty, \quad k=1, \ldots, m \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove $X_{t} \in \mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ by the argument of [8, Lemma 1.2.3]. Indeed, by (3.6), there exists some subsequence $D^{k} X_{t}^{n_{j}}$ weakly converges to some $\alpha_{k}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, H^{\otimes k}\right)$ for $k=1, \ldots, m$. By (3.5) and the remark immediately below [8, Proposition 1.2.2], the projections of $D^{k} X_{t}^{n_{j}}$ on any Wiener chaos converge in the weak topology of $L^{2}(\Omega)$, as $n_{j}$ tends to infinity, to those of $\alpha_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, m$. Hence, $X_{t} \in \mathbb{D}^{m, 2}$ and $D^{k} X_{t}=\alpha_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, m$. Moreover, for any weakly convergent subsequence the limit must be equal to $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ by the same argument as above, and this implies the weak convergence of the whole sequence.
3.2. Additive noise case. If $\sigma(x) \equiv \sigma$, then Eq. (3.1) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r} X_{t}=\sigma+\int_{r}^{t} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\alpha D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $t>0$ be arbitrary and $b$ be bounded smooth with $\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. For all $0<t_{1}<t_{2} \leq t$, we have

$$
\left|\left\|D X_{t_{2}}\right\|_{H}^{2}-\left\|D X_{t_{1}}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right| \leq 2\left[\sqrt{2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+8 \alpha^{2}}+\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right] \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
\left|\left|\left|D X_{t_{2}}\left\|_{H}^{2}-\right\| D X_{t_{1}} \|_{H}^{2}\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r-\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right| \leq I_{1}+I_{2}\right.\right.
$$

where

$$
I_{1}:=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r, \quad I_{2}:=\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}\right)^{2}-\left(D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2}\right| \mathrm{d} r .
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \leq 2\left[\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right] \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we will prove it in the last part of this proof.
Let us now estimate $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ by (3.8). Observe

$$
I_{1}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

by (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq 2\left[\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right] \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|D_{r} X_{t_{2}}+D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|D_{r} X_{t_{2}}\right|^{2}+\left|D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left|D_{r} X_{t_{2}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 2\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H} \\
& \leq 2\left[\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]_{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

this inequality and (3.8) gives

$$
I_{2} \leq 2 \sqrt{2\left[\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right]} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

Combining the estimates of $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, we immediately get the desired inequality in the lemma.

It remains to prove (3.8). By (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D_{r} X_{t_{2}}-D_{r} X_{t_{1}}\right)^{2} & \leq 2\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right|^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{1}} X_{s}\right)-D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{2}} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right|^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{1}} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{2}} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hölder inequality, (3.2) and Proposition 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r & \leq\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|D_{r} X_{s}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} r \\
& =\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s}\left|D_{r} X_{s}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by (3.3) and (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{2}} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{2}}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \\
\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{1}} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{1}} X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Collecting the above four inequalities, we immediately get the desired (3.8).
Lemma 3.3. Let $b$ be bounded smooth with $\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2} t}{2\left(1+2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}\right)}, \quad t>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (3.7) and using $(a+b)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} a^{2}-b^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D_{r} X_{t}\right)^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}-\left[\int_{r}^{t} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\alpha D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}-2\left(\int_{r}^{t} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{2}-2 \alpha^{2}\left[D_{r}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further observe

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{r}^{t} D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} r & \leq \int_{0}^{t}(t-r) \int_{r}^{t}\left|D_{r} b\left(X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} r \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}(t-r)\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{r}^{t}\left|D_{r} X_{s}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} r \\
& \leq t\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{r}^{t}\left|D_{r} X_{s}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} r  \tag{3.11}\\
& =t\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq t^{2}\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second inequality is by Proposition 1.1. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2} & \geq \frac{\sigma^{2} t}{2}-2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}-2 \alpha^{2}\left\|D\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\sigma^{2} t}{2}-2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is by (3.3).
This clearly implies

$$
\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \geq \frac{\sigma^{2} t}{2}-2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

which immediately yields the desired bound.
Lemma 3.4. Let $b$ is bounded smooth with $\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and $\sigma(x) \equiv \sigma$ with $\sigma \neq 0$. If $\alpha<1, t_{0}>0$ and $b$ satisfy

$$
\theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, b\right)<1 / 2
$$

with $\theta(r, \alpha, b):=\sqrt{2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} r^{2}+8 \alpha^{2}}+\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} r^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}$ for $r>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2} \geq \frac{\left[1-2 \theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, b\right)\right] \sigma^{2} t}{2\left(1+2\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}\right)}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. For all $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq t$, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\left|\left\|D X_{t_{2}}\right\|_{H}^{2}-\left\|D X_{t_{1}}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \theta\left(t_{2}-t_{1}, \alpha, b\right) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq \leq}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

Hence, for all $s \in[0, t]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} & \leq\left|\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}-\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right|+\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \theta(t-s, \alpha, b) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq 2 \theta(t, \alpha, b) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

The above inequality and (3.10) further give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D X_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2} & \geq[1-2 \theta(t, \alpha, b)] \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} \\
& \geq\left[1-2 \theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, b\right)\right] \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left\|D X_{s}\right\|_{H}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequality and Lemma 3.3 immediately gives the desired inequality.
3.3. Multiplicative noise case. By the condition of $\sigma$, we have $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)<0$ or $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)>0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)>0
$$

Let us consider the following well known transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{t}\right)=\int_{x}^{X_{t}} \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} \mathrm{d} u, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to see that $F$ is a strictly increasing function with bounded derivative. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} F\left(X_{s}\right)=F\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Itô formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{b\left(X_{s}\right)}{\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s+B_{t}+\alpha \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)} \mathrm{d} M_{s} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{t}=\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}$. It is easy to see that $M_{t}$ is an increasing function of $t$ and that $\frac{1}{\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)}$ has a contribution to the related integral only when $X_{s}=M_{s}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{b\left(X_{s}\right)}{\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s+B_{t}+\alpha \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sigma\left(M_{s}\right)} \mathrm{d} M_{s} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{t}$ is a continuous increasing function with respect to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{b\left(X_{s}\right)}{\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s+B_{t}+\alpha \int_{0}^{M_{t}} \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} \mathrm{d} u . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{b\left(X_{s}\right)}{\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s+B_{t}+\alpha \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} F\left(X_{s}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $Y_{t}=F\left(X_{t}\right)$, it solves the following perturbed SDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{b}\left(Y_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+B_{t}+\alpha \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} Y_{s} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{b}(x)=\frac{b\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)}{\sigma\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)$. Applying Lemma 3.4, we get the following lemma about the dynamics $Y_{t}$ :

Lemma 3.5. Assume that $b$ is bounded smooth and that $\sigma$ is bounded smooth with $\left\|\sigma^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty,\left\|\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and $\inf _{x \geq 0}|\sigma(x)|>0$. Then $\tilde{b}$ is bounded smooth. If $\alpha<1$, $t_{0}>0$ and $b$ satisfy

$$
\theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, \tilde{b}\right)<1 / 2
$$

with $\theta(r, \alpha, \tilde{b}):=\sqrt{2\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} r^{2}+8 \alpha^{2}}+\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} r^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}$ for $r>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D Y_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2} \geq \frac{\left[1-2 \theta\left(t_{0}, \alpha, \tilde{b}\right)\right] t}{2\left(1+2\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} t^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}\right)}, \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right] \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easy to check that under the conditions in the lemma $\tilde{b}$ is bounded smooth with $\left\|\tilde{b}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Hence, the lemma immediately follows from applying Lemma 3.4 to $Y_{t}$.
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