



The University of Manchester Research

X-rays and virtual taphonomy resolve the first Cissus (Vitaceae) macrofossils from Africa as early diverging members of the genus

DOI: 10.3732/ajb.1600177

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA):

Adams, N. F., Collinson, M. E., Smith, S. Y., Bamford, M. K., Forest, F., Malakasi, P., Marone, F., & Sykes, D. (2016). X-rays and virtual taphonomy resolve the first Cissus (Vitaceae) macrofossils from Africa as early diverging members of the genus. *American Journal of Botany*, *103*(9), 1657-1677. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1600177

Published in:

American Journal of Botany

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



American Journal of Botany

X-rays and virtual taphonomy resolve the first Cissus (Vitaceae) macrofossils from Africa as early diverging members of the genus --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AJB-D-16-00177R2
Full Title:	X-rays and virtual taphonomy resolve the first Cissus (Vitaceae) macrofossils from Africa as early diverging members of the genus
Short Title:	First fossil seeds of Cissus (Vitaceae) from Africa
Article Type:	Research Paper
Section/Category:	Paleobotany
Corresponding Author:	Neil F. Adams
	UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	Neil.Adams.2012@live.rhul.ac.uk
First Author:	Neil F. Adams
Order of Authors:	Neil F. Adams
	Margaret E. Collinson
	Selena Y. Smith
	Marion K. Bamford
	Félix Forest
	Panagiota Malakasi
	Federica Marone
	Dan Sykes
Abstract:	Premise of the study: Fossilized seeds similar to Cissus (Vitaceae) have been recognized from the Miocene of Kenya, though some were previously assigned to the Menispermaceae. We undertake a comparative survey of extant African Cissus seeds to identify the fossils and consider their implications for the evolution and biogeography of Cissus and for African early Miocene paleoenvironments. Methods: Micro-computed tomography (μCT) and synchrotron-based X-ray tomographic microscopy (SRXTM) were used to study seed morphology and anatomy. Virtual taphonomy, using SRXTM datasets, produced digital fossils to elucidate seed taphonomy. Phylogenetic relationships within Cissus were reconstructed using existing and newly produced DNA sequences for African species. Paleobiology and paleoecology were inferred from African nearest living relatives. Key results: The fossils are assigned to four new Cissus species, related to four modern clades. The fossil plants are interpreted as climbers inhabiting a mosaic of riverine woodland and forest to more open habitats. Virtual taphonomy explains how complex mineral infill processes concealed key seed features, causing the previous taxonomic misidentification. Newly sampled African species, with seeds most similar to the fossils, belong to four clades within core Cissus, two of which are early diverging.
	recognition of the first fossil Cissus and Vitaceae from Africa. Early divergent members of the core Cissus clade were present in Africa by at least the early Miocene, with an African origin suggested for the Cissus sciaphila clade. The fossils provide supporting evidence for mosaic paleoenvironments inhabited by early Miocene hominoids.
Keywords:	Cissus; Hiwegi Formation; liana; Menispermicarpum; microCT; Miocene; paleoecology; seeds; SRXTM; virtual taphonomy

Funding Information:	
----------------------	--

1	X-rays and virtual taphonomy resolve the first <i>Cissus</i> (Vitaceae) macrofossils
2	from Africa as early diverging members of the genus ¹
3	
4	Neil F. Adams ^{2,3,10} , Margaret E. Collinson ^{2,4} , Selena Y. Smith ⁵ , Marion K. Bamford ⁶ ,
5	Félix Forest ⁷ , Panagiota Malakasi ⁷ , Federica Marone ⁸ , and Dan Sykes ^{9,11}
6	
7	² Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham,
8	Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK;
9	³ Department of Geography, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey,
10	TW20 0EX, UK;
11	⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, SW7 5BD, UK;
12	⁵ Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences and Museum of Paleontology,
13	University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA;
14	⁶ Evolutionary Studies Institute and School of Geosciences, University of the
15	Witwatersrand, P. Bag 3, Wits 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa;
16	⁷ Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3DS, UK;
17	⁸ Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland;
18	⁹ Imaging and Analysis Centre, Natural History Museum, London, SW7 5BD, UK.
19	
20	Running Head
21	First fossil seeds of Cissus (Vitaceae) from Africa

22 Footnotes

23 ¹Manuscript received _____; revision accepted _____.

- ¹⁰Author for correspondence (e-mail: Neil.Adams.2012@live.rhul.ac.uk)
- ¹¹Current affiliation: School of Materials, University of Manchester, Oxford Road,
- 26 Manchester, M13 9PL, UK.
- 27

28 Acknowledgements

29 N.F.A. thanks the Palaeontological Association for the award of an Undergraduate 30 Research Bursary (PA-UB201401), which supported this work. M.K.B. thanks the 31 Palaeontological Scientific Trust, South Africa (PAST) for funding a modern plant 32 reference collection. Fieldwork in Kenya in 1981-1982 was undertaken with 33 permission granted by the Office of the President of Kenya in co-operation with Dr. 34 R.E. Leakey, then Director of the National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi (KNM). 35 M.E.C. thanks the University of London Central Research Fund for funding fieldwork 36 in 1981-1982. We would like to thank the Leakey Foundation for financial support to 37 M.E.C. and M.K.B. in 1980–1982 and 2005–2006, respectively. The authors thank: 38 the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew; the Botanic Garden Meise, Belgium; the National 39 Museums of Kenya, Nairobi (KNM); and the Natural History Museum, London 40 (NHMUK), for access to specimens. The SRXTM work was performed on the 41 TOMCAT beamline at the Swiss Light Source (SLS), Paul Scherrer Institut, 42 Switzerland. We also thank the NHMUK for use of their µCT and VP-SEM facilities 43 and fossil macrophotography; Sven Landrein for logistical support at Kew Herbarium; 44 and Peta Hayes for curatorial assistance at NHMUK. Steven Manchester and one 45 anonymous reviewer are thanked for their helpful and extensive comments, which 46 considerably improved the manuscript.

47

ABSTRACT

48 • Premise of the study: Fossilized seeds similar to Cissus (Vitaceae) have been 49 recognized from the Miocene of Kenya, though some were previously assigned to 50 the Menispermaceae. We undertake a comparative survey of extant African 51 *Cissus* seeds to identify the fossils and consider their implications for the evolution 52 and biogeography of Cissus and for African early Miocene paleoenvironments. *Methods*: Micro-computed tomography (µCT) and synchrotron-based X-ray 53 54 tomographic microscopy (SRXTM) were used to study seed morphology and 55 anatomy. Virtual taphonomy, using SRXTM datasets, produced digital fossils to 56 elucidate seed taphonomy. Phylogenetic relationships within *Cissus* were 57 reconstructed using existing and newly produced DNA sequences for African 58 species. Paleobiology and paleoecology were inferred from African nearest living 59 relatives.

Key results: The fossils are assigned to four new *Cissus* species, related to four
 modern clades. The fossil plants are interpreted as climbers inhabiting a mosaic of
 riverine woodland and forest to more open habitats. Virtual taphonomy explains
 how complex mineral infill processes concealed key seed features, causing the
 previous taxonomic misidentification. Newly sampled African species, with seeds
 most similar to the fossils, belong to four clades within core *Cissus*, two of which
 are early diverging.

Conclusions: Virtual taphonomy, combined with X-ray imaging, has enabled
 recognition of the first fossil *Cissus* and Vitaceae from Africa. Early divergent
 members of the core *Cissus* clade were present in Africa by at least the early
 Miocene, with an African origin suggested for the *Cissus sciaphila* clade. The

- 71 fossils provide supporting evidence for mosaic paleoenvironments inhabited by
- 72 early Miocene hominoids.
- 73

74 Key words

- 75 *Cissus*; Hiwegi Formation; liana; *Menispermicarpum*; microCT; Miocene;
- 76 paleoecology; seeds; SRXTM; virtual taphonomy.

INTRODUCTION

78 The volcanic and clastic sediments of the Hiwegi Formation on Rusinga Island, Lake 79 Victoria, Kenya have yielded a rich early Miocene flora and fauna with well over one 80 hundred recorded species, dated to ca. 18-20 Ma (Drake et al., 1988; Andrews et al., 81 2009; Peppe et al., 2011). The floral assemblage contains fossilized fruits, seeds, 82 twigs, wood, bark, and leaves (Chesters, 1957; Collinson et al., 2009; Maxbauer et 83 al., 2013; Michel et al., 2014), and the faunal assemblage comprises numerous fossil 84 mammals (e.g., Whitworth, 1958; Pickford, 1981; Butler, 1984; Werdelin, 2011), 85 reptiles (e.g., Clos, 1995; Conrad et al., 2013), birds (e.g., Harrison, 1980; Rich & 86 Walker, 1983) and invertebrates (e.g., Leakey, 1952; Verdcourt, 1963; Thackray, 87 1994; Pickford, 1995). The fauna also contains several genera of early hominoids (e.g., Le Gros Clark and Leakey, 1951; Andrews and Simons, 1977; Walker and 88 89 Teaford, 1988; Walker et al., 1993; Harrison, 2002; McNulty et al., 2007; Harrison 90 and Andrews, 2009; Pickford et al., 2009), including *Ekembo* (previously *Proconsul*, 91 see McNulty et al., 2015), which mark the transition between Paleogene arboreal 92 primates, thought to inhabit tropical forests (Andrews, 1992; Janis, 1993), and 93 Neogene bipedal hominids, often associated with open savanna grassland 94 (Robinson, 1963; Reed, 1997; Pickford, 2002). Study of the Hiwegi Formation flora is 95 essential to understand the paleoenvironments in which these transitional hominoids 96 evolved.

97 The fruit and seed flora was partly described by Chesters (1957) from surface-98 picked collections, but these lacked a sedimentological and stratigraphic context. 99 This prompted in situ excavations at the new site of R117 (Collinson et al., 2009), 100 where over 360 fruits and seeds were collected, including several specimens 101 tentatively assigned to 'cf. *Cissus* sp. 1 nov.' (Vitaceae). During that study, three

other morphotypes with similarity to seeds of extant *Cissus* L. species were
recognized among the collections originally studied by Chesters (1957, 1958). If
these four fossil records of *Cissus* can be verified, they would constitute the first
records of the Vitaceae in the flora, the earliest reported record of Vitaceae from the
African continent, and could provide evidence for arid- or rainforest-adapted taxa in
the African Miocene vegetation (De Santo et al., 1987; Verdcourt, 1993; Lombardi,
2000; Manchester et al., 2012a).

109 Recent molecular phylogenetic analyses of the genus *Cissus* (Liu et al., 2013; 110 Rodrigues et al., 2014) showed that modern species could be assigned to several 111 distinct clades. All African species fell within the 'core Cissus' clade, but within that 112 were distributed in more than seven distinct clades, two of which also included Asian 113 species (Liu et al., 2013). Many African species were missing from these 114 phylogenies, including those with external seed morphology most similar to the 115 putative Cissus fossils. If the fossils are Cissus, molecular study of these neglected 116 modern species will be essential to place them in their phylogenetic context. 117 This paper therefore aims to: (i) confirm or refute the identification of Hiwegi 118 Formation fossils to Cissus and, if confirmed, identify the clades to which the fossil 119 species are likely related by comparing external and internal seed morphology of the

120 fossils to extant African species; (ii) place the nearest living relatives of the fossils

121 into the existing phylogenetic framework; and (iii) evaluate the paleoenvironmental

122 and biogeographic significance of these fossils.

123

MATERIALS AND METHODS

124 **Specimens studied**—The fossil fruits and seeds from the Hiwegi Formation 125 are composed of carbonate minerals, which derive from highly alkaline ash erupted 126 from the nephelinite-carbonatite Kisingiri volcano during the Miocene (Bestland et al., 127 1995; Harris and Van Couvering, 1995), and which replaced biological structures 128 (Collinson et al., 2009). The specimens were collected from the Hiwegi Formation 129 (for wider stratigraphic context see Drake et al., 1988; Collinson et al., 2009) by 130 surface picking and in situ excavation and are stored in collections at the Natural 131 History Museum, London (NHMUK, numbers prefixed V) and the National Museums 132 of Kenya, Nairobi (KNM). Three fossil seed morphotypes in NHMUK, which Chesters 133 (1957, 1958) had placed in the Menispermaceae (due to their bisymmetry, 134 horseshoe-shaped curvature, and sculptured margins), were noted by Collinson to 135 have strikingly similar exterior seed coat morphology to modern African Cissus 136 species, as illustrated in African floras (e.g., Dewit and Willems, 1960; Descoings, 137 1967, 1972; Verdcourt, 1993). Collinson et al. (2009) also listed several specimens 138 from the R117 site assigned to 'cf. Cissus sp. 1 nov.' (Vitaceae) based on similarity to 139 modern *Cissus* seeds. All these fossils were re-examined during the current study. 140 Fifteen modern species of African *Cissus* and three species of African 141 Cyphostemma (Planch.) Alston, which have comparable seed ornamentation to the 142 putative *Cissus* fossils, were sampled from loose fruits on herbarium sheets in the 143 Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew Herbarium (K) to study seed anatomy. The most visibly 144 mature and undamaged specimens were selected. Additionally, fruits of *Cissus* 145 dasyantha were obtained from the herbarium at the Botanic Garden Meise, Belgium 146 (BR). Herbarium sheet information for the species sampled is provided in Appendix 147 S1 (see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article).

148 *Macrophotography and VP-SEM*—Photographs of the specimens described 149 by Chesters (1957, 1958) were provided by the NHMUK Photographic Unit. The 150 smaller specimens, assigned to 'cf. Cissus sp. 1 nov.' by Collinson et al. (2009), were 151 examined uncoated under a Leo 1455 vapour pressure scanning electron 152 microscope (VPSEM) at the Imaging and Analysis Centre, NHMUK. Specimens were 153 placed loose onto a sheet of black paper in a small tray, moved into appropriate 154 orientation and turned over using a fine (size 00000) artist's brush. A small amount of 155 Blu-Tack® (Bostik, Paris, France) was used, when unavoidable, to orient specimens 156 for apical and basal views. Images were obtained using the back scatter detector 157 (BSD), a chamber pressure of 14–15 Pa, current of 20 kV, spot size 500 and working 158 distance 38–39 mm. Images were adjusted uniformly for contrast and brightness 159 using Adobe Photoshop CS2 or CS6.

160

Synchrotron-based X-ray tomographic microscopy (SRXTM)—The

161 traditional method of boiling and scrubbing modern fruits to study their seeds is 162 problematic. Depending on tissue toughness, seed features may not be revealed in a 163 repeatable or comparable manner across different species or genera. Cutting or 164 histological sectioning to study internal anatomy also has limitations: it is destructive, 165 may introduce artefacts (tears, gaps), and multiple planes of section through the 166 same specimen cannot be acquired. X-ray imaging solves these problems because 167 multiple planes of section through a single specimen can be easily and non-168 destructively obtained (e.g., Smith et al., 2009). Modern Cissus and Cyphostemma 169 fruits were scanned using SRXTM, as this technique provides the necessary quality 170 of resolution to enable distinction of cellular details in the fruit wall and seed coat 171 layers for systematic study and for virtual taphonomy (Smith et al., 2009; Collinson et 172 al., 2013). The SRXTM was performed on the TOMCAT beamline at the Swiss Light

173 Source, Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland (Stampanoni et al., 2006). 174 Specimens were mounted onto brass pin stubs using polyvinyl acetate glue and were scanned during one session of beamtime in July 2014. X-rays transmitted by the 175 176 specimens were converted into visible light by a 300 µm-thick Ce-doped LAG 177 scintillator screen. A microscope objective of 1.25× or 2× (depending on fruit size) 178 magnified the projection data, which were then digitized by a high-resolution scientific 179 CMOS camera (PCO.edge; PCO GmbH, Kelheim, Germany), giving a resultant voxel 180 size of 3 to 5 µm. The energy was set at 17.5 keV and the exposure time per 181 projection was 50 ms. For each scan a total of 1501 projections (2560 × 2160 pixels 182 with PCO.edge camera) were acquired over 180°. Reconstruction algorithms were 183 then used to combine the projections and obtain a three-dimensional volume, 184 reconstruction was performed on a dedicated Linux PC cluster using a highly 185 optimized routine based on the Fourier transform method and a gridding procedure 186 (Marone et al., 2010; Marone & Stampanoni, 2012). Multiple stacked scans were 187 used if the specimens did not completely fit within the field of view. 3D datasets were 188 visualized, and images and videos were captured, in Avizo 8.1 (FEI Visualization 189 Science Group, Bordeaux, France). Images were adjusted uniformly for contrast and 190 brightness using Adobe Photoshop CS2 or CS6. Videos of digital SRXTM 191 tomograms in transverse section (DTS) through fruits of each of these modern 192 species are available from the Dryad Digital Repository 193 (http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36).

Micro-computed tomography (µCT)—Externally visible ventral infolds are a
characteristic feature of *Cissus* seeds (Chen and Manchester, 2011), but are not
evident in the fossils (Fig. 1). Information on internal structure of the fossils (including
holotypes) is required to test whether these characteristic ventral infolds are: (a)

198 genuinely absent, which would exclude affinity with Vitaceae; (b) present but 199 externally obscured by a seed coat layer, which would indicate affinity with 200 Cyphostemma (Chen and Manchester, 2011); or, (c) obscured as a consequence of 201 taphonomic processes, such as mineral infilling during fossilization, which would 202 support identification to *Cissus*. For holotypes and rare fossils this information must 203 be obtained non-destructively. Therefore, three fossil specimens (V33753, V68501, V68506) from collections studied by Chesters (1957, 1958) stored in NHMUK and 204 205 two specimens (R117.1981.314, R117.1981.476) from the R117 site, identified by 206 Collinson et al. (2009) as 'cf. Cissus sp. 1 nov.', housed in KNM were scanned by 207 µCT using a Nikon Metrology HMX ST 225 at the Imaging and Analysis Centre, 208 NHMUK. Specimens were stabilised by inserting them into blocks of OASIS® Floral 209 Foam (Smithers-Oasis Company, Kent, Ohio, USA) within in a plastic tube. 210 Specimens were wrapped for protection in cling film: a thin film of PVC (polyvinyl 211 chloride) or LDPE (low density polyethylene). A voltage of 200 kV was used with a 212 current of 180 µA, a tungsten reflection target, a 0.5 or 0.25 mm copper filter and an 213 exposure time of 708 ms; this resulted in a voxel size of 12 µm. Four modern Cissus 214 fruits scanned by SRXTM (one *C. dinklagei*, one *C. populnea*, two *C. integrifolia*) 215 were also scanned using μ CT. This duplicative scanning aimed to ensure that μ CT 216 scans of the fossils could be interpreted in the context of directly comparable scans 217 of modern seeds (a comparison of imaging methods is provided in Appendix S2, see 218 Supplemental Data with the online version of this article). A voltage of 125 kV was 219 used with a current of 200 µA, a molybdenum reflection target, no filter and an 220 exposure time of 708 ms; this resulted in a voxel size of 8 to 15 µm. µCT datasets 221 were reconstructed using CT Pro (Nikon Metrology, Tring, UK) and were visualized in

Avizo 8.1. Images and videos were obtained as for SRXTM datasets. Videos are available from the Dryad Digital Repository (http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36).

224 *Virtual taphonomy*—The technique of 'virtual taphonomy', developed by 225 Smith et al. (2009), solves the problem of potential variability in tissue removal with 226 traditional boiling or scrubbing methods in seed preparation. Virtual taphonomy uses 227 X-ray datasets to digitally remove specific tissue or cell layers from modern fruits or 228 seeds, thereby creating digital fossils, the surfaces of which can be directly compared 229 to real fossils to determine which layers are preserved. Digital seed infills can also be 230 produced using this technique, mimicking the mineral infill of fruits and seeds that 231 can occur during fossilization (Smith et al., 2009; Collinson et al., 2013). For modern 232 *Cissus populnea* Guill. & M.Brandt, a digital infill of the space inside the inner seed 233 coat (the endotesta) was produced (a 'virtual fossil'), to mimic mineral infill during 234 fossilization. The ventral infolds were then digitally infilled to mimic processes that 235 might have led to mineral obscuring the ventral infolds during fossilization.

236 *Molecular phylogeny*—Phylogenetic relationships within *Cissus* and the 237 placement of species assigned to this genus within Vitaceae were assessed using 238 available sequence data from the plastid genome (trnL intron, trnLF spacer, atpB-239 *rbcL* spacer, trnC-petN spacer and *rps16* intron), as well as newly produced 240 sequences of *trnLF* and *rps16* for eight African *Cissus* species with seeds most 241 similar to the putative *Cissus* fossils, which were not represented in previous 242 molecular phylogenetic studies (see Appendix 1). Sequence data obtained from 243 public repositories comprise 91 Cissus species and 92 species from other genera of 244 Vitaceae (see Appendix 2).

Total genomic DNA was extracted using a standard CTAB-based protocol
(Doyle and Doyle, 1987) and purified using a combined caesium chloride/ethidium

bromide gradient and dialysis procedure. The *trnL* intron/*trnL–F* spacer and the *rps16*intron were amplified using the primers designed by Taberlet et al. (1991) and Shaw
et al. (2005), respectively. Further details regarding the polymerase chain reactions,
amplification procedures, PCR product purifications, and cycle sequencing reactions
are provided in Appendix S3, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this
article.

253 Matrices (including sequences obtained from public repositoiries and those 254 produced for the present study) were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in 255 Geneious; alignments are available from TreeBASE (https://treebase.org; study ID 256 18491). A phylogenetic analysis was performed on a combined matrix using the 257 maximum likelihood criterion as implemented in the programme RAxML v8.1.24 258 (Stamatakis, 2014) using the rapid bootstrap algorithm with 1000 replicates and a 259 search for the best-scoring tree. Divergence time estimates were obtained using the 260 Bayesian inference approach implemented in the package BEAST v.1.8.2 261 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). All analyses were run on the Cipres Science 262 Gateway portal (www.phylo.org). Further details of the phylogenetic analyses are 263 provided in Appendix S3, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this 264 article.

Calibration was performed using three fossils. The first is the oldest known fossil securely identified to the Vitaceae family (*Indovitis*) from the latest Cretaceous/earliest Paleogene Deccan traps of India (ca. 66Ma, based on radiometric dating and biostratigraphy; Manchester et al., 2013) and was used as calibration on the crown node of subfamily Vitoideae (calibration A), comprising all genera of Vitaceae except the genus *Leea*, which is assigned to subfamily Leeoideae. A lognormal distribution was used, which allows the age to vary (given

272 the uncertainty in fossil age estimation and given that a fossil's age is considered a 273 minimum age for a given group), with an offset value of 65 and a standard deviation 274 of 1.0. The second calibration point comes from fossil seeds assigned to 275 Ampelocissus parvisemina Chen & Manchester from the late Paleocene of North 276 America at the Beicegel Creek locality of the Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union 277 Group, North Dakota (Chen and Manchester, 2007), considered by Zetter et al. 278 (2011) to be late Paleocene (61.7–56.8 Ma) in age based on molluscan and 279 mammalian (Kihm and Hartman, 1991; Hartman and Kihm, 1995) biostratigraphy and 280 pollen zonation (Nichols and Ott, 1978). It was assigned to the stem node of the 281 clade comprising genera Ampelocissus Planch., Nothocissus (Mig.) Latiff, 282 Parthenocissus Planch., Pterisanthes Blume, Vitis L., and Yua C.L. Li, following Nei 283 et al. (2012) and Liu et al. (2016) (calibration B). As for the previous calibration, a 284 lognormal distribution was used, with an offset value of 55.8 and a standard deviation 285 of 1.0. The third calibration point is the oldest fossil unequivocally assigned to genus 286 *Cissus*, from the Belén flora (North Coastal Peru) of the Oligocene, with a maximum 287 age of 30–28.5 Ma based on diatom biostratigraphy (Manchester et al., 2012a). This 288 fossil has features shared with species from Morphotype 1 and the Cissus integrifolia 289 clade (see below); it was therefore assigned to the stem node of this group 290 (calibration C) with a lognormal distribution with an offset value of 27.5 and a 291 standard deviation of 1.0.

Extant Cissus *seed morphological information*—In addition to the
sixteen SRXTM datasets of African *Cissus* seeds most similar to the Hiwegi
Formation fossils, published seed illustrations and descriptions were sourced, where
available, for all African *Cissus* species included in the molecular phylogeny (Table 1
and Appendix S4, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article).

Together these data were used to determine the modern species with seeds most
similar to those of the fossils and to place these nearest living relatives into the
existing phylogenetic framework.

300 Ecological and biogeographic information—Data on the habit, habitat 301 and biogeographic distributions of extant *Cissus* species were gathered for extant 302 species in clades containing similar seed morphotypes to the fossils (Table 2). 303 Georeferenced occurrence data from illustrated herbarium sheets were obtained 304 from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2013) and floras, and other 305 herbarium sheets were also used. Herbarium sheet sources are given in Appendix 306 S5, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article. 307 308 SYSTEMATICS 309 Definitions of lateral, ventral, dorsal, apical and basal views and seed height, width 310 and dorsiventral dimension are given in Appendix S6 (see Supplemental Data with 311 the online version of this article). 312 313 Family-Vitaceae Juss. 1789. 314 Genus—Cissus L. 1753. 315 Species—Cissus crenulata (Chesters) Adams, Collinson, S.Y. Smith & 316 Bamford comb. nov. 317 Basionym-Menispermicarpum crenulatum Chesters 1957 (pl. 19, figs. 19, 318 20). 319 *Emended diagnosis*—Seed bilaterally symmetrical, 19 mm in dorsiventral 320 dimension, laterally flattened, sub-oval to D-shaped in lateral view, narrowly elliptical 321 and 7 mm wide in apical and basal views, and elliptical in ventral view. Center of

lateral face crossed by four pronounced, long ridges radiating from adjacent to the
ventral infolds; longest ridge almost as long as seed; curved ridge, 2–3 mm from
dorsal and basal margins of lateral faces, delineates faceted marginal area;
prominent median ridge (rib perichalaza) extends from beneath ventral infolds,
around base, over dorsal margin, and almost full length of apical margin as far as
ventral infolds. Upper portion of ventral surface concave, forming acute angle with
long axis of seed; pair of very deep, narrow ventral infolds present.

329 *Holotype*—*Seed*—V33753 (Fig. 1A–D; μCT DTS video available from the
 330 Dryad Digital Repository, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36).

331 *Excluded specimens*—KNMP-RU7787 (8 specimens with field number
332 60*52, formerly P. B. 8, designated as paratypes of *Menispermicarpum crenulatum*333 by Chesters, 1957).

334 *Type locality*—Rusinga Island, Lake Victoria, Kenya.

335 *Geological horizon and age*—Hiwegi Formation, early Miocene.

336 *Repository*—Natural History Museum, London, U.K.

337 **Description**—The seed is bilaterally symmetrical around a prominent median 338 perichalazal rib (Fig. 1B, C), laterally flattened with a height/width ratio of 1.7 (Fig. 339 1A, B), sub-oval to D-shaped in lateral view (Fig. 1A), 19 mm in the dorsiventral 340 dimension and 11 mm in height, narrowly elliptical and 7 mm wide in apical (Fig. 1B) 341 and basal (Fig. 1C) views, and elliptical in ventral view (Fig. 1D). The seed apex is rounded, with no indication of an apical notch or chalazal grooves (Fig. 1A-C), and 342 343 the seed narrows to the ventral margin (Fig. 1A-C). The seed ornamentation is most 344 clearly visible on one lateral face (Fig. 1A). A curved ridge, 2–3 mm from the basal 345 and dorsal margins of the lateral faces, delineates a faceted outer margin with facets 346 spaced at 2.5 to 4.5 mm (Fig. 1A). There are short ridges (ca. 2 mm long), roughly

347 perpendicular to the long curved ridge, within the outer margin, which define the 348 facets (Fig. 1A). There are at least four ridges crossing the center of the lateral face, 349 with one long (10 mm) ridge perpendicular to the ventral surface, and three curved 350 ridges, which radiate away from the ventral surface towards the basal margin, 351 abutting the curved ridge (Fig. 1A). The reverse lateral face is partly obscured by 352 mineral encrustation in the holotype (the only specimen), making the ornamentation 353 less clear. Nevertheless, there are clearly at least three ridges crossing the center of 354 the face radiating from the ventral surface, with one longer and more pronounced 355 than the others. In lateral view, the upper portion of the ventral surface is nearly 356 straight for three-quarters of its length but the basal-most part is indented, forming an 357 angle of ca. 65° with the long axis of the seed (Fig. 1A). The seed narrows into a 358 rounded point on the ventral surface, possibly equivalent to the beak in typical 359 Vitaceae (see fig. 1 in Chen and Manchester, 2011). The lateral flattening, near-360 straight ventral surface and sub-oval, or near elliptical, outline give the seed a very 361 different shape from typical Vitaceae genera (e.g. Chen and Manchester, 2011). 362 Externally the ventral infolds are only tentatively identifiable from a pink mineral infill 363 from the apical and ventral views (Fig. 1B, D). However, in µCT digital transverse 364 section (DTS), a pair of very deep (4.5 mm) and narrow (0.5 mm) ventral infolds are 365 clearly delineated by a very thin gap (black in Fig. 2C) between the inferred outer 366 surface of the endotesta and the mineral infill of the infolds (outlined in solid yellow in 367 Fig. 2D).

368 **Comments**—Seeds of modern *Cissus integrifolia* (Baker) Planch. are very 369 similar to the holotype of *C. crenulata*, being narrow, laterally flattened and sub-oval 370 in lateral view with a line of bisymmetry passing through a median longitudinal rib 371 perichalaza and having a similar seed coat ornamentation. However, the greater

number of ridges across the lateral faces and different orientation of the ventral
surface relative to the long axis of the seed in *Cissus integrifolia* support the
recognition of a separate species. Chesters (1957) listed P. B. 8 as a paratype of *Menispermicarpum crenulatum*. Currently the number KNMP-RU7787 (P. B. 8)
includes eight specimens, which have here been transferred to *Cissus andrewsii* sp.
nov. (see below). Therefore, *Cissus crenulata* is represented only by a single
specimen.

379

Species—Cissus andrewsii Adams, Collinson, S.Y. Smith, & Bamford sp. nov.
Etymology—The species epithet "andrewsii" is named in honor of Dr. Peter
Andrews, in recognition of his extensive work on the Kenyan Miocene and the
invaluable support he provided, which enabled one of us (Collinson) to undertake
fieldwork on Rusinga and Mfangano Islands in 1980-1981.

385 *Diagnosis*—Seeds bilaterally symmetrical, 16–19 mm in dorsiventral 386 dimension, laterally flattened, sub-oval in lateral view, narrowly elliptical and 5-8 mm 387 wide in apical and basal views, elliptical in ventral view. Centers of lateral faces 388 ornamented by 4–5 short ridges in radial pattern; curved ridge 1–2.5 mm from 389 margins of lateral faces extends around most of seed and delineates faceted to 390 reticulate marginal area; prominent median ridge (rib perichalaza) extends from base 391 of ventral surface, around base, over dorsal surface, and almost full length of apical surface. Upper portion of ventral surface forms acute angle with long axis of the 392 393 seed. Pair of deep, wide ventral infolds present.

Holotype hic designatus—Seed—V68501 (Fig. 1E–H; μCT DTS video
 available from the Dryad Digital Repository, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36).
 Type locality—Rusinga Island, Lake Victoria, Kenya.

397 *Paratypes*—V68500 (Fig. 1I–J); V68502 (Fig. 1K–L); KNMP-RU7787 (8
398 specimens with field number 60*52, formerly P. B. 8, previously listed as paratypes of
399 *Menispermicarpum crenulatum* by Chesters, 1957; re-examined for this study by
400 Bamford).

401 *Geological horizon and age*—Hiwegi Formation, early Miocene.

402 *Repository*—Natural History Museum, London, U.K. (holotype and paratype
403 specimens with prefix V); National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya (other
404 paratypes).

405 **Description**—Seeds are bisymmetrical around a prominent median 406 perichalazal rib (Fig. 1H, J) and vary from 16 to 19 mm in dorsiventral dimension, 11 407 to 15 mm in height and 5 to 8 mm in width (Fig. 1E-L). Seeds are laterally flattened 408 with height/width ratios of 1.9-2.4 and are narrow in apical and basal views (Fig. 1G. 409 H, J, L). The seed apex is rounded, with no indication of an apical notch or chalazal 410 grooves (Fig. 1E-G), and narrows to the ventral margin (Fig. 1F, G). The ventral 411 surface is slightly rounded, not straight (Fig. 1F, K). The lateral surfaces have a 412 curved ridge, delimiting a sculptured outer margin, which extends from approximately 413 half way along the apical margin, around the dorsal margin, and fully along the basal 414 margin (Fig. 1F). The sculptured marginal band is faceted, facets spaced at 2 to 3.5 415 mm, and ridged with ridges sometimes fusing to form a reticulum (Fig. 1F, I, K). The 416 central areas of the lateral faces are ornamented by four to five short (2–3 mm) 417 ridges in a radial arrangement (Fig. 1F). Where undamaged, the upper portion of the 418 ventral surface forms an angle of 52 to 55 degrees with the long axis of the seed 419 (Fig. 1F, K). The ventral infolds are not visible on the outside of the seed (Fig. 1E, G, 420 L) but by using μ CT, deep (2.5 mm), broad (0.6 mm) ventral infolds can be identified 421 in V68501 (Fig. 2F) by differences in X-ray attenuation (grey level) due to variation in

mineral density and mineral texture in the infold infills. There is a very clear gap
(black in Fig. 2E) demarcating the inferred original position of the endotesta outer
surface in the areas away from the infolds, where endotesta would have been
originally thicker based on observations in modern seeds. Some additional outer
mineral (possibly representing exotesta or fruit wall remnants) is also present in the
holotype (Fig. 2E).

428 *Comments*—In her unpublished thesis, Chesters (1958) assigned the 429 specimen shown in Fig. 1E-H (V68501) to Menispermicarpum crenulatum, here 430 revised to Cissus crenulata, but there are clear differences between C. crenulata and 431 V68501, supporting assignment of this specimen, and other similar specimens, to a 432 new species. Although there is now only a single specimen of Cissus crenulata 433 (making it impossible to assess intraspecific variation), there are eleven specimens of 434 *C. andrewsii*, all with consistent morphology distinct from that of the single specimen 435 of C. crenulata. In Cissus and rewsii the central portion of the lateral faces is crossed 436 by short ridges in a radial pattern, unlike C. crenulata, and the lateral faces have a 437 greater number of short transverse ridges in the outer margins, some of which fuse to 438 form a reticulum. In addition, the curved ridge, delineating the sculptured margin from 439 the central flat area, extends further down the ventral surface and the ventral margin 440 is curved not straight. Cissus andrewsii is therefore more similar to seeds of modern 441 *Cissus dasyantha* Gilg & M.Brandt than *C. integrifolia*, but is sufficiently different from 442 these extant species to warrant assignment to a new species, and differs in several 443 ways from the fossil *C. crenulata*.

444

445 *Species*—*Cissus rusingensis* Adams, Collinson, S.Y. Smith, & Bamford sp.
446 nov.

447

Synonymy—'cf. Cissus sp. 1 nov.' in Collinson et al. (2009).

448 *Etymology*—The epithet "*rusingensis*" refers to the type locality on Rusinga
449 Island from which the specimens were collected during in situ excavations.

Diagnosis—Seeds bilaterally symmetrical, 7–8 mm in dorsiventral dimension,
slightly laterally flattened, sub-rounded to pyriform in lateral view, broadly elliptical in
apical, basal and ventral views. Lateral faces ornamented by ridges and reticula with
7–11 wide muri radiating to margins and enclosing lumina in central area; prominent
median ridge (rib perichalaza) extends from ventral margin, around base, over dorsal
surface, and almost full length of the apex. Upper portion of ventral surface forms
acute angle with long axis of the seed. Pair of deep, very wide ventral infolds present.

457 *Holotype hic designatus*—*Seed*—R117.1981.314 (Fig. 1M–O; μCT DTS

458 video available from the Dryad Digital Repository,

459 http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36).

460 *Type locality*—R117 site (see Collinson et al., 2009), Rusinga Island, Lake
461 Victoria, Kenya.

462 *Paratypes—Seeds*—KNMP-RU9647 (field number R117.1981.422);

463 R117.1981.476 (Fig. 1P–R; μCT DTS video available from the Dryad Digital

464 Repository, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36); R117.1981.604 (Fig. 1S–U);

465 R117.1981.605 (Fig. 1V–X).

466 *Geological horizon and age*—Grit Member, Hiwegi Formation, early
467 Miocene.

468 *Repository*—National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya (KNM).

469 *Description*—Seeds are bisymmetrical around a prominent median

- 470 perichalazal rib (Fig. 1N-O, Q-R, T-U, W-X) and they vary from 7 to 8 mm in
- 471 dorsiventral dimension, 5 to 6 mm in height, and 3 to 4 mm in width (Fig. 1M-X). The

472 seeds are laterally flattened with a height/width ratio varying between 1.5 and 1.8 and 473 are broadly elliptical in apical and basal views (Fig. 1N, O, Q, R, T, U, W, X). The 474 seeds have a rounded apex (Fig. 1M, P, S, V) but are variable in their lateral shape 475 (Fig. 1M, P). The perichalazal rib extends around less of the specimen in 476 R117.1981.476 (Fig. 1P) and R117.1981.604 (Fig. 1S), resulting in a reduced lateral 477 width higher up the seed, a longer ventral projection and a more pyriform shape. By 478 contrast, the holotype (Fig. 1M) and R117.1981.605 (Fig. 1V) are both sub-rounded 479 with only small ventral projections. The lateral surfaces have a reticulate 480 ornamentation with one or two centrally positioned lumina (Fig. 1M, P, S, V) and 7 to 481 11 muri or ridges ranging in width from 0.2 to 0.6 mm (e.g., Fig. 1M). Some ridges 482 radiate to the edges of the specimen and join up with the strong perichalazal rib 483 producing marginal lumina (Fig. 10, X). Others terminate before reaching the margin 484 without forming a reticulum (bottom right in Fig. 1S; top left in Fig. 1V), resulting in a 485 radiating pattern of marginal ridges and unenclosed marginal depressions. The upper 486 portion of the ventral surface forms an angle of 35 to 55 degrees with the long axis of 487 the seed (Fig. 1M, P, S, V). The ventral infolds, although not externally visible (Fig. 488 1N, Q, T, W), are readily identifiable in the holotype by differences in contrast and 489 mineral density in µCT scans (Fig. 2G). A distinct pale area (highlighted yellow in Fig. 490 2H) marks the position of the embryo cavity, while the deep (1.5 mm) and very broad 491 (0.75 mm) ventral infolds are infilled with mineral with lower X-ray attenuation and 492 hence darker grey colour (Fig. 2H).

493 *Comments*—*Cissus rusingensis* is distinctive in lacking a distinct curved ridge
494 delineating a marginal region and in having muri in the central area of the lateral
495 faces forming a reticulum. This species is very similar to seeds of modern *Cissus*496 *lebrunii* Dewit, but *C. rusingensis* has fewer muri and at most two (rather than three)

497 centrally positioned lumina.

498

499 Species—Cissus psilata Adams, Collinson, S.Y. Smith, & Bamford sp. nov.
500 Etymology—The epithet "psilata" derives from the ancient Greek adjective
501 "psilós", meaning "bare" or "smooth", and refers to the lack of seed coat
502 ornamentation on the smooth surface of the lateral faces.

503 *Diagnosis*—Seed bilaterally symmetrical, 7.5 mm in dorsiventral dimension, 504 sub-rounded in lateral view, broadly elliptical in apical, basal, and ventral views. Seed 505 smooth, lacks external ornamentation (psilate), except for prominent median ridge 506 (rib perichalaza) that extends all around dorsal surface, over apex, and almost full 507 length of ventral surface. Base of ventral surface concave, forming acute angle with 508 long axis of seed. Pair of very short, narrow ventral infolds present.

509 *Holotype hic designatus*—*Seed*—V68506 (Fig. 1Y-BB; μCT DTS video
 510 available from the Dryad Digital Repository, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36).

511 *Type locality*—Rusinga Island, Lake Victoria, Kenya.

512 *Geological horizon and age*—Hiwegi Formation, early Miocene.

513 *Repository*—Natural History Museum, London, UK.

514 **Description**—Seed inferred to be originally bilaterally symmetrical around a

515 prominent median perichalazal rib, although the single specimen is slightly deformed

516 (Fig. 1Z, AA), probably due to abnormal development in life or distortion during

517 fossilization. The seed is sub-rounded in lateral view (Fig. 1Y), 7.5 mm in dorsiventral

518 dimension and 6 mm in height, and broadly elliptical in apical and basal (Fig. 1Z, AA)

519 views, 4.5 mm in width. The seed is not laterally flattened and has an inflated

520 morphology with a height/width ratio of 1.3. The perichalazal rib is ca. 0.3–0.45 mm

521 thick around the dorsal and basal margins (Fig. 1Y, Z) but thicker at the seed base

522 (ca. 0.8 mm), forming a strong point (Fig. 1Y, AA), equivalent to the beak in typical 523 Vitaceae (see fig. 1 in Chen and Manchester, 2011). The lateral surfaces are smooth 524 (Fig. 1Y). The upper portion of the ventral surface forms an angle of 45 degrees with 525 the long axis of the seed (Fig. 1Y). The ventral infolds are not visible on the outside 526 of the seed (Fig. 1Z, BB). µCT scans of the holotype (Fig. 2I) show very little internal 527 information compared to the other fossils, except for two very short (less than 0.5 mm) grooves at the base of the ventral surface (Fig. 2J), which correspond to the 528 529 position of the ventral infolds characteristic of Cissus.

530 *Comments*—In her unpublished thesis, Chesters (1958) suggested that 531 V68506 could be assigned to the genus *Menispermicarpum* as a new, but never 532 published, species. However, the fossil morphology differs from endocarps of 533 Menispermaceae. It is almost identical to seeds of modern Cissus petiolata Hook.f., 534 differing in the greater extent of the rib perichalaza on the dorsal surface. In modern 535 Cissus petiolata seeds, the ventral infolds are very shallow and short which, in 536 combination with mineralization effects, can explain the very limited evidence for this 537 diagnostic feature in V68506 (Fig. 2J).

538

539

RESULTS

Identification of fossils to genus Cissus—The lack of cellular and tissue
detail in the fossils (Fig. 2C-J), and the complexities of mineralization during
fossilization, make it difficult to judge if the external morphology of a fossil represents
the external morphology of a living equivalent. The SRXTM videos of modern *Cissus*seeds (available from the Dryad Digital Repository,

http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36) show that the inner and outer surfaces of the
endotesta are parallel to one another and hence a mineral infill of the endotesta will

547 have a very similar external morphology to a mineral replacement of the endotesta 548 itself. Therefore, it is justifiable to compare the external surface of the Hiwegi 549 Formation fossils with that of modern seeds for purposes of identification. These 550 comparisons show that several modern African Cissus species have seeds with 551 almost identical shape and ornamentation to the Hiwegi Formation fossil seeds (see 552 *Phylogenetic context of seed morphotypes*). However, the ventral infolds that 553 characterize modern Cissus seeds are not visible on the fossils. The taphonomy of 554 the fossils may explain the absence of these key features.

555 A novel approach in virtual taphonomy was used to produce a digital infill of 556 the endotesta of a modern *Cissus* seed (Fig. 3A). This showed all the characters 557 typical of *Cissus* seeds (i.e., a long linear chalaza, 'perichalaza'; a thickened ridge of 558 seed coat along the perichalaza 'perichalazal rib'; deep, narrow, linear ventral 559 infolds). Digital infilling of the ventral infolds produced a digital fossil comparable to 560 the real Hiwegi Formation fossils (Fig. 3B). This virtual taphonomy suggests a two-561 stage fossilization process with an initial infilling of the endotesta (and perhaps some 562 mineral replacement of organic tissues) followed by a later stage of infilling of the 563 ventral infolds. µCT imaging supports this hypothesis as mineral-infilled ventral 564 infolds can be recognized (Fig. 2C-J) in digital sections through the fossils (albeit 565 more clearly in some specimens than others).

566 The only other modern genus including seeds similar to *Cissus* is 567 *Cyphostemma*, some seeds of which may be laterally flattened and have somewhat 568 similar ornamentation. Unlike *Cissus* (Fig. 4A) the ventral infolds on extant 569 *Cyphostemma* seeds are externally concealed by extra layers of endotestal sclereids 570 (Chen and Manchester, 2011; Fig. 4B). If the fossils were originally *Cyphostemma* 571 seeds with the endotesta infilled by mineral during initial stages of fossilization, then

the spaces enclosed by the endotesta over the ventral infolds would have been
infilled at the same time as the main area within the endotesta. However, μCT
images of the fossils show mineral infills of the ventral infolds that are distinct from
other mineral infill within the endotesta (Fig. 2C-H) indicating infill during a later stage
of fossilization.

577 The combined data show that four African fossil seed morphotypes conform to 578 the genus *Cissus* in all characters that can be determined from the fossils. The 579 complex taphonomy and concealment of key features diagnostic of the genus explain 580 the original taxonomic misidentification to the Menispermaceae.

581 Phylogenetic relationships within Cissus—In our maximum likelihood 582 analysis, species of *Cissus* are found in three distinct groups (Fig. 5; Appendix S7, 583 see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article): the *Cissus striata* clade 584 (81%/0.94 [hereafter, bootstrap support/Bayesian posterior probabilities]), the *Cissus* 585 trianae clade (<50%/0.25), and the core Cissus clade (60%/1.0), as previously 586 identified by Rodrigues et al. (2014). Within the core Cissus clade in our analysis, the 587 early-diverging lineages comprise only African species (Fig. 5). The African species 588 Cissus barbeyana, C. sagittifera and C. floribunda form a clade (the Cissus 589 barbeyana clade; 100%/1.0) sister to the remainder of the core Cissus clade, in 590 which the *Cissus integrifolia* clade (*C. integrifolia* + *C. populnea*; 100%/1.0) is sister 591 to two main clades that comprise the rest of the species in genus *Cissus*. In the first 592 of these two main clades (100%/1.0) only eight of 35 species in our analysis are not 593 African; whilst only seven of the 49 species in the second clade are African (Fig. 5). 594 The topologies and support values obtained with the maximum likelihood (Fig. 5) and 595 Bayesian analyses (Appendix S8, S9, and S10, see Supplemental Data with the 596 online version of this article) do not present well-supported topological discrepancies.

The divergence time analyses estimated that the core *Cissus* clade diverged 57.9 Ma (highest posterior density (HPD) 55.9–64.0 Ma; 95% HPD intervals of age estimates are provided in Appendix S11, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article), in the late Paleocene (Appendix S8, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article), and started to diversify 41.5 Ma (HPD 31.6–51.0 Ma), in the late middle Eocene (Appendix S9, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article).

604 Phylogenetic context of seed morphotypes—Seeds of four distinct Cissus 605 species are now recognized from the early Miocene Hiwegi Formation. Given that 606 claims of Vitaceae pollen from the Oligocene of Cameroon remain unconfirmed 607 (Salard-Cheboldaeff, 1978, 1981; Muller, 1981), these seeds represent the first 608 confirmed fossil record of Vitaceae and of the genus *Cissus* in Africa. The four fossil 609 species represent distinct morphotypes, which are also found in seeds of extant 610 species (Table 1), as revealed by SRXTM imaging of modern fruits and by a 611 literature survey of published seed descriptions. The phylogenetic position of these 612 extant species provides a phylogenetic context for the early Miocene fossils.

613 Morphotype 1—Cissus crenulata (Fig. 1A-E) has laterally flattened, sub-oval 614 seeds with a faceted marginal area, several long radiating lateral ridges and two 615 marginal ridges. The extant species *Cissus integrifolia* (Fig. 6D, 7A) and *C. populnea* 616 (Fig. 2A, 6E) share this distinctive morphotype (Table 1). These two species are 617 recovered in our phylogenetic analyses with strong support (100% BS) as a distinct 618 clade, the *Cissus integrifolia* clade, which is one of the two earliest-diverging clades 619 in core Cissus (Fig. 5). It is, therefore, likely that Cissus crenulata was a member of 620 the early diverging *Cissus integrifolia* clade.

621

Morphotype 2—Cissus andrewsii has seeds that are laterally flattened and

622 have a ridged and faceted marginal area where ridges sometimes fuse to form a 623 reticulum, two prominent marginal ridges, and short radiating lateral ridges. The 624 extant species Cissus barbeyana (Fig. 6B) and C. dasyantha (Fig. 7B and fig. 13J in 625 Dewit and Willems, 1960) are most similar to *C. andrewsii*, in that they are slightly 626 laterally flattened (H:W ratio of 1.3-1.5) with a similarly ridged and faceted marginal 627 reticulum, two marginal ridges and short radiating lateral ridges (Table 1). Although 628 the sampled specimen of *Cissus dasyantha* failed to amplify material for phylogenetic 629 analysis, C. barbeyana was found in the basal clade of core Cissus, the Cissus 630 barbeyana clade, with C. floribunda and C. sagittifera (Fig. 5). Seeds of Cissus 631 floribunda (Fig. 6A) and C. sagittifera (fig. 14 in Descoings, 1967) also possess the 632 Morphotype 2 characters, (except that C. sagittifera lacks the ridged and faceted 633 marginal area). This suggests that Morphotype 2 is characteristic of the basal *Cissus* 634 *barbeyana* clade and that *C. andrewsii* can be placed in this clade. Future work 635 determining if *Cissus dasyantha* is in the *C. barbeyana* clade would test this 636 hypothesis.

637 Morphotype 3—Cissus rusingensis has slightly laterally flattened seeds and 638 ridges forming a complete to incomplete reticulum across the lateral faces. Extant 639 species sharing these features (Table 1) include: Cissus lebrunii (fig. 13K in Dewit 640 and Willems, 1960), C. oreophila (pl. 39, fig. 11 in Descoings, 1972), C. sciaphila 641 (Fig. 6H), C. smithiana (Fig. 6G) and C. tiliifolia (Fig. 7C and Verdcourt, 1993). 642 Cissus sciaphila and C. tiliifolia are found together in the C. sciaphila clade (C. 643 *lebrunii* did not amplify), with five other African species (*C. aphyllantha, C. polyantha,* 644 C. guarrei, C. rhodotricha, C. smithiana) and the Asian/Australasian species, C. 645 adnata and C. rostrata (Fig. 5). Seed descriptions suggest these species share most, 646 if not all, of the external morphological features of Morphotype 3 (Appendix S4, see

Supplemental Data with the online version of this article). Cissus polyantha seeds are 647 648 very variable in surface ornamentation from smooth to extensively ridged (pl. 36, figs. 649 11-12 in Descoings, 1972; fig. 13H in Dewit and Willems, 1960), also seen in our 650 sampling of two specimens (Appendix S1, see Supplemental Data with the online 651 version of this article). However, one illustration of a ridged specimen (fig. 13H in 652 Dewit and Willems, 1960) falls into Morphtype 3. Morphotype 3 is characteristic of 653 almost all of the species belonging to the *Cissus sciaphila* clade (and occurs in at 654 least one collection of *C. polyantha*). This suggests that *Cissus rusingensis* was an 655 early Miocene member of this clade. Cissus auricoma has seeds of Morphotype 3 but 656 is a member of the *C. microdonta-C. auricoma* clade (Fig. 5). This being the only 657 species in that clade with Morphotype 3 seeds suggests parallel evolution of seed 658 morphology in this case, although further sampling of both DNA and seed 659 morphology would be needed to confirm this.

660 Morphotype 4—Seeds of Cissus psilata are sub-rounded with smooth lateral 661 faces almost indentical to seeds of extant C. petiolata (Table 1; Fig. 6M, 7D). Cissus 662 petiolata is found in a clade with seven solely African species (Fig. 5): C. aralioides, 663 C. oliveri, C. polita, C. rondoensis, C. rotundifolia, C. sylvicola, C. welwitschii. Of 664 these, Cissus aralioides (Fig. 6L), C. rotundifolia, C. sylvicola and C. welwitschii have 665 Morphotype 4 seeds (Appendix S4, see Supplemental Data with the online version of 666 this article). Cissus oliveri, with coarsely pitted seeds and very strong radial and 667 lateral ribs (Verdcourt, 1993), and *C. rondoensis*, with seeds bearing two to three, 668 faint transverse ridges (Verdcourt, 1993), lack the smooth seed coat typical of 669 Morphotype 4. These two species occupy contrasting habitats to the rest of the clade 670 (Table 2), so contrasting seed morphology may reflect different environmental 671 pressures.

672 Extant species in the *Cissus pseudoguerkeana-C. albiporcata* clade, for which 673 seed descriptions were available, also share seed Morphotype 4: C. faucicola and C. 674 quadrangularis have smooth seeds (Appendix S4, see Supplemental Data with the 675 online version of this article), and *C. cactiformis* also has the smooth seed coat 676 (Verdcourt, 1993). Furthermore, the majority of species in the Cissus elongata-C. 677 subtetragona clade (Fig. 5) share Morphotype 4 characters (Appendix S4, see 678 Supplemental Data with the online version of this article). This evidence suggests 679 that Morphotype 4 occurs throughout the broader *Cissus petiolata-C. albiporcata* 680 clade (Fig. 5), and suggests that *C. psilata* belongs in this clade.

681 Further morphological and molecular analyses, with expanded geographic and 682 taxonomic sampling (including those species that failed to amplify for this study), are 683 needed to fully evaluate the systematic significance of seed morphotypes. This study 684 focused on modern African species to place the African Miocene fossils in context. 685 Based on extant species with morphologically comparable seeds, and their 686 phylogenetic position, species from four clades of Cissus (or from the ends of their 687 stem lineages) were present during the early Miocene in East Africa. These species 688 were related to the two early-diverging clades of core Cissus (the Cissus integrifolia 689 and *C. barbeyana* clades), and two later-diverging clades with mainly African species 690 today, the *C. sciaphila* clade and the *C. petiolata-C. albiporcata* clade (Fig. 6).

Fossil plant biology and ecology—Habit and habitat data (Table 2) for
nearest living relatives of the fossil *Cissus* (Fig. 6) can be used to consider the likely
paleobiology and paleoecology of the fossil plants. In the *Cissus integrifolia* clade,
the plants are lianas or herbaceous climbers in wide-ranging habitats, from
evergreen forest and woodland to bushland and savanna grassland. Members of the *Cissus barbeyana* clade are herbaceous to woody lianas and predominantly occupy

697 rainforest, gallery and riverine forest fringes. Species in the Cissus sciaphila clade 698 are also herbaceous to woody climbers in rainforests or are scrambling shrubs or 699 woody climbers in drier deciduous forest and woodland. The extant species of the 700 *Cissus aralioides-C. albiporcata* clade are herbaceous to woody lianas or climbing 701 shrubs, a number of which are succulent (C. aralioides, C. cactiformis, C. petiolata, 702 C. quadrangularis, C. rotundifolia, C. sylvicola). They occur in riverine forest and 703 wooded savanna to rocky outcrops, xerophilic thickets in Acacia mixed bushland and 704 grassland (Table 2). The two members of the *Cissus petiolata* sub-clade with 705 different seeds (see previous section) also differ in their habitat preferences, being 706 most often found in moist rainforests.

707 These modern ecologies suggest that a diversity of climbers (either 708 herbaceous or woody or both) were present in the early Miocene on Rusinga Island. 709 These climbers may have occupied gallery or riverine forest (Cissus andrewsii 710 related to the C. barbeyana clade) and evergreen forest, through woodland to 711 savanna (C. rusingensis related to the C. sciaphila clade and C. crenulata related to 712 the *C. integrifolia* clade). The plant producing the *Cissus psilata* seeds may have 713 been somewhat succulent and hence able to occupy arid habitats as well as riverine 714 forest or savanna, based on the habitats of a number of related extant species in the 715 C. aralioides-C. albiporcata clade.

- 716
- 717

DISCUSSION

718 *Homology of the ventral surface in Vitaceae seeds*—The current

convention for descriptive terminology of Vitaceae seeds (Chen and Manchster 2011)

and fossil *Cissus* seeds (Manchester et al., 2012b) has been followed here

721 (Appendix S6, see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article) to allow

722 for ease of comparison with their work. However, an alternative interpretation is 723 possible, particularly for the strongly flattened seeds (e.g. Fig. 1A-L; Fig. 2A-D; Fig. 3; 724 Fig. 6A-F), whereby the ventral surface incorporates both ventral and apical sensu 725 Appendix S6 herein (see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article) 726 and the ventral grooves are short occupying less than half of the dimension of that 727 surface. This alternative seed orientation is followed in all the floras to which we refer 728 in this paper (i.e. the modern seed illustratiions in Fig. 6 are all rotated 90 degrees 729 clockwise). These two alternative homologies would best be investigated by a 730 developmental study.

731 *Cissus origins, phylogeny and Miocene diversity in Africa*—Relationships 732 among genera in the Vitaceae are mostly comparable between our study and the 733 most recent previous studies of Cissus (Liu et al., 2013, 2016; Rodrigues et al., 734 2014), with limited support for the backbone of the trees (but see Wen et al., 2013) 735 and Zhang et al., 2015). Cissus species are found in three distinct clades in all analyses, identified by Rodrigues et al. (2014) as the Cissus striata clade (Clade III of 736 737 Liu et al., 2013), the C. trianae clade (Clade V of Liu et al., 2013) and the core Cissus 738 clade, which contains most of the species. As in Liu et al. (2013), the earliest-739 diverging clades comprise mainly African species, although this is more evident in 740 our study in which the first branches are exclusively African species. This topology 741 provides support for an African origin for the genus, as Liu et al. (2013) also 742 concluded.

The new African fossils are entirely consistent with an African origin for *Cissus*. The notable similarities in seed morphology between extant *Cissus* species
and Hiwegi Formation fossils across multiple seed morphotypes suggest the
presence of four clades or members of their stem lineages, indicating diversity of

747 *Cissus*, by the early Miocene in Africa. Based on the divergence times (Appendix S9, 748 see Supplemental Data with the online version of this article), it appears that all four 749 clades originated much earlier than the ca. 18 Ma age of the Miocene fossils: 41.5 750 Ma (HPD 31.5-51.0 Ma) for the split of the Cissus barbevana clade from the rest of 751 core Cissus; 36.0 Ma (HPD 27.6-44.7 Ma) for the divergence of the C. integrifolia 752 clade; 24.6 Ma (HPD 18.1-32.1 Ma) for the divergence of the C. sciaphila clade; and 753 22.8 Ma (HPD ca. 16.6-30.0 Ma) for the divergence of the C. aralioides-C. 754 albiporcata clade. The time-calibrated phylogeny (Appendix S9, see Supplemental 755 Data with the online version of this article) therefore suggests that several ghost 756 lineages of *Cissus* await discovery in the fossil record. The new African Miocene 757 seeds, and those from the Oligocene of Peru (see Comparison with other fossil 758 *Cissus* below), provide fossil evidence consistent with the suggested phylogenetic 759 history of *Cissus*.

760 Comparison with other fossil Cissus—The fossil record of the grape family 761 (Vitaceae) extends back to the latest Cretaceous or earliest Paleogene in central 762 India (Manchester et al., 2013) and to the Paleogene in North America and Europe 763 (e.g., Manchester, 1994; Fairon-Demaret and Smith, 2002; Chen and Manchester, 764 2007; Collinson et al., 2012). However, for *Cissus*, the oldest, and only other, 765 currently recognised examples are from the late early Oligocene Belén flora of 766 northern Peru (Manchester et al., 2012b). This flora contains two Cissus species: 767 Cissus willardii Berry and Cissus lombardii Manchester, Chen, & Lott. Cissus willardii 768 is small and globose with smooth lateral faces and large ventral infolds (fig. 2A-Q in 769 Manchester et al., 2012b), unlike any of the fossils described above from Rusinga 770 Island.

771 Conversely, Cissus lombardii shares some characteristics with African 772 Miocene C. crenulata and modern C. integrifolia (seed Morphotype 1), being 773 bilaterally symmetrical, laterally flattened, elliptical in lateral view with a pronounced 774 median perichalazal rib and a faintly faceted marginal area defined by a marginal 775 ridge on each lateral face (fig. 3A-N in Manchester et al., 2012b). This suggests that 776 Cissus lombardii might be related to the C. integrifolia clade, extending its fossil 777 record to the early Oligocene (30-28.5 Ma). However, *Cissus lombardii* is smaller in 778 all dimensions than C. crenulata and C. integrifolia, and lacks the distinct 779 ornamenting ridges that cross the lateral faces in seeds of these species. The 780 specimens of Cissus lombardii from the Belén flora are internal casts, which could 781 result in a more subdued surface ornamentation than if the fossils were seeds 782 themselves. However, as has been shown in extant *Cissus* seeds in this study using 783 SRXTM (SRXTM videos of modern *Cissus* seeds (available from the Dryad Digital 784 Repository, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.g9r36), the inner surface of the endotesta 785 closely parallels the outer surface, which would result in a similar pattern of 786 ornamentation whether a fossil is an internal cast or a replacement of the endotesta 787 itself. There is no indication of even faint ridges across the lateral faces in *Cissus* 788 *lombardii* (fig. 3A-B in Manchester et al., 2012b), suggesting that the ornamentation 789 of the original seed was significantly different from Morphotype 1. The portion of the 790 ventral surface containing the ventral infolds is "more or less planar (not markedly 791 concave)" in Cissus lombardii (Manchester et al., 2012b, pg. 936), rather than weakly 792 to strongly concave as in C. integrifolia and C. crenulata. Therefore, Cissus 793 *lombardii*, is clearly distinct from the African Miocene species.

794 *Biogeographic implications*—The position of previously unsampled modern
795 African species near the base of the phylogeny (Fig. 5) reinforces the African origin
for the core *Cissus* clade, suggested by Liu et al. (2013). The new African Miocene
fossil *Cissus crenulata* has seed Morphotype 1 as do both extant species of the *C*. *integrifolia* clade, confirming the presence of early-divergent members of the core *Cissus* clade in Africa by at least the Miocene.

800 The two modern species outside Africa in the Cissus sciaphila clade (C. 801 rostrata and C. adnata) are advanced within this clade. Six of the seven modern 802 African species in this clade are characterized by seeds of Morphotype 3, and the 803 seventh also includes specimens with this seed morphotype. The new African 804 Miocene fossil Cissus rusingensis also has seeds of Morphotype 3. These data 805 suggest that this clade originated in Africa. Dispersal during or after the Neogene to 806 Australasia resulted in the modern pantropical intercontinental disjunct distribution. 807 The calibrated phylogeny presented here (Appendix S9, see Supplemental Data with 808 the online version of this article) suggests that the divergence of Australasian species 809 occurred near the end of the Pliocene at 2.7 Ma (HPD 0.8–5.5 Ma) differing from, 810 although within the error of, the late Miocene estimate of 7.8 Ma (HPD 3.0–15.1 Ma) 811 made by Liu et al. (2013).

812 Liu et al. (2013) argued that transoceanic long distance dispersal, rather than 813 terrestrial mammalian dispersal, was the most likely explanation for pantropical 814 intercontinental disjunctions in *Cissus*, because *Cissus* fruits are fleshy and, although 815 in some instances dispersed by mammals, are predominantly bird-dispersed, 816 enabling long-distance transport by bird migration. Multiple large islands across the 817 Indian Ocean may have facilitated an out-of-Africa migration by acting as migratory 818 "stepping stones", as invoked for dispersal of other vitaceous genera (e.g., *Cavratia*; 819 Lu et al., 2013).

The distributions of modern species in all four clades containing nearest living reatives to the fossils extend across Africa from East to West (Table 2). The fossil seeds suggest that the clades containing these living relatives may have had their origins in East Africa with subsequent spread to the rest of the continent. However, additional African fossil records of *Cissus* are needed to document dispersal patterns.

826 **Paleoenvironmental implications**—Previous paleoenvironmental 827 reconstructions from the Hiwegi Formation have inferred a habitat mosaic inhabited 828 by early hominoids, such as *Ekembo* (see McNulty et al., 2015). Evidence for mosaic 829 habitats comes from gastropod (Verdcourt, 1963; Pickford, 1995) and mammal 830 faunas (e.g., Andrews and Van Couvering, 1975), paleosols (Retallack et al., 1995) 831 and paleobotany (Collinson et al., 2009; Maxbauer et al., 2013; Michel et al., 2014). 832 Collinson et al. (2009) concluded that the overall paleoenvironmental signal, 833 considering the evidence from plants, mammals, gastropods and paleosols, was one 834 of "mixed habitats dominated by woodlands, with waterside environments and small 835 patches of forest big enough to support forest faunas" (p. 161); this is very similar to 836 the possible fossil Cissus paleoecologies based on nearest living relatives (see 837 Fossil plant paleobiology and paleoecology).

The vegetation in which *Cissus andrewsii*, *C. crenulata* and *C. psilata* lived cannot be inferred from associated fossils, as the specimens were surface-picked. However, the context of the *Cissus rusingensis* fossils is well understood as they derive from in situ excavations of plant litter assemblages at the R117 site (Collinson et al., 2009). These litter assemblages were interpreted to have accumulated under a continuous canopy in deciduous, broad-leaved woodland bordering a river, based on the fossil fruits and seeds and their taphonomy (Collinson et al., 2009). *Cissus*

rusingensis is therefore known to have inhabited a closed riverine woodland,
consistent with interpretations made for this species from inferred near living
relatives.

848 Recent studies have revealed temporal paleoenvironmental changes through 849 the Hiwegi Formation (Michel et al., 2013, 2014; Garrett et al., 2015) and have 850 suggested that interpretations of mosaic paleoenvironments may be based on time-851 averaged faunal and floral assemblages that conflate separate, more homogeneous 852 habitats. These studies suggest that more open, drier woodland habitats low in the 853 Hiwegi Formation (e.g., Grit Member) gave way to dense, closed canopy forest 854 further up (e.g., Fossil Bed and Kibanga Members), with early hominoid fossils 855 recovered from both paleoenvironments (Garrett et al., 2015). Since the stratigraphic 856 context of *Cissus andrewsii*, *C. crenulata* and *C. psilata* are unknown and they are 857 not associated with C. rusingensis, the four new species of Cissus described may or 858 may not have existed contemporaneously. Despite this uncertainty, it is known from 859 in situ excavations in the Fruit and Nut Bed (Collinson et al., 2009) and 860 stratigraphically associated leaf assemblages (Maxbauer et al., 2013) that a riverine 861 mosaic habitat of woodland and forest existed during the deposition of the Grit 862 Member of the lower Hiwegi Formation. The paleoecology of the new fossil species 863 of *Cissus*, inferred from living relatives and supported (for *Cissus rusingensis*) by 864 associated fossils, provides new evidence for mosaic landscapes on Rusinga Island 865 during the early Miocene, ranging from gallery or riverine forest to woodland, 866 bushland and savanna.

867	LITERATURE CITED
868	Andrews, A.L., D.J. Peppe, K.P. McNulty, W.E.H. Harcourt-Smith, H.M. Dunsworth,
869	A.L. Deino, and D.L. Fox. 2009. Magnetostratigraphy of the Early Miocene Kulu and
870	Hiwegi Formations on Rusinga Island (Lake Victoria, Kenya). Geological Society of
871	America Abstracts with Programs 41: 672.
872	
873	Andrews, P. 1992. Community evolution in forest habitats. Journal of Human
874	Evolution 22: 423-438. doi:10.1016/0047-2484(92)90069-L.
875	
876	Andrews, P., and J.H. Van Couvering. 1975. Palaeoenvironments in the East African
877	Miocene. In F. Szalay [ed.], Contribution to Primate Paleobiology, vol. 5, 62-103.
878	Karger, Basel, Switzerland.
879	
880	Andrews, P., and E. Simons. 1977. A new African Miocene gibbon-like genus,
881	Dendropithecus (Hominoidea, Primates) with distinctive postcranial adaptations: Its
882	significance to origin of Hylobatidae. Folia Primatologica 28: 161-169.
883	doi:10.1159/000155807.
884	
885	Beentje, H. 1994. Kenya Trees, Shrubs and Lianas. National Museums Kenya,
886	Nairobi, Kenya.
887	
888	Bestland, E.A., G.D. Thackray, and G.J. Retallack. 1995. Cycles of doming and
889	eruption of the Miocene Kisingiri volcano, southwest Kenya. Journal of Geology 103:
890	598-607.
891	

- Butler, P.M. 1984. Macroscelidea, Insectivora and Chiroptera from the Miocene of
 East Africa. *Palaeovertebrata* 14: 117-200.
- 894
- 895 Chen, I., and S.R. Manchester. 2007. Seed morphology of modern and fossil
- 896 Ampelocissus (Vitaceae) and implications for phytogeography. American Journal of
- 897 *Botany* 94: 1534-1553. doi:10.3732/ajb.94.9.1534.
- 898
- 899 Chen, I., and S.R. Manchester. 2011. Seed morphology of Vitaceae. International
- 900 *Journal of Plant Sciences* 172: 1-35. doi:10.1086/657283.
- 901
- 902 Chen, Z., H. Ren, and J. Wen. 2007. Vitaceae. In C.Y. Wu, D.-Y. Hong, P.H. Raven
- 903 [eds.], Flora of China, vol. 12, 173-222. Science Press, Beijing, China and Missouri

904 Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

- 905
- 906 Chesters, K.I.M. 1957. The Miocene flora of Rusinga Island, Lake Victoria, Kenya.
- 907 Palaeontographica Abteilung B 101: 29-71.
- 908
- 909 Chesters, K.I.M. 1958. Fossil angiosperms as indicators of early Tertiary conditions
- 910 in Africa with special reference to the Miocene flora of Rusinga Island, Lake Victoria.
- 911 Unpublished PhD thesis. University of Cambridge.
- 912
- 913 Clos, L.M. 1995. A new species of *Varanus* (Reptilia: Sauria) from the Miocene of
- 914 Kenya. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 15: 254-267.
- 915 doi:10.1080/02724634.1995.10011228.
- 916

- 917 Collinson, M.E., P. Andrews, and M.K. Bamford. 2009. Taphonomy of the early
- Miocene flora, Hiwegi Formation, Rusinga Island, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution*57: 149-162. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2009.02.010.

- 921 Collinson, M.E., S.R. Manchester, and V. Wilde. 2012. Fruits and seeds of the Middle
- 922 Eocene Messel biota, Germany. *Abhandlungen der Senckenberg Gesellschaft für*

924

923

- 925 Collinson, M.E., M.K. Bamford, and S.Y. Smith. 2013. The Early Miocene fruit and
- 926 seed floras from Rusinga and Mfwangano Islands, Kenya. Geological Society of
- 927 America Abstracts with Programs 45: 457.

Naturforschung 570: 1-251.

928

- 929 Conrad, J.L., K. Jenkins, T. Lehmann, F.K. Manthi, D.J. Peppe, S. Nightingale, A.
- 930 Cossette, H.M., et al. 2013. New specimens of '*Crocodylus*' *pigotti* (Crocodylidae)
- 931 from Rusinga Island, Kenya, and generic reallocation of the species. *Journal of*
- 932 *Vertebrate Paleontology* 33: 629-646. doi:10.1080/02724634.2013.743404.

933

De Santo, A.V., A. Fioretto, G. Bartoli, and A. Alfani. 1987. Gas exchange of two
CAM species of the genus *Cissus* (Vitaceae) differing in morphological features. *Photosynthesis Research* 13: 113-124. doi:10.1007/BF00035235.

937

938 Descoings, B. 1967. Vitacées. *In* H. Humbert [ed.], Flore de Madagascar et des
939 Comores, vol. 124, 1-151. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France.

- 941 Descoings, B. 1972. Vitacées, Leeacées. *In* A. Aubréville and J.-F. Leroy [eds.],
 942 Flore du Cameroun, vol. 13, 1-132. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris,
 943 France.
- 944
- 945 Dewit, J., and L. Willems. 1960. Vitaceae. *In* W. Robyns, P. Staner, F. Demaret, R.
- 946 Germain, G. Gilbert, L. Hauman, M. Homès, et al. [eds.], Flore du Congo belge et du
- 947 Ruanda-Urundi. Spermatophytes, vol. 9, 453-567. Institut National pour l'Étude
- 948 Agronomique du Congo belge, Brussels, Belgium.
- 949
- 950 Doyle J.J., and J.L. Doyle. 1987. A rapid isolation procedure for small quantities of

951 fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19: 11–15.

- 952
- 953 Drake, R.E., J.A. Van Couvering, M.H. Pickford, G.H. Curtis, and J.A. Harris. 1988.
- 954 New chronology for the Early Miocene mammalian faunas of Kisingiri, Western
- 955 Kenya. Journal of the Geological Society 145: 479-491.
- 956 doi:10.1144/gsjgs.145.3.0479.
- 957

- Drummond A.J., and A. Rambaut. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by
 sampling trees. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 7: 214. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-214.
- Bedgar, R.C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and
 high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32: 1792-1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- 963

964	Fairon-Demaret, M., and T. Smith. 2002. Fruits and seeds from the Tienen Formation
965	at Dormaal, Palaeocene-Eocene transition in eastern Belgium. Review of
966	Palaeobotany and Palynology 122: 47-62. doi:10.1016/S0034-6667(02)00103-3.
967	
968	Garrett, N.D., D.L. Fox, K.P. McNulty, L. Michel, and D.J. Peppe. 2015. Early
969	Miocene paleoenvironments of Rusinga Island, Kenya: New data from fossil
970	mammalian tooth enamel stable isotope compositions. Journal of Vertebrate
971	Paleontology, Program and Abstracts 2015: 130.
972	
973	GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility). 2013. GBIF Data Portal. Website
974	http://www.gbif.org [accessed 02 October 2015].
975	
976	Harris, J., and J. Van Couvering. 1995. Mock aridity and the paleoecology of
977	volcanically influenced ecosystems. Geology 23: 593-596. doi:10.1130/0091-
978	7613(1995)023<0593:MAATPO>2.3.CO;2.
979	
980	Harrison, C.J.O. 1980. Fossil birds from Afrotropical Africa in the collection of the
981	British Museum (Natural History). Ostrich 51: 92-98.
982	doi:10.1080/00306525.1980.9633549.
983	
984	Harrison, T. 2002. Late Oligocene to middle Miocene catarrhines from Afro-Arabia. In
985	W. Hartwig [ed.], The Primate Fossil Record. 311-338. Cambridge University Press,
986	Cambridge, UK.
987	

- Harrison, T., and P. Andrews. 2009. The anatomy and systematic position of the
 early Miocene proconsulid from Meswa Bridge, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution*56: 479-496. doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2009.02.005.
- 991
- Hartman, J.H., and A.J. Kihm. 1995. Age of Meek and Hayden's Fort Union Group
- 993 (Paleocene), Upper Missouri River, North Dakota-Montana. In L.D. Hunter, and R.A.
- 994 Schalla [eds.], Proceedings of the 7th International Williston Basin Symposium, 417-

995 428. Montana Geological Society, Billings, Montana, USA.

996

Jackes, B.R. 1988. Revision of the Australian Vitaceae, 3. *Cissus* L.. *Austrobaileya* 2:
481-505.

999

- 1000 Janis, C.M. 1993. Tertiary mammal evolution in the context of changing climates,
- 1001 vegetation, and tectonic events. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 24: 467-

1002 500.

1003

- 1004 Keay, R.W.J. 1958. Ampelidaceae. In R.W.J. Keay [ed.], Flora of West Tropical
- 1005 Africa, vol. 1, part 2, 671-683. Crown Agents for Oversea Governments and
- 1006 Administrations, London, UK.
- 1007
- 1008 Kihm, A.J., and J.H. Hartman. 1991. The age of the Sentinel Butte Formation, North
- 1009 Dakota. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 11: 40A.

1010 doi:10.1080/02724634.1991.10011425.

- 1012 Le Gros Clark, W.E., and L.S.B. Leakey. 1951. The Miocene Hominoidea of East
- 1013 Africa. Fossil Mammals of Africa, British Museum (Natural History) 1: 1-117.

- 1015 Leakey, L.S.B. 1952. Lower Miocene invertebrates from Kenya. Nature 169: 624-
- 1016 625. doi:10.1038/169624b0.
- 1017
- 1018 Liu, X.-Q., S.M. Ickert-Bond, L.-Q. Chen, and J. Wen. 2013. Molecular phylogeny of
- 1019 *Cissus* L. of Vitaceae (the grape family) and evolution of its pantropical
- 1020 intercontinental disjunctions. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 66: 43-53.
- 1021 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2012.09.003.
- 1022
- 1023 Liu, X.-Q., S.M. Ickert-Bond, Z.-L. Nie, Z. Zhou, L.-Q. Chen, and J. Wen. 2016.
- 1024 Phylogeny of the Ampelocissus–Vitis clade in Vitaceae supports the New World
- 1025 origin of the grape genus. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 95: 217-228.
- 1026 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2015.10.013.
- 1027
- 1028 Lombardi, J.A. 2000. Vitaceae: Gêneros *Ampelocissus*, *Ampelopsis* e *Cissus*. *Flora*1029 *Neotropica Monographs* 80: 1-250.
- 1030
- 1031 Lu, F.-Y. 1993. Vitaceae. In T.C. Huang, C.F. Huang, Z.Y. Li, H.C. Lo, H. Ohashi,
- 1032 C.F. Shen and C.J. Wang [eds.], Flora of Taiwan, vol. 3, 696-710. Committee of the
- 1033 Flora of Taiwan, Taipei, Taiwan.
- 1034
- 1035 Lu, L., W. Wang, Z. Chen, and J. Wen. 2013. Phylogeny of the non-monophyletic
- 1036 *Cayratia* Juss. (Vitaceae) and implications for character evolution and biogeography.

1037 Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 68: 502-515.

1038 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2013.04.023.

- 1040 Manchester, S.R. 1994. Fruits and seeds of the middle Eocene Nut Beds flora,
- 1041 Clarno Formation, Oregon. *Palaeontographica Americana* 58: 1-205.
- 1042
- 1043 Manchester, S.R., F. Herrera, E. Fourtanier, J. Barron, and J.-N. Martinez. 2012a.
- 1044 Oligocene age of the classic Belén fruit and seed assemblage of north coastal Peru
- 1045 based on diatom biostratigraphy. *Journal of Geology*, 120: 467–476.
- 1046 doi:10.1086/665797.
- 1047
- 1048 Manchester, S.R., I. Chen, and T.A. Lott. 2012b. Seeds of Ampelocissus, Cissus,
- 1049 and *Leea* (Vitaceae) from the Paleogene of western Peru and their biogeographic
- 1050 significance. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 173: 933-943.
- 1051 doi:10.1086/667233.
- 1052
- 1053 Manchester, S.R., D.K. Kapgate, and J. Wen. 2013. Oldest fruits of the grape family
- 1054 (Vitaceae) from the Late Cretaceous Deccan Cherts of India. American Journal of
- 1055 *Botany* 100: 1849-1859. doi:10.3732/ajb.1300008.
- 1056
- 1057 Marone, F., and M. Stampanoni. 2012. Regridding reconstruction algorithm for real-
- 1058 time tomographic imaging. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 19: 1029-1037.
- 1059 doi:10.1107/S0909049512032864.
- 1060

- Marone, F., B. Münch, and M. Stampanoni. 2010. Fast reconstruction algorithm
 dealing with tomography artifacts. *Proceedings of SPIE* 7804: 780410.
- 1063 doi:10.1117/12.859703.
- 1064
- 1065 Maxbauer, D.P., D.J. Peppe, M. Bamford, K.P. McNulty, W.E.H. Harcourt-Smith, and
- 1066 L.E. Davis. 2013. A morphotype catalog and paleoenvironmental interpretations of
- 1067 early Miocene fossil leaves from the Hiwegi Formation, Rusinga Island, Lake Victoria,
- 1068 Kenya. Palaeontologia Electronica 16: 28A.
- 1069
- 1070 McNulty, K.P., W.E.H. Harcourt-Smith, and H.M. Dunsworth, 2007. New primate
- 1071 fossils from Rusinga Island, Kenya. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 132
- 1072 (Supplement): 170. doi:10.1002/ajpa.20577.
- 1073
- 1074 McNulty, K.P., D.R. Begun, J. Kelley, F.K. Manthi, and E.N. Mbua. 2015. A
- 1075 systematic revision of *Proconsul* with the description of a new genus of early
- 1076 Miocene hominoid. *Journal of Human Evolution* 84: 42-61.
- 1077 doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2015.03.009.
- 1078
- 1079 Michel, L.A., D.J. Peppe, S.G. Driese, K.P. McNulty, D.L. Fox, and N. Garrett. 2013.
- 1080 Equatorial paleoenvironment leading into the Miocene Climatic Optimum: Lessons
- 1081 learned from Rusinga and Mfangano Islands, Lake Victoria Kenya. *Geological*
- 1082 Society of America Abstracts with Programs 45: 457.
- 1083
- 1084 Michel, L.A., D.J. Peppe, J.A. Lutz, S.G. Driese, H.M. Dunsworth, W.E.H. Harcourt-
- 1085 Smith, W.H. Horner, et al. 2014. Remnants of an ancient forest provide ecological

1086 context for Early Miocene fossil apes. *Nature Communications* 5: 3236.

1087 doi:10.1038/ncomms4236.

- Muller, J. 1981. Fossil pollen records of extant angiosperms. *Botanical Review* 47: 1142. doi:10.1007/BF02860537.
- 1091
- 1092 Nichols, D.J., and H.L. Ott. 1978. Biostratigraphy and evolution of the *Momipites*—
- 1093 *Caryapollenites* lineage in the Early Tertiary in the Wind River Basin, Wyoming.
- 1094 *Palynology* 2: 93-112. doi:10.1080/01916122.1978.9989167.
- 1095
- 1096 Nie, Z.L., H. Sun, S.R. Manchester, Y. Meng, Q. Luke, J. Wen. 2012. Evolution of the
- 1097 intercontinental disjunctions in six continents in the *Ampelopsis* clade of the grape
- 1098 family (Vitaceae). *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12: 17. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-12-17.
- 1099
- 1100 Peppe, D.J., A.L. Deino, K.P. McNulty, T. Lehmann, W.E.H. Harcourt-Smith, H.M.
- 1101 Dunsworth, and D.L. Fox. 2011. New age constraints on the early Miocene faunas
- 1102 from Rusinga and Mfangano Islands (Lake Victoria, Kenya). *American Journal of*
- 1103 *Physical Anthropology* 144 (Supplement): 237. doi:10.1002/ajpa.21502.
- 1104
- 1105 Pickford, M. 1981. Preliminary Miocene mammalian biostratigraphy for western
- 1106 Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution* 10: 73-97. doi:10.1016/S0047-2484(81)80026-7.
- 1107
- 1108 Pickford, M. 1995. Fossil land snails of East Africa and their palaeoecological
- 1109 significance. Journal of African Earth Sciences 20: 167-226. doi:10.1016/0899-
- 1110 5362(95)94397-R.

- 1112 Pickford, M. 2002. Palaeoenvironments and hominoid evolution. Zeitschrift für
- 1113 Morphologie und Anthropologie 83: 337-348.
- 1114
- 1115 Pickford, M., B. Senut, D. Gommery, and E. Musiime. 2009. Distinctiveness of
- 1116 Ugandapithecus from Proconsul. Estudios Geológicos 65: 183-241.
- 1117 doi:10.3989/egeol.39926.071.

1118

- 1119 Rambaut A., M.A. Suchard, D. Xie, and A.J. Drummond. 2014. Tracer v1.6. Website
- 1120 http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer [accessed 15 October 2015].

1121

- 1122 Reed, K.E. 1997. Early hominid evolution and ecological change through the African
- 1123 Plio-Pleistocene. Journal of Human Evolution 32: 289-322.
- 1124 doi:10.1006/jhev.1996.0106.
- 1125
- 1126 Retallack, G.J., E.A. Bestland, and D.P. Dugas. 1995. Miocene paleosols and
- 1127 habitats of *Proconsul* on Rusinga Island, Kenya. Journal of Human Evolution 29: 53-
- 1128 91. doi:10.1006/jhev.1995.1047.

1129

- 1130 Rich, P.V., and C.A. Walker. 1983. A new genus of Miocene flamingo from East
- 1131 Africa. *Ostrich* 54: 95-104. doi:10.1080/00306525.1983.9634452.

- 1133 Robinson, J.T. 1963. Adaptive radiation in the australopithecines and the origin of
- 1134 man. *In* F.C. Howell, and F. Bourliere [eds.], African Ecology and Human Evolution,
- 1135 385-416. Aldine, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

- 1137 Rodrigues, J.G., J.A. Lombardi, and M.B. Lovato. 2014. Phylogeny of Cissus
- 1138 (Vitaceae) focusing on South American species. *Taxon* 63: 287-298.
- 1139 doi:10.12705/632.33.
- 1140
- Salard-Cheboldaeff, M. 1978. Sur la palynoflore Maestrichtienne et Tertiaire du basin
 sédimentaire littoral du Cameroun. *Pollen et Spores* 20: 215-260.
- 1143
- 1144 Salard-Cheboldaeff, M. 1981. Palynologie Maestrichtienne et Tertiaire du Cameroun.
- 1145 Resultats botaniques. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 32: 401-439.
- 1146 doi:10.1016/0034-6667(81)90021-X.
- 1147
- 1148 Samarakoon, T., S.Y. Wang, M.H. Alford. 2013. Enhancing PCR amplification of
- 1149 DNA from recalcitrant plant specimens using a trehalose-based additive. *Applications*
- 1150 *in Plant Sciences* 1: 1200236. doi:10.3732/apps.1200236.
- 1151
- 1152 Shaw, J., E.B. Lickey, J.T. Beck, S.B. Farmer, W. Liu, J. Miller, K.C. Siripun, et al.
- 1153 2005. The tortoise and the hare II: Relative utility of 21 noncoding chloroplast DNA
- 1154 sequences for phylogenetic analysis. *American Journal of Botany* 92: 142-166.
- 1155 doi:10.3732/ajb.92.1.142.
- 1156
- 1157 Smith, S.Y., M.E. Collinson, P.J. Rudall, D.A. Simpson, F. Marone, and M.
- 1158 Stampanoni. 2009. Virtual taphonomy using SRXTM reveals cryptic features and
- 1159 internal structure of modern and fossil plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
- 1160 *of Sciences, USA* 106: 12013-12018. doi:10.1073/pnas.0901468106.

- 1162 Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
- analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1312-1313.
- 1164 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033.

1165

- 1166 Stampanoni, M., A. Groso, A. Isenegger, G. Mikuljan, Q. Chen, A. Bertrand, S.
- 1167 Henein et al. 2006. Trends in synchrotron-based tomographic imaging: the SLS
- 1168 experience. *Proceedings of SPIE* 6318: 63180M. doi:10.1117/12.679497.

1169

- 1170 Taberlet P., L. Gielly, G. Pautou, and J. Bouvet. 1991. Universal primers for
- amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Plant Molecular Biology*
- 1172 17: 1105–1109. doi:10.1007/BF00037152.
- 1173
- 1174 Thackray, G.D. 1994. Fossil nest of sweat bees (Halictinae) from a Miocene
- 1175 paleosol, Rusinga Island, western Kenya. *Journal of Paleontology* 68: 795-800.
- 1176 doi:10.1017/S0022336000026238.

1177

- 1178 Verdcourt, B. 1963. The Miocene non-marine mollusca of Rusinga Island, Lake
- 1179 Victoria, and other localities in Kenya. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A* 121: 1-37.

1180

- 1181 Verdcourt, B. 1993. Vitaceae. *In* R.M. Polhill [ed.], Flora of Tropical East Africa, vol.
- 1182 195, 1-149. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

- 1184 Walker, A., and M.F. Teaford. 1988. The Kaswanga primate site: An Early Miocene
- 1185 hominoid site on Rusinga Island, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution* 17: 539-544.

- 1187 Walker, A., M.F. Teaford, L. Martin, and P. Andrews. 1993. A new species of
- 1188 *Proconsul* form the early Miocene of Rusinga/Mfangano Islands, Kenya. *Journal of*
- 1189 *Human Evolution* 25: 43-56. doi:10.1006/jhev.1993.1037.

1190

- 1191 Wen, J., Z. Xiong, Z.-L. Nie, L. Mao, Y. Zhu, X.-Z. Kan, S.M. Ickert-Bond, J. Gerrath,
- 1192 E.A. Zimmer, and X.-D. Fang. 2013. Transcriptome sequences resolve deep
- 1193 relationships of the grape family. *PLoS ONE* 8: e74394.
- 1194 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074394.

1195

- 1196 Werdelin, L. 2011. A new genus and species of Felidae (Mammalia) from Rusinga
- 1197 Island, Kenya, with notes on early Felidae of Africa. Estudios Geológicos 67: 217-
- 1198 222. doi:10.3989/egeol.40480.185.
- 1199
- Whitworth, T. 1958. Miocene ruminants of East Africa. *Fossil Mammals of Africa, British Museum (Natural History)* 15: 1-50.

- 1203 Wild, H., and R.B. Drummond. 1966. Vitaceae. *In* A.W. Exell, A. Fernandes, and H.
- 1204 Wild [eds.], Flora Zambesiaca, vol. 2, part 2, 439-492. Crown Agents for Oversea
- 1205 Governments and Administrations, London, UK.
- 1206
- 1207 Yeo, C.K., W.F. Ang, A.F.S.L. Lok, and K.H. Ong. 2012. Conservation status of
- 1208 *Cissus* L. (Vitaceae) of Singapore: with a special note on *Cissus repens* Lam. *Nature*
- 1209 *in Singapore* 5: 319-330.
- 1210

- 1211 Zetter, R., M.J. Farabee, K.B. Pigg, S.R. Manchester, M.L. DeVore, and M.D. Nowak.
- 1212 2011. Palynoflora of the Late Paleocene silicified shale at Almont, North Dakota,
- 1213 USA. *Palynology* 35: 179-211. doi:10.1080/01916122.2010.501164.
- 1214
- 1215 Zhang, N., J. Wen, and E.A. Zimmer. 2015. Congruent deep relationships in the
- 1216 grapt family (Vitaceae) based on sequences of chloroplast genomes and
- 1217 mitochondrial genes via genome skimming. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0144701.
- 1218 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144701.

TABLES

1220 Table 1. Seed morphotypes of selected single-seeded, modern African *Cissus*

1221 species based on internal and external morphology obtained from SRXTM datasets

1222 and descriptions and illustrations in floras (Dewit and Willems, 1960; Descoings,

1223 1972; Verdcourt, 1993). H = height, W = width.

Seed	Species	Description		
morphotype	•			
1 (Figs. 6D, 6E, 7A)	C. integrifolia; C. populnea	 Two-layered, thick fruit wall, with a denser outer layer and more porous inner layer Seeds laterally flattened (H:W ratio of 1.5–1.8 in median DTS), elongate in the dorsiventral dimension Obvious break in seed coat near the chalaza Outer endotesta consists of thin layer with different X-ray attenuation (possibly high mineral content) Dense and thin seed coat Seed surface with two marginal ridges, one on each lateral face, a ridged and faceted marginal area, long ridges radiating from ventral margin across lateral faces 		
2 (Figs. 6B, 7B)	C. barbeyana; C. dasyantha	 Thin to moderately thick fruit wall Seeds slightly laterally flattened (H:W ratio of 1.3–1.5 in median DTS), elongate in the dorsiventral dimension Indistinct break in seed coat near the chalaza Endotesta has uniform X-ray attenuation Dense and moderately thick seed coat Seed surface with two marginal ridges; a ridged and faceted marginal area, where ridges sometimes fuse to form a reticulum; short ridges radiating across part of the lateral faces 		
3 (Figs. 6H, 7C)	C. lebrunii, C. sciaphila; C. tiliifolia	 Thin fruit wall Seeds not, or slightly, laterally flattened (H:W ratio of 1.0– 1.6 in median DTS), short in the dorsiventral dimension Indistinct break in seed coat near the chalaza Endotesta has uniform X-ray attenuation Thin seed coat of variable texture Seed surface covered in ridges, forming a complete to incomplete reticulum across the lateral faces 		
4 (Figs. 6M, 7D)	C. petiolata	 Thick fruit wall Seeds laterally flattened (H:W ratio of 1.5-1.8 in median DTS), short in the dorsiventral dimension Thickened chalaza with no break in seed coat Endotesta has uniform X-ray attenuation Dense and thick seed coat Seed surface smooth. 		

1225 Table 2. Distribution, habit and habitat data of modern *Cissus* species. Sources: (1) Lu (1993); (2) Chen et al. (2007); (3) GBIF

1226 (2013); (4) herbarium sheet data (Appendix S5); (5) Verdcourt (1993); (6) Keay (1958); (7) Dewit and Willems (1960); (8) Wild and

1227 Drummond (1966); (9) Descoings (1972); (10) Descoings (1967); (11) Beentje (1994); (12) Yeo et al. (2012).

Species	Distribution	Habit	Habitat	Sources
<i>C. adnata</i> Roxb.	Australasia; E, SE, and S Asia	Scrambling or climbing shrub, or woody liana, up to 10 metres	Primary lowland monsoon forests, riparian forest, disturbed and semi-open scrub and deciduous forest, shrubland and thickets	1, 2, 3, 4
<i>C. albiporcata</i> Masinde & L.E.Newton	E Africa	Climber	Bushland in rocky areas	3, 5
C. aphyllantha Gilg	E Africa	Shrub, scrambler or woody climber, 1 to 4 meters tall	<i>Acacia</i> scrub or desert thornbush, scrubby woodland, rocky outcrops	3, 5
<i>C. aralioides</i> (Welw. ex Baker) Planch.	C, E and W Africa	Vigorous, succulent liana or herbaceous climber, a strong, lofty climber, up to 25 meters	Coastal and riverine evergreen forest, rainforest, coastal bushland, <i>Acacia</i> bushland, grassland, thickets	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9
<i>C. barbeyana</i> De Wild. & T.Durand	C and W Africa	Herbaceous to woody, low, small liana	Rainforest, forest clearings, forest fragments and clusters	3, 6, 7, 9
C. cactiformis Gilg	E and S Africa	Succulent climber or scrambler, 1.2 to 4.5 meters long	Woodland, mixed bushland, usually in stony places, rock domes	3, 5
<i>C. dasyantha</i> Gilg & M.Brandt	W Africa	Liana, up to 6 meters	Occasionally flooded forest, gallery forests	3, 7
<i>C. faucicola</i> Wild & R.B.Drumm.	E Africa	Herbaceous climber, several meters long	Evergreen rainforest, especially edges by waterfalls and in grassy clearings	5, 8
C. floribunda (Baker) Planch.	E Africa	Thin woody liana or climber, several meters long	Rainforest, dense moist tropical forest, disturbed forest or forest edge	4, 10
C. integrifolia (Baker) Planch.	C and E Africa	Herbaceous vigorous climber to 5 meters, reaching tops of trees or thicket-forming	Evergreen riverine forest, woodland, bushland and savanna grassland, often on rocky scarps	3, 5, 7, 8, 11
<i>C. lebrunii</i> Dewit	C Africa	Herbaceous climber	Rainforest	3, 7
<i>C. oliveri</i> (Engl.) Gilg ex Engl.	C and E Africa	Herbaceous to woody climbing shrub, up to 6 meters long	Riverine and gallery forest, marshy areas, papyrus swamps, wet grassland with scattered trees	3, 5, 7
<i>C. oreophila</i> Gilg & M.Brandt	C and W Africa	Large herbaceous liana	Gallery and riverine forest, forest edges, swamp areas	3, 4, 6, 9
C. petiolata Hook.f.	C, E and W Africa	Large, somewhat succulent liana,	Riverine forest, occasionally flooded forest edges,	3, 5, 6, 7,

		vigorous climber or scrambler, to	thickets, Acacia mixed bushland, rocky ground with	8, 9, 11
		at least 10 meters	scattered trees and shrubs, woody/shrub savanna	
C. phymatocarpa Masinde &	E Africa	Climber	Thickets on forest edges and coastal bushland	3, 5
L.E.Newton				
C. polita Desc.	E Africa	Herbaceous liana, 1.5 to 3 meters	Calcareous hills and plateaux; dry, deciduous	4, 10
			seasonal forest; savanna grassland with dry forest	
C. polyantha Gilg & M.Brandt	C, E and W Africa	Herbaceous to woody climber/	Riverine and gallery rainforest, rocky hollows in	3, 5, 6, 7,
		liana, up to 15 meters	grassland and thickets	9
C. populnea Guill. & Perr.	C, E and W Africa	Bushy liana, to 4.5 meters	Wooded savanna, rocky outcrops and scree,	3, 5, 6, 7,
		-	bushland, lowland forest edges	9
C. pseudoguerkeana Verdc.	E Africa	Spreading herb, at least 60cm	Woodland, low shrubs on sand, swampy places	3, 5
		long		
C. quadrangularis L.	Arabia; C, E, N,	Succulent bushy liana 1 to 15	Xerophilic thickets, thorny savanna, Acacia	3, 5, 8, 9,
	S, and W Africa;	meters long, or succulent climbing	woodland, grassland, riverine thicket, coastal forest	10
	SE and S Asia	shrub	edges	
<i>C. quarrei</i> Dewit	C and E Africa	Erect herb or herbaceous climber,	Riverine vegetation, Brachystegia woodland	3, 5, 7, 8
		0.6 to 1 meter tall		
C. rhodotricha (Baker) Desc.	E Africa	Scrambling and climbing strong	Rocky outcrops, deciduous seasonal forest, wooded	10
		liana or erect shrub	savanna	
C. rondoensis Verdc.	E Africa	Herbaceous to semi-woody	Moist, (semi-) evergreen forest, dense forest thicket	3, 4, 5
		climber to around 4 meters		
C. rostrata (Miq.) Korth. ex	SE Asia	Climber/ liana, up to 10 to 15	Fringe and understorey forest; forest river banks,	3, 4, 12
Planch.		meters	peat swamp/ marshy forest	
C. rotundifolia Vahl	Arabia; C, E and	Succulent, herbaceous to woody,	Dry woodland and bush, thorny savanna, Acacia	3, 5, 7, 8
	S Africa	vigorous climber/ liana, up to 5	scrub, bushland, thickets, dry forest and forest edges	
		meters	particularly on rocky outcrops	
C. sagittifera Desc.	E Africa	Creeping or climbing, thin liana	Woodland edges, limestone cliffs, quartzite outcrops	3, 4, 10
C. sciaphila Gilg	E Africa	Woody climber/ liana, 3 to 12	Lowland riverine forest fringes, woodland slopes	3, 5, 8
		meters long or shrubby	above river valleys	
C. smithiana (Baker) Planch.	C and W Africa	Large liana/ climber	Rainforest, forest galleries and edges	3, 7, 9
C. sylvicola Masinde &	E Africa	Herbaceous, somewhat succulent	Evergreen forest, also forest on rocky hills, coralline	3, 5
L.E.Newton		and fleshy liana, up to 12 meters	limestone and thicket	
C. tiliifolia Planch.	C and E Africa	Herbaceous to woody climber, up	Forest and thickets in swampy areas, particularly	3, 5
		to 10 meters	near lake shores, swampy grassland	
C. welwitschii (Baker)	C and E Africa	Vigorous, woody climber, 2 to 9	Semi-evergreen bushland, riverine fringes, thickets	3, 5, 8
Planch.		meters long, or shrubby	and termite mounds in Brachystegia woodland, rocky	
			outcrops	

1229	APPENDICES
1230	Appendix 1. Voucher information and GenBank accession numbers of Cissus
1231	species, for which new sequences were produced for the present phylogenetic
1232	analysis. Species; <i>trnLF</i> ; <i>rps16</i> ; <i>trnC-petN</i> ; <i>atpB-rbcL</i> ; voucher information; Kew DNA
1233	Bank accession number.
1234	
1235	<i>Cissus barbeyana</i> De Wild. & T.Durand <i>;</i> KX131178 <i>; -; -; -</i> ; Lisowski, S. 16406 (K);
1236	31957. <i>Cissus bosseri</i> Desc.; KX131174; -; -; KX131172; Phillipson, P.B. &
1237	Rabesihanaka, S. 3140 (K); 31965 . <i>Cissus petiolata</i> Hook.f. ; KX131175; -;-;-;
1238	Luke, P.A. & WRQ 9365 (K); 31952 <i>. Cissus polyantha</i> Gilg & M.Brandt <i>;</i> KX131176 <i>;</i>
1239	- <i>;</i> - <i>;</i> -; Deighton, F.C. 5208 (K); 31955 <i>. Cissus populnea</i> Guill. & Perr. <i>;</i> KX131179 <i>;</i> - <i>;</i>
1240	- <i>;</i> -; Daramola, B.O. 221 (K); 31968 <i>. Cissus rondoensis</i> Verdc. <i>; -; -; -;</i> KX131170;
1241	Bidgood, S., Abdallah, R. & Vollesen, K. 1553 (K); 31961 <i>. Cissus smithiana</i> (Baker)
1242	Planch. <i>;</i> KX131177 <i>; -; -; -</i> ; Louis, J. 559 (K); 31959 <i>. Cissus tiliifolia</i> Planch. <i>;</i>
1243	KX131173 <i>; -; -;</i> KX131171; Eilu, G. 240 (K); 31964 <i>.</i>
1244	
1245	Appendix 2. Species included in the phylogenetic analysis of family Vitaceae for
1246	which sequences were obtained from GenBank, with a particular focus on genus
1247	Cissus. Species; trnLF; rps16; trnC-petN; atpB-rbcL.
1248	
1249	Cissus adnata Roxb.; JX476858; JX476547; JX476673; JX476429. Cissus
1250	<i>albiporcata</i> Masinde & L.E. Newton; JF437304; JX476548; JF437201; JX476430.
1251	Cissus amazonica Lindel; JX476859; JX476549; JX476674; JX476431. Cissus
1252	anisophylla Lombardi <i>;</i> AB235010 <i>;</i> JX476550 <i>;</i> JX476675 <i>;</i> JX476432. <i>Cissus</i>
1253	annamica Gagnep.;-;-; JX476676;- . Cissus antarctica Vent. ; JX476860;

1254 JX476551; JX476677; JX476433. Cissus apendiculata Lombardi; JX313413; -; -; -. 1255 *Cissus aphyllantha* Gilg; JX476862; JX476553; JX476679; JX476435. *Cissus* 1256 araguainensis Lombardi; JX313415; -; -; -. Cissus aralioides (Welw. ex Baker) 1257 Planch.; JF437305; -; JF437202; -. Cissus assamica (M.A. Lawson) Craib; 1258 JF437307; JX476559; JF437204; JX476441. Cissus auricoma Desc.; JX476866; 1259 JX476560; JX476682; JX476442. Cissus bahiensis Lombardi; JX313416; -; -; -. Cissus biformifolia Standl.; -; JX476562; JX476684; JX476444. Cissus 1260 1261 blanchetiana Planch.; JX313417; -; -; -. Cissus cactiformis Gilg.; JX476868; 1262 JX476563; JX476685; JX476445. Cissus campestris (Baker) Planch.; JX313418; -; 1263 -;-. *Cissus cardiophylla* Standley; EF179080;-;-;-. *Cissus cornifolia* (Baker) 1264 Planch.; JF437308; JX476567; JF437205; JX476449. Cissus decidua Lombardi; 1265 JX313419; -; -; -: Cissus descoingsii Lombardi; JX313420; -; -; -: Cissus diffusa 1266 (Miq.) Amshoff; JX476871; JX476569; JX476689; JX476451. Cissus diffusiflora 1267 (Baker) Planch.; JX476872; JX476570; -; JX476452. Cissus discolor Blume; 1268 JF437309;-; JF437206;-. Cissus duarteana Cambess.; JX313421;-;-;-. Cissus 1269 elongata Roxb.;-; JX476573; JX476691; JX476455. Cissus erosa Rich.; 1270 HM585942; HM585802; JX476693; HM585526. Cissus faucicola Wild & 1271 R.B.Drumm.; JX476874; JX476576; JX476694; JX476458. Cissus floribunda 1272 (Baker) Planch.; JX476875; JX476577; JX476695; JX476459. Cissus gongylodes 1273 (Burch. ex Baker) Planch.; JX476877; JX476579; JX476697; JX476461. Cissus 1274 granulosa Ruiz & Pav.; JX476880; JX476582; JX476700; JX476464. Cissus 1275 hastata Miq.; AB235012; -; JX476701; JX476465. Cissus hypoglauca Durras; 1276 JX476881; JX476583; JX476702; JX476466. *Cissus incisa* (Nutt.) Des Moul. Ex 1277 S.Watson; HM585944; HM585804; -; HM585528. Cissus integrifolia (Baker) 1278 Planch.; JX476882; JX476584; JX476703; JX476467. Cissus javana DC.;

- 1279 JX476883; JX476585; JX476704; JX476468. *Cissus lanea* Desc.; JX476884;
- 1280 JX476586; JX476705; JX476469. Cissus leucophleus (Scott-Elliot) Suess.;
- 1281 JX476885; JX476587; JX476706; JX476470. *Cissus madecassa* Desc.; JX476886;
- 1282 JX476588; JX476707; JX476471. *Cissus microcarpa* Vahl.; JX476888; JX476590;
- 1283 JX476709; JX476473. *Cissus microdonta* Vahl.; JX476889; JX476591; JX476710;
- 1284 JX476474. *Cissus neei* Croat; JX313424; -; -; -: *Cissus nodosa* Blume;
- 1285 HM585945; JX476592; JX476711; JX476475. *Cissus obliqua* Ruiz & Pav.;
- 1286 JX476890; JX476593; JX476712; JX476476. *Cissus oblonga* (Benth.) Planch.;
- 1287 EF179083;-;-;-. *Cissus oliveri* Gilg. ex Engl.; JX476892; JX476595; JX476714;
- 1288 JX476478. Cissus paraensis Lombardi; JX313427; -; -; -. Cissus paullinifolia
- 1289 Vell.; JX313426; -; -; -: Cissus penninervis (F.Muell.) Planch.; AF300300; -; -; -:
- 1290 *Cissus pentaclada* Jackes; EF179084;-;-;-. *Cissus phymatocarpa* Masinde &
- 1291 L.E. Newton; JF437311; JX476596; JF437209; JX476479. *Cissus pileata* Desc.;
- 1292 JX476893; JX476597; JX476715; JX476480. *Cissus polita* Desc.; JX476894;
- 1293 JX476598; JX476716; JX476481. *Cissus producta* Afzel.; JF437312; JX476600;
- 1294 JX476718; JX476483. *Cissus pseudoguerkeana* Verdc.; JX476896; JX476601;
- 1295 JX476719; JX476484. *Cissus pseudoverticillata* Verdc.; JX476897; JX476602;
- 1296 JX476720; JX476485. Cissus pulcherrima Vell.; JX313429; -; -; -. Cissus
- 1297 *quadrangularis* L.; JF437313; JX476603; JF437211; JX476486. *Cissus quarrei*
- 1298 Dewit; JX476899; JX476605; JX476722; JX476488. *Cissus reniformis* Domin.;
- 1299 EF179086;-;-;-. *Cissus repanda* Vahl; JX476900; JX476607; JX476724;
- 1300 JX476490. Cissus repens Lam.; HM585946;-;-; HM585530. Cissus rhodotricha
- 1301 (Baker) Desc.; JX476902; JX476609; JX476727; JX476492. *Cissus rhombifolia*
- 1302 Vahl; JX476905; JX476612; JX476729; JX476495. *Cissus rostrata* Korth.ex
- 1303 Planch.; AB235016; -; JX476731; JX476497. Cissus rotundifolia (Forssk.) Vahl;

- 1304 JF437315; JX476614; JF437213; JX476498. *Cissus rubiginosa* Welw. ex Bak.
- 1305 Planch. ; JX476907; JX476616; JX476732; JX476500. *Cissus sagittifera* Desc.;
- 1306 JX476908; JX476617; JX476733; JX476501. *Cissus sciaphila* Gilg; JF437316;
- 1307 JX476619; JF437214; JX476503. Cissus serroniana (Glaz.) Lombardi; JX313430; -
- 1308 ;-;-. Cissus simsiana Roem. & Schult.; JX476910; JX476620; JX476734;
- 1309 JX476504. Cissus spinosa Cambess.; JX313435; -; -; -: Cissus sterculiifolia
- 1310 (F.Muell. Ex Benth.) Planch.; EF179088; -; -; -. Cissus stipulata Vell.; JX313436; -;
- 1311 -; -. Cissus striata Ruiz & Pav.; AB235017; -; JX476747; -. Cissus subtetragona
- 1312 Planch.; JX476923; JX476635; JF437216; JX476519. *Cissus sulcicaulis* (Baker)
- 1313 Planch.; JX313438; -; -; -. *Cissus surinamensis* Desc.; JX313439; -; -; -. *Cissus*
- 1314 *sylvicola* Masinde & L.E.Newton; JX476924; JX476636; JX476751; JX476520.
- 1315 *Cissus tiliacea* Kunth; JX313440; -; -; -. *Cissus tinctoria* Mart.; JX313414; -; -; -.
- 1316 *Cissus trianae* Planch.; JX313441; -; -; -: *Cissus trifoliata* (L.) L.; JX476926;
- 1317 JX476639; JX476755; JX476524. *Cissus trothae* Gilg & M. Brandt; JF437318;
- 1318 JX476640; JF437217; JX476525. *Cissus tuberosa* Moc. & Sesse ex DC.;
- 1319 JX476927; JX476641; JX476756; JX476526. *Cissus tweediana* (Baker) Planch.;
- 1320 EF179089;-;-;-. *Cissus ulmifolia* (Baker) Planch.; JX476928; JX476642;
- 1321 JX476757; JX476527. *Cissus verticillata* (L.) Nicolson & C.E. Jarvis; JX476929;
- 1322 JX476643; JX476758; JX476528. *Cissus vinosa* Jackes; EF179090; -; -; -: *Cissus*
- 1323 welwitschii (Baker) Planch.; JX476934; JX476651; -; JX476537. Cissus
- 1324 wenshanensis C.L. Li; HM585949;-;-; HM585533. Ampelocissus acapulcensis
- 1325 (Kunth) Planch.; JF437281; JX476543; JF437172; -. *Ampelocissus africana* (Lour.)
- 1326 Merr. ; JQ182553; JQ182603; -; JQ182448. Ampelocissus ascendiflora Latiff ; -;
- 1327 JQ182583;-; JQ182430. *Ampelocissus costaricensis* Lundell;-;-;-; AB234911.
- 1328 *Ampelocissus elephantina* Planch.; HM585932; HM585792; -; HM585516.

- 1329 Ampelocissus erdwendbergiana Planch.; JF437282; JX476544; JF437173; -.
- 1330 Ampelocissus filipes Planch.; AB234982; -; -; -. Ampelocissus gracilis Planch.;
- 1331 AB234983;-;-;-: Ampelocissus javalensis (Seem.) W.D. Stevens & A. Pool;
- 1332 AB234984;-;-;-. Ampelocissus obtusata (Welw. ex Baker) Planch. ; JQ182556;
- 1333 JQ182612;-; JQ182457. Ampeloc *issus thyrsiflora* (Blume) Planch. ; JQ182546;
- 1334 JQ182593;-; JQ182438. *Ampelopsis bodinieri* (H. Lév. & Vaniot) Rehder;
- 1335 JF437284; JX476545; JF437175; JX476427. *Ampelopsis cantoniensis* Planch. ;
- 1336 HM585933; HM585793; JX476667; HM585517. Ampelopsis chaffanjonii
- 1337 (H.Lev.)Rehder; JF437286; -; -; -. *Ampelopsis cordata* Michx.; AB234997; -;
- 1338 JF437178; -. Ampelopsis delavayana Planch; HM223253; -; -; -. Ampelopsis
- 1339 rubifolia (Wall.) Planch.; JF437293; JX476546; JF437186; JX476428. Cayratia
- 1340 *acris* F. Muell.; EF179070; -; -; -: *Cayratia clematidea* (F.Muell.) Domin.;
- 1341 EF179072;-;-;-. *Cayratia cordifolia* C.Y. Wu ex C.L. Li; HM585934; HM585794;
- 1342 JX476668; HM585518. Cayratia debilis (Baker)Suess.; JF437296; -; -; -. Cayratia
- 1343 eurynema B.L.Burtt; EF179073; -; -; -: Cayratia gracilis (Guill. & Perr.)Suess.;
- 1344 JF437297;-;-;-. *Cayratia imerinensis* (Baker) Desc.; HM585936; HM585796;
- 1345 JX476669; HM585520. Cayratia japonica (Thunb.) Gagnep. ; HM585937; -; -;
- 1346 HM585521. Cayratia maritima Jackes; EF179074;-;-;-. Cayratia mollissima
- 1347 Gagnep.; HM585938; HM585798; JX476671; HM585522. Cayratia pedata
- 1348 Gagnep.; AB235005; -; -; -: *Cayratia saponaria* (Seem. Ex Benth.) Domin.;
- 1349 EF179075;-;-;-. *Cayratia trifolia* (L.) Domin ; HM585940;-; JX476672;
- 1350 HM585524. Cayratia triternata (Baker) Desc.; HM585941;-;-;-. Clematicissus
- 1351 *angustissima* (F.Muell.) Planch.; EF179091; -; -; -. *Clematicissus opaca* (F. Muell)
- 1352 Jackes & Rossetto; JX476935; JX476652; JX476767; JX476538. *Cyphostemma*
- 1353 *adenocaule* (A.Rich.)Wild & R.B.Drumm.; JX476936; JX476653; JX476768;

- 1354 JX476539. Cyphostemma bainesii (Hook.f.) Desc.; AB235025; -; -; -.
- 1355 Cyphostemma duparquetii (Planch.) Desc.; JF437324;-; JF437222;-.
- 1356 Cyphostemma horombense Desc.; HM585950; -; -; -: Cyphostemma jiguu
- 1357 Verdc.; JX476937; JX476655; JX476769; JX476540. Cyphostemma
- 1358 *kilimandscharicum* (Gilg) Wild & R.B.Drumm.; JF437327; -; -; -: *Cyphostemma*
- 1359 *mappia* (Lam.) Galet; AB235026; -; -; -. *Cyphostemma maranguense* (Gilg) Desc.;
- 1360 JF437329;-; JF437227;-. *Cyphostemma montagnacii* Desc.; AB235027;-;
- 1361 JF437228; -. *Cyphostemma simulans* (C.A. Sm.) Wild & R.B. Drumm; HM585952;
- 1362 -;-; HM585536. Leea aculeata Blume; AB235087;-;-;-. Leea guineensis G. Don.;
- 1363 -; JX476657; JF437235; JX476541. Leea indica (Burm.f.) Merr.; HM585953; -;
- 1364 JX476771; HM585537. *Leea macrophylla* Roxb. ex Hornem. & Roxb.; JF437335;
- 1365 JX476659; JF437237; -. Leea spinea Desc.; HM585955; -; -; -. Nothocissus
- 1366 *spicifera* (Griff.) Latiff; JF437336; JX476660; JF437239; -. *Parthenocissus*
- 1367 *chinensis* C.L. Li; HM223263; HM223320; JF437240; HM223373. *Parthenocissus*
- 1368 *henryana* (Hemsl.) Graebn. ex Diels & Gilg; HM223272; HM223329; JF437244;
- 1369 HM223383. Parthenocissus heptaphylla (Buckl.) Britton ex Small; HM223256; -; -;
- 1370 -. Parthenocissus himalayana Planch.; AB235034; -; -; -. Parthenocissus
- 1371 *laetevirens* Rehder; HM223267; -; -; -. *Parthenocissus quinquefolia* (L.) Planch.;
- 1372 HM223275; HM223332; JF437246; HM223386. Parthenocissus suberosa Hand.-
- 1373 Mazz.; HM223273; HM223330; JF437247; HM223384. Parthenocissus
- 1374 *tricuspidata* (Sieb. & Zucc.) Planch.; HM223274; HM223331; JF437248;
- 1375 HM223385. Parthenocissus vitacea (Knerr.) Hitchc.; HM223295; -; -; -.
- 1376 *Pterisanthes eriopoda* Planch.;-; JX476661;-;-. *Pterisanthes heterantha* M.
- 1377 Laws; AB235045; AB234965; -; AB234930. *Pterisanthes stonei* Latiff; AB235046;
- 1378 JX476662; -; -. *Rhoicissus digitata* Gilg & Brandt.; AB235047; -; -; -. *Rhoicissus*

1379 rhomboidea Planch.; AB235049; -; -; -. Rhoicissus tomentosa (Lam.) Wild & R.B. 1380 Drumm; JF437342; JX476663; JF437251; -. Rhoicissus tridentata (L.f.) Wild & 1381 R.B. Drumm,; JF437341; JX476664; JF437250; -. Tetrastigma glabratum Planch.; 1382 HM585995;-;-;-. Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels & Gilg; HM586000; HM585860; 1383 -; HM585584. Tetrastigma lanyuense C.E. Chang; HM586009; HM585869; 1384 JF437257; HM585593. Tetrastigma laxum Merr.; HM586017; -; -; -. Tetrastigma 1385 *lenticellatum* Planch.; HM586019; -; -; -. *Tetrastigma loheri* Gagnep.; HM586021; 1386 -;-;-. Tetrastigma obtectum (Wall.) Planch; HM586029; HM585888;-; HM585614. 1387 Tetrastigma pachyphyllum (Hemsl.) Chun; HM586032; HM585891; JF437259; 1388 HM585616. Tetrastigma petraeum Jackes; EF179094; -; -; -. Tetrastigma 1389 pyriforme Gagnep.; HM586039; -; -; -. Tetrastigma sichouense C.L.Li; 1390 HM586047;-;-;-. Tetrastigma triphyllum (Gagnep.) W.T. Wang; HM586061; 1391 HM585919; -; HM585646. *Tetrastigma voinierianum* Pierre ex Gagnep.; 1392 HM586067;-;-;-. Vitis aestivalis Michx.; HM586070; HM585928;-; HM585655. 1393 Vitis betulifolia Diels & Gilg; JF437352; JX476665; JF437269; -. Vitis flexuosa 1394 Thunb.; HM586071; HM585929; -; HM585656. Vitis heyneana Roem. & Schult; 1395 JF437354; JX476666; JF437273; -. Vitis labrusca L.; JX507364; JX507361; 1396 JX507362; JX507360. Vitis mengziensis C.L. Li; HM223276; HM223333; 1397 JF437270; HM223387. Vitis popenoei J.L. Fennell; HM586072; HM585930; 1398 JF437276; HM585657. Vitis riparia Michx; JF437357; -; JF437277; -. Vitis 1399 rotundifolia Michx.; HM586073; HM585931; -; HM585658. Vitis thunbergii Siebold 1400 & Zucc.; AB235082; -; -; -. Vitis vinifera L.; -; -; -; -. Vitis vulpina L.; JQ182566; 1401 JQ182622; -; JQ182467. Yua austro-orientalis (F.P. Metcalf) C.L. Li; AB235085; -; -;-. Yua thomsoni (M.A. Lawson) C.L. Li; HM223277; HM223335;-; HM223389. 1402

1403 FIGURE LEGENDS 1404 Fig. 1. External morphology of fossilized *Cissus* seeds from the Hiwegi Formation, 1405 Rusinga Island, Kenya. (A) Lateral, (B) apical, (C) basal, (D) ventral views of the Cissus crenulata (Chesters) comb. nov. holotype (V33753). (E) Ventral, (F) lateral, 1406 1407 (G) apical, (H) basal views of the Cissus andrewsii sp. nov. holotype. (I) Lateral and 1408 (J) basal views of a paratype of *C. andrewsii* (V68500), and (K) lateral and (L) apical 1409 views of another paratype (V68502), demonstrating intraspecific variation in seed 1410 size, shape, ornamentation and basal/apical width. (M) Lateral, (N) basal and (O) 1411 apical views of the *Cissus rusingensis* sp. nov. holotype (R117.1981.314). (P, S, V) 1412 Lateral, (Q, T, W) basal and (R, U, X) apical views of paratypes of *C. rusingensis* 1413 (R117.1981.476, R117.1981.604, R117.1981.605 respectively), illustrating 1414 intraspecific variation in seed shape (sub-rounded to pyriform), the number of muri 1415 and enclosed lumina, the extent of the perichalazal rib and the length of the basal 1416 projection. (Y) Lateral, (Z) apical, (AA) basal, (BB) ventral views of the Cissus psilata 1417 sp. nov. holotype (V68506). Scale bar is 10 mm in 1 mm increments. 1418 1419 Fig. 2. Ventral infolds revealed in a seed of modern African Cissus populnea Guill. & 1420 Perr. by SRXTM (A–B) and in fossil seeds from the Hiwegi Formation, Rusinga 1421 Island, Kenva (C–J), assigned to *Cissus* herein, by µCT. (A, B) SRXTM digital 1422 transverse section (DTS) through modern C. populnea, infill of the endotesta in 1423 vellow in (B) highlighting the position of the ventral infolds. (C, D) µCT DTS through 1424 the holotype (V33753) of Cissus crenulata comb. nov., with (D) showing inferred 1425 position of the ventral infolds (solid vellow lines) and the margins of the endotesta 1426 (dotted yellow lines). (E, F) µCT DTS through the holotype (V68501) of Cissus 1427 andrewsii sp. nov., with (F) showing infill of the inferred endotesta in vellow,

1428 highlighting two parallel, broad ventral infolds. (G, H) µCT DTS through the holotype 1429 (R117.1981.314) of Cissus rusingensis sp. nov., with (H) showing infill of inferred 1430 embryo cavity within endotesta in yellow, highlighting two parallel, very broad ventral 1431 infolds. (I, J) µCT DTS through holotype (V68506) of *Cissus psilata* sp. nov., with (J) 1432 showing inferred position of pair of very short, narrow parallel ventral infolds (solid 1433 vellow lines). All µCT sections obtained from near ventral part of seeds, where 1434 ventral infolds were most likely to be evident, if concealed externally, based on their 1435 position in modern Cissus seeds. All scale bars are 1 mm.

1436

Fig. 3. Virtual taphonomy using digital fossils produced from an SRXTM dataset of a
modern fruit of *Cissus populnea* Guill. & Perr. Specimen oriented in oblique
ventral/apical view to best display ventral infolds. (A) Produced by digitally infilling
space inside endotesta of seed, showing externally conspicuous pair of ventral
infolds, thereby mimicking mineral seed infill processes during fossilization. (B)
Produced by digitally concealing ventral infolds in (A) thereby mimicking taphonomic
processes that could conceal ventral infolds by mineral infill. Scale bar is 1 mm.

1444

Fig. 4. Comparative tissue organisation in *Cissus* (A) and *Cyphostemma* (B) showing
how the endotesta obscures ventral infolds in *Cyphostemma*. (A-B) SRXTM digital
transverse sections through modern fruits. (A) *Cissus populnea* Guill. & Perr. (B)

1448 *Cyphostemma maranguense* (Gilg) Desc. Scale bars in (A) and (B) are 1 mm.

1449

Fig. 5. Phylogenetic tree of core *Cissus* clade obtained using maximum likelihood
criteria, implemented in RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014), based on plastid DNA sequence
data of Vitaceae, with particular focus on genus *Cissus*. Bootstrap values and

1453 posterior probabilities are indicated at nodes. Clades and seed morphotypes referred 1454 to in text are indicated; species placed in seed morphotypes based on internal and 1455 external morphology are shown with solid colour highlight, and those tentatively 1456 placed in seed morphotypes based on external illustrations and descriptions are 1457 distinguished by coloured outline; distribution ranges indicated after species name 1458 (Af, Africa; As, Asia; Au, Australia; N, Neotropics); species for which new DNA 1459 sequences were produced are highlighted with an asterisk; species for which no data 1460 on seed morphology could be found are denoted by a guestion mark. Scale bar 1461 shows degree of genetic change (nucleotide substitutions per site) as distance on the 1462 phylogram.

1463

1464 Fig. 6. Major clades recognised in Fig. 5 with representative modern (A-B, D-E, G-H, 1465 J-M, O-W) and fossil (C, F, I, N) seed morphologies. Modern images all rotated 90 1466 degrees clockwise from the original source. Seed Morphotype 1 (A-C) characterises 1467 the *Cissus barbeyana* clade; Morphotype 2 (D-F) the *C. integrifolia* clade; 1468 Morphotype 3 (G-I) the C. sciaphila clade and Morphotype 4 (L-N) the C. petiolata 1469 clade. Smooth seeds, somewhat similar to Morphotype 4, also characterise the wider 1470 clade (in which the *C. petiolata* clade is basal) indicated by the dotted grey line. 1471 Sources of modern images are as follows: (A) C. floribunda (fig. 15.13 in Descoings, 1472 1967); (B) C. barbeyana (fig. 13E in Dewit and Willems, 1960); (D) C. integrifolia (fig. 1473 13F in Dewit and Willems, 1960); (E) C. populnea (pl. 34, fig. 11 in Descoings, 1972); 1474 (G) C. smithiana (pl. 52, fig. H in Dewit and Willems, 1960); (H) C. sciaphila (fig. 6.1c 1475 in Verdcourt, 1993); (J) C. bosseri (fig. 10.8 in Descoings, 1967); (K) C. leucophlea 1476 (fig. 14.13 in Descoings, 1967); (L) C. aralioides (fig. 13C in Dewit and Willems, 1477 1960); (M) C. petiolata (fig. 13A in Dewit and Willems, 1960); (O) C. elongata (fig.

1478 171.10 in Chen et al., 2007); (P) *C. subtetragona* (fig. 171.2 in Chen et al., 2007); (Q)
1479 *C. cactiformis* (fig. 9.8 in Verdcourt, 1993); (R) *C. quadrangularis* (pl. 29, fig. 10 in
1480 Descoings, 1972); (S) *C. cornifolia* (pl. 47, fig. 12 in Descoings, 1972); (T) *C. pileata*1481 (fig. 13.9 in Descoings, 1967); (U) *C. repens* (fig. 2B in Jackes, 1988); (V) *C. hastata*1482 (fig. 5C in Jackes, 1988); (W) *C. diffusiflora* (pl. 44, fig. 11 in Descoings, 1972).
1483 Seeds are not to scale and are all shown in lateral view, except (O) and (P), which
1484 are shown in apical view.

1485

1486 Fig. 7. SRXTM digital transverse sections through fruits of modern African *Cissus*,

1487 using representative specimens to illustrate typical features of each seed morphotype

1488 listed in Table 1. (A) Morphotype 1, *Cissus integrifolia* Guill. & Perr. (B) Morphotype

1489 2, *Cissus dasyantha* Gilg & M.Brandt (C) Morphotype 3, *Cissus tiliifolia* Planch. (D)

1490 Morphotype 4, *Cissus petiolata* Hook.f.. Transverse sections were obtained from

1491 near ventral part of fruits to best show features of ventral infolds and characteristics

1492 of seed coat layers. All scale bars are 1 mm.
















Appendix S1. Herbarium sheet for seed morphotypes of sixteen modern species of African *Cissus* and three species of *Cyphostemma* studied. All specimens sampled from the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew Herbarium (K), except *C. dasyantha* (from the Botanic Gardens Meise Herbarium (BR), Belgium). References to literature illustrating seeds of these species are also provided.

Species	Collector (collector	Country/ region	Seed morphotype (see text and Table 1 for	Literature with seed Illustrations of
	number)	of collection	explanation of morphotypes)	this species
Cissus barbeyana	S. Lisowski (16406)	Zaire (Congo)	2	fig. 13e in Dewit and Willems (1960);
De Wild. & T.Durand				pl. 42, fig. 10 in Descoings (1972)
Cissus bosseri Desc.	P.B. Phillipson & S.	Mascarene	Unlike fossils, shares some features with	fig. 10 in Descoings (1967)
	Rabesihanaka (3140)	Islands	Morphotype 1 but lacks ornament on lateral faces	-
Cissus dasyantha	J. Louis (13410)	Congo	2	fig. 13j in Dewit and Willems (1960)
Gilg & M.Brandt				
Cissus dinklagei Gilg	A.J.M. Leeuwenberg	Masok (Tanzania)	Unlike tossils, has very variable seed morphology	fig. 13g in Dewit and Willems (1960);
& M.Brandt	(5207)		In floral illustrations, shares some features with	pl. 40, fig. 10 in Descoings (1972)
			prominent ridge is control not marginal	
Ciaqua integrifalia	C M Taylor B E	Iringo (Tanzonia)		fig. 12f in Dowit and Willoma (1060)
(Baker) Planch	C.M. Taylor, R.E.	innya (Tanzania)		ing. Tor in Dewit and Willerins (1900)
(Daker) Flation.	(8517a)			
Cissus integrifolia	C.M. Taylor, R.F.	Iringa (Tanzania)	1	fig. 13f in Dewit and Willems (1960)
(Baker) Planch	Gereau & J Lovett	innga (Tanzania)		
	(8517b)			
Cissus lebrunii Dewit	B.A. Nkongmeneck	Cameroon	3	fig. 13k in Dewit and Willems (1960)
	(1592)			
Cissus oreophila	W.J. Harley (693A)	Liberia	Unlike fossils, as this is a two-seeded specimen	pl. 39, fig. 11 in Descoings (1972)
Gilg & M.Brandt				
Cissus petiolata	L.P.A. & W.R.Q. (9365)	Tanzania	4	fig. 13a in Dewit and Willems (1960);
Hook.f.				pl. 33, fig. 11 in Descoings (1972);
				fig. 3 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus pileata</i> Desc.	H. Humbert (18981)	Madagascar	Unlike fossils, shares some features with	fig. 13 in Descoings (1967)
			Morphotype 3, but has only very irregular ridges	
Oissus also showing		0	on the lateral faces that do not form a reticulum	nl 40 fig. 44 in Decesions (4070)
Cissus pianchoniana	W. RODYNS (606)	Congo	Merpheture 2 but has long pronounced ridges	pi. 43, lig. 11 in Descoings (1972)
Gilg			radiating right across the lateral faces	
Cissus polyantha	E.C. Deighton (5208)	Kokoru Gaura	Liplike fossile, seeds very variable in surface	fig. 13h in Dewit and Willems (1960):
Gila & M Brandt	1.0. Deighton (3200)	(Sierra Leone)	ornament in floral illustrations and SRXTM videos	ng. 13h in Dewit and Whierns (1900), nl 36 fig 11 in Descoings (1972)
			from smooth to extensively ridged including	
			specimens that fall into Morphotype 3	
Cissus polyantha	M. Sacande, L. Sanou,	Burkina Faso	Unlike fossils, seeds very variable in surface	fig. 13h in Dewit and Willems (1960);

Gilg & M.Brandt	M. van Slageren (MSLSMS-1643)		ornament in floral illustrations and SRXTM videos, from smooth to extensively ridged, including specimens that fall into Morphotype 3. This specimen studied by SRXTM is also two-seeded.	pl. 36, fig. 11 in Descoings (1972)
<i>Cissus populnea</i> Guill. & Perr.	R.A.A. Oldeman (350)	Tehini	1	pl. 34, fig. 10 in Descoings (1972)
<i>Cissus rondoensis</i> Verdc.	S. Bidgood, R. Abdallah & K. Vollesen (1553)	Tanzania	Unlike fossils, descriptions in Verdcourt (1993) state that seeds are essentially smooth but have two to three transverse ridges.	
Cissus sciaphila Gilg	B. Mhoro (UMBC287)	Tanzania	3	fig. 6 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus smithiana</i> (Baker) Planch.	R.P. Hulstaert (416)	Congo	Unlike fossils, as this is a two-seeded specimen	pg. 533, fig. h in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 32, fig. 11 in Descoings (1972)
<i>Cissus tiliifolia</i> Planch.	G. Eilu (240)	Uganda	3	n/a
<i>Cyphostemma</i> <i>adenocaule</i> (Steud. ex A.Rich.) Desc. ex Wild & R.B.Drumm.	H. Ern, B. Leuenberger, H. Scholz, U. Scholz & W. Schwarz (1446)	Тодо		pg. 471, fig. e in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 28, fig. 11 in Descoings (1972); fig. 20 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cyphostemma</i> <i>heterotrichum</i> (Gilg & R.E.Fr.) Desc. ex Wild & R.B.Drumm.	Carter, Abdallah, Newton (2537)	Tanzania		fig. 18 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cyphostemma</i> <i>maranguense</i> (Gilg) Desc.	C.G. Rogers (2)	Kenya		

Appendix S2. Comparison of tissue and cellular detail revealed by SRXTM and routine μ CT in a modern fruit of *Cissus populnea* Guill. & Perr., where the digital transverse section (DTS) is through the part of the seed distal to the external ventral infolds. (A) SRXTM and (B) μ CT DTS with labelled identifiable seed tissues (storage and chalazal tissues, testa, fruit wall), the distinction between endotesta and exotesta can be made using the SRXTM DTS but this distinction is not as clear using routine μ CT. (C) SRXTM and (D) μ CT DTS at higher magnification with labelled tissue layers as for (A) and (B), showing the greater cellular detail, ability to distinguish layers in the seed coat and the distribution of phases of different X-ray attenuation in the fruit in SRXTM. White boxes in (A) and (B) outline the areas shown at higher magnification in (C) and (D). Scale bars in (A) and (B) are 1 mm and in (C) and (D) are 0.5 mm.



±

Adams et al.—American Journal of Botany 103(#): ###-###. 2016. – Data Supplement S3 – Page 1

Appendix S3. Further details of the phylogenetic analyses.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from 0.2 g of herbarium specimen material using a modified version of the 2× cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). The total DNA was purified using a caesium chloride/ethidium bromide gradient (1.55 g/mL) followed by a dialysis procedure for long-term storage in the DNA & Tissue Collections at RBG Kew (http://apps.kew.org/dnabank/homepage.html). The trnL intron and *trnL–F* spacer were amplified in two reactions using primers c/d and e/f, respectively (Taberlet et al., 1991). The rps16 intron was amplified using the primers rps16F and rps16R (Shaw et al., 2005). The polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) for the above markers were performed in 25 µL volumes, containing 12.5 µL of DreamTag PCR Master Mix (2x) (4 mM MgCl₂; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), 4 µL TBT-PAR (trehalose, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and polysorbate-20 (Tween-20); Samarakoon et al., 2013), 0.5 µL of each primer (100 ng/µL) and 1 µL of DNA template. A 'long and cold' programme was used with an initial denaturation at 80°C for 5 mins, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 50°C (trnL-F) or 48 °C (rps16) for 1 min and 65 °C for 5 mins, completed with a final extension of 65°C for 4 mins. All amplifications were performed on a 9700 GeneAmp thermocycler (ABI, Warrington, UK). All PCR products were purified with either the QIAquick PCR kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) or the Nucleospin Extract II kit (Machery-Nagel, Düren, Germany), following the manufacturer protocols. Cycle sequencing reactions were performed in 5 µL reactions using 0.5 µL of BigDye® Terminator cycle sequencing chemistry (v3.1; ABI, Warrington, UK) and the same primers as for PCR. Complementary strands were sequenced on an ABI3730 automated sequencer and then assembled and aligned in Geneious (Biomatters Limited, Auckland, New Zealand). The newly produced DNA sequences are available from GenBank (see GenBank accession numbers in Appendix 1 of main paper).

Publically available sequences (Appendix 2) were downloaded using Geneious 7.0.2 (Biomatters Limited, Auckland, New Zealand) and matrices (including sequences produced for the present study) were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in Geneious. Alignments used to produce the phylogeny are available at from TreeBASE (https://treebase.org; study 18491). A phylogenetic analysis was performed using the maximum likelihood criterion as implemented in the programme RAxML v8.1.24 (Stamatakis, 2014) using the rapid bootstrap algorithm with 1000 replicates and a search for the best-scoring tree. The analysis was run on the Cipres Science Gateway portal (www.phylo.org). Divergence time estimates were obtained using the Bayesian inference approach implemented in the package BEAST v.1.8.2 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). The plastid regions were combined and the GTR+I+G evolutionary model was applied to the resulting matrix. An uncorrelated relaxed molecular clock with a lognormal distribution of rates and a birth-death speciation model were used. Two analyses were run on the Cipres Science Gateway portal (www.phylo.org) for 50 million generations each, sampling one tree every 1000th generation. Parameter convergence was confirmed using the software Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). Following the combination of these two runs using LogCombiner v1.8.2 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007), a maximum clade credibility tree with median branch lengths and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval on nodes was reconstructed using TreeAnnotator 1.8.2 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007), after an initial burn-in period of five million generations had been removed (10%).

<u>*</u>

Adams et al.—American Journal of Botany 103(#): ###-###. 2016. – Data Supplement S4 – Page 1

Appendix S4. Seed morphotypes for all seeds from clades within core *Cissus* (Fig. 5) containing African species (fifty-two species in total); those highlighted in grey were studied by SRXTM.

Species	Clade in phylogeny	Seed	Seed illustration and/or
	(Figs. 5 & 6)	morphotype	description in literature
<i>Cissus adnata</i> Roxb.	<i>C. sciaphila</i> clade	3 fig. 3B and 3C and p. 48 Jackes (1988) p. 701 in Lu (1993); fig. 172.7 and 172.8 and 188 in Chen et al. (2007	
<i>Cissus albiporcata</i> Masinde & L.E.Newton	<i>C. pseudoguerkeana- C. albiporcata</i> clade	no published ill	lustration or description found
<i>Cissus annamica</i> Gagnep.	<i>C. elongata-C. subtetragona</i> clade	no published ill	lustration or description found
<i>Cissus aphyllantha</i> Gilg	<i>C. sciaphila</i> clade	3	p. 38 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus aralioides</i> (Welw. ex Baker) Planch.	<i>C. petiolata</i> clade	4	fig. 13C and p. 548 in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 30, figs. 10-12 and p. 88 in Descoings (1972); fig. 11.10 and p. 47 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus auricoma</i> Desc.	<i>C. microdonta-C. auricoma</i> clade	3	figs. 12.6 and 12.7 and p. 89 in Descoings (1967)
<i>Cissus barbeyana</i> De Wild. & T.Durand	<i>C. barbeyana</i> clade	2	fig. 13E and p. 525 in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 42, fig. 10 and p. 118 in Descoings (1972)
Cissus bosseri Desc.	<i>C. microdonta-C. auricoma</i> clade	unlike fossils	fig. 10.8 and p. 83-84 in Descoings (1967)
<i>Cissus cactiformis</i> Gilg	<i>C. pseudoguerkeana- C. albiporcata</i> clade	4	fig. 9.8 and p. 40 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus cornifolia</i> (Baker) Planch.	<i>C. cornifolia-C. trothae</i> clade	unlike fossils	p. 519 in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 47, figs. 11-12 and p. 132 in Descoings (1972); fig. 8.9 and p. 36 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus dasyantha</i> Gilg & M.Brandt	unknown	2	fig. 13J and p. 543 in Dewit and Willems (1960)
<i>Cissus diffusa</i> (Miq.) Amshoff	<i>C. elongata-C. subtetragona</i> clade	no published ill	lustration or description found
<i>Cissus diffusiflora</i> (Baker) Planch.	<i>C. diffusiflora</i> clade	unlike fossils	 p. 528-529 in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 44, figs. 11-12 and p. 124 in Descoings (1972); p. 24 in Verdcourt (1993)
<i>Cissus dinklagei</i> Gilg & M.Brandt	unknown	variable seed morphology	fig. 13G and p. 515 in Dewit and Willems (1960); pl. 40, fig. 10 and p. 114 in Descoings (1972)
<i>Cissus elongata</i> Roxb.	<i>C. elongata-C. subtetragona</i> clade	4	fig. 171.10 and p. 186 in Chen et al. (2007)

Adams et al.—American Journal of Botany 103(#): ###-###. 2016. – Data Supplement S4 – Page 2

Cissus faucicola Wild	C. pseudoguerkeana-	4	p. 48 in Verdcourt (1993)
& R.B.Diumin.			
(Baker) Planch.	<i>C. barbeyana</i> clade	2	figs. 15.12 and 15.13 and p. 138 in Descoings (1967)
Cissus integrifolia	C. integrifolia clade	1	fig. 13F and p. 514 in Dewit
(Baker) Planch			and Willems (1960)
			p. 16 in Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus javana DC.	C. elongata-C.	unlike fossils	fig. 170.8 and 170.9 and p.
· · · · · · · · · ·	subtetragona clade		187 in Chen et al. (2007)
<i>Cissus lanea</i> Desc.	<i>C. microdonta-C. auricoma</i> clade	no published il	lustration or description found
Cissus lebrunii Dewit	unknown	3	fig 13K and p 543 in Dewit
		0	and Willems (1960)
Cissus leucophlea	C. microdonta-C.	unlike fossils	figs. 14.12 and 14.13 and p.
(Scott-Elliot) Suess.	<i>auricoma</i> clade		132 in Descoings (1967)
Cissus madecassa	C. microdonta-C.	unlike fossils	figs. 13.4 and 13.5 and p.
Desc.	<i>auricoma</i> clade		112 in Descoings (1967)
Cissus microdonta	C. microdonta-C.	unlike fossils	figs 15.4 and 15.5 and p
(Baker) Planch	<i>auricoma</i> clade		100 in Descoings (1967)
Cissus oliveri (Engl.)	C. petiolata clade	unlike fossils	p. 535 in Dewit and Willems
Gilg ex Engl.			(1960);
			p. 32 in Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus oreophila Gilg	unknown	3	pl. 39, fig. 11 and p. 112 in
& M.Brandt			Descoings (1972)
Cissus pentaclada	C. cornifolia-C.	unlike fossils	fig. 3D and p. 491 in Jackes
Jackes	trothae clade		(1988)
Cissus petiolata	<i>C. petiolata</i> clade	4	fig. 13A and p. 515 in Dewit
Hook.f.			and Willems (1960);
			pl. 33, fig. 11 and p. 97 in
			Descoings (1972);
			fig. 3.9 and p. 16 in
			Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus phymatocarpa	C. pseudoguerkeana-	no published il	lustration or description found
Masinde &	<i>C. albiporcata</i> clade		
L.E.Newton			
<i>Cissus pileata</i> Desc.	C. cornifolia-C.	unlike fossils	figs. 13.9 and 13.10 and p.
	trothae clade		119 in Descoings (1967)
Cissus planchoniana	unknown	unlike fossils	p. 520 in Dewit and Willems
Glig			(1900), $p = 43$ figs 11 12 and $p = 120$
			pi. 43, ligs. 11-12 aliu p. 120
			In Descoings (1972) ,
<u>Ciaque realita Dece</u>	<u>C</u> resticiate electe	un na un chall a ha na dùi	p. 23-24 In Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus polita Desc.		no published li	iustration or description found
Cissus polyantha Gilg	C. sciapnila clade	variable seed	Tig. 13H and p. 536 in Dewit
& M.Brandt		morphology,	and willems (1960);
		including	pi. 36, figs. 11-12 and p. 104
		specimen like	In Descoings (1972);
		worphotype	p. 30 in verdcourt (1993)
		3	
	C. Integritolia clade	1	p. 531 in Dewit and Willems
Guill. & Perr.			(1900), nl 24 figo 10 and 11 and 7
			pi. 54, ligs. 10 and 11 and p.
			100 III Descollings (1972),

Cissus producta	C. cornifolia-C.	unlike fossils	fig. 13B and p. 524 in Dewit
Afzel.	trothae clade		and Willems (1960);
			pi. 40, lig. 10 and p. 130 in Descoings (1972):
			p 22 in Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus	C. pseudoquerkeana-	no published il	lustration or description found
pseudoguerkeana	<i>C. albiporcata</i> clade		
Verdc.			
Cissus	C. pseudoguerkeana-	4	p. 513 in Dewit and Willems
quadrangularis L.	C. albiporcata clade		(1960);
			p. 91 in Descoings (1967);
			pl. 29, figs. 10 and 11 and p.
			87 in Descoings (1972);
Ciaqua guarrai Dowit	C. agianhila glada	2	p. 41 in Verdcourt (1993)
CISSUS QUAITEI DEWIL	C. Sciapilla claue	3	(1960).
			n 32 in Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus reniformis	C. elongata-C.	4	figs, 2F-G in Jackes (1988)
Domin	subtetragona clade		
Cissus rhodotricha	C. sciaphila clade	3	figs. 12.15 and 12.16 and p.
(Baker) Desc.			116 in Descoings (1967)
Cissus rondoensis	<i>C. petiolata</i> clade	unlike fossils	p. 20 in Verdcourt (1993)
Verdc.			
Cissus rostrata (Miq.)	<i>C. sciaphila</i> clade	3	p. 326 in Yeo et al. (2012)
Cieque retundifelia	C natiolata alada	4	p. 510 in Dowit and Willoms
Vahl	C. peliolala ciade	4	(1960).
Vann			p. 21 in Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus rubiginosa	C. cornifolia-C.	unlike fossils	pl. 53, fig. E and p. 540 in
(Welw. ex Baker)	trothae clade		Dewit and Willems (1960);
Planch.			pl. 31, figs. 12 and 13 and p.
			92 in Descoings (1972);
			fig. 4E and p. 26 in
Ciaqua aggittifara	C harbayana alada	2	Verdcourt (1993)
Desc	<i>C. Darbeyana</i> clade	2	130 in Descoinds (1967)
Cissus scianhila Gilo	C. scianhila clade	3	figs 5 10 and 6 1 and p 30
Clocus colapinia cing		U	in Verdcourt (1993)
Cissus smithiana	C. sciaphila clade	3	pl. 52, fig. H and p. 534 in
(Baker) Planch.			Dewit and Willems (1960);
			pl. 32, fig. 11 and p. 94 in
			Descoings (1972)
Cissus subtetragona	<i>C. elongata-C.</i>	4	fig. 171.2 and p. 185 in
Planch.	Subtetragona ciade	4	Chen et al. (2007)
Masinda &	C. peliolala ciade	4	
I F Newton			
Cissus tiliifolia	<i>C. sciaphila</i> clade	3	p. 35 in Verdcourt (1993)
Planch.			
Cissus trothae Gilg &	C. cornifolia-C.	no published il	lustration or description found
M.Brandt	trothae clade		·
Cissus welwitschii	<i>C. petiolata</i> clade	4	p. 19 in Verdcourt (1993)
(Baker) Planch.			

Appendix S5. List of herbarium sheets used to provide habit and habitat data for modern *Cissus* species (source 4 in Table 2 of main paper). Herbarium abbreviations: DSM (University of Dar es Salam Herbarium, Tanzania); G (Geneva General Herbarium); IFAN (Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire Herbarium); K (Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew Herbarium); L (National Herbarium of the Netherlands at Leiden University); MO (Missouri Botanical Garden Herbarium); P (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle Herbarium, Paris); TAN (Tsimbazaza Herbarium, Madagascar).

Species	Habit	Habitat	Region/ Country of	Collector (Collection	Herbarium (Herbarium	Accession Number	Web Link
			Collection	Number)	Barcode)		
<i>Cissus</i> <i>adnata</i> Roxb.	Scrambler	Remnant primary forest on south facing slope	Yunnan, China	Li Heng (9095)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1402887
	(Unknown)	Forest margin	Yunnan, China	Zhan Huo Tsi (91- 109)	MO (MO-162633)	4250721	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1344197
	Climber	Riparian forest	Sarawak, Malaysia	Abang Mohtar et al. (S49581)	MO (MO-162639)	3844467	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1344238
	Climbing woody vine (stem very corky)	Semi-open scrub forest	Sri Lanka	F.R. Fosberg et al. (50818)	MO (MO-162634)	2213855	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1344199
	(Unknown)	Deciduous forest	Northern Thailand	T. Shimizu et al. (T10433)	MO (MO-162637)	2580082	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1344231
	Woody vine (10- 15m long)	Primary evergreen seasonal broad-leaved closed lowland forest on very steep slopes	Thanh Hoa, Vietnam	L.V. Averyanov, P.K. Lôc, D.T. Doan & N.T. Vinh (3880)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2774452
	Vine (common)	Primary evergreen seasonal broad-leaved closed lowland forest on very steep slopes	Thanh Hoa, Vietnam	L.V. Averyanov, P.K. Lôc, D.T. Doan & N.T. Vinh (3939)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2774468
	(Unknown)	Monsoon forest	Sumbawa, Indonesia	J. Elbert (3667)	L (L 0746186)	L.2334734	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334734/format/large
	(Unknown)	Light monsoon forest	Lombok, Indonesia	J. Elbert (1963)	L (L 0746179)	L.2334741	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334741/format/large
	Evergreen woody climber	Open, disturbed thicket along a seasonal stream with secondary growth in a destroyed primary, evergreen, seasonal, hardwood forest	Chiang Mai, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (01- 295)	L (L 0761745)	L.3928867	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .3928867/format/large
	Woody climber	Open, degraded, disturbed, fire-prone	Champasak, Laos	J.F. Maxwell (98- 1041)	L (L 0762737)	L.4254525	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254525/format/large

		thicket bordering deciduous secondary growth forest					
	Climber	Riparian forest	Borneo, Indonesia	A. Kostermans (21453)	L (L 0672603)	L.2334780	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334780/format/large
	Climbing- scrambling vine	Open areas in evergreen forest and open rocky thickets	Saraburi, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (74- 546)	L (L 0194871)	L.2328773	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328773/format/large
-	Deciduous woody climber	Open degraded mixed deciduous secondary growth, margins of evergreen and deciduous seasonal forest, canopy to 25m	Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand	Martin Greijmans (184)	L (L 0761507)	L.3928674	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .3928674/format/large
	Vine	Thicket	Queensland, Australia	B.R. Jackes (unknown)	L (L 0761883)	L.4254130	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254130/format/large
	Creeper	Dipterocarp forest	Borneo, Indonesia	M.M.J. van Balgooy (5746)	L (L 0672608)	L.2334775	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334775/format/large
	Slender climber	Mixed lowland forest	Borneo, Brunei	M.J.E. Coode et al. (6797)	L (L 0672609)	L.2334774	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334774/format/large
	Solitary climber, 1m high	Much disturbed primary forest ca. 40m high, on alluvial soil near a river. Terrain flat to gently sloping.	Sulawesi, Indonesia	E.F. de Vogel (5103)	L (L 0483122)	L.2334730	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334730/format/large
	Woody climber	Partly open, alluvial area along a small stream in degraded mixed evergreen and deciduous hardwood forest with much bamboo, in overall deciduous dipterocarp- oak and pine, seasonal forest	Chiang Mai, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (95- 449)	L (L 0762949)	L.4254251	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254251/format/large
	Shrubby creeper	Sandstone outcrop in broadleaf scrub	Northern Territory, Australia	Martensz & Schodde (AE 706)	L (L 0746142)	L.2334721	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334721/format/large
	Climber (5 m)	Forest edge with clearing in remnant primary forest	Sumatra, Indonesia	W.J.J.O. de Wilde and B.E.E. de Wilde-Duyfjes (21320)	L (L 0746262)	L.2328679	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328679/format/large
	Climber (ca. 8m	Forest edge in primary	Sumatra,	W.J.J.O. de Wilde	L	L.2328682	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L

	tall)	rain forest	Indonesia	and B.E.E. de Wilde-Duyfjes (19351)	(L 0746260)		.2328682/format/large
	(Unknown)	Mixed deciduous forest	Phitsanulok, Thailand	Kai Larsen, T. Smitinand & E. Warncke (877)	L (L 0194878)	L.2328770	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328770/format/large
	Vine	Partly shaded area in the mixed evergreen/deciduous forest in a burned area with some bamboo	Chiang Mai, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (89- 600)	L (L 0194777)	L.2328772	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328772/format/large
	(Unknown)	Monsoon forest, busy and light	Sumbawa, Indonesia	J. Elbert (4204)	L (L 0746182)	L.2334738	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334738/format/large
	Climber	Bushes by forest stream	Ranong, Thailand	A.F.G. Kerr (16877)	L (L 0194781)	L.2328775	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328775/format/large
	Sprawling vine	Open place along a river, on granite bedrock, in a dry dipterocarp forest	Chiang Mai, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (87- 687)	L (L 0194778)	L.2328774	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328774/format/large
	(Unknown)	Deciduous forest	Chiang Mai, Thailand	T. Shimizu, H. Koyama & M. Hutoh (T10433)	L (L 0194837)	L.2328776	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2328776/format/large
	Deciduous vine	Open, disturbed, degraded, secondary growth thicket in degraded mixed evergreen and deciduous, seasonal, hardwood forest with bamboo	Phayao, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (98- 747)	L (L 0762744)	L.4254532	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254532/format/large
	Solitary climber, 8m high	Disturbed primary forest near streamlet	Sulawesi, Indonesia	E.F. de Vogel (5592)	L (L 0483124)	L.2334731	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334731/format/large
	(Unknown)	Partly shaded areas in the mixed evergreen & deciduous forest, base of limestone cliffs, rugged limestone terrain	Kanchanaburi, Thailand	J.F. Maxwell (93- 923)	L (L 0762963)	L.4254263	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254263/format/large
	Vine	Second growth forest	Luzon, Philippines	H.G. Gutierrez (61- 75)	L (L 0746209)	L.2334793	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334793/format/large
•	Climber	Riparian forest	Borneo, Malaysia	Abang Mohtar et al. (S.49581)	L (L 0672610)	L.2334773	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2334773/format/large
Cissus	Vine	Rainforest	Antananarivo,	L.C. Barnett &	MO	3333595	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen

<i>floribunda</i> (Baker)			Madagascar	Laurence J. Dorr (187)	(MO-163568)		/177642
Planch.	(Unknown)	Forest	Antananarivo, Madagascar	Georges Cremers (1299)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1204127
	Vine (climbing to 3m)	Tropical moist forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	George E. Schatz (2405)	MO (MO-163570)	3708677	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /178235
	Liana	Rainforest towards a small lake	Antsiranana, Madagascar	Armand Rakotozafy & Jeannine I. Raharilala (2295)	TAN (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1103606
	Liana	Dense rainforest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	Richard Razakamalala (3598)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /3453897
	Liana (4m in height)	Forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	C. Rakotovao & et al. (3148)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2982381
	Liana	Rainforest	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	Armand Rakotozafy (196)	TAN (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1204111
	Vine	Disturbed forest and edges	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	Thomas B. Croat (30103)	MO (MO-163571)	2322603	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1354458
	(Unknown)	Rainforest	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	Bernard M. Descoings (1110)	MO (MO-163121)	2211740	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /176965
	Liana	Dense rainforest	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	N.M. Andrianjafy & et al. (670)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2704015
	Climbing liana	Dense rainforest	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	N.M. Andrianjafy & et al. (747)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2705111
	Slender liana (to ca. 10m long)	Rainforest	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	S.T. Malcomber (1288)	MO (MO-163596)	4574042	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /176950
Cissus oreophila	Climber	Riverine forest border	Gabon	Adriaan M. Louis (1019)	MO (MO-163214)	4573103	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348861
Gilg & M.Brandt	(Unknown)	Forest regrowth remains on the edge of a Sphagnum pond	Brazzaville, Congo	Bernard M. Descoings (5917)	MO (MO-163221)	2211723	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348913
	Liana	Swamp region	Brazzaville, Congo	Bernard M. Descoings (6988)	MO (MO-163215)	2211724	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348877
	Herbaceous vine (20-25m)	Dense primary forest with large trees and vines	Equatorial Guinea	Manuel Fidalgo de Carvalho (3596)	MO (MO-163206)	4325779	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348780
	Climber	Forest edge	Ivory Coast	J. Bokdam (2785)	MO (MO-163217)	2422492	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348885
	Creeping herb	Secondary forest	Ivory Coast	C. Geerling & J. Bokdam (2471)	MO (MO-163216)	2469995	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348881
	(Unknown)	Savanna edge and hill forest regrowth	Central African Republic	Bernard M. Descoings (10441)	MO (MO-163219)	2211725	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1348892
	Creeper	High forest	Liberia	P. Adames (565)	IFAN	IFAN52664	http://plants.jstor.org/stable/10.55

					(IFAN52664)		55/al.ap.specimen.ifan52664
<i>Cissus polita</i> Desc.	(Unknown)	Hills and limestone plateau, seasonal deciduous forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	H. Humbert (19215)	P (P00061314)	P00061314	http://plants.jstor.org/stable/10.55 55/al.ap.specimen.p00061314
	Liana (3m)	Dry forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	F. Ratovoson (1234)	MO (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /3225598
	Liana (3m height)	Dry forest on sandy soil	Antsiranana, Madagascar	Andriamalala Rakotondrafara (400)	MO (MO-1059463)	5957540	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2844837
	Liana	Degraded dry forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	Stéphan Rakotonandrasana (938)	MO (MO-1441510)	5996128	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2871026
	Climbing shrub	Rocky sandstone woodland	Antsiranana, Madagascar	H. Perrier de la Bâthie (6185)	P (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /177361
	Liana (1.5m)	Dense dry forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	L. Nusbaumer & P. Ranirison (1128)	G (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /100647659
	Liana	Savanna grassland with residual groves of dry deciduous forest	Antsiranana, Madagascar	M. Bardot- Vaucoulon & G. Véné (1607)	P (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /100333665
<i>Cissus</i> <i>rondoensis</i> Verdc.	Herbaceous climber to ca. 4m	Moist evergreen forest	Lindi, Tanzania	G. Sally Bidgood, R.D. Abdallah & K.B. Vollesen (1553)	DSM (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /2855173
	Semi-woody climber to 3m tall	Dense forest thicket	Lindi, Tanzania	G. Sally Bidgood, R.D. Abdallah & K.B. Vollesen (1442)	K (K000322840)	K000322840	http://plants.jstor.org/stable/10.55 55/al.ap.specimen.k000322840
<i>Cissus</i> <i>rostrata</i> (Miq.) Korth. ex Planch.	Liana	Secondary forest	North Maluku, Indonesia	Tjut Jul Fatisa Bangun, Mary Merello, Iska Gushilman, Idris Haris & Roji Mahroji (11)	MO (MO-2702487)	6434347	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /100530014
	Liana (at 1m high)	Disturbed forest	North Maluku, Indonesia	Lalao Andriamahefarivo, Deby Arifiani, Richard Razakamalala & Bahar Fabanyo (137)	MO (MO-2702471)	6431952	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /100554547
	Climber	Foothill primary rain forest	Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia	Eric Gardette (E.G 2112)	L (L 0761437)	L.4254449	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254449/format/large

Climber	Secondary forest, flatland	Borneo, Malaysia	A.A. Nordin (86099)	L (L 0672368)	L.2333842	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333842/format/large
Climber	Forest river bank	Borneo, Malaysia	Aban Gibot (94480)	L (L 0672371)	L.2333839	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333839/format/large
Scrambling shrub	River banks in rainforest seral growths	New Guinea, Indonesia	L.J. Brass (13064)	L (L 0745287)	L.2333803	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333803/format/large
Vine	Secondary growth in area intermediate between heath and dipterocarpaceous forests	Borneo, Malaysia	Ding Hou (391)	L (L 0672375)	L.2333834	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333834/format/large
Tall woody climber (30 feet)	River bank in disturbed primary forest	Borneo, Malaysia	P.S. Ashton (S.18398)	L (L 0672365)	L.2333845	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333845/format/large
Climber (6m tall)	Forest edge in primary peat swamp and marshy forest on flat land	Sumatra, Indonesia	W.J.J.O. de Wilde and B.E.E. de Wilde-Duyfjes (20538)	L (L 0745311)	L.2333873	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333873/format/large
Climber	Rainforest (Eucalyptus deglupta dominant)	Sulawesi, Indonesia	M.M.J. van Balgooy (3421)	L (L 0745292)	L.2333797	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333797/format/large
Climber	Bank of a forest brook	Borneo, Indonesia	F.H. Endert (3387)	L (L 0672539)	L.2333923	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333823/format/large
Soft climber	Riverside vegetation in mixed lowland forest	Borneo, Brunei	M.J.E. Coode (6443)	L (L 0672388)	L.2333889	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333889/format/large
Twining climber	Lowland dipterocarp primary rain forest	Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia	Eric Gardette (E.G 1643)	L (L 0762757)	L.4254436	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254436/format/large
Climber	Secondary regrowth forest in disturbed lowland rainforest	Borneo, Malaysia	C.E. Ridsdale (2130)	L (L 0672384)	L.2333826	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333826/format/large
Climber (4m long)	Riverside in forest	Borneo, Malaysia	Asik Mantor (118747)	L (L 0672382)	L.2333828	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333828/format/large
Climber (20m above ground)	Lowland mixed forest	Palawan, Philippines	D.D. Soejarto, D.A. Madulid, F. Gaerlan, E. Sagcal, O. Fernando (8661)	L (L 0761399)	L.3298568	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .3928568/format/large
Climber	Disturbed open area on evergreen forest margins	Singapore	J.F. Maxwell (83-9)	L (L 0745318)	L.2333866	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333866/format/large
Climber	River side in secondary forest	Borneo, Malaysia	A.A. Nordin (86041)	L (L 0672367)	L.2333843	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333843/format/large
Climber	Undergrowth on open bank of a stream in	New Guinea, Indonesia	L.J. Brass (12397)	L (L 0745286)	L.2333804	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333804/format/large

		rainforest					
	Climber (to 60 feet)	Near a stream in primary forest	Borneo, Malaysia	J.A.R. Anderson and Ilias bin Paie (S.28316)	L (L 0672373)	L.2333836	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333836/format/large
	Climber (6 m)	Primary riverine forest	Borneo, Indonesia	J.P. Mogea and W.J.J.O. de Wilde (3766)	L (L 0672370)	L.2333840	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333840/format/large
	Climber	Undulating disturbed forest	Borneo, Malaysia	Maidil Amin & Matin Amin (69988)	L (L 0672386)	L.2333824	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333824/format/large
	Climber	Riverine forest	Moluccas, Indonesia	M.M.J. van Balgooy (4676)	L (L 0745289)	L.2333800	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333800/format/large
	Climber (2m high)	Mixed dipterocarp forest	Borneo, Malaysia	H. Othman, Rantai & Jugal (S.56497)	L (L 0761832)	L.4254181	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254181/format/large
	Climber	Riverside of fast flowing river with steep banks, almost precipitous in places, in primary forest	Borneo, Malaysia	J.A.R. Anderson & Ilias bin Paie (S.28876)	L (L 0672374)	L.2333835	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .2333835/format/large
	Climber (ca. 5m long)	Forest hillsides	Borneo, Malaysia	T. Sawan (125281)	L (L 0762871)	L.4254355	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254355/format/large
	Climber	Lowland dipterocarp primary rain forest	Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia	Eric Gardette (E.G 2255)	L (L 0762759)	L.4254438	http://medialib.naturalis.nl/file/id/L .4254438/format/large
Cissus sagittifera	(Unknown)	Cracks and fissures in quartzite	Fianarantsoa, Madagascar	H. Perrier de la Bâthie (12540)	P (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /177505
Desc.	Climbing liana	Woodland edge	Mahajanga, Madagascar	H. Perrier de la Bâthie (1371)	P (Unknown)	(Unknown)	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /177497
	(Unknown)	Limestone cliff	Madagascar	Bernard M. Descoings (2445)	P (P00061317)	P00061317	http://plants.jstor.org/stable/10.55 55/al.ap.specimen.p00061317
	(Unknown)	Base of a limestone cliff	Toliara, Madagascar	Bernard M. Descoings (2443)	MO (MO-163554)	2211717	http://www.tropicos.org/Specimen /1354431

Appendix S6. Definitions of lateral, ventral, dorsal, basal, apical views and the dorsiventral dimension, height and width of the seeds used in the systematic descriptions of the fossils.



Appendix S7. Phylogenetic tree of the Vitaceae family obtained using the maximum likelihood criteria, as implemented in RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014), based on plastic DNA sequence data of Vitaceae, with a particular focus on genus Cissus, Bootstrap values and posterior probability values are indicated on the nodes. Positions of the core Cissus (see Fig. 5 in the main text) and Cissus striata clades are indicated, and the Cissus trianae clade of Rodrigues et al. (2014) is only weakly supported in this tree. Scale bar shows degree of genetic change (nucleotide substitutions per site) as distance on the phylogram.



Appendix S8. Chronogram of the Vitaceae family obtained using the Bayesian approach implemented in the software BEAST with two Vitaceae fossils as calibration points (indicated by asterisks; see text for details), with a particular focus on genus *Cissus*. Ages in millions of years (Ma) are indicated on the nodes. Scale is in millions of years.



Appendix S9. Chronogram of the core Cissus clade obtained using the Bayesian approach implemented in the software BEAST with the fossil Cissus from the Oligocene Belen flora used as a calibration point (indicated by asterik; see text for details). Ages in millions of years (Ma) are indicated on the nodes. Scale is in millions of years.



Appendix S10. Maximum credibility tree obtained for the Vitaceae family from the Bayesian analysis as implemented in the software BEAST. Posterior probability values are indicated at the nodes. Scale is in millions of years.



Appendix S11. Chronogram obtained using the Bayesian approach implemented in the software BEAST and three Vitaceae fossils as calibration points. Bars on nodes are the 95% highest posterior probability intervals on the age estimates. Scale is in millions of years.

