



The University of Manchester

The University of Manchester Research

## This won't take long

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

**Citation for published version (APA):** Denison, D. (2014). This won't take long. In *host publication* 

#### Published in:

host publication

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### **Takedown policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



## This won't take long

SHES 12 Manchester 17-18 May 2014

David Denison

#### Introduction

Reviewing draft revisions of long for OED

## Research questions

- 1. Are the PoS labels for *long* in *OED* correct?
- 2. If not, what should labels be?
- 3. If some are underdetermined (vague), what are the consequences?
- Slides of talk available on http://tinyurl.com/UMan-DD
   comments welcome
- Thanks to Matthew Bladen of OED for drawing my attention to problematic PoS labels for long.

## Headwords for long in OED

long adj.1 and n.	'great in measurement from end to end', etc.
long adj.²	= long/along (of) 'attributable to'
long v.1	'lengthen', 'yearn'
long v.²	'to be appropriate to'
long adv.1	'for a long time'
long adv.²	= along
long prep.	= along
-long suffix	endlong, headlong, etc.
†lengh n.	'length'

The OE noun *leng(o), lengu* (26x in YCOE) survives to 17th century. *OED* has a brief entry but does not bring it into the history of *long*. Will ignore.

Headwords for long in OED

long adj.¹ and n.	٦
long adj.2	
long v.1	[entries first published 1903, not yet fully updated]
long v.²	
long adv.1	
long adv.²	
long prep.	
-long suffix	
†lengh n.	'length'

**Adjective and Adverb** 

#### long adj. in OED - selected senses

All found

in OE

- 1. Great in spatial measurement OElong low rowing boats
- 2. Having a certain spatial extension OE-about a quarter of an inch long
- 5. Great in serial extent or duration (OE) MElong letters
- 6. Having a great extent or duration OEher long twilight of decrepitude and decay
- Too long, lengthy, tedious ME-He is apt to be long in his descriptions.

lang-/long-\_ADJ occurs 357× in YCOE (incl. cp and spl)

#### long adv. in OED – selected senses

1. For or during a long time OE-

3et ic mei longe libben; We have long been expecting a packet.

- 4. At/from/to a far distant time a1400-long since; long after (X); but he cut his teeth long before me
- 6. Throughout the period specified (ME) eModE-the whole summer long; all day long

lange/longe\_ADV occurs 608× in YCOE (incl. cp and spl)

## Adverb > Adjective?

#### long adv. in OED – selected senses

1. For or during a long time OE-

3et ic mei longe libben; We have long been expecting a packet.

2. a. The suppression of the qualified adj., adv., or phrase, in expressions like to be long about one's work, causes the adv. long to assume the character of an adj. compl. = 'occupying a long time', 'delaying long'. C1290-

b. not to be long for this world 1822-

- 4. At/from/to a far distant time ai400-long since; long after (X); but he cut his teeth long before me
- 6. Throughout the period specified the whole summer long; all day long

#### Problem 1

c1275 Pe king sende his sonde after Brien þa wes to longe. c1300 Sumdel þe pope was anuyd þat he hadde i-beo so longe [rhyme *onder-fonge*].

aı425 Lunet þare stode in þe thrang, Until Sir Ywaine thoght hir lang.

2008 I have to go out,  $\cdot\cdot$  but I won't be very long.

- OED currently places this pattern s.v. long adv.¹ 2a because of explicit morphology of earliest two.
- Editors proposed (Mar 2014) moving all but first two to long adj.¹, with a cross-reference between the entries.

#### Penn Treebank

 The Penn Parsed Corpora from ME onwards tag *long* as Adjective regardless of context, sometimes within NP-MSR brackets:

LONG is always treated as an adjective. See NP measure phrases for the conventions concerning adjectives used as measure phrases.

a long\_ADJ story\_N

five\_NUM feet\_NS long\_ADJ

not\_NEG long\_ADJ behind\_ADV

to\_TO have\_HV dwelled\_VBN here\_ADV the\_D longest\_ADJS
(Santorini 2010)

## Adj, 'function' = measure NP

\_

#### Why Adj in Penn Parsed corpora?

- (My speculation)
- Most measure phrases of time are NPs (*all night*) or PPs (*for ten years*), but function of PPs not labelled.
- *Long* in measure NPs varies with the NP (*a*) *long time*, therefore treat as elliptical with missing Noun head, whether the actual noun *time* or an abstract placeholder noun with that general meaning. [???]
- But e.g. *briefly* is parsed as (ADVP (ADV briefly))

14

## Adj or Adv?

- What is the evidence for Adj vs. Adv, and has the PoS changed over time?
- *OED* implies that original Adv *long* was adjunct modifying such constructions as *be about one's work, be at a task*.
- Ellipsis of (usually) a PP left *long* as complement of *be*.
- This slot is more often filled by AdjP than by AdvP.
- If long is here an Adj, it is a predicative-only Adj.
  - Predicate-only adjectives recognised in English linguistics as a subclass, though in Hengeveld's typological classification (1992, etc.), only attributive use is criterial for a class of adjectives.

Adj or Adv?

• Adv?

And many obere londes ber ben , bat it were to long to telle or to nombren CMMANDEV,96.2317

Mony ober myracles he dyd bat wer to long to tell ;

CMMIRK,8.203

• Adj?

and yn mony oper myscheves þat he suffurd , þat wern to long to tell . CMMIRK,70.1895

16

#### **Semantics**

- Long ≈ a long time, but the latter is less a predicative complement than an adverbial. The sentence Person X will be a long time (at this task) doesn't mean that X is themself a period of time: it means that X will be at the task for a long time.
- Rough semantic equivalence may suggest a similar grammatical function but needn't mean the same word class (cf. He's miserable/a misery).
- On those grounds, both long and a long time would be adverbials in clause structure, and hence long (by itself) an Adv

Vagueness in PoS assignment

- I have argued (Denison 2013) that there are now dozens of former nouns which **in some contexts and for some speakers** cannot be assigned a unique word class.
- For speakers who have both N and Adj entries in their lexicon for the underlined words,

a powerhouse song

This is <u>rubbish</u>.

the word classes here are underdetermined.

• Neither speaker/writer nor hearer/reader needs to worry, and the linguist cannot decide in non-arbitrary way.

## Adj ~ Adv vagueness with *long*?

- The morphology doesn't help.
- In some cases it is a moot point whether *long* is predicated of an NP (like Adj) or modifies the verb (like Adv).
- Because relevant uses of *long* are post-verbal, word class of long affects the phrasal projection but arguably not the tree structure (of non-abstract H & P type).

## Other Adj ~ Adv interchange

• Two OED senses s.v. look v.

9a. intr. To have the appearance of being; to seem to the sight. [...] Const. a predicative n. or adj., or a predicative adv. (as well, ill = 'in good, bad health').

b. with adv. of manner (†or adv. phr.): to have a certain look or appearance

Things had, by that time, begun to look badly for all concerned. (1891)

- Perhaps cf. German wütend 'angry/angrily' in Die Frau kam wütend näher. (ex. Eva Schultze-Berndt)
- Probably not a sufficient reason to abandon the Adv~Adj distinction in English (cf. Giegerich 2012) if conventional PoS are maintained at all.

#### Noun? Pronoun?

## long n. (s.v. Adj. 1 headword)

1. Phrases with prepositions variously OE/ME/ModEumbe long; before long; for long; at the longest

b. It was/is/will be long before/until/etc. (OE) ME-As it was long before he could be perswaded to

4-8. long 'long note, long syllable, long block' (masonry), etc. - routine elliptical formations

#### Problem 2

• The following patterns are treated by *OED* s.v. *long* n.

before long for long this/that long it will be long before

• Why label them as nouns?

## Against a noun analysis

- Morphology is like Adj/Adv and unlike N
  - Inflects for comparison in these constructions, but not for plural: before any/much longer at the longest

it will be longer before

- Syntax is like Adj/Adv and unlike N
  - Allows premodification by so, how, very, too, this/that 'very': after too long How long will it be?

#### Against a noun analysis

- Long doesn't have normal noun distribution.
- Complements of a preposition can be any XP, even if NP is the default expectation:

before the game NP
for real; as new AdjP
before now; until very recently from beyond the grave PP
by trying harder VP

5

#### Against a noun analysis

- *Long* doesn't have either morphology or syntax of a noun.
- (nor the semantics)
- Most parsimonious analysis of before long is as PP containing AdvP:

[PP before [AdvP longAdv]

with *long* as Adverb.

• So also Huddleston & Pullum (2002: 569).

26

### Against a pronoun analysis

- Note at beginning of draft OED entry for long n. says:
   It may perhaps be analysable as a pronoun which always stands for the noun phrase 'a long time'; cf. similar constructions at more pron.
- But more can be a Determiner.
  - All D (except *a, the, every*) have predictable alternative role as head of NP (traditionally, Pronoun).
- And *long* is **never** a Determiner.
- NP *a long time* is functionally an adverbial. Sharing a function (if true) doesn't imply sharing same word class.

7

## Further claims of nounhood

## long n. in OED

- 1. Phrases with prepositions variously OE/ME/ModE-umbe long; before long; for long; at the longest
- a. Without prep. 'much time' 1488-Der sone, this lang quhar has thow beyne?
   I do not think it will take long to ...
  - b. *It was/is/will be long before/until/etc.* (OE) ME-As it was long before he could be perswaded to
- The verb *take* is normally transitive, with NP object. Does this make *long* a noun in *won't take long*?

9

## Against a noun analysis

- No, though more troubling than PP data, and also found with ?transitive have, need, spend and give (H& P 2002: 569).
- Morphology is like Adj/Adv and unlike N
  - Inflects for comparison in these constructions, but not for plural:
     This won't take any longer; The form-filling took (the) longest.
- Syntax is like Adj/Adv and unlike N
  - Allows premodification by so, how, very, too, this/that 'very': It didn't need very long; We won't spend that long. How long do we have?
- Verb take not **always** transitive (take against, take off, take sick).

#### But ...

- Some V + long idioms do marginally allow a passive: ?Longest was taken by the form-filling. How long was spent filling in forms?
- how long = AdvP internally but behaving a bit like NP externally
- Because this only occurs in relation to these idioms, probably no need to argue for a mixed category.
- Cf also

Tomorrow never comes;

When shall we meet? Tomorrow should be OK

 Functional slot of subject most often and readily filled by NPs, but can sometimes be filled by another class.

31

#### Further evidence of PoS

• PPs can occur in the same slot as the alleged NP: Will it take long?

It should only take until next Thursday.

This too argues against a noun analysis of long.

Parallel Danish data suggests that *long* is an adverb:
 Hvor længe tog det at komme igennem det?
 how long took it to come through it
 Umlauted *længe* adv. is clearly distinct from *lang* adj.

 (Thanks to Sten Vikner, in comments at SHES and later communication.)

32

# Analogical spread by construction

### Tentative explanation

- Most likely origin of *It won't take long* and similar patterns is a gradual analogical spread, always in postverbal position, from one construction type to another.
- Not really helpful to try to pin down the PoS at every stage, but if a single label is insisted on, Adv is still probably closest.
- Some of the possible steps are illustrated in following slides, with sample illustrations.
- Relative chronology needs checking, though corpus data may be sparse.

2.4

## Verb $be + long_{Adv}$

- (Examples and definitions from *OED* draft.)
- 'As complement of impersonal uses of the verb to be, expressing the notion of the passage of a long period of time' OE-

It was not long afterwards, that ... (1656)

• 'As complement of the verb *to be*, expressing the notion of protracted occupation in some task, or of absence or delay' c1275-

Goe, Ile not be long. (1612)

5

## Verb $be + long_{Adi}$

- (Examples and definitions from OED draft.)
- 'With implication of excessive duration: continuing too long; tediously lengthy; (of a speech, a literary work, etc.)' OE-

The sad catastrophe were long to tell (1738)

 'Hence, of a speaker or writer: prolix, long-winded.' a1586-

He is apt to be long in his descriptions. (1717)

## 'Intransitive' verb + $long_{Adv}$

Pe dom sal ben sone idon, ne <u>last</u> hit nowiht <u>longe</u>. (a1200, *OED*)

Pe maumates ne mi3hte no leng duyre (c1300, *MED*) as long as I live (c1450, *MED*)

- Associated with non-affirmative contexts
- Post-verbal *long* in PDE is explicitly called an NPI (negatively-oriented polarity-sensitive item) by Huddleston & Pullum (2002: 823, 825).

37

#### 'Transitive' verb + long

Pe member · · nedeb longe or it be souded. (?a1425 MED) too little for us to spend long upon (1694 OED draft)

How long will it take to be full in this case? (1763 OED draft)

What there she did, took me full thrice as long To ravel out. (1832, OED)

which did not take long (1834, OED)

They didn't <u>spend long</u> over it. (1922 COHA)

But I don't have long to stay in Chicago. (1953, COHA)

38

### Danish going the same way?

- Both trans. and intr. verbs occur with period of time as NP:
   Det vil ikke vare/tage lang tid 'lt won't last/take a long time'
- Intr. verb also fine + *længe* adv.† :

Det vil ikke vare længe/\*lang 'It won't last long'

- Trans vb. with inanimate subjects starting to appear + længe, but odd:
   ?\*Det vil ikke tage længe 'It won't take long'
  - Corpus examples all show reduced salience of adverb as 'object', either through fronting of hvor længe 'how long' or by indirect object intervening. (Cf. English data on previous slide; corpus work needed!)
  - Only *tage* 'take' found so far in corpus, and never with human subject., but *bruge* 'use' (i.e. 'spend time') with human subject sounds possible.
- Many thanks to Sten Vikner (pers. comm., 19 May 2014)

   This is unlawfed form of day, but Cor. Länge p. 22ccondary course.

†Native umlauted form of adv., but Ger. Länge n. ??secondary source.

39

## **Bibliography**

#### References

Denison, David. 2013. Parts of speech: Solid citizens or slippery customers? Journal of the British Academy 1, 151-85.

Giegerich, Heinz J. 2012. The morphology of -ly and the categorial status of 'adverbs' in English. *English Language and Linguistics* 16.3, 341-59.

Hengeveld, Kees. 1992. Parts of speech. In Michael Fortescue, Peter Harder & Lars Kristofferson (eds.), Layered structure and reference in a functional perspective, 29-55. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Hengeveld, Kees, Jan Rijkhoff & Anna Siewierska. 2004. Parts-of-speech systems and word order. *Journal of Linquistics* 40, 527-70.

Huddleston, Rodney & Geoffrey K. Pullum. 2002. *The Cambridge grammar of the English language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Santorini, Beatrice. 2010. Annotation manual for the Penn Historical Corpora and the PCEEC. http://www.ling.upenn.edu/hist-corpora/annotation/index.html.

**1**1

## Thank you

http://tinyurl.com/UMan-DD comments welcome