



The University of Manchester Research

# **ISLE** highlights

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

**Citation for published version (APA):** Denison, D. (2011). *ISLE highlights*. Presidential address at ISLE2 conference, Boston University.

### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.


### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Takedown policy


If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.







# Conference themes


- How do methods of investigation take into account the data under study?
- In what ways do linguistic premises, perspectives, and models shape the methods to use?
- Which methods and models, developed in such disciplines as anthropology, cultural and demographic history, economics, psychology, and textual editing enhance linguistic analysis?
- Do current methods depart in significant ways from those typical of research in the past?







2. Problem examples











# What's wrong?

- **Prescriptivists** simply assert that a given usage is or is not 'good English', where 'good' = what they and/or their teachers use(d).
- When more than purely idiosyncratic peevishness, 'good' = general usage of educated native speakers ≈ Standard English.
- Linguists can formulate <u>and test</u> 'correctness conditions' for any variety. (Geoff Pullum, blog post "Everything is correct" versus "nothing is relevant")

### SLE Correctness conditions A linguist can make a mistake in formulating correctness conditions. How would anyone know? Through a back and forth comparison between what the condition statements entail and what patterns are regularly observed in the use of the language by [qualified]speakers under conditions when they can be


taken to be using their language without many errors (e.g., when they are sober, not too tired, not suffering from brain damage have had a chance to review and edit what they said or wrote, letc.).

(Pullum 2005)

# Error vs. innovation Distinction crucial to Kachru's concentric circles model. (Hundt & Mukherice 2001)

- In historical linguistics, some errors turn out (with hindsight) to be innovations.
- The sporadic occurrence of 'new' *V*-that patterns has affinities with learner English and with new Englishes.
- These are *native speakers* using (misusing?) words and patterns *in writing* that would be rare or nonexistent in their everyday conversation.


#### First classification of verbs Error vs. innovation • Routinely in describing • Classify them by their complementation possibilities when used in context of a human subject stating a fact, • spoken English expressing an opinion, etc. • young people's English • Relevant subcategorisations: historical English • ± N-that as direct object • EFL (a complex NP headed by an abstract noun like fact, • ESL claim, suggestion, etc., with a that-clause as complement • ELF of N, e.g. the claim that the Earth is flat) we embrace evidence of difference and change. • ± that-clause • Why not in this case?





verb	+ N-that	+ that- clause
(admit), agree, argue, comment, complain, conclude, contend, decide, declare, deem, feel, hint, hope, insist, object, point up, promise, realise, reason, reckon, remark, reply, retort, say, teach, think, warn, write	×	√ _
accept, acknowledge, add, affirm, allege, allow, announce, assert, assume, believe, (claim), concede, confirm, consider, convey, (deduce), (determine), demonstrate, deny, disclose, discover, doubt, emphasise, establish, explain, (find), forget, guarantee, hold, imagine, (indicate), infer, (judge), maintain, mention, observe, (point out), (predict), (presume), ?pronounce, propose, prove, recognise, regret, repeat, report, see, (show), state, (stipulate), stress, submit, suggest, (suppose), suspect, understand	-	✓

+ N-that	+ <i>that</i> - clause
×	*
?	? 【
	×

• I have examples of verbs in bold with a *that*-clause









sLE		
_	Impossible' V +	<i>that-</i> clause


	Brown family	ICE-GB	BNC	COCA	MICUSP
advance	×	×	×	1990-	~
criticize	×	×	×	1998-	✓
define	×	×	✓	1993-	×
display	✓	×	✓	×	✓
highlight	×	×	?√	1994-	✓
pose	×	×	×	1992-	×
put forward	×	×	~	1996-	×
utter	×	×	✓	×	×


	_	_			
mpossi	ble'	V + t	hat	-claus	е
	OED entry	OED quotes	OBC	PPCMBE, ARCHER	СОНА
advance	×	×	×	×	1835-
criticize	×	×	×	×	2002
define	1563†	1661	×	×	1861-
display	×	×	×	×	1990
highlight	×	1996-	×	×	2001-
pose	c1385-?1440		×	×	1926-
put forward	1702, 1876		×	×	×
utter	×	×	1812	×	×





(Arnold Zwicky, Language Log, 7 Aug 2005)























# Is analogy: issue of principle Is analogy is a theoretically justifiable mechanism? Is analogical explanation falsifiable? Long tradition of debate, with next-but-one President of ISLE mounting a defence of analogy (Fischer 2007) and in turn being criticised.

# SLE Analogy: issues of practice Can possible analogical sources be discriminated on corpus evidence? by closeness of semantic fit

- by closeness of pragmatic behaviour
- by interchangeability in other contexts of alleged model and target verb(s)
- by availability (e.g. numbers and frequency of source patterns at the appropriate time)
- Cf. paper on morphological productivity by Lindsay & Aronoff at ISLE2 based on 'ecosystem' of linguistic forms.

# Construction Grammar

- Affinities with analogical extension.
- Relevant macro-construction: abstract reporting pattern
- Meso-construction : V + *that*-clause
- Micro-constructions: *argue that, say that, discuss that, highlight that, ...*
- Much recent historical work by Traugott, Trousdale, Bergs and others.


# **Corpus linguistics**Genre variation may well be significant: would expect earlier appearance and higher frequencies in text types with little or no editorial interference. Compare Google Books corpus (huge database, but expect lower frequency) with other historical corpora.

• Separation of V and *that* may make pattern less salient and therefore less prone to editorial interference; so far only contiguous examples checked.

# SLE Corpus linguistics Tagged or lemmatised corpora should help to distinguish e.g. advance v. from advance n., that as conjunction from that as determiner, pronoun, etc. Tagger may have been trained on data where such examples don't occur. COHA gives first 20 hits for display that from 1823 to 1967 for tagged search string [display].[v\*] that.[cst] But that = D (×15), display = N (×3), so accuracy is 10%. (And neither of the 2 correctly tagged hits is relevant.)


# Corpus & statistical techniques

- Problem invites large corpora and automated methods.
- Much innovative work over recent years by Stefan Gries, Martin Hilpert, Lars Hinrichs, Benedikt Szmrecsanyi and others, including papers at ISLE2.
- Also Stefan Diemer on new Google search tools .
- Hubert Cuyckens & Martin Hilpert workshop on 'Current methods in English diachronic linguistics: How can new corpus-based techniques advance historical description and linguistic theory?'



# Learner English


- MICUSP
- Sylviane Granger, Louvain
- Barbara Seidlhofer, Vienna
- etc.
- ICLE corpora
- Peter Siemund, Julia Davydova, and Georg Maier on varieties of English in the classroom




## Written vs. spoken

... we believe that we have uncovered evidence that grammatical innovations in written discourse can go well beyond increased 'iterations and embeddings'. In particular, focusing on noun phrase constructions in English, we document extensions in the range of grammatical variants, the range of lexical associations, and the range of grammatical/semantic functions. These functional extensions have emerged out of the communicative demands of written discourse rather than spoken interaction.

(Biber & Gray in press,2011),





# Register

• If new uses are violating traditional academic conventions by a switch from complex NP (N-*that*) to *that*-clause, this is at least consonant with Biber et al.'s work on stance if we assume that the writers concerned are (inadvertently or otherwise) practising a more conversational style.

# Acquisition, salience


- How would the learner discover which verbs take which complementation?
- UK students very unlikely to use a dictionary, and e.g. Collins COBUILD gives *discuss* + REPORT-CL – referring to *discuss what* ..., not *discuss that* ....
- New usage appears to be non-salient
   ⇒ change from below (in sense that not conscious).
- But perhaps users are aspiring to a more high-status register.


# Perceptual dialectology

- Groups of native speakers with different varieties.
- Starting-point of talk: disparity between my own usage and that of my students.
- My attempt to 'place' their usage in space of possible Englishes.
- Would techniques of perceptual dialectology be relevant? Chris Montgomery (at ISLE2), Dennis Preston.

# Prescriptivism

- The history of prescriptive ideas and their influence is an important research strand which could bear on these questions.
- See for instance the 18C work by Carol Percy, the ECEG-database (Nuria Yáñez-Bouza and María Rodríguez-Gil 2010), the work of Ingrid Tieken-Boon van Ostade and colleagues.
- 19C research by Lieselotte Anderwald and others.
- Modern prescriptivism is documented by Arnold Zwicky, and cf. Don Chapman's paper at ISLE2.





# Limits of English, Englishes Perennial problem of recognising just what is English, just what is acceptable written, academic English


- Domains where it has practical consequences: • TEFL
  - UK national school exams (GCSE, A-level)
  - US college entrance tests (SAT, ACT and AP)
  - etc.


## isLE ISLE Research Network top 10/25 • Applied Linguistics + Cognitive Science + Computational Linguistics + corpus linguistics + Discourse Analysis + General Linguistics + Historical Linguistics + Intercultural Communication + Language Acquisition + Lexicography + Linguistic Theories + Linguistics & Literature + Morphology + Parsing + Phonetics + Phonology + Pragmatics + Psycholinguistics + Scots + Semantics + Sociolinguistics + Statistics + Syntax + Text/Corpus Linguistics

# 7. ISLE matters

# Unpublished PhD research Very important for research students to be able to make contact with others working on related topics

- published authors
- unpublished fellow-students
- Please maintain a research profile on ISLE website, *especially* current and incomplete projects.





## References

- Hackert, Stephanie. 2009. A discourse-historical approach to the English native speaker. In Thomas Hoffmann & Lucia Siebers (eds.), World Englishes – Problems, properties and prospects: Selected papers from the 13th IAWE conference, 385-406. Amsterdam and New York: John Benjamins.
- Huddleston, Rodney & Geoffrey K. Pullum. 2002. *The Cambridge grammar of the English language*. C.U.P.
- Hundt, Marianne. in press. Using web-based data for the study of global English. In Manfred Krug & Julia Schlüter (eds.), *Research methods in language variation and change*. C.U.P.

# References

- Hundt, Marianne & Joybrato Mukherjee. 2011. Discussion forum: New Englishes and learner Englishes - *quo vadis?* In Joybrato Mukherjee & Marianne Hundt (eds.), *Exploring second-language varieties of English and learner Englishes: Bridging a paradigm gap*, 209-17. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- MICUSP = Michigan Corpus of Upper-level Student Papers. 2009. Ann Arbor MI: The Regents of the University of Michigan.

