



The University of Manchester Research

developing a pain assessment tool for people with dementia and communication difficulties

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA): Gregory, J., Roberts, J., Feilding, E., Ryan, J., Timpson, G., Sinfield, M., Ainsworth, G., & Cole, W. (2013). *developing a pain assessment tool for people with dementia and communication difficulties.* Poster session presented at National Acute Pain Symposium, Chester UK.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



Developing a Pain Assessment Tool for People with Dementia and Communication Difficulties



Dr Julie Gregory – Lecturer, University of Manchester, Janet Roberts – Lead Nurse, Acute Pain Service, Dr Emily Feilding – Consultant Physician, Elderly Medicine, Dr Johanna Ryan – Lead Consultant Acute Pain/Consultant Anaesthetist, Gemma Timpson – Trauma Co-ordinator, Maria Sinfield – Head Of Clinical Practice, Gwen Ainsworth – Senior Physiotherapist, Wendy Cole – Senior Physiotherapist, Members of the Trauma and Complex Care Wards.

Introduction And Background

• THE AIM of the project was to identify and implement a pain assessment tool sensitive to the cognitively impaired patient in acute care settings.

This Practice Development project examined and trialled observational pain assessment tools for people with dementia.

A group of clinicians identified lack of a formal pain assessment tool as a problem for the increasing number of older patients admitted to the hospital with cognitive impairment and dementia.

• Effective Communication is essential for obtaining pain relief (McDonald et al 2005).

• Cognitively impaired patients may not be able to articulate and convey the way they feel (Curvo et al 2007)

• Some older people are unable to describe and report their pain due to sensory and cognitive impairment making communication difficult (Help the Aged 2008).

Method

The method and approaches used were based on a participatory action research (PAR) methodology.

Changing pain assessment practice required accessibility and support from the medical and clinical team, therefore influential clinicians responsible for pain assessment practice participated in the decisions made relating to the project and formed a multi-professional steering group. PAR is a process using stages of planning, acting, observing, reflecting and re-planning (Glasson et al 2006).

Activity

1. An examination of the literature relating to observational pain assessment tools. Summary of literature examining some observational pain assessment

2. They were evaluated by staff on the wards

Evaluation of Pain Assessment Tools by Ward Staff				
SCALE	COMMENTS	Decision to use in the trial		
ABBEY Pain Scale. Abbey et al (2004)	Validated in Long-term care and relies on previous knowledge of patient. Easy to use. Recommended by the RCP & BPS (2007)			
Checklist of nonverbal pain indicators (CNPI) observation score (Feldt 2000)	Used on hip fracture patients (n=88). Not pain specific, no grading of pain. Scores on movement and on rest. Devised for use in acute care (trauma) Simple layout	\checkmark		
PAINAID Pain Assessment IN Advanced Dementia (Warden et al 2003)	Small sample size all male and white. Tested in nursing homes and specialist dementia care units. Complicated to use and misleading. Liked the layout of the tool. Simple format	\checkmark		
PADE Pain Assessment for the Dementing Elderly (Villaneuva et al 2003)	2 studies involving 25 and then 40 residents over 10 day period Long-term care and extended amount of time required. Lots of questions i.e. 23 and over 2 pages long	×		
	Device dia learn terre error			

Assessment Tool	PAINAD	Abbey	CNPI
Mean Score (up to 10)	7.75	7.4	4.2
Comments	'A very good pain assessment tool, easy to use'. Remove the 'Cheyne stoke'	'like the documentation effective and justifies analgesia'	Not clear what the numerical scores should actionwould need several sheets per day if patient on regular observations'. 'no clear guidance as when to action giving pain relief'

Conclusion

• The PAINAD and the Abbey scales were rated equally by clinicians.

• The CNPI assessment tool was devised for acute care but in practice and when compared to other tools it was not useful

• Some patient's relatives did describe pain problems to nursing staff that had not been identified by the assessment tools.

• The family or usual carer have not been included in previous pain assessment scales and it was felt by everyone involved in the project that this was an important factor. An assessment tool combining The Abbey and PAINAD has been produced which includes information from someone who knows the individual well and has been named BPAT.

• A proof of concept study is currently underway at University Hospital South Manchester. BPAT has also been trialled and positively evaluated in other trauma units. Further validation studies are proposed.

|--|

BOLTON PAIN ASSESSMENT SCALE Bolton NHS Foundation Trust					Bolton NHS	
NAME OF PATIENT						
NAME/DESIGNATION OF PERSON COMPLETING SCORE:						
SCORE	ABSENT 0	MILD 1	MODERATE 2	SEVER	3	SCORE
VOCALISATION	none	Occasional moan or groan	Low level speech with a negative or disapproving quality	Repeatedly c loud moaning		
FACIAL EXPRESSION e.g.	Smiling or relaxed	Looking tense,	Sad Frowning,	Grimacing an frightened	d looks	
CHANGE IN BODY LANGUAGE	None	Tense, fidgeting	Guarding part of the body,	Withdrawn, ri clenched. Kn up		
BEHAVIOURAL CHANGE	None	Increased confusion	Refusing to eat, alterations in usual pattern	Pulling or pus striking out	shing away,	
PHYSIOLOGICAL CHANGE	Normal	Occasional laboured breath, increased heart rate	Hyperventilation, increased heart rate and BP	Change in pu respiratory ra perspiring, flu pallor	te and	
PHYSICAL CHANGES	None	Skin tears	Pressure ulcers, arthritis	Post surgery,	trauma,	
				TOTAL SCC	RE:	
Comments by family or usual care givers						
Pain on movement/ physiotherapy						
SCALE 0-2 = NO F	PAIN	3-7 MILD PAIN	9-13 MODERATI	E PAIN	14+ SE	VERE PAIN
© Royal Bolton Hospital NHS Foundation Trust 2011. All rights reserved. Not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the permission of the copyright owner.						
eferences						

PACSLAC Pain Assessment Checklist for Seniors With Limited Ability to Communicate (Fuchs-Lacelle and Hadjistavropolous 2004)	Devised in long-term care and relies on knowledge of Patients. Not validated. List of 60 items with yes no responses. No measure of degree of pain.	×	 Glasson J et al (2006) Evaluation of a model of nursing care for older people using participatory action research in an acute medical ward Journal of Clinical Nursing 15, 588-598 Herr K, Coyne PJ, Key T, et al (2006) Pain assessment in the nonverbal patient: a position statement with clinical practice recommendations. Pain Management Nursing 7 (2) 44-52 Hursebo, S., et al (2007)Mobilization-observation-behaviour-intensity-dementia pain scale (MOBID): Development and validation of a nurse-administered pain assessment tool for use in dementia Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 34(1), 67-80. McDonald DD, Thomas GJ, Livingston KE, Severson JS (2005) Assisting older people to communicate their postoperative pain. Clinical Nursing Research. 14 (2) 109-126 Ruder S (2010) / tools to assist hospice and home care clinicalins in pain management at end of life. Home Healthcare Nurse 28 (8) 458-468 Villaneuva MR et al (2003) Pain assessment for the dementing elderly reliability and validity of a new measure. Journal of the American medical directors association January/February 4:1-8 Warden, V, Hurley, A.C., Volicer, L. (2003). Development and psychometric evaluation of the Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD) Scale. Journal of the American Medical Directors, Jan/Feb 0, 5
	Used in nursing homes and validated with just 26 patients. Suitable for long-term care, chronic pain and muscular skeletal pain. Identifies three pain behaviours List of 60 items with yes no responses. No measure of degree of pain. difficult to elicit an intensity score	X	Project supported by a grant from Foundation of Nursing Studies and General Nursing Council Trust

"Observing behaviour is an aspect of all pain assessment, but when patients are unable to communicate, observation of pain behaviours may be the only means of obtaining information" (Ruder 2010).

Ref: 60428 © Bolton NHS Foundation Trust 2013. All rights reserved. Not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the permission of the copyright owne