



The University of Manchester Research

# **Degree-days**

**Document Version** Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA): Braithwaite, R. J. (2011). Degree-days. In V. P. Singh, P. Singh, & U. K. Haritashya (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of snow,* ice and glaciers (pp. 196-199). (Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series). Springer Nature.

# Published in:

Encyclopedia of snow, ice and glaciers

# Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

# General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

# **Takedown policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



# **Degree-days**

Roger J. Braithwaite Geography Programme School of Environment and Development University of Manchester Manchester M13 9PL, U.K. E-mail: <u>r.braithwaite@manchester.ac.uk</u> Tel: +44 161275 3653

# Synonyms

Degree-day methods Degree-day factor Degree-day total or positive degree-day sum

# Definitions

*Degree-day methods.* The melting of snow and ice is assumed to be related to air temperature as long as air temperature is above a critical threshold, usually close to the melting point of ice. In particular, the amount of snow or ice melted at a certain place, during a certain period, is assumed proportional to the sum of positive temperatures (on the Celsius scale) at the same place and in the same period. The amount of melt is linked to this *positive degree-day sum* by the *degree-day factor*. In the present article, *air temperature* refers to conventional measurements made c. 2 m above the snow or ice surface, or extrapolated from a similar station in the same region.

# The melting of snow and ice and air temperature

By the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, the general importance of air temperature for the melting of snow and ice was widely recognized (Hann, 1903) although few data were then available. Finsterwalder and Schunk (p. 82, 1887) assume "in the absence of direct observations" that ice ablation on a glacier depends on the length of the snow-free period and on the average temperature above the freezing point during that period. The product of these two factors is clearly related to our degree-day sum. Finsterwalder and Schunk (1887) made no connection but temperature sums above some critical threshold were already widely used in discussion of temperature control of vegetation (Hann, 1903).

The full history of degree-day methods in hydrology remains to be written but the relation between snowmelt runoff and degree-day sums was already well known to Wilson (1941) who tried to explain it in thermodynamic terms. Early workers appear to have compared snowmelt runoff from a whole basin with the degree-day sum at a particular site so the numerical value of any factor linking the two variables has no general significance and is not usually published. The degree-day approach is still widely used for estimating runoff from melting snow although, significantly, De Walle and Rango (2008) do not include values of the degree-day factor in their detailed table of Snow Runoff Model (SRM) applications and results for 112 case studies. The TS-variable developed by Hoinkes and Steinacker (1975) is also based on the degree-day concept and gives a reasonably high correlation with annual mass balance series but, again, the numerical value of the factor linking the TS-variable to the annual balance is not given.

Zingg (1951), de Quervain (1979) and Kuusisto (1984) estimate degree-day factors for seasonal snow by comparing data from snow stakes with air temperature data at the same site, and their degree-day factors can therefore be compared, see Hock (2003).

The key role of air temperature in variations of glacier mass balance may seem obvious to us today but Hoinkes (1955) explicitly downplayed the role of air temperature in controlling glacier melt. Slater (1927) may have been the first worker to measure ablation and air temperature at the same location. Leaving aside the crudity of his instruments, his result is equivalent to a degree-day factor for melting ice of 9.1 mm d<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>. From various glaciers in the former Soviet Union, Krenke and Khodakov (1966) suggest degree-day factors of 4.5 and 7 mm d<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for snow and ice

respectively. Orheim (1970) also calculated degree-day factors for melting ice over two years on a Norwegian glacier and found values of 6.1 and 6.5 mm  $d^{-1}$  K<sup>-1</sup>, which are not included in Hock (2003).

The measurement of daily melt on glaciers by Müller and Keeler (1969) in parallel with meteorological measurements was a significant development and allowed Braithwaite (1981) to model daily ablation in terms of air temperature and energy balance. He obtained an average degree-day factor of  $6.3\pm1.1$  mm d<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for the four series studied, equivalent to a total of 123 days of record. Daily measurements of ice melt were made over a number of summer seasons (1979-86) at two sites on the Greenland ice-sheet margin at Nordbogletscher (415 days) and Qamanârssûp sermia (512 days) (Braithwaite, 1995).

#### Variations in degree-day factors

There are substantial variations between degree-day factors at various sites on glaciers. For example, see extensive tables and discussion in Braithwaite (1995), Braithwaite and Zhang (2000), Hock (2003 and 2005), and especially some previously unpublished values from the high mountains of China in Zhang *et al.* (2006). These results are mainly based on melt data from stakes on glaciers but Braithwaite (2008) has also used a simple model to assess degree-day factor at the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) of 66 glaciers.

Degree-day factors are generally lower for snow and higher for ice (Table 1). Monthly estimates of degreeday factors for melting ice at Nordbogletscher and Qamanârssûp sermia (Braithwaite, 1995) describe time variations in degree-day factor. Similarly, time variations are illustrated by a 28-year series of snow melt data from Weissfluhjoch, Switzerland (de Quervain, 1979). Degree-day factors listed by Hock (2003) for both ice (32 sites) and snow (18 sites) illustrate variations between different locations and periods. Results from Braithwaite (2008) reflect different locations (66 glaciers) but also different methods based on either winter balance or "winter balance plus summer precipitation" from Ohmura *et al.* (1992).

From Table 1 it is clear that degree-day factors for ice and snow are not precise single values, even at the same place. Variations in degree-day factor in Table 1 are denoted by standard deviations but field data (Krenke and Khodakov, 1966) and analysis of the energy balance (Braithwaite, 1995) both suggest that very high degree-day factors for ice should only occur at low temperatures and not at random. The generally lower degree-day factor for melting snow compared with ice is mainly due to higher albedo which reduces the energy available for melting (Braithwaite, 1995), while time variations at the same locations presumably reflect differing weather conditions as expressed by variations in the surface energy balance.

Insofar as we can expect the different terms in the energy balance to vary geographically and temporally (Braithwaite, 1995 and Guðmundsson et al. 2009), we may expect some systematic variations in degree-day factor and there is some evidence for this. Zhang et al. (2006) claims a clear geographic variation in degreeday factor for ice from low values (2 to 3 mm  $d^{-1} K^{-1}$ ) in the relatively continental Tien Shan in NW China to high values (15 mm d<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) in the relatively maritime mountains of south China. Fausto et al (2009) have suggested different degree-day factors for ice over colder and warmer parts of the Greenland ice sheet, i.e. 15 and 7 mm d<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Huss and Bauder (2009) claim to detect multi-year variations in glacieraveraged degree-day factor for Swiss glaciers (roughly equal to the degree-day factor for snow?) which they explain in terms of secular variations in global radiation.

### Estimation of degree-day sums

The calculation of degree-day totals from raw temperature data is a trivial one of summing all the positive temperatures in a time series and a computer can do this easily. However, it would be very laborious to find and store long series of daily, or better subdaily, temperature data if all we want to do is to calculate monthly or annual sums. Braithwaite (1985) therefore suggested that monthly degree-day totals can be calculated from monthly mean temperature by assuming that temperature is normally distributed within the month with standard deviations of about  $\pm 2$ to  $\pm 4$  K. The advantage of this approach is that monthly mean temperatures are now more widely available in digital form for individual climate stations, or for cells in a gridded climatology like that of New *et al.* (1999).

Reeh (1991) extended the approach of Braithwaite (1985) by noting that monthly mean temperatures can be approximated by a sine curve around the annual mean temperature if the annual temperature range is prescribed. Temperatures at any particular time are then assumed to be Normally distributed around the sine curve. Carlov and Greve (2005) revisit the calculations of Braithwaite (1985) and Reeh (1991) and propose a much more efficient algorithm suitable for the many repeated calculations needed for long-term simulations of the Greenland ice sheet.

## **Degree-day models**

A degree-day model is one where snow and ice melt are calculated according to the degree-day method, for example as opposed to the energy-balance model, although extra procedures are needed to account for snow accumulation and refreezing of melt water. According to Hock (2003), degree-day models are widely used for four reasons: (1) wide availability of air temperature data, (2) relatively easy interpolation and forecasting possibilities for air temperature, (3) generally good model performance despite their simplicity and (4) computational simplicity.

The reported success of the degree-day approach to ice and snow melt at two sites in Greenland (Braithwaite and Olesen, 1989) inspired Reeh (1991) to further develop the model and test it with the limited amount of data from other sites in Greenland. Huybrechts *et al.* (1991) then used the degree-day model to calculate mass balance forcing for their model of ice dynamics for the whole Greenland ice sheet, assuming degreeday factors of 3 and 8 mm d<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for snow and ice respectively. Many of the current models of Greenland mass balance follow Huybrechts *et al.* (1991).

Laumann and Reeh (1993), Jóhannesson et al. (1995), Jóhannesson (1997), Marshall and Clarke (1999), Braithwaite and Zhang (2000), Braithwaite et al. (2002), De Woul and Hock (2005), Raper and Braithwaite (2006), Anderson et al. (2006), Braithwaite and Raper (2007), Liu et al. (2009), Shea et al. (2009), Hughes and Braithwaite (2008) and Rasmussen and Wenger (2009) use different variants of the degree-day model to calculate mass-balances of glaciers outside Greenland. The relevant degree-day factors are either found by tuning models onto field data or are prescribed. In general, modelled accumulation depends upon the degree-day factor for snow, and mass-balance sensitivity depends upon the degree-factor for ice (Braithwaite and Raper, 2007). Balance gradients near the ELA depend on both degree-day factors with higher gradient in the upper ablation area and lower gradient in the lower accumulation area (Braithwaite and Raper, 2007). This predicted nonlinearity of the balance gradient is in reasonable agreement with observations (Furbish and Andrews, 1984: Rea, 2009).

Many workers use a simpler approach than the degreeday model whereby glacier melt is assumed to be a function of summer mean temperature. With appropriate choice of averaging period, e.g. June-August, May-September or May-October, the summer mean temperature mainly represents the effects of above-freezing temperatures. For example, Krenke and Khodakov (1966) assume a power-law relation between melt and summer mean temperature and their equation was used in constructing the *World Atlas of Snow and Ice Resources* (Kotlyakov *et al.*, 1997). Other workers follow Ahlmann (1924) in using an exponential relation to link melt to summer mean temperature (Nesje and Dahl, 2000). As the degree-day model predicts a family of curves linking melt to summer mean temperature (Reeh, 1991: Braithwaite, 2008) these different approaches are not in serious conflict as long as it is accepted that there can be no single curve linking melt to summer mean temperature.

#### Summary and outlook

There is generally a relation between the melting of snow and ice and air temperature which can be modelled using degree-day methods. No doubt the relative merits of degree-day and energy-balance methods will continue to be discussed but more climate data from glaciers in different climatic regions should be collected using modern data loggers that can be left unattended for long periods. At the same time, running degree-day and energy models in parallel may also be a fruitful line for future work in trying to understand the possible variations in degree-day factor. In particular, the role of sublimation in the energy balance of very high mountains in the Andes and High Asia deserves further study as does the effect of debris cover.

Roger J. Braithwaite

#### Bibliography

Ahlmann, H. W. 1924. Le niveau de glaciation comme function de l'accumulation d'humidité sous forme solide. *Geografiska Annaler* 6, 223-272.

Anderson, B., W. Lawson, I. Owens and B. Goodsell. 2006. Past and future mass balance of 'Ka Roimata o Hine Hukatere' Franz Josef Glacier, New Zealand. *Journal of Glaciology* 52, 179, 597-607.

Braithwaite, R. J. 1981. On glacier energy balance, ablation, and air temperature. *Journal of Glaciology* 27, 97, 381-391.

Braithwaite, R. J. 1985. Calculation of degree-days for glacier-climate research. *Zeitschrift für Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie* 20, 1-8.

Braithwaite, R. J. 1995. Positive degree-day factors for ablation on the Greenland ice sheet studied by energybalance modelling. Journal of Glaciology 41(137), 153-160.

Braithwaite, R. J. 2008. Temperature and precipitation climate at the equilibrium-line altitude of glaciers expressed by the degree-day factor for melting snow. Journal of Glaciology 54, 186, 437-444.

Braithwaite, R. J. and O. B. Olesen, 1989. Calculations of glacier ablation from air temperature, West Greenland. In Oerlemans, J., ed. *Glacier fluctuations and climatic change*. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 219-233.

Braithwaite, R. J. and Y. Zhang. 2000. Sensitivity of mass balance of five Swiss glaciers to temperature changes assessed by tuning a degree-day model. Journal of Glaciology 46, 152, 7-14.

Braithwaite, R. J. and S. C. B. Raper. 2007. Glaciological conditions in seven contrasting regions estimated with the degree-day model. *Annals of Glaciology* 46, 297-302.

Braithwaite, R.J., Y. Zhang and S. C. B. Raper . 2002. Temperature sensitivity of the mass balance of mountain glaciers and ice caps as a climatological characteristic. *Zeitschrift für Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie* 38, 1, 35-61.

Carlov, R. and R. Greve. 2005. A semi-analytical solution or the positive degree-day model with stochastic temperature variations. *Journal of Glaciology* 51, 172, 173-175.

de Quervain, M. 1979. Schneedeckenablation und Gradtag im Versuchsfeld Weisfluhjoch. *Mitteilung VAW/ETH Zürich* 41, 215-232.

De Walle, D. R. and A. Rango. 2008. Principles of

snow hydrology. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

De Woul, M. and R. Hock, 2005. Static mass-balance sensitivity of Arctic glaciers and ice-caps using a degree-day approach. *Annals of Glaciology* 42, 217-224.

Fausto, R. S., A. P. Ahlstrøm, D. van As, C. E. Bøggild and S. J. Johnsen. 2009. A new present-day temperature parameterization for Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology* 55, 189, 95-105.

Finsterwalder, S. and H. Schunk, 1887. Der Suldenferner. Zeitschrift des Deutschen und Österreischischen Alpenvereins 18, 72-89.

Furbish, D. J. and J. T. Andrews. 1984. The use of hypsometry to indicate long-term stability and response to valley glaciers to changes in mass-transfer. *Journal of Glaciology* 30, 105, 199-211.

Guðmundsson, S. H. Björnsson, F. Pálsson and Hannes H. Haraldsson. 2009. Energy balance and degree-day models of summer ablation on the Langjökull ice cap, SW Iceland. Jökull, 59, 1-18.

Hann, J. 1903. *Handbook of climatology: Part 1. General Climatology*. New York: The MacMillan Company.

Hock, R. 2003. Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas. *Journal of Hydrology* 282, 1-4, 104-115.

Hock, R. 2005. Glacier melt: a review of processes and their modelling. *Progress in Physical Geography* 29, 3, 362-391.

Hoinkes, H. 1955. Measurements of ablation and heat balance on alpine glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology* 2, 17, 497-501.

Hoinkes, H. and H. Steinacker. 1975. Hydrometeorological implications of the mass balance of Hintereisferner, 1952-53 to 1968-69. Proceedings of the Snow and Ice Symposium, Moscow 1971. *IAHS*  Publication 104, 144-149.

Hughes, P. D. and R. J. Braithwaite. 2008. Application of a degree-day model to reconstruct Pleistocene glacial climates. *Quaternary Research* 69, 1, 110-116.

Huss, M and A. Bauder, 2009. 20<sup>th</sup>-Century climate change inferred from four long-term point observations of seasonal mass balance. *Annals of Glaciology* 50, 207-214.

Huybrechts, P., A. Letréguilly and N. Reeh. 1991. The Greenland ice sheet and greenhouse warming. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (*Global and Planetary Change Section*) 89, 399-412.

Jóhannesson, T. 1997: The response of two Icelandic glaciers to climatic warming computed with a degreeday glacier mass-balance model coupled to a dynamic glacier model. *Journal of Glaciology* 43, 144, 321-327.

Jóhannesson, T., O. Sigurdsson, T. Laumann and M. Kennett. 1995. Degree-day glacier mass balance modelling with applications to glaciers in Iceland, Norway and Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology* 41, 138, 345-358.

Kotlyakov, V. M., G. N. Golubev, N. N. Dreyer and V. I. Kravtsova. 1997. *World atlas of snow and ice resources*. Vol. 2. Moscow, Russian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Geography.

Krenke, A. N. and V. G. Khodakov. 1966. O svyazi poverkhnostnogo tayaniya lednikov s temperaturoy vozdukha [The relationship between surface ice melting and air temperature]. *Mater. Glyatsiol. Issled.* 12, 153-164. [In Russian with English summary]

Kuusisto, E. 1984. Snow accumulation and snowmelt in Finland. National Board on Waters, Finland. Publication of the Water Research Institute 55.

Laumann, T and N. Reeh. 1993. Sensitivity to climate change of the mass balance of glaciers in southern Norway. Journal of Glaciology 39, 133, 656-665.

Liu, S., Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang and Y. Ding 2009.

Estimation of glacier runoff and future trends in the Yangtze River, source region, China. *Journal of Glaciology* 55, 190, 353-362.

Marshall, S. J. and C. K. C. Clarke. 1999. Ice sheet inception: subgrid hypsometric parameterization of mass balance in an ice sheet model. *Climate Dynamics* 15, 533-550.

Müller, F. and C.M. Keeler. 1969. Errors in short-term ablation measurements on melting ice surfaces. *Journal of Glaciology* 8, 52, 91-105.

Nesje, A. and S. O. Dahl. 2000. *Glaciers and environmental change*. London, Arnold.

New, M., M. Hulme and P. Jones. 1999. Representing twentieth century space-time climate variability. I. Development of a 1961-1990 mean monthly terrestrial climatology. *Journal of Climate* 12, 3, 829-856.

Ohmura, A. P. Kasser and M. Funk. 1992. Climate at the equilibrium line of glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology* 38, 130, 397-411.

Orheim, O. 1970. Glaciological investigations of Stor Supphellebre, west-Norway. Norsk *Polarinstitut*. *Skrifter* 151.

Raper, S. C. B. and R. J. Braithwaite. 2006. Low sea level rise projections from mountain glaciers and icecaps under global warming. *Nature* 439, 7074, 311-313.

Rasmussen, L. A. and J. M. Wenger. 2009. Upper-air model of summer balance on Mount Rainier, USA. *Journal of Glaciology* 55, 192, 619-624.

Rea, B. R. 2009. Defining modern day Area-Altitude Balance Ratios (AABRs) and their use in glacierclimate reconstructions. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 3-4, 237-248.

Reeh, N. 1991. Parameterization of melt rate and surface temperature on the Greenland ice sheet. *Polarforschung* 59, 3, 113-128.

Shea, J. M., R. D. Moore and K. Stahl. 2009.

Derivation of melt factors from glacier mass-balance records in western Canada. *Journal of Glaciology* 55, 189, 123-130.

Slater, G. 1927. Studies on the Rhone Glacier, 1927: the relationship between the average air temperature and the rate of melting of the surface of the glacier. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 55, 385-393.

Wilson, W. T. 1941. An outline of the thermodynamics of snow-melt. *Transactions, American Geophysical Union* 41, 182-195.

Zhang, Y., S. Liu, C. Xie and Y. Ding. 2006. Observed degree-day factors and their spatial variation on glaciers in western China. *Annals of Glaciology* 43, 301-306.

Zingg, T. 1951. Beziehung zwischen Temperatur and Schmelzwasser und ihre Bedeutung für Niederschlagsund Abflussfragen. *IAHS Publication* 32, 266-269.

Location	Туре	Degree-day factor mm d <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>		
		Mean	St. devn.	Sample
Nordbogletscher	Glacier ice	6.9	±1.1	Nearly daily data for 14
Braithwaite (1995)				months
Qamanârssûp sermia	Glacier ice	7.8	±1.0	Nearly daily data for 21
Braithwaite, 1995)				months
Hock (2003)	Glacier ice	8.9	± 3.7	32 sites
Weissfluhjoch, Switzerland	Seasonal snow on	4.2	±1.0	28 melt seasons
De Quervain (1979)	land			
Hock (2003)	Snow on glaciers	5.1	± 2.2	18 sites
Braithwaite (2008) using data from				
Ohmura <i>et al</i> . (1992)	Snow at ELA	3.5	±1.4	66 glaciers
(1) Winter balance	Snow at ELA	4.6	±1.4	66 glaciers
(2) Winter balance plus summer	A			
precipitation	Snow at ELA	4.1	±1.5	$2 \times 66$ glaciers
(1) and (2) Combined				

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of degree-day factors for melting ice or snow (from Braithwaite, 2008).