



The University of Manchester Research

# **Displacement flows under elastic membranes. Part 1: Experiments and direct numerical simulations**

DOI: 10.1017/jfm.2015.590

### **Document Version**

Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

**Citation for published version (APA):** Pihler-Puzovic, D., Juel, A., Peng, G. G., Lister, J. R., & Heil, M. (2015). Displacement flows under elastic membranes. Part 1: Experiments and direct numerical simulations. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 784, 487-511. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2015.590

Published in:

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### **Takedown policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



## Displacement flows under elastic membranes. Part 1: Experiments and direct numerical simulations

# Draga Pihler-Puzović<sup>1</sup> †, Anne Juel<sup>1</sup>, Gunnar G. Peng<sup>3</sup> John R. Lister<sup>3</sup> and Matthias Heil<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Manchester Centre for Nonlinear Dynamics and School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Manchester Centre for Nonlinear Dynamics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

The injection of fluid into the narrow, liquid-filled gap between a rigid plate and an elastic membrane drives a displacement flow that is controlled by the competition between elastic and viscous forces. We study such flows using the canonical setup of an elasticwalled Hele-Shaw cell whose upper boundary is formed by an elastic sheet. We investigate both single- and two-phase displacement flows in which the localised injection of fluid at a constant flow rate is accommodated by the inflation of the sheet and the outward propagation of an axisymmetric front beyond which the cell remains approximately undeformed. We perform a direct comparison between quantitative experiments and numerical simulations of two theoretical models. The models couple the Föppl-von Kármán equations, which describe the deformation of the thin elastic membrane, to the equations describing the flow, which we model by (i) the Navier–Stokes equations or (ii) lubrication theory, respectively. We identify the dominant physical effects that control the system's behaviour and critically assess modelling assumptions that were made in previous studies. The insight gained from these studies is then used in Part 2 of this work where we formulate an improved lubrication model and develop an asymptotic description of the key phenomena.

#### 1. Introduction

The injection of fluid into the narrow, liquid-filled gap between a rigid plate and an elastic membrane drives a displacement flow that is controlled by the competition between elastic and viscous forces. If the membrane is very stiff, the fluid advances primarily into the gap whose width remains approximately constant; if the membrane is very flexible, the injected fluid is accommodated mainly by the inflation of the membrane in the vicinity of the injection point. The problem involves a two-way interaction between fluid and solid mechanics and may be affected by additional physical effects, such as gravity or (in the case of the injection of a different, immiscible fluid) interfacial tension.

Elastohydrodynamic interactions of this type arise in applications ranging from geophysical phenomena such as the formation of laccoliths (Michaut 2011; Lister *et al.* 2013) and gravity-driven surface lava flows under solidified crusts (Slim *et al.* 2009; Hewitt *et al.* 2015) to physiological processes. For instance, the formation and growth of blisters (Chopin *et al.* 2008) involves a competition between elastic and adhesive forces. The

† Email address for correspondence: draga.pihler-puzovic@manchester.ac.uk

mechanics of pulmonary airway reopening is governed by an interaction between viscous, capillary and elastic forces, within the more complex geometry of a network of collapsed tubes (Heap & Juel 2008; Heil & Hazel 2011). Recent work on the injection of an air bubble into an elastic-walled, liquid-filled Hele-Shaw cell showed that the well-known fingering instability that occurs when a gas displaces a viscous fluid in a rigid Hele-Shaw cell (Saffman & Taylor 1958; Paterson 1981; Homsy 1987; Chen 1989; Thomé *et al.* 1989; Miranda & Widom 1998) can be delayed or even suppressed through changes in cell geometry due to elastohydrodynamic interactions (Pihler-Puzović *et al.* 2012, 2013; Al-Housseiny *et al.* 2013). Related fluid–structure interaction problems include the peeling of lubricated flexible sheets from viscous substrates (McEwan & Taylor 1966; Hosoi & Mahadevan 2004) and suppression of ribbing instabilities by the use of elastomer-coated rolls; see, e.g., Carvalho & Scriven (1997, 1999).

In the present paper we study the canonical problem, shown schematically in figure 1, which has received much attention in recent years (Jensen *et al.* 2002; Grotberg & Jensen 2004; Michaut 2011; Pihler-Puzović *et al.* 2012, 2013; Al-Housseiny *et al.* 2013; Lister *et al.* 2013; Hewitt *et al.* 2015). A thin, uniform layer of viscous fluid is contained between a rigid plate and an elastic membrane which forms the upper boundary of the cell. Viscous fluid or gas is then injected at a constant flow rate through a central hole in the bottom plate. The injected fluid spreads radially while inflating the sheet. Experiments show that this process occurs via the outward propagation of an approximately axisymmetric front beyond which the sheet remains approximately undeformed and the fluid is at rest.

Experimental and asymptotic studies of single-phase displacement flows in this system indicate that the propagation speed of the front is controlled by the local dynamics in the so-called "peeling region" – the region near the front where the thickness of the fluid layer approaches its initial value (Lister *et al.* 2013; Hewitt *et al.* 2015). The radial velocity of the front is determined by the interaction between viscous and elastic forces and therefore depends on which of the elastic restoring forces acting in the membrane is dominant. If the sheet's deflection is small (relative to its thickness) its stiffness is dominated by bending stresses, whereas for larger deflections, self-induced in-plane stresses become dominant in the central blister. However, even in that case the peeling process can still be predominantly driven locally 'by bending' if the sheet is thicker than the initial fluid layer (Lister *et al.* 2013).

If the injected fluid is a gas, the position of the propagating front can be affected by the position of the interface between the two fluids. Surface tension acting at this interface contributes to the force balance at the peeling front and therefore potentially changes the spreading dynamics. Furthermore, as mentioned above, the gas-liquid interface can become unstable to non-axisymmetric fingering instabilities when the injection flow rate exceeds a certain threshold. Pihler-Puzović et al. (2012) were the first to study this two-phase flow problem experimentally. They measured the evolution of the position of the gas-liquid interface and studied the onset of the non-axisymmetric instabilities. Al-Housseiny et al. (2013) and Lister et al. (2013) subsequently developed theoretical models of axisymmetric spreading for this experimental system. Both studies described the fluid mechanics by lubrication theory and neglected the effects of gravity and capillary forces at the air-liquid interface. The studies differed in their assumptions about the membrane behaviour and about the role of the interface: Al-Housseiny et al. (2013) assumed that the membrane stiffness is dominated by bending effects and that the presence of the air-liquid interface affects the propagation dynamics; Lister et al. (2013) argued that the elastic restoring forces in the membrane are dominated by self-induced tension and that the interface only plays a minor role. Both studies found that their theoretical predictions for the evolution of the bubble radius agreed very well with Pihler-Puzović et al.'s (2012)

experimental measurements. Therefore, it is not clear from this comparison alone which of the two models is based on the correct physical assumptions.

The purpose of the present paper is to provide a much more detailed study of axisymmetric single- and two-phase flows in elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cells, using a combination of experiments and computations. We develop a complete theoretical model that is based on the coupled solution of the axisymmetric free-surface Navier–Stokes equations (including the effect of interfacial tension and gravity), and the Föppl–von Kármán equations – a system of equations that is capable of describing the deformation of thin elastic membranes in the bending- and tension-dominated regimes. We perform detailed direct comparisons between the computational results and experimental measurements, and then use the computations to identify the dominant mechanisms involved in the interaction between fluid and solid mechanics. We also contrast our results for singleand two-phase spreading with predictions from a simple lubrication-theory-based fluid model that formed the basis of most previous theoretical studies.

In Part 2 of this work (Peng *et al.* 2015) we focus more specifically on the role of interfacial tension and the capillary meniscus in the two-phase spreading problem. Using insight gained from the experiments and computations in the present paper, the simple lubrication model is developed to include wetting behaviour, and the corrected predictions give excellent agreement with experiments and computations. The model is then used as the basis for the development of detailed asymptotic analyses of the coupling between the meniscus, the fluid wedge ahead of the meniscus and the dynamics of the peeling region.

This paper is organised as follows. We start by describing the experimental setup in §2 and then introduce the theoretical/computational models in §3. Results are presented in §4 where we start by demonstrating in §4.1 that the Föppl–von Kármán equations provide an excellent description of the membrane's deformation over the range of deflections encountered in the fluid–structure interaction experiments. In §4.2 we provide a detailed analysis of the flow fields and membrane deformations in the two-phase fluid–structure interaction problem and demonstrate excellent agreement between the numerical and experimental results. In §4.2.2 we analyse the system's temporal evolution in terms of the membrane's deflection and the radius of the propagating front, and relate these to the scaling laws predicted by previous theoretical models. We also highlight important differences between the single- and two-phase flows and show that, for the parameter regime considered in our experiments, gravity plays an important role in the former problem.

#### 2. Experiments

A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in figure 1 (a). A 15 mm thick float-glass plate, which was accurately levelled to within 0.1°, formed the rigid bottom boundary of the cell. A brass cylinder with a diameter of 19 mm, which appears as the central black circle in figure 1 (b), was drilled with an inlet hole of radius  $R_{\text{inlet}} = 1.14 \text{ mm}$ and mounted flush at the centre of the float-glass plate to enable the injection of fluids. Elastic sheets of size  $400 \times 400 \text{ mm}^2$ , made from different materials, were used to form the upper boundary of the cell. We used latex sheets (Supatex) of thickness  $d = 0.34 \pm 0.01$ mm and Poisson's ratio  $\nu = 0.5$ , and polypropylene films (Film Products Ltd) of thickness  $d = 0.030 \pm 0.001$  mm, for which a Poisson's ratio of  $\nu = 0.44$  was measured using extensometry. The Young's modulus, E, of the materials was determined by matching experiments and numerical simulations for the inflation of the elastic sheet by spatially uniform pressure; see §4.1 for details. The top and bottom boundaries of the cell were



FIGURE 1. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus. (b) Top view of the instantaneous interfacial pattern in the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with a latex membrane in which an air bubble, injected at  $\dot{V} = 50 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ , displaces silicone oil. (c) The corresponding shape of the air-liquid interface determined by our image analysis procedure.

separated by a spacer of thickness  $h_0 = 0.56 \pm 0.01$  mm with a circular cutout of radius  $R_{\text{cell}} = 18$  cm.

All experiments were performed in a temperature-controlled laboratory at  $20 \pm 1$  °C. Prior to each experiment, viscous fluid was injected into the cell until the fluid occupied a circular region of radius  $R_{\text{outer}} < R_{\text{cell}}$ , thus leaving an air gap between the fluid and the outer edge of the cell. During the experiments the air in that gap was able to escape freely through the unsealed sidewalls of the cell. While filling the cell, a heavy glass plate was placed on top of the elastic sheet to suppress any vertical displacement of the membrane. This ensured that the experiments were started from a configuration in which the cell had a uniform depth,  $h_0$ , set by the thickness of the spacer separating the top and bottom boundaries. We confirmed that changes to the initial outer radius of the viscous fluid layer,  $R_{\text{outer}}$ , between 15 and 17 cm had no effect on the results.

The experiments with latex sheets were performed with silicone oil (Basildon Chemicals Ltd; viscosity  $\mu = 0.9624$  kg.m<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, density  $\rho = 961$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup> and surface tension  $\gamma = 0.021$  N.m<sup>-1</sup>) which wets both glass and latex surfaces. When performing experiments with polypropylene sheets significant electrostatic forces developed and resulted in the distortion of the membrane when silicone oil was used as the working fluid. We therefore performed these experiments with a mixture of glycerol and water whose conductive properties prevented the build-up of electric charges. A mixture containing 10% purified water by volume (resulting in a fluid with viscosity  $\mu = 0.305$  kg.m<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, density  $\rho = 1235.1$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup> and surface tension  $\gamma = 0.065$  N.m<sup>-1</sup>) was used. While this mixture only partially wets the polypropylene sheet (we measured a static contact angle of  $\theta = 78\pm5^{\circ}$ ),



FIGURE 2. (a) Superposition of two raw experimental images during sheet deflection measurements in the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with a latex sheet, showing a side view of the membrane prior to the start of the experiment at t = 0 s, and the inflated membrane at t = 10 s for  $\dot{V} = 150$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup>. Only the region of large sheet deflection is shown. (b) An example of fitting the Gaussian profile  $[a \exp(-(\text{pixels}-b)^2/c^2)]$  to the intensity of the deflected and reference lines at the  $650^{th}$  pixel along the image length, indicated by the vertical white line in (a).

the expanding bubble deposited a continuous film of viscous fluid on the cell boundaries and dewetting was only observed on timescales longer than that of a typical experiment.

For single-phase experiments, which were performed with the latex membrane only, silicone oil was injected with a KD Scientific syringe pump (KDS120) at constant volumetric flow rates in the range  $\dot{V} = 0.5 - 10 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ . The peak flow rate was set by the maximum power of the syringe pump.

For the two-phase experiments a small circular air bubble of radius  $R_{\rm init} \approx 5 \text{ mm}$ was injected into the viscous fluid layer through the inlet and allowed to relax before starting the experiment by injecting nitrogen from a compressed gas cylinder. The flow rate was regulated manually by a fine needle value in the range  $V = 50 - 300 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ . We monitored V throughout the experiment using a mass airflow meter (Red-Y Smart Meter PCU1000, Icenta Controls Ltd) and found it to remain constant to within 0.5%of the set value. The maximum and minimum flow rate in these experiments was set by the system's susceptibility to non-axisymmetric instabilities. For  $V > 300 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ the system was found to be unstable to non-axisymmetric fingering instabilities studied earlier by Pihler-Puzović et al. (2012, 2013); for  $V < 50 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ , the air-liquid interface remained circular but drifted slowly across the cell in a rigid-body mode (with unit azimuthal wavenumber). This latter instability is due to the finite extent of the Hele-Shaw cell, and is always present for rigid-walled cells, even when the flow rate is so small that all other non-axisymmetric modes are suppressed (Pihler-Puzović et al. 2013). At larger flow rates, the geometry of the elastic-walled cell is drastically different, and this mode is no longer observed to be unstable.

The shape of the air-liquid interface was monitored by recording back-lit images of the advancing interface with a CCD camera (JVC KY-F1030, 1360 x 1024 pixels) at a rate of 7.5 frames per second. The recorded images were then processed using edge detection routines in MatLab 2013a (see figures 1 (b, c)) in combination with the Canny filter to extract the circumferentially averaged position of the interface, R(t), (measured relative to the point of injection O) as a function of time t. All experiments were performed at least six times for each set of control parameters.

In both the two-phase and the single-phase flow experiments we also recorded the deformation of the elastic membrane. This was particularly important for the latter, as there was no interface in the single-phase flow experiments. These measurements were challenging because of the large aspect ratio of the cell (the initial ratio of the cell depth  $h_0$  to the outer radius of the fluid layer  $R_{outer}$  was  $\approx 0.004$ ). The deformation of the elastic membrane was measured by projecting a line onto the membrane using a video projector (with all optical corrections turned off), positioned vertically above the cell. Images of the deforming line were captured with a CMOS camera (Dalsa Genie TS-



FIGURE 3. Radial cross-section of the elastic Hele-Shaw cell in (a) the Stokes model, and (b) the lubrication model.

M3500, 3520 x 2200 pixels, 0.2 - 5 frames per second) oriented at an angle of  $\theta = 31^{\circ}$  to the horizontal. The sheet deflection was then determined by reference to the position of the line on the undeformed membrane (as illustrated in figure 2 (a)), and rescaled by a trigonometric factor of  $1/\cos\theta$ . The resolution of the raw images was 40  $\mu$ m per pixel, while the projected line was itself approximately  $40 \pm 5$  pixels wide. This led to a millimetric resolution of the sheet's vertical deflection  $\zeta$ , which was typically of the order millimetres itself. In order to improve the spatial resolution, we fitted a Gaussian profile to the light intensity (Lister *et al.* 2013) to locate the point of maximum intensity. This resulted in an improvement in the resolution of  $\zeta$  to a sub-pixel accuracy of  $\approx 10 \ \mu$ m, as illustrated in figure 2 (b). The accuracy of the displacement measurements was further improved through averaging the results over six experiments for each set of parameter values.

#### 3. Theoretical models

The theoretical analysis of flow in the elastic Hele-Shaw cell requires the coupled solution of equations that describe (i) the flow of the viscous fluid in the moving domain  $\Omega_{\rm F}$  that is bounded by the deforming membrane and, in the case of two-phase flow, the air-liquid interface, and (ii) the deformation of the elastic membrane in response to the traction that the fluid exerts onto it.

#### 3.1. Fluid equations

#### 3.1.1. Navier–Stokes equation

We describe the flow of the viscous fluid by the axisymmetric Navier–Stokes equations

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + u_r\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + u_z\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \mu\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}\right) - \frac{u_r}{r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial z^2}\right),\tag{3.1}$$

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial t} + u_r\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} + u_z\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \mu\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial z^2}\right) - \rho g, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(ru_r) + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0.$$
(3.3)

where p = p(r, z, t) is the pressure,  $u_r = u_r(r, z, t)$  and  $u_z = u_z(r, z, t)$  are the radial and vertical components of the velocity **u**, respectively, and g is the gravitational acceleration, acting in the negative z direction. These equations have to be solved in the evolving fluid domain  $\Omega_{\rm F}$ . The fluid is subject to a no-slip condition at the top and bottom boundaries. Assuming that the membrane deformation is dominated by its vertical displacement  $\zeta$  (see the discussion of the membrane model in §3.2) this implies that

$$u_r = 0$$
 and  $u_z = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}$  at  $z = h_0 + \zeta(r, t)$ , (3.4)

and

$$u_r = u_z = 0$$
 at  $z = 0$ ,  $r > R_{\text{inlet}}$ . (3.5)

For the single-phase problem we impose a quadratic (Poiseuille) velocity profile at the inlet,

$$u_r = 0$$
 and  $u_z = \frac{2V}{\pi R_{\text{inlet}}^4} (R_{\text{inlet}}^2 - r^2)$  at  $z = -L_{\text{inlet}}, r \leq R_{\text{inlet}},$  (3.6)

and impose no slip,  $u_r = u_z = 0$ , on the walls of the inlet pipe,  $r = R_{\text{inlet}}, z < 0$ .

For the two-phase problem, we describe the position of the air-liquid interface  $\partial \Omega_{\rm BF}$ by a vector  $\mathbf{R}_{\rm in}(s,t)$ , parametrised by a surface coordinate s, as shown in figure 3 (a), and assume that the air-liquid interface remains pinned to the inlet so that  $\mathbf{R}_{\rm in}(s = 0, t) = R_{\rm inlet} \mathbf{e}_r$ . The kinematic boundary condition that links the fluid velocity to the motion of the air-liquid interface is then given by

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\rm in}}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\rm BF}, \tag{3.7}$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to  $\partial \Omega_{\rm BF}$  (directed out of the fluid). This condition is augmented by the dynamic boundary condition

$$\left(-p + \mu \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T\right)\right) \mathbf{n} + \gamma \kappa \mathbf{n} = -p_b \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\rm BF}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\kappa$  is the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\partial \Omega_{\rm BF}$  and  $p_b$  the pressure in the expanding bubble. For simplicity we truncate the fluid domain at  $r = R_{\rm outer}$  where we impose purely radial outflow and apply a radial traction that is consistent with a hydrostatic pressure distribution,

$$u_z = 0$$
 and  $-p + 2\mu \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} = \rho g(z - h)$  at  $r = R_{\text{outer}}$ , (3.9)

where the reference pressure has been chosen such that for zero flow and zero fluid pressure, the membrane (which is subject to zero external pressure; see figure 3) is in equilibrium. Finally, we use the integral volume conservation equation for the bubble volume,  $V_{\rm B}(t)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rm B}} \mathrm{d}V = V_{\rm B}(t=0) + \dot{V}t, \qquad (3.10)$$

as the equation that determines the spatially uniform, time-varying bubble pressure  $p_b(t)$ .

#### 3.1.2. Lubrication theory model

Since the aspect ratio of the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cells is small  $(h_0/R_{outer} \ll 1)$ , most previous studies (e.g. Michaut (2011); Al-Housseiny *et al.* (2013); Lister *et al.* (2013); Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2013); Hewitt *et al.* (2015)) described the fluid flow by the Reynolds lubrication equation (Reynolds 1886),

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{rh^3}{12\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\bar{p} + \rho g h) \right), \qquad (3.11)$$

where  $h(r,t) = \zeta(r,t) + h_0$  is the depth of the cell, and  $\bar{p}(r,t)$  is the component of the depth-averaged fluid pressure that excludes the contribution from the hydrostatic pressure.

We initially assume that in the two-phase problem the fluid films that are deposited on the upper and lower cell boundaries by the expanding bubble are sufficiently thin to be neglected, as shown in figure 3 (b). This assumption will be re-examined in Part 2. The evolution of the thickness-averaged radius of the air-liquid interface, R(t), is then governed by the kinematic boundary condition

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \bar{u}_r|_{r=R(t)},\tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\bar{u}_r = -\frac{h^2}{12\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\bar{p} + \rho g h) \tag{3.13}$$

is the depth-averaged radial fluid velocity. To evaluate the dynamic boundary condition (3.8) we approximate the transverse component of the curvature as 2/h and neglect the viscous normal stresses to obtain

$$\bar{p} = p_b(t) - \gamma \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{2}{h}\right)$$
 at  $r = R(t)$ . (3.14)

Within the framework of lubrication theory, the traction boundary condition at the outer boundary (equation (3.9)) corresponds to

$$\bar{p} = 0$$
 at  $r = R_{\text{outer}}$ , (3.15)

while the mass conservation equation (3.10) becomes

$$2\pi \int_{0}^{R_{\text{outer}}} h(r,t) \ r \ \mathrm{d}r = \pi h_0 R_{\text{outer}}^2 + \dot{V}t.$$
(3.16)

#### 3.2. Membrane equations

In the experiments, the vertical deflection of the membrane  $\zeta$  in response to the fluid pressure is much larger than its thickness d. This suggests that self-induced in-plane stresses make an important contribution to the membrane's elastic response. We therefore used the Föppl–von Kármán equations (Landau & Lifshitz 1970)

$$\frac{Ed^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial r}\right]\right]\right] - \frac{d}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial r}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}\right] = P,$$
(3.17)

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}\right]\right]\right] + \frac{E}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial r}\right)^{2}\right] = 0$$
(3.18)

to describe the deformation of the membrane in response to the pressure P exerted by the fluid.  $\phi$  is an Airy stress function for the non-zero components of the in-plane stress tensor such that  $\sigma_{rr} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}$  and  $\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2}$ . In the experiments the sheet was not subjected to any in-plane pre-stress, rested freely on the fluid layer, and remained flat and undeformed at large distances from the injection point. This motivates the boundary conditions

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial r} = \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{at } r = R_{\text{outer}}.$$
(3.19)

The pressure P in equation (3.17) is the pressure acting on the membrane – either the Navier–Stokes pressure, evaluated at the boundary, p(r, z = h(r, t)); the lubrication pressure  $\bar{p}$ ; or for the two-phase lubrication model

$$P = \begin{cases} p_b & \text{if } r < R(t), \\ \bar{p} & \text{if } r \ge R(t). \end{cases}$$
(3.20)

#### 3.3. Coupled solution

The governing equations for the Navier–Stokes- and lubrication-theory-based models were solved numerically using a fully-coupled discretisation of the relevant fluid and solid equations. The Navier–Stokes-based model was implemented in oomph-lib (Heil & Hazel 2006) by discretising the weak form of the momentum and continuity equations (3.1)-(3.3) in their arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) form on an unstructured moving mesh, using six-noded triangular Taylor-Hood finite elements (with continuous, piecewise linear and quadratic basis functions for the pressure and velocity components, respectively). The mesh was generated using Shewchuk's (1996) unstructured mesh generator, Triangle. The implicit, second-order accurate, adaptive BDF2 scheme was employed to discretise all time-derivatives. The dynamic boundary condition (3.8) for the twophase flow problem was incorporated via the boundary integral that appears naturally in the weak form of the Navier–Stokes equations. The mesh deformation in response to the motion of the bounding membrane (and, in the two-phase flow problem, the displacement of the air-liquid interface) was determined by treating the fluid mesh as an elastic solid whose deformation is determined by the equations of large-displacement elasticity. Lagrange multipliers were used to enforce the boundary displacements such that the normal motion of the air-liquid interface satisfied the kinematic boundary condition (3.7) and that nodes that are located on the elastic membrane were displaced vertically to remain in contact with the membrane. The fluid domain was re-meshed after every few timesteps to avoid the excessive distortion of elements. The size of the elements in the new mesh was determined by the Z2 error estimator (Zienkiewicz & Zhu 1992) and the solution was transferred to the new mesh by projection. In the interest of brevity we omit details but refer to Hazel et al. (2012), where an equivalent discretisation of the axisymmetric free-surface Navier–Stokes equations was used in a different problem. While **Triangle** is able to generate high-quality meshes throughout the fluid domain, a technical difficulty arises in the two-phase flow problem in the region near the inlet where the free surface is pinned at  $(r, z) = (R_{inlet}, 0)$ . At large times, the liquid film deposited on the lower wall becomes very thin and almost parallel to the wall (see figure 5). As a result the fluid domain terminates in a very shallow angle. Since such regions can only be meshed with strongly distorted elements, we surrounded the inlet by a shallow groove (which is just about visible in the inset in figure 5) whose presence had no effect on the solution but allowed us to maintain the mesh quality throughout the fluid domain. The Föppl-von Kármán equations were discretised by a mixed finite-element method in which we approximated the vertical displacements,  $\zeta$ , the Airy stress functions  $\phi$ , and their respective Laplacians by piecewise quadratic basis functions, defined on threenoded, one-dimensional elements. Again, we omit details but refer to Pihler-Puzović et al. (2013) for a more detailed discussion of the implementation. The fully-coupled, implicit discretisation of the fluid and solid equations produces a large system of nonlinear algebraic equations at each timestep. This system was solved by Newton's method, using SuperLU (Demmel *et al.* 1999) as the linear solver.

The lubrication-theory model was discretised by a second-order accurate finitedifference scheme and implemented in MatLab; see Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2013) for details. The inflation of the membrane under constant pressure, discussed in §4.1 below, was also solved using the same finite-difference discretisation in MatLab.



FIGURE 4. (a) Vertical displacement of the latex sheet at the centre of the cell,  $\zeta(r=0)$ , as a function of the spatially uniform pressure P, obtained experimentally (circles), by solving the Föppl–von Kármán equations (solid line) and by solving the linear bending equations (dashed line). (b) The spatial variation of the sheet's vertical displacement across the latex cell for two different pressures P = 70 Pa and P = 230 Pa, obtained experimentally (circles) and by solving the Föppl–von Kármán equations (solid lines).

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Membrane inflation

Before analysing the fully-coupled fluid-structure interaction problem, we wish to assess how well the Föppl-von Kármán equations describe the elastic deformation of the membrane. For this purpose we changed the experimental setup slightly and clamped the elastic sheet to the bottom plate using a thick-walled metal ring of radius  $R_{\rm cell} = 8$  cm. The sheet was then inflated slowly by injecting air. We monitored the difference Pbetween the pressure inside the cell and the atmospheric pressure with an ultralow pressure sensor (pressure range  $\pm 625$  Pa, Honeywell), calibrated with a U-tube manometer filled with water over its entire range of operation. Figure 4 (a) illustrates the loaddisplacement characteristics for the latex sheet by plotting the pressure P as a function of the sheet's vertical displacement at the centre,  $\zeta(r=0)$ , while figure 4 (b) shows the spatial variation of the sheet's vertical displacement across the cell for two different pressures. The symbols represent experimental measurements, with error bars indicating the standard error of the mean value over five experiments. The solid lines show the results obtained from the numerical solution of the Föppl-von Kármán equations for a Young's modulus of E = 2.1 MPa. They are in excellent agreement with the experimental measurements over the whole range of displacements encountered in the fluid-structure interaction experiments presented below. In the case of the polypropylene sheet, we obtained a similar level of agreement for a Young's modulus of E = 3.7 GPa. This gives us confidence that the Föppl-von Kármán equations provide a good description of the membrane's elastic behaviour in the experiments reported in this paper.

To assess the importance of the self-induced in-plane stresses relative to the sheet's bending stiffness the thick dashed line in figure 4 (a) shows a plot of the load-displacement curve corresponding to a linear bending model (obtained by setting  $\phi = 0$  in equations (3.17) and (3.18)). The comparison between the two curves demonstrates that the membrane's elastic response is dominated by the self-induced in-plane stresses once the sheet's deflection in the centre exceeds a value of  $\approx 1.5$  mm, as expected when  $h \gg d$ . We note that in the fluid-structure interaction experiments shown in the next section (and also in the experiments reported in our earlier paper, Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2012)) the membrane was subjected to much larger deformations, suggesting that self-induced

tensions must be represented in any theoretical model. These measurements thus support the membrane-modelling assumptions of Lister *et al.* (2013) over those of Al-Housseiny *et al.* (2013).

#### 4.2. Fluid-structure interaction

Next, we consider the fully coupled fluid–structure interaction problem in which the gap under the membrane is initially filled with viscous fluid.

#### 4.2.1. The two-phase flow

Figure 5 illustrates the evolution of the fluid domain following the start of the gas injection at a constant rate of  $\dot{V} = 150 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ , using results from the Navier–Stokes simulations.

Initially the bubble expands uniformly in all directions and causes only a modest, localised inflation of the membrane near the centre of the cell. Once the size of the bubble becomes comparable to the cell depth, the bubble begins to expand predominantly in the radial direction while depositing a static film of viscous fluid on the upper and lower cell boundaries. The membrane continues to inflate slowly while the bubble expands, "peeling" apart the cell boundaries in a manner that is reminiscent of the behaviour observed in 2D (and 3D) studies of pulmonary airway reopening (Gaver III et al. 1996; Heil 2001; Jensen et al. 2002; Hazel & Heil 2003; Grotberg & Jensen 2004; Juel & Heap 2007; Heap & Juel 2008; Hazel & Heil 2008; Heil & Hazel 2011). Note in particular the minimum in the fluid pressure ahead of the bubble tip which creates a local minimum in the cell depth (sometimes referred to as a "neck" in the airway reopening literature), in a manner similar to the behaviour observed in the peeling of an inextensible flexible tape (McEwan & Taylor 1966) and in peeling of a thick sheet by bending stresses (Lister et al. 2013). Careful inspection of the displacement field shows that this "neck" is in fact the first minimum of a decaying oscillatory displacement pattern ahead of the bubble tip which we will analyse in more detail below. The vertical lines in figure 5 indicate the bubble radius predicted by the lubrication theory model discussed in  $\S3.1.2$ . Since this model ignores the presence of the fluid films that are deposited on the upper and lower cell boundaries, it significantly under-estimates the bubble radius. We will return to this issue in Part 2.

Figure 6 shows a direct comparison between the computational predictions and experimental measurements by plotting the shape of the membrane and the position of the air-liquid interface 4 and 10 s after the start of the injection, respectively. The vertical lines in figure 6 indicate the positions of the bubble radius, R(t), found in the experiments and predicted by the lubrication model. The agreement between the different sets of results is very good, with the computational predictions for the membrane position obtained from the Navier–Stokes computations generally being close to (or even within) the experimental error bars. The most significant discrepancy arises in the region just ahead of the bubble tip where the membrane is bent less strongly in the experiments. Possibly as a result, the amplitude of the wave pattern ahead of the bubble tip is much smaller (and, in fact, barely visible) in the experimental data in figure 6. In figure 7 we therefore show an enlargement of the wall displacement field in the vicinity of the bubble tip to demonstrate that the wave pattern is, in fact, present in all data sets. Its key characteristics, namely the wavelength (judged by a half-wavelength of approximately 7 mm between successive peaks) and its decay rate (estimated from the reduction in the amplitude of the successive peaks to be  $\approx 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ) are in excellent agreement.

A curious feature of figures 6 and 7 is that the membrane shapes predicted by the lubrication and Navier–Stokes models agree extremely well, despite the fact that the



FIGURE 5. Instantaneous cross-sectional profiles of the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell and the corresponding pressure fields during the two-phase displacement flow under the latex sheet for  $\dot{V} = 150 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$  at t equal to (a) 0 s, (b) 0.0001 s, (c) 0.005 s, (d) 0.1 s and (e) 1 s, computed using the Navier–Stokes model. The inset enumerated as  $(\tilde{a})-(\tilde{c})$  shows the details of the profiles from (a)–(c) in the bubble region. The instantaneous positions of the interface computed using the lubrication model are indicated with the dashed lines.



FIGURE 6. Instantaneous cross-sectional profiles of the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell during the two-phase displacement flow under the latex sheet for  $\dot{V} = 150 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$  at t equal to 4 s (lower curves) and 10 s (upper curves), found experimentally (discrete data with error bars), computed using the Navier–Stokes model (solid lines) and the lubrication model (dashed lines). The experimental points were obtained by averaging the data from six different experimental runs with the error bars indicating the standard error of the measured mean values.

models' predictions for the radius of the air-liquid interface differ significantly; see figure 6 (and also figure 9, below). To investigate the reason for this agreement, figure 8 compares the instantaneous fluid pressure distribution acting on the membrane at t = 10 s and predicted by the two models. In both models the fluid is subject to the same boundary condition at  $r = R_{\text{outer}}$  where the fluid pressure is set to zero. Lubrication theory can be expected to provide a good description of the pressure distribution in the region ahead of the bubble tip where the cell depth and its radial derivative are small; the agreement between the two models is indeed very good in this region. A noticeable discrepancy arises in the vicinity of the bubble tip. There the Navier–Stokes model predicts a continuous increase in the fluid pressure which arises through a combination of capillary effects and the viscous stresses at the air-liquid interface, as well as in the pressure gradient in the bulk required to redirect the fluid into the thin static liquid films that are deposited on the cell boundaries. Within these films the fluid pressure rapidly approaches a constant value, close to the bubble pressure. Conversely, in the lubrication model, the pressure gradient decreases as we approach the air-liquid interface because the increase in cell depth reduces the flow resistance; see equation (3.13). At r = R the capillary pressure jump (from equation (3.14)) then changes the fluid pressure discontinuously to the spatially constant bubble pressure in the region r < R. Figure 8 shows that the good overall agreement between the two models is at least partly due to a fortuitous cancellation of errors - the capillary pressure jump alone, applied at the wrong (smaller) radius results in approximately the same final bubble pressure as that predicted by the full Navier–Stokes model. Figure 7 shows that the predicted locations of the peeling wave in the lubrication and Navier–Stokes models are almost exactly the same even though the bubble radii are different, which supports the argument of Lister *et al.* (2013) that spread is largely controlled by the dynamics of peeling independent of the air-liquid interface.



FIGURE 7. The scaled instantaneous profiles from the experiments (left column) and the numerical simulations using the Navier–Stokes (solid lines, right column) and lubrication (dashed lines, right column) models. The profiles correspond to the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with the latex sheet from figure 6 at 2 s, 4 s, 6 s, 8 s and 10 s (top to bottom) from the start of the air injection. As in figure 6, the experimental points were obtained by averaging the data from six different experimental runs with the error bars indicating the standard error of the measured mean values.

Figure 9 shows the evolution of the bubble radius for the largest and smallest injection flow rates imposed in the experiments,  $\dot{V} = 50 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$  and  $\dot{V} = 300 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$ , respectively. The agreement between the Navier–Stokes simulations and the experimental measurements is excellent throughout, with a maximum discrepancy of 3% over the whole range of experimental measurements which were terminated when the bubble reached a radius of  $R_{\text{max}} = 70 \text{ mm}$ . As already noticed above, lubrication theory systematically underestimates the bubble radius, with the discrepancy to the experimental measurements increasing with an increase in the injection flow rate. This is because at larger flow rates (larger capillary numbers) the thickness of the films deposited on the cell walls increases, making the error in the mass conservation equation (3.16), which ignores the presence of these films, increasingly significant.

#### 4.2.2. Scaling laws and the relation between single- and two-phase flows

Figure 10 (a) shows a log-log plot of the interfacial position (using data from the experiments with latex membranes and the corresponding Navier-Stokes simulations) for a much wider range of injection flow rates. Figure 11 shows the same comparison for the experiments with the much thinner but more rigid polypropylene sheets, whose stretching-to-bending ratio  $12(1-\nu^2)(h/d)^2$  is approximately 100 times larger than that



FIGURE 8. The instantaneous pressure P at t = 10 s in the two-phase flow for  $\dot{V} = 150$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup> under the latex membrane, computed using the Navier–Stokes and the lubrication models. The filled circle and squares show the position of the bubble tip in the Navier–Stokes and lubrication theory models, respectively.

of the latex sheets. This implies that at comparable deformations the self-induced tension in the polypropylene sheets is expected to make an even more significant contribution to the membrane's elastic forces than in the experiments with the latex sheets.

Figures 10 (a) and 11 show that in both sets of experiments which were performed with fluids and membranes of vastly different properties, log-log plots of the bubble radius as a function of time are approximately straight lines, suggesting a power-law behaviour of the form  $R(t) \sim t^{\alpha}$ . A curve fit to the experimental data shows that the power-law exponent  $\alpha$  varies slightly between the two sets of experiments ( $\alpha = 0.368 \pm 0.005$  and  $0.387 \pm 0.004$ for the latex and polypropylene sheets, respectively, where the error corresponds to the standard deviation over six and four least-square power-law fits to the experimental data in figures 10 (a) and 11, respectively; the difference between these two values is significant when compared with the experimental and fitting errors). Motivated by this observation we re-examined the computational data in figure 3 (c) of Pihler-Puzović et al. (2013) that shows the evolution of the bubble radius as a function of time for various values of the elastic modulus. When re-plotted on log-log axes this data also displays a power-law behaviour, with the power-law exponent again depending on the properties of the elastic membrane. Specifically, an increase in Young's modulus (while keeping all other parameters constant) is found to cause an increase in  $\alpha$ . (We note that if Young's modulus is increased to such an extent that the membrane becomes effectively rigid,  $\alpha$ will approach 1/2, since for a constant injection flow rate the bubble radius must vary



FIGURE 9. The time evolution of the interface in the two-phase displacement flow in the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with the latex sheet for  $\dot{V} = 50$  ml/min (lower set of data) and  $\dot{V} = 300$  ml/min (higher set of data). The discrete points were obtained experimentally (the means and error bars were determined as described in the caption to figure 6 and §2), while the lines correspond to predictions of different models as indicated in the plot.

like  $R(t) \sim t^{1/2}$  to conserve mass.) The variations in  $\alpha$  in figures 10 (a) and 11 are small, however, and show that the behaviour is close to  $R(t) \sim t^{3/8}$  for both sets of experiments. The evolution of the cell depth (which was only measured in the experiments with the latex sheet; see §2) closely follows a power law of the form  $h(r = 0, t) \sim t^{1/4}$ ; see figure 10 (b).

These power laws agree with those predicted by Lister et al.'s (2013) asymptotic analyses of the tension-dominated "peeling-by-pulling" problem. Lister et al. (2013) argued that the same spreading laws should arise in both the single- and two-phase problems on the grounds that the rate of spread is controlled by the viscous fluid in the peeling region so that the details of the flow in the central region are irrelevant. Given the excellent agreement between experiment, computations and asymptotic predictions in the two-phase problem, one might therefore expect Lister *et al.*'s (2013) predictions to do equally well (or better) when applied to the simpler single-phase problem. However, somewhat surprisingly, figure 12 indicates that this is not the case. The figure shows experimental measurements of the depth of the viscous fluid layer at the centre of the cell, h(r=0,t), for the case when silicone oil is injected at a constant flow rate of V=1 $ml.min^{-1}$  into a cell that is bounded by a latex sheet. The experimental measurements are in excellent agreement with the computational predictions based on the full Navier-Stokes model and lubrication theory, but neither data set follows the power-law behaviour observed for the two-phase flow. Furthermore, the agreement between the experiments and the theoretical calculations remains excellent over the whole range of injection rates accessible experimentally (figure 13).

The main reason for this discrepancy is evident from the second set of computational results shown in figure 12. The thinner lines show the results obtained from the Navier–Stokes and lubrication models if gravity (which is not included in Lister *et al.*'s (2013) analysis of tension-driven spreading) is neglected by setting g = 0 in (3.2), (3.9), (3.11)



FIGURE 10. The time evolution on a log-log scale of (a) the interface radius and (b) the cell depth at r = 0 in the two-phase displacement flow in the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with the latex sheet for a range of injection rates, obtained experimentally (discrete points obtained by averaging the data from six different experimental runs with error bars indicating the standard error) and using the Navier–Stokes model (solid lines).



FIGURE 11. The time evolution on a log–log scale of the interface radius in the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with the polypropylene sheet for a range of injection rates, obtained experimentally (discrete points found as in figure 10) and using the Navier–Stokes model (solid lines).



FIGURE 12. The time evolution of the cell depth at r = 0 on a log–log scale in the single-phase displacement flow for  $\dot{V} = 1 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$  under the latex sheet, obtained experimentally (see §2 and the caption to figure 6 for details) and using the Navier–Stokes- and lubrication-theory-based models with and without the contribution from gravity.

and (3.13). In this case we recover the power-law behaviour,  $h(r = 0, t) \sim t^{1/4}$ , predicted by the theory. This suggests that gravitational slumping of the central bulge, driven by the radial gradient of the hydrostatic pressure, plays a much more important role in the single-phase system than in its two-phase counterpart. This is because in the single-phase problem the hydrostatic pressure results from the entire depth of the continuous viscous fluid layer, rather than from the two relatively thin viscous films that are deposited on the upper and lower cell boundaries in the two-phase problem. The resulting gravity-driven slumping flow augments the radial spreading driven by the elastic pressure and therefore reduces the depth of the viscous layer relative to that of a flow without gravity. The deviation from the behaviour for zero gravity increases with time because the tension in the sheet decreases near the injection point and the hydrostatic pressure gradients become dominant. We refer to Lister *et al.* (2013) for an asymptotic analysis of this gravitational effect for the case when the stiffness of the sheet is dominated by bending, and to Hewitt *et al.* (2015) for the case of tension-dominated spreading in two dimensions.

While gravity is the main reason for the non-power-law behaviour of h(0, t), it is not the only significant difference between the single- and two-phase problems. The injection rates used in our single-phase experiments (figure 13) are necessarily much smaller than those used in the two-phase experiments (figures 10, 11) because the injection of viscous fluid into the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell requires much larger pressures which rapidly exceed those that can be generated by our volumetric pump; see the discussion in §2. However, we can compare the problems computationally at the same injection rate.

Figure 14 shows that gravity has the same qualitative effect on the behaviour of h(0,t) in two-phase flow at the larger flow rate of  $\dot{V} = 50 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$  as it did at the lower experimental flow rate of  $\dot{V} = 1 \text{ ml.min}^{-1}$  (cf. figure 12). It also shows that the central cell height is distinctly larger for single-phase flow than for two-phase flow, except at late times when the gravitational spreading becomes dominant. Figure 15 provides a detailed comparison between the computed fluid pressure distributions that act on the membrane

for the cases of single- and two-phase flows (solid and dashed lines) and when gravity is included (thin lines) or neglected (thick lines). As expected, gravity has a stronger effect on the pressure distribution (and therefore the membrane deformation) in the singlephase than in the two-phase system. However, the most striking feature of figure 15 is not the effect of gravity but the difference between the pressure distributions for the single- and two-phase flows in the central part of the cell. As discussed above in §4.2.1, in the two-phase flow this region is occupied by an inviscid gas bubble whose spatially constant pressure is transmitted to the membrane through the static fluid layer that lines the membrane. In the single-phase case there is a significant rise in fluid pressure towards the injection point, because the injection of viscous fluid into the relatively narrow gap between the upper and lower cell boundaries induces a large radial pressure gradient. A further rise in pressure occurs near the injection point where the fluid has to be deflected from its vertically upward motion into the radial direction. The viscous and gravitational pressure gradients can clearly be seen in the pressure contour plots in figure 16.

It is quite remarkable that, despite the huge differences in radial pressure distribution in the central part of the flow, the radial position of the frontal peeling wave is almost exactly the same in the single- and two-phase cases. Figure 14 clearly shows that the large central pressures in single-phase flow have a significant effect on the central height of the membrane. In the two-phase system viscous pressure gradients in the central part of the cell and vertical pressure gradients induced by gravity are almost absent. By contrast, an accurate description of the single-phase flows in elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cells requires these effects to be included – at least in the parameter regime considered here.

#### 5. Conclusion

We have performed a detailed experimental and numerical study of single- and twophase displacement flows in elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cells in order to identify the dominant mechanisms that control the system's behaviour. We established that in the parameter regime considered here (and in previous studies, such as Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2012)), the stiffness of the elastic membrane that forms the upper boundary of the cell has significant contributions both from its self-induced in-plane tension and from bending effects. The Föppl–von Kármán equations provide an excellent description of the membrane's deformation in this regime.

Computational results obtained from the coupled solution of the Föppl–von Kármán equations and the free-surface Navier–Stokes equations were found to be in excellent agreement with the experiments. This allowed a critical assessment of lubrication-theorybased models that were used in most previous theoretical studies of this problem. We showed that in the case of two-phase flow, when the injected fluid is an inviscid gas, the lubrication-theory-based predictions for the evolution of the bubble radius R(t) is in reasonable qualitative agreement with the Navier–Stokes-based results. The discrepancy between the two models can be attributed primarily to the neglect in the lubrication model of the thin layers of viscous fluid that are deposited on the upper and lower boundaries of the Hele-Shaw cell and, to a lesser extent, to the rather simplistic model for the visco-capillary flow in the vicinity of the gas-liquid interface. The difference in the instantaneous bubble radius R(t) predicted by the two models increases continuously and can become as large as 16% for the parameter range considered here. However, loglog plots of the R(t) data from the experiments and the Navier–Stokes computations, obtained for widely different membrane properties, are nevertheless close to the powerlaw behaviour predicted by Lister et al.'s (2013) lubrication-theory-based asymptotic model.



FIGURE 13. The time evolution of the cell depth at r = 0 in the single-phase displacement flow in the elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cell with the latex sheet for a range of injection rates, obtained experimentally (discrete points found by averaging the data from six different experimental runs with error bars indicating the standard error) and from the Navier–Stokes- (solid lines) and lubrication-theory-based (dashed lines) models.

In the case of single-phase flow, the absence of the gas-liquid interface implies that lubrication theory (and hence any asymptotic models derived from it) might naively be expected to perform much better. However, we found that for the range of parameters considered here the gravitational pressure variation in the region where the membrane is strongly inflated plays an important role and that the viscous pressure gradient remains sufficiently large throughout the domain that it cannot be neglected anywhere. While both of these effects are easily included into the lubrication theory model (see e.g. Hewitt *et al.* (2015)), they are shown here to have a strong effect on the system's behaviour, causing it to deviate significantly from the power-law behaviour predicted by Lister *et al.*'s (2013) asymptotic model.

In Part 2 of this paper we use the insight gained from the experimental and computational studies presented here to develop an improved lubrication-theory-based model that uses results from Reinelt & Saffman (1985) to derive corrections to the kinematic and dynamic boundary conditions (3.12) and (3.14) at the air-liquid interface. We show that this model yields results that are in excellent agreement with those of the Navier-Stokes-based model. The lubrication-theory model is then used to develop asymptotic descriptions of the system's behaviour in specific regions of parameter space. We anticipate that it may also form a promising starting point for a theoretical analysis of the non-axisymmetric fingering and wrinkling instabilities reported in Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2012), Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2013) and Pihler-Puzović *et al.* (2014).



FIGURE 14. The time evolution of the cell depth at r = 0 on a log-log scale in the single-phase and two-phase displacement flows for  $\dot{V} = 50$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup> under the latex sheet, using the Navier–Stokes- and lubrication-theory-based models, with and without the contribution from gravity. If not stated otherwise, the results for the single-phase flow are shown.



FIGURE 15. The instantaneous pressure P at t = 10 s in the single-phase (solid lines) and two-phase (dashed lines) flows at  $\dot{V} = 50$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup> under the latex membrane, computed using the Navier–Stokes-based model, with and without gravity.



FIGURE 16. Contours of fluid pressure at t = 10 s obtained in the Navier–Stokes simulations of the single-phase flow under the latex membrane at  $\dot{V} = 50$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup> (a) when gravity is accounted for and (b) when gravity is neglected. The colour contours show the fluid pressure using equally spaced increments over a limited range between 0 and 120 Pa. The pressure variations are much greater near the inlet where we indicate the pressure distribution by pressure isolines that are equally spaced between -1200 and 2000 Pa in increments of 200 Pa.

The authors thank A. Hazel for many helpful discussions and acknowledge early contributions of R. Anankine, D. Edmunds and S. McMorris to some of the experimental results presented here. The work is funded through EPSRC grant EP/J007927/1.

#### REFERENCES

- AL-HOUSSEINY, T. T., CHRISTOV, I. C. & STONE, H. A. 2013 Two-phase fluid displacement and interfacial instabilities under elastic membranes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 034502.
- CARVALHO, M. S. & SCRIVEN, L. E. 1997 Deformable roll coating flows: steady state and linear perturbation analysis. J. Fluid Mech. 339, 143–172.
- CARVALHO, M. S. & SCRIVEN, L. E. 1999 Three-dimensional stability analysis of free surface flows: Application to forward deformable roll coating. J. Comp. Phys. 151, 534–562.
- CHEN, J. D. 1989 Growth of radial viscous fingers in a Hele-Shaw cell. J. Fluid Mech. 201, 223–242.
- CHOPIN, J., VELLA, D. & BOUDAOUD, A. 2008 The liquid blister test. *Proc. R. Soc. A* 464, 2887–2906.
- DEMMEL, J. W., EISENSTAT, S. C., GILBERT, J. R., LI, X. S. & LIU, J. W. H. 1999 A supernodal approach to sparse partial pivoting. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. A. 20 (3), 720– 755.
- GAVER III, D. P., HALPERN, D., JENSEN, O. E. & GROTBERG, J. B. 1996 The steady propagation of a sami-infinite bubble through a flexible-walled channel. J. Fluid Mech. 319, 25–65.
- GROTBERG, J. B. & JENSEN, O. E. 2004 Biofluid mechanics in flexible tubes. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 36, 121–147.

- HAZEL, A., HEIL, M., WATERS, S. L. & OLIVER, J. M. 2012 On the liquid lining in fluidconveying curved tubes. J. Fluid Mech. 705, 213–233.
- HAZEL, A. L. & HEIL, M. 2003 Three-dimensional airway reopening: The steady propagation of a semi-infinite bubble into a buckled elastic tube. J. Fluid Mech. 478, 47–70.
- HAZEL, A. L. & HEIL, M. 2008 The influence of gravity on the steady propagation of a semiinfinite bubble into a flexible channel. *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 092109.
- HEAP, A. & JUEL, A. 2008 Anomalous bubble propagation in elastic tubes. *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 081702.
- HEIL, M. 2001 The Bretherton problem in elastic-walled channels: finite Reynolds number effects. In *IUTAM Symposium on Free Surface Flows* (ed. A. C. King & Y. D. Shikmurzaev), pp. 113–120. Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- HEIL, M. & HAZEL, A. 2011 Fluid-structure interaction in internal physiological flows. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 43, 141–162.
- HEIL, M. & HAZEL, A. L. 2006 oomph-lib an object-oriented multi-physics finite-element library. In *Fluid-Structure Interaction* (ed. M. Schäfer & H.-J. Bungartz), pp. 19–49. Springer, oomph-lib is available as open-source software at http://www.oomph-lib.org.
- HEWITT, I. J., BALMFORTH, N. J. & DE BRUYN, J. R. 2015 Elastic-plated gravity currents. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 26, 1–31.
- HOMSY, G. M. 1987 Viscous fingering in porous medium. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 19, 271-311.
- HOSOI, A. E. & MAHADEVAN, L. 2004 Peeling, healing, and bursting in a lubricated elastic sheet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 137802.
- JENSEN, O. E., HORSBURGH, M. K., HALPERN, D. & III, D. P. GAVER 2002 The steady propagation of a bubble in a flexible-walled channel: Asymptotic and computational models. *Phys. Fluids* 14, 443–457.
- JUEL, A. & HEAP, A. 2007 The reopening of a collapsed fluid-filled elastic tube. J. Fluid Mech. 572, 287–310.
- LANDAU, L. D. & LIFSHITZ, E. M. 1970 Theory of elasticity, 2d ed.. Pergamon Press.
- LISTER, J. R., PENG, G. G. & NEUFELD, J. A. 2013 Viscous control of peeling an elastic sheet by bending and pulling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 154501.
- MCEWAN, A. D. & TAYLOR, G. I. 1966 The peeling of a flexible strip attached by a viscous adhesive. J. Fluid Mech. 26, 1–15.
- MICHAUT, C. 2011 Dynamics of magmatic intrusions in the upper crust: Theory and applications to laccoliths on Earth and the Moon. J. Geophys. Res. 116, B05205.
- MIRANDA, J. A. & WIDOM, M. 1998 Radial fingering in a HeleShaw cell: a weakly nonlinear analysis. *Physica D* 120, 315–328.
- PATERSON, L. 1981 Radial fingering in a Hele-Shaw cell. J. Fluid Mech. 113, 513–529.
- PENG, G. G., PIHLER-PUZOVIĆ, D., HEIL, A. JUEL M. & LISTER, J. R. 2015 Displacement flows under elastic membranes. part 2: Lubrication theory for two-phase flow. *Submitted to J. Fluid Mech.*.
- PIHLER-PUZOVIĆ, D., ILLIEN, P., HEIL, M. & JUEL, A. 2012 Suppression of complex fingerlike patterns at the interface between air and a viscous fluid by elastic membranes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 074502.
- PIHLER-PUZOVIĆ, D., JUEL, A. & HEIL, M. 2014 The interaction between viscous fingering and wrinkling in elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cells. *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 022102.
- PIHLER-PUZOVIĆ, D., PÉRILLAT, R., RUSSELL, M., JUEL, A. & HEIL, M. 2013 Modelling the suppression of viscous fingering in elastic-walled Hele-Shaw cells. J. Fluid Mech. 731, 162–183.
- REINELT, D. A. & SAFFMAN, P. G. 1985 The penetration of a finger into a viscous fluid in a channel and tube. *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comp.* 6, 542–561.
- REYNOLDS, O. 1886 On the theory of lubrication and its application to Beauchamp Tower's experiment. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **117**, 157–234.
- SAFFMAN, P. G. & TAYLOR, G. I. 1958 The penetration of a fluid into a porous medium or Hele-Shaw cell containing a more viscous liquid. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* 245, 312–329.
- SHEWCHUK, J. R. 1996 Engineering a 2D quality mesh generator and delaunay triangulator. In Applied Computational Geometry: Towards Geometric Engineering Vol. 1148 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science (ed. M. C. Lin & D. Manocha), pp. 203–222. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (From the First ACM Workshop on Applied Computational Geometry).

- SLIM, A. C., BALMFORTH, N. J., CRASTER, R. V. & MILLER, J. C. 2009 Surface wrinkling of a channelized flow. Proc. R. Soc. A 465, 123–142.
- THOMÉ, T., RABAUD, M., HAKIM, V. & COUDER, Y. 1989 The Saffman-Taylor instability: From the linear to the circular geometry. *Phys. Fluids A* **1**, 224–240.
- ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C. & ZHU, J. Z. 1992 The superconvergent patch recovery and a posteriori error estimates. part 1: The recovery technique. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng. 33 (7).