



Pistone, M., Blundy, J. D., Brooker, R. A., & EIMF (Edinburgh Ion Microprobe Facility) (2016). Textural and chemical consequences of interaction between hydrous mafic and felsic magmas: an experimental study. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 171(1), [8]. DOI: 10.1007/s00410-015-1218-4

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1007/s00410-015-1218-4

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Springer at http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00410-015-1218-4

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

1

3

4

TEXTURAL AND CHEMICAL CONSEQUENCES OF

2 INTERACTION BETWEEN HYDROUS MAFIC AND FELSIC

MAGMAS: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

Mattia Pistone^{1,2}, Jonathan D. Blundy¹, Richard A. Brooker¹, EIMF³

5 1) School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queen's Road, BS8 1RJ,
6 Bristol, United Kingdom.

7 2) Department of Mineral Sciences, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution,
 8 10th Street & Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20560-0119, United States.

9 3) Edinburgh Ion Microprobe Facility, School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Grant

10 Institute, Kings Buildings, West Mains Road, EH9 3JW, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

11

12 Abstract

13 Mantle-derived, hydrous mafic magmas are often invoked as a mechanism to 14 transfer heat, mass and volatiles to felsic plutons in Earth's crust. Field observations 15 suggest that mafic, water-rich magmas often intrude viscous felsic crystal-rich 16 mushes. This scenario can advect water from the crystallising mafic magma to the 17 felsic magma, leading to an increase of melt fraction in the felsic mush, and 18 subsequent mobilisation, at the same time as the mafic magma becomes quenched 19 through a combination of cooling and water loss. To investigate such a scenario we 20 conducted experiments on a water-undersaturated (4 wt.% H₂O in the interstitial melt) 21 dacitic crystal mush (50-80 vol.% quartz crystals) subject to volatile supply from a 22 water-saturated ($\geq 6 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ H}_2\text{O}$) and esite magma at 950 °C and 4 kbar (12 km depth). 23 Our experimental run products show unidirectional solidification textures (i.e. comb 24 layering) as crystals nucleate at the mafic-felsic interface and grow into the mafic end-25 member. This process is driven by isothermal and isobaric undercooling resulting 26 from a change in liquidus temperature as water migrates from the mafic to the felsic

magma. We refer to this process as "chemical quenching" and suggest that some
textures associated with natural mafic-felsic interactions are not simply cooling-driven
in origin, but can be caused by exsolution of volatiles adjacent to an interface,
whether a water-undersaturated felsic magma (as in our experiments) or a fracture.

31

32 1. Introduction

33 Mantle-derived, hydrous mafic magmas provide heat, mass and volatiles to 34 felsic magma reservoirs in Earth's crust. Inputs of mafic magma can lead to a wide 35 range of physical and chemical interactions. Mafic dykes, sheets and inclusion 36 swarms are commonly associated with granitoids worldwide (e.g. Blundy and Sparks 37 1992; John and Blundy 1993; Sisson et al. 1996; Czuppon et al. 2012). Mafic magmas 38 have been frequently invoked as a means of contributing to crustal pluton construction 39 (e.g. John and Blundy 1993; de Saint Blanquat et al. 2006; Leuthold et al. 2012), 40 sustaining shallow magmatic bodies (e.g. Murphy et al. 2000; Ruprecht and 41 Bachmann 2010; Ruprecht et al. 2012) and favouring the generation of ore deposits 42 (e.g. Hattori and Keith 2001; Sinclair 2007; Blundy et al. 2015). Primary, subduction-43 related mafic magmas are generally crystal-poor and hydrous (H₂O contents of 1-7 44 wt.%, with 4 wt.% on average; Sisson and Layne 1993; Ulmer 2001; Plank et al. 2013; CO₂ contents of > 250 ppm; Cervantes and Wallace 2003), and intrude more 45 46 felsic magmas resident in shallower crustal reservoirs. These felsic magmas are 47 generally crystal-rich mushes and rheologically locked-up (solid fraction ≥ 0.5 , 48 Bachmann and Bergantz 2004), which hampers convection.

Broadly two main types of mafic-felsic magma interactions have been described: magma mingling, and magma mixing. Magma mingling is the physical interaction between two magmas that are unable to mix thoroughly as a result of high

52 viscosity (Sparks and Marshall 1986; Frost and Mahood 1987; Sato and Sato 2009) 53 and density contrast (Blake and Fink 1987; Koyaguchi and Blake 1989; Grasset and 54 Albarède 1994) or low degree of mechanical stirring (D'Lemos 1987). Magma mixing 55 is the chemical interaction between two magmas that forms a new "daughter magma" 56 with composition intermediate between the original interacting compositions. 57 Vigorous convective stirring (e.g. Oldenburg et al. 1989) by chaotic advection (e.g. 58 Perugini and Poli 2004; Morgavi et al. 2012) and chemical diffusion (e.g. Watson 59 1982; Lesher 1994) can lead to the complete blending (or hybridisation) of the two 60 original compositions leaving nearly no vestige of the magmatic "protoliths" (e.g. 61 Humphreys et al. 2010).

62 Magma mixing involves diffusion of heat and melt components, including 63 volatiles, between the mafic and felsic end-member magmas. Diffusion is a relatively 64 slow process in nature, which cannot lead to large-scale redistribution of chemical 65 components in the absence of convection (Bindeman and Davis 1999). Diffusion in 66 natural silicate melts is a relatively slow process for many of the melt components 67 (except for H₂O and alkalis; Ni and Zhang 2008; Morgan et al. 2008; Acosta-Vigil et 68 al. 2012), and cannot lead to their large-scale redistribution in the absence of convection. Thermal diffusivities are of the order of 10^{-7} m²/s in H₂O-bearing rhyolitic 69 70 melts at high temperature (> 800 °C; Romine et al. 2012). This is orders of magnitude 71 larger than chemical diffusivities in silicate melts (Hofmann 1980; Watson 1981; 72 Sparks and Marshall 1986). Volatile diffusion is about 3 orders of magnitude slower 73 than heat diffusion: in hydrous rhyolite melt at 950 °C and 4 kbar (experimental 74 conditions of this study) the diffusivity of H₂O (the most abundant volatile) is about 10^{-10} m²/s (Ni and Zhang 2008). At these temperature and pressure conditions, the 75 76 diffusivities of major elements are 1-3 orders of magnitude lower than H₂O

diffusivity, with the diffusivities of network-forming species (SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ $\approx 10^{-12}$ m²/s) less than those of network-modifying species (CaO $\approx 10^{-12}$ m²/s; MgO and K₂O $\approx 10^{-11}$ m²/s; Na₂O $\approx 10^{-10}$ m²/s) (van der Laan and Wyllie 1993).

80 Experimental studies designed to better understand the chemistry of magma 81 mixing have tended to focus on the interaction between two liquids of contrasted 82 composition either under anhydrous (Watson 1982; Watson and Jurewicz 1984; Bindeman and Perchuk 1993) or hydrous conditions (Yoder 1973; Johnston and 83 84 Wyllie 1988; van der Laan and Wyllie 1993). In the latter case both end-member 85 compositions contained identical initial H₂O contents. In a few cases, magma mixing 86 was investigated through dynamic experiments where two or more samples are forced 87 to mix under an applied torque (i.e. mixing and mingling). In these tests the 88 interacting samples display efficient mixing at super-liquidus conditions (Kouchi and 89 Sunagawa 1982; 1985; Morgavi et al. 2012) or, conversely, very poor mixing when 90 one of the two samples is crystal-bearing and, thus, the viscosity contrast between the 91 two systems is large (Laumonier et al. 2014). To date, there are only a few high-92 temperature and -pressure melting experiments designed to simulate interaction 93 between mafic and felsic magmas, as opposed to liquids. None of these studies has 94 investigated interaction in a realistic scenario where the two samples have different 95 H₂O content and crystallinity prior to interaction, and interact at temperatures 96 consistent with thermal convergence between a hot mafic H₂O-rich magma and a 97 relatively cool hydrous felsic magma. This interaction scenario is the focus of the 98 present experimental study.

99 Our principal motivation is to interpret features of felsic-mafic magma 100 interaction observed in the field. A well-known example is the Tertiary Adamello 101 Massif (Alps, Italy), where dominantly tonalite and subordinate granodiorite plutons

102 are associated with small mafic/ultramafic intrusions, syn-plutonic mafic dykes and 103 sills, and ubiquitous mafic inclusions (Blundy 1989; Blundy and Sparks 1992; John 104 and Blundy 1993). The mafic bodies are texturally and compositionally heterogeneous 105 as a result of variable assimilation of tonalite leading to xenocrystic textures. For 106 example, reactions of quartz phenocrysts from the tonalite with fine-grained dark 107 hornblende-bearing mafic magma produce coronas of green hornblende (Figure 1A). 108 It has also been observed that several mafic and ultramafic rocks can display comb 109 layering at the margins with juxtaposed silicic rocks (e.g. crescumulates layers in 110 Cornone di Blumone, Adamello Massif, Alps, Italy; Ulmer 1986; mafic and 111 ultramafic zonations in Cortland, Duke Island, Ardara and Lac des Iles complexes; 112 Sha 1995). In such a case, elongate grains of hornblende and feldspars are found 113 perpendicular to the interface between felsic and mafic rocks and/or in proximity of 114 fractures in the mingled rock structures (Figure 1B, C). The comb layers are typically 115 asymmetric, and hence display a variety of unidirectional solidification textures 116 (USTs). The presence of hornblende in the gabbroic rock suggests significant H₂O in 117 the original mafic melt (at least 4 wt.% H₂O; e.g. Yoder and Tilley 1962; Holloway 118 and Burnham 1972). Field relationships suggest that the tonalitic crystal mush 119 experienced rheological remobilisation during mafic magma intrusions. Based on 120 such field relationships (Figure 1A), Blundy and Sparks (1992) hypothesise the 121 following scenario: (i) a felsic pluton formed in the crust (< 10 km depth) comprises a 122 crystal mush close to its rheological lock-up point (≥ 0.5 solid fraction) and at or close to H₂O-saturation for the interstitial melt; (ii) this pluton is intruded by a H₂O-rich 123 124 mafic magma coming from greater depth (< 20 km); (iii) during the subsequent 125 thermal and chemical interaction the two magmas act as a closed-system (i.e. no net 126 loss of volatiles). Interaction might be expected to produce: i) advection of H₂O from the crystallising mafic magma to the felsic mush; ii) melting and remobilisation of the felsic mush; iii) thermal quenching of the mafic magma. We simulated this interaction scenario experimentally with an H₂O-undersaturated (4 wt.% H₂O in the interstitial melt) dacitic crystal mush (50-80 vol.% quartz crystals) subject to a volatile supply from a nearly H₂O-saturated (≥ 6 wt.% H₂O) and esite magma at 4 kbar and 950 °C. In this contribution we will show that mafic-felsic interactions of the type shown in Figure 1A can also lead to comb layering textures such as that in Figure 1B, C.

134

135 Experimental and analytical methods

136 Experimental strategy

137 Preparation and synthesis of the starting materials

138 Preparation of the starting materials was carried out following the approach of 139 Pistone et al. (2012) and Pistone (2012). The two silicate compositions (F = felsic; M 140 = mafic) are synthetic equivalents of natural Adamello bulk compositions JM101 141 (dacite/tonalite) and JM102 (andesite/diorite) reported by Blundy and Sparks (1992), 142 who used a natural powder of the latter for a series of H₂O-saturated, 1 kbar 143 experiments. However, with respect to the original recipe of JM102 composition, M 144 composition has been modified to generate a more felsic system approaching the 145 composition of F (= JM101). Such a compositional modification of M, which violates 146 the original definition of "mafic composition", represents an experimental 147 compromise that allows constraining the influence of H₂O only and, simultaneously, 148 attenuating the diffusion of other slower melt components driven by limited chemical 149 gradients, which otherwise could have "overwritten" the H₂O signature during 150 interaction experiments. In addition, sample M is crystal-free to maximise H₂O 151 content in the melt during synthesis; however, this differs from the original natural 152 scenario depicted in Figure 1A where the mafic magma is expected to carry some 153 phenocrysts during the interaction with felsic crystal mushes. Oxides (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Na₂SiO₃) and hydroxides (Al(OH)₃, $K_2Si_3O_7 \bullet 3H_2O$) were used for material 154 155 preparation; hydroxides provide different amounts of structurally-bound H₂O to F (4 156 wt.% H₂O) and M (6 wt.% H₂O). The mafic (M) end-member was crystal-free. 157 Crystal-free felsic starting material is designated F0. Aliquots of F were mixed with 158 quartz particles to create additional starting materials of different crystallinity: F50 = 159 50 vol.% crystals; F60 = 60 vol.%; F70 = 70 vol.%; F80 = 80 vol.%. Quartz crystals 160 (DORSILIT 2500: $SiO_2 = 98.90$ wt.%; $Al_2O_3 = 0.41$ wt.%; $Fe_2O_3 = 0.02$ wt.%; $TiO_2 =$ 161 0.04 wt.%; Alberto Luisoni AG, Switzerland) were chosen as suspended particles 162 (rough particles with aspect ratios between 1.0 and 3.5) for the preparation of the 163 crystal mushes due to their advantageous properties at the temperature and pressure 164 conditions of our experiments: they do not dissolve appreciably in the felsic melt 165 (JM101 is already at quartz saturation), and inhibit further crystallisation of the 166 residual melt (Pistone et al. 2012). The small amounts of Ti-Fe oxide impurities on 167 the surface of the quartz grains facilitate wetting by the silicate melt during high-168 temperature and -pressure synthesis (Rutter et al. 2006). Crystals were fired for five 169 hours in a one-atmosphere muffle furnace at 1000 °C to dry the surfaces and to 170 remove any fluid inclusions. Their size was selected by sieving and checked by 171 Malvern Laser Diffraction Grain Sizer at the Limno-Geology Laboratory of ETH-172 Zurich. The 3D grain size distribution shows a mean value of 68 µm with a sorting of 173 2.43 and a positive skewness of 0.37 (Pistone et al. 2012). To mix the powders with 174 the appropriate amount of quartz particles, densities of the employed glasses were 175 estimated from the equation of Lange and Carmichael (1987) at room conditions (298 176 K and 1 bar).

177 Following the approach of Pistone et al. (2012) and Pistone (2012), the 178 starting material powders were cold-pressed into cylindrical stainless steel canisters 179 (110 mm long, 35 mm inner diameter, 3 mm wall thickness) lined with a thin (25 µm) 180 Mo foil to avoid chemical contamination. Filled canisters were stored at 100 °C to 181 remove any adsorbed humidity and arc-welded shut. Tightness of the seal was 182 checked under vacuum in a water bath and by weighing. The canisters were hot 183 isostatically pressed (HIP) for 24 hours in a large capacity (170 mm in diameter; 500 184 mm high), industrial, internally-heated pressure vessel (Sinter-HIP-Kompaktanlage; 185 ABRA Fluid AG, Switzerland) at 1.8 kbar and 1200 °C at the Rock Deformation 186 Laboratory of ETH-Zurich. The temperature was nearly constant over the entire 187 volume of the vessel (maximum difference of 18 °C along the length of a sample). A 188 two-step cooling was applied: a rapid decrease of temperature (60 °C/minute) down to 189 the inferred glass transition temperature (when the viscosity is considered equivalent to 10^{12} Pa·s) followed by slow cooling (0.6 °C/minute) to room temperature. The first 190 191 cooling segment was designed to avoid crystallisation; the second segment produces 192 thermally relaxed glasses (Webb and Dingwell 1990). During cooling the confining 193 pressure decreased slightly with decreasing temperature (38 bar/minute in the first 194 cooling stage; 1 bar/minute in the second one). A total of 6 hydrous samples were 195 produced: M, F0, F50, F60, F70, F80. The weight of the canisters after the HIP run 196 was compared with the initial weights and revealed no significant differences (less 197 than 1 g over 200 g in total) suggesting that no H₂O was lost during synthesis.

198

199 Experiments

200 Cylinders of 3 mm diameter and variable length (Table 1) were cored from the 201 synthesised glasses and polished on the top and bottom surfaces to ensure a perfect

202 initial contact between the interacting samples. The sandwiched samples were inserted 203 into Au capsules (3-4 mm diameter), which, in turn, were inserted in larger Mo-lined 204 Au capsules (6 mm diameter) filled with Al_2O_3 powder and deionised H_2O (0.1-0.2 205 ml). Experiments were conducted under oxidised conditions ($fO_2 = NNO+1$ to +2; see 206 below). In contrast, the starting materials were synthesised at reduced conditions 207 $(\log fO_2 = -13.08 \text{ at } 950 \text{ °C for MoMoO}_2 \text{ buffer, which is close to IW buffer; O'Neil}$ 208 1986). The presence of Mo in the double capsule setup slowed down the oxidation of 209 the starting materials by loss of H₂ on the experimental timescale.

210 Experiments were carried out at the University of Bristol in an end-loaded 211 Boyd and England (1960) type piston cylinder apparatus. Run conditions are given in 212 Table 1. The chosen experimental temperature (950 °C) approximates a mafic magma 213 emplaced at 1100 °C against a felsic host at 800 °C (Blundy and Sparks 1992). Since 214 no latent heat of crystallisation or heat transfer can be experimentally reproduced, 215 experiments simulated that mafic-felsic magma interaction after the interacting 216 systems experienced heat transfer and achieved thermal equilibration. Experiments 217 were carried out in a 19 mm talc-pyrex cell (manufactured by Ceramic Substrates and 218 Components, Isle of Wight, UK), based upon the original design of Kushiro (1976). 219 This type of cell uses a tapered furnace (with 3° taper), which minimises the thermal 220 gradient to < 10 °C at 1000 °C over the central 10 mm of the cell (McDade et al. 221 2002). Based on the CsCl melting reactions the friction correction was 3.6 ± 1.0 % at 222 10 kbar and 1000 °C (McDade et al. 2002) and 20 \pm 1.0 % at 5 kbar and 950 °C. Also, 223 based on the H₂O solubility conditions of the H₂O-saturated sample M, the effective 224 applied confining pressure to the run products was circa 4 kbar. Temperature was 225 monitored by a D-type (W₉₇Re₃/W₇₅Re₂₅) thermocouple connected to a Eurotherm 226 800 series controller, with no correction for the pressure effect on the electromotive

force (Mao and Bell 1971). The hot piston-out technique (Johannes et al. 1971) was used in all experiments. Experiments ran for 24 hours (except for one that lasted for one week; Table 1) and were terminated by turning off the power. Recovered charges were impregnated in epoxy and polished.

231 Two different interaction setups were used in the experiments: i) classic two-232 layer Setup A with felsic overlying mafic, and ii) "intrusion-type" Setup B with mafic 233 sandwiched between two felsic samples. The two approaches allowed us to simulate 234 the mafic-felsic magma interaction at different mass ratios (mafic-felsic ratio of 1:1 in 235 Setup A, and 1:2 in Setup B; Table 1), and potentially retrieve information on the 236 volatile depletion of the mafic sample during the interaction with one or two felsic 237 samples respectively. To better constrain the textures and chemistry generated during 238 the interaction experiments we also performed simple equilibrium runs on felsic and 239 mafic starting materials. Additionally, after 30 minute dwell time at 1000 °C, four isobaric (4 kbar) cooling experiments from 1000 to 950 °C, with two different cooling 240 241 rates (slow cooling = 1 °C/minute, and fast cooling = 3.3 °C/second; Table 1), were 242 conducted on crystal-free mafic samples to provide a textural comparison with the 243 microstructures generated in the interaction experiments. A single 48-hour experiment 244 (Table 1) was conducted in cold-seal, externally-heated vessel (with an intrinsic fO_2 245 close to NNO+1; Rouse 2000) to simulate volatile exsolution from the mafic end-246 member during slow isothermal decompression at low pressure (from 2 to 1 kbar at 247 0.35 bar/minute) and relatively low temperature (870 °C). This test provided a 248 comparison between conditions of volatile-saturation (cold-seal) and volatile-249 undersaturation (piston cylinder).

250

251 Analytical techniques

252 Electron microprobe and scanning electron microscopy

253 Glasses and minerals from the starting materials and experimental charges 254 were analysed with a CAMECA SX-100 wavelength-dispersive electron probe micro-255 analyser (EPMA). Glasses were analysed with a 15 kV acceleration voltage, 2-5 nA 256 beam current and 10-20 µm diameter (defocused) beam. Minerals were analysed with 257 a focused (1 µm) 10 nA beam current and an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Natural 258 and synthetic standards were used for calibration. Table 2 shows compositions of 259 starting glass and minerals in representative experimental charges. Chemical profiles 260 in the glass composition across the run products based on EPMA data are reported in 261 Table 3. H₂O concentrations were estimated by the volatile-by-difference method 262 (Devine et al. 1995; Humphreys et al. 2006) and by SIMS (see below).

263 Using the approach of Saunders et al. (2014) to analysing crystal zoning, lines 264 of quantitative spot analyses to produce quantitative element profiles through quartz 265 crystals and surrounding glass were conducted using a JEOL 8530F field emission 266 gun electron probe micro-analyser (FEG-EPMA). Analyses were carried out with a 10 267 kV acceleration voltage, 1 nA beam current and 1 µm beam diameter. These 268 analytical conditions allowed us to explore potential quartz dissolution in the silicate 269 melt at run conditions. Primary calibrations for FEG-EPMA used the same mixture of 270 synthetic and natural standards as were used with the conventional EPMA. 271 Supplementary material displays chemical data from the lines of quantitative spot 272 analyses in quartz crystals and surrounding silicate glass.

Backscattered (BSE) images of the experimental charges were acquired on a Hitachi S-3500N scanning electron microscope (SEM) with 15 to 25 kV accelerating voltage and 1 nA beam current. Images were used to estimate volume fraction and size of the different phases using the Java-based software JMicro-Vision v1.2.7.

277 Characteristic X-ray distribution maps for Si-, Ca- and Fe-K α , acquired using 278 EPMA and SEM, were used to evaluate the chemical diffusion in the interaction 279 experiments.

- 280
- 281 Secondary ion mass spectrometry

282 Glassed starting materials and experimental charges were analysed by 283 secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) for dissolved H₂O and a number of trace 284 elements, using a CAMECA ims-4f ion microprobe at the University of Edinburgh. Au-coated samples were analysed with a 1.5 nA, 10.8 kV $^{16}O^{-}$ beam focussed to a 10 285 286 µm spot, with 15 keV net impact energy (i.e. 10.7 keV primary + 4.5 keV). Positive secondary ions were extracted at 4.5 keV with a 75 eV offset and a 40 eV energy 287 288 window, to minimise molecular ion transmission. Prior to each analysis, a $7 \,\mu m^2$ 289 raster was applied for 2 minutes at the target glass areas in the sample to remove any 290 surface contamination around the edge of the sputter pit. NIST-610 (Hinton 1999), 291 hydrous silica-rich (SiO₂ > 52 wt.%) SISS-48, SISS-51, SISS-59, SISS-60 (H₂O 292 content ranging from 2.48 to 4.91 wt.%; Sisson and Grove 1993), a natural obsidian 293 glass from Lipari (0.72 wt.% H₂O; Humphreys et al. 2006), RB-480 (0.33 wt.% H₂O; 294 Field et al. 2012), RB-497 (0.31 wt.% H₂O; Richard Brooker, unpublished data), 295 SB21, SB23 (10.5 to 10.9 wt.% H₂O; Jenny Riker, unpublished data), and hydrous 296 haplogranitic HGG0, HGG3, HGG4, HGG5 glasses (0 to 5.25 wt.% H₂O; Ardia et al. 297 2008) were used as standards to monitor the H/Si ion yield and background 298 consistency at the start of each day. Ten cycles were counted, corresponding to a counting time of about 10 minutes. In addition to ¹H and ³⁰Si, ⁷Li, ¹¹B, ²⁶Mg, ⁴²Ca, 299 ⁴⁷Ti and ⁵⁴Fe were measured. The heavier elements were measured to assess the 300 301 consistency of the major element composition. The background H₂O correction (as 302 measured on NIST-610) was equivalent to ≤ 0.2 wt.% H₂O. To remove the effects of 303 small variations in beam current, data are presented Si-normalised and corrected using 304 the **NIST-610** standard of the GeoReM database (http://georem.mpch-305 mainz.gwdg.de/). The analytical results are characterised by a low standard deviation 306 (Li = 1.01 %, B = 0.45 %, Mg = 0.93 %, Ca = 1.30 %, Ti = 1.20 %, Fe = 2.25 %). C 307 species was not measured; however, Raman spectra and CO2-intensity maps of the 308 glasses of the run products (not presented here) did not reveal any presence of CO₂. 309 Table 4 reports the SIMS data.

310

311 Results

Run products are labelled with the abbreviations of the two or three separate components added (e.g. M-F0 is a couple made of andesite and crystal-free dacite; F0-M-F0 is a sandwich of andesite between two layers of crystal-free dacite, etc.). We present the microstructural and chemical results of the interaction experiments in three groups, each showing a specific sample-sample interaction:

317 1) andesite and crystal-free dacite (M-F0 = liquid-liquid interaction in absence of a
318 pre-existing crystallinity in either sample)

319 2) and esite and crystal-bearing dacite (M-F50 to F80 = liquid-mush interaction)

320 3) chemically identical samples (M-M and F0-F50) for evaluating crystallisation
321 kinetics in the absence of any difference in melt composition or H₂O content.

Microstructural and chemical results from additional tests simulating the isobaric cooling of a crystal-free andesite, and the interaction between a crystal-free andesite and a crystal-bearing dacite during isothermal decompression (M-F50) are also provided. The microstructural and chemical results of the phase equilibrium runs involving single samples (i.e. no interaction) show limited crystallisation in the crystal-free felsic sample (< 40 vol.% in F0; Table 1), and no crystallisation in the
felsic crystal-bearing samples (see F80 in Table 1). The andesitic samples in the phase
equilibrium experiments crystallise with the same phase volumetric proportions
observed in the run product M-M (< 50 vol.%; Table 1).

331

332 Microstructures

333 Crystallisation of the crystal-free samples (F0 and M) observed in the phase 334 equilibrium experiments (i.e. in absence of other interacting samples) is driven by a 335 combination of H₂O-undersaturation conditions (i.e. materials were originally 336 synthesised at 2 kbar, corresponding to H₂O-saturation conditions), which render the 337 samples more undercooled, and absence of initial crystal phases (such as quartz in the 338 crystal-bearing materials), which prevents from additional crystallisation during 339 experiments. Thus, the textures of the crystal-free samples observed in the interaction 340 experiments (e.g. M-F0 or M-FX, with FX as crystal mush, which does not crystallise 341 and, thus, remains quartz-saturated at 4 kbar) are the result of two processes: phase 342 equilibrium crystallisation, and H₂O migration from high to low concentration (see 343 below).

344

345 Interaction between initially crystal-free andesite and crystal-free dacite

Two runs were conducted to simulate interaction between initially crystal-free andesite (M) and dacite glasses (F0): F0-M and F0-M-F0 (Figure 2A-B). The main difference between the two runs is the experimental duration (24 hours versus 1 week). The longer run (F0-M-F0) generated more extensive crystallisation in both end-members, particularly in the M domain (Table 1). In both run products hornblende and plagioclase form a diktytaxitic texture (with less vesicular 352 groundmass than what observed in natural mafic enclaves) in M (Figures 2A-B). 353 Hornblende crystals in F0 domain in F0-M-F0 are arranged in two ways: 354 perpendicular to the interfaces with M, and, beyond a distance of ~0.5 mm from the 355 interface, with a diktytaxitic arrangement (Figure 2A-B). Along the interaction 356 interfaces of both samples crystal size reduction of hornblende in F0 (from about 200 357 μ m length at ~0.5 mm from the interface to 2 μ m at the interface) and plagioclase and 358 hornblende in M (from 40 μ m at ~0.5 mm from the interface to 1 μ m at the interface) 359 are observed (Figures 2A-B and 4A). Fe-Ti oxides (1-5 µm) are ubiquitous in all domains with a mean number density of about 40 crystals per 0.01 mm². The largest 360 361 number density is found at the interface between the two samples (Figures 2A-B and 362 4A). Vesicles are mainly located along the interfaces in F0-M and F0-M-F0; larger 363 vesicles (up to 40 µm diameter) are found in F0 (Figures 2A-B).

364

365 Interaction between initially crystal-free andesite and dacitic crystal mush

Four runs were conducted to simulate the interaction of andesite (M) and dacitic crystal mush: F50-M, F60-M-F60, F70-M, and F80-M-F80 (Figure 2C-F). All run products are characterised by the following microstructural features:

369 i) Extensive crystallisation of M, which becomes more evident when Setup B is370 used (Table 1)

- 371 ii) Disseminated magnetite in M, whose number density increases towards the
 372 interaction interface (Figures 2C-F, 5)
- 373 iii) A marked decrease in plagioclase and hornblende crystals size towards the 374 interface (from plagioclase length of 250 μ m and hornblende length of 190 μ m at 375 ~0.5 mm from the interface to 2 μ m plagioclase and hornblende size at the 376 interface; Figures 2C-F, 5)

- 377 iv) Arrangement of plagioclase and hornblende crystals perpendicular (Figure 2C,
- E), or at high angle (circa 50-60°; Figure 2D, F), to the interface with F, up to
 distances of ~0.4 mm from the interaction interface
- v) Perpendicular arrangement of hornblende and plagioclase crystals in M and
 decrease of their size (up to a mean size of 2 µm) to the inner walls of the gold
 capsule when Setup A is used (Figure 2C-F)
- vi) Increase of the local crystal fraction in M domain by about 70% to circa 95%
 (Figure 2C-F) from ~0.5 mm from the interface towards the interface
- vii) Crystal-free, glass layer (30 to 60 µm thick) in F along the interface with M
 (Figure 2C-F)
- viii) Hornblende-rich layer located close to quartz crystal clusters (Figure 2C-F), and
 hornblende and/or magnetite crystallisation in glassy interstices between quartz
 crystals in F
- ix) Reaction rims on quartz crystals displaying smooth boundaries and crowns of tiny
 (1 to 3 µm) hornblende microlites (Figure 2C-F)
- 392 x) Presence of vesicles in M and along the interface in F, particularly in the glass
 393 and/or hornblende-rich layer (Figure 2C-F).

394 The crystal size reduction of plagioclase and hornblende towards the interface 395 observed in both samples is also evidenced by X-ray maps of Si- and Ca-Ka (Figure 396 4B). X-ray map of Fe-Kα evidences the large presence of Fe-Ti oxides in the andesite 397 sample, with high number densities in proximity to the interface (Figure 4B). The 398 reported textural features of the andesitic sample during interaction experiments are 399 dramatically different from those observed in the phase equilibrium experiments. 400 Specifically, phase equilibrium textures of M lack in: 1) more extensive crystallisation 401 (> 50 vol.%; Table 1), with an increase in crystallinity (from 50 to 95 vol.%) towards 402 the interaction interface against the felsic, and 2) perpendicular arrangement of 403 minerals, with size reduction towards the interaction interface against the felsic 404 sample.

405

406 Interaction between chemically identical samples

407 The interaction of samples with identical chemical composition and H₂O 408 content was tested with runs F50-F0 and M-M (Figure 2G-H). Run product F50-F0 409 shows extensive hornblende crystallisation in F0 (Table 1), with crystals arranged 410 perpendicular or at high angle (45° to 60°) to the interface (Figure 2G). Hornblendes 411 decrease in size (down to 2 µm) towards the interface (Figure 2G). In F50 quartz 412 microlites (up 10 µm long) lie perpendicular to the interface, without any observable 413 size reduction (Figure 3A). Hornblende and quartz microlites of 2-3 µm size are also 414 found in isolated glass pockets within quartz crystal clusters (Figure 2G).

Run product M-M displays disseminated Fe-Ti oxides and vesicles in both sample domains (Figure 2H). The interface between the two samples is vague, but can be discerned by the presence of hornblende crystals in the lower domain. Large plagioclases (up to 100 x 10 μ m wide) are found in the core of the two domains (at a distance of ≥ 0.5 mm from the interface). Plagioclases form a loose diktytaxitic texture and their size tends to decrease towards the interface (Figure 2H). Hornblende crystals in the lower domain also decrease in size towards the interface (Figure 2H).

422

423 Isobaric cooling of initially crystal-free andesite

Four runs at two different cooling rates (Table 1) were conducted in order to compare textures generated solely by cooling versus those generated by interaction (Figure 3). The fast-cooled (3.3 °C/s) run products show reduction in the size of

hornblende, but not plagioclase, towards the sample periphery (Figure 3A-B). Fe-Ti oxides are absent. The slow-cooled (1 °C/min) run products show extensive crystallisation (glass fraction < 0.06; Table 1), with no clear arrangement of plagioclase and hornblende (Figure 3C-D). Plagioclases are acicular, with constant size (10-15 μ m length); hornblende crystals (2-30 μ m length) are dendritic. Fe-Ti oxides are diffusely distributed. Similar crystal textures were generated in 1 kbar undercooling experiments on JM102 by Blundy and Sparks (1992; their Fig. 9b).

434

435 Interaction during isothermal decompression

436 One slow-decompression run at low pressure was conducted to simulate the 437 interaction of initially crystal-free andesite and dacitic crystal mush (F50-M [CSV], 438 where CSV means cold seal vessel; see Supplementary Material). Abundant vesicles 439 are observed in both sample domains (Table 1). Vesicles (average diameter 15 µm) in 440 M are concentrated in the core of the sample; larger vesicles (up to 100 µm) are found 441 throughout F50. Overall, there are more vesicles in M than F50, which suggest that 442 gas released from M during crystallisation rose into F50. Gaps between F50 and the 443 capsule walls suggest the production of excess gas during the experiment. In M 444 plagioclase and hornblende crystals lie perpendicular to the interface and, in the lower 445 portion, to the capsule wall. Plagioclase and hornblende crystals decrease in size 446 towards the periphery of M; in particular, tiny (1-2 µm size) plagioclase and 447 hornblende crystals form a thin rim (3 to 10 µm) around M. The interior texture of M 448 is diktytaxitic. In F50 a few hornblende crystals are found in glass-rich regions 449 characterised by a high number density of vesicles. Fe-Ti oxides are observed 450 throughout, often localised around the periphery of F50 (see Supplementary Material).

452 *Chemistry*

453 Interaction between andesite and crystal-free dacite

EPMA and SIMS analyses of run products F0-M and F0-M-F0 provide 454 455 chemical profiles of essential molar ratios (Figures 5A-B, showing ASI = 456 Al₂O₃/[CaO+Na₂O+K₂O], Al/Si, Al/Na, Al/K, Na/K; Acosta-Vigil et al. 2012), major 457 and trace elements (Supplementary Material) and H₂O across the sample (Figures 6A-458 B; Tables 3-4). The molar ratio profiles of Al/Si and ASI appear rather constant along 459 the entire domain of both run products, and close to the original values of the starting 460 glasses (Figure 5A-B; Table 2). Particolarly, the Al/Si suggests that Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ 461 decrease and increase in the same proportion respectively (Supplementary Material). 462 Conversely, the other molar ratio distributions appear variable (Na/K, and Al/K) or 463 decrease from the andesite to the dacite (Al/K, and Al/Na) in run F0-M (Figure 5A). 464 Neither in the long duration run F0-M-F0 the same molar ratios show a perfectly 465 constant distribution in both dacite domains (Figure 5B). Overall, Al/K and Na/K are 466 lower than the original molar ratios of the starting materials, and Al/Na is higher than 467 the starting Al/Na in both andesite and dacite. These changes of the latter molar ratios 468 are suggestive of an increase of K₂O and Al₂O₃, and a decrease of Na₂O in the 469 residual glass (Supplementary Material) as a consequence of crystallisation of the 470 initially crystal-free dacite and andesite samples. Further details on the major and 471 trace element concentrations in the glass phase of each interacting sample are reported 472 in the Supplementary Material.

473 H_2O contents are similar in the two domains, with limited increase of H_2O in 474 the glass from the dacite (4-5 wt.%) to the andesite (6-7 wt.%; Figure 7A), with H_2O 475 content variations of up to 2 wt.% along the interface (Figure 6A-B). Based on the 476 glass fractions found in the interacting samples (Table 1), the H_2O content in the bulk 477 samples in run F0-M tends to increase from the dacite (2.5-3.1 wt.%) to the andesite 478 (3.3-3.9 wt.%). However, the andesitic sample crystallises largely along the interface 479 (up to circa 80 vol.%) whereas the dacite crystallisation along the interface reaches 480 only about 60 vol.% (Figure 4A). There is no evident H₂O gradient between the two 481 interacting domains after the 24-hour experiment. Conversely, in the longer run F0-482 M-F0, the H₂O content in the bulk samples clearly changes from the andesite (100 483 vol.% crystalline; Table 1) to the dacite (~70 vol.% residual glass content in both 484 dacitic domains; Table 1). Indeed, all the H₂O is stored in the bulk dacite (2.8-3.5 485 wt.%), suggesting H₂O transfer from the andesite to the dacite. The latter is less 486 crystalline than the equivalent domain in run F0-M (Table 1).

487

488 Interaction between andesite and dacitic crystal mush

489 EPMA and SIMS analysis profiles of run products from interaction 490 experiments generally show a change in chemistry from the dacite to the andesite, 491 particularly for the major oxides such as SiO_2 and Al_2O_3 , which show larger 492 variations in the dacite sample portions where the glass is surrounded by quartz 493 crystals (Supplementary Material), suggestive of quartz dissolution at run conditions. 494 Similarly to the crystal-free runs (F0-M and F0-M-F0), runs involving a crystal mush 495 show constant profiles of Al/Si and ASI along the entire run product, and close to the 496 original values of the starting glasses, suggestive of the potential limited chemical 497 change induced by initial crystallisation (as observed in the phase equilibrium 498 experiments) prior to or at the onset of H₂O diffusion during interaction experiments. 499 Similarly to what observed in the crystal-free runs, the distributions of Na/K, Al/Na, 500 and Al/K appear to decrease from the andesite to the dacite domain in all run products 501 with crystallinities < 70 vol.% (Figures C-D). At higher crystallinity the molar ratio

502 Al/K displays an inverse trend, with a slight decrease from andesite to dacite (Figures 503 5E-F), suggesting an increase of available Al₂O₃ (melt component with slow 504 diffusion; e.g. Acosta-Vigil et al. 2012) in the residual glass during fast H₂O diffusion. 505 Overall, the values of Na/K, Al/Na, and Al/K are lower than those reported in the 506 starting glasses. For the same reasons reported in the interacting crystal-free samples 507 above, the decrease of these molar ratio values are suggestive of an increase of K₂O 508 and Al₂O₃, and a decrease of Na₂O in the residual glass (Supplementary Material) as a 509 consequence of the H₂O diffusion-induced crystallisation of the andesite and H₂O 510 diffusion-induced quartz dissolution in the dacite. Also, the same molar ratios of the 511 run products with Setup B result lower by 20% than those observed in the run 512 products with Setup A, suggestive of the different impact of the mafic-felsic mass 513 ratio on Al₂O₃ and alkalis contents in the residual glass in the mafic end-member. 514 Further details on the major and trace element concentrations in the glass phase of 515 each interacting sample are reported in the Supplementary Material.

516 H_2O contents in the glass increase from the dacite (5-6 wt.% H_2O) to the 517 andesite (7-8 wt.%) (Figure 6C-F). In the dacite H₂O contents are higher in proximity 518 to the interface, and lower in glass pockets within quartz crystal clusters (see SIMS 519 analysis spots in the Supplementary Material). More interestingly, H₂O contents in the 520 bulk samples change dramatically from the andesite to the dacite, particularly along 521 the interface (< 1 mm distance from the interface; Figure 6C-F) where the andesite is 522 largely crystalline (> 90 vol.%), whereas the dacite is glassy or displays limited 523 hornblende crystallisation (< 7 vol.%; Table 1) around the quartz crystals (Figure 4B). 524 Bulk H_2O contents in the two bulk domains varies from < 0.7-0.8 wt.% in the upper 525 portion of the andesite (< 1 mm from the interface) to 1.1-2.9 wt.% in the dacite. The 526 greater the crystal content in the dacitic mush, the higher the H₂O content in the glass

527 (Figure 6C-E) and the lower the H_2O content in the bulk dacite. Run F80-M-F80 528 represents an exception since both H_2O contents in the glass (3-4 wt.%) and in the 529 bulk dacite (0.36-0.63 wt.%) are lower than in the other run products (Figure 6C-F), 530 suggestive of the combination of large crystal content in the mush and dissolution of 531 quartz during the experiment.

532

533

33 Interaction between chemically identical samples

As expected, SIMS analysis of run products involving interaction between chemically identical samples show no evident change in major oxides, trace elements and H₂O contents in the residual glass from one sample domain to the other (Table 4; Supplementary Material). Thus the chemical features described in preceding sections result from interaction, rather than any artefact of the experimental design.

539

540 Discussion

541 The change of the liquidus temperature as a function of H_2O content

542 Microstructural and chemical changes that occur during interaction 543 experiments are most readily interpreted in terms of the changes in liquidus 544 temperature (T_L) that arise when magmas of contrasted chemistry are juxtaposed. 545 Because T_L is strongly reduced by the addition of H₂O, up to the point of saturation, 546 so the flux of H₂O from one domain to another has the greatest influence on T_L . In our 547 experiments the values of local T_L were computed using the model of Makhluf et al. 548 (2014) using the EPMA data of residual silicic glass (Table 3), including SIMS-based 549 H₂O content (Table 4), in order to estimate the local H₂O contents in the bulk rock 550 based on the local glass volume fractions (see Supplementary Material). In our 551 experiments there is an H₂O gradient between the two interacting samples (andesite

552 melt = 6 wt.% H₂O versus dacite melt = 4 wt.% H₂O; Table 2). The initial T_L results different in each starting material: the andesite has $T_L = 816 \pm 1$ °C (Figure 8), and the 553 554 dacite displays a decrease of the initial T_L with increasing crystallinity in the felsic 555 mush, ranging from $T_L = 923 \pm 1$ °C at 3.69 ± 0.02 wt.% H₂O in initial crystal-free 556 glass to 1109 \pm 1 °C at 0.74 \pm 0.02 wt.% H₂O in the most crystal-rich sample (Figures 557 6, 7). Given the chemical similarity of the interacting compositions (Table 2), the 558 lower and site T_L than the dacite T_L is due to the initial high H₂O content in the 559 and esite (Table 2). The change of T_L is clearly evident in both interacting domains: T_L 560 drops in the dacite, to below the experimental temperature at some locations, and 561 increases markedly in the crystallising andesite (Figure 7). Such changes in T_L 562 become more pronounced with increasing crystallinity in the felsic mush and with 563 decreasing the mafic/felsic mass ratio (Figure 7).

564 One of the primary consequences of melt dehydration is isothermal crystallisation, first recognised by Tuttle and Bowen (1958) and invoked as a cause of 565 566 crystallisation in hydrous magma by Blundy and Cashman (2001). This behaviour 567 arises because, compared to other compositional parameters, H₂O has a 568 disproportionate effect on the T_L of a magma (Yoder et al. 1957; Burnham and Jahns 569 1962; Merrill and Wyllie 1975). Thus, H₂O loss from any hydrous magma, whether 570 by degassing or diffusion, will drive large increase in T_L leading to effective 571 undercooling and consequent crystallisation.

572 During interaction of mafic and felsic magmas a number of simultaneous 573 chemical and physical changes occur. These may drive crystallisation or melting, 574 depending on the relationship between the interaction temperature and the T_L of each 575 domain. We will express the change in T_L as normalised temperature: $T_{experimental} / T_L$, 576 both of which are known from our experiments (Figure 8A). In the case of

577 undercooling, crystallisation will result, but the extent to which nucleation, giving rise 578 to many small crystals, is favoured over growth, giving rise to large crystals, depends 579 on the magnitude of the undercooling. In general, larger values of undercooling 580 favour crystal nucleation, while small values of undercooling favour crystal growth 581 (Figure 8A). Crystallisation serves to reduce T_L and hence reduce undercooling, 582 eventually reaching zero at the point of chemical equilibrium. Where the interaction 583 temperature is greater than T_L , melting will result (Figure 8A). We can better 584 understand this complex interplay of diffusion of heat, diffusion of mass, and 585 crystallisation kinetics in terms of three end-member scenarios.

586 First, consider juxtaposition of two crystal-free, anhydrous melts of different 587 composition at two different temperatures. In this example only heat crosses the 588 interface between the two domains (Figure 8B). The contact temperature at the 589 interface ($T_{interface}$) will lie between the T_L of the felsic ($T_{L(felsic)}$) and mafic ($T_{L(mafic)}$) 590 domains. The initial undercooling that occurs in the mafic domain is $\Delta T = T_{interface}$ -591 $T_{L(mafic)}$ and will be greatest (i.e. most negative) at the interface. Thus, crystal 592 nucleation is favoured close to the interface and a so-called "chilled margin" results. 593 A crystallisation front propagates away from the interface into the mafic domain, with 594 progressively smaller ΔT and consequently larger, but fewer crystals. In the felsic domain $\Delta T = T_{interface} - T_{L(felsic)}$ may be positive at the interface. In that case a fully 595 596 molten layer may result. Elsewhere in the felsic domain some evidence of partial 597 melting will be apparent, although temperatures are unlikely to be sufficient to cause 598 complete melting (Figure 8B).

599 Next, consider the case where two melts at different temperature are brought 600 into contact but the mafic magma is H_2O -saturated and the felsic magma is 601 anhydrous. In this case both heat and H_2O will pass from the mafic to the felsic

602 domain, complicating the textural consequences of interaction (Figure 8C). As before, 603 thermal undercooling of the mafic domain at the interface will lead to crystallisation. 604 However the loss of H₂O from the mafic domain to the felsic domain will drive up $T_{L(mafic)}$, further increasing ΔT and leading to more pronounced nucleation. We call 605 this "chemical undercooling". The chemical and thermal undercooling fronts will 606 607 propagate away from the interface at rates controlled by the relative diffusivities of 608 H₂O and heat. The relative rates of migration will determine the extent to which 609 nucleation is favoured over growth and vice versa. Although heat diffuses three orders 610 of magnitude faster than H₂O, the effect of reducing the temperature on ΔT is much 611 less than the effect of dehydration on T_L . An inverse consequence can be expected in 612 the felsic domain, where both heat and H₂O are being supplied. Both of these will 613 serve to reduce (or eliminate) the amount of undercooling, and increase the likelihood 614 of a crystal-free melt layer forming at the interface (Figure 8C).

615 Last, consider the case of isothermal interaction between an H₂O-saturated 616 mafic magma and a dry (or H₂O-undersaturated) felsic magma, such as that simulated 617 by our experiments. In this case only H₂O passes from mafic to felsic domains and 618 any undercooling is entirely chemical in origin (Figure 8D). As H₂O is lost to the 619 felsic domain, a front of increasing T_L will propagate into the mafic domain. 620 Crystallisation will tend to follow this front. Depending on the evolution of ΔT with 621 time, i.e. the relative rates of H₂O loss (increasing ΔT) and crystallisation (reducing 622 ΔT), the migration of the crystallisation front may be dominated either by nucleation 623 or growth (Figure 8D).

The above discussion makes a distinction between thermal undercooling, due to heat loss, and chemical undercooling due to the loss of H₂O (or any other component that has a profound effect on T_L). Our experiments were designed to look at both chemical undercooling (interaction experiments) and thermal undercooling(cooling-rate experiments) and to compare the results.

629 In our experiments diffusion of chemical components other than H₂O plays a 630 minor role since the interacting compositions are chemically relatively similar 631 (compare F and M composition reported in Table 2). In contrast to previous 632 experiments on magma mixing between hydrous compositions (Johnston and Wyllie 633 1988; van der Laan and Wyllie 1993), no "uphill diffusion" of alkalis is noticed in our 634 tests (Figure 5C-F), likely because of a lack of large K and Na gradients between F 635 and M (Table 3). Additionally, since H₂O diffusivity between interacting silicic melts 636 is much greater than the diffusivities of major elements (van der Laan and Wyllie 637 1993), at identical temperature and pressure conditions the flux of H₂O from andesite 638 to dacite strongly affects the diffusivities of the major chemical elements, including 639 the "fast" alkalis (Acosta-Vigil et al. 2005). As already observed by van der Laan and 640 Wyllie (1993), both K_2O and Na_2O are uniformly distributed through the entire length 641 of the capsule within 44 hours, suggesting transient two-liquid equilibrium 642 partitioning when large H₂O contents (5 wt.%) are present. In our experiments the 643 presence of > 5 wt.% H₂O in the silicic melt (Figure 6C-F) should allow a faster 644 uniform distribution of alkalis within 24 hours (Table 1); however, the molar ratio 645 profiles (i.e. Al/K, Al/Na, and Na/K; Figure 5) do not show perfectly constant 646 distribution profiles of alkalis throughout the glass phase (i.e. no field diffusion of 647 alkalis; Acosta-Vigil et al. 2002; 2006; 2012). This could mean that alkalis, in presence of limited chemical gradients ($\Delta Na_2O_{mafic-felsic} < 0.25$ wt.% and $\Delta K_2O_{mafic-felsic}$ 648 649 $_{\text{felsic}} < 0.04 \text{ wt.}\%$; Table 2) except for H₂O (> 2 wt.%, including that the H₂O gradient 650 could be higher if the initial crystallisation of the mafic sample at equilibrium 651 conditions is considered; Table 1), are characterised by a relatively "slow" diffusion 652 with respect to H₂O within the timescale of our experiments (24 hours). Only after 653 sufficient long timescale (1 week; see run product F0-M-F0 in Figure 5B) during 654 which H₂O was uniformly distributed in both interacting samples (Figure 6B), alkalis 655 appear constantly distributed throughout the glass of the run products. In conclusion, 656 we are confident that the microstructural features generated in our experiments 657 received negligible contribution from diffusion of species other than H₂O, which 658 migrated from the mafic to the felsic end-member and the consequent change in T_L of 659 the interacting magmas (Figures 6C-F, 7C-F).

660

661 Unidirectional solidification textures driven by chemical undercooling

662 The microstructural and chemical results of our experiments display a number 663 of interesting features that can be related to the changes in T_L that result from the flux 664 of H₂O from initially crystal-free mafic domain into the felsic mush. These include:

665 i) Reduction of crystal size in the mafic end-member towards to the interface of the
666 two samples (Figure 2C-F)

667 ii) Hornblende and plagioclase layering in the mafic end-member in which crystals668 grow from the interface towards the interior of the mafic melt (Figure 2C-F)

669 iii) Formation of a melt-rich "hybrid front" with hornblende-rich coronas around
670 quartz crystals (Figure 2C-F)

As noted above, the "chilled margins" generated in the andesite during the isothermal experiments are not a consequence of thermal quenching, but they rather represent a chemical quenching due to H_2O migration from the mafic to the felsic end-member at constant temperature and pressure. The linear arrangement of plagioclase and hornblende crystals in the andesite and their size reduction towards the interaction interface between dacite and andesite (Figures 2C-F, 4) is reminiscent of a number of 677 natural textures including "Willow Lake-type" layering (Poldervaart and Taubenek 678 1959), combed texture (Loomis 1963), comb layering (Moore and Lockwood 1973; 679 Lofgren and Donaldson 1975; Donaldson 1977; Lowenstern and Sinclair 1996; Sinclair 2007), Stockscheider texture (Jackson and Power 1995; Breiter et al. 2005), 680 681 line rock texture (Webber et al. 1997; Nabelek et al. 2010) or, more generally, 682 unidirectional solidification texture (UST; Kormilitsyn and Manuilova 1957; Shannon 683 et al. 1982; Balashov et al. 2000; Breiter 2002; Hönig et al. 2010). USTs often involve 684 an alternation of coarse and fine crystals, leading some workers to invoke processes of 685 crystal growth and dissolution to operate in tandem. For example, comb layering 686 textures studied in pegmatitic systems (e.g. aplite-pegmatite transition; Webber et al. 687 1999; Baker and Freda 1999; 2001; London 2005; 2009) and orbicular rocks (Leveson 688 1966; Moore and Lockwood 1973; Ort 1992; Sylvester 2011), were explained as a 689 consequence of an Ostwald ripening process, where smaller crystals become unstable 690 relative to larger crystals of the same mineral composition (e.g. Boudreau and 691 McBirney 1997).

692 Our experiments suggest, alternatively, that USTs can be generated isothermally simply due to volatile loss and the consequent change in ΔT , which 693 694 drives melts locally towards nucleation-dominated and growth-dominated regimes 695 (Figures 8A). In our experiments the loss of H₂O is a result of the H₂O-saturated 696 nature of the mafic melt and the H₂O-undersaturated nature of the felsic melt at run 697 conditions. The loss of H₂O from mafic to felsic domains drives undercooling in the 698 former, promoting crystal growth. The tendency of crystals to grow perpendicular to 699 the interface between the two domains suggests that following initial nucleation close 700 to the interface, the migration of the undercooling front away from the interface 701 serves to maintain ΔT at an optimum value for growth (Figure 8A). Although our 702 experiments are isothermal and isobaric, they simulate many situations in nature 703 where magmatic H₂O loss occurs. This could be, for example, when hydrous magma 704 is exposed to fractures whereupon the pressure drops driving fluids outwards. Such 705 features have been widely invoked in ore-forming or pegmatitic systems and may 706 occur repeatedly if the magma keeps undergoing failure and pressure drop. In a 707 magma the development of fractures requires a relatively high crystal content and/or a 708 high strain-rate. More generally, we would anticipate that any process that leads to 709 pressure drops that are transient on the same timescales as H₂O diffusion in silicate 710 melts would be capable of generating USTs. Magma mixing between H₂O-rich and 711 H₂O-poor magmas, as simulated in our experiments, is just one such scenario. Our 712 proposal is consistent with explanations of rhythmic comb-quartz and/or comb-713 feldspar layers developed in aplite granite groundmass attributed to abrupt adiabatic 714 drop in pressure (due to fracturing; Shannon et al. 1982) promoting gas escape 715 ("swinging eutectic" of Balashov et al. 2000). Thus, cooling is not a pre-requisite to 716 UST formation. Rather it is undercooling resulting from H₂O exsolution from a 717 crystallising melt. In general, USTs are generated at supersaturated boundaries and 718 during rapid and repeated undercooling of the magmatic system (Fenn 1977; Webber 719 et al. 1997; Nabelek et al. 2010) induced by fluid release (London 1992).

Interaction experiments involving dacitic crystal mush show that the presence of quartz crystals also plays an important role in driving textural evolution. As the felsic sample equilibrates at the experimental conditions, the quartz crystals begin to dissolve supplying SiO₂ to the surrounding melt (see Supplementary Material). The consequent SiO₂ content increase in the residual melt increases the local volatile solubility (Johannes and Holtz 1996) and, thus, attracts more H_2O from the adjacent andesite. This might explain the formation of hornblende in proximity to quartz clusters or around single quartz crystals (Figures 2C-F, 5B) where the silicate melt contains up to 6 wt.% H_2O (see H_2O profiles in Figure 6C-F; see analysis spot locations in Supplementary Material). H_2O destabilises plagioclase and leads to hornblende crystallisation (Yoder and Tilley 1962; Holloway and Burnham 1972; Anderson 1980). At even larger H_2O in the residual dacite melt all crystallisation is suppressed and glassy rims or interstices result (see H_2O profiles in Figure 6C-F; see analysis spot locations in Supplementary Material).

734

735 Conclusions

736 The results of our experiments designed to explore magma mixing in nature 737 show a number of interesting microstructural features. The "quench textures" or 738 "chilled margins" found in our run products arise for enhanced crystal nucleation rates 739 driven by chemical diffusion (H₂O), rather than rapid cooling, showing that mafic-740 felsic interactions found in the field may not be simply thermal in origin. The 741 interface, across which chemical diffusion occurs, may play a key role in textural and 742 chemical development. Specifically, we have generated unidirectional solidification 743 textures (USTs), or comb layering, in which crystals nucleate at the mafic-felsic 744 interface and grow into the mafic end-member. Comb layering is not a common 745 microstructural feature of mafic enclaves. However, it can be considered as a specific 746 category of "chemical chilling" where crystals do not decrease only in size towards an 747 interaction interface (against another magma composition and or a wall rock, as 748 observed in numerous field studies; e.g. Frost and Mahood 1987; Gourgaud and 749 Villemant 1992), but they are also arranged perpendicularly to the interface. Our 750 experimental results indicate that USTs can be used as a textural criterion to indicate 751 the direction of volatile transfer. This has been observed in several mafic and 752 ultramafic rocks displaying comb layering at the margins with juxtaposed silicic rocks 753 (Sha 1995). Mafic rocks in contact with felsic rocks show a decrease in the volumetric 754 proportion of hydrous minerals such as hornblende, biotite as well as apatite and 755 titanite towards the centres of the mafic complexes. Furthermore, in the dehydration 756 zones of mafic rocks, acicular apatite crystals are typically abundant, indicative of 757 strong undercooling (e.g. Wyllie et al. 1962). Our experiments demonstrate the ability 758 of chemical quenching driven by H₂O-loss to generate a diversity of textures found in 759 hydrous magmatic systems, including those associated with ore deposits.

760

761 Acknowledgments

762 SNSF Grant EPM-PBEZP2 14922 and ERC Advanced Grant CRITMAG 763 supported this research. We acknowledge: K. Stuart and B. Buse for supporting 764 during the EPMA, FEG-EPMA, and SEM analyses (University of Bristol); R. Hinton 765 for assisting during the SIMS analyses (EIMF, University of Edinburgh); C. Clapham 766 and D. Hawley for technical support (University of Bristol); J. Riker for providing 767 high H₂O content silicate glasses as standards for SIMS analysis; Alberto Luisoni AG 768 (Switzerland), Nabaltec AG (Germany), and B. Tripoli (ETH-Zurich) for supplying 769 quartz crystals, APYRAL 60CD, and wollastonite used in the starting materials; O. 770 Müntener, A. Acosta-Vigil, and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments 771 on an earlier version of the manuscript.

772

773 References

774 Acosta-Vigil A, London D, Dewers TA, Morgan GB VI (2002) Dissolution of corundum and

andalusite in H₂O-saturated haplogranitic melts at 800 °C and 200 MPa: constraints on diffusivities and

the generation of peraluminous melts. J Petrol 43:1885-1908

777 Acosta-Vigil A, London D, Morgan GB VI (2005) Contrasting interactions of sodium and potassium

- 778 with H₂O in haplogranitic liquids and glasses at 200 MPa from hydration-diffusion experiments.
- 779 Contrib Mineral Petrol 149:276-287
- 780 Acosta-Vigil A, London D, Morgan GB VI, Dewers TA (2006) Dissolution of quartz, albite and
- 781 orthoclase in H₂O-saturated haplogranitic melts at 800 °C and 200 MPa: diffusive transport properties
- 782 of granitic melts at crustal anatectic conditions. J Petrol 43:1885-1908
- 783 Acosta-Vigil A, London D, Morgan GB VI (2012) Chemical diffusion of major components in granitic
- 784 liquids: implications for the rates of homogenization of crustal melts. Lithos 153:308-323
- 785 Anderson JL (1980) Mineral equilibria and crystallization conditions in the late Precambrian Wolf
- 786 River rapakivi massif, Wisconsin. Am J Sci 280:289-332
- 787 Ardia P, Giordano D, Schmidt MW (2008) A model for the viscosity of rhyolite as a function of H₂O-
- 788 content and pressure: a calibration based on centrifuge piston cylinder experiments. Geochim
- 789 Cosmochim Acta 72:6013-6123
- 790 Bachmann O, Bergantz GW (2004) On the origin of crystal-poor rhyolites: extracted from batholitic
- 791 crystal mushes. J Petrol 45:1565-1582
- 792 Balashov VN, Zaraisky GP, Seltmann R (2000) Fluid-magmatic interaction and oscillation phenomena
- during granite melt crystallization with water-fluoride fluid gain-loss. Petrologiya 8:563–585
- Baker DR, Freda C (1999) Ising models of undercooled binary system crystallization: comparison with
- experimental and pegmatite textures. Am Mineral 84:725-732
- 796 Baker DR, Freda C (2001) Eutectic crystallization in the undercooled orthoclase-quartz-H₂O system:
- experiments and simulations. Eur J Mineral 13:453–466
- Bindeman IN, Perchuk LL (1993) Experimental studies of magma mixing at high pressures. Int Geol
 Rev 35:721-733
- 800 Bindeman IN, Davis AM (1999) Convection and redistribution of alkalis and trace elements during the
- 801 mingling of basaltic and rhyolitic melts. Petrol 7:91-101
- 802 Blake S, Fink JH (1987) The dynamics of magma withdrawal from a density stratified dyke Earth
- 803 Planet. Sci Lett 85:516-524
- 804 Blundy JD (1989) The geology of the southern Adamello Massif, Italy. Dissertation, University of 805 Cambridge
- ous Cambridge
- 806 Blundy JD, Sparks RSJ (1992) Petrogenesis of mafic inclusions in granitoids of the Adamello Massif,
- 807 Italy. J Petrol 33:1039-1104

- 808 Blundy JD, Cashman K (2001) Magma ascent and crystallization at Mount St. Helens, 1980-1986.
- 809 Contrib Mineral Petrol 140:631-650
- 810 Blundy JD, Mavrogenes J, Tattitch B, Sparks RSJ, Gilmer A (2015) Generation of porphyry copper
- 811 deposits by gas-brine reaction in volcanic arcs. Nature Geosci. 8:235-240
- 812 Boudreau AE, McBirney AR (1997) The Skaergaard Layered Series. Part III. Non-dynamic Layering. J
- 813 Petrol 38:1003-1020
- 814 Boyd FR, England JL (1960) Apparatus for phase equilibrium measurements at pressures of up to 50
- 815 kbars and temperatures to 1750°C. J Geophys Res 65:741-748
- 816 Breiter K (2002) From explosive breccia to unidirectional solidification textures: magmatic evolution
- 817 of a phosphorus- and fluorine-rich granite system (Podlesí, Krušné hory Mts., Czech Republic). Bull
- 818 Czech Geol Survey 77:67–92
- 819 Breiter K., Mqller A, Leichmann J, Gabas'ova' A (2005) Textural and chemical evolution of a
- 820 fractionated granitic system: the Podlesi' stock, Czech Republic. Lithos 80:323-345
- 821 Bunsen R. (1851) Ueber die prozesse der vulkanishen Gesteinsbildungen Islands. Annalen der Physik
- 822 (Leipzig) 83:197–272
- Burnham CW, Jahns RH (1962) A method for determining the solubility of water in silicate melts. Am
 J Sci 260:721-745
- 825 Cervantes P, Wallace P (2003) Role of H₂O in subduction-zone magmatism: newinsights from melt
- 826 inclusions in high-Mg basalts from central Mexico. Geology 31:235-238
- 827 Czuppon G, Lukacs R, Harangi S, Mason PRD, Ntaflos T (2012) Mixing of crystal mushes and melts
- 828 in the genesis of the Bogacs ignimbrite suite, northern Hungary; an integrated geochemical
- 829 investigation of mineral phases and glasses. Lithos Oslo 148:71-85.
- 830 D'Lemos RS (1987) Relantionships between the Cobo Granite and the Bordeaux Diorite Complex,
- 831 Guernsey. PhD Dissertation, University of Bristol
- 832 de Saint Blanquait M, Habert G, Horsman E, Morgan SS, Tikoff B, Launeau P, Gleizes G (2006)
- 833 Mechanisms and duration of non-tectonically assisted magma emplacement in the upper crust: the
- 834 Black Mesa pluton, Henry Mountains, Utah. Tectonophys 428:1-31
- 835 Devine JD, Gardner JE, Brack HP, Layne GD, Rutherford MJ (1995) Comparison of microanalytical
- 836 methods for estimating H₂O contents of silicic volcanic glasses. Am Mineral 80:319-328
- 837 Donaldson CH (1977) Laboratory duplication of comb layering in the Rhum pluton. Mineral. Magaz.

838 41:323-336

- Fedkin A, Seltmann R, Bezmen N, Zaraisky G (2002) Experimental testing of line rocks in Li-F
 granites: evidence from superliquidus experiments with F and P added. Bull Czech Geol Survey
 77:113-125
- Fenn PM (1977) The nucleation and growth of alkali feldspars from hydrous melts. Can Mineral15:135-161.
- 844 Field L, Blundy JD, Brooker RA, Wright T, Yirgu G (2012) Magma storage conditions beneath
- Bull Volcano (Ethiopia) constrained by petrology, seismicity and satellite geodesy. Bull Volcanol
 74:981-1004
- 847 Frost TP, Mahood GA (1987) Field, chemical, and physical constraints on mafic-felsic magma
- 848 interaction in the Lamarck Granodiorite, Sierra Nevada, California. Geol Soc of Am Bull 99:272-291
- 849 Gourgaud A, Villemant B (1992) Evolution of magma mixing in an alkaline suite: the Grande Cascade
- 850 sequence (Monts-Dore, French Massif Central). Geochemical modeling. J Volcanol Geotherm Res
 851 52:255-275
- 852 Grasset O, Albarade F, Hybridization of mingling magmas with different densities. Earth Planet Sci
 853 Lett 121:327-332
- 854 Hattori KH, Keith JD (2001) Contribution of mafic melt to porphyry copper mineralization: evidence from
- 855 Mount Pinatubo, Philippines, and Bingham deposit, Utah. Mineral. Deposita 36:799-806
- 856 Hinton RW (1990) Ion microprobe trace-element analysis of silicates.measurement of multi-element
- 857 glasses. Chem Geol 83:11-25
- 858 Hofmann AW (1980) Diffusion in natural silicate melts: A critical review. In: Hargraves RB (ed),
- 859 Physics of magmatic processes. Princeton University Press, pp 385-417
- Holloway J.R., Burnham CW (1972) Melting relations of basalt with equilibrium water pressure less
 than total pressure. J Petrol 13:1-29
- 862 Hönig S, Leichmann J, Novak M (2010) Unidirectional solidification textures and garnet layering in Y-
- 863 enriched garnet-bearing aplite-pegmatites in the Cadomian Brno Batholith, Czech Republic. J Geosci
 864 55:113-129
- 865 Hort M (1998) Abrupt change in magma liquidus temperature because of volatile loss or magma
- 866 mixing: effects on nucleation, crystal growth and thermal history of the magma. J Petrol 39:1063-1076
- 867 Humphreys MCS, Kearns SL, Blundy JD (2006), SIMS investigation of electron-beam damage to

- 868 hydrous, rhyolitic glasses: implications for melt inclusion analysis. Am Mineral 91:667-679
- 869 Humphreys MCS, Edmonds M, Christopher T, Hards V (2010) Magma hybridisation and diffusive
- 870 exchange recorded in heterogeneous glasses from Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. Geophys Res

871 Lett 37:L00E06

- 872 Jackson G.E.A., Power G.M. (1995) Columnar, branching and curved feldspar growth in the St
- 873 Michael's Mount Granite, Cornwall. Proc. Ussher Soc 8:363-367
- Johannes WJ, Bell PM, Mao HK, Boettcher AL, Chipman DW, Hays JF, Newton RC, Seifert F (1971)
- 875 An interlaboratory comparison of piston-cylinder pressure calibration using the albite breakdown
- 876 reaction. Contrib Mineral Petrol 32:24-38
- 377 Johannes WJ, Holtz F (1996) Petrogenesis and Experimental Petrology of Granitic Rocks. Springer, pp.
- 878 335
- 879 John BE, Blundy JD (1993) Emplacement-related deformation of granitoidmagmas, southern Adamello
- 880 Massif, Italy. Geol Soc Am Bull 105:1517-1541
- Johnston AD, Wyllie PJ (1988) Interaction of granitic and basic magmas: experimental observations on
- 882 contamination processes at 10 kbar with H₂O. Contrib Mineral Petrol 98:352-362
- 883 Kormilitsyn VS, Manuilova MM (1957) Rhythmic banded quartz porphyry, Bugdai Mountain,
- southeast Transbaykal region. Zapiski Vsesoyouz Mineral Obsch 86:355-364.
- 885 Kouchi A, Sunagawa I (1982) Experimental study of mixing of basaltic and dacitic magmas, Sci Rep
- 886 Tohoku University 15:163-175
- 887 Kouchi A, Sunagawa I (1985) A model for mixing basaltic and dacitic magmas as deduced from
- 888 experimental data. Contrib Mineral Petrol 89:17-23
- Koyaguchi T, Blake S (1989) The dynamics of magma mixing in a rising magma batch. Bull Volcanol
 52:127-137
- 891 Lange RA, Carmichael ISE (1987) Densities of Na₂O-K₂O-CaO-MgO-FeO-Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃-TiO₂-SiO₂
- 892 liquids: new measurements and derived partial molar properties. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 51:2931-
- 893 2946
- 894 Laumonier M, Scaillet B., Arbaret L., Champallier R (2014) Experimental simulation of magma
- 895 mixing at high pressure. Lithos 196:281-300
- 896 Lesher CE (1994) Kinetics of Sr and Nd exchange in silicate liquids: Theory, experiments, and
- 897 applications to uphill diffusion, isotopic equilibration, and irreversible mixing of magmas. J Geophys
- 898 Res 99:9585-9604
- 899 Leuthold J, Müntener O, Baumgartner LP, Putlitz B, Ovtcharova M, Schaltegger U (2012) Time
- 900 resolved construction of a bimodal laccolith (Torres del Paine, Patagonia). Earth Planet Sci Lett 325-

901 326:85-92

- 902 Leveson DJ (1966) Orbicular rocks: a review. Geol Soc Am Bull 77:409-426
- 903 Lofgren GE, Donaldson CH (1975) Curved branching crystals and differentiation in comb-layered
- 904 rocks. Contrib Mineral Petrol 49:309-319
- 905 London D (1992) The application of experimental petrology to the genesis and crystallization of
- 906 granitic pegmatites. Can Mineral 30:499-540
- 907 London D (2005) Granitic pegmatites: an assessment of current concepts and directions for the future.
- 908 Lithos 80:271-303
- 909 London D (2008) Pegmatites. Can Mineral Sp Publ 10, pp. 347
- 910 Loomis AA (1963) Noritic anorthositc bodies in the Sierra Nevada Batholith. Mineral Soc Am Spec
- 911 Paper 1:62-68
- 912 Lowenstern JB, Sinclair WD (1996) Exsolved magmatic fluid and its role in the formation of comb-
- 913 layered quartz at the Cretaceous Logtung W-Mo deposit, Yukon Territory, Canada. Trans Royal Soc
- 914 Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 87:291-303
- 915 Makhluf AR, Newton RC, Manning CE, Experimental determination of liquidus H₂O contents of
- 916 simple granites at deep crustal conditions. http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014AGUFM.V51C4778M
- 917 Mao HK, Bell PM (1971) Behavior of thermo-couples in the single-stage piston-cylinder apparatus.
- 918 Carnegie Inst Ilash Year Book 691:207-216
- 919 McDade P, Wood BJ, van Westrenen W, Brooker RA, Gudmudsson G, Soulard H, Najorka J, Blundy
- 920 JD (2002) Pressure corrections for a selection of piston-cylinder cell assemblies, Mineral Magaz
- 921 66:1021-1028
- 922 Merrill RB, Wyllie PJ (1975) Kaersutite and kaersutite eclogite from Kakanui, New Zealand: water
- 923 excess and water deficient melting to 30 kilobars. Geol Soc Am Bull 86:555-570
- 924 Moore JG, Lockwood JP (1973) Origin of comb layering and orbicular structure, Sierra Nevada
- 925 Batholith, California. Geol Soc Am Bull 84:1-20
- 926 Morgan GB VI, Acosta-Vigil A, London D (2008) Diffusive equilibration between hydrous
- 927 metaluminous-peraluminous haplogranite liquid couples at 200 MPa (H₂O) and alkali transport in

- 928 granitic liquids. Contrib Mineral Petrol 155:257-269
- 929 Morgavi D, et al. (2012) Interactions between rhyolitic and basaltic melts unraveled by chaotic mixing
- 930 experiments. Chem Geol doi10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.10.003
- 931 Murphy MD, Sparks RSJ, Barclay J, Carroll MR, Brewer TS (2000) Remobilization of andesite magma
- by intrusion of mafic magma at the Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, West Indies. J Petrol 41:21-42
- 933 Nabelek PI, Whittington AG, Sirbescu M-LC (2010) The role of H₂O in rapid emplacement and
- 934 crystallization of granite pegmatites: resolving the paradox of large crystals in highly undercooled
- 935 melts. Contrib Mineral Petrol 160:313-325
- Ni H, Zhang Y (2008) H₂O diffusion models in rhyolitic melt with new high pressure data. Chem Geol
- 937 250:68-78
- 938 Oldenburg CM, Spera FJ, Yuen DA, Sewell G (1989) Dynamic mixing in magma bodies: theory,
- 939 simulations and implications. J Geophys Res 94:9215-9236
- 940 O'Neil HSC (1986) Mo-MoO2 (MOM) oxygen buffer and the free energy of formation of MoO2. Am
- 941 Mineral 71:1007-1010
- 942 Ort MH (1992) Orbicular volcanic rocks of Cerro Panizos: their origin and implications for orb
- 943 formation. Geol Soc Am Bull 84:1-20
- 944 Perugini D, Poli G (2004) Analysis and numerical simulation of chaotic advection and chemical
- 945 diffusion during magma mixing: petrological implications. Lithos 78:43-66
- 946 Plank T, Kelley KA, Zimmer MM, Hauri EH, Wallace PJ (2013) Why do mafic arc magmas contain
- 947 ~4 wt% water on average? Earth Planet Sci Lett 364:168-179
- 948 Pistone M (2012) Physical properties of crystal- and bubble-bearing magmas. Dissertation, ETH-949 Zurich
- 950 Pistone M, Caricchi L, Ulmer P, Burlini L, Ardia P, Reusser E, Marone F, Arbaret L (2012)
- 951 Deformation experiments of bubble- and crystal-bearing magmas: rheological and microstructural
- 952 analysis. J Geophys Res 117:doi:10.1029/2011JB008986
- 953 Pistone M, Caricchi L, Ulmer P, Reusser E, Ardia P (2013) Rheology of volatile-bearing crystal
- 954 mushes: mobilization vs. viscous death. Chem Geol 345:16-39
- 955 Poldervaart A, Taubeneck WH (1959) Layered intrusions of the Willow Lake type. Geol Soc Am Bull
- 956 70:1395-1398
- 957 Romine WL, Whittington AG, Nabelek PI, Hofmeister AM (2012) Thermal diffusivity of rhyolitic

- glasses and melts: effects of temperature, crystals and dissolved water. Bull Volcanol 74:2273-2287
- 959 Rouse P (2000) Experimental phase equilibria of sodic phonolites from Montaña Blanca, Tenerife.
- 960 Dissertation, University of Bristol
- 961 Ruprecht P, Bachmann O (2010) Pre-eruptive reheating during magma mixing at Quizapu volcano and
- 962 the implications for the explosiveness of silicic arc volcanoes. Geology 38:919-922
- 963 Ruprecht P, Bergantz GW, Cooper KM, Hildreth W (2012) The crustal magma storage system of
- Volcán Quizapu, Chile, and the effects of magma mixing on magma diversity. J Petrol 53:801-840
- 965 Rutter EH, Brodie KH, Irving DH (2006) Flow of synthetic, wet, partially molten "granite" under
- 966 undrained conditions: an experimental study. J Geophys Res 111:doi.org/10.1029/2005JB004257
- 967 Sinclair WD (2007) Porphyry deposits, In Goodfellow WD (ed), Mineral Deposits of Canada: A
- 968 Synthesis of Major Deposit-Types, District Metallogeny, the Evolution of Geological Provinces, and
- 969 Exploration Methods. Geol Ass Can Mineral Deposits Div Spec Pub 5:223-243
- 970 Sato E, Sato H (2009) Study of effect of magma pocket on mixing of two magmas with different
- 971 viscosities and densities by analogue experiments, J Volcanol Geotherm Res 181:115-123
- 972 Saunders KE, Buse B, Kilburn M, Kearns S, Blundy JD (2014) Nanoscale characterisation of crystal
- 973 zoning. Chem Geol 364:20-32
- 974 Sha L-K (1995) Genesis of zoned hydrous ultramafic/mafic-silicic intrusive complexes: an MHFC
- 975 hypothesis, Earth Sci Rev 39:59-90
- 976 Shannon JR, Walker BM, Carten RB, Geraghty EP (1982) Unidirectional solidification textures and
- 977 their significance in determining relative ages of intrusions at the Henderson Mine, Colorado. Geology
- 978 10:293-297
- Sisson TW, Layne GD (1993) H₂O in basalt and basaltic andesite glass inclusions from four
 subduction-related volcanoes. Earth Planet Sci Lett 117:619-635
- 981 Sisson TW, Grove TL (1993) Temperatures and H₂O contents of low MgO high-alumina basalts.
- 982 Contrib Mineral Petrol 113:167-184
- 983 Sisson TW, Grove TL, Coleman DS (1996) Horneblende gabbro sill complex at Onion Valley,
- 984 California, and amixing origin for the SierraNevada batholith. Contrib Mineral Petrol 126:81-108
- 985 Sparks RSJ, Marshall LA (1986) Thermal and mechanical constraints on mixing between mafic and
- 986 silicic magmas. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 29:99-124

- 987 Sylvester AG (2011) The nature and polygenetic origin of orbicular granodiorite in the Lower Castle
- 988 Creek pluton, northern Sierra Nevada batholith, California. Geosphere 7:1-9
- 989 Ulmer P (1986) Basische und ultrabasische Gesteine des Adamello (Provinzen Brescia und Trento,
- 990 Norditalien). Disseration, ETH-Zürich
- 991 Ulmer P (2001) Partial melting in the mantle wedge: the role of H₂O in the genesis of mantle-derived
- 992 "arc-related" magmas. Phys Earth Planet Inter 127:215-232
- 993 Tuttle OF, Bowen NL (1958) Origin of granite in the light of experimental studies in the system
- 994 NaAlSi₃O₈-KAlSi₃O₈-SiO₂-H₂O. Geol Soc Am Mem 74, pp. 153
- 995 van der Laan SR, Wyllie PJ (1993) Experimental interaction of granitic and basaltic magmas and
- 996 implications for mafic enclaves. J Petrol 34:491-517
- 997 Watson EB (1981) Diffusion in magmas at depth in the earth: the effects of pressure and dissolved
- 998 H20. Earth Planet Sci Lett 52:291-301
- 999 Watson EB (1982) Basaltic contamination by continental crust: some experiments and models. Contrib
- 1000 Mineral Petrol 80:73-87
- 1001 Watson EB, Jurewicz SR (1984) Behaviour of alkalis during diffusive interaction of granitic xenoliths
- 1002 with basic magmas. J Geol 92:121-131
- 1003 Webb SL, Dingwell DB (1990) The onset of non-Newtonian rheology of silicate melts: a fiber
- 1004 elongation study. Phys Chem Miner 17:125-132
- 1005 Webber KL, Falster AU, Simmons W, Foord EE (1997) The role of diffusion-controlled oscillatory
- 1006 nucleation in the formation of line rock in pegmatite-aplite dikes. J Petrol 38:1777-1791
- 1007 Webber KL, Simmons WB, Falster AU, Foord EE (1999) Cooling rates and crystallization dynamics of
- 1008 shallow level pegmatite-aplite dikes, San Diego County, California. Am Mineral 84:708-717
- 1009 Wyllie PJ, Cox KG, Biggar GM (1962) The habit of apatite in synthetic systems and igneous rocks. J
- 1010 Petrol 3:238-243
- 1011 Yoder HSJr, Stewart DB, Smith JR (1957) Ternary feldspars. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Year
 1012 book 55:206-214
- 1013 Yoder HSJr, Tilley C.E. (1962) Origin of basalt magmas: An experimental study of natural and
- 1014 synthetic rock systems. J Petrol 3:342-532
- 1015 Yoder HSJr (1973) Contemporaneous basaltic and rhyolitic magmas. Am Mineral 58:153-171
- 1016

1017

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1018 Figure 1: Examples of textures produced by hydrous mafic magmas from the Tertiary Adamello 1019 Batholith, (Alps, Italy). A) Polished section from the Val Fredda Complex (Blundy and Sparks 1992) 1020 showing interaction between hornblende-rich gabbro (lower dark portion) and tonalite host (upper 1021 lighter portion). The interaction between the two rocks is marked by a fine-grained "chilled margin" to 1022 the gabbro. Wisps of solidified mafic magma can be seen rising from the interface and permeating the 1023 tonalite, which is rendered anomalously darker as a consequence. Rounded, grey quartz phenocrysts in 1024 the tonalite have developed hornblende-bearing coronas as a consequence of interaction with mafic 1025 magma. B) Example of comb layering (a variant of unidirectional solidification texture - UST) from the 1026 north-west flanks of Cornone di Blumone (John and Blundy 1993). Black hornblendes grow upwards 1027 from the curved interface in the centre of the photograph. A second comb layer lies above the main 1028 layer, separated from the first by banded, fine-grained rock. The comb layers cut equigranular gabbro 1029 host rock. C) Another example of comb layering from the same locality as (B). In this case the comb 1030 texture is defined by elongate white plagioclase crystals growing perpendicular to an interface (near 1031 coin). Mafic rock below the interface is uniformly fine-grained and banded. A second comb layer lies 1032 beneath the fine-grained layer. In the main comb layered region plagioclase grain size increases 1033 upwards away from the interface, while the number density of plagioclase grains decreases. The comb 1034 layer is asymmetric and abuts medium-grained host diorite at the top of the photograph.

1035

Figure 2: BSE images of key textural features associated with interfaces in the different run products: *A*) F0-M, *B*) F0-M-F0, *C*) F50-M, *D*) F60-M-F60, *E*) F70-M, *F*) F80-M-F80, *G*) F50-M, *H*) M-M.
Run details given in Table 1. The phases present: vesicles (black circles), hornblende (very light grey
objects in M and light grey objects in F0), plagioclase (light grey objects), oxides (white objects),
quartz (very dark grey objects), and silicic glass (dark grey matrix). The black and white scale bars are:
500 µm in *A*, 200 µm in *C-F*, *H*, and 100 µm in *G*.

1042

1043 Figure 3: BSE images of textures of cooling experiments on starting material M: *A-B*) fast cooling

1044 (1000 to 950 °C; 3.3 °C/second; 4 kbar), and *C-D*) slow cooling (1000 to 950 °C; 1 °C/minute; 4 kbar).

1045 The phases present have the same grey-scale characteristics as Figure 2. Images are arranged with the

1046 sample periphery on the right hand side and sample core on the left hand side. The black scale bar is

1047 300 μm in *A-B* and 100 μm in *C-D*.

1048

1049 Figure 4: A) Representative EPMA-based characteristic X-ray distribution maps of run product F0-M.

1050 B) Representative SEM-based false-colour characteristic X-ray distribution maps of run product F70-

- 1051 M. Both grey (*A*) and colour scales (*B*) of the X-ray distribution maps are expressed in wt.%.
- 1052

Figure 5: EPMA- and SIMS-based molar ratio (ASI = Al₂O₃/[CaO+Na₂O+K₂O], Al/Si, Al/Na, Al/K,
and Na/K) profiles across the interaction interface in different run products. EPMA- and SIMS-based
concentration profiles of major oxides and trace elements are reported in the Supplementary Material.
Data are displayed according to the distance of the analysis spots from the interface(s) (see
Supplementary Material).

1058

1059Figure 6: SIMS- and EPMA-based H_2O contents along the different run products (except run products1060F50-F0 and M-M, which are reported in the Supplementary Material). Data are displayed according to1061the distance of the analysis spots from the interface(s) (see Supplementary Material).

1062

Figure 7: Calculated local liquidus temperatures (T_L , computed using the model of Makhluf et al. 2014, based on EPMA and SIMS data; standard error of ±4 °C) across the different run products. A few computed values of T_L in the dacite of run products F50-M, F60-M-F60, and F70-M are < 800 °C, and therefore not displayed.

1067

1068 Figure 8: A) Normalised temperature $(T' = T_{experimental} / T_L)$ versus dimensionless crystal nucleation 1069 and growth rate (after Hort 1998). The grey line (at T' = 1) separates the field of supercooling (T' > 1) 1070 from that of undercooling (T' < 1). Black and brown lines indicate the Gaussian trends of crystal 1071 growth and nucleation rate respectively. Red and blue areas indicate the nucleation and growth of 1072 crystals in the dacite and andesite respectively. The orange area indicates common conditions of 1073 nucleation and growth of crystals in the two interacting domains. Two inset schematics indicate the 1074 H₂O content conditions under which crystal nucleation rate is favoured (low H₂O content) or crystal 1075 growth rate is supported (high H₂O content). **B-D**) Conceptual diagrams showing the effect of 1076 undercooling (i.e. temperature difference, ΔT , between the contact temperature at the interface, 1077 $T_{interface}$, and the liquidus temperature of the felsic (blue), $T_{L(felsic)}$, or the liquidus temperature of the 1078 mafic magma (red), $T_{L(mafic)}$ in three end-member scenarios: B) heat diffusion from anhydrous mafic to 1079 anhydrous felsic magma, which generates chilled margins at the interface of the mafic domain and 1080 limited partial melting of the felsic domain; C) both heat and H₂O diffusion from H₂O-saturated mafic 1081 to anhydrous felsic magma, which causes dramatic crystallisation of the mafic domain, including the 1082 formation of chilled margins at the interface, and diffuse partial melting of the felsic domain; D) 1083 isothermal (i.e. $T_{interface}$ = actual temperature of the interacting magmas, T_{actual}) H₂O diffusion from 1084 H2O-saturated mafic to anhydrous (or H2O-undersaturated) felsic magma, which promotes the 1085 generation of comb layering in the mafic domain and super-liquidus melt at the interface in the felsic 1086 domain. The H₂O diffusion promotes a drop of the $T_{L(felsic)}$, with enrichment of H₂O in the residual 1087 melt. Conversely, H₂O release from the mafic melt favours an increase of the $T_{L(mafic)}$ with an inward 1088 crystallisation (from the mafic-felsic interface to the mafic core), which is initially nucleation-driven 1089 (evidenced by the presence of microlites at the interface; Figures 1A-B), then growth-driven 1090 (characterised by elongate crystals arranged in a comb layering structure towards the mafic-felsic 1091 interface; Figures 1B-C). The diagram shows the schematics of textures generated during the 1092 experiments. Different crystals are: quartz (red hexagons) with orange SiO₂-dissolution rims, 1093 hornblende (black rectangles), plagioclase (light brown rectangles) and Fe-Ti oxides (yellow squares).

- 1094
- 1095

TABLE CAPTIONS

1096 Table 1: Summary of the high-temperature and high-pressure experiments from this study. 1097 Abbreviations: $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ = sample diameter; \boldsymbol{l} = sample length; \boldsymbol{V} = sample volume; \boldsymbol{m} = sample mass; $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ = 1098 sample density at room conditions; V_{felsic} / V_{mafic} = total volume ratio between felsic and mafic sample; 1099 m_{felsic} / m_{mafic} = total mass ratio between felsic and mafic sample; $\rho_{felsic} / \rho_{mafic}$ = total density ratio 1100 between felsic and mafic sample; T = temperature; P = pressure; *Glass* = residual silicic glass; *Hbl* = 1101 hornblende; Plag = plagioclase; Ox = Fe-Ti oxide; Qz = quartz; Bubble = gas bubble. The values of 1102 volumetric proportions of the different phases within the single samples in the run products are 1103 reported in vol.%. Runs M cooling 01 and M cooling 02 were conducted with cooling rates of 3.3 1104 °C/second (fast cooling); runs M cooling 03 and M cooling 04 were conducted with cooling rates of 1105 1 °C/minute (slow cooling). <u>Underlined</u> = experiment conducted by using a cold seal vessel; (*) =
1106 experiment performed with Pt capsule.

1107

1108 Table 2: Representative EPMA analyses of the bulk matrix glass from starting materials and mineral 1109 compositions from selected experimental charges from this study. Chemical analyses are volatile-free 1110 basis. H₂O contents here reported are from SIMS analyses (*); H₂O contents are also calculated using 1111 the by-difference method (see Figure 6). Uncertainties based on counting statistics of the reported 1112 concentrations are in the range of 0.5-1.5% for SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and CaO, 1-7% for Na₂O and K₂O, 3-22% 1113 for TiO₂, FeO_T, MgO and MnO. The maximum uncertainties for reported molar ratios, calculated via 1114 error propagation, are: 31% for ASI (Al₂O₃/[CaO+Na₂O+K₂O]), 3% for Na/K, 1% for Al/K, 2% for 1115 Al/Na, and 10% for Al/Si. In italics = selected hornblende mineral located at a distance of \sim 50 µm far 1116 from the closest quartz crystal; the analysis of the other hornblendes display higher SiO₂ content (\sim 50-1117 55 wt.%) due to larger SiO₂ content in the glass surrounding quartz crystals, as evidenced by FEG-1118 probe analyses (Supplementary Material).

1119

Table 3: EPMA-based chemical profiles within the glass phase in the experimental charges simulating sample-sample interaction from this study (see also Figure 5). Chemical analyses are volatile-free basis; H₂O contents are calculated using the by-difference method. Locations of the EPMA and H₂O-SIMS analysis spots are displayed in the Supplementary Material.

1124

Table 4: SIMS analyses of the silicic glass in the run products simulating sample-sample interaction (see also Figure 6). All data are Si-normalised and corrected following the Geological and Environmental Reference Materials (GeoReM) database. Locations of the analysis spots are displayed in the Supplementary Material.

1129

1130

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

1131 Figure SM1: A-D) SEM-based BSE images detailing the sample-sample interaction interface of the

1132 run products: A) F0-M; B) F0-M-F0; C) F50-M; D) F60-M-F60; E) F70-M; F) F80-M-F80; G) F50-

1133 F0; *H*) M-M; *I*) F50-M (CSV). The phases present: vesicles (black circles), hornblende (very light grey

1134 objects in M and light grey objects in F0), plagioclase (light grey objects), oxides (white objects),

quartz (very dark grey objects), and silicic glass (dark grey matrix). Red and brown dots indicate EPMA analysis spots; blue and purple dots indicate SIMS analysis spots in the felsic and mafic domain respectively (and, felsic mush and felsic crystal-free sample of the run product F50-F0 respectively); yellow and orange dots indicate SIMS analysis spots in the upper mafic and lower mafic domain respectively. Black arrows indicate the location of the interfaces between samples. The black scale bar is 1 mm in all images.

1141

Figure SM2: BSE image showing representative FEG-EPMA-based analysis spots reporting the SiO₂
content across quartz crystal and surrounding silicic glass in the run product F70-M. Black circles of
Profile 1 (*A*) and Profile 2 (*B*) (see analysis spots data reported in Table SM1).

1145

1146 Figure SM3: EPMA- and SIMS-based concentration profiles of major elements across the interaction

1147 interface in different run products. Data are displayed according to the distance of the analysis spots

1148 from the interface(s) (see Figure SM1).

1149

1150 Figure SM4: SIMS-based concentration profiles of trace elements and SIMS- and EPMA-based H_2O

1151 contents along the different run products (including F50-F0 and M-M). Data are displayed according to

1152 the distance of the analysis spots from the interface(s) (see Figure SM1).

1153

Table SM1: Representative FEG-EPMA data from analysis spots of the quartz crystals and surrounding silicic glass in the experimental charge F70-M. All presented data are affected by 1 to 5% standard error. Locations of the analysis spots are displayed in Figure SM2.



















Table 1

Experiment	Sample	ø (mm)	l (mm)	$V(mm^3)$	m (g)	ρ (kg/m ³)	V _{felsic} / V _{mafic}	m _{felsic} / m _{mafic}	$\rho_{felsic}/\rho_{mafic}$	T (°C)	P (kbar)	Duration (hours)	Glass	Hbl	Plag	0x	Qz	Bubbles
F0_01(*)	F0	2.99	2.48	17.41	0.0416	2389	-	-	-	950	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
F0_02	F0	-	-	-	0.0226	-	-	-	-	950	4	24	60	38	0	2	0	0
F0_03	F0	-	-	-	0.0190	-	-	-	-	950	4	24	68	31	0	1	0	0
F80_01	F80	-	-	-	0.0231	-	-	-	-	950	4	24	20	0	0	0	80	0
F80_02	F80	-	-	-	0.0116	-	-	-	-	950	4	24	20	0	0	0	80	0
M_01(*)	М	2.95	1.95	13.33	0.0391	2934	-	-	-	950	4	24	-	-	-	-	-	-
M_02	М	-	-	-	0.0122	-	-	-	-	950	4	24	50	19	30	1	0	0
M_03	М	-	-	-	0.0144	-	-	-	-	950	4	24	55	10	34	1	0	0
M_cooling_01	М	2.91	6.16	40.97	0.1053	2570	-	-	-	1000 to 950	4	0.004	70	18	10	1	0	1
$M_{cooling_{02}}$	М	2.92	3.68	24.64	0.0517	2098	-	-	-	1000 to 950	4	0.004	70	17	11	1	0	1
M_cooling_03	М	2.94	5.20	35.30	0.0858	2431	-	-	-	1000 to 950	4	0.83	5	20	70	4	0	1
$M_{cooling_04}$	М	2.95	5.80	39.64	0.0954	2407	-	-	-	1000 to 950	4	0.83	6	24	65	4	0	1
E0 M	F0	3.01	2.05	14.59	0.0348	2386	0.68	0.63	0.03	950	4	24	62	34	0	3	0	1
1.0-111	М	2.94	3.18	21.59	0.0553	2562	0.08	0.03	0.93	930	4	24	55	14	26	4	0	1
M = 0	M	2.90	3.10 20.48 0.0530 2	2588	1.45	1 29	0.05	650	4	0.5	0	35	50	5	0	10		
<i>M-1</i> ⁰	F0	2.99	4.22	29.63	0.0732	2470	1.45	1.38	0.95	050	4	0.5	90	3	0	2	0	5
E50 M	F50	2.99	1.20	8.43	0.0187	2219	0.38	0.32	0.85	950	4	24	41	5	0	3	50	1
1.20-141	М	2.92	3.34	22.37	0.0587	2624	0.58	0.52	0.85	950	4	24	49	20	27	2	0	2
	F60	2.94	2.09	14.19	0.0333	2347							27	3	0	3	65	2
F60-M-F60	M	2.93	3.43	23.13	0.0595	2573	1.22	1.11	0.91	950	4	24	36	23	35	3	0	3
	F60	2.92	2.10	14.06	0.0326	2318							29	3	0	3	63	2
F70-M	F70	2.97	2.06	14.27	7 0.0342 2396 0.58		0.58	0.54	0.93	950	4	24	20	7	0	2	70	1
170-141	М	2.94	3.60	24.44	0.0629	2574	0.58	0.54	0.75	750		24	49	17	30	2	0	2
	F80	3.00	2.22	15.69	0.0385	2453							9	7	0	3	80	1
F80-M-F80	M	2.95	3.61	24.67	0.0646	2618	1.33	1.25	0.94	950	4	24	40	19	37	3	0	1
	F80	3.00	2.43	17.18	0.0425	2474							9	7	0	3	80	1
	F0	3.00	2.52	17.81	0.0435	2442							69	27	0	3	0	1
F0-M-F0	M	2.91	2.38	15.83	0.0415	2622	2.29	2.14	0.93	950	4	168	0	37	50	12	0	1
	F0	3.00	2.61	18.45	0.0452	2450							67	29	0	3	0	1
E50_E0	F50	4.20	2.92	40.45	-	-	1 14	_	_	950	4	22	41	2	0	1	55	1
150-10	F0	3.88	2.99	35.35	0.0864	2444	1.17)50	-	22	55	38	0	6	0	1
$M_{-}M$	M	4.03	3.01	38.39	0.0956	2490	1.01	1.00	0 99	950	4	25	55	10	18	10	0	7
111-111	М	4.01	3.02	38.14	0.0958	2512	1.01	1.00	0.99	950	7	23	43	10	35	4	0	8
F50-M	F50	2.95	1.74	11.89	0.0276	2321	1 21	1.09	0.90	827	2 to 1	48	27	0	0	3	50	20
<u>1 JU-111</u>	М	2.92	1.47	9.84	0.0253	2570	1.41	1.09	0.90	027	2 10 1	-10	8	20	46	4	0	22

Table 2

Sample Type	Sample Name	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	Al_2O_3	FeO _{TOT}	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K_2O	H ₂ O	ASI	Na/K	Al/K	Al/Na	Al/Si
Contine Materiale	М	60.96 (0.92)	0.84 (0.12)	22.11 (0.17)	2.94 (0.59)	0.22 (0.04)	0.18 (0.07)	6.59 (0.14)	4.54 (0.27)	1.62 (0.11)	6.03 (0.02)*	1.04 [0.32]	4.26 [0.09]	12.61 [0.07]	2.96 [0.06]	0.21 [0.02]
Starting Materials	FØ	64.54 (0.33)	0.50 (0.04)	18.12 (0.20)	3.79 (0.12)	0.26 (0.02)	1.56 (0.08)	5.28 (0.08)	4.29 (0.06)	1.66 (0.04)	3.69 (0.02)*	0.98 [0.11]	3.93 [0.03]	10.08 [0.03]	2.57 [0.02]	0.17 [0.01]
	Plag in M	52.17 (0.16)	-	28.90 (0.35)	0.82 (0.25)	-	0.13 (0.04)	13.75 (0.07)	4.00 (0.15)	0.25 (0.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-
F0 / M	Hbl in M	43.14 (0.10)	2.37 (0.16)	12.92 (0.62)	12.43 (0.51)	0.60 (0.51)	14.28 (0.71)	11.48 (0.07)	2.29 (0.11)	0.49 (0.03)	2.86 (0.07)	-	-	-	-	-
	Oxide in M	-	3.21 (0.57)	4.91 (0.02)	85.23 (0.79)	1.60 (0.04)	5.05 (0.71)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Plag in M	53.43 (1.08)	0.18 (0.09)	28.49 (0.45)	1.65 (0.31)	0.04 (0.02)	0.23 (0.06)	11.54 (0.14)	4.12 (0.22)	0.27 (0.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Hbl in M	43.00 (0.08)	2.15 (0.20)	13.79 (0.65)	13.14 (0.33)	0.60 (0.45)	13.27 (0.94)	11.38 (0.18)	2.12 (0.23)	0.55 (0.14)	2.76 (0.19)	-	-	-	-	-
F50/M	Oxide in M	-	5.21 (0.21)	1.81 (0.02)	87.46 (0.88)	1.45 (0.04)	4.07 (0.45)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Quartz in F50	99.87 (0.01)	-	0.04 (0.01)	0.09 (0.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Hbl in F50	46.55 (0.51)	2.51 (0.02)	11.46 (0.08)	10.36 (0.04)	0.77 (0.08)	10.22 (0.78)	13.48 (0.63)	3.87 (0.47)	0.78 (0.04)	2.74 (0.24)	-	-	-	-	-



F0-M	4	0	F	0	E	0	7	0		M	44	40	10
SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O-	68.44 0.54 16.30	68.53 0.52 16.48	68.64 0.53 16.94	67.67 0.57 16.60	68.32 0.56 17.24	67.32 0.55 17.01	66.98 0.63 17.04	67.78 0.68 17.52	66.60 0.67 16.93	66.53 0.65 18.00	65.64 0.60 17.41	66.50 0.63 18.08	66.13 0.61 17.37
FeO _T MnO MgO	2.90 0.17 1.35	3.21 0.24 1.50	3.09 0.26 1.58	3.12 0.22 1.64	3.18 0.24 1.55	3.13 0.23 1.58	3.30 0.27 1.63	3.25 0.27 1.66	3.16 0.31 1.73	3.56 0.36 1.92	3.38 0.36 1.91	3.50 0.36 1.89	3.32 0.33 1.73
CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O	3.60 4.25 2.39	3.82 3.31 2.39	4.12 2.47 2.36	4.02 3.85 2.32	4.16 2.40 2.34	4.18 3.77 2.21	4.15 3.74 2.27	4.42 2.09 2.30	4.42 4.01 2.16	4.89 1.87 2.20	4.64 3.98 2.06	4.80 2.04 2.19	4.70 3.64 2.18
H ₂ O ASI Na/K	5.29 1.01 2.70	6.08 1.10 2.10	7.93 1.20 1.59	6.18 1.03 2.52	7.96 1.23 1.56	5.22 1.05 2.59	6.78 1.06 2.51	8.65 1.26 1.38	6.15 1.00 2.82	9.58 1.25 1.30	6.40 1.01 2.93	8.83 1.25 1.41	6.40 1.03 2.54
Al/K Al/Na Al/Si	6.29 2.33 0.14	6.36 3.03 0.14	6.62 4.18 0.15	6.62 2.62 0.14	6.81 4.36 0.15	7.10 2.74 0.15	6.94 2.77 0.15	7.05 5.10 0.15	7.25 2.57 0.15	7.57 5.84 0.16	7.79 2.66 0.16 2407	7.61 5.38 0.16	7.36 2.90 0.15
F0-M-F0 Analysis Spot	1402	2	3	F0 _{bottom}	5	6	7	8	F0 _{top}	10		2759	3369
SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃	72.98 0.52 15.67	73.12 0.57 15.47	71.28 0.60 15.60	71.62 0.51 16.00	68.44 0.49 17.25	71.38 0.50 15.30	70.34 0.27 16.48	71.37 0.47 16.35	69.19 0.54 16.23	72.30 0.56 15.67			
FeO _T MnO MgO	2.15 0.15 0.95	2.16 0.14 1.05	2.12 0.20 1.10	2.23 0.19 1.16	2.12 0.18 1.06	3.46 0.23 1.13	2.06 0.18 1.01	2.25 0.17 1.11	3.17 0.19 1.25	2.24 0.20 1.17			
CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O	2.70 2.20 2.66	2.70 2.08 2.71	2.90 3.61 2.58	3.61 2.25 2.41	4.29 3.85 2.31	3.06 2.37 2.55	3.41 3.76 2.46	3.28 2.41 2.53	3.40 3.61 2.40	2.85 2.37 2.63			
H₂O ASI Na/K	6.19 1.37 1.26	5.99 1.37 1.16	4.78 1.11 2.13	6.96 1.24 1.42	5.17 1.04 2.53	6.02 1.25 1.41	4.28 1.10 2.32	6.14 1.29 1.45	4.95 1.10 2.29	6.44 1.31 1.37			
Al/Na Al/Si Distance (um)	4.33 0.13 1645	4.53 0.12 1032	2.62 0.13 355	4.33 0.13 81	2.73 0.15 32	3.92 0.13 32	2.67 0.14 15	4.12 0.13 15	2.73 0.14 32	4.02 0.13 452			
F50-M Analysis Spot	1	2	3	F	50 5	6	7	8	-				
SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃	74.27 0.41 14.08	72.29 0.43 14.71	73.98 0.42 14.44	72.81 0.50 14.31	72.62 0.52 14.92	73.40 0.51 13.93	74.15 0.52 14.17	72.17 0.56 14.69					
MnO MgO CaO	0.13 0.84 3.08	0.15 0.85 3.01	0.17 0.81 3.07	0.17 0.95 2.95	0.21 1.05 3.37	0.17 0.88 2.82	0.18 0.92 3.02	0.19 1.07 3.00					
Na ₂ O K ₂ O H ₂ O	2.39 2.22 6.57	3.77 2.19 5.30	2.25 2.27 7.37	3.60 2.07 5.15	2.36 2.11 6.28	3.55 2.14 5.77	2.38 2.20 6.01	3.79 2.16 5.06					
ASI Na/K Al/K	1.18 1.64 5.86	1.05 2.62 6.22	1.23 1.50 5.87	1.06 2.64 6.39	1.21 1.70 6.52	1.05 2.52 6.03	1.20 1.65 5.96	1.05 2.66 6.27					
Al/Na Al/Si Distance (μm)	3.58 0.11 473	2.38 0.12 200	3.91 0.12 109	2.42 0.12 36	3.84 0.12 18	2.39 0.11 36	3.62 0.11 18	2.35 0.12 18					
Analysis Spot SiO ₂ TiO ₂	1 80.91 0.31	2 72.18 0.53	72.49 0.57	4 72.13 0.48	5 74.87 0.46	6 71.30 0.56	7 67.53 0.99	8 68.96 0.85	9 69.01 0.91	10 65.97 0.56	11 67.19 0.68	12 65.91 0.67	
Al ₂ O ₃ FeO _T MnO	10.09 2.03 0.09	14.63 2.38 0.20	14.57 2.64 0.23	14.44 2.77 0.17	13.91 2.42 0.16	15.17 2.80 0.21	15.76 6.01 0.26	15.99 3.09 0.22	16.41 3.28 0.28	17.90 3.47 0.38	17.79 3.19 0.37	17.88 3.09 0.38	
MgO CaO Na ₂ O	0.69 1.88 1.80	1.11 3.05 3.73	1.41 3.66 2.26	0.98 2.71 4.06	0.87 2.64 2.34	1.02 3.38 3.51	1.22 3.85 2.40	1.32 3.76 3.77	1.45 4.19 2.43	1.82 4.26 3.62	1.81 4.45 2.42	1.83 4.26 3.93	
K2O H2O ASI	2.14 5.78 1.16	2.19 5.73 1.04	2.15 6.33 1.15	2.16 5.15 1.04	2.30 6.78 1.25	2.04 5.98 1.07	1.96 6.52 1.21	2.02 5.81 1.05	2.02 7.01 1.19	2.01 7.02 1.13	2.11 8.75 1.24	2.03 6.59 1.09	
Al/K Al/Na Al/Si	4.35 3.40 0.07	2.59 6.17 2.39 0.12	6.27 3.93 0.12	2.80 6.18 2.16 0.12	1.55 5.59 3.61 0.11	2.61 6.87 2.63 0.13	7.42 3.99 0.14	2.84 7.33 2.58 0.14	7.49 4.10 0.14	8.22 3.01 0.16	7.81 4.47 0.16	2.94 8.13 2.77 0.16	
Distance (μm) F60-M-F60	273	15	15	18	18 F6	15 0 _{top}	136	182	309	1546	2182	2309	
Analysis Spot SiO ₂ TiO ₂	1 72.40 0.40	2 76.07 0.46	3 74.69 0.37	4 76.78 0.44	5 77.81 0.28	6 77.87 0.26	7 75.24 0.38	8 81.85 0.29	9 72.32 0.42	10 70.00 0.32	11 61.24 0.19		
Al ₂ O ₃ FeO ₇ MnO	14.84 2.77 0.10	12.87 2.52 0.12	13.05 2.52 0.13	12.90 2.22 0.12	12.16 2.02 0.10	11.91 1.71 0.09	13.11 2.06 0.15	10.02 1.47 0.11	13.72 2.52 0.22	16.47 2.48 0.15	22.92 1.35 0.10		
MgO CaO Na ₂ O	0.60 3.61 3.22 2.04	0.66 3.01 1.92 2.35	0.85 2.85 3.03 2.46	0.58 2.55 1.89 2.50	0.70 2.55 1.84 2.51	0.63 2.21 2.86 2.45	0.83 2.72 2.97 2.50	0.50 1.84 1.69 2.21	1.21 4.11 3.25 2.21	0.86 4.90 2.93	0.32 8.38 4.50		
H ₂ O ASI Na/K	3.81 1.06 2.40	6.15 1.15 1.24	5.61 1.02 1.87	6.27 1.23 1.15	6.50 1.17 1.12	6.67 1.05 1.77	6.19 1.05 1.81	5.54 1.18 1.16	6.83 0.90 2.24	4.49 1.04 2.37	2.54 0.97 6.86		
Al/K Al/Na Al/Si	6.73 2.80 0.12	5.06 4.08 0.10	4.90 2.62 0.10	4.77 4.14 0.10	4.48 4.02 0.09	4.49 2.53 0.09	4.85 2.68 0.10	4.20 3.60 0.07	5.75 2.57 0.11	8.11 3.42 0.14	21.21 3.09 0.22		
Analysis Spot	647 1 78.24	456 F6 2 77.85	294 0 _{top} 3 70.24	353 4 73.16	353 5 61.61	177 6 59.78	74 M 7 63.17	15 8 56.61	15 F60 _{tottom} 9 75.91	- 15	15		
TIO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ FeO _T	0.27 10.75 2.42	0.28 10.73 2.21	0.30 11.10 2.05	0.31 11.71 3.50	0.34 22.47 2.15	0.23 24.07 1.65	0.46 19.93 2.60	0.73 20.32 6.85	0.33 13.57 1.70				
MnO MgO CaO	0.15 1.31 2.96	0.15 1.25 2.51	0.15 1.21 2.19	0.35 2.49 3.91	0.10 0.40 7.93	0.07 0.24 8.21	0.22 1.75 6.21	0.29 2.66 8.04	0.13 0.47 1.95				
Na ₂ O K ₂ O H ₂ O	1.47 2.42 6.47	2.68 2.36 6.03	1.31 2.44 6.35	2.43 2.13 4.58	3.86 1.11 3.67	4.78 0.92 2.54	4.00 1.65 3.83	3.43 1.06 3.65	3.26 2.68 6.17				
ASI Na/K AI/K	0.92	0.93 1.73 4.21 2.44	0.82 4.20 5.13	1.74 5.08	5.26 18.62	7.90 24.16 3.06	3.69 11.17 3.03	4.91 17.68	1.15				
Al/Si Distance (µm)	0.08 118	0.08	0.08 15	0.09	0.21 44	0.24 59	0.19	0.21 118	0.11				
F70-M Analysis Spot SiO ₂	1 77.54	2 78.69	3 73.74	4 72.09	F70 5 72.21	6 71.76	7	8 71.16	9 70.83	M 10 65.54			
TIO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ FeO _T	0.35 12.12 1.72	0.35 12.10 1.78 0.11	0.59 14.42 2.43	0.61 14.67 2.37	0.61 15.06 2.60	0.54 14.93 2.37	0.56 15.87 2.53 0.21	0.61 15.91 2.53	0.60 15.96 2.62	0.67 17.78 3.05 0.36			
MgO CaO Na-O	0.65	0.60 2.02 1.92	0.98 2.88 2.36	1.08 2.97 3.74	1.18 3.35 2.38	1.14 2.99 3.72	1.25 3.41 3.84	1.21 3.66 2.40	1.35 3.71 2.40	1.94 4.33 4.09			
K20 H20 ASI	2.32 4.11 1.07	2.42 6.23 1.28	2.39 6.67 1.23	2.26 5.25 1.05	2.35 6.96 1.20	2.33 5.55 1.06	2.27 5.68 1.06	2.27 6.83 1.22	2.27 6.61 1.21	2.21 6.27 1.05			
Na/K Al/K Al/Na	2.16 4.83 2.24	1.21 4.62 3.83	1.50 5.56 3.71	2.51 5.99 2.39	1.54 5.92 3.85	2.42 5.91 2.44	2.57 6.45 2.51	1.61 6.47 4.03	1.60 6.48 4.04	2.81 7.42 2.64			
Al/Si Distance (µm)	0.09 442	0.09 375	0.12 58	0.12 58	0.12 58	0.12 58	0.13 47	0.13 58	0.13 15	0.16 1302			
Analysis Spot SiO ₂ TiO	1 77.39 0.39	2 72.63 0.42	3 73.32 0.44	4 59.15 0.52	5 58.69 0.33	6 60.98 0.47	7 62.00 0.48	8 59.18 0.36	9 60.37 0.43	10 57.66 0.37			
Al ₂ O ₃ FeO _T MnO	12.13 2.33 0.10	14.19 2.96 0.15	14.32 2.90 0.15	22.92 3.15 0.11	23.57 2.05 0.13	20.75 3.14 0.25	19.99 3.07 0.23	23.88 1.84 0.09	22.67 2.38 0.14	21.59 3.60 0.33			
MgO CaO Na ₂ O	0.45 2.16 2.17	0.76 2.72 3.42	0.84 2.84 2.25	0.50 8.03 4.32	0.89 9.20 3.96	1.99 6.83 3.98	2.08 6.77 3.55	0.43 8.36 4.62	0.71 7.87 3.93	3.61 7.46 4.27			
K ₂ O H ₂ O ASI	2.85 5.81 1.15	2.74 4.39 1.05	2.88 5.76 1.20	1.28 2.31 0.99	1.13 2.04 0.96	1.60 3.29 1.00	1.81 4.04 0.99	1.23 1.30 0.99	1.48 2.26 1.01	1.07 2.38 0.99			
Na/K Al/K Al/Na	1.16 3.93 3.40	1.90 4.78 2.52 0.12	1.19 4.59 3.87	5.15 16.60 3.22 0.22	5.32 19.25 3.62	3.77 11.95 3.17	2.98 10.21 3.42	5.69 17.89 3.14	4.03 14.13 3.51	6.08 18.67 3.07			
Distance (µm)	147	44	15	221	441	647	647	632	1205	1324			

Analysis Spot TiO; FeO; MgO CaO H;O Li B FeO;/MgO Distance (um)	1 0.29 1.61 0.74 2.44 5.51 2.43 13.38 2.17 593	2 0.30 2.33 1.82 4.32 4.65 2.23 11.59 1.28 556	3 0.30 1.67 0.80 2.58 5.71 2.50 13.48 2.09 370	4 0.30 1.73 0.83 2.63 5.62 2.50 14.02 2.10 296	5 0.17 1.17 0.41 5.55 2.44 1.38 6.80 2.84 222	6 0.31 1.64 0.79 2.51 5.44 2.42 15.27 2.07 37	0 0.35 1.58 0.83 2.58 5.49 2.47 21.00 1.91 19	8 0.38 1.56 0.85 2.61 5.82 2.59 24.53 1.84 37	9 0.31 1.83 1.03 3.05 6.01 2.62 15.10 1.77 10	10 0.30 2.32 1.66 4.75 4.57 2.14 12.74 12.74 10	11 0.29 1.75 0.96 3.44 5.41 2.36 14.13 1.82 93	12 0.25 1.40 0.61 4.23 4.18 1.81 12.95 2.29 10	13 0.60 4.61 2.81 4.89 4.66 2.19 27.39 1.64 278	14 0.39 1.70 0.94 2.81 5.87 2.51 28.21 1.82 93	15 0.35 1.71 0.93 2.79 5.82 2.49 19.45 1.83 130	16 0.36 1.73 0.94 2.84 5.85 2.50 20.85 1.85 295	17 0.37 1.75 0.94 2.85 6.10 2.52 22.21 1.85 407	18 0.35 1.74 0.92 2.79 5.69 2.53 18.79 1.90 259	19 0.37 1.93 1.05 3.08 6.39 2.62 29.08 1.84 704	M 20 0.34 2.03 1.14 3.22 6.86 2.68 36.89 1.79 1148	21 0.35 1.95 1.12 3.24 7.07 2.83 39.06 1.74 1511	22 0.33 1.81 1.09 3.22 7.21 2.85 40.56 1.66 2953	23 0.34 1.95 1.12 3.31 6.70 2.87 38.77 1.74 2407	24 0.35 2.04 1.06 3.21 6.76 2.97 39.05 1.93 2355	25 0.32 1.66 1.05 3.18 6.63 2.88 38.23 1.58 2444	26 0.32 1.62 1.05 3.27 7.10 3.07 38.23 1.53 3295	27 0.32 1.58 1.02 2.99 7.45 3.27 38.43 1.55 3963								
F0-M-F0 Analysis Spot TiO; FeO; MgO CaO H;O Li B FeO;/MgO Distance (µm)	1 0.23 1.14 0.64 1.95 5.31 5.30 15.03 1.77 2226	2 0.26 1.33 0.64 1.92 5.10 5.12 22.73 2.07 1677	3 0.19 1.08 0.55 2.97 4.46 4.68 12.15 1.96 1823	4 0.14 1.08 0.59 1.88 4.70 5.21 14.52 1.82 1.548	5 0.22 1.11 0.57 2.49 4.74 4.93 13.00 1.94 887	6 0.27 1.13 0.63 1.90 5.15 16.24 1.79 677	F0 _{isp} 7 0.31 1.20 0.63 1.96 4.99 4.96 18.15 1.90 516	8 0.11 1.03 0.50 2.83 4.75 4.47 12.71 2.06 387	9 0.13 1.10 0.59 1.88 5.30 5.05 23.54 1.86 15	10 0.22 1.09 0.50 3.77 4.15 4.07 22.26 2.18 10	11 0.30 1.24 0.64 2.05 5.31 5.05 25.37 1.94 81	12 0.21 1.16 0.51 3.53 4.07 4.17 20.85 2.29 65	13 0.26 1.43 1.29 2.13 5.07 4.60 23.49 1.12 113	14 0.22 1.90 1.04 4.46 4.52 3.76 41.33 1.83 532	15 0.19 2.32 2.88 5.50 3.06 3.00 28.19 0.80 484	16 0.22 1.18 0.43 3.94 4.13 3.73 25.92 2.72 177	17 0.32 1.43 0.62 1.97 5.75 4.77 32.68 2.30 258	18 0.23 1.22 0.46 3.69 4.38 3.80 19.15 2.64 194	F0 19 0.22 1.32 0.95 3.43 5.13 4.06 22.32 1.40 161	20 0.32 1.32 0.72 1.92 5.92 4.93 29.58 1.84 307	21 0.30 1.20 0.61 1.97 6.61 4.75 34.47 1.97 161	22 0.24 1.09 0.59 1.87 6.04 4.70 25.84 1.86 145	23 0.31 1.11 0.58 1.88 5.68 4.78 19.81 1.92 629												
F50.M Analysis Spot TO, FeO, MgO CaO CaO H ₂ O L H B FeO,/MgO Distance (µm)	1 0.12 1.16 0.55 1.75 4.78 1.80 5.69 2.11 1273	2 0.10 0.94 0.30 1.23 4.24 0.76 4.11 3.12 1127	3 0.13 1.21 0.41 1.72 4.27 2.08 6.91 2.95 836	4 0.11 1.08 0.35 1.30 5.50 2.21 5.73 3.04 964	5 0.13 1.15 0.60 1.67 5.74 2.03 5.77 1.92 836	6 0.15 1.36 0.38 1.84 5.29 3.38 8.70 3.62 4.18	7 0.19 1.28 0.43 1.93 5.87 3.64 8.57 2.97 473	8 1.90 0.84 4.29 4.32 3.07 8.44 2.27 400	9 0.21 1.33 0.47 2.91 5.37 3.38 9.25 2.83 282	10 0.22 1.27 0.49 2.65 6.30 3.72 9.94 2.60 209	11 0.22 1.32 0.46 2.00 6.01 3.56 8.90 2.88 164	50 12 0.21 1.34 0.45 1.93 6.06 3.65 9.30 3.00 409	13 0.12 1.11 0.22 0.95 5.77 2.58 4.94 4.99 10	14 0.25 1.31 0.55 1.92 6.44 3.76 13.06 2.38 10	15 0.25 1.41 0.51 2.01 6.15 3.71 14.37 2.76 10	16 0.29 1.59 0.59 2.34 5.99 4.00 16.72 2.69 55	17 0.24 0.00 0.47 1.81 7.48 3.45 12.94 0.00 10	18 0.27 1.56 0.67 2.16 6.26 3.65 13.75 2.33 10	19 0.28 1.57 0.64 2.87 6.62 3.73 14.62 2.46 18	20 0.27 1.44 0.56 2.08 6.31 3.77 14.91 2.58 18	21 0.21 1.16 0.33 1.20 6.12 2.65 11.76 3.46 55	22 0.49 4.62 4.66 4.41 3.18 13.18 13.18 7.80 10	23 0.27 1.50 0.57 2.22 7.19 4.05 14.70 2.66 73	24 0.47 1.93 0.87 2.84 6.42 4.16 36.99 2.22 182	25 0.74 4.31 0.97 2.85 6.79 4.18 45.13 4.45 309	26 1.32 10.71 1.15 2.67 6.56 4.61 39.15 9.28 200	27 0.37 2.02 0.94 2.86 7.56 4.42 45.71 2.15 455	28 0.32 2.06 0.98 2.92 7.29 4.41 44.17 2.10 655	M 29 1.88 22.38 2.44 7.89 7.56 4.73 41.94 9.17 1091	30 0.36 2.13 1.29 3.20 7.44 4.56 42.02 1.65 1327	31 0.34 1.92 1.05 3.09 8.01 4.58 43.89 1.82 1527	32 0.36 1.83 1.18 3.10 8.16 4.82 43.30 1.55 1873	33 0.36 1.89 1.13 3.09 8.42 4.78 41.22 1.67 1982	34 0.36 1.73 1.05 3.04 8.31 4.79 41.94 1.65 2290	35 0.83 4.98 4.89 5.65 5.65 4.75 31.44 1.02 2464
F80-M-F60 Analysis Spot TiO ₂ FeO ₇ MgO CaO H ₂ O H ₂ O Li B FeO ₂ MgO Distance (µm)	1 0.16 1.77 1.61 3.16 5.40 6.21 11.80 1.10 1.500	2 0.14 1.35 0.98 1.97 4.64 3.57 7.01 1.37 1206	3 0.17 1.11 0.51 1.71 6.33 5.41 11.90 2.18 882	4 0.15 1.11 0.55 1.98 6.41 7.52 2.03 721	5 0.14 1.04 0.45 1.55 6.33 5.95 8.17 2.29 412	F6 0.19 1.12 0.31 1.49 6.63 6.45 7.58 3.62 500	0 ₁₀₀ 7 0.17 0.92 0.23 1.39 7.04 6.86 8.04 4.05 294	8 0.14 0.99 0.40 1.51 6.80 6.12 6.92 2.50 206	9 0.14 1.15 0.54 1.60 6.78 6.00 6.31 2.13 132	10 0.15 0.90 0.35 1.50 7.20 7.27 12.38 2.57 10	11 0.14 1.00 0.37 1.32 6.72 5.07 6.74 2.73 177	12 0.14 1.28 0.62 1.56 6.83 6.07 7.51 2.05 74	13 0.67 5.40 0.38 3.88 5.24 6.75 42.22 14.02 485	M 14 0.94 0.41 1.88 7.92 10.29 48.00 2.30 1485	15 0.77 7.75 5.38 6.90 5.80 7.07 33.65 1.44 662	16 0.15 0.71 0.23 1.13 6.97 6.99 33.01 3.12 10	17 0.15 0.71 0.23 1.15 7.65 7.22 28.29 3.09 29	F60 18 0.13 0.78 0.32 0.93 5.83 4.72 15.87 2.48 309	19 0.25 1.42 0.59 1.68 5.02 6.47 11.57 2.40 427	20 0.10 1.01 0.60 1.81 6.10 6.25 11.23 1.69 1191	21 0.11 0.24 1.27 6.28 5.73 9.89 3.42 1485	:													
F70-M Analysis Spot TIO; FeO, MgO CaO	1 0.18 1.10 0.30 1.37	2 0.16 0.95 0.28 1.18	3 0.17 0.95 0.32 1.24	4 0.16 0.96 0.28 1.00	5 0.12 1.02 0.23 0.69	6 0.20 1.13 0.47 1.24	7 0.29 1.36 0.86 2.15	8 0.22 3.08 0.91 6.79	9 0.21 1.85 1.07 5.56	70 10 0.30 2.00 0.65 3.84	11 0.32 1.36 0.68 2.25	12 0.28 1.23 0.56 1.84	13 0.32 1.30 0.64 2.10	14 0.38 1.77 0.74 2.38	15 0.29 1.34 0.67 2.34	16 0.25 1.25 0.61 2.03	17 0.20 1.29 0.87 2.18	18 0.25 1.19 0.63 2.14	19 0.51 2.24 1.62 4.23	20 0.41 1.72 1.13 2.91	21 0.40 1.74 1.04 2.90	22 0.43 1.70 1.19 2.93	23 0.38 1.64 0.96 2.91	24 0.40 1.58 0.98 2.78	25 0.74 4.56 3.92 5.14	26 0.36 1.73 1.08 3.00	27 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14	28 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14	29 0.37 1.97 1.13 3.31	30 0.39 1.62 1.08 3.13	31 0.47 3.36 1.16 3.29				
F70-M Analysis Spot TiO ₂ FeO, MgO CaO CaO H ₂ O Li B FeO,/MgO Distance (µm)	1 0.18 1.10 0.30 1.37 3.88 3.22 12.27 3.69 628	2 0.16 0.95 0.28 1.18 5.31 4.29 8.36 3.32 581	3 0.17 0.95 0.32 1.24 5.93 4.65 9.91 4.99 4.19	4 0.16 0.28 1.00 5.61 4.55 9.42 3.41 326	5 0.12 1.02 0.23 0.69 7.11 3.81 9.81 4.37 186	6 0.20 1.13 0.47 1.24 6.06 4.97 13.41 2.40 70	7 0.29 1.36 0.86 2.15 5.77 5.99 19.79 1.58 58	8 0.22 3.08 0.91 6.79 2.91 3.98 19.09 3.37 15	9 0.21 1.85 1.07 5.56 3.49 4.61 26.74 1.73 47	70 10 0.30 2.00 0.65 3.84 5.25 6.12 21.86 3.09 70	11 0.32 1.36 0.68 2.25 6.02 6.33 22.80 1.99 70	12 0.28 1.23 0.56 1.84 6.11 6.21 19.56 2.19 70	13 0.32 1.30 0.64 2.10 5.87 6.51 23.54 2.02 116	14 0.38 1.77 0.74 2.38 5.98 6.90 26.44 2.39 47	15 0.29 1.34 0.67 2.34 5.86 4.84 24.04 1.99 35	16 0.25 1.25 0.61 2.03 6.62 2.91 22.71 2.03 15	17 0.20 1.29 0.87 2.18 6.39 5.59 14.86 1.48 35	18 0.25 1.19 0.63 2.14 5.66 6.66 17.26 1.89 35	19 0.51 2.24 1.62 4.23 5.38 6.34 34.90 1.38 116	20 0.41 1.72 1.13 2.91 6.59 7.22 43.43 1.52 256	21 0.40 1.74 1.04 2.90 6.63 7.40 44.47 1.67 314	22 0.43 1.70 1.19 2.93 6.54 7.31 44.87 1.42 209	23 0.38 1.64 0.96 2.91 6.67 7.24 42.79 1.71 279	24 0.40 1.58 0.98 2.78 6.32 7.31 45.27 1.60 302	25 0.74 4.55 3.92 5.14 5.60 6.14 38.55 1.16 314	26 0.36 1.73 1.08 3.00 7.18 7.53 40.04 1.60 954	27 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1209	28 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1593	29 0.37 1.97 1.13 3.31 7.66 7.89 39.56 1.73 2535	30 0.39 1.62 1.08 3.13 7.48 8.05 46.50 1.50 2512	31 0.47 3.36 1.16 3.29 7.24 7.89 48.69 2.90 2530				
F70-M Analysis Spot TiO ₂ FeO, MgO CaO H,O CaO H,O B FeO,/MgO Distance (µm) F80-M-F80 Analysis Spot TiO ₂ FeO, FeO, MgO Distance (µm)	1 0.18 1.10 0.30 1.37 3.88 3.22 12.27 3.69 628 1 0.18 1.28	2 0.16 0.95 0.28 1.18 5.31 4.29 8.36 3.32 581 F8 2 0.19 1.05	3 0.17 0.95 0.32 1.24 5.93 4.65 9.91 2.99 4.19 0 ₁₀₀ 3 0.22 1.12	4 0.96 0.28 1.00 5.61 4.55 9.42 3.41 3.26 4 0.26 1.19	5 0.12 1.02 0.23 0.69 7.11 3.81 9.81 4.37 186 5 0.16 1.24	6 0.20 1.13 0.47 1.24 6.06 4.97 13.41 2.40 70 F80 6 0.29 1.60	7 0.29 1.36 0.86 2.15 5.77 5.99 19.79 1.58 58 bases 7 0.22 1.26	8 0.22 3.08 0.91 6.79 2.91 3.98 19.09 3.37 15 8 0.16 1.50	F 9 0.21 1.85 1.07 5.56 3.49 4.61 26.74 1.73 47	70 0.30 2.00 0.65 3.84 5.25 6.12 21.86 3.09 70	11 0.32 1.36 0.68 2.25 6.02 6.33 22.80 1.99 70	12 0.28 1.23 0.56 1.84 6.11 6.21 19.56 2.19 70	13 0.32 1.30 0.64 2.10 5.87 6.51 23.54 2.02 116	14 0.38 1.77 0.74 2.38 5.98 6.90 26.44 2.39 47	15 0.29 1.34 0.67 2.34 5.86 4.84 24.04 1.99 35	16 0.25 1.25 0.61 2.03 6.62 2.91 22.71 2.03 15	17 0.20 1.29 0.87 2.18 6.39 5.59 14.86 1.48 35	18 0.25 1.19 0.63 2.14 5.66 6.66 17.26 1.89 35	19 0.51 2.24 4.23 5.38 6.34 34.90 1.38 116	20 0.41 1.72 1.13 2.91 6.59 7.22 43.43 1.52 256	21 0.40 1.74 1.04 2.90 6.63 7.40 44.47 1.67 314	22 0.43 1.70 2.93 6.54 7.31 44.87 1.42 209	23 0.38 1.64 0.96 2.91 6.67 7.24 42.79 1.71 279	24 0.40 1.58 0.98 2.78 6.32 7.31 45.27 1.60 302	25 0.74 4.56 3.92 5.14 5.60 6.14 38.55 1.16 314	26 0.36 1.73 1.08 3.00 7.18 7.53 40.04 1.60 954	27 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1209	28 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1593	29 0.37 1.97 1.13 3.31 7.66 7.89 39.56 1.73 2535	30 0.39 1.62 1.08 3.13 7.48 8.05 46.50 1.50 2512	31 0.47 3.36 1.16 3.29 7.24 7.89 48.69 2.90 2530				
F70.40 Analysis Spot TO ₂ FO ₂ FO ₂ H ₂ O H ₂ O CaO H ₂ O Distance (µm) F80.44.F80 Analysis Spot TO ₂ F60.44.F80 CaO H ₂ O H ₂ O	1 0.18 1.10 0.30 1.37 3.87 3.69 628 1 2.27 3.69 628 1.28 0.18 1.28 0.18 1.28 0.18 1.51 3.38 7.08 11.51 1.51 4.54 4.54	2 0.16 0.95 1.18 5.31 4.29 8.36 3.32 581 F8 0.19 1.05 0.28 1.42 3.58 1.42 3.58 5.69 9.96 3.74 1000	3 0.17 0.95 1.24 5.93 4.65 9.91 2.99 4.19 0.22 1.12 0.30 0.22 1.12 0.30 1.18 4.37 10.25 16.52 3.71 59	4 0.16 0.98 0.28 1.00 5.61 4.55 9.42 3.41 325 4 0.26 1.19 0.38 1.43 4.38 11.77 27.57 3.11 29	5 0.12 1.02 0.23 0.69 7.11 3.81 9.81 4.37 186 5.0.16 1.24 0.28 5.23 2.67 7.86 12.97 4.38 29	6 0.20 1.13 0.47 1.24 6.06 4.97 13.41 70 70 F80 6 0.29 1.60 0.42 1.72 4.82 1.72 4.82 1.72 3.86 59	7 0.29 1.36 0.86 2.15 5.77 5.99 19.79 1.58 58 7 0.22 1.26 0.27 5.62 2.22 2.25 7.57 16.84 4.71 10	8 0.22 3.08 0.91 6.79 2.91 3.98 19.09 3.37 15 8 0.16 1.50 0.16 1.50 0.22 2.22 3.59 2.46 8.79 7.46 8.79 1735	F 9 0.21 1.85 1.07 5.56 3.49 4.61 26.71 4.73 4.7	70 0.30 2.00 0.65 3.84 5.25 6.12 21.86 3.09 70	11 0.32 1.36 0.68 2.25 6.02 6.33 22.80 1.99 70	12 0.28 1.23 0.56 1.84 6.11 19.56 2.19 70	13 0.32 1.30 0.64 2.10 5.87 6.51 23.54 2.02 116	14 0.38 1.77 0.74 2.38 5.98 6.90 26.44 2.39 47	15 0.29 1.34 0.67 2.34 5.86 4.84 24.04 1.99 35	16 0.25 1.25 0.61 2.03 6.62 2.91 22.71 2.03 15	17 0.20 1.29 0.87 2.18 6.39 5.59 14.86 1.48 35	18 0.25 1.19 0.63 2.14 5.66 6.66 17.26 1.89 35	19 0.51 4.23 5.38 6.34 34.90 1.38 116	20 0.41 1.72 1.13 2.91 6.59 7.22 43.43 1.52 256	21 0.40 1.74 1.04 2.90 6.63 7.40 44.47 1.67 314	22 0.43 1.70 1.19 2.93 6.54 7.31 1.42 209	23 0.38 1.64 0.96 2.91 6.67 7.24 42.79 1.71 2.79	24 0.40 1.58 0.98 2.78 6.32 7.31 45.27 1.60 302	25 0.74 4.560 5.60 6.14 38.55 1.16 314	26 0.36 1.73 1.08 3.00 7.18 7.53 40.04 1.60 954	27 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1.58 1.58	28 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1.58	29 0.37 1.97 1.13 3.31 7.69 39.56 1.73 2535	30 0.39 1.62 1.08 3.13 7.48 8.05 46.50 1.50 2512	31 0.47 3.35 1.16 3.29 7.24 7.89 48.69 2.90 2.530				
F70.40 Analysis Spot TiC, TiC, FeO, MgO Call Li B FeO,MgO Distance (um) F80-MF80 HyO Analysis Spot F80-MF80 Analysis Spot B F60-MgO Distance (um) F80-MgO Distance (um) F90-MgO Analysis Spot F00-MgO Analysis Spot	1 0.18 1.10 0.30 1.37 3.88 3.22 12.27 3.69 628 12.27 3.69 628 12.27 3.69 628 1.22 0.28 1.28 0.28 1.28 0.28 1.51 3.38 7.08 7.08 7.09 1.12 9.09 1.12 9.00 1.12 9.00 1.12 9.00 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10	2 0.16 0.95 0.28 1.18 5.31 4.29 8.36 3.32 581 8.36 3.32 581 0.19 1.05 0.28 1.42 3.58 5.69 9.96 3.74 1000 2 0.11	3 0.17 0.95 1.24 5.93 4.65 9.91 2.99 3 0.22 1.12 0.30 1.18 4.37 10.25 3.71 59 3 0.12	4 0.16 0.28 1.00 5.61 4.55 9.42 3.41 326 4 0.26 1.19 0.38 1.19 0.38 1.19 0.38 1.19 0.38 1.19 0.38 1.19 0.38 1.19 0.39 1.19 0.27 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 0.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.19 1.29 1.2	5 0.12 1.02 0.23 0.69 7.11 3.81 9.81 4.37 186 5 0.16 1.24 0.28 5.28 7.86 7.86 7.86 7.86 7.86 7.86 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80	6 0.20 1.13 0.47 1.24 6.06 4.97 13.41 2.40 70 6 0.29 1.60 0.29 1.60 0.42 1.77 3.86 5 59 59 59	7 0.29 1.36 0.86 2.15 5.77 5.99 19.79 1.58 5.77 0.22 1.26 0.27 2.22 7.57 16.84 4.71 10 7 0.12	8 0.22 3.08 0.91 6.79 2.91 3.98 19.09 3.37 15 8 0.16 1.50 0.25 2.25 3.59 7.46 8.79 6.03 1735 1735	F 9 0.21 1.85 1.07 5.56 3.49 4.61 1.73 47 47	70 10 0.30 2.00 0.65 3.84 5.25 6.12 21.86 3.09 70 70 10 0.75	11 0.32 1.36 0.58 2.25 6.02 6.33 22.80 1.99 70 70	12 0.28 1.23 0.56 1.84 6.11 6.21 19.56 2.19 70	13 0.32 1.30 0.64 2.10 5.87 6.51 23.54 2.02 116	14 0.38 1.77 0.74 2.38 6.90 26.44 2.39 47	15 0.29 1.34 5.86 4.84 24.04 1.99 35	16 0.25 1.25 0.61 2.03 6.62 2.91 2.2.71 2.03 15	17 0.20 0.87 2.18 6.39 5.59 14.86 1.48 35	18 0.25 1.19 0.63 2.14 5.66 6.66 17.26 1.89 35	19 0.51 2.24 1.62 4.23 5.38 6.34 34.90 1.38 116	20 0.41 1.72 1.13 2.91 6.59 7.22 43.43 1.52 256	21 0.40 1.74 1.04 2.90 6.63 7.40 44.47 1.67 314	22 0.43 1.70 2.93 6.54 7.31 44.87 1.42 209	23 0.38 1.64 0.96 2.91 6.67 7.24 42.79 1.71 2.79	24 0.40 1.58 0.98 2.78 6.32 7.31 45.27 1.60 302	25 0.74 3.92 5.14 5.60 6.14 38.55 1.16 314	26 0.36 1.73 3.00 7.18 7.53 40.04 1.60 954	27 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 8.07 38.88 1.58 1.58 1209	28 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 8.07 38.88 1.58 1.58	29 0.37 1.97 1.13 3.31 7.66 7.89 39.56 1.73 2535	30 0.39 1.62 1.08 3.13 7.48 8.05 46.50 1.50 2512	31 0.47 3.36 1.16 3.29 7.24 48.69 2.90 2.530				
F70.48 Table, 1 Analyzis Score Gold Gold Horis Gold Horis <	1 0.18 1.10 0.30 1.37 3.89 628 12.267 3.69 628 1.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.338 7.08 1.338 7.08 1.1.71 1.54 1.30 1 0.11 0.15 7.5 8.76 0.32 1.20 3.10 5.75 2.66 2.66 2.66 2.66 2.66 2.66 2.66 2.6	2 0.16 0.95 0.28 1.18 5.31 4.29 8.36 3.32 581 7.05 0.28 0.19 0.19 0.25 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28	3 0.17 0.95 0.32 1.24 5.93 4.65 9.91 2.59 419 0.30 0.32 1.12 0.30 0.32 1.12 0.30 0.32 1.12 0.30 1.25 1.25 0.32 1.24 1.12 0.35 1.25 1.25 0.35 1.24 1.12 0.35 1.25	4 0.16 0.96 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.41 3.41 3.26 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38	5 0.12 1.02 0.23 0.69 7.11 3.81 9.81 9.837 186 5 0.16 1.24 0.28 523 2.67 7.86 2.29 5 0.11 0.76 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.3,71 3.04 7.36	6 0.20 1.13 0.47 1.24 6.06 4.97 13.40 70 F80 0.29 1.60 0.72 4.82 4.82 4.82 7.7 3.86 59 6 0.18 1.08 1.08 0.31 1.22 2.93 4.79 3.46 2.93 4.79 3.46 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	7 0.29 1.36 0.86 2.15 5.77 9.1.58 58 58 58 58 58 7 0.22 1.26 0.27 5.75 1.58 2.22 2.22 7.57 16.84 4.71 10 0 7 0.12 0.27 2.42 1.26 0.27 1.27 1.27 1.26 0.27 1.27 0.27 1.26 0.27 1.26 0.27 1.26 0.27 1.26 0.27 1.27 1.26 0.27 1.27 0.27 1.26 0.27 1.27 0.27 1.26 0.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1	8 0.22 3.08 0.91 6.79 2.91 3.96 9.09 3.37 15 8 0.16 1.20 0.25 2.22 3.50 0.25 2.22 3.50 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0	F 9 0.21 1.85 1.07 5.56 3.49 26.74 1.73 47 9 0.61 4.46 0.9 0.61 4.46 0.9 0.61 4.46 0.56 4.57 16.56 4.50	70 10 0.30 2.00 0.65 3.84 5.25 6.12 21.86 3.09 70 70 10 0.76 5.35 0.50 1.27 3.32 6.03 17.45 10.76 5.05 1.27 3.74 5.05 1.75 1	11 0.32 1.36 0.68 2.25 6.02 1.99 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	12 0.28 1.23 0.56 1.84 6.11 9.59 2.19 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	13 0.32 1.30 0.64 2.10 5.87 6.61 2.02 116 12 2.02 116 13 0.29 1.20 0.34 1.85 6.17 3.55 6.11 3.55 6.11 3.55	14 0.38 1.77 0.74 5.90 6.90 26.90 2.39 47	15 0.29 1.34 0.67 2.34 5.86 4.84 24.04 1.99 35	16 0.25 1.25 0.61 2.23 6.82 2.91 2.271 2.03 15	17 0.20 0.87 2.18 6.39 2.18 6.39 14.86 1.48 35	18 0.25 1.19 0.63 2.14 5.66 6.66 17.26 17.26 17.26 35	19 0.51 2.24 1.62 4.23 5.38 5.38 5.34 0.440 1.38 116	20 0.41 1.72 1.13 6.59 7.22 43.43 1.52 256	21 0.40 1.74 1.04 2.90 2.90 7.40 4.63 7.40 4.47 1.67 3.14	22 0.43 1.19 2.93 6.54 7.31 44.87 1.42 209	23 0.38 0.56 2.91 7.24 6.57 7.24 42.79 1.71 2.79	24 0.40 1.88 2.78 2.73 7.31 45.27 1.60 302	0.74 4.56 3.92 5.14 5.61 6.14 38.55 1.16 3.14	26 0.35 1.73 1.03 7.13 7.13 7.13 7.53 40.04 40.04 1.80 954	27 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 8.07 2.59 8.07 38.88 1.58 1.58 1.58	28 0.37 1.79 1.13 3.14 7.29 7.20 38.88 1.58 1.58 1.593	29 0.37 1.97 1.13 3.31 7.68 9.9.65 1.73 2535	30 0.39 1.62 1.08 3.13 7.48 605 4.605 1.50 2512	31 0.47 1.16 3.35 1.16 7.29 7.29 48.69 2.50 2.530				

Table 4

Table SM 1

	Element P	rofile 1			Element P	rofile 2	
Analysis Spot	SiO ₂ 89.85	Al ₂ O ₃ 3.65	0.55	Analysis Spot	5iO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃ 9.07	1.52
2	98.14	0.20	0.13	2	76.05	9.77	1.41
4	80.15	8.03	1.18	4	76.73	10.05	1.42
5	79.96	7.84	1.33	5	77.44	9.21	1.41
7	98.14	0.20	0.13	7	76.31	9.53	1.40
8	80.47 77.32	9.07	1.49	8	76.79 76.26	9.93 10.07	1.19
10	78.64	8.37	1.25	10	74.99	9.48	1.29
11	79.03 85.80	8.24 5.32	1.46 1.04	11	75.40 75.77	8.88 9.67	1.20
13	89.49	4.89	0.89	13	76.11	8.79	1.49
14 15	97.08 76.19	0.32 9.45	0.11	14	75.81 75.42	10.47 8.45	1.22
16	72.99	9.43	1.35	16	74.75	10.13	1.44
1/	73.24	7.62 9.40	1.29	17	78.20	8.00 9.62	1.33
19	77.58	9.78	1.15	19	79.13	9.85	1.45
20	75.42	9.88 8.94	1.20	20	78.04	9.29	1.25
22	83.07	6.88	0.96	22	78.47	9.91	1.46
23	98.65 98.14	0.36	0.04	23	74.08	8.94	1.48
25 26	75.53	9.75	1.31	25	78.15	9.30	1.28
27	77.15	9.64	1.34	27	78.32	9.74	1.36
28	79.32 75.85	9.80 8.63	1.43	28	75.70 78.14	9.76	1.44
30	76.01	8.56	1.11	30	77.84	10.19	1.32
31	79.71	8.92	1.16	31	73.76 76.04	9.03	1.40
33	80.62	7.61	1.35	33	76.92	10.33	1.31
34 35	96.94 98.14	1.03	0.34	34 35	75.55 73.30	10.94 8.61	1.35
36	76.36	9.62	1.13	36	74.61	9.45	1.51
37 38	74.33 76.64	9.91 9.58	1.33	37 38	74.80 78.07	9.23 9.46	1.46 1.52
39	75.27	10.62	1.10	39	74.96	9.06	1.44
40 41	76.90	10.40 9.04	1.40	40 41	75.80	9.68 8.97	1.31
42	76.40	8.44	1.18	42	76.61	8.89	1.25
43 44	78.34	9.02 9.23	1.36	43	75.65	9.32 8.85	1.30
45	76.18	9.33	1.26	45	79.22	10.48	1.29
40 47	79.43	7.25	1.24	40 47	74.23	9.15 8.82	1.21
48	73.69	9.01	1.50	48	76.45	9.10	1.32
50	77.38	10.13	1.42	50	76.71	9.03	1.21
51	74.34 75.44	10.17	1.29	51	75.67 77.18	10.29	1.50
53	75.30	9.52	1.43	53	75.05	9.68	1.39
54 55	77.58 77.39	9.46 9.69	1.24	54 55	77.28 78.17	8.91 9.71	1.32
56	79.14	9.32	1.43	56	75.61	9.61	1.53
57 58	77.14 77.27	9.85 7.98	1.27	57	75.69 76.08	9.18 10.06	1.59
59	75.75	10.28	1.34	59	78.44	9.68	1.25
60 61	75.02 75.49	8.72 9.88	1.72	60 61	79.14 76.88	8.44 10.02	1.31
62	75.63	8.50	1.59	62	76.90	11.13	1.27
63 64	74.98	9.64 8.91	1.35	63 64	77.99	9.37 8.60	1.18
65	75.03	9.68	1.27	65	78.01	10.34	1.10
67	75.25	8.67	1.24	67	76.69	9.62	1.35
68	79.35	8.80	1.44	68 60	78.76	9.23	1.47
70	74.39	10.59	1.38	70	77.30	9.23	1.47
71 72	75.72 76.64	9.95 8.81	1.42	71	75.34 77.92	9.23 9.56	1.34
73	75.48	10.33	1.38	73	77.22	9.27	1.19
74 75	76.76 74.20	9.88 9.24	1.42	74 75	75.75 76.72	9.65 9.46	1.34
76	80.24	8.65	1.45	76	77.43	8.07	1.45
77 78	76.80 76.56	9.81 8.13	1.32	77 78	78.15 75.27	9.31 9.47	1.51
79	75.45	8.56	1.43	79	74.71	10.30	1.32
80	73.24	8.60	1.12	80	74.94	9.70	1.22
82	74.70	10.08	1.30	82	75.14	9.46	1.44
84	74.75	9.60	1.47	84	76.70	7.34	1.40
85 86	74.39 77.44	8.92 9.47	1.37	85 86	75.42	8.67 9.32	1.29
87	73.33	9.41	1.38	87	76.25	9.88	1.34
88 89	76.69 74.12	9.56 10.30	1.62	88 89	76.30 77.75	8.20 8.46	1.10 1.03
90	75.76	10.63	1.38	90	94.07	3.30	0.62
91	79.96	10.35	1.37	91	98.14 98.14	0.20	0.13
93	77.01	10.23	1.32	93	98.14	0.20	0.13
74	/0.4/	9.00	1.56	95	77.66	7.67	1.16
				96 97	79.94 78.42	9.79 9.02	1.37
				98	77.91	10.79	1.57
				99 100	75.37 77.60	9.28 9.53	1.15
				101	75.90	8.92	1.39
				102	75.16 78.77	8.38 10.12	1.80
				104	78.21	8.05	0.93
				105	75.28	9.35 7.85	1.20
				107	76.84	8.92	1.25
				109	98.14	0.20	0.13
				110	98.14 98.14	0.20	0.13
				112	98.14	0.20	0.13
				113 114	98.14 98.14	0.20 0.20	0.13 0.13
				115	95.39	2.83	0.29
				110	75.26	9.07	1.15
				118	76.42	9.59	1.39
				120	98.14	0.29	0.13
				121	98.14 99.97	0.20	0.13
				123	98.14	0.20	0.13
				124 125	98.14 98.14	0.20 0.20	0.13 0.13











Figure SM 1 cont'


















