



Ritz, C., Edwards, T., Durand, G., Payne, T., Peyaud, V., & Hindmarsh, R. (2015). Potential sea-level rise from Antarctic ice-sheet instability constrained by observations. *Nature*, 528, 115-118. DOI: 10.1038/nature16147

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):

[10.1038/nature16147](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16147)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)

PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Nature Publishing Group at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16147>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html>

Potential sea level rise from Antarctic ice sheet instability constrained by observations

Catherine Ritz^{*1,2}, Tamsin L. Edwards^{*3,4}, Gaël Durand^{1,2}, Antony J. Payne⁴, Vincent Peyaud^{1,2}, and Richard C.A. Hindmarsh⁵

¹CNRS, LGGE, F-38041 Grenoble, France

²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LGGE, F-38041 Grenoble, France

³Department of Environment, Earth and Ecosystems, Faculty of Science, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

⁴Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, University Road, Bristol BS8 1SS, UK

⁵British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK

Large parts of the Antarctic ice sheet lying on bedrock below sea level may be vulnerable to Marine Ice Sheet Instability (MISI)¹, a self-sustaining retreat of the grounding line triggered by oceanic or atmospheric changes. There is growing evidence^{2,3,4} MISI may be underway throughout the Amundsen Sea Embayment (ASE), which contains ice equivalent to more than a metre of global sea level rise. If triggered in other regions^{5,6,7,8} the centennial to millennial contribution could be several metres. Physically plausible projections are challenging⁹: numerical models with sufficient spatial resolution to simulate grounding line processes have been too computationally expensive^{2,3,10} to generate large ensembles for uncertainty assessment, and lower resolution model projections¹¹ rely on parameterisations that are only loosely constrained by present day changes. Here we project that the Antarctic ice sheet will contribute up to 30 cm sea level equivalent by 2100 and 72 cm by 2200 (95% quantiles) where the ASE dominates (95% quantiles 25 cm by 2100; 48 cm by 2200). Our process-based, statistical approach gives skewed and complex probability distributions (single mode 10 cm at 2100; two modes 49 cm and 6 cm at 2200). The dependence of sliding on basal friction is a key unknown: nonlinear relationships favour higher contributions. Results are conditional on assessments of MISI risk based on projected triggers under the climate scenario A1B⁹, though sensitivity to these is limited by theoretical and topographical constraints on the rate and extent of ice loss. We find contributions are restricted by a combination of these constraints, calibration with success in simulating observed ASE losses, and low assessed risk in some basins. Our assessment suggests upper bound estimates from low resolution models and physical arguments⁹ (0.5-1.0 m sea level equivalent by 2100; 1.4 m by 2200) are implausible under current understanding of physical mechanisms and potential triggers.

It is not yet clear⁹ whether human-induced climate change has influenced the circulation of warm Circumpolar Deep Water driving grounding line retreat⁴ of Pine Island Glacier, Thwaites Glacier and other glaciers in the ASE, or how this circulation might change in future⁹. However, grounding line retreat under MISI is proposed to occur at a rate more or less independent of the original trigger and may continue even if that trigger diminishes². MISI can be limited by buttressing from ice shelves or specific configurations of bedrock topography^{1,12} and possibly also higher friction at the bed^{2,13,14}. It has been suggested

grounding line retreat could continue in the ASE for decades² to centuries^{3,4} due to weak topographical constraints, possibly slowed in Pine Island Glacier by a region of higher friction behind the grounding line^{2,13,14}. MISI could be triggered elsewhere by ice shelf collapse and/or exposure of further ice shelves to Circumpolar Deep Water, both of which are projected in some regions^{7,6} under the climate scenario SRES A1B⁹. Here we aim to quantify the dynamic contribution of the Antarctic ice sheet to sea level in the event of MISI under A1B.

We take a statistical-physical approach, using a numerical ice sheet model¹⁵ supplemented by statistical modelling of the probability of MISI onset. The statistical modelling represents the ocean and atmospheric drivers of MISI and response of ice shelves, which are poorly known due to the modelling challenges described above. We assign probabilities of MISI onset as a function of time until 2200 in each of 11 sectors (Fig. ED1a) using expert synthesis of observed grounding line retreat and thinning^{4,16,17} and projected ice shelf basal^{18,6} and surface⁷ melting under A1B.

Response of the grounding line position to MISI onset is represented with a new parameterisation: if a MISI trigger occurs in a sector, the potential rate of retreat is a function of the basal friction coefficient at each part of the current grounding line (Fig. ED2c-e), with the form of the dependence (Fig. ED1b) based on theoretical considerations¹. Grounding line response is modified by two ice dynamical conditions that allow retreat to occur only if bedrock is downsloping from the margin (but allowing retreat over small bumps) and only at a rate not exceeding the theoretical limit¹. The response is also modified by the basal friction law – the relationship between basal friction and sliding velocity – which has three possible configurations in this study: linear-viscous, nonlinear Weertman, or plastic flow.

To assess modelling uncertainties we generate a 3000 member ensemble sampling MISI onset dates in the 11 sectors, three parameters governing retreat rate, bedrock topography, and the form of the basal friction law. We weight the ensemble members in a Bayesian statistical framework with the difference between simulated and observed mass losses in the ASE (the only region where grounding line retreat has been observed) to obtain calibrated projections. Details and projections are in the Supplementary Information (SI).

Observational calibration gives greatest weight to ensemble members that most successfully simulate present day ASE mass loss. The expected mass trend from 1992-2011 is $-59.0 \pm 13.5 \text{ Gt a}^{-1}$, where the standard deviation is dominated by a conservative tolerance for model error (SI Section 1.7). The range of simulated mass trends is -13.4 to -218.3 Gt a^{-1} , with 39% of the ensemble more than three standard deviations from the expected trend, of which nearly all simulate losses that are too large. Parameter values that generate the most rapid and widespread present day retreat in the ASE are thus effectively ruled out. These also tend to give the highest sea level projections, so calibration decreases projected quantiles. Medians at 2100 and 2200 decrease by 33% and 20%, and 95% quantiles by 36% and 30%; the modes, however, increase, particularly at 2200 due to a shift in density from one local mode to the other.

Spatial patterns of the probability of ungrounding (Fig. 1) show how local bed elevation, slope and friction strongly modulate the response to MISI onset. We find the region with highest probability of ungrounding and sea level contribution is the ASE, due to the combination of topography (downsloping bedrock below sea level) and low friction (Fig.

ED2c-e). Our 95% quantile at 2100 for the ASE is 25 cm (all values sea level equivalent and, unless specified otherwise, 95% quantiles). The Thwaites region, which includes Smith and Kohler Glaciers⁴, contributes the greater part of this: 58% at 2100 and 53% at 2200. This is partly due to the basin definition, but also due to relatively rapid and substantial thinning of Thwaites upstream of the grounding line (animation, Supplementary Data). The Peninsula and Marie Byrd Land hardly respond, despite being assigned the same probabilities of onset as the ASE (due to observed grounding line retreat and thinning^{4,16,17}), because their bedrock is largely above sea level.

Though basin contributions depend partly on coastline length, similar topographical limits are seen elsewhere: based on projected ice shelf surface and basal melting^{7,18} Princess Elizabeth Land and MacRobertson Land are assigned substantial probabilities of MISI but contribute only 1 cm by 2200, while Dronning Maud Land is assigned lower probabilities but contributes up to 4 cm by 2100 and 8 cm by 2200. Responses also vary across the three basins of the Ronne-Filchner sector, which are assigned identical onset dates based on projected Circumpolar Deep Water intrusion⁶. Ellsworth shows widespread ungrounding, with the 95% quantile at 2200 approximately delineating a previously deglaciated region¹⁹(Figs. 1, ED3a), and contributes 9 cm by 2200; Shackleton Range and Pensacola Mountains show much less retreat and contribute 6 cm and 4 cm respectively.

For Totten Glacier in Wilkes Land, our results suggest that if current dynamic thinning is MISI driven by Circumpolar Deep Water⁸, the region has some potential for ungrounding (up to 5 cm by 2200). The Siple Coast is assigned a small probability from ice shelf basal melting¹⁸ but when triggered ungrounding is widespread due to low basal friction (Fig. ED2c); we estimate the total risk is small (up to 3 cm by 2200). These constraints are not absolute bounds – greater deglaciation has occurred in the past over longer time scales⁹ – but appear to limit the amount of ice that can be lost in two centuries. Fig. ED4 illustrates the effects of the two ice dynamical conditions, for example in George V Land which is thought vulnerable in the long term⁵ (Section 2.2.1).

Total continental contribution to sea level is relatively low in the first century and accelerates in the second (Fig. 2a), though a second mode emerges at 6 cm by 2200 (Fig. 2b). The probability of exceeding 10 cm rises rapidly this century to 57% at 2100; for exceeding half a metre, it reaches only 33% at 2200 (Fig. 2c, d).

We find the rate of sea level rise from the ASE could be substantial this century: up to 1.3 mm a⁻¹ by 2050 and 2.1 mm a⁻¹ by 2100 (Fig. 3). However, many simulations stop (near zero mode at 2100 and local mode at 2200: Fig. 3b) or slow their retreat, particularly those with a linear-viscous friction law, so the 95% quantile at 2200 (1.1 mm a⁻¹) is half that at 2100. Narrow zones of higher friction (hard bedrock) situated a few tens of kilometres upstream impede further retreat (Fig. ED3b). Fig. ED5 shows this and other threshold behaviour dependent on friction law.

The strong dependence of ASE response on basal friction law lies behind the bimodal projections for Antarctica at 2200 (Fig. ED6). Projections of MISI using one friction law^{2,3,10} may systematically under- or over-estimate sea level rise and almost certainly underestimate its uncertainty. While sensitivity of grounding line migration to friction law has been explored previously^{2,13,14}, a fully Bayesian approach allows us to quantify the probabilistic contribution to uncertainty in sea level rise. Extensive observations of basal type and

hydrology, and better theoretical understanding of basal hydrology and sliding, would be needed to reduce this uncertainty.

Sensitivity to onset probabilities is limited for most basins by glaciological constraints that slow or stop retreat (SI Section 2.2.2). Altering retreat onset probabilities by $\pm 20\%$ changes basin 95% quantiles at 2200 by up to about 1 cm, and using early or late ASE onset dates (2000-2010 or 2020-2030) changes the 95% quantile at 2200 by less than 2 cm (Fig. ED9a). Only Shackleton, Siple Coast and Transantarctic Mountains (Fig. ED9b-d) approach a linear response; increasing Siple Coast onset probabilities ten-fold increases the 95% quantile at 2200 by 8 cm.

Observational calibration reduces projected quantiles by constraining the maximum rate of retreat and the regions over which this can occur (Figs. ED7, ED8), mainly in the ASE. It presupposes that the best parameter values in one region are the best everywhere (though not the sliding law, which is not calibrated because it varies spatially: SI Section 1.7). To assess the effect of this, we estimate calibrating only the ASE contribution would increase 95% quantiles by approximately 6 cm (22%) at 2100 and 21 cm (29%) at 2200. Results are robust to other calibration choices (95% quantiles at 2200 vary by a few centimetres: SI Section 2.2.4).

Our results are consistent with regional high resolution model projections. In particular, projected ice losses by 2200 under A1B driven by one of the ocean simulations on which we base our onset probabilities¹⁰ lie within our uncertainty estimates for the ASE (19-30% quantiles), Ronne-Filchner (Ellsworth, Pensacola Mountains, Shackleton: 56-65% quantiles), and Ross basins (Siple Coast, Transantarctic Mountains: 90%; ten-fold Siple Coast probabilities 80%). For Marie Byrd Land, the high resolution projections are lower than our ensemble, but the contribution to our result is less than a centimetre. Projected rates for Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers are also consistent with high resolution modelling under idealised basal melting scenarios, and continental totals with a statistically-based projection assuming ASE collapse in 2012 and linear growth of ice discharge elsewhere²⁰ (SI Section 2.1).

Our projections are essentially incompatible with upper bound estimates for MISI^{9,21} of around 50-80 cm by 2100 and 140 cm by 2200 derived from physical arguments, extrapolation or low resolution numerical models, and around 1 m by 2100 (95% quantile) from expert elicitation²². Half a metre of sea level rise by 2100 is not exceeded at the 99.9% quantile (uncalibrated: 98% percentile). Contributions of around one metre by 2100 were obtained (Fig. ED10; SI Section 2.2.3) by setting parameter values to maximise ice loss and additionally either violating the theoretical limit or triggering immediate MISI everywhere (in 2000 for Peninsula, ASE, Marie Byrd Land; 2020 elsewhere), but we do not consider these realistic. One metre by 2200 is exceeded at the 99.9% quantile (uncalibrated: 95% percentile).

We therefore find MISI in the ASE could drive large and rapid sea level rise but the total Antarctic contribution is moderated by important physical constraints. Large uncertainties remain, in particular basal friction and its evolution, and further observations of surface and grounding line changes would improve initialisation and calibration. Future advances (high resolution simulation of the ice-sheet-ice-shelf-ocean system; increased computational resources) will improve representation of the processes we parameterise and allow ensemble methods, while comparing multiple models would explore other representations of ice dynamics. But, given current understanding, our results indicate that plausible predictions of

Antarctic ice sheet instability greater than around half a metre sea level rise by 2100 or twice that by 2200 would require new physical mechanisms²³, new projections of MISI triggers, or both.

References

- [1] Schoof, C. Ice sheet grounding line dynamics: Steady states, stability, and hysteresis. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 112(F3), F03S28, July (2007).
- [2] Favier, L., Durand, G., Cornford, S. L., Gudmundsson, G. H., Gagliardini, O., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Zwinger, T., Payne, A. J., and Le Brocq, A. M. Retreat of Pine Island Glacier controlled by marine ice-sheet instability. *Nature Climate Change* 5(2), 1–5, January (2014).
- [3] Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., and Medley, B. Marine Ice Sheet Collapse Potentially Under Way for the Thwaites Glacier Basin, West Antarctica. *Science* 344(6185), 735–738, May (2014).
- [4] Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., and Scheuchl, B. Widespread, rapid grounding line retreat of Pine Island, Thwaites, Smith, and Kohler glaciers, West Antarctica, from 1992 to 2011. *Geophysical Research Letters* 41, 3502–3509, May (2014).
- [5] Mengel, M. and Levermann, A. Ice plug prevents irreversible discharge from East Antarctica. *Nature Climate Change* 4(6), 451–455, May (2014).
- [6] Hellmer, H. H., Kauker, F., Timmermann, R., Determann, J., and Rae, J. Twenty-first-century warming of a large Antarctic ice-shelf cavity by a redirected coastal current. *Nature* 485(7397), 225–228, May (2012).
- [7] Kuipers Munneke, P., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Van den Broeke, M. R., and Vaughan, D. G. Firn air depletion as a precursor of Antarctic ice-shelf collapse. *Journal of Glaciology* 60(220), 205–214 (2014).
- [8] Khazendar, A., Schodlok, M. P., Fenty, I., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Rignot, E., and Van Den Broeke, M. R. Observed thinning of Totten Glacier is linked to coastal polynya variability. *Nat Comms* 4, December (2013).
- [9] IPCC. Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press, (2013).
- [10] Cornford, S. L., Martin, D. F., Payne, A. J., Ng, E. G., Le Brocq, A. M., Gladstone, R. M., Edwards, T. L., Shannon, S. R., Agosta, C., van den Broeke, M. R., Hellmer, H. H., Krinner, G., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Timmermann, R., and Vaughan, D. G. Century-scale simulations of the response of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet to a warming climate. *The Cryosphere* 9(1), 1–22 (2015).
- [11] Levermann, A., Winkelmann, R., Nowicki, S., Fastook, J. L., Frieler, K., Greve, R., Hellmer, H. H., Martin, M. A., Meinshausen, M., Mengel, M., Payne, A. J., Pollard, D., Sato, T., Timmermann, R., Wang, W. L., and Bindshadler, R. A. Projecting Antarctic ice discharge using response functions from SeaRISE ice-sheet models. *Earth Syst. Dynam.* 5(2), 271–293 (2014).

- [12] Gudmundsson, G. H., Krug, J., Durand, G., Favier, L., and Gagliardini, O. The stability of grounding lines on retrograde slopes. *The Cryosphere* 6, 1497–1505, December (2012).
- [13] Joughin, I., Tulaczyk, S., Bamber, J. L., Blankenship, D., Holt, J. W., Scambos, T., and Vaughan, D. G. Basal conditions for Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers, West Antarctica, determined using satellite and airborne data. *Journal of Glaciology* 55(190), 245–257 (2009).
- 5
- [14] Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., and Holland, D. M. Sensitivity of 21st century sea level to ocean-induced thinning of Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters* 37(20), L20502, October (2010).
- [15] Ritz, C., Rommeleare, V., and Dumas, C. Modeling the evolution of Antarctic ice sheet over the last 420,000 years: Implications for altitude changes in the Vostok region. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106(D23), 31–943–31–964 (2001).
- [16] Pritchard, H. D., Arthern, R. J., Vaughan, D. G., and Edwards, L. A. Extensive dynamic thinning on the margins of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. *Nature* 461(7266), 971–975 (2009).
- [17] Park, J. W., Gourmelen, N., Shepherd, A., Kim, S. W., Vaughan, D. G., and Wingham, D. J. Sustained retreat of the Pine Island Glacier. *Geophysical Research Letters* 40, 2137–2142, May (2013).
- [18] Timmermann, R. and Hellmer, H. H. Southern Ocean warming and increased ice shelf basal melting in the twenty-first and twenty-second centuries based on coupled ice-ocean finite-element modelling. *Ocean Dynamics* 63(9-10), 1011–1026, August (2013).
- [19] Ross, N., Bingham, R. G., Corr, H. F. J., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T. A., Le Brocq, A., Rippin, D. M., Young, D., Blankenship, D. D., and Siegert, M. J. Steep reverse bed slope at the grounding line of the Weddell Sea sector in West Antarctica. *Nature Geoscience* 5(6), 393–396, May (2012).
- [20] Little, C. M., Oppenheimer, M., and Urban, N. M. Upper bounds on twenty-first-century Antarctic ice loss assessed using a probabilistic framework. *Nature Climate Change* 3(3), 1–6, March (2013).
- [21] Katsman, C. A., Sterl, A., Beersma, J. J., Brink, H. W., Church, J. A., Hazeleger, W., Kopp, R. E., Kroon, D., Kwadijk, J., Lammersen, R., Lowe, J., Oppenheimer, M., Plag, H. P., Ridley, J., Storch, H., Vaughan, D. G., Vellinga, P., Vermeersen, L. L. A., Wal, R. S. W., and Weisse, R. Exploring high-end scenarios for local sea level rise to develop flood protection strategies for a low-lying delta—the Netherlands as an example. *Climatic Change* 109(3-4), 617–645, February (2011).
- [22] Bamber, J. L. and Aspinall, W. P. An expert judgement assessment of future sea level rise from the ice sheets. *Nature Climate Change* 3(4), 424–427, January (2013).
- [23] Pollard, D., Deconto, R. M., and Alley, R. B. Potential Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by hydrofracturing and ice cliff failure. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 412, 112–121 (2015).
- [24] Le Brocq, A. M., Payne, A. J., and Vieli, A. An improved Antarctic dataset for high

resolution numerical ice sheet models (ALBMAP v1). *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 2, 247–260 (2010).

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the ice2sea project funded by the European Commission's 7th Framework Programme through grant number 226375 (ice2sea contribution number ice2sea119), UK National Centre for Earth Observation, NERC iGlass project, NERC and UK Met Office Joint Weather and Climate Research Programme, and the French National Research Agency (ANR) under the SUMER (Blanc SIMI 6) 2012 project ANR-12-BS06-0018. Most of the computations were performed using the CIMENT infrastructure <https://ciment.ujf-grenoble.fr>, which is supported by the Rhône-Alpes region (GRANT CPER07 13 CIRA: <http://www.ci-ra.org>). We thank A. Shepherd and M. McMillan for observational data, H. Hellmer and R. Timmerman for model projection data, D. Vaughan and H. Hellmer for discussions about retreat onset, and J. C. Rougier for discussions about experimental design and calibration.

Author contributions

C. R. and V. P. worked on the development of the GRISLI model and did the numerical modeling. C. R. and G. D., with contributions from T. L. E, performed the physics analysis. T. L. E. designed the experiments with contributions from all authors, wrote the manuscript with contributions from C. R. and G. D., and performed the statistical analysis. T. L. E. and C. R. produced the figures and animation, with contributions from G. D. The sampling and geostatistical analysis were produced by A. J. P., and the theoretical conditions of grounding line retreat were developed by R. H., C. R. and G. D.

Author information

The authors declare no competing financial interests. Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to tamsin.edwards@open.ac.uk.

Figure legends

Figure 1. **Projected grounding line retreat.** Probability density estimates of grounding line retreat at 2100 (a) and 2200 (b), overlaid on bedrock topography²⁴. Red lines show 0.05 contour: an estimated 95% probability that retreat will be less extensive than this. Insets (c, d) show Amundsen Sea Embayment with Pine Island (PIG) and Thwaites glaciers.

Figure 2. **Projected sea level rise.** (a) Quantiles of Antarctic dynamic mass losses in cm sea level equivalent (SLE) as a function of time; (b) probability densities at 2100 and 2200; (c) probabilities of exceeding particular thresholds as a function of time; and (d) probability of exceeding any threshold at 2100 and 2200.

Figure 3. **Projected rate of sea level rise from the Amundsen Sea.** (a) Quantiles of the rate of Amundsen Sea Embayment dynamic mass losses in mm per year sea level equivalent (SLE) as a function of time; (b) probability densities at 2100 and 2200; (c) probabilities of exceeding particular thresholds as a function of time; and (d) probability of exceeding any threshold at 2100 and 2200.

Extended Data figure legends

Figure ED1. **Grounding line retreat parameterisation.** (a) Cumulative probability distributions of MISI onset for 14 basins (Fig. 1) aggregated into 11 independent sectors. (b) Piecewise linear parameterisation prescribing the dependence of grounding line retreat rate on the logarithm of the effective basal friction coefficient (Fig. ED2). Each of the 1000 functional forms is a variant used in the ensemble; a subset are shown in bold as examples. See main text and SI Sections 1.6.1, 1.6.2.

Figure ED2. **Initialisation and basal friction evolution.** Initial values of (a) the difference between simulated and observed surface elevation; (b) velocities averaged over ice thickness; (c) the logarithm of the initial effective basal friction coefficient, $\alpha = \log_{10}(\beta_1'(x; t = t_0))$; (d) As for (c), showing the Amundsen Sea Embayment; and (e) As for (d), at 2200 in the plastic sliding law ensemble member that best matches present day ASE observations. See main text and SI Section 1.5.

Figure ED3. **Projected grounding line retreat and initial basal friction.** Initial grounding line and map of α (Fig. ED2) values with retreat probability contours at 2200 for the (a) Weddell Sea sector and (b) Amundsen Sea Embayment: for example, there is an estimated 33% probability that grounding line retreat will be less extensive than the 66% contour.

Figure ED4. **Ice dynamical conditions for retreat.** Surface elevation changes at 2200 in the ensemble member with maximum sea level contribution at 2200 (plastic sliding law): (a) standard settings; (b) ‘Schoof flux’ condition off, thereby only allowing grounding line retreat along strictly downsloping bedrock; (c) ‘no suction’ check off, thereby allowing thinning due to grounding line retreat to occur faster than the theoretical limit. See main text and SI Section 2.2.1.

Figure ED5. **Relationship between present and future sea level contributions from the Amundsen Sea.** Dynamic mass losses in cm sea level equivalent (SLE) from the Amundsen Sea Embayment at (a) 2100 and (b) 2200, as a function of present day mass loss in the same region. The branches arise from interactions between basal drag coefficient and friction law that produce different rates of, and impediments to, grounding line retreat. The observed mass loss is shown, along with observational ($\pm 3\sigma_o$) and total ($\pm 3\sigma_t$) uncertainties (SI Section 1.7).

Figure ED6. **Contributions of each basal friction law.** Probability distributions of Antarctic dynamic contribution in cm sea level equivalent at (a) 2100 and (b) 2200 (as in Fig. 2b), showing the cumulative contributions of the basal friction laws.

Figure ED7. **Uncalibrated projections.** Prior (uncalibrated) projections of (a-d) Antarctic dynamic mass losses in cm sea level equivalent (SLE); (e-h) rate of Amundsen Sea Embayment dynamic mass losses in mm per year SLE. Sub-panels as for posterior (calibrated) projections in Fig. 2 and 3. See main text and SI Section 1.7.

Figure ED8. **Parameter calibration and influence.** Weights for each of the 1000 sub-ensemble parameter sets (averaged over basal friction laws) as a function of (a) low threshold of effective basal drag coefficient (α_{low}) and maximum retreat rate (v_{max}); (b) bedrock map index and high threshold of effective basal drag coefficient (α_{high}). Darker colours indicate values favoured by observational calibration. (c, d) Uncalibrated dynamic mass losses at 2200 in cm sea level equivalent (SLE) as functions of the same.

Figure ED9. **Sensitivity to retreat onset distributions.** Projections at 2200 estimated for four individual basins under different retreat onset scenarios. (a) Amundsen Sea: original, “Probability x 0.8” where 20% of simulations are set to zero contribution, “Late retreat” where all simulations begin retreating between 2020 and 2030, and “Early retreat” retreating between 2000 and 2010; (b) Shackleton, (c) Siple Coast, and (d) Transantarctic Mountains: original, and onset probabilities adjusted by the factors shown. See main text and SI Section 2.2.2.

Figure ED10. **Sensitivity tests for plastic sliding law.** SLE contributions under various conditions: ‘Max likelihood’ the plastic simulation that best matches present day ASE observations; ‘Uncalib 95%’ and ‘Calib 95%’ the plastic quantiles before and after calibration, and ‘Calib ASE 95%’ the estimate calibrating only the ASE; ‘Ensemble max’ is the simulation with highest SLE contribution at 2200; ‘Extreme onset’ is the previous with all basins retreating from 2000 or 2020; ‘Max params’ is the previous with retreat parameters at maximum values and ‘Extreme params’ at higher values; ‘Schoof flux’ and ‘No suction’ checks off (dashed to indicate they are physically unrealistic). See main text and SI Sections 2.2, 2.3.