



Peel, M., Cross, S., Birkholz, O., Aladag, A., Piehler, J., & Peel, S. (2015). Rupture of Stochastically Occurring Vesicle Clusters Limits Bilayer Formation on Alkane-PEG-Type Supports: Uncoupling Clustering from Surface Coverage. *Langmuir*, 31(32), 8830-40. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b00925

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b00925](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b00925)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in *Langmuir*, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b00925

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html>

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

**Rupture of Stochastically Occurring Vesicle Clusters Limits
Bilayer Formation on Alkane-PEG type Supports: Uncoupling
Clustering from Surface Coverage**

Journal:	<i>Langmuir</i>
Manuscript ID:	la-2015-009254.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Peel, Matthew; University of Bristol, Department of Mechanical Engineering Cross, Stephen; University of Bristol, School of Biochemistry Birkholz, Oliver; Universität Osnabrück, School of Biochemistry Aladag, Amine; University of Bristol, School of Biochemistry Piehler, Jacob; University of Osnabrueck, Department of Biology Peel, Suman; University of Bristol, School of Biochemistry

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Rupture of Stochastically Occurring Vesicle Clusters Limits Bilayer Formation on Alkane-PEG type Supports: Uncoupling Clustering from Surface Coverage

*Matthew J. Peel, Stephen J. Cross, Oliver Birkholz, Amine Aladağ, Jacob Piehler and Suman Peel**

Dr. Stephen J. Cross, Dr. Amine Aladağ and Dr. Suman Peel

School of Biochemistry, University Walk, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TR, UK

E-mail: suman.peel@bristol.ac.uk

Dr. Matthew J. Peel

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University Walk, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TR, UK,

Oliver Birkholz and Prof. Dr. Jacob Piehler

Department of Biology, University of Osnabrück, Barbarastrasse 11, 49076 Osnabrück, Germany,

Keywords

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Polymer-cushion supported bilayer, multi-vesicle assemblies, vesicle remodelling, super resolution imaging, multi-parameter surface-sensitive spectroscopy

Abstract

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Polymer supported bilayers (PSBs) are a recognised tool for drug discovery through function-interaction analysis of membrane proteins. While silica supported bilayers (SSBs) spontaneously form from surface-adsorbed vesicles, successful PSB formation via a similar method has thus far been limited by insufficient understanding of the underlying vesicle-remodelling processes. Here, we generated a polymer support through incubation of poly-L-lysine conjugated to alkyl chain terminated poly(ethylene)glycol on silica. This polymer-coated silica substrate yielded efficient vesicle adsorption and spontaneous bilayer formation thereby providing a rare opportunity to address the mechanism of PSB formation and compare it to that of SSB. The combined use of super resolution imaging, kinetics and simulations, indicates the rupture of stochastically formed vesicle-clusters is the rate-limiting step, which is an order of magnitude higher for silica than polymer-coated silica. This was confirmed by directly demonstrating increased rupture-rates for surface adsorbed multi-vesicle assemblies formed by vesicle cross-linking in solution. Based on this key insight we surmised that a low propensity of cluster rupture can be compensated by an increase in number density of clusters: deposition of a mixture of oppositely charged vesicles resulted in bilayer formation on another alkane-PEG type of interface, which despite efficient vesicle adsorption, otherwise fails to support spontaneous bilayer formation. This potentially provides a universal strategy for promoting bilayer formation, on resistant surfaces without resorting to modification of the surface itself. Therefore, multi-vesicle assemblies with tailored geometries could not only facilitate bilayer formation on polymers with interesting functional properties but also instigate exploration of vesicle architecture for other processes involving vesicle-remodelling such as drug delivery.


Introduction

Silica supported bilayers (SSB) easily self-assemble^{1, 2} and are amenable to a large number of analytical, imaging^{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10} and fluidic tools.^{11, 12} As a result they have served as model-membranes for studying varied fundamental cellular processes: interaction dynamics of cell surface receptors¹⁰ and enzyme-catalysis¹³ necessary for signal transduction; membrane fusion necessary for neurotransmission;¹⁴ protein mobility and organisation necessary for cell to cell communication¹⁵ and protein-membrane interaction necessary for membrane remodelling and fission.¹⁶ In order to better mimic the cellular environment the use of soft polymer-spacers between bilayer and the substrate has been long advocated.^{3, 17} However, with a few exceptions,^{18, 19, 20, 21} bilayer formation by vesicle deposition on soft polymer interfaces has proven difficult to achieve as our limited understanding of the underlying vesicle remodelling processes required for bilayer formation prevents rational design approaches.

Through the use of bulk kinetics, it has been long recognised that the transformation of surface adsorbed vesicles into a bilayer occurs via multi-step vesicle remodelling processes.^{22, 23, 24} Over the last decade membrane remodelling of substrate-bound giant and small uni-lamellar vesicles (GUV and SUV respectively) has been directly imaged by fluorescence microscopy,^{25, 26, 27} atomic force microscopy (AFM)^{28, 29, 30, 31, 32} and interferometric scattering microscopy.⁹ This led to formulation of substrate-induced membrane remodelling paths that see surface-immobilised SUVs rupture or fuse (Scheme 1) *i.e.* (1) isolated vesicle rupture,^{9, 25} (2) vesicle induced vesicle rupture,^{9, 25} (3) vesicle-vesicle merger,²⁵ (4) bilayer edge induced vesicle rupture^{9, 27, 33} and (5) bilayer-bilayer merger.²⁷ There is a lack of consensus regarding the relative contributions of these paths for SSB formation and due to a lack of polymers supporting spontaneous bilayer formation, contributions of these paths for PSB formation are completely unknown.

For SSB formation, the behaviour of exponential growth in vesicle rupture at a critical coverage was qualitatively captured in simulations by assuming an instantaneous rupture of clustered vesicles³⁴. Bilayer patches so produced efficiently grew via bilayer-edge induced assimilation of vesicles while isolated vesicles were stable. In another study, simulations emulating an adsorption isotherm also suggested critical vesicle density to be important for vesicle-rupture³⁵. Again, rupture was promoted by neighbouring vesicles (clusters) and was instantaneous if a

number threshold was met. The bilayer-patches were suggested to grow mainly by the targeted adsorption of vesicles to the bilayer-edge³⁵; the efficiency of bilayer-edge-induced as well as spontaneous rupture of surface adsorbed vesicles was found to be low.³⁵ In contrast, label-free imaging of surface bound vesicles⁹ suggested the rupture of isolated vesicles was the major source of bilayer-patches, with a critical vesicle density required to permit efficient spreading of the bilayer via bilayer-edge-induced vesicle (surface adsorbed) rupture rather than by vesicle-induced vesicle-rupture or vesicle-vesicle merger. Thus, the rate limiting step(s) during SSB formation remain contentious and any inhibitory impact on them by lipid composition, and crucially the support-type, is still sparse; rendering PSB formation by rational design highly challenging.



Scheme 1 Previously identified substrate-induced membrane remodeling pathways that see surface-immobilized SUVs rupture or fuse: (1) vesicle-vesicle merger,²⁵ (2) isolated vesicle rupture,^{9, 25} (3) vesicle induced vesicle rupture,^{9, 25} (4) bilayer edge induced vesicle rupture^{9, 27, 33} and (5) bilayer-bilayer merger²⁷.

Here, we conjugated poly-L-lysine to alkyl chain terminated poly(ethylene)glycol. Polymer coated silica-substrate fortuitously supported efficient vesicle adsorption and spontaneous bilayer formation thereby providing a unique opportunity to address why bilayers readily form on silica substrate yet have considerable difficulty on soft polymers. We attempted to gain insight into SSB and PSB formation through the combined use of super resolution imaging, kinetics and simulations specifically designed to determine the rates of the different vesicle remodeling pathways (Scheme 1). This facilitated identification of the rate limiting step. We find bilayer-patch formation upon rupture of stochastically occurring vesicle clusters is the overall rate-limiting step on both the supports. However, this rate is an order of magnitude smaller on the

1
2
3 polymer support. The increased rupture rate of clustered vesicles is directly demonstrated by
4 depositing pre-cross-linked vesicles. Furthermore, we show that using a mixture of oppositely-
5 charged vesicles increases the propensity to form bilayers on a different alkane-PEG type of
6 interface, which efficiently adsorbs vesicles but normally fails to support spontaneous bilayer
7 formation. We surmise this occurs due to an increased number density of clusters on the surface
8 and perhaps by increasing their rate of rupture. This result implies that a strategy of mixing
9 oppositely-charged vesicles may provide a near-universal method for nucleating bilayer patches,
10 thereby enabling continuous bilayer formation on soft-polymer surfaces. Notably, this method
11 does not require an alteration of the surface simply to promote bilayer formation.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 The results emphasise the role of multi-vesicle assemblies not only for PSB formation but
20 potentially for other processes requiring vesicle-fusion such as drug delivery.
21
22
23
24
25


26 **Experimental Section**

27

28 We attempted to gain insight into SSB and PSB formation by developing a simulation
29 specifically targeted at identifying the rate limiting steps. Our approach involved modelling
30 vesicles and bilayers as explicit entities furnished with a rule set covering the pathways shown in
31 Scheme 1. All but one path relies on the close proximity of multiple entities, the spatial
32 distribution of vesicles as they are immobilised on the surface is therefore a key parameter and is
33 determined experimentally using super-resolution fluorescence imaging. The simulation runs in a
34 stepwise manner with the rate of each pathway recast as a probability of occurrence over the time
35 scale of each step followed by calculation of experimentally accessible aggregate behaviour such
36 as the fraction of intact vesicles and extent of membrane-merger as a function of time (Scheme
37 2). Experimental measurement of these i.e. fraction of intact vesicles and extent of membrane
38 merger in conjunction with the amount of deposited vesicles (which serves as an input for the
39 simulation) in real time was achieved simultaneously using a previously described system
40 combining reflectance interferometry with total internal reflection fluorescence spectroscopy
41 (RIF-TIRFS) and automated fluidic sample delivery.^{10, 36} A direct comparison between
42 measurements and simulations for the rates of vesicle rupture and membrane merger for partial
43 and full coverage of the surface enabled determination of rate constants for different paths and
44 identification of the rate-limiting steps for SSB and PSB formation for SUVs composed of 1-
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

stearoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (SOPC).



Scheme 2 Simulation stages: From an initial state (0) vesicles are deposited on the surface in accordance with the measured RIF trace at random locations outside the occluded area (*i.e.* not on top of a bilayer or a vesicle). (1) Vesicles in contact may merge with a probability P_M . (2,3) Vesicles have a chance to rupture into bilayers: isolated vesicles with a probability P_0 ; vesicles with N contacts with probability P_N . (4,5) Bilayer edge induced vesicle rupture is carried out with a probability P_R . Bilayer-bilayer merger always occurs to reflect the high reactivity of bilayer edges. The cycle is repeated every Δt (typically 100 ms). For a given input RIF time-trace and different values of P_M , P_0 , P_N and P_R fluorescence traces for membrane merger and vesicle rupture are simulated and compared with the experimentally measured traces.

Simultaneous measurement of rate of vesicle adsorption, vesicle rupture and membrane merger

To correlate the rate and extent of vesicle deposition with vesicle rupture and membrane merger we employed a mixture of non-labelled SOPC SUVs, SUVs encapsulating RhoB and SUVs doped with 10 mol% of DHPE-OG488 such that the final vesicle proportions were 85:10:5 respectively. Changes in surface adsorbed mass and emission intensities for OG488 and RhoB were measured simultaneously in real time using reflectance interference (RIF) and total internal reflection fluorescence spectroscopy (TIRFS) in flow-through conditions using a home-built set-up described previously.^{10, 36} Partial or full surface coverage was achieved by varying the total lipid concentration while maintaining a constant injection time.

Determination of spatial distribution of adsorbed vesicles through super resolution microscopy

and spatial point pattern analysis

Spatial distribution of adsorbing vesicles is a critical input for simulations. Therefore, SOPC SUVs doped with Sulforhodamine B conjugated to DHPE, were imaged using an objective-coupled TIRF microscopy configuration with a 561 nm laser, 150x objective lens (NA = 1.45; UAPON, Olympus) and scientific CMOS camera (2x binning, $L_{px} = 6.5 \mu\text{m}$; Orca Flash 4.0, Hamamatsu). Fluorescence of RhoB was excited in the evanescent field with high excitation intensity where all vesicles showed a fast drop in emission arising from photo-bleaching, thereby allowing recording of a large number of single-vesicle deposition events with high spatial precision. Each image was convolved with a Gaussian kernel of root mean square (RMS) width equal to 82 nm (corresponding to an estimated spread function (PSF) full width half maximum (FWHM) of 193 nm) to achieve low-pass filtering of noise⁷⁻⁹. Detection and fitting of vesicle PSF with sub-pixel accuracy is implemented in MATLAB and based upon the CLEAN algorithm of Högbom^{37, 38} where user defined uniform intensity threshold was implemented as described before^{38, 39} and instances of sub-diffraction limited localisation were discarded through filtering each PSF against a maximum width and ellipticity^{40, 41, 42}. This led to a time stack with each frame containing coordinates for vesicles detected over multiple consecutive frames before they completely bleach. We needed to link these coordinates in time to obtain a unique vesicle map. Detected coordinates in a given frame were linked with the ones in the previous frame by identifying the minimum spatial separation between positions in adjacent frames (maximum 120 nm permitted)⁴³, with failure to identify a link followed by repetition at increasingly large temporal separations to a maximum of 5 seconds.

Vesicle coordinate maps so obtained were analysed for similarity to complete spatial randomness (CSR) using a normalised form of Ripley's K-function⁴⁴; denoted $L(r)$, where, $K(r)$ is the Ripley's K-function, $k_{i,j}$ takes a value of 1 if the inter-vesicle spacing ($r_{i,j}$) is less than or equal to r and a value of 0 otherwise. Edge-correction is applied with the $w_{i,j}$ term and has been described in detail elsewhere^{45, 46}.

$$L(r) = \sqrt{\frac{K(r)}{\pi}} = \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^N k_{i,j} w_{i,j}}$$

For distributions exhibiting CSR, this conversion yields zero $L(r)$ for all lengths (r). Positive or

1
2
3 negative deviation of $L(r)$ from 0 for any r demonstrate clustering or dispersion respectively at
4 that length scale⁴⁷. In order to account for a finite number of particles and area, a 99% $L(r)$
5 confidence level was calculated by simulating 500 point patterns for each data set with
6 comparable area and particles.
7
8
9

10 ***Simulating bilayer formation and calculation of vesicle rupture and membrane merger***

11 A detailed description, underlying approximations, assumptions and their justifications, are
12 presented in the supporting information. Briefly, the model operates in a sequential framework
13 consisting of distinct phases within a discrete time step (Δt , generally < 100 ms). From an initial
14 state (Scheme 2, step 0) an experimentally determined mass of vesicles is deposited on the
15 surface such that vesicles do not deposit on an occluded area (*i.e.* not on top of a bilayer or a
16 vesicle). Surface adsorbed vesicles are not allowed to laterally diffuse (Figure S1, Supporting
17 Information). Some overlap (f_0) with surface bound vesicles is permitted; this indirectly
18 determines how many vesicles may be in contact at a given surface coverage. The ensemble is
19 then evolved according to known membrane remodelling pathways (Scheme 1) through different
20 stages of simulation (Scheme 2). Vesicles in contact may merge with a probability P_M (Scheme
21 2, step 1). Vesicles have a chance to rupture into bilayers (Scheme 2, step 2,3): isolated vesicles
22 with a probability P_0 ; vesicles with N contacts with a probability $P_N = P_0(1 + FN^C)$, where F
23 and C are parameters reflecting the strength of the clustering effect. Bilayer edge induced vesicle
24 rupture is carried out with a probability P_R and bilayer-bilayer merger always occurs to reflect
25 the high reactivity of bilayer edges (Scheme 2, step 4,5). Bilayers grow and change their
26 boundaries during this process often creating new contacts. Each contact is tested once.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Probabilities of individual paths could be determined unambiguously with a complete knowledge
42 of the number and spatial distributions of surface bound species as a function of time; however,
43 direct experimental determination of these remains beyond current technological scope. For a
44 given set of probabilities (rate constants), our simulation methodology allows estimation of
45 experimentally accessible aggregate behaviour such as the fraction of intact vesicles and extent
46 of membrane-merger as a function of time. For a given adsorption process (RIF trace) RhoB and
47 OG488 traces can be simulated by (i) tracking the total internal volume of unmerged vesicles and
48 (ii) from the weighted mass of labelled and unlabeled lipids according to the experimentally
49 measured de-quenching curve (Figure S2, Supporting Information) and then integrated. A direct
50 comparison between measurements and simulations for the rates of vesicle rupture and
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 membrane merger for partial and full coverage of the surface enabled determination of the rate
4 constants.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


Results and discussion

Vesicle-adsorption on silica is diffusion controlled and vesicle-distribution spatially random

In order to determine the relative contributions of different vesicle remodelling pathways for silica we simulated and experimentally measured the rate of vesicle adsorption, vesicle rupture and membrane merger by injection of a 85:10:5 mixture of label-free SOPC SUVs, SUVs encapsulating Sulphorhadmine B and SUVs doped with 10 mol% of DHPE-OG488 to achieve surface saturation (Figure 1). Here, RIF served as an absolute measure of mass where 4.4 ng/mm² is the surface coverage for a defect free contiguous bilayer composed of SOPC.^{10, 36} Vesicle remodeling pathways 1-4 contribute towards a drop in RhoB signal through vesicle-content loss resulting from vesicle rupture. At 10 mol% OG488 is heavily quenched (Figure S2, Supporting Information); vesicle remodeling pathways 2-5 contribute towards a rise in OG488 signal through fluorescence de-quenching upon dilution as a result of membrane merger. It is noteworthy that in the absence of lipid mixing and vesicle rupture, RhoB and OG488 traces report on vesicle deposition alone and so should match the RIF trace.

As noted elsewhere,^{29, 22} vesicle deposition increases linearly till saturation (Figure 1, RIF trace) indicating the association process is diffusion controlled (further supporting data in Supporting Information, Figure S3), rather than driven by affinity to the surface or bilayer-edges^{33, 35}. This linearity is maintained despite lipid mixing and vesicle rupture starting well before saturation, as evidenced by a sharp rise in OG488 trace and decline in RhoB trace at 2.2 ng/mm², suggesting creation of bilayer-edges had limited impact on vesicle adsorption. Lateral interactions such as vesicle-vesicle and vesicle-bilayer contacts, the numbers of which likely increase with surface loading, affect the rate of vesicle rupture and lipid mixing, thereby modulating the contribution of different vesicle remodelling pathways shown in Scheme 1. Under conditions of complete spatial randomness (CSR), a certain number of vesicles is expected to be in physical contact with other vesicles. Therefore, the degree of clustering will be a function of the number density of vesicles on the surface *i.e.* coverage. We can also speculate that the ability of a bilayer to grow will depend on the number of vesicles in the neighbourhood since low vesicle densities mean the bilayer edge will be unlikely to contact, and hence incorporate, a constant stream of vesicles as it grows. These inferences would be inaccurate if deposition deviates from CSR. Thus, correctly determining the degree of randomness, dispersion or clustering in the deposition process is critical in properly implementing the simulation. However, experimental determination of vesicle

1
2
3 distribution at sufficiently high loading for meaningful statistical analysis is non-trivial as (i)
4 conventional fluorescence super-resolution methods don't apply and (ii) scanning probe
5 microscopy risks being too invasive as tip mediated relocation of vesicles during scanning
6 cannot be ruled out. As surface bound vesicles are essentially immobile (Figure S1, Supporting
7 Information), we therefore used fluorescence super-resolution microscopy in conjunction with
8 ongoing adsorption and rapid photobleaching.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19



20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 **Figure 1 Vesicle binding to silica is diffusion rather than affinity controlled and vesicle rupture and**
35 **membrane merger begins well before surface saturation:** Simultaneous measurement of vesicle
36 deposition (RIF trace, red), vesicle rupture (Oregon Green 488, blue) and membrane merger
37 (Sulforhodamine, green). Injection period is marked with a grey background.
38
39
40
41
42

43 For determining the spatial distribution of depositing vesicles, we utilized SOPC SUVs doped
44 with 0.1% sulforhodamine-DHPE and imaged the surface using objective coupled TIRFM. High
45 photo-bleaching rates enabled recording of all single-vesicle deposition events with high spatial
46 precision. Figure 2 A shows a typical frame and Figure 2 B shows the magnified crop for 4
47 consecutive frames demonstrating transient-detection of surface bound vesicles. For each full
48 frame, centroids of the individual vesicles were determined by fitting a 2D Gaussian to the
49 diffraction-limited intensity profile^{37, 48} followed by frame-to-frame tracking till they bleached.
50 A map of all individual vesicles was thus obtained with a median spatial precision of 3 nm,
51 Figure 2 C shows such a map for all vesicles detected in the window from 5-20% of the total
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surface coverage on silica. Figure D shows a random distribution for an equal number of
4 vesicles, demonstrating no appreciable difference to the measured distribution. These maps
5 allowed calculation of the distance of each vesicle to its nearest neighbour, the histogram of
6 which is plotted in Figure 2 E and compared to a simulated random distribution shown in Figure
7 2 F. Qualitatively the two histograms appear very similar, further indicating a random
8 distribution of particles. Figure 2 G shows a comparison of a measured vesicle distribution (also
9 in the range 5-20% of total surface loading) to CSR using Ripley's K-function test.⁴⁴ Deviation
10 of the measured profiles outside the 99% confidence interval (black lines; generated from 500
11 simulations of random distributions) would indicate a departure from randomness at that length
12 scale. Retention of the measured curves within the 99% confidence level implies random
13 distribution of deposited vesicles.

14
15 Taken together, under our conditions vesicles do not preferentially associate with the bilayer-
16 edges and appear to bind to the surface in a spatially random manner. Therefore, for determining
17 the rate constants for different paths shown in Scheme 1, we used a CSR vesicle distribution and
18 simulated the fluorescence traces by treating the RIF trace as a mass input. Since, as several
19 pathways contribute to each fluorescence trace, as per our simulation methodology, rate
20 constants for different paths (Scheme 1) could not be uncorrelated for a single surface coverage
21 (Figure 1). As explained below these correlations can be countered by a strategy of multiple
22 surface coverages and a global simulation that must satisfy all measurements.

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2: Super-resolution imaging shows random spatial distribution of surface bound vesicles:

(A) A typical frame acquired using an objective coupled TIRFM with high laser power to ensure rapid photo bleaching. (B) 4 successive frames of the cropped area showing photo bleaching of the adhered vesicles and arrival of fresh vesicles. (C) Example location map of all vesicles deposited between 5-20% of full surface loading and (D) simulated random distribution for the same number of vesicles. Histograms showing distance of each vesicle to its nearest neighbour for (E) a measured vesicle location map, and (F) a simulated random distribution. (G) Comparison of measured vesicle distribution for the range of 5-20% of full surface loading (black line) to complete spatial randomness (CSR) using Ripley's K-function test. No deviation of the measured profiles outside the 99% confidence interval (generated from 500 simulations of random distributions; grey lines) indicates randomness at that length scale.

Rupture of stochastically occurring vesicle clusters is rate limiting for SSB and PSB formation

Variations in surface coverage lead to different spatial and number distributions of the reactant species (isolated vesicles, clustered vesicles and bilayer-edges) thus altering the relative contributions of each pathway. We took advantage of this feature by restricting the maximum surface coverage to fractions of a full bilayer and measuring the lateral processes, i.e. vesicle rupture and membrane merger, post-injection where surface coverage is unchanging. The use of RIF trace as a mass input during and post injection was therefore critically important. Comparing simulations with experiments for different surface coverage thus constrained the rates and reduced correlations. Short injection durations and variable lipid concentrations were used to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

achieve variable surface loadings for measurement of vesicle rupture and membrane merger during and post injection. During the injection phase increase in mass with three different rates is a result of stop of flow followed by fast flushing of the dead-volume necessary for automating fluidic control (Figure 3, RIF traces). Figure 3 shows the experimental and simulated fluorescence traces for five different RIF traces showing maximum surface coverage of 0.46, 1.13, 2.37, 3.18 and 4.4 ng/mm². Simulated lateral distributions of isolated vesicles (grey circle), clustered vesicles (red circles) and bilayer patches (green circles) corresponding to each set of traces at three different time-points (during and post injection) is shown to the right (Figure 3). The rate constants determined by matching the simulations with experiments are summarized in Table 1. We find that the isolated vesicles are extremely stable ($k_{isolated} \leq 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) but rupture much more readily when in contact with other vesicles. Bilayer edge-induced vesicle rupture is extremely rapid ($\geq 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$) but accurate determination is limited by the data acquisition rate (10 Hz). Given the reaction rates and the relative abundance of isolated and clustered vesicles and bilayer patches (Figure 3, right panels), this analysis reveals that rupture of binary and higher clusters is the major driving force for nucleation of bilayer patches. Subsequently, these drive bilayer formation by bilayer-edge catalysis so long there are neighbouring vesicles available for further rupture.




Figure 3 Measurements and simulations of surface loading dependent vesicle rupture and lipid mixing show rupture of clustered vesicles is the rate limiting step for SSB formation: Measured (dashed) and simulated (solid) RIF (red), RhoB (green) and OG488 (blue) traces for surface loadings of (A) 0.46, (B) 1.13, (C) 2.37, (D) 3.18, and (E) 4.4 $\text{ng}\cdot\text{mm}^{-2}$. Injection period is marked with a grey background. Images to the right show the simulated spatial distribution of isolated vesicles (grey), vesicles in contact with other vesicles (red) and bilayers (green) at different time points for the corresponding simulated fluorescence traces.

These conclusions are qualitatively similar to Zhdanov *et. al.* with one key difference: in their case the probability of rupture of a cluster is fixed at 1. This assumption is incompatible with our observation of continued rupture of vesicles post-injection at low surface coverages. If clusters rupture as soon as they form, for a random distribution of vesicles, the number of nucleation sites is simply dictated by surface coverage and some chosen definition of contact distance. While this strategy captures the qualitative behaviour of exponential growth in vesicle rupture starting at a


critical coverage, it is impossible to infer anything about the underlying vesicle rupture behaviour. For example, should rupture of clustered vesicles be the differentiating factor between support types that behaviour will be lost. At the same time, the complete stability of vesicles at very low coverages (essentially no clusters) and the exponential growth of bilayers at high coverage limit the options for altering other remodelling pathways to compensate for flexibility in the cluster rupture rate. The approach of allowing remodelling rates to be free variables, rather than arbitrarily assigned values, but constraining the possible outcomes by global simulation of multiple surface coverages could be critical in establishing the differences between different surface types. In addition, this approach permits a clarification of the role of surface coverage which has been central to the discussion of bilayer formation for over a decade. Arguably, there are two major interpretations of the importance of surface coverage: (1) a critical vesicle coverage is essential for generating the required number of vesicle clusters which rupture with infinite efficiency. (2) While individual isolated vesicles efficiently rupture on their own, an increase in vesicle coverage helps efficient propagation of a bilayer through bilayer-edge induced assimilation of vesicles⁹. Our approach appears able to discriminate between the various proposed remodelling pathways and provides meaningful kinetic rate constants, which are expected to differ for different substrate types.

Table 1: Reaction rate constants for vesicle remodelling paths and their best estimates for SSB and PSB formation

Pathway	Rate equation	SSB [$10^{-5} \cdot s^{-1}$]	PSB [$10^{-5} \cdot s^{-1}$]
Isolated vesicle rupture rate	$k_{isolated} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln(1 - P_0)$	≤ 1	≤ 1
Binary cluster rupture rate	$k_{binary} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln(1 - P_1)$	421	38
Ternary cluster rupture rate	$k_{ternary} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln(1 - P_2)$	3361	75
Vesicle merger rate	$k_{merger} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln(1 - P_M)$	82.5	10
Bilayer-edge induced vesicle-rupture rate	$k_{bilayer} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln(1 - P_R)$	$\geq 10^7$	$8 \cdot 10^5$

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

A further test for the methodology employed above would be to perform a similar analysis on a more complex substrate expected to alter some of the pathways. A high density of alkyl chains (AC) is known to promote vesicle adhesion⁴⁹ and polyethylene(glycol) has been shown to be a biocompatible non-interacting spacer-polymer.^{49, 50, 51} For bilayer formation these properties have been successfully exploited by depositing AC terminated PEG (PEG-AC) on silica either covalently^{18, 19} or with the aid of poly-l-lysine (PLL-PEG-AC).²⁰ However, bilayer formation could only be achieved upon prolonged incubation with soluble PEG.^{18, 19, 20} Here, we synthesized a new variant of PLL-PEG-AC (Figure 4 A-C). Silica coated with the polymer fortuitously yielded a bilayer upon vesicle deposition as indicated by full fluorescence recovery upon photo-bleaching (Figure 4 E): a diffusion coefficient (D) of $2.27 \pm 0.30 \mu\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ and a mobile fraction of 1.02 ± 0.008 were obtained (experimental details in Supporting Information). This is comparable to a diffusion coefficient of $1.77 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ and a mobile fraction of 1.08 for silica (Figure 4 E, blue line). This system provided us with a unique opportunity for understanding how lipid bilayers self-assemble on an alkane-PEG type of polymer.



45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

Figure 4 Vesicle deposition on PLL-PEG-AC leads to bilayer formation: (A) Surface architecture of silica coated with PLL-PEG-AC. (B) Chemical architecture of PLL-PEG-AC and SOPC bilayer (C) Chemical structure of PEG-AC attached to PLL. (D) Confocal laser scanning images showing fluorescence-recovery after photo-bleaching for a bilayer formed by SOPC vesicle doped with 0.2% OG488-DHPE on PLL-PEG-AC; first frame: immediately before photo-bleaching, second frame: immediately after photobleaching, third frame: 30 s post bleaching. (E) Normalised fluorescence from the bleached region demonstrating efficient recovery over time for silica (blue line) and PLL-PEG-AC (red line).

1
2
3 While overall deposition, vesicle rupture and membrane merger behaviour is similar to silica
4 (Figure S4), SUV deposition occurs at a reduced rate (Figure S3 B) Deviation of fluorescence
5 traces from the RIF trace start at higher surface loading (3.45 ng/mm^2 , Figure 5E) compared to
6 the silica surface (2.2 ng/mm^2) implying a general increase in vesicle stability. Figure 5 shows
7 the experimental and simulated RIF and fluorescence traces for the surface coverages 0.41, 3.45,
8 3.66, 3.89 and 4.40 ng/mm^2 . The best determined kinetic constants for vesicle remodelling
9 pathways are listed in Table 1. As with silica, spontaneous vesicle rupture is very slow and
10 vesicles are strongly destabilized by contact with a partner (vesicle or bilayer). However,
11 vesicles are less prone to rupture and merger on PLL-PEG-AC than on silica e.g. rupture of
12 binary clusters, vesicle-vesicle merger and membrane edge induced vesicle rupture are all nearly
13 an order of magnitude slower on PLL-PEG-AC than on silica. This point is best illustrated by the
14 simulated spatial distribution of the surface bound entities which is shown to the right of the
15 traces at three different times. For similar surface loadings, considerably larger amounts of
16 clustered vesicles are visible on PLL-PEG as compared to silica (Figure 5 B and 3 D
17 respectively).

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 Higher vesicle stability on a polymer cushion may result from several reasons. On a hard
31 interface like silica, vesicle adhesion is likely to be accompanied by distortion and high local
32 curvature thus making them prone to rupture (Scheme 3). In comparison, a softer support with
33 conformational flexibility of the polymer may prevent excessive vesicle distortion leading to
34 decreased rupture rate. It proved difficult to demonstrate this effect for isolated vesicles as due to
35 experimental constrains only a lower limit of 10^{-5} s^{-1} on rupture of isolated vesicles could be
36 determined. As opposed to isolated vesicles, a clear difference in incorporation of surface
37 adsorbed vesicles into existing bilayer patches was observed. This process was an order of
38 magnitude slower on PLL-PEG-AC as compared to silica (Table 1 and Scheme 3). This could
39 result either from minimal distortion of vesicles and/or less reactive bilayer-edges. Sequestration
40 of the polymer attached alkyl chains may help stabilise the otherwise exceedingly reactive
41 bilayer edges. Merger and rupture of clustered vesicles exhibited a similar trend. For binary
42 clusters the rupture rate on silica was an order of magnitude higher than on PLL-PEG-AC. We
43 attribute this difference to substrate induced vesicle distortion (Scheme 3). Vesicles exhibiting
44 high membrane curvature, arising from increased substrate contact area, are more likely to
45 undergo membrane-merger leading to either leaky vesicle-fusion or rupture.

Taken together, efficiency of different vesicle remodelling pathways was found to be different for PLL-PEG-AC and silica. Surprisingly, despite such different surface types, rupture of clustered vesicles still proved to be the rate-limiting step for PLL-PEG-AC as was the case for silica.





Figure 5 Measurements and simulations of surface loading dependent vesicle rupture and lipid mixing show rupture of clustered vesicles is the rate limiting step for PSB formation: Measured (dashed) and simulated (solid) traces for RIF (red), RhoB (green) and OG488 (blue) for surface loadings of (A) 0.41, (B) 3.45, (C) 3.66, (D) 3.89, and (E) 4.4 $\text{ng}\cdot\text{mm}^{-2}$. Injection period is marked with a grey background. Images to the right show the spatial distribution of isolated vesicles (grey), vesicles in contact with other vesicles (red) and bilayers (green) at different time points. Scale bar $1\mu\text{m}$.



Scheme 3 Rate constants for a selection of vesicle remodelling paths on silica and silica coated with PLL-PEG-AC: A) rupture of individual vesicles to form isolated bilayer patches, B) Bilayer-edge induced assimilation of vesicles, C) rupture of binary vesicle cluster to form an isolated bilayer patch.

Increased reactivity of vesicle clusters

Allowing clustered vesicles, as compared to single isolated ones, a greatly increased rate of rupture was critical in matching the simulation to the measured traces. Therefore, for a direct experimental verification of this prediction we attempted to measure the rupture rate of vesicles cross-linked with streptavidin. Upon crosslinking vesicles demonstrated a near doubling of their hydrodynamic diameter, however in the absence of scattering cross-sections for single, binary and further clusters it proved difficult to determine their relative proportions (Supporting Information, Figure S5). Cross-linked and uncross-linked vesicles were injected on silica and PLL-PEG-AC such that surface loadings were $< 0.8 \text{ ng/mm}^2$ – well under the threshold where uncrosslinked SUVs show loss of encapsulated dye. As the deposited vesicles do not dissociate from the surface (Supporting Information Figure S6), a decay in fluorescence can only be attributed to vesicles being destabilized by the substrate. Shown in Figure 6 are the normalized RhoB TIRFS traces for vesicles with and without cross-linking. On silica, as expected, uncross-linked vesicles exhibit no drop in RhoB trace during the washing phase whereas cross-linked vesicles demonstrate a significant decay. Similarly, PLL-PEG-AC cross-linked vesicles showed a smaller but significant decay. Interestingly, on a previously reported interface (PEG-AC linker (Figure 4 C) coupled covalently to the silica surface silanised with 3-Glycidyloxypropyl)trimethoxysilane)¹⁸ where bilayer formation by vesicle deposition could only be achieved upon prolonged incubation with soluble PEG, cross-linked vesicles did not exhibit any dye loss (Figure 6 C). Presumably the substrate offers further vesicle stabilization to that

provided by both silica and PLL-PEG-AC; this is further evidenced by the lack of spontaneous bilayer formation on this substrate. It appears that crosslinked vesicles are indeed less stable than isolated individual vesicles albeit to a different extent depending upon the nature of the polymer cushion.




Figure 6 Increased rupture rates of pre-clustered vesicles: Normalized RhoB trace for cross-linked (light green) and un-cross-linked (dark green) vesicles on (A) silica, (B) PLL-PEG-AC and (C) PEG-AC.¹⁸ Injection periods are marked with a grey background. Top panels show the surface-types.

Promoting bilayer formation on polymer cushions: Uncoupling clustering from surface coverage

While crosslinking with streptavidin proved useful in demonstrating increased rupture propensity for vesicle clusters, such undefined protein-mediated clusters as nucleation sites are suboptimal and are not a universal solution for inducing bilayer formation. Nonetheless, this analysis helped us consolidate the central role of clusters and formulate a summary of what we think are the necessary requirements for a defect free continuous bilayer formation on polymer cushions,

(1) Sufficient surface coverage: So long a surface allows for adsorption of $\sim 4.4\text{ng/mm}^2$, in principle, efficient propagation of bilayer patches through bilayer-edge assimilation of vesicles and complete coverage of the surface can be achieved. While this is readily achieved for silica and mica type surface, ultrathin, hydrophilic, noncharged polymers such as carbohydrates or poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) which are typically used for biotechnological applications show

1
2
3 minimal adsorption of vesicles^{17, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58}. This problem is solved by incorporating alkyl
4 chains at polymer terminus as efficient anchors for vesicles⁵⁹. Alkane-PEG type of architectures
5 have proven to be particularly successful in ensuring this requirement^{18, 19, 20}.
6
7

8
9 (2) Favourable lateral distribution: Lateral distribution of the adsorbed vesicles must allow for
10 some clustering to occur. Dispersed and clustered distribution are therefore expected to hinder
11 and promote bilayer formation as compared to a random distributions. Therefore, vesicles
12 composed of charged lipids, due to electrostatic repulsion, could show a dispersed distribution
13 hence poor propensity for bilayer formation. Adsorption hot spots arising from surface
14 heterogeneity could be another factor in determining the lateral distribution.
15
16
17
18

19
20 (3) Efficient rupture of clusters: Clustered vesicles display differential propensity of rupture on
21 different surface types, silica > PLL-PEG-AC > PEG-AC. Evidently, hard interfaces appear to
22 be more efficient than soft polymer cushions. Given the lack of available data on rupture of
23 vesicle clusters it is difficult to predict how different polymer surface types can alter the
24 propensity for vesicle rupture. Equally, customising the polymer to aid vesicle rupture may
25 conflict with other requirements for the surface (e.g. biocompatibility).
26
27
28
29

30
31 Our model suggests that for nucleation of bilayer patches a low probability of rupture can be
32 compensated by increasing the number density of clusters. Alternatively, the effect of a high
33 propensity for rupture could be diminished via a dispersed distribution. As discussed above,
34 PLL-PEG-AC is positively charged and supports efficient adsorption of neutral vesicles (SOPC),
35 which then form a bilayer. Negatively charged vesicles are likely to efficiently deposit and
36 strongly adhere to the surface but mutual repulsion will reduce the propensity to cluster.
37 Therefore, despite allowing for full surface coverage, negatively charged vesicles may fail to
38 produce a bilayer. We tested this hypothesis by performing a FRAP measurement with SOPC
39 vesicles doped with 20% DOPS, 0.2 % OG488 DHPE (overall negatively charged). In contrast to
40 neutral vesicles (SOPC), which exhibits a diffusion coefficient (D) of $2.27 \pm 0.30 \mu\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ and a
41 mobile fraction of 1.02, negatively charged vesicles exhibited next to no florescence recovery.
42 This suggests an impact of electrostatics on clustering of vesicles and prompted us to further test
43 the potential of electrostatics on bilayer formation. Surfaces exhibiting high propensity for
44 vesicle adsorption but low propensity for rupture of clustered vesicles would form a good test
45 substrates e.g. PEG-AC. We reasoned that a mixture of negatively and positively charged
46 vesicles may lead to vesicle-vesicle interaction in solution and a clustered vesicle distribution on
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a surface. A 1:1 mixture of negatively charged (20% DOPS, 80% SOPC) positively charged
4 (20% DOTAP, 80% SOPC) vesicles exhibited increased scattering upon mixing in solution
5 (Figure S7 Supporting Information) indicating vesicle-vesicle interaction in solution. We
6 therefore incubated PEG-AC with neutral (SOPC), negatively charged (20% DOPS, 80%
7 SOPC), positively charged (20% DOTAP, 80% SOPC) and 1:1 mixture of oppositely charged
8 vesicles. In order to test for altered FRAP behaviour resulting from vesicles potentially perfused
9 in solution we also incubated the surface with vesicles composed of mixed oppositely charged
10 lipids (10% DOPS, 10% DOTAO, 80% SOPC). Following an incubation for 30 minutes, the
11 FRAP measurements for each condition is shown in Figure 7. All four case of a single type of
12 vesicle failed to show any fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching indicating a lack of a
13 contiguous bilayer. Conversely, a 1:1 mixture of oppositely charged vesicles showed a bilayer
14 with a diffusion coefficient of $2.25 \pm 0.029 \mu\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ and recovered fraction of 1.01 ± 0.001 . It
15 seems likely that the primary driver for this change in behaviour is the increased number density
16 of clusters, although we cannot rule out the possibility of tighter binding of vesicles of opposite
17 charge leading to a higher propensity of cluster rupture. In either case, the net effect is to
18 encourage bilayer formation on a difficult surface without requiring any change to the surface
19 and with only minimal impact on bilayer composition.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 7 Promoting bilayer formation via electrostatically induced clustering: Schematic representation of lateral distribution of (A) neutral, (B) positively, (C) negatively and (D) a mixture of positively and negatively charged lipids and (E) a mixture of positively and negatively charged vesicles on a neutral PEG-AC surface. Frames before bleaching, immediately after bleaching and 30 s post bleaching for surface adsorbed (F) SOPC, (G) 20% DOPS+ 80% SOPC, (H) 20% DOTAP + 80% SOPC (I) 10% DOTAP + 10% DOPS + 80% SOPC and a (J) 1:1 mixture of 20% DOPS and 20% DOTAP vesicles. (K) Integrated fluorescence from the bleached spot as a function time for SOPC (black open circle), 20% DOPS+ 80% SOPC (red open circle), 20% DOTAP + 80% SOPC (blue open circle), 10% DOTAP + 10% DOPS + 80% SOPC (red and blue open circle) and a 1:1 mixture of 20% DOPS and 20% DOTAP vesicles (red and blue circle).

1
2
3 For using clustered vesicles as nucleators for generation of bilayer patches, several further
4 optimisations can be envisioned such that overall lipid composition of the bilayer is minimally
5 impacted. A number of factors could have an impact on efficiency of cluster formation and
6 stability of the cluster. We think the common point of contact between the substrate and the
7 vesicles is where the membrane remodelling process begins. Therefore line tension generated
8 through phase separation within vesicles forming a cluster could have a significant impact on the
9 stability of the cluster. Taken together a combination of two or more of the following strategies
10 could produce highly potent clusters: (i) Vesicle crosslinking via complementary DNA strands
11 for stoichiometrically better defined cluster; (ii) Oppositely charged vesicles with optimised
12 doping of charged lipids and proportions of charged vesicles; (iii) Phase separation within
13 individual vesicles forming a cluster.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Conclusion**

26
27 Over the last decade the mechanism of SSB and PSB formation has been extensively studied and
28 several alternative mechanisms have been proposed. However, the rate constants for different
29 steps remained undetermined. We have combined super-resolution imaging with the
30 measurement and global simulation of the kinetics of vesicle rupture and membrane merger.
31 Multiple surface coverages has allowed us to determine differential contributions of several
32 previously proposed vesicle remodelling pathways. In contrast to previous studies, where an
33 infinite efficiency of rupture clustered vesicles is assumed, we find the efficiency to be very
34 modest. Bilayer nucleation is further limited by the number density of vesicle clusters. A simple
35 cross-linking strategy helped differentiate vesicle rupture rates in a substrate dependent manner,
36 thus demonstrating the importance of multi-vesicle assemblies for processes involving vesicle
37 remodelling. Improving cluster density by mixing oppositely charged vesicles had an even more
38 potent effect and permitted bilayer formation on PEG-AC which otherwise fails to produce a
39 bilayer. While the efficiency of vesicle rupture may be difficult to alter, our results suggest the
40 number density of clusters may be increased, thus forming a bilayer without resorting to
41 modifications of the surface itself.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 It is likely that more sophisticated crosslinking approaches capable of producing geometrically
54 defined multi-vesicle assemblies would have greater success and so not only promote the use of
55 polymers with interesting functional properties that better mimic varied cellular membrane
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 architectures but also as potential vehicles for drug delivery to cellular membranes.
4
5

6 **Acknowledgements**

7
8 The support from the laboratory of H. Hörber is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank R.
9 Kurre for his support with super-resolution imaging, C. You for experiments demonstrating
10 vesicle crosslinking, M. Bhagawati and A. Lawrie for many helpful discussions. This work was
11 supported by an ERC starting grant.
12
13
14
15

16
17 **Supporting Information Available:** Figures S1–S7 as described in the text and further
18 materials and methods are available. This information is available free of charge via the Internet
19 at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.
20
21
22

23 **References**

- 24
25
26
27
28 (1) Brian, A. A.; McConnell, H. M. Allogenic stimulation of cyto-toxic T-cells by supported
29 planar membranes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **1984**, *81*, 6159-6163.
30
31
32 (2) Tamm, L. K.; McConnell, H. M. Supported phospholipid bilayers. *Biophysical Journal* **1985**,
33 *47*, 105-113.
34
35
36 (3) Heyse, S.; Stora, T.; Schmid, E.; Lakey, J. H.; Vogel, H. Emerging techniques for
37 investigating molecular interactions at lipid membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1998**, *1376*, 319-
38 338.
39
40
41 (4) Janshoff, A.; Steinem, C. Scanning force microscopy of artificial membranes. *Chembiochem*
42 *: a European journal of chemical biology* **2001**, *2*, 798-808.
43
44
45 (5) Cooper, M. A. Advances in membrane receptor screening and analysis. *Journal of Molecular*
46 *Recognition* **2004**, *17*, 286-315.
47
48
49 (6) Kiessling, V.; Crane, J. M.; Tamm, L. K. Transbilayer effects of raft-like lipid domains in
50 asymmetric planar bilayers measured by single molecule tracking. *Biophysical Journal* **2006**, *91*,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 3313-3326.

4
5 (7) Fox, C. B.; Wayment, J. R.; Myers, G. A.; Endicott, S. K.; Harris, J. M. Single-Molecule
6 Fluorescence Imaging of Peptide Binding to Supported Lipid Bilayers. *Analytical Chemistry*
7
8 **2009**, *81*, 5130-5138.

9
10 (8) Thompson, N. L.; Wang, X.; Navaratnarajah, P. Total internal reflection with fluorescence
11 correlation spectroscopy: Applications to substrate-supported planar membranes. *Journal of*
12
13 *Structural Biology* **2009**, *168*, 95-106.

14
15 (9) Andrecka, J.; Spillane, K. M.; Ortega-Arroyo, J.; Kukura, P. Direct observation and control
16 of supported lipid bilayer formation with interferometric scattering microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2013**,
17
18 *7*, 10662-10670.

19
20 (10) Gavutis, M.; Lata, S.; Lamken, P.; Muller, P.; Piehler, J. Lateral ligand-receptor interactions
21 on membranes probed by simultaneous fluorescence-interference detection. *Biophys J* **2005**, *88*,
22
23 4289-302.

24
25 (11) Kelety, B.; Diekert, K.; Tobien, J.; Watzke, N.; Doerner, W.; Obrdlik, P.; Fendler, K.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Transporter assays using solid supported membranes: A novel screening platform for drug
discovery. *Assay and Drug Development Technologies* **2006**, *4*, 575-582.

(12) Jung, H.; Robison, A. D.; Cremer, P. S. Multivalent ligand-receptor binding on supported
lipid bilayers. *Journal of Structural Biology* **2009**, *168*, 90-94.

(13) Iversen, L.; Tu, H. L.; Lin, W. C.; Christensen, S. M.; Abel, S. M.; Iwig, J.; Wu, H. J.;
Gureasko, J.; Rhodes, C.; Petit, R. S.; Hansen, S. D.; Thill, P.; Yu, C. H.; Stamou, D.;
Chakraborty, A. K.; Kuriyan, J.; Groves, J. T. Ras activation by SOS: Allosteric regulation by
altered fluctuation dynamics. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 50-4.

(14) Domanska, M. K.; Kiessling, V.; Stein, A.; Fasshauer, D.; Tamm, L. K. Single vesicle

1
2
3 millisecond fusion kinetics reveals number of SNARE complexes optimal for fast SNARE-
4 mediated membrane fusion. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **2009**, *284*, 32158-32166.
5
6

7
8 (15) Hartman, N. C.; Nye, J. A.; Groves, J. T. Cluster size regulates protein sorting in the
9 immunological synapse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2009**, *106*, 12729-12734.
10
11

12 (16) Lata, S.; Schoehn, G.; Jain, A.; Pires, R.; Piehler, J.; Gottlinger, H. G.; Weissenhorn, W.
13 Helical structures of ESCRT-III are disassembled by VPS4. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 1354-7.
14
15

16 (17) Tanaka, M.; Sackmann, E. Polymer-supported membranes as models of the cell surface.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(18) Roder, F.; Waichman, S.; Paterok, D.; Schubert, R.; Richter, C.; Liedberg, B.; Piehler, J.
Reconstitution of membrane proteins into polymer-supported membranes for probing diffusion
and interactions by single molecule techniques. *Anal Chem* **2011**, *83*, 6792-6799.

(19) Waichman, S.; Roder, F.; Richter, C. P.; Birkholz, O.; Piehler, J. Diffusion and interaction
dynamics of individual membrane protein complexes confined in micropatterned polymer-
supported membranes. *Small* **2013**, *9*, 570-577.

(20) Ye, Q.; Konradi, R.; Textor, M.; Reimhult, E. Liposomes tethered to omega-functional PEG
brushes and induced formation of PEG brush supported planar lipid bilayers. *Langmuir : the
ACS journal of surfaces and colloids* **2009**, *25*, 13534-13539.

(21) Basit, H.; Van der Heyden, A.; Gondran, C.; Nysten, B.; Dumy, P.; Labbe, P. Tethered
bilayer lipid membranes on mixed self-assembled monolayers of a novel anchoring thiol: impact
of the anchoring thiol density on bilayer formation. *Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and
colloids* **2011**, *27*, 14317-14328.

(22) Keller, C. A.; Glasmästar, K.; Zhdanov, V. P.; Kasemo, B. Formation of Supported
Membranes from Vesicles. *Physical Review Letters* **2000**, *84*, 5443-5446.

1
2
3 (23) Keller, C. A.; Kasemo, B. Surface specific kinetics of lipid vesicle adsorption measured
4 with a quartz crystal microbalance. *Biophysical Journal* **1998**, *75*, 1397-1402.
5
6

7
8 (24) Reimhult, E.; Höök, F.; Kasemo, B. Intact Vesicle Adsorption and Supported Biomembrane
9 Formation from Vesicles in Solution: Influence of Surface Chemistry, Vesicle Size,
10 Temperature, and Osmotic Pressure†. *Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids* **2003**,
11 *19*, 1681-1691.
12
13
14
15

16
17 (25) Johnson, J. M.; Ha, T.; Chu, S.; Boxer, S. G. Early steps of supported bilayer formation
18 probed by single vesicle fluorescence assays. *Biophysical Journal* **2002**, *83*, 3371-3379.
19
20
21

22 (26) Hamai, C.; Yang, T. L.; Kataoka, S.; Cremer, P. S.; Musser, S. M. Effect of average
23 phospholipid curvature on supported bilayer formation on glass by vesicle fusion. *Biophysical*
24 *Journal* **2006**, *90*, 1241-1248.
25
26
27
28

29 (27) Hamai, C.; Cremer, P. S.; Musser, S. M. Single giant vesicle rupture events reveal multiple
30 mechanisms of glass-supported bilayer formation. *Biophysical Journal* **2007**, *92*, 1988-1999.
31
32
33

34 (28) Richter, R.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Brisson, A. Pathways of lipid vesicle deposition on solid
35 surfaces: A combined QCM-D and AFM study. *Biophysical Journal* **2003**, *85*, 3035-3047.
36
37
38

39 (29) Richter, R. P.; Brisson, A. R. Following the formation of supported lipid bilayers on mica:
40 A study combining AFM, QCM-D, and ellipsometry. *Biophysical Journal* **2005**, *88*, 3422-3433.
41
42
43

44 (30) Reviakine, I.; Brisson, A. Formation of supported phospholipid bilayers from unilamellar
45 vesicles investigated by atomic force microscopy. *Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and*
46 *colloids* **2000**, *16*, 1806-1815.
47
48
49

50 (31) Richter, R. P.; Berat, R.; Brisson, A. R. Formation of solid-supported lipid bilayers: An
51 integrated view. *Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids* **2006**, *22*, 3497-3505.
52
53
54

55 (32) Schonherr, H.; Johnson, J. M.; Lenz, P.; Frank, C. W.; Boxer, S. G. Vesicle adsorption and
56
57
58
59
60

lipid bilayer formation on glass studied by atomic force microscopy. *Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids* **2004**, *20*, 11600-11606.

(33) Weirich, K. L.; Israelachvili, J. N.; Fygenson, D. K. Bilayer Edges Catalyze Supported Lipid Bilayer Formation. *Biophysical Journal* **2010**, *98*, 85-92.

(34) Zhdanov, V. P.; Keller, C. A.; Glasmästar, K.; Kasemo, B. Simulation of adsorption kinetics of lipid vesicles. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2000**, *112*, 900-909.

(35) Plunkett, P.; Camley, B. A.; Weirich, K. L.; Israelachvili, J.; Atzberger, P. J. Simulation of edge facilitated adsorption and critical concentration induced rupture of vesicles at a surface. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 8420-8427.

(36) Gavutis, M.; Lata, S.; Piehler, J. Probing 2-dimensional protein-protein interactions on model membranes. *Nature protocols* **2006**, *1*, 2091-103.

(37) Hogbom, J. A. Aperture synthesis with a non-regular distribution of interferometer baselines. *Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series* **1974**, *15* 417-26.

(38) Henriques, R.; Lelek, M.; Fornasiero, E. F.; Valtorta, F.; Zimmer, C.; Mhlanga, M. M. QuickPALM: 3D real-time photoactivation nanoscopy image processing in ImageJ. *Nature Methods* **2010**, *7*, 339-340.

(39) Woll, D.; Kolbl, C.; Stempfle, B.; Karrenbauer, A. A novel method for automatic single molecule tracking of blinking molecules at low intensities. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2013**, *15*, 6196-6205.

(40) Holden, S. J.; Uphoff, S.; Hohlbein, J.; Yadin, D.; Le Reste, L.; Britton, O. J.; Kapanidis, A. N. Defining the Limits of Single-Molecule FRET Resolution in TIRF Microscopy. *Biophysical Journal* **2010**, *99*, 3102-3111.

(41) Rust, M. J.; Bates, M.; Zhuang, X. W. Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical

1
2
3 reconstruction microscopy (STORM). *Nature Methods* **2006**, *3*, 793-795.

4
5 (42) Huang, B.; Wang, W. Q.; Bates, M.; Zhuang, X. W. Three-dimensional super-resolution
6
7 imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 810-813.

8
9 (43) Mashanov, G. I.; Molloy, J. E. Automatic detection of single fluorophores in live cells.
10
11 *Biophysical Journal* **2007**, *92*, 2199-2211.

12
13 (44) Ripley, B. D. Modeling spatial patterns. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-*
14
15 *Methodological* **1977**, *39*, 172-212.

16
17 (45) Goreaud, F.; Pelissier, R. On explicit formulas of edge effect correction for Ripley's K-
18
19 function. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **1999**, *10*, 433-438.

20
21 (46) Getis, A.; Franklin, J. 2nd-order neighborhood analysis of mapped point patterns. *Ecology*
22
23 **1987**, *68*, 473-477.

24
25 (47) Kiskowski, M. A.; Hancock, J. F.; Kenworthy, A. K. On the Use of Ripley's K-Function and
26
27 Its Derivatives to Analyze Domain Size. *Biophysical Journal* **2009**, *97*, 1095-1103.

28
29 (48) Henriques, R.; Lelek, M.; Fornasiero, E. F.; Valtorta, F.; Zimmer, C.; Mhlanga, M. M.
30
31 QuickPALM: 3D real-time photoactivation nanoscopy image processing in ImageJ. *Nature*
32
33 *methods* **2010**, *7*, 339-340.

34
35 (49) Knoll, W.; Frank, C. W.; Heibel, C.; Naumann, R.; Offenhausser, A.; Ruhe, J.; Schmidt, E.
36
37 K.; Shen, W. W.; Sinner, A. Functional tethered lipid bilayers. *Journal of biotechnology* **2000**,
38
39 *74*, 137-158.

40
41 (50) Wagner, M. L.; Tamm, L. K. Tethered polymer-supported planar lipid bilayers for
42
43 reconstitution of integral membrane proteins: silane-polyethyleneglycol-lipid as a cushion and
44
45 covalent linker. *Biophys J* **2000**, *79*, 1400-1414.

46
47 (51) Wagner, M. L.; Tamm, L. K. Reconstituted syntaxin1a/SNAP25 interacts with negatively
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 charged lipids as measured by lateral diffusion in planar supported bilayers. *Biophys J* **2001**, *81*,
4 266-275.
5
6

7
8 (52) Waichman, S.; Bhagawati, M.; Podoplelova, Y.; Reichel, A.; Brunk, A.; Paterok, D.;
9
10 Piehler, J. Functional Immobilization and Patterning of Proteins by an Enzymatic Transfer
11
12 Reaction. *Analytical Chemistry* **2010**, *82*, 1478-1485.
13
14

15 (53) Wagner, M. L.; Tamm, L. K. Reconstituted syntaxin1A/SNAP25 interacts with negatively
16
17 charged lipids as measured by lateral diffusion in planar supported bilayers. *Biophysical Journal*
18
19 **2001**, *81*, 266-275.
20
21

22 (54) Wagner, M. L.; Tamm, L. K. Tethered polymer-supported planar lipid bilayers for
23
24 reconstitution of integral membrane proteins: Silane-polyethyleneglycol-lipid as a cushion and
25
26 covalent linker. *Biophysical Journal* **2000**, *79*, 1400-1414.
27
28

29 (55) Tanaka, M.; Tutus, M.; Kaufmann, S.; Rossetti, F. F.; Schneck, E.; Weiss, I. M. Native
30
31 supported membranes on planar polymer supports and micro-particle supports. *Journal of*
32
33 *Structural Biology* **2009**, *168*, 137-142.
34
35


36 (56) Sinner, E.-K.; Ritz, S.; Naumann, R.; Schiller, S.; Knoll, W. SELF-ASSEMBLED
37
38 TETHERED BIMOLECULAR LIPID MEMBRANES. In *Advances in Clinical Chemistry, Vol*
39
40 *49*, Makowski, G. S., Ed., 2009; Vol. 49, pp 159-179.
41
42

43 (57) Sackmann, E.; Tanaka, M. Supported membranes on soft polymer cushions: fabrication,
44
45 characterization and applications. *Trends in Biotechnology* **2000**, *18*, 58-64.
46
47


48 (58) Li, E.; Merzlyakov, M.; Lin, J.; Searson, P.; Hristova, K. Utility of surface-supported
49
50 bilayers in studies of transmembrane helix dimerization. *Journal of Structural Biology* **2009**,
51
52 *168*, 53-60.
53
54

55 (59) Knoll, W.; Frank, C. W.; Heibel, C.; Naumann, R.; Offenhausser, A.; Ruhe, J.; Schmidt, E.
56
57
58
59
60


1
2
3 K.; Shen, W. W.; Sinner, A. Functional tethered lipid bilayers. *Journal of biotechnology* **2000**,
4
5
6 74, 137-58.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



122x83mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Scheme 1 Previously identified substrate-induced membrane remodeling pathways that see surface immobilized SUVs rupture or fuse: (1) vesicle-vesicle merger, 25 (2) isolated vesicle rupture, 9, 25 (3) vesicle induced vesicle rupture, 9, 25 (4) bilayer edge induced vesicle rupture 9, 27, 33 and (5) bilayer-bilayer merger 27
81x40mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Scheme 2 Simulation stages: From an initial state (0) vesicles are deposited on the surface in accordance with the measured RIF trace at random locations outside the occluded area (i.e. not on top of a bilayer or a vesicle). (1) Vesicles in contact may merge with a probability P_M . (2,3) Vesicles have a chance to rupture into bilayers: isolated vesicles with a probability P_0 ; vesicles with N contacts with probability P_N . (4,5) Bilayer edge induced vesicle rupture is carried out with a probability P_R . Bilayer-bilayer merger always occurs to reflect the high reactivity of bilayer edges. The cycle is repeated every Δt (typically 100 ms). For a given input RIF time-trace and different values of P_M , P_0 , P_N and P_R fluorescence traces for membrane merger and vesicle rupture are simulated and compared with the experimentally measured traces.

82x52mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 1 Vesicle binding to silica is diffusion rather than affinity controlled and vesicle rupture and membrane merger begins well before surface saturation: Simultaneous measurement of vesicle deposition (RIF trace, red), vesicle rupture (Oregon Green 488, blue) and membrane merger (Sulforhodamine, green). Injection period is marked with a grey background.

82x59mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 2: Super-resolution imaging shows random spatial distribution of surface bound vesicles: (A) A typical frame acquired using an objective coupled TIRFM with high laser power to ensure rapid photo bleaching. (B) 4 successive frames of the cropped area showing photo bleaching of the adhered vesicles and arrival of fresh vesicles. (C) Example location map of all vesicles deposited between 5-20% of full surface loading and (D) simulated random distribution for the same number of vesicles. Histograms showing distance of each vesicle to its nearest neighbour for (E) a measured vesicle location map, and (F) a simulated random distribution. (G) Comparison of measured vesicle distribution for the range of 5-20% of full surface loading (black line) to complete spatial randomness (CSR) using Ripley's K-function test. No deviation of the measured profiles outside the 99% confidence interval (generated from 500 simulations of random distributions; grey lines) indicates randomness at that length scale.

556x276mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 3 Measurements and simulations of surface loading dependent vesicle rupture and lipid mixing show rupture of clustered vesicles is the rate limiting step for SSB formation: Measured (dashed) and simulated (solid) RIF (red), RhoB (green) and OG488 (blue) traces for surface loadings of (A) 0.46, (B) 1.13, (C) 2.37, (D) 3.18, and (E) 4.4 $\text{ng}\cdot\text{mm}^{-2}$. Injection period is marked with a grey background. Images to the right show the simulated spatial distribution of isolated vesicles (grey), vesicles in contact with other vesicles (red) and bilayers (green) at different time points for the corresponding simulated fluorescence traces. 152x114mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4 Vesicle deposition on PLL-PEG-AC leads to bilayer formation: (A) Surface architecture of silica coated with PLL-PEG-AC. (B) Chemical architecture of PLL-PEG-AC and SOPC bilayer (C) Chemical structure of PEG-AC attached to PLL. (D) Confocal laser scanning images showing fluorescence-recovery after photo-bleaching for a bilayer formed by SOPC vesicle doped with 0.2% OG488-DHPE on PLL-PEG-AC; first frame: immediately before photo-bleaching, second frame: immediately after photobleaching, third frame: 30 s post bleaching. (E) Normalised fluorescence from the bleached region demonstrating efficient recovery over time for silica (blue line) and PLL-PEG-AC (red line).

59x20mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 5 Measurements and simulations of surface loading dependent vesicle rupture and lipid mixing show rupture of clustered vesicles is the rate limiting step for PSB formation: Measured (dashed) and simulated (solid) traces for RIF (red), RhoB (green) and OG488 (blue) for surface loadings of (A) 0.41, (B) 3.45, (C) 3.66, (D) 3.89, and (E) 4.4 $\text{ng}\cdot\text{mm}^{-2}$. Injection period is marked with a grey background. Images to the right show the spatial distribution of isolated vesicles (grey), vesicles in contact with other vesicles (red) and bilayers (green) at different time points. Scale bar $1\mu\text{m}$.

152x114mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Scheme 3 Rate constants for a selection of vesicle remodelling paths on silica and silica coated with PLL-PEG-AC: A) rupture of individual vesicles to form isolated bilayer patches, B) Bilayer-edge induced assimilation of vesicles, C) rupture of binary vesicle cluster to form an isolated bilayer patch.
52x15mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 6 Increased rupture rates of pre-clustered vesicles: Normalized RhoB trace for cross-linked (light green) and un-cross-linked (dark green) vesicles on (A) silica, (B) PLL-PEG-AC and (C) PEG-AC.18 Injection periods are marked with a grey background. Top panels show the surface-types.
343x300mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 7 Promoting bilayer formation via electrostatically induced clustering: Schematic representation of lateral distribution of (A) neutral, (B) positively, (C) negatively and (D) a mixture of positively and negatively charged lipids and (E) a mixture of positively and negatively charged vesicles on a neutral PEG-AC surface. Frames before bleaching, immediately after bleaching and 30 s post bleaching for surface adsorbed (F) SOPC, (G) 20% DOPS+ 80% SOPC, (H) 20% DOTAP + 80% SOPC (I) 10% DOTAP + 10% DOPS + 80% SOPC and a (J) 1:1 mixture of 20% DOPS and 20% DOTAP vesicles. (K) Intergrated fluorescence from the bleached spot as a function of time for SOPC (black open circle), 20% DOPS+ 80% SOPC (red open circle), 20% DOTAP + 80% SOPC (blue open circle), 10% DOTAP + 10% DOPS + 80% SOPC (red and blue open circle) and a 1:1 mixture of 20% DOPS and 20% DOTAP vesicles (red and blue circle).

144x254mm (300 x 300 DPI)