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#### Abstract

We establish Hardy-type inequalities for the generalized Mehler transform on the real Hardy space $H^{p}, 0<p<1$.


## 1. Introduction and Results

Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ be the real Hardy space, that is, the space of the boundary distributions $f(x)=\Re F(x)$ of the real parts $\Re F(z)$ of functions $F(z)$ in the Hardy space $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=\left\{F(z)\right.$; analytic in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ and $\|F\|_{H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)}=\sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mid F(x+\right.$ it) $\left.\left.\left.\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<\infty\right\}$ on the upper half plane $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}=\{z=x+i t ; t>0\}$, with the norm $\|f\|_{H^{p}}=\|F\|_{H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)}$. Then, the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ of $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ is a continuous function and satisfies the inequality

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{p}|\xi|^{p-2} d \xi \leq C\|f\|_{H^{p}}^{p}
$$

which is well-known as Hardy's inequality for $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [7, Corollary 7.23], [21, p.128] ).

The aim of this paper is to establish an analogue of this inequality for the generalized Mehler transform.

The generalized Mehler transform is defined as follows. Let $m$ be a real number such that $m \leq 1 / 2$, and define

$$
K^{m}(x, y)=k_{m}(x)(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(\cosh y),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{m}(x)=\left|\frac{\Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x)}{\Gamma(-i x)}\right|, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(z)$ is the Legendre function of order $m$ and degree $-1 / 2+i x$, which is given by using the hypergeometric function as follows:

$$
P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-m)}\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)^{m / 2} F(1 / 2-i x, 1 / 2+i x ; 1-m ; 1 / 2-z / 2)
$$

[^0]The following transforms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) K^{m}(x, y) d x \\
& \mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(y) K^{m}(x, y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

are called the generalized Mehler transform. We remark that if $f, g \in L^{1}[0, \infty)$, then the values $\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y), \mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x)$ exist for every $x, y>0$ since $\left|K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq$ $C, x>0, y>0, m \leq 1 / 2$ (cf. [20]). Let us call $\mathcal{G}^{m}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{m}$ the $G$-type transform of order $m$ and the $H$-type transform of order $m$, respectively. It is known that $K^{1 / 2}(x, y)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} \cos x y$ and $K^{-1 / 2}(x, y)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} \sin x y$. Thus the H-type and G-type transforms of order $1 / 2$ are the cosine transform and, those transforms of order $-1 / 2$ are the sine transform. The above classical Hardy inequality leads to the following inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{ \pm 1 / 2}(f, y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C\|f\|_{H^{p}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{ \pm 1 / 2}(f, y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C\|f\|_{H^{p}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $f \subset[0, \infty)$ and $0<p \leq 1$.
In this paper, we shall investigate Hardy-type inequalities for the G-type and H-type transforms of arbitrary order $m<1 / 2$ on the space

$$
H^{p}[0, \infty)=\left\{f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{R}): \operatorname{supp} f \subset[0, \infty)\right\}, \quad 0<p \leq 1
$$

and obtain the following:
Theorem 1. (i) Let $-m+1 / 2>0$ and $0<p \leq 1$. Then, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C\|f\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}, \quad f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)
$$

(ii) Let $-m+1 / 2>0$ and $0<p \leq 1$. Suppose that $[1 / p] \leq[-m+1 / 2]$. Then, there there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C\|f\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}, \quad f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)
$$

Theorem 2. (i) Let $-m+1 / 2>0$ and $0<p \leq 1$. Suppose that $1 / p-1<$ $-m+1 / 2$. Then, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C\|g\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}, \quad g \in H^{p}[0, \infty)
$$

If $-m+1 / 2=1,2,3, \ldots$, then the above inequality holds for every $p$ with $0<$ $p \leq 1$.
(ii) Let $-m+1 / 2>0$ and $1 / 2<p \leq 1$. Suppose that $1 / p-1<-m+1 / 2$. Then, there there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C\|g\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}, \quad g \in H^{p}[0, \infty)
$$

Collorary 1. Let $1 / 2<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2=1,2,3, \ldots$ Then, there exist constants $C$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C\|f\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}, \quad f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d y \leq C\|g\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}, \quad g \in H^{p}[0, \infty)
$$

There are several results related to Hardy's inequality. A Hardy-type inequality for the Hankel transform is in [11], and the inequalities for Hermite and Laguerre expansions are in [10] and [12]. Hardy's inequality associated with the $n-1$ dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$ is in [4], and the ones for higher-dimensional Hermite and special Hermite expansions are in [18]. Some other inequalities of Hardy-type will be found in Colzani and Travaglini [5], Thangavelu [22], Betancor and Rodríguez-Mesa [2], Guadalupe and Kolyada [8], Kanjin and Sato [13], Sato [19], Balasubramanian and Radha [1].

We give some facts about the generalized Mehler transform. The usual generalized Meheler transform pair is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(u) d x \\
& f(x)=\pi^{-1} x \sinh \pi x \Gamma(1 / 2-m+i x) \Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x) \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{1}^{\infty} g(u) P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(u) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Conditions for the inversion of this pair will be found, for example, in [15]. According to [20], we reformulate this pair. We note that

$$
k_{m}^{2}(x)=\pi^{-1} x \sinh \pi x \Gamma(1 / 2-m+i x) \Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x)
$$

and then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\cosh y)(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{k_{m}(x)} K^{m}(x, y) d x \\
\frac{f(x)}{k_{m}(x)} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\cosh y)(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} K^{m}(x, y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewriting $g(\cosh y)(\sinh y)^{1 / 2}$ and $f(x) / k_{m}(x)$ with $g(y)$ and $f(x)$, again, we have H-type and G-type transforms.

The generalized Mehler transform is a special case of the Jacobi transform. We follow the notations of Koornwinder [14]. Let $\phi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)$ be the Jacobi functions:

$$
\phi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)=F\left((\alpha+\beta+1-i \lambda) / 2,(\alpha+\beta+1+i \lambda) / 2 ; \alpha+1 ; \sinh ^{2} t\right)
$$

Put

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=(2 \sinh t)^{2 \alpha+1}(2 \cosh t)^{2 \beta+1}
$$

The Jacobi transform of a function $f$ is defined by

$$
\hat{f}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \phi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(t) d t
$$

Let $G$ be a connected noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite center, and fix a maximal compact subgroup $K$. Associated to $G$ there are constants $p, q=$
$0,1,2, \ldots$ determined by the geometry of the symmetric space $G / K$ such that $n=\operatorname{dim}(G / K)=p+q+1$. Let

$$
\alpha=\frac{p+q-1}{2}=\frac{n-2}{2}, \quad \beta=\frac{q-1}{2}
$$

that is,

$$
p=2(\alpha-\beta), \quad q=2 \beta+1, \quad n=2 \alpha+2
$$

Then the Jacobi functions $\phi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)$ and the Jacobi transform appear as the spherical functions and the spherical transform on $G / K$. The Plancherel theorem for the Jacobi transform is as follows:

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(t)|^{2} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\hat{f}(\lambda)|^{2}|c(\lambda)|^{-2} d \lambda
$$

if $\alpha>-1$ and $\alpha \pm \beta+1 \geq 0$. Here,

$$
c(\lambda)=\frac{2^{\rho-i \lambda} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(i \lambda)}{\Gamma((i \lambda+\rho) / 2) \Gamma((i \lambda+\alpha-\beta+1) / 2)}, \quad \rho=\alpha+\beta+1
$$

There are relations between the generalized Mehler transform and the Jacobi transform. Let

$$
\alpha=\beta=-m, \quad x=\lambda / 2, \quad y=2 t
$$

Then we have the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=(2 \sinh y)^{-2 m+1} \\
& \phi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)=2^{-m} \Gamma(-m+1)(\sinh y)^{m} P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(\cosh y) \\
& \hat{f}(\lambda)=\frac{2^{-2 m} \Gamma(-m+1)}{k_{m}(x)} \mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x), \quad g(y)=2^{-m}(\sinh y)^{-m+1 / 2} f(y / 2) \\
& |c(\lambda)|^{-2}=\frac{2^{4 m} \pi}{\Gamma(-m+1)^{2}} k_{m}^{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, the Plancherel theorem is as follows: If $m \leq 1 / 2$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|g(y)|^{2} d y=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(g ; x)\right|^{2} d x, \quad g \in L^{2}((0, \infty), d y)
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{2} d y=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)\right|^{2} d y, \quad f \in L^{2}((0, \infty), d x)
$$

A main tool for the proof of the theorems is the atomic decomposition characterization of the real Hardy spaces. Let $0<p \leq 1$ and

$$
N=[1 / p]-1
$$

where the notation $[x]$ means that the greatest integer not exceeding $x$. An $H^{p}$ atom is a real valued function $a(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ so that (i) $a(x)$ is supported in an interval $[c, c+h]$, (ii) $|a(x)| \leq h^{-1 / p}$ a.e. $x$, and (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x) x^{k} d x=0$ for all $k=0,1,2, \cdots, N$. The elements $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$ are characterized as follows: $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp} f \subset[0, \infty)$ if and only if $f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}$, where every $a_{j}$ is an $H^{p}$ atom with $\operatorname{supp} a_{j} \subset[0, \infty)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p}<\infty$. Moreover, the norm $\|f\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}$ is equivalent to $\inf \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$, the infimum being taken over all such decompositions, and the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}$ converges in $H^{p}$ norm, consequently, also in the sense of tempered distributions. For this characterization, we refer to [17].

The case $p=1$ is in [7, III.7]. Related results are in [21, III.5.22], [3], [6], [9] and [16].

Because of the above characterization, we will be able to deduce the theorems from estimation of higher derivatives of the kernel $K^{m}(x, y)$. The estimation will be stated in the following section, and the proof of the theorems will be give in the section 4.

## 2. Main Estimates

For the proof of the theorems, we need to know about asymptotic behavior of the higher order derivatives $\partial^{j} K^{m}(x, y) / \partial x^{j}$ and $\partial^{j} K^{m}(x, y) / \partial y^{j}, j=0,1,2, \ldots$ in variables $x$ and $y$. Schindler [20] has obtained precise asymptotic formulas of $K^{m}(x, y)$ and the first order derivatives $\partial K^{m}(x, y) / \partial x$ and $\partial K^{m}(x, y) / \partial y$. These formulas are enough to obtain our theorems in the case $p=1$. We would like to consider Hardy-type inequalities for all $p$ with $0<p \leq 1$. This forces us to estimate the higher order derivatives. Our main estimates are the following Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in which the letter $C$ means positive constants independent of $x$ and $y$ not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

Lemma 1. Let $-m+1 / 2>0$, and put $M=[-m+1 / 2]$. Then the following inequalities hold:
For $0<x<1,0<y<1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C y^{-m+1 / 2}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<x<1,1 \leq y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C y^{j}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leq x, 1 \leq y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C y^{j}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leq x, 0<y<1$ :

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
y^{j}, & j=0,1,2, \ldots, M  \tag{5}\\
y^{-m+1 / 2}, & j=M+1, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2. Let $-m+1 / 2>0$, and put $M=[-m+1 / 2], \delta=-m+1 / 2-M$. Then the followig inequalities hold:
For $0<x<1,0<y<1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C x, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots, M,  \tag{6}\\
& \left|\frac{\partial^{M}}{\partial y^{M}} K^{m}(x, y)-\frac{\partial^{M}}{\partial y^{M}} K^{m}(x, \xi)\right| \leq C x|y-\xi|^{\delta}, \quad 0<\xi<1 . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

For $0<x<1,1 \leq y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C x, \quad j=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leq x, 1 \leq y:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C x^{j}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leq x, 0<y<1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{m}(x, y)=\tilde{k}_{m}(x)(x y)^{1 / 2} J_{-m}(x y)+E_{m}(x, y)  \tag{10}\\
& \left|\tilde{k}_{m}(x)\right| \leq C, \quad\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}} E_{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C x^{j}, \quad 0 \leq j<-m+3 / 2
\end{align*}
$$

and if $-m+1 / 2=1,2,3, \ldots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}} K^{m}(x, y)\right| \leq C x^{j}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above estimates are obtained by reexamining and refining the arguments that Schindler [20] used to get the asymptotic formulas for $K^{m}(x, y), \partial K^{m}(x, y) / \partial x$ and $\partial K^{m}(x, y) / \partial y$. The work is routine, but a little hard. The details are omitted in this paper.

## 3. The generalized mehler transform for $H^{p}$ with $0<p \leq 1$

Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2>0$. We shall discuss defining the transforms $\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; x)$ of $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$. We use the fact that an element of the Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{1 / p-1}(\mathbb{R})$ defines a continuous linear functional of $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [7, III.5]).

Fix $y>0$. We take a function $\kappa_{y}^{m}$ in $x$ such that

$$
\kappa_{y}^{m} \in \Lambda_{1 / p-1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \kappa_{y}^{m}(x)=K^{m}(x, y), \quad x>0
$$

and the transform $\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)$ of $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)\left(\subset H^{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)=<\kappa_{y}^{m}, f>, \quad y>0
$$

where the existence of such a function $\kappa_{y}^{m}$ will be discussed below. Then for an atom $a \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)=<\kappa_{y}^{m}, a>=\int_{0}^{\infty} a(x) K^{m}(x, y) d x
$$

and for the atomic decomposition $f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}(x)$ of $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$,

$$
\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}<\kappa_{y}^{m}, a_{j}>=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} \mathcal{G}^{m}\left(a_{j} ; y\right) .
$$

We see that the transform $\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)$ is independent of the choice of an extension $\kappa_{y}^{m} \in \Lambda_{1 / p-1}(\mathbb{R})$. In the same way, for fix $x>0$, we take a function $\kappa_{x}^{m}$ in $y$ such that

$$
\kappa_{x}^{m} \in \Lambda_{1 / p-1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \kappa_{x}^{m}(y)=K^{m}(x, y), \quad y>0
$$

and the transform $\mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; x)$ of $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; x)=<\kappa_{x}^{m}, f>, \quad x>0
$$

where we shall show that it is possible to take a function $\kappa_{x}^{m}$. Then for an atom $a \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)=<\kappa_{x}^{m}, a>=\int_{0}^{\infty} a(y) K^{m}(x, y) d y
$$

and for the atomic decomposition $f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}(y)$ of $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}<\kappa_{x}^{m}, a_{j}>=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} \mathcal{H}^{m}\left(a_{j} ; x\right)
$$

The transform $\mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; y)$ is independent of the choice of an extension $\kappa_{x}^{m} \in \Lambda_{1 / p-1}(\mathbb{R})$
Let us discuss the existence of extensions $\kappa_{y}^{m}$ and $\kappa_{x}^{m}$. Fix a positive $y$. We examine the kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{m}(x, y)= & k_{m}(x)(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(\cosh y) \\
= & k_{m}(x) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-m)} \frac{(\cosh y+1)^{m}}{(\sinh y)^{m-1 / 2}} \\
& \cdot F(1 / 2-i x, 1 / 2+i x ; 1-m ;(1-\cosh y) / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

as a function in $x$. We note here that for fixed $z$ in the plane $\mathbb{C}$ cut along $[1, \infty]$, the hyper geometric function $F(\alpha, \beta ; \gamma ; z)$ is an entire function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and a meromorphic function of $\gamma$, with simple poles at the points $\gamma=0,-1,-2, \ldots$ Thus we see that the function $(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(\cosh y)$ is an entire function in $x$. The function $k_{m}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{m}(x) & =\left|\frac{(1-i x)(-i x) \Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x)}{\Gamma(2-i x)}\right| \\
& =|(1-i x)(-i x)|\left|\frac{\Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x)}{\Gamma(2-i x)}\right| \\
& =x \sqrt{x^{2}+1}\left|\frac{\Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x)}{\Gamma(2-i x)}\right|, \quad x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x) / \Gamma(2-i x)$ is a holomorphic function with no zeros in $|x|<3 / 2$, it follows that $|\Gamma(1 / 2-m-i x) / \Gamma(2-i x)| \in C^{\infty}(-3 / 2,3 / 2)$. By these considerations, we can take $\kappa_{y}^{m} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\kappa_{y}^{m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K^{m}(x, y), \quad x>0 \\
0, \quad x<-\eta
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\eta$ is a positive constant. By Lemma 1 , we see that $\kappa_{y}^{m} \in \Lambda_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $\rho>0$.

Fix a positive $x$. By the properties of the hyper geometric functions, we see that there exists a function $h_{x}(y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(\cosh y)=(\sinh y)^{-m+1 / 2} h_{x}(y), \quad y>0
$$

and then for a positive constant $\eta>0$ there exists a function $p_{x}^{m}$ such that

$$
p_{x}^{m}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\sinh y)^{-m+1 / 2} h_{x}(y), \quad y>-\eta \\
0, \quad y \leq-2 \eta
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $p_{x}^{m} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$ if $-m+1 / 2 \neq 0,1,2, \ldots$, and $p_{x}^{m} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ if $-m+1 / 2=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$ By Lemma 2, we see that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}}(\sinh y)^{1 / 2} P_{-1 / 2+i x}^{m}(\cosh y)\right| \leq C_{j, m}(x), \quad y>0, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Thus we have that for $-m+1 / 2=1,2,3, \ldots$,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{d y^{j}} p_{x}^{m}(y)\right| \leq C_{j, m}^{\prime}(x), \quad-\infty<y<\infty, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

and that $\kappa_{x}^{m} \in \Lambda_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $\rho>0$, where

$$
\kappa_{x}^{m}(y)=k_{m}(x) p_{x}^{m}(y), \quad-\infty<y<\infty
$$

Here, $C_{j, m}(x), C_{j, m}^{\prime}(x)$ are constants independent of $y$ and depending on $m, j$ and $x$. In the case $-m+1 / 2 \neq 1,2,3, \ldots$, we see that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{d y^{j}} p_{x}^{m}(y)\right| \leq \begin{cases}C_{j, m}^{\prime}(x), & -\eta<y<\eta, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots, M  \tag{12}\\ C_{j, m}^{\prime \prime}(x), & \eta \leq|y|, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

where $M=[-m+1 / 2]$. Put $\delta=-m+1 / 2-M>0$. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{M}}{d y^{M}} p_{x}^{m}(y)-\frac{\partial^{M}}{d y^{M}} p_{x}^{m}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}, \quad y, y^{\prime} \in(-\eta, \eta) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (12) and (13) lead to $\kappa_{x}^{m} \in \Lambda_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $\rho$ with $0<\rho \leq$ $-m+1 / 2$.

Summarizing the above discussion, we have the following.
Lemma 3. (i) Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2>0$. Then, the $G$-transform $\mathcal{G}^{m}$ is well-defined on $H^{p}[0, \infty)$.
(ii -1 ) Let $0<p \leq 1$ and suppose $1 / p-1 \leq-m+1 / 2$. Then, the $H$-transform $\mathcal{H}^{m}$ is well-defined on $H^{p}[0, \infty)$.
(ii - 2) If $-m+1 / 2=0,1,2, \ldots$, then the $H$-transform $\mathcal{H}^{m}$ is well-defined on $H^{p}[0, \infty)$ for every $p$ with $0<p \leq 1$.

## 4. Proofs of Theorems

We shall turn to proofs of the theorems. Let $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty), 0<p \leq 1$. Then we have $f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}$, where every $a_{j}$ is an $H^{p}$ atom with $\operatorname{supp} a_{j} \subset$ $[0, \infty)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p}<\infty$. Moreover, the norm $\|f\|_{H^{p}[0, \infty)}$ is equivalent to $\inf \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$, the infimum being taken over all such decompositions. Because of the decomposition, to prove the theorems it is enough to show that for $H^{p}$-atoms $a$ with $\operatorname{supp} a \subset[0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A}^{B}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C_{1}, \quad \int_{A}^{B}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ independent of atoms $a$ under the conditions we need for $p$ and $m$, where $(A, B)=(0,1)$ or $(A, B)=(1, \infty)$. For the continuity of the transforms leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} \mathcal{G}^{m}\left(a_{j} ; y\right), \quad \mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} \mathcal{H}^{m}\left(a_{j} ; x\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if (14) holds, then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A}^{B}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(f ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p} \int_{A}^{B}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}\left(a_{j} ; y\right)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \\
& \leq C_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p} \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\|f\|_{H^{p}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\int_{A}^{B}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(f ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C_{2}^{\prime}\|f\|_{H^{p}}^{p}$, where $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ are constants independent of $f \in H^{p}[0, \infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 1 (i). Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2>0$. Let $a$ be an $H^{p}$-atom with the support interval $\left[c^{\prime}-h, c^{\prime}\right] \subset[0, \infty)$. We put $N=[1 / p]-1$. The vanishing mean property of atoms leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right| \leq \int_{c^{\prime}-h}^{c^{\prime}}|a(x)|\left|\frac{\partial^{N+1}}{\partial x^{N+1}} K^{m}\left(c_{1}, y\right)\right|\left|x-c^{\prime}\right|^{N+1} d x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{\prime}-h<x<c_{1}<c^{\prime}$. We are supposing $y \geq 1$ and so by Lemma 2, (8) and (9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right| & \leq C \int_{c^{\prime}-h}^{c^{\prime}}|a(x)| y^{N+1}\left|x-c^{\prime}\right|^{N+1} d x \\
& \leq C^{\prime} y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are constants independent of $a$ and $y$. The last inequality follows from the following small lemma which will be given for later convenience, and three more simple lemmas will be also stated here.
Lemma 4. Let a be an $H^{p}$-atom with the support interval $[c, c+h] \subset[0, \infty)$. Let $\lambda>0$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|a(x)|\left(y\left|x-c^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda} d x \leq y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}
$$

where $c^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary point with $c \leq c^{\prime} \leq c+h$.
Proof. It follows from $\|a\|_{2} \leq h^{-1 / p+1 / 2}$, that is, $h \leq\|a\|_{2}^{-2 p /(2-p)}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}|a(x)|\left(y\left|x-c^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda} d x & \leq y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}\left(\int_{c}^{c+h}\left|x-c^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2} h^{\lambda+1 / 2} \leq y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $0<p \leq 1$. Then for an arbitrary $\lambda$ with $1 / p-1<\lambda$ and any $a \in L^{2}[0, \infty)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{R}\left(y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} y^{p-2} d y=\frac{1}{p(\lambda+1)-1}
$$

where $R$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a\|_{2}^{p} R^{-(2-p) / 2}=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{R} & \left(y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} y^{p-2} d y=\|a\|_{2}^{p\left(1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)\right)} \int_{0}^{R} y^{p(\lambda+1)-2} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{p(\lambda+1)-1}\|a\|_{2}^{p\left(1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)\right)} R^{p(\lambda+1)-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{p(\lambda+1)-1}\left\{\|a\|_{2}^{p} R^{\{p(\lambda+1)-1\} /\left(1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)\right)}\right\}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)} \\
& =\frac{1}{p(\lambda+1)-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we used the fact that the power to the last $R$ is equal to $-(2-p) / 2$.
Lemma 6. Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2>0$. Then for any $a \in L^{2}[0, \infty)$ and $a$ constant $R$ satisfying (18),

$$
\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq 1, \quad \int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d y \leq 1
$$

Proof. By Plancherel's theorem, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y & \leq\left(\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{2} d y\right)^{p / 2}\left(\int_{R}^{\infty} y^{-2} d y\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \\
& \leq\|a\|_{2}^{p} R^{-(2-p) / 2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we have the H -transform case.
Lemma 7. Let $I(x), J(x)$ be nonnegative functions on $(0, \infty)$.
(i) If $I(x) \leq J(x)$ for $0<x<1$, then the inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{1} I(x) d x \leq \int_{0}^{R} J(x) d x+\int_{R}^{\infty} I(x) d x
$$

holds for every $R>0$.
(ii) If $I(x) \leq J(x)$ for $1 \leq x$, then the inequality

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} I(x) d x \leq \int_{0}^{R} J(x) d x+\int_{R}^{\infty} I(x) d x
$$

holds for every $R>0$.
We go back to the proof. By (17) and Lemma 7, we have that for every $R>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq \int_{0}^{R}\left(C^{\prime} y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} y^{p-2} d y \\
&+\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $R$ with (18), we have by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $a$. Here, we need the condition

$$
1 / p-1<\lambda=N+1=[1 / p]
$$

and it is trivially satisfied. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 (i).

Proof of Theorem 1 (ii). Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2>0$. In the same way as the above, we have (16). Now we are dealing with the case $0<y<1$, and our assumption is that $N+1 \leq M=[-m+1 / 2]$. Thus by the estimates (2) and (5), we have (17) for $0<y<1$. It follows from Lemma 7 that
$\int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq \int_{0}^{R}\left(C^{\prime} y^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} y^{p-2} d y+\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y$,
and taking $R$ with (18), by Lemma 5 and 6 we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathcal{G}^{m}(a ; y)\right|^{p} y^{p-2} d y \leq C
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $a$. The condition $1 / p-1<N+1=[1 / p]$ is automatically satisfied.

Proof of Theorem 2 (i). Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $-m+1 / 2>0$, and put $N=$ $[1 / p]-1, M=[-m+1 / 2]$. We divide a matter into two cases $N+1 \leq M$ and $M<N+1$.

Let us deal with the case $N+1 \leq M$. Let $a$ be an $H^{p}$-atom with the support interval $[c-h, c](\subset[0, \infty))$. We first suppose that $c-h<1<c$. By the vanishing mean property of atoms, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| & \leq \int_{c-h}^{c}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{N+1}}{\partial y^{N+1}} K^{m}\left(x, c_{2}\right)\right||y-1|^{N+1} d y \\
& =\left\{\int_{c-h}^{1}+\int_{1}^{c}\right\}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{N+1}}{\partial y^{N+1}} K^{m}\left(x, c_{2}\right)\right||y-1|^{N+1} d y \\
& =J_{1}(x)+J_{2}(x), \quad \text { say }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c-h<y<c_{2}<1$ or $1<c_{2}<y<c$. We are now treating the case $1 \leq x$. It follows from Lemma 2 (9) and Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(x) \leq C \int_{1}^{c}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{N+1} d y \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $x$ and $a$. For $J_{1}(x)$, since $N+1 \leq M$, Lemma 2 (10) with $j=N+1$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1}(x) \leq & C_{1} \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{N+1}}{\partial y^{N+1}}\left\{(x y)^{1 / 2} J_{-m}(x y)\right\}\right|_{y=c_{2}}| | y-\left.1\right|^{N+1} d y \\
& +C_{2} \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{N+1} d y=C_{1} J_{10}(x)+C_{2} J_{11}(x), \quad \text { say }
\end{aligned}
$$

and $J_{11}(x) \leq x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \lambda=N+1$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are independent of $x$ and $a$. For the term $J_{10}(x)$, by using the estimate

$$
\sup _{t>0}\left|\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial t^{j}} t^{1 / 2} J_{\alpha}(t)\right|<\infty, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots,[\alpha+1 / 2], \quad \alpha \geq-1 / 2
$$

([11, Lemma 1, (8)]), we have that

$$
J_{10}(x) \leq C \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{N+1} d y \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1
$$

where $C$ is independent of $x$ and $a$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1, \quad 1 \leq x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $x$ and $a$ for an $H^{p}$-atom $a$ with the support interval $[c-h, c]$ satisfying $c-h<1<c$. For the case $1 \leq c-h$, we also have the above estimate (20) in the same way as the argument for $J_{2}(x)$, and for the case $c \leq 1$, we have (20) in the same way as the argument for $J_{1}(x)$. Lemma 7 leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x & \leq \int_{0}^{R}\left(C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} x^{p-2} d x \\
& +\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x, \quad \lambda=N+1
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $R>0$ and every $H^{p}$-atom $a$ with the support interval contained in $[0, \infty)$. Noting $1 / p-1<\lambda$ and taking $R$ with (18), we have by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C, \quad N+1 \leq M \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $a$.
Next we treat the case $M<N+1$. We first examine the case $-m+1 / 2=$ $1,2,3, \ldots$ Because of (9) and (11), we have by the vanishing mean properties and Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| & \leq \int_{c-h}^{c}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{N+1}}{\partial y^{N+1}} K^{m}\left(x, c_{2}\right)\right||y-c|^{N+1} d y \\
& \leq \int_{c-h}^{c}|a(y)|(x|y-c|)^{N+1} d y \leq x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c-h<y<c_{2}<c$ and $a$ is an $H^{p}$-atom with the support interval $[c-h, c]$ ( $\subset$ $[0, \infty))$. In the same way as the above argument, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C, \quad M<N+1, \quad-m+1 / 2=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $a$.
Let us consider the case $-m+1 / 2 \neq 1,2,3, \ldots$. In this case we suppose that $1 / p-1<-m+1 / 2$. Since $M<N+1$, it follows that $-m+1 / 2<N+1$. By the assumption $1 / p-1<-m+1 / 2$, we have $N<-m+1 / 2$. Thus, in this case, $N<-m+1 / 2<N+1$ and $M=N$ hold. Let $a$ be an $H^{p}$-atom with the support interval $[c-h, c](\subset[0, \infty))$. We first deal with the case $c-h<1<c$. We have that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)=\int_{c-h}^{c} a(y)\left(\frac{\partial^{M} K^{m}}{\partial y^{M}}(x, \xi)-\frac{\partial^{M} K^{m}}{\partial y^{M}}(x, 1)\right)(y-1)^{M} d y
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| & \leq\left\{\int_{c-h}^{1}+\int_{1}^{c}\right\}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{M} K^{m}}{\partial y^{M}}(x, \xi)-\frac{\partial^{M} K^{m}}{\partial y^{M}}(x, 1)\right||y-1|^{M} d y \\
& =J_{3}(x)+J_{4}(x), \quad \text { say },
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c-h<y<\xi<1$ or $1<\xi<y<c$. Since $M=N$, it follows that

$$
J_{4}(x)=\int_{1}^{c}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{N+1} K^{m}}{\partial y^{N+1}}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right||y-1|^{N+1} d y, \quad 1<\xi^{\prime}<y<c
$$

We are now dealing with the case $1 \leq x$. By Lemma 2 (9), we have that

$$
J_{4}(x) \leq C \int_{1}^{c}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{N+1} d y
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $x$ and $a$, and by Lemma 4 that

$$
J_{4}(x) \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1
$$

For $J_{3}(x)$, it follows from Lemma 2 (10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{3}(x) \leq C_{1} \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{M}}{\partial y^{M}}\left\{(x y)^{1 / 2} J_{-m}(x y)\right\}\right|_{y=\xi} \\
&-\left.\frac{\partial^{M}}{\partial y^{M}}\left\{(x y)^{1 / 2} J_{-m}(x y)\right\}\right|_{y=1}| | y-\left.1\right|^{M} d y \\
& \quad+C_{2} \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1} E_{m}}{\partial y^{M+1}}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right||y-1|^{M+1} d y \\
&=C_{1} J_{30}(x)+C_{2} J_{31}(x), \text { say }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are independent of $x$ and $a$. Since $M=N$, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$
J_{31}(x) \leq C \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{N+1} d y \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1
$$

with a constant $C$ independent $x$ and $a$. By using the estimate [11, Lemma 1, (9)], we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\left|\frac{\partial^{M}}{\partial y^{M}}\left\{(x y)^{1 / 2} J_{-m}(x y)\right\}\right|_{y=\xi}-\left.\frac{\partial^{M}}{\partial y^{M}}\left\{(x y)^{1 / 2} J_{-m}(x y)\right\}\right|_{y=1} \right\rvert\, \\
\leq C x^{M}|x \xi-x|^{-m+1 / 2-M}
\end{array}
$$

where $c-h<y<\xi<1$ and $C$ is independent of $x$. Thus it follows Lemma 4 that $J_{30}(x) \leq C \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{-m+1 / 2} d y \leq C x^{\lambda^{\prime}}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)}, \quad \lambda^{\prime}=-m+1 / 2$ with a constant $C$ independent of $x$ and $a$. Thus for an $H^{p}$-atom $a$ with the support interval $[c-h, c$ ] satisfying $c-h<1<c$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| \leq C_{1} x^{\lambda^{\prime}}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)}+C_{2} x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}  \tag{23}\\
\lambda=N+1, \lambda^{\prime}=-m+1 / 2, \quad 1 \leq x
\end{gather*}
$$

with constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ independent of $x$ and $a$. For the case $1 \leq c-h$, we make the same argument for $J_{4}(x)$, and have

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=N+1, \quad 1 \leq x
$$

For the case $c \leq 1$, the same argument for $J_{3}(x)$ leads to

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| \leq C x^{\lambda^{\prime}}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)}, \quad \lambda=-m+1 / 2, \quad 1 \leq x
$$

Therefore for any atoms we have (23). It follows that for every $R>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq & \int_{0}^{R}\left(C_{1} x^{\lambda^{\prime}}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)}\right)^{p} x^{p-2} d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{R}\left(C_{2} x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} x^{p-2} d x \\
& +\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x, \quad \lambda=N+1, \lambda^{\prime}=-m+1 / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $R$ with (18) and noting $1 / p-1<\lambda(=N+1=[1 / p])$ and $1 / p-1<-m+1 / 2$, we have by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C  \tag{24}\\
& M<N+1, \quad 1 / p-1<-m+1 / 2 \neq 1,2,3, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $a$. The inequalities (21), (22) and (24) complete the proof of Theorem 2 (i).

Proof of Theorem 2 (ii). Assume that $-m+1 / 2>0$ and $1 / 2<p \leq 1$. It is clear that $N=[1 / p]-1=0$. Let $a$ be an $H^{p}$-atom with the support interval $[c-h, c](\subset[0, \infty))$.

We treat the case $c-h<1<c$, first. Noting that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)=\int_{c-h}^{c} a(y)\left(K^{m}(x, y)-K^{m}(x, 1)\right) d y
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| & \leq \int_{c-h}^{c}|a(y)|\left|K^{m}(x, y)-K^{m}(x, 1)\right| d y \\
& =\left\{\int_{c-h}^{1}+\int_{1}^{c}\right\}\left|a(y) \| K^{m}(x, y)-K^{m}(x, 1)\right| d y \\
& =J_{5}(x)+J_{6}(x), \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now supposing that $0<x<1$. For $J_{6}(x)$, it follows from Lemma 2 (8) and Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{6}(x) & =\int_{1}^{c}|a(y)|\left|K^{m}(x, y)-K^{m}(x, 1)\right| d y=\int_{1}^{c}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial K^{m}}{\partial y}(x, \xi)\right||y-1| d y \\
& \leq C \int_{1}^{c}|a(y)|(x|y-1|) d y \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1<\xi<y<c$ and $C$ is independent of $x$ and $a$. For $J_{5}(x)$, we divide a matter into two cases $M=[-m+1 / 2]=0$ and $M \geq 1$. Let $M \geq 1$. Because of Lemma 2 (6), the same argument for $J_{6}(x)$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{5}(x) & =\int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|\left|K^{m}(x, y)-K^{m}(x, 1)\right| d y \\
& =\int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|\left|\frac{\partial K^{m}}{\partial y}(x, \xi)\right||y-1| d y \\
& \leq C \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|(x|y-1|) d y \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We next deal with the case $M=0$. We remark $0<-m+1 / 2<1$. It follows from Lemma 2 (7) and Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{5}(x) & =\int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|\left|K^{m}(x, y)-K^{m}(x, 1)\right| d y=\int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)| x|y-1|^{\delta} d y \\
& \leq C \int_{c-h}^{1}|a(y)|(x|y-1|)^{\delta} d y \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=-m+1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

We used that $x<x^{\delta}(0<x<1)$ since $1>\delta=-m+1 / 2-M=-m+1 / 2>0$. Thus for an $H^{p}$-atom $a$ with the support interval [ $c-h, c$ ] satisfying $c-h<1<c$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| \leq C_{1} x^{\lambda^{\prime}}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)}+C_{2} x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}  \tag{25}\\
\lambda=1, \quad \lambda^{\prime}=-m+1 / 2, \quad 0<x<1
\end{gather*}
$$

with constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ independent of $x$ and $a$.
For the case $1 \leq c-h$, by the same argument for $J_{6}(x)$ we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right| \leq C x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}, \quad \lambda=1, \quad 0<x<1
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $x$ and $a$. For the case $c \leq 1$, in a similar way of the argument for $J_{5}(x)$ we have (25). Therefore we have (25) for any atom. It follows from Lemma 7 that for every $R>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{R}\left(C_{1} x^{\lambda^{\prime}}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)}\right)^{p} x^{p-2} d x+\int_{0}^{R}\left(C_{2} x^{\lambda}\|a\|_{2}^{1+\frac{-2 p}{2-p}(\lambda+1 / 2)}\right)^{p} x^{p-2} d x \\
& +\int_{R}^{\infty}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x, \quad \lambda=1, \lambda^{\prime}=-m+1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $R$ as it satisfies (18). Noting that $1 / p-1<-m+1 / 2$ and $1 / p-1<1$, we have by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\mathcal{H}^{m}(a ; x)\right|^{p} x^{p-2} d x \leq C
$$

with a constant $C$ independent $a$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2 (ii), and the proofs of the theorems complete.
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