## Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science

Volume 59 Article 23

2005

# Songbird Inventory for Arkansas Post National Memorial

Philip A. Tappe University of Arkansas at Monticello, tappe@uamont.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas



Part of the **Zoology Commons** 

## Recommended Citation

Tappe, Philip A. (2005) "Songbird Inventory for Arkansas Post National Memorial," Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science: Vol. 59 , Article 23.

Available at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol59/iss1/23

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of Scholar Works @UARK. For more information, please contact scholar @uark.edu.

#### PHILIP A. TAPPE

Arkansas Forest Resources Center, University of Arkansas, Monticello, AR 71656

'orrespondent: tappe@uamont.edu

#### Abstract

Two geographically separate units of Arkansas Post National Memorial were surveyed via fixed-radius plots to document songbird species composition, richness, and diversity by migratory status and nesting guild. At the Memorial Unit, 60 species were recorded with the Brown-headed Cowbird, Red-winged Blackbird, and Northern Cardinal being most common. Individuals of these three species comprised 30% of the total number of birds recorded despite representing only 5% of the species encountered. About 2½ times more resident birds were recorded than migratory birds. However, species richness and diversity of resident and migratory species were similar. The number of individuals, species richness, and diversity of canopy nesting species were greater than other nesting guilds. At the Osotouy Unit, 42 species were recorded with the most common species encountered being the Indigo Bunting, Carolina Wren, and Yellow-billed Cuckoo. Individuals of these 3 species comprised 30% of the total number of birds recorded despite representing only 7% of the species encountered. About 50% fewer resident birds were recorded than migratory birds. Migratory birds represented approximately 40% more species than resident birds. Likewise, diversity was greater for migratory species than for resident species. As in the Memorial Unit, the number of individuals, species richness, and diversity of canopy-nesting species were greater than other nesting guilds. No federal or state threatened or endangered species were documented, but 8 species currently tracked by the Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission were documented. These results have implications for future park management activities, particularly in respect to potential development plans at the Osotouy Unit.

#### Introduction

Congress passed the National Parks Omnibus Management Act in 1998 in response to concerns about the condition of natural resources within the national parks. The act requires each park to gather baseline inventory data on pertinent natural resources, data that will provide a pivotal step toward establishing an effective monitoring program furthering the ability to effectively manage and protect park resources and abide by the National Park Service (NPS) mission statement. The NPS responded with the Natural Resource Challenge program, including the establishment of biome-based inventory and monitoring networks (NPS, 1999). The Heartland Network, as part of the NPS Inventory and Monitoring program, has undertaken inventories of vascular plants and vertebrates within 15 parks in 8 midwestern states. Stemming from this challenge and a concern regarding the status of songbird populations at Arkansas Post National Memorial, an inventory was deemed necessary to establish baseline data of songbirds within the park.

Arkansas Post National Memorial, including the Osotouy Unit, provides refuge to numerous species of songbirds. Songbirds are an ecologically important faunal group that can be influenced by structural and floristic habitat alterations that may result from a variety of naturally occurring ecosystem processes and/or management activities (Wiens, 1989). Songbirds help facilitate seed and fungi dispersal, help control insect numbers, play essential roles in food web dynamics, and can create habitat for other wildlife species through excavation of cavities (Hunter,

1999). In addition to their ecological values, nongame birds are important as a recreational resource to millions of people who watch and feed birds (U.S. Dept. of the Interior, 2002). Neotropical migratory birds are of particular research interest due to recent evidence of long-term population declines in many species (Finch, 1991; Robbins et al., 1989).

An inventory of bird species is a necessary first step toward understanding how songbird populations relate to natural and cultural resources and associated management activities at the park, and will also help the park better manage resources and predict the possible impacts of management decisions on avian species (an important component of the National Environmental Policy Act). It will also provide managers with information about future research, such as fecundity surveys on species of concern. Additionally, an inventory of bird species establishes a baseline for future monitoring efforts aimed at detecting population/species composition trends. Thus, the objective for this inventory was the assessment of species composition, richness, evenness, and diversity of migrant and resident species.

### Study Area

Arkansas Post National Memorial is made up of 2 units, the Memorial and Osotouy Units. Both units are located in the southeastern portion of Arkansas County, Arkansas. The units are not contiguous and are separated by 8.0 km. The Memorial Unit is located 11.2 km south of Gillett, and the Osotouy Unit is located approximately 12.8 km from the community of Tichnor. The Memorial Unit (157.6 ha) is a

Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science, Vol. 59, 2005

peninsula surrounded by Moore and Post bayous along the north/northwest border and Post Lake, a backwater of the Arkansas River, on the north and northeastern border. The Osotouy Unit (145.8 ha) is bordered on the southwest by an old oxbow of the Arkansas River, Lake Dumond, and on the south by the White River National Wildlife Refuge. Remaining boundaries are adjacent to private land.

Both the Memorial and Osotouy Units are characterized by a terrace landscape, flat terrain, and various stands of upland and lowland hardwoods, interspersed with bayous and swamps. The Memorial Unit consists of a mosaic of successional seres within forested vegetation types that roughly follow a gradient from bottomland forest types that occupy mesic sites to upland types that occupy more xeric sites. This mosaic combined with maintained lawns, trails, and roads creates a diverse and fragmented environment. Forest composition at the Osotouy Unit is similar to that at the Memorial Unit. However, fewer successional seres are present, though some portions of the Osotouy Unit have been logged or are under cultivation. Land immediately adjacent to both units is either under agricultural cultivation or has been logged.

#### Methods

Arkansas Post National Memorial was surveyed to determine current songbird species composition from 9 June - 7 August 2003 via fixed radius census plots. Fourteen 50-m fixed-radius bird census plots were established at the Memorial Unit, whereas 8 were established at the Osotouy Unit due to its more homogenous landcover. Plots were located to provide an adequate sample of bird species that occur in the various vegetation types. However, the size of vegetation areas at both units precluded replication within those areas. Plots were situated to provide easy access for future monitoring purposes (i.e., along roads and trails). At the Memorial Unit, the interspersion and juxtaposition of a variety of vegetation types along with maintained lawns, trails, and roads provided a landscape with numerous edges and little continuity. Thus, placement of census points along roads and trails was reasonable for this particular landscape. Each point was recorded (Lat/Lon) using a eTrex Vista Global Positioning System (GPS) portable hand-held unit with WAAS enabled accuracy less than 3m.

Each plot was sampled using a 5-minute count of all songbirds heard or seen. All counts were conducted within 3.5 hours of sunrise on days with little or no rain and with winds < 6 kph. Plots were sampled 3 times each by 2 observers on different days; thus, each plot was sampled a total of 6 times. Species that do not breed in the area, species for which point sampling is an inappropriate sampling methodology, and flyovers were recorded but not used in the analyses. Species nomenclature follows the American

Ornithologist Union Checklist for North American Birds (2004).

Mean numbers of individuals, species richness (number of species), diversity (Shannon diversity index), and evenness (Pielou's J) were computed for all breeding birds combined and for each of the following subsets: residents, migrants (short- and long-distance combined), canopy nesters, cavity nesters, ground nesters, and shrub nesters. Species associated with multiple nesting preferences were included in each of the appropriate nesting guilds for analysis. Resident and migratory means were compared using an independent t-test. Nesting guild means were compared using a one-way ANOVA and tukey's mean separation test. All analyses were conducted using SPSS 13.0 (SPSS, Inc., 2004).

#### Results

**Memorial Unit.**—A total of 1,153 individual birds  $(\bar{x} = 164/\text{day})$  representing 60 species  $(\bar{x} = 32/\text{day})$  was recorded (Tables 1 and 2). The most common species encountered was the Brown-headed Cowbird (*Molothrus ater*), followed by the Red-winged Blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) and the Northern Cardinal (*Cardinalis cardinalis*). Individuals of these 3 species comprised 30% of the total number of birds recorded despite representing only 5% of the species encountered.

About  $2\frac{1}{2}$  times more resident birds ( $\bar{x}=116$  individuals/day) were recorded than migratory birds ( $\bar{x}=48$  individuals/day) (Table 2). A similar number of resident ( $\bar{x}=17$ ) and migratory ( $\bar{x}=16$ ) species were encountered (Table 2). Likewise, diversity was similar for resident ( $\bar{x}=2.4$ /day) and migratory ( $\bar{x}=2.4$ /day) species (Table 2). However, evenness was greater for migratory species ( $\bar{x}=0.88$ /day) than for resident species ( $\bar{x}=0.85$ /day) (Table 2).

An average of 78 canopy-nesting birds was recorded per day, compared to an average of 32 cavity nesters, 56 shrub nesters, and 12 ground nesters (Table 3). Additionally, an average of 16 canopy-nesting species was encountered per day (Table 3). This was approximately twice as many species as that recorded for cavity nesters  $(\overline{x} = 9)$  and shrub nesters  $(\bar{x} = 8)$  and 8 times greater than the number of recorded ground nesting species ( $\bar{x} = 2$ ) (Table 3). Diversity ( $\bar{x} = 2$ ) 2.4/day) of canopy nesting species was also greater than diversity of other nesting guilds (Table 3). Cavity nesters were the second most diverse group ( $\bar{x} = 1.8/\text{day}$ ), followed by shrub ( $\bar{x} = 1.4/\text{day}$ ) and ground ( $\bar{x} = 0.5/\text{day}$ ) nesters (Table 3). Evenness ( $\bar{x} = 0.88/\text{day}$ ) of canopy nesting species was similar to that of cavity nesters ( $\bar{x} = 0.84/\text{day}$ ) and greater than shrub ( $\bar{x} = 0.70/\text{day}$ ) or ground ( $\bar{x} = 0.59/\text{day}$ ) nesters (Table 3).

**Osotouy Unit.**–A total of 472 individual birds ( $\bar{x}=74/{\rm day}$ ) representing 42 species ( $\bar{x}=19/{\rm day}$ ) was recorded (Tables 4 and 5). The most common species encountered

as the Indigo Bunting (*Passerina cyanea*), followed by the arolina Wren (*Thryothorus ludovicianus*) and the Yellowilled Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*). Individuals of these ree species comprised 30% of the total number of irds recorded despite representing only 7% of the pecies encountered.

About 50% fewer resident birds ( $\overline{x}$ = 28 individuals/day) were recorded than migratory birds ( $\bar{x}$ = 46 individuals/day) (Table 5). Migratory birds represented approximately 40% more species ( $\bar{x}$  = 11/day) than resident birds ( $\bar{x}$  = 8/day) (Table 5). Likewise, diversity was greater for migratory species ( $\bar{x}$ = 2.2/day) than for resident species ( $\bar{x}$ = 1.8/day) (Table 5). However, evenness values for migratory ( $\bar{x}$ = 0.90/day) and resident ( $\bar{x}$ = 0.89/day) species were similar (Table 5).

An average of 40 canopy-nesting birds was recorded per day, compared to 13 cavity nesters, 21 shrub nesters, and 8 ground nesters (Table 6). Additionally, an average of 10 canopy-nesting species was encountered per day (Table 6). This was approximately twice as many species as that recorded for cavity nesters ( $\bar{x}=4$ ) and shrub nesters ( $\bar{x}=5$ ) and 5 times greater than the number of recorded ground nesting species ( $\bar{x}=2$ )(Table 6). Diversity of canopy nesting species ( $\bar{x}=2.1/{\rm day}$ ) was also greater than diversity of other nesting guilds (Table 6). Shrub nesters were the second most diverse group ( $\bar{x}=1.3/{\rm day}$ ), followed by cavity ( $\bar{x}=1.1/{\rm day}$ ) and ground ( $\bar{x}=0.2/{\rm day}$ ) nesters (Table 6). Evenness was least for ground nesting species ( $\bar{x}=0.58/{\rm day}$ ) but was similar among canopy ( $\bar{x}=0.91/{\rm day}$ ), cavity ( $\bar{x}=0.79/{\rm day}$ ), and shrub ( $\bar{x}=0.81/{\rm day}$ ) nesters (Table 6).

Eight species currently tracked by the Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission (2002) were documented. These include 2 that are currently being inventoried: Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus) and Purple Gallinule (Porphyrio martinica); 2 that are being monitored: Great Blue Heron (Aredea herodias) and Double-crested Cormorant (Phalacrocorax auritus); and 4 that are on the watch list: Yellow Warbler (Dendorica petechia), Red-headed Woodpecker (Melanerpes erythrocephalus), Hairy Woodpecker (Picoides villosus), and Blue-winged Warbler (Vermivora pinus).

#### Discussion

The composition and structure of the bird communities found at both units are dissimilar. Numerically, bird species richness and diversity was greater at the Memorial Unit compared to the Osotouy Unit for both resident and

migratory birds as well as for all nesting guilds. The 2 most common species at the Memorial Unit were the Brownheaded Cowbird and the Red-winged Blackbird. In contrast, the 2 most common species at the Osotouy Unit were the Indigo Bunting and the Carolina Wren. These differences in bird communities are likely the result of differences in the composition, structure, and patterns of vegetation. However, because the bird surveys were conducted relatively late in the breeding season (9 June – 7 August), it is possible that a few uncommon species were not detected or were under-represented.

At the Memorial Unit, the interspersion and juxtaposition of a variety of vegetation types along with maintained lawns, trails, and roads characterize a diverse and fragmented landscape with numerous edges. This variety of habitats provides for a diverse bird community. However, combined with a close proximity to agricultural fields, the diverse, fragmented habitat also creates an ideal environment for the Brown-headed Cowbird (Temple and Cary, 1988; Wilcove, 1985; Yahner and Scott, 1988). The prevalence of the Brown-headed Cowbird raises a concern about the level of nest parasitism occurring at the unit. If nest parasitism is high nest success rates could be low and thus this unit could potentially represent a population sink for some bird species (Robbins et al., 1989).

Though the Osotouy Unit is in relatively close proximity to agricultural fields and bodies of water, it represents a less diverse and less fragmented environment. Thus, the number and diversity of bird species is less than those found at the Memorial Unit. However, the Brownheaded Cowbird represented only 0.4% of the birds encountered at Osotouy. In the future, any development at Osotouy should consider possible ramifications of changes to habitat, particularly in respect to fragmentation that could result in an increase in nest parasitism by Brownheaded Cowbirds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.—Thanks to Allison Fowler and Erin McCammon, graduate students at the University of Arkansas—Monticello, for many early morning bird surveys and to Chris Watt with the Arkansas Forest Resources Center for his technical assistance. Also, thanks to the personnel of Arkansas Post National Memorial for providing assistance and support for the project and to the Heartland Network Inventory and Monitoring Program for funding the project.

Table 1. List of birds recorded at the Memorial Unit of Arkansas Post National Memorial, June - August 2003.

Common Name	Scientific Name	# of Individuals	% Tota
Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	163	14.1
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	108	9.4
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	86	7.5
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	59	5.1
Carolina Wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	59	5.1
Eastern Wood Peewee <sup>4</sup>	Contopus virens	55	4.8
Yellow-billed Cuckoo <sup>4</sup>	Coccyzus americanus	51	4.4
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	46	4.0
Tufted Titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	38	3.3
Common Grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	36	3.1
Carolina Chickadee	Poecile carolinensis	36	3.1
Northern Rough-winged Swallow <sup>1</sup>	Stelgidopteryx serripennis	35	3.0
Acadian Flycatcher <sup>4</sup>	Empidonax virescens	31	2.7
Great Egret <sup>1</sup>	Ardea alba	30	2.6
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	28	2.4
Summer Tanager <sup>4</sup>	Piranga rubra	25	2.2
Fish Crow	Corvus ossifragus	21	1.8
Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	Polioptila caerulea	21	1.8
Blue Jay <sup>4</sup>	Cyanocitta cristata	19	1.6
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	18	1.6
Baltimore Oriole <sup>4</sup>	Icterus galbula	15	1.3
American Crow <sup>4</sup>	Corvus brachyrhynchos	15	1.3
Cattle Egret <sup>3</sup>	Bubulcus ibis	14	1.2
Barn Swallow <sup>1</sup>	Hirundo rustica	10	0.9
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	9	0.8

ble 1. Continued.

Common Name	Scientific Name	# of Individuals	% Total
Wood Thrush <sup>4</sup>	Hylocichla mustelina	9	0.8
Eastern Towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	8	0.7
Eastern Bluebird <sup>4</sup>	Sialia sialis	8	0.7
Northern Parula <sup>4</sup>	Parula americana	8	0.7
Great-crested Flycatcher <sup>4</sup>	Myiarchus crinitus	6	0.5
Indigo Bunting <sup>4</sup>	Passerina cyanea	6	0.5
Brown Thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	6	0.5
White-eyed Vireo <sup>4</sup>	Vireo griseus	6	0.5
Orchard Oriole <sup>4</sup>	Icterus spurius	5	0.4
Prothonotary Warbler <sup>4</sup>	Protonotaria citrea	5	0.4
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	5	0.4
Common Moorhen	Gallinula chloropus	4	0.3
Eastern Kingbird <sup>4</sup>	Tyrannus tyrannus	4	0.3
Mallard <sup>3</sup>	Anas platyrhynchos	4	0.3
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	4	0.3
Yellow Warbler <sup>4</sup>	Dendroica petechia	4	0.3
Double-crested Comorant <sup>2</sup>	Phalacrocorax auritus	3	0.3
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	3	0.3
Purple Gallinule <sup>1</sup>	Porphyrio martinica	3	0.3
Red-headed Woodpecker	Melanerpes erythrocephalus	3	0.3
Blue Grosbeak <sup>4</sup>	Guiraca caerulea	2	0.2
Belted Kingfisher <sup>1</sup>	Ceryle alcyon	2	0.2
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	2	0.2
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	2	0.2
Ovenbird <sup>2</sup>	Seiurus aurocapillus	2	0.2

Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science, Vol. 59, 2005

Table 1. Continued.

Common Name	Scientific Name	# of Individuals	% Total
Red-eyed Vireo <sup>4</sup>	Vireo olivacaus	2	0.2
Chimney Swift <sup>3</sup>	Chaetura pelagica	1	0.1
Blue-winged Warbler <sup>2</sup>	Vermivora pinus	1	0.1
Horned Lark	Eremophila alpestris	1	0.1
Kentucky Warbler <sup>4</sup>	Oporornis formosus	1	0.1
Barred Owl <sup>1</sup>	Strix varia	1	0.1
Pine Warbler	Dendroica pinus	1	0.1
American Redstart <sup>4</sup>	Setophaga ruticilla	1	0.1
Red-shouldered Hawk <sup>3</sup>	Buteo lineatus	1	0.1
Gray Catbird <sup>4</sup>	Dumetella carolinensis	1	0.1
Total		1,153	100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Inappropriate sampling technique.

Table 2. Mean number per day (SD) of individuals and species, and mean diversity and evenness per day (SD) by migratory status for birds recorded at the Memorial Unit of Arkansas Post National Memorial, June – August 2003.

		Migrato	ory Status	
Variable	All Species	Resident	Migrant	<b>p</b> ¹
Individuals	164.2	116.0	48.2	
	(13.64)	(13.34)	(4.92)	< 0.001
Species	32.3	16.8	15.5	
	(3.62)	(2.32)	(1.76)	0.288
Diversity	2.990	2.377	2.410	
	(0.0587)	(0.0801)	(0.1004)	0.560
Evenness	0.862	0.845	0.881	
	(0.0240)	(0.0303)	(0.0195)	0.033

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Probability associated with independent t-test of  $H_o$ :  $\bar{x}$  resident =  $\bar{x}$  migrant and  $H_A$ :  $\bar{x}$  resident  $\neq \bar{x}$  migrant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Non-breeding migrant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Recorded only as a flyover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Breeding, migratory species (short- or long-distance).

able 3. Mean number per day (SD) of individuals and species, and mean diversity and evenness per day (SD) by nesting guild or birds recorded at the Memorial Unit of Arkansas Post National Memorial, June – August 2003.

		Nestin	g Guild	
Variable	Canopy	Cavity	Shrub	Ground
Individuals	78.2 A <sup>1</sup> (10.87)	31.7 B (3.72)	56.2 C (9.79)	11.7 D (2.16)
Species	16.0 A (2.10)	8.8 B (0.98)	8.0 B (1.27)	2.3 C (1.03)
Diversity	2.434 A (0.0610)	1.821 B (0.0927)	1.436 C (0.0977)	0.456 D (0.3189)
Evenness	0.881 A (0.0323)	0.838 A (0.0162)	0.695 <b>B</b> (0.0339)	0.585 B (0.1373)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Means in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 4. List of of birds recorded at the Osotouy Unit of Arkansas Post National Memorial, June - August 2003

Common Name	Scientific Name	# of Individuals	% Total
Indigo Bunting <sup>4</sup>	Passerina cyanea	61	12.9
Carolina Wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	43	9.1
Yellow-billed Cuckoo <sup>4</sup>	Coccyzus americanus	42	8.9
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	42	8.9
Eastern Wood Peewee <sup>4</sup>	Contopus virens	33	7.0
Wood Thrush <sup>4</sup>	Hylocichla mustelina	30	6.4
Acadian Flycatcher <sup>4</sup>	Empidonax virescens	29	6.1
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	24	5.1
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	21	4.4
Summer Tanager <sup>4</sup>	Piranga rubra	19	4.0
White-eyed Vireo <sup>4</sup>	Vireo griseus	14	3.0
Blue Jay <sup>4</sup>	Cyanocitta cristata	13	2.8
Blue Grosbeak <sup>4</sup>	Guiraca caerulea	12	2.5
Tufted Titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	12	2.5

Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science, Vol. 59, 2005

Table 4. Continued.

Common Name	Scientific Name	# of Individuals	% Total
Red-eyed Vireo <sup>4</sup>	Vireo olivaceus	8	1.7
Great Egret <sup>1</sup>	Ardea alba	8	1.7
Carolina Chickadee	Poecile carolinensis	7	1.5
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	5	1.1
Prothonotary Warbler <sup>4</sup>	Protonotaria citrea	5	1.1
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	4	0.8
Fish Crow	Corvus ossifragus	3	0.6
Red-shouldered Hawk <sup>3</sup>	Buteo lineatus	3	0.6
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	3	0.6
Common Yellow-throat <sup>4</sup>	Geothlypis trichas	2	0.4
Cattle Egret <sup>3</sup>	Bubulcus ibis	2	0.4
Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	2	0.4
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	2	0.4
Wild Turkey <sup>1</sup>	Meleagris gallopavo	2	0.4
Ruby-throated Hummingbird <sup>4</sup>	Archilochus colubris	2	0.4
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	2	0.4
Ovenbird <sup>2</sup>	Seiurus aurocapillus	2	0.4
Great Blue Heron <sup>1</sup>	Ardea herodias	2	0.4
Northern Bobwhite <sup>1</sup>	Colinus virginianus	2	0.4
Blue-gray Gnatcatcher <sup>4</sup>	Polioptila caerulea	2	0.4
Kentucky Warbler <sup>4</sup>	Oporornis formosus	2	0.4
Chipping Sparrow <sup>4</sup>	Spizella passerina	1	0.2
Double-crested Comorant <sup>2</sup>	Phalacrocorax auritus	1	0.2
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	1	0.2
Red-tailed Hawk <sup>1</sup>	Buteo jamaicensis	1	0.2

ble 4. Continued.

Common Name	Scientific Name	# of Individuals	% Total
Brown Thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	1	0.2
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	1	0.2
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	1	0.2
Total		472	100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Inappropriate sampling technique.

Table 5. Mean number per day (SD) of individuals and species, and mean diversity and evenness per day (SD) by migratory status for birds recorded at the Osotouy Unit of Arkansas Post National Memorial, June – August 2003.

Variable		Migrator	ry Status	
	All Species	Resident	Migrant	<b>P</b> 1
Individuals	73.5	28.0	45.5	See Success
	(5.24)	(4.82)	(6.35)	< 0.001
Species	19.3	8.2	11.2	
Man I Clarin Les	(1.86)	(1.84)	(1.33)	0.009
Diversity	2.695	1.841	2.163	
	(0.0851)	(0.2145)	(0.0963)	0.012
Evenness	0.911	0.885	0.899	
	(0.0105)	(0.0300)	(0.0138)	0.187

Probability associated with independent t-test of  $H_0$ :  $\bar{x}$  resident =  $\bar{x}$  migrant and  $H_A$ :  $\bar{x}$  resident  $\neq \bar{x}$  migrant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Non-breeding migrant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Recorded only as a flyover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>Breeding, migratory species (short- or long-distance).

Table 6. Mean number per day (SD) of individuals and species, and mean diversity and evenness per day (SD) by nesting guild for birds recorded at the Osotouy Unit of Arkansas Post National Memorial, June – August 2003.

		Nesting	g Guild	
Variable	Canopy	Cavity	Shrub	Ground
Individuals	$39.8 \text{ A}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ (3.06)	12.7 B (2.73)	21.0 C (2.76)	7.7 D (1.63)
Species	9.7 A	4.3 B	5.2 B	1.5 C
	(0.82)	(1.63)	(0.75)	(0.55)
Diversity	2.069 A	1.119 B	1.328 B	0.200 C
	(0.1025)	(0.4170)	(0.1689)	(0.2268)
Evenness	0.913 A	0.793 A	0.811 A	0.578 B
	(0.0194)	(0.0803)	(0.0477)	(0.1300)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Means in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

#### Literature Cited

- American Ornithologist Union Checklist of North American Birds. 2004. The A.O.U. Check-List of North American Birds, Seventh Edition. Accessed December 1, 2004 at http://www.aou.org/checklist/index.
- Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission. 2002. State Species of Special Concern-Animals. Accessed December 1, 2004 at http://www.naturalheritage.org/publications/rare/pdfs/Inventory\_List-Animals.pdf
- **Finch, DM.** 1991. Population ecology, habitat requirements, and conservation of neotropical migratory birds. General Technical Report RM-205. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 26 p.
- Hunter, ML, Jr (ed.). 1999. Maintaining biodiversity in forest ecosystems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom 698 pp.
- National Park Service. 1999. Draft Natural Resource Management Guideline 77. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 577 p.

- **Robbins, CS, DK Dawson** and **BA Dowell.** 1989. Habitat area requirements of breeding forest birds of the Middle Atlantic States. Wildlife Monographs 103:1-34.
- SPSS, Inc. 2004. SPSS Version 13.0. SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL.
- **Temple, SA** and **JR Cary.** 1988. Modeling dynamics of habitat-interior bird populations in fragmented landscapes. Conservation Biology 2:340-347.
- U.S. Department of the Interior. 2002. 2001 National survey of fishing, hunting, and wildlife-associated recreation: National overview. U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Rep. 19 p.
- Wiens, JA. 1989. The ecology of bird communities. Volume 2: Processes and variations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK. 316 p.
- **Wilcove, DS.** 1985. Nest predation in forest tracts and the decline of migratory songbirds. Ecology 66:1211-1214.
- Yahner, RH and DP Scott. 1988. Effects of forest fragmentation on depredation of artificial nests. Journal of Wildlife Management 52:158-161.