Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science

Volume 62

Article 9

2008

Amphibian, Reptile, and Small Mammal Associates of Ozark Pocket Gopher Habitat in Izard County, Arkansas

M. Connior Arkansas State University, matthew.connior@smail.astate.edu

I. Guenther Arkansas State University

Thomas S. Risch Arkansas State University

Stanley E. Trauth Arkansas State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas Part of the <u>Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons</u>, and the <u>Zoology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Connior, M.; Guenther, I.; Risch, Thomas S.; and Trauth, Stanley E. (2008) "Amphibian, Reptile, and Small Mammal Associates of Ozark Pocket Gopher Habitat in Izard County, Arkansas," *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*: Vol. 62, Article 9. Available at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol62/iss1/9

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu.

Amphibian, Reptile, and Small Mammal Associates of Ozark Pocket Gopher Habitat in Izard County, Arkansas

M. Connior^{1,2}, I. Guenther¹, T. Risch¹, and S. Trauth¹

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas State University, P.O. Box 599, State University, AR 72467

²Correspondence: Matthew.connior@smail.astate.edu

Abstract

We conducted a study of the amphibian, reptile, and small mammal community assemblage of Ozark pocket gopher (Geomys bursarius ozarkensis) habitat in north-central Arkansas. We used 2 methods to capture individuals: hand capture and drift fences. During the study, we captured and marked a total of 9 anuran, 4 salamander, 5 lizard, 3 turtle, 16 snake, and 8 small mammal species exclusive of pocket gophers. We found one hatchling three-toed box turtle (Terrapene carolina triunguis) and one rough earth snake (Virginia striatula) inside a pocket gopher burrow and mound, respectively. Additionally, we witnessed both eastern racers (Coluber constrictor) and eastern coachwhips (Masticophis flagellum) retreat into pocket gopher burrows, as well as Hurter's spadefoots (Scaphiopus holbrookii hurterii) burrow into pocket gopher mounds when released. Our results highlight the importance of mammalian burrows, specifically pocket gophers, to other vertebrate associates in grassland ecosystems. Both conservationists and managers need to determine the pocket gopher's impact on ecosystem health and viability, specifically in natural grasslands, before conservation and/or management strategies are employed.

Introduction

Pocket gophers are fossorial rodents that mound dirt above ground while burrowing, which can alter the temporal microhabitat significantly. Prior to the 1990s, all pocket gophers in Arkansas were classified as Baird's pocket gopher (Geomys breviceps; Sealander and Heidt 1990). However, a second species, Ozark pocket gopher (G. bursarius ozarkensis), was described through further DNA testing and additional ectoparasite examination (Elrod et al. 1996, 2000). The Ozark pocket gopher is endemic to Izard County, Arkansas (Elrod et al. 2000, Kershen 2004), and is currently a "species of greatest conservation need" in the Arkansas Wildlife Action Plan (Anderson 2006). Thus, the Ozark pocket gophers' impact on the ecology of their habitat community should be determined before making future management/ conservation decisions. Previous studies have shown that pocket gopher habitats are high in species richness and provide abundant cover for associates (Howard and Childs 1959, Vaughan 1961, Wilks 1963).

As part of a larger study, we conducted an inventory of Ozark pocket gopher associates (i.e., amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals) found both on the surface and in the burrows. Our primary objective was to determine the amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals that use pocket gopher habitat.

Methods and Materials

Our study area consisted of 2 study sites (Site 1, Site 2) located on private property in Izard County, Arkansas. Both study sites were \sim 4 ha open, grassy cattle pastures bordered by small creeks, roads, and woodland.

We collected specimens along drift fences and by hand. Drift fences were placed at the periphery of the pastures, as we used drift fences to assess possible pocket gopher dispersal. We ran 2 drift fences per site at 2 sites for a total of 101 trap nights per site during 3 March to 2 July 2007 to capture species that co-exist with pocket gophers. The drift fences measured 33 m in length and had an 18.9 l bucket pitfall trap at each end with an additional 18.9 l bucket on either side of the drift fence every ca. 8 m (8 buckets per fence). We also placed a funnel trap 12 m from the end of the drift fence on either side. The funnel traps (90 x 30 x 30 cm) were made of 0.62-cm wire mesh hardware cloth and had double entrances.

We placed 2 additional drift fences at Site 2 from 2 January to 4 April 2008. These drift fences were similar to those described above, except, they lacked funnel traps. The funnel traps were not installed due to cold temperatures that would cause mortality to captured individuals.

We captured additional vertebrate species by hand at both sites by searching by sight and excavation of burrows during pocket gopher trap placement. Our hand capture collection technique was opportunistic where no specific transects or efforts were employed.

M. Connior, I. Guenther, T. Risch, and S. Trauth

Typically, hand captures were limited to reptiles due to their ectothermy and basking behavior.

Results

Vertebrate Captures in Habitat

We identified all captured individuals to species or subspecies. We marked small mammals with ear tags using the same procedures as Fokidis et al. (2006) and the herpetofauna, exclusive of turtles, by either toeclipping or scale-clipping (Nietfeld et al. 1996). We marked turtles by notching carapace scutes (Cagle 1939). We implanted passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags into snakes that were large enough to mark. We deposited voucher specimens of all amphibians and reptiles captured in drift fences and most of the hand captures in the Arkansas State University Museum of Zoology Herpetology Collection (ASUMZ; see Table We deposited all small mammal specimens 2). collected (i.e., trap mortality) in the Arkansas State Museum of Zoology Mammalogy University Collection (ASUMZ).

We captured 13 amphibian, 25 reptile, and 8 small mammal species or subspecies in Ozark pocket gopher habitat during field seasons in 2007 and 2008. Two subspecies of *Coluber constrictor* were captured, *C c. priapus* and *C. c. flaviventris*. Drift fences accounted for the majority of the species/subspecies collected in 2007 (Table 1). One additional species, tiger salamander (*Ambystoma tigrinum*), was captured in the drift fences in 2008 (n = 2 females; 6 February). Of the 18 families represented, 8 were amphibians (3 urodela; 5 anuran), 7 were reptiles (2 testudines; 5 squamates), and 3 were small mammals (2 insectivores; 1 rodent) (Table 2).

Table 1. Amphibian, reptile, and small mammals captured in drift fences in 2007 and 2008 at two Ozark pocket gopher habitat sites.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Site 1 (2007) No. Captured	Site 2 (2007) No. Captured	Site 2 (2008) No. Captured
Amphibians				
Acris crepitans blanchardi	Blanchard's Cricket Frog	1	4	0
Ambystoma opacum	Marbled Salamander	0	2	0
Ambystoma tigrinum tigrinum	Tiger Salamander	0	0	2
Bufo americanus charlesmithi	Dwarf American Toad	65	8	2
Bufo fowleri	Fowler's Toad	1	0	0
Eurycea lucifuga	Cave Salamander	1	0	0
Gastrophryne carolinensis	Eastern Narrowmouth Toad	3	4	0
Notophthalmus viridescens louisianensis	Central Newt	1	1	1
Pseudacris crucifer crucifer	Northern Spring Peeper	0	1	0
Rana catesbeiana	American Bullfrog	18	1	0
Rana palustris	Pickerel Frog	17	43	5
Rana spenocephala	Southern Leopard Frog	10	7	0
Scaphiopus holbrookii hurterii	Hurter's Spadefoot	18	11	1
Reptiles				
Cemophora coccinea copei	Northern Scarlet Snake	3	1	0
Aspidoscelis sexlineata viridis	Prairie Racerunner	30	5	0
Coluber constrictor priapus	Southern Black Racer	1	3	0
Coluber constrictor flaviventris	Eastern Yellowbelly Racer	0	1	0
Eumeces anthracinus pluvialis	Southern Coal Skink	2	3	1
Eumeces fasciatus	Five-lined Skink	3	3	0
Heterodon platirhinos	Eastern Hognose Snake	1	1	0
Lampropeltis calligaster calligaster	Prairie Kingsnake	1	0	0
Sceloporus undulatus hyacinthinus	Northern Fence Lizard	19	16	5

Amphibian, Reptile, & Small Mammal Associates of Ozark Pocket Gopher Habitat in Izard County, Arkansas

Table 1 continued				
Scientific Name	Common Name	Site 1 No. Captured	Site 2 (2007) No. Captured	Site 2 (2008) No. Captured
Reptiles (continued)				
Scincella lateralis	Ground Skink	3	9	2
Tantilla gracilis	Flathead Snake	8	3	0
Terrapene carolina triunguis	Three-toed Box Turtle	3	1	0
Thamnophis sirtalis sirtalis	Eastern Garter Snake	0	1	0
Virginia striatula	Rough Earth Snake	1	0	0
Mammals				
Blarina carolinensis	Southern Short-tailed Shrew	0	4	0
Cryptotis parva	Least Shrew	10	89	8
Microtus pinetorum	Woodland Vole	21	41	9
Mus musculus	House Mouse	0	1	0
Ochrotomys nuttalli	Golden Mouse	0	2	0
Peromyscus maniculatus	Deer Mouse	19	14	0
Reithrodontomys fulvescens	Fulvous Harvest Mouse	14	14	0
Scalopus aquaticus	Eastern Mole	0	1	0

An additional 11 amphibian and reptile species were captured by hand. Box turtles and large snakes made up the majority of hand captures. We captured eastern racers (*Coluber constrictor*) and three-toed box turtles (*Terrapene carolina triunguis*) frequently (n = 14, 16, respectively). Eastern coachwhips (*Masticophis flagellum flagellum*) and prairie kingsnakes (*Lampropeltis calligaster calligaster*) were fairly common based on visual observations.

During this study, we documented 5 new county records. Four of those records were herpetofauna: eastern yellowbelly racer, *Coluber constrictor flaviventris* (Connior et al. 2007a); great plains rat snake, *Elaphe guttata emoryi* (Connior et al. 2007b); hurter's spadefoot, *Scaphiopus holbrookii hurterii* (Connior et al. 2007c); three-toed box turtle, *Terrapene carolina triunguis* (Connior et al. 2007d). Captures of Southern short-tailed shrew, *Blarina carolinensis*, also represented a new county record (see below).

Blarina carolinensis

Izard Co.--Found in a cattle pasture on private property off Co. Rd. 3, 4 km E of St. Hwy 9. UTM 15N 0597627E, 3987505N. 4 individuals. 20 March 2007 (1 individual; ASUMZ 28413); 25 April 2007 (1 individual; ASUMZ 28414); 26 April 2007 (2 individuals; ASUMZ 28415, ASUMZ 28416).

Vertebrate Observations in Burrows and Mounds

One of us (MBC) captured a juvenile three-toed box turtle inside a pocket gopher burrow while setting a live trap. Additionally, one of us (MBC) captured a rough earth snake (*Virginia striatula*) in a pocket gopher mound. Two of us (MBC, IG) witnessed both eastern racers and eastern coachwhips retreat into pocket gopher burrows and common map turtles (*Graptemys geographica*) digging nests in the soft dirt of pocket gopher mounds. After release of captured Hurter's spadefoots, they would commonly retreat by digging into pocket gopher mounds.

Discussion

Pocket gophers are ecosystem engineers, which not only provide habitat for other vertebrates but also impact the distribution of soil and nutrients (Reichman and Seabloom 2002, Reichman 2007). Other subterranean rodents, such as prairie dogs, have great impacts on the ecosystem and vertebrate fauna that elevate them to keystone species (Kotliar et al. 1999, 2006). Keystone species are species whose effect on the ecosystem is exceptionally larger than expected relative to its abundance (Power et al. 1996). Gopher tortoises (*Gopherus polyphemus*) have numerous vertebrate associates in their burrows as well (Lips 1991, Witz et al. 1991). Madison (1997) found that

M. Connior, I. Guenther, T. Risch, and S. Trauth

Table 2.	Complete list of al	l amphibian, reptile.	and small mammal	species captured in	n Ozark pocket gopher hal	oitat in Izard County, Arkansas.
14010 2.	comprete not or a	i ampinolan, repuie,	und onnun manning	especies captaiea i	a olam pooner Bopher na	situt in illura county, i initanousi

Scientific Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Common Name
Class Amphibia	Amphibians	Heterodon platyrhinos Lampropeltis calligaster	Eastern Hognose Snake
Ambystoma tigrinum tigrinum	Eastern Tiger Salamander ¹	calligaster	Prairie Kingsnake
Ambystoma opacum	Marbled Salamander	Lampropeltis getula holbrooki*	Speckled Kingsnake
Eurycea lucifuga Notopthalmus viridescens	Cave Salamander	Masticophis flagellum flagellum*	Eastern Coachwhip
louisianensis	Central Newt	Nerodia erythrogaster flavigaster*	Yellowbelly Water Snake
Bufo americanus charlesmithi	Dwarf American Toad	Nerodia sipedon pleuralis*	Midland Water Snake
Bufo fowleri	Fowler's Toad	Opheodrys aestivus*	Rough Green Snake
Acris crepitans blanchardi	Blanchard's Cricket Frog	Storeria dekayi wrightorum*	Midland Brown Snake
Pseudacris crucifer crucifer	Northern Spring Peeper Eastern Narrowmouth	Tantilla gracilis	Flathead Snake
Gastrophryne carolinensis	Toad	Thamnophis proximus proximus*	Western Ribbon Snake
Scaphiopus holbrookii hurterii	Hurter's Spadefoot ¹	Thamnophis sirtalis sirtalis	Eastern Garter Snake
Rana catesbeiana	American Bullfrog	Virginia striatula	Rough Earth Snake
Rana palustris	Pickerel Frog	Agkistrodon contortrix contortrix*	Southern Copperhead
Rana sphenocephala	Southern Leopard Frog	Class Mammalia	Mammals Southeastern Short-tailed
Class Reptilia	Reptiles	Blarina carolinensis	Shrew
Chelydra serpentina serpentina*	Common Snapping Turtle	Cryptotis parva	Least Shrew
Graptemys geographica*	Common Map Turtle	Scalopus aquaticus	Eastern Mole
Terrapene carolina triunguis	Three-toed Box Turtle	Microtus pinetorum	Woodland Vole
Sceloporus undulatus hyacinthinus	Northern Fence Lizard	Mus musculus	House Mouse
Eumeces anthracinus pluvialis	Southern Coal Skink	Ochrotomys nuttalli	Golden Mouse
Eumeces fasciatus	Five-lined Skink	Peromyscus maniculatus	Deer Mouse
Scincella lateralis	Ground Skink	Reithrodontomys fulvescens	Fulvous Harvest Mouse
Cnemidophorus sexlineatus sexlineatus	Six-lined Racerunner	Key:	
Cemophora coccinea copei	Northern Scarlet Snake		
Coluber constrictor priapus	Southern Black Racer	*Hand Capture	
Coluber constrictor flaviventris	Eastern Yellowbelly Racer	r Species of Concern	
Elaphe guttata emoryi*	Great Plains Rat Snake		

spotted salamanders (*Ambystoma maculatum*) almost exclusively used small mammal (*Blarina; Peromyscus; Microtus*) burrows for terrestrial refuge. Small mammal and gopher tortoise burrows provide refuge for numerous vertebrates throughout North America. Ozark pocket gophers provide similar refuge as the aforementioned species.

We documented 46 species or subspecies of herpetofauna and small mammals in pocket gopher habitat although only 5 species were actually captured or observed in gopher mounds or burrows. However, we suggest that the majority of species that were captured at both sites in drift fences probably utilized pocket gopher burrows in some way. Furthermore, certain species have been captured in pocket gopher burrows or habitat in multiple studies. Vaughan (1961) recorded 22 species of vertebrates using pocket gopher burrows in Colorado; Funderburg and Lee (1968) recorded 20 herpetofauna species inhabiting pocket gopher mounds in Florida. Both studies suggested that some of the species were true burrowers and relied on this habitat for survival.

We recorded substantially lower number of drift fence captures in 2008 vs. 2007 at Site 2. Drift fences were open from January through early April in 2008 of which the majority of the time was cold. Surface activity of both herpetofauna and small mammals was minimal. We probably would have recorded similar

Amphibian, Reptile, & Small Mammal Associates of Ozark Pocket Gopher Habitat in Izard County, Arkansas

results if the drift fences remained open into the summer, since surface activity was increasing at the end of this study.

Site 1 had an overflow reservoir adjacent to a creek that remained flooded for the majority of the year. This habitat feature explains the abundance of amphibians, especially juvenile dwarf American toads and American bullfrogs captured at this site compared to Site 2 (Table 1). Pickerel frogs (Rana palustris) were captured more frequently at Site 2; yet, both sites have clear, cool streams, which is preferred habitat (Trauth et al. 2004). Hurter's spadefoots (Scaphiopus holbrookii hurterii) were also captured frequently at both sites. Both Scaphiopus sp. and pocket gophers prefer sandy or friable soils and are expected to share the same geographic distribution (Wasserman 1958). Hurter's spadefoots are a "species of greatest conservation need" in Arkansas (Anderson 2006). The common occurrence of spadefoots in pocket gopher habitat may reflect their utilization of mounds and burrows of In Texas, the only record of a pocket gophers. Scaphiopus holbrookii (eastern spadefoot) in the Welder Wildlife Refuge was collected inside a pocket gopher burrow (Wilks 1963).

Tiger salamanders are also a "species of greatest conservation need" and are apparently absent from most of Arkansas except the northern one-third of the state (Trauth et al. 2004, Anderson 2006). They have been found in pocket gopher burrows in Arizona (Calef 1954), California (Howard and Childs 1959), and Colorado (Vaughan 1961). A similar species, California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense), have also been reported from pocket gopher burrows (Pittman 2005). Due to their fossorial behavior, areas with sandy or friable soils offer optimal habitat for this species (Petranka 1998). Thus, pocket gopher habitat may provide habitat that tiger salamanders can occupy easily due to the abundant loose, sandy soil. Vaughan (1961) stated that the occurrence of tiger salamanders in Colorado is determined by the presence of burrows. We suspect that the females we captured were moving to breeding sites since reproductively active females have been previously recorded during this time of the year (Trauth et al. 1990).

Although the three-toed box turtle is a new county record, they have been documented in surrounding counties (Trauth et al. 2004). Box turtles have been known to hibernate or seek refuge in mammal burrows (Vaughan 1961, Degenhardt et al. 1996, Nieuwolt 1996). Additionally, the mounds may supply box turtles along with other reptiles a place to bask.

Large snakes are commonly found in pocket gopher habitat probably due to the abundance of prey, including pocket gophers. The most common large

snake we encountered was the black racer; several of which were observed both entering and exiting pocket gopher burrows. Although most racers probably do not prey on pocket gophers, larger individuals potentially could. Similar sized prey to the pocket gopher, such as weasels, rabbits, and large rodents, has been reported in the diet of racers (Fitch 1963). Another large snake species that was fairly common at the study sites was the eastern coachwhip. These snakes on occasion would retreat into pocket gopher burrows when alarmed. Johnson et al. (2007) documented eastern coachwhips using small mammal burrows as refugia; therefore, they may use pocket gopher burrows when available. Other large snakes have been recorded occupying pocket gopher burrows, such as Pituophis sp. (Vaughan 1961, Ealy et al. 2004, Himes et al. 2006, Rudolph et al. 2007). Eastern coachwhips are large enough that they could potentially prey on pocket gophers. Prairie kingsnakes occupy the same habitat as pocket gophers and their most common prey items are small mammals (Fitch 1999). Connior et al. (In Press) presented the first record of the prairie kingsnake preying on the Ozark pocket gopher. The ecological relationship between large snakes, such as coachwhips and kingsnakes, and Ozark pocket gophers is not known. However, pocket gophers may act as a prey item and provide them with refugia in their burrows. Further investigation of this relationship needs to be determined.

Conclusions

The role that Ozark pocket gophers play in the ecosystem of Izard County cannot be determined at this time. Although we did not have a reference site for comparison (i.e., habitat with pocket gophers vs. habitat lacking pocket gophers), this preliminary study suggested that burrow associates utilize pocket gopher burrows and mounds. The number and extent to which associate species rely on the pocket gophers needs to be determined through both experimental and long term studies. If the Ozark pocket gophers effect on the ecosystem is disproportionately large relative to its abundance then it may in fact be a keystone species (Power et al. 1996).

Acknowledgments

We thank K. Irwin and B. Sasse from the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission for support of our study. We especially thank Y. and N. Whitehurst, M. and K. Smith, and R. and S. Smith for permission to conduct the study on their land. Thanks also to the ASU Wildlife Management Investigational Techniques class for installing the drift fences. This research benefited from reassignment time granted to TSR from the Environmental Sciences program at ASU.

Literature Cited

- Anderson JE (editor). 2006. Arkansas Wildlife Action Plan. Little Rock: Arkansas Game and Fish Commission. 2028 p.
- Cagle FR. 1939. A system of marking turtles for future identification. Copiea 1939:170-3.
- Calef RT. 1954. The salamander *Ambystoma tigrinum nebulosum* in southern Arizona. Copeia 1954:223.
- **Connior MB, I Guenther**, and **TS Risch**. *Lampropeltis calligaster calligaster*. Prey. Herpetological Review. *In Press*
- **Connior MB**, **I Guenther**, and **TS Risch**. 2007a. Geographic Distribution. *Coluber constrictor flaviventris*. Herpetological Review 38:485.
- **Connior MB**, **I Guenther**, and **TS Risch**. 2007b. Geographic Distribution. *Elaphe guttata emoryi*. Herpetological Review 38:486.
- **Connior MB, I Guenther**, and **TS Risch**. 2007c. Geographic Distribution. *Scaphiopus holbrookii hurterii*. Herpetological Review 38:478.
- **Connior MB**, **I Guenther**, and **TS Risch**. 2007d. Geographic Distribution. *Terrapene carolina triunguis*. Herpetological Review 38:480.
- Ealy MJ, RR Fleet, and DC Rudolph. 2004. Diel activity patterns of the Louisiana pine snake (*Pituophis ruthveni*) in eastern Texas. Texas Journal of Science 56:383-94.
- Elrod DA, GA Heidt, DMA Elrod, M Birdsong, and EG Zimmerman. 1996. A second species of pocket gopher in Arkansas. Southwestern Naturalist 41:395-8.
- Elrod DA, EG Zimmerman, PD Sudman, and GA Heidt. 2000. A new subspecies of pocket gopher (genus *Geomys*) from the Ozark Mountains of Arkansas with comments on its historical biogeography. Journal of Mammalogy 81:852-64.
- **Degenhardt WG, CW Painter**, and **AH Price**. 1996. The amphibians and reptiles of New Mexico. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press. 507 p.
- Fitch HS. 1999. A Kansas Snake Community: Composition and Changes Over 50 Years. Malabar: Krieger Publishing Company. 165 p.
- Fitch HS. 1963. Natural history of the racer *Coluber constrictor*. University of Kansas Publications, Museum of Natural History 15:351-468.

- Fokidis HB, C Robertson, and TS Risch. 2006. Keeping Tabs: are redundant marking systems needed for rodents? Wildlife Society Bulletin 34:764-71.
- **Funderburg JB** and **DS Lee**. 1968. The amphibian and reptile fauna of pocket gopher (*Geomys*) mounds in central florida. Journal of Herpetology 1:99-100.
- Himes JG, LM Hardy, DC Rudolph, and SJ Burgdorf. 2006. Movement patterns and habitat selection by native and repatriated Louisiana pine snakes (*Pituophis ruthveni*): implications for conservation. Herpetological Natural History 9:103-16.
- Howard WE and HE Childs Jr. 1959. Ecology of pocket gophers with emphasis on *Thomomys bottae mewa*. Hilgardia 29:277-358.
- Johnson RW, RR Fleet, MB Keck, and DC Rudolph. 2007. Spatial ecology of the coachwhip, *Masticophis flagellum* (Squamata: Colubridae), in Eastern Texas. Southeastern Naturalist 6:111-24.
- Kershen AA. 2004. Density, distribution, and habitat requirements for the Ozark pocket gopher (*Geomys bursarius ozarkensis*). Thesis, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas. 67 p.
- Kotliar NB, BW Baker, AD Whicker, and G Plumb. 1999. A critical review of assumptions about the prairie dog as a keystone species. Environmental Management 24:177-92.
- Kotliar NB, BJ Miller, RP Reading, and TW Clark. 2006. The prairie dog as a keystone species. In: Hoogland J, editor. Conservation of the black-tailed prairie dog: saving North America's grasslands. Washington, DC: Island Press p 53-64.
- Lips KR. 1991. Vertebrates associated with tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*) burrows in four habitats in south-central Florida. Journal of Herpetology 25:477-81.
- Madison DM. 1997. The emigration of radioimplanted spotted salamanders, *Ambystoma maculatum*. Journal of Herpetology 31:542-51.
- Nietfeld MT, MW Barrett, and N Silvy. 1996. Wildlife Marking Techniques. *In* Bookhout TA, editor. Research and Management Techniques for Wildlife and Habitats. Bethesda, Maryland: The Wildlife Society p 140-68.
- Nieuwolt PM. 1996. Movement, activity, and microhabitat selection in the western box turtle, *Terrapene ornata luteola*, in New Mexico. Herpetologica 52:487-95.
- **Petranka JW**. 1998. Salamanders of the United States and Canada. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institute Press. 587 p.

Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science, Vol. 62, 2008

Amphibian, Reptile, & Small Mammal Associates of Ozark Pocket Gopher Habitat in Izard County, Arkansas

- **Pittman BT**. 2005. Observations of upland habitat use by California tiger salamanders based on burrow excavations. Transactions of the Western Section of the Wildlife Society 41: 26-30.
- Power ME, D Tilman, JA Estes, BA Menge, WJ Bond, LS Mills, G Daily, JC Castilla, J Lubchenco, and RT Paine. 1996. Challenges in the quest for keystones. Bioscience 46:609-20.
- **Reichman OJ**. 2007. The influence of pocket gophers on the biotic and abiotic environment. *In* Begall S, H Burda, and CE Schleich, editors. Subterranean Rodents: News from Underground. Berlin: Springer-Verlag p 271-86.
- **Reichman OJ** and **EW Seabloom**. 2002. The role of pocket gophers as subterranean ecosystem engineers. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 17:44-9.
- Rudolph DC, RR Schaefer, SJ Burgdorf, M Duran and RN Conner. 2007. Pine snake, *Pituophis ruthveni* and *Pituophis melanoleucus lodingi*, hibernacula. Journal of Herpetology. 41:560-5.
- Sealander JA and GA Heidt. 1990. Arkansas mammals: Their natural history, classification, and distribution. Fayetteville: University of Arkansas Press. 308 p.

- Trauth SE, RL Cox Jr., BP Butterfield, DA Saugey, and WE Meshanka. 1990. Reproductive phenophases and clutch characteristics of selected Arkansas amphibians. Proceedings of the Arkansas Academy of Science 44:107-13.
- **Trauth SE**, **HW Robison**, and **MV Plummer**. 2004. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Arkansas. Fayetteville: University of Arkansas Press. 421 p.
- Vaughan TA. 1961. Vertebrates inhabiting pocket gopher burrows in Colorado. Journal of Mammalogy 42: 171-4.
- Wasserman AO. 1958. Relationships of allopatric populations of spadefoots (genus *Scaphiopus*). Evolution 12:311-8.
- Wilks BJ. 1963. Some aspects of the ecology and population dynamics of the pocket gopher (*Geomys bursarius*) in southern Texas. Texas Journal of Science 15:241-83.
- Witz BW, DS Wilson, and MD Palmer. 1991. Distribution of *Gopherus polyphemus* and its vertebrate symbionts in three burrow categories. American Midland Naturalist 126:152-8.