

§24. General and Accurate Weighting Scheme for Collisional δf Particle Simulation

Wang, W.X., Nakajima, N., Okamoto, M.

Let us solve linearized drift kinetic equation

$$\frac{D}{Dt}f_1 = -\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + C(f_0, f_1) \quad (1)$$

from the dynamics of a finite number of particles (markers), where the notation $D/Dt(f)$ denotes

$$\frac{D}{Dt}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (\vec{v}_{||} + \vec{v}_d) \cdot \nabla f - C(f, f_0). \quad (2)$$

The distribution function f_1 is represented as

$$f_1(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t) = \sum_j w_j \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_j(t)) \delta(\vec{v} - \vec{v}_j(t)). \quad (3)$$

The particle weights w are calculated from

$$\dot{w} = \frac{1}{g} \left[-\int w S_M dw - \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + C(f_0, f_1) \right], \quad (4)$$

where S_M is the marker source used to control marker population during simulation and g is marker density[1]. The calculation of particle weight takes a central position in a δf simulation. It is demonstrated that valid results essentially rely on the correct evaluation of marker density g in weight calculation. Previous weighting schemes including the nonlinear weighting scheme[2] employ an assumed g in weight equation for advancing particle weights. Such a scheme is ineffective or inaccurate to solve drift kinetic equation because of a severe constraint the real marker distribution must be consistent with the assumption during a simulation. Instead using an approximation of g for advancing particle weights, we solve g directly from its kinetic equation

$$\frac{D}{Dt}g = \int S_M dw. \quad (5)$$

Setting $g = f_0 + g_1$, we obtain

$$\frac{D}{Dt}g_1 = -\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + \int S_M dw. \quad (6)$$

We employ a new weight function ω and a marker distribution function $G_M(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \omega, t)$, and then solve Eq.(6) using the idea of δf method. Let G_M obey the kinetic equation

$$\frac{D}{Dt}G_M + \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}(\dot{\omega}G_M) = \Omega_M(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \omega, t) \quad (7)$$

with $G_M(t=0) = f_0\delta(\omega)$, and relate to g_1 through

$$g_1(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t) = \int \omega G_M d\omega. \quad (8)$$

Here a source Ω_M , like S_M , is introduced to control marker population. From the requirement that Eqs.(6)-(8) are consistent, the equation for ω is determined as

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{1}{h} \left[-\int \omega \Omega_M d\omega - \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + \int S_M d\omega \right], \quad (9)$$

where distribution function $h(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{D}{Dt}h = \int \Omega_M d\omega. \quad (10)$$

Taking

$$\int \Omega_M d\omega = \int S_M d\omega. \quad (11)$$

Then Eqs.(10) and (5) become the same. We have

$$h(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t) = g(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t). \quad (12)$$

For a marker of index j , we have

$$g_j = g_{0j} + w_j h_j \quad (13)$$

which gives

$$g_j = \frac{1}{1 - \omega_j} f_{0j}. \quad (14)$$

Substituting Eq.(14) into Eqs.(4) and (9), we obtain weight equations for a marker as follows

$$\dot{w} = \frac{1 - \omega}{f_0} \left[-\int w S_M dw - \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + C(f_0, f_1) \right], \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{1 - \omega}{f_0} \left[-\int \omega \Omega_M d\omega - \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + \int S_M d\omega \right]. \quad (16)$$

Now each simulation particle is assigned two weights rather than one, the second weight ω is introduced to effectively evaluate g (exactly saying, g_1), and we can solve Eqs.(1) and (6) simultaneously using one set of markers. Equations (15) and (16) represent a general and accurate weighting scheme. For practical application it is convenient to take $S_M = \nu(t)s(\vec{r})f_0\delta(\omega)$ and $\Omega_M = \nu(t)s(\vec{r})f_0\delta(\omega)$. This choice means that new Maxwellian markers with $w = \omega = 0$ are added in terms of rate $\nu(t)$ and spatial distribution $s(\vec{r})$. Then the weight equations are simplified to

$$\dot{w} = \frac{1 - \omega}{f_0} [-\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + C(f_0, f_1)], \quad (17)$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{1 - \omega}{f_0} [-\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + \nu s f_0]. \quad (18)$$

References

- 1) Chen, Y. and White, R.B. Phys. Plasmas 4 (1997) 3591.
- 2) Lin, Z., Tang, W.M. and Lee, W.W., Phys. Plasmas 2 (1995) 2975.