

§57. On the Choice of Material for the “First Mirrors” of LHD Divertor Plasma Thomson Scattering System

V.Voitsenya, V.Kononov (IPP, Kharkov, Ukraine); O.Motojima, K.Narihara, (NIFS)

Due to peculiarities of the LHD port construction, mirrors of laser scattering system for divertor plasma have to be placed inside the vacuum vessel, close to outer X-point [1]. Because of their location, these “first laser mirrors” (FLM) will be subjected to flux of CX atoms resulting in gradual increase of roughness of mirror surface. In turns, this leads to increase of adsorption of a laser beam by mirror surfaces and to decrease of a laser-induced damage threshold (LIDT).

With increasing number of laser shots,  $N$ , the LIDT value (i.e.,  $F_N$ ) decreases due to accumulation of different defects in the area illuminated with a laser beam. Data published in [2,3] predict that after  $10^5$  laser shots the  $F_N$  values will be near  $\leq 10\%$ ,  $\sim 30\%$  and  $\sim 25\%$  of the respective LIDT values measured for Cu, Ag and Mo after one laser shot (i.e.,  $F_1$ ). This number of laser shots ( $10^5$ ) corresponds to  $< 10^3$  plasma pulses, because during every plasma pulse FLM will be exposed to several hundreds of laser shots [1]. It means that to save the copper mirror during  $10^5$  shots the energy density of a laser beam has not to exceed the level  $\sim 0.1 \cdot F_1$ . The values of  $F_1$  for copper mirror at  $\lambda = 1.06\mu\text{m}$  with  $\sim 10\text{ns}$  laser shot duration was found to be in the range  $2-4 \text{ J/cm}^2$  [4, 5] and thus the permissible value of laser beam energy in every shot is to be limited by  $0.4 \text{ J/cm}^2$ . For the number of shots exceeding  $10^5$ , the energy for the laser pulse have to be even lower.

Bombardment of mirror surfaces with CX atoms will quicken their degradation and shorten the time of a proper operation. The rate of FLM degradation in any given conditions will depend on mirror material because of big differences in sputtering coefficient values and in dependencies of microrelief amplitude growth rates on the sputtering time. There is limited information on this subject, and to estimate the rate of degradation of FLM reflectivity due to CX atom flux we used the data that have recently been obtained for copper mirrors after exposure to a deuterium plasma with a mean ion energy  $\sim 650 \text{ eV}$ . It was shown that for copper mirrors the decrement of relative reflectance ( $\Delta R/R_0$ ) was  $\sim 10\%$  in visible and the nearest UV regions after a layer of  $\delta \approx 0.1\mu\text{m}$  in thickness have been sputtered.

Thus, if taking  $\delta = 0.2\mu\text{m}$  as the critical thickness of sputtered layer for a mirror to be destroyed

( $\lambda = 1.06\mu\text{m}$  [1]), it will be possible to range metals on their properties to save a reflectance being bombarded with CX atoms. This means that we suppose an equal relative degradation of reflectance after equal thickness of sputtered layer independently on the mirror material. For the mean energy of CX atoms the value of a few hundreds eV can be used based on results obtained PLT [6] and ASDEX [7] experiments.

Table 1 shows the results of estimations of the time (in seconds) that needs to sputter  $0.2\mu\text{m}$  layer for metals which are prospective for manufacturing FLM from the view point of their optical properties.

It is seen that Al, Cu and Ag which are widely used as a mirror material will not withstand even  $10^4$  plasma pulses of 10 seconds duration with deuterium as a working gas. The best candidates for laser mirror material with this pulse number are gold and rhodium. But if change of mirrors inside the vacuum vessel is possible after  $10^5$  plasma pulses, only materials with low sputtering coefficient (Mo, Nb, Ir, Ta, W) can be taken as prospective ones.

References

- 1 K.Narihara, et al. Paper T3-12 presented at the Conf. Fusion Plasma Diagnostics (Toki-7) 1995.
2. N.Koumvakalis, et al. Opt. Eng., 22 (1983) 419.
3. M.F.Becker, et al. Appl. Opt., 30 (1991) 5239.
4. T.T.Saito et al. NBS, Sp. Publ. N435 (1975) 29.
5. I.Goldstein, et al. - Ibid, p. 41.
6. D.N.Ruzik, et al. J.Nucl.Mater., 145-147 (1987) 527.
7. H.Verbeek, et al. Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion, (1990) 651.
8. Y.Yamamura, H. Tawara. NIFS-DATA-23 (1995).

Table 1. Estimated time for  $0.2\mu\text{m}$  thickness layers of indicated metals to be sputtered with CX atoms of  $2 \cdot 10^{15}/\text{cm}^2\text{s}$  flux density and  $300 \text{ eV}$  mean energy.

Metal	$Y(D)$ , at/at [8]	$t_{0.2\mu\text{m}}$ , s	Reflectance, $\lambda = 1.06\mu\text{m}$
Al	$4.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^4$	0.94
Cu	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.7 \cdot 10^4$	0.97
Ag	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^4$	0.98
Au	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.0 \cdot 10^4$	0.98
Rh	$7.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^5$	0.82
Mo	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.3 \cdot 10^5$	0.67
Nb	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.3 \cdot 10^5$	0.81
Ir	$8.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$9.0 \cdot 10^5$	0.79
Ta	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.6 \cdot 10^6$	0.87
W	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.5 \cdot 10^6$	0.56