

## §14. A Spectral Method in Spherical Coordinates with Coordinate Singularity at the Origin

Kageyama, A., Kida, S.

In a spherical coordinate system  $(r, \theta, \phi)$ , special cares should be taken not to degrade numerical accuracy and efficiency which might originate from coordinate singularities along the axis ( $\theta = 0, \pi$ ) and at the origin  $r = 0$ . Although the coordinate singularity along the axis has been studied extensively so far, there are a very few literatures on that at the origin. The purpose of the present work is to provide a new spectral method in spherical coordinates including the origin.

The difficulty in spectral methods with a coordinate singularity relates to an analytical property to be satisfied by infinitely differentiable solutions near the singularity. This is called the pole condition. It is proved that any analytical function  $f(r, \theta, \phi)$  is expanded around the origin as

$$f(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l r^l F_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{lm}(r)$  is an even function of  $r$ ,

$$F_{lm}(-r) = F_{lm}(r), \quad (2)$$

and

$$|F_{lm}(0)| < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Equations (1)–(3) are the pole condition at the origin in the spherical coordinate system when it is expanded in terms of the spherical harmonics in the  $(\theta, \phi)$  space [1].

Our spectral method is constructed on the basis of the pole condition described above. Suppose that a function  $f(r, \theta, \phi)$  is governed by a differential equation defined in a sphere  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ , and  $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$ . We expand  $f(r, \theta, \phi)$  as (1) and the coefficient  $F_{lm}(r)$  in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of even order as,

$$F_{lm}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^N F_{lmn} T_{2n}(r), \quad (4)$$

which is justified by the property of (2) and  $T_{2n}(-r) = T_{2n}(r)$ . Here,  $N$  is the truncation mode number. Note that the problem of unnecessarily refined resolution near the origin has been avoided automatically in this expansion. By choosing such radial node points as

$$r_j = \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{2N}\right), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (5)$$

we can invoke the fast Fourier cosine transformation to calculate the summation in (4).

When the problem to be solved is quadratically nonlinear, a care should be taken in dealing with the nonlinear terms. Suppose a nonlinear term of

$$h(r, \theta, \phi) = f(r, \theta, \phi) g(r, \theta, \phi). \quad (6)$$

According to our algorithm, we expand  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$  as

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l r^l F_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^l \tilde{F}_l(r, \theta, \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l r^l G_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^l \tilde{G}_l(r, \theta, \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l r^l H_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^l \tilde{H}_l(r, \theta, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then, what is required in our method is to calculate  $H_{lm}(r)$  from  $F_{lm}(r)$  and  $G_{lm}(r)$ . Notice that numerical errors would be amplified if a spherical expansion of  $h(r, \theta, \phi)$  were divided by  $r^l$  to obtain  $H_{lm}(r)$ , since  $r^l$  could be too small when  $l$  is large and  $r$  is small. This problem is resolved if  $H_{lm}(r)$  is calculated from  $\tilde{F}_l(r, \theta, \phi)$  and  $\tilde{G}_l(r, \theta, \phi)$ . An accurate and fast algorithm to calculate  $H_{lm}(r)$  using the fast Fourier transform can be found in [1].

We have applied the present algorithm to a free decay of magnetic field in a sphere to check its validity and accuracy. Consider an electrically conducting solid sphere of radius  $a$  with a finite electrical resistivity. A magnetic field is given at an initial time  $t = 0$  with an arbitrary distribution. Since the type of boundary condition is not important in the present algorithm, the outer region of the sphere ( $r > a$ ) is supposed to be a perfect insulator, or a vacuum for simplicity. It is physically evident that the magnetic field decays with time due to the finite resistivity. An analytical expression of decaying magnetic field is provided in [2]. High-accuracy of this method is confirmed [1].

### References

- 1) A. Kageyama and S. Kida, NIFS Report No. 636
- 2) H.K. Moffatt, *Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids*, (Cambridge University Press, London, 1978), pp. 36-40