§11. Numerical Calculation of the Ion Thermal Conductivity by Lagrangian Neoclassical Transport Theory

Satake, S. (Grad. Univ. Advanced Studies), Okamoto, M., Sugama, H.

To calculate neoclassical transport in the near-axis region, especially the ion thermal conductivity, we apply Lagrangian formulation[1]. The transport coefficients  $A_{jk}$  are obtained by taking moments of the reduced drift-kinetic equation in the constant-of-motion (COM) space. They are found to take the following form

$$A_{jk}(\langle\psi\rangle) = \sum_{\sigma_t} \int dx d\lambda_0 \tau_p \lambda_0 y(x) F_{jk}(x,\lambda_0,\langle\psi\rangle;\sigma_t), \quad (1)$$

where  $x = \exp(-\mathcal{E}/T_i)$ ,  $\lambda_0 = \mu B_0/\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\tau_p$  is the poloidal period, and y(x) is the Chandrasekhar function, respectively. Note that the summation is taken over all the types of orbits, which is labeled by  $\sigma_t$ , of which the averaged radial position are on the given  $\langle \psi \rangle$ . The integrands  $F_{jk}$  are functional of some orbit-averaged values, such as  $\langle v_{\parallel}/B \rangle$ ,  $\langle v_{\parallel}^2/B \rangle$ , etc.

Numerical calculation of transport coefficients eq. (1) is implemented by using Monte Carlo integration method. In the calculation, test particles which have a given  $\langle \psi \rangle$  are generated randomly and uniformly in the phase space  $(x, \lambda_0; \sigma_t)$ . And, all the functions in the integrand of  $A_{jk}$ , which we write  $G_{jk}(x, \lambda_0, \langle r \rangle; \sigma_t)$  here, are calculated by tracing each particle orbit. Then, transport coefficients at  $\langle \psi \rangle$  are given as

$$A_{jk}(\langle \psi \rangle) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} G_{jk}(x_n, \lambda_{0n}, \langle \psi \rangle; \sigma_{tn}), \quad (2)$$

where N is total number of test particles and  $(x_n, \lambda_{0n}; \sigma_{tn})$  is the position of n-th test particle in the phase space.

In Eulerian transport theory, the ion heat flux is expressed as follows

$$\frac{q_i}{T_i} = -n_i \chi_i^r \frac{d}{dr} \ln T_i, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\chi_i^r$  is the ion thermal conductivity in the r direction. To compare our reslut with eq. (3), we rewrite the Lagrangian transport equation as

$$\frac{q_i^{(r)}}{T_i} = -\bar{n}_i \chi_i^{(r)} \frac{d}{d\langle r \rangle} \ln T_i, \qquad (4)$$

where  $chi_i^{\langle r \rangle}$  is proportional to  $A_{22}$ , and the radial coordinate is changed from  $\langle \psi \rangle$  to  $\langle r \rangle$ . The ion heat conductivity  $\chi_i^{\langle r \rangle}$  defined in this way is compared to  $\chi_i^r$ . As a example, we calculate the ion thermal conduc-

As a example, we calculate the ion thermal conductivity  $\chi_i^{(r)}$  under the conditions  $B_0 = 4$ T, q = 3,  $T_i = 20 \text{keV}$  and  $\bar{n}_i = 1 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$ . The radial electric field  $d\Phi/dr$  is neglected. In this case, typical potato particles appear in the region  $\langle r \rangle < r_p = 0.24 \text{m}$ .

The ion thermal conductivity is shown in Fig. 1. The dashed line is obtained from standard neoclassical theory[2]. A significant reduction of the thermal conductivity is seen in the near-axis region  $\langle r \rangle < r_p$ , where potato particles indeed dominate neoclassical transport.

In this region, the factor  $\lambda_q$ , which is introduced in the derivation of Lagrangian formulation, is still almost unity. It deviates from unity only in the region  $\langle r \rangle < 3cm$ . Then direct comparison of  $\chi_i$  between Eulerian and Lagrangian theory is reasonable, and the reduction of  $\chi_i$  in the core region of tokamak is expected. Our calculation reslts supports the recent results of both other Monte Carlo simulations and experiments, which suggest a significant reduction of  $\chi_i$  from that obtained by standard neoclassical theory.



Fig. 1 : The ion thermal conductivity in the near-axis region.

1)Satake, S., Okamoto, M., Sugama, Phys. Plasmas (to be published).

2)F. L. Hinton and R. D. Hazeltine, Rev. Mod. Phys. 48, 239 (1976).

. .