

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Coherent potential approximation, averaged T-matrix approximation and Lloyd's model

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 1973 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 6 L399 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3719/6/21/004) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 142.132.1.147 This content was downloaded on 05/09/2015 at 04:57

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Coherent potential approximation, averaged *T*-matrix approximation and Lloyd's model

A Prasanna Kumar<sup>†</sup> and G Baskaran<sup>‡</sup>

†Department of Physics, Central College, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560001, India ‡Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India

Received 8 May 1973

Abstract. It is shown how the single-site coherent potential approximation and the averaged T-matrix approximation become exact in the calculation of the averaged single-particle Green function of the electron in the Anderson model when the site energy is distributed randomly with lorentzian distribution. Using these approximations, Lloyd's exact result is reproduced.

The coherent potential approximation (CPA) and averaged *T*-matrix approximation (ATA) (Korringa 1958, Beeby 1964) are useful approximations in the study of disordered systems. The CPA is the simplest selfconsistent approximation which gives the first several moments of the density of states correctly (Schwartz and Siggia 1972). In this letter we wish to point out an interesting observation regarding the exactness of the CPA and ATA (from the averaged single-particle Green function point of view) for the lorentzian distribution of randomness (diagonal) in the Anderson hamiltonian (Anderson 1958). This, to the best of our knowledge, has not been observed before.

We consider the hamiltonian of an electron in the tight-binding approximation in the Wannier representation (Anderson 1958):

$$H = \sum_{n} \epsilon_{n} C_{n} \dagger C_{n} + \sum_{m \neq n} V_{mn} C_{m} \dagger C_{n} = V + H_{0}$$
(1)

where  $C_n^{\dagger}$  and  $C_n$  are the creation and annihilation operators for the electron in the Wannier orbital centred at the *n*th site,  $\epsilon_n$  is the corresponding energy which is assumed to be random with a probability distribution  $P(\epsilon)$ , and  $V_{mn}$  is the hopping matrix element which is not random. Since it is essentially a one-body problem, we omit the spin index.  $G^0 = 1/(E - H_0)$  and  $G = 1/(E - H_0 - V)$  are the single-particle Green operators. And  $G_{kq}(E)$  and  $G_{mn}(E)$  are the Green functions in the momentum and site representation respectively. The ensemble-averaged Green function

$$\langle G_{kk}(E) \rangle = \frac{1}{E - \epsilon_k - U(k, E)} = G_{kk} {}^0 (E - U(k, E))$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $\epsilon_k$  is the Fourier transform of  $V_{mn}$ , and U(k,E) is the self-energy of the electron which arises because of averaging.

In the CPA we calculate  $\langle G \rangle$  selfconsistently as follows. Dyson's equation is (Soven 1967)

$$G = \bar{G} + \bar{G}T\bar{G} \tag{3}$$

L399

where T is the total scattering matrix (T-matrix) defined as

$$T = \sum_{m} T_{m}, \qquad T_{m} = t_{m}(1+\bar{G}) \sum_{m \neq n} T_{n}.$$
(4)

Here

$$t_m = \frac{\epsilon_m - U}{1 - (\epsilon_m - U)\tilde{G}} \tag{5}$$

is the *T*-matrix corresponding to the *n*th site. We want to find a  $\bar{G}$  selfconsistently such that  $\langle T \rangle = 0$  (which implies that  $\bar{G} = \langle G \rangle$ ). In the single-site CPA we write

$$\langle t_n \sum_{n \neq m} T_m \rangle \approx \langle t_n \rangle \sum_{m \neq n} \langle T_m \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\langle T \rangle = \sum_{m} \langle T_{m} \rangle \approx \sum_{n} \langle t_{n} \rangle \left[ (1 + \langle G \rangle) \sum_{m \neq n} T_{m} \right]$$
(6)

and hence  $\langle T \rangle = 0$  implies  $\langle t_n \rangle = 0$ .

Choosing the lorentzian distribution  $P(\epsilon) = \Gamma/[\pi(\epsilon^2 + \Gamma^2)]$  for the randomness in energy (centred at the origin with half-width  $\Gamma$ ), we have

$$\langle t_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\epsilon_n^2 + \Gamma^2} \frac{(\epsilon_n - U) \mathrm{d}\epsilon_n}{1 - (\epsilon_n - U) \langle G \rangle} = 0.$$
(7)

Performing the integration by using the contour integration method (Lloyd 1969), making use of the general analytic properties of U and  $\langle G \rangle$ , we get

$$U = -i\Gamma S(E)$$

where

$$S(E) = \operatorname{sign} (\operatorname{Im} E).$$

Therefore

$$\langle G(E) \rangle = G^0(E + i\Gamma S(E)). \tag{8}$$

This is exactly the exact (averaged) Green function obtained by Lloyd (1969) for the Anderson hamiltonian with site energies distributed according to a lorentzian distribution.

In the ATA we do not find  $\langle G \rangle$  selfconsistently; we merely average the *T*-matrix with the following approximations and find out the self-energy. The Green function

$$G_{nn}(E) = G_{nn}{}^{0}(E) + \sum_{m} G_{nm}{}^{0}(E)t_{m}(E)G_{mn}{}^{0}(E) + \sum_{\substack{m \neq n \\ m' \neq m}} G_{nm}{}^{0}(E)t_{m}(E)G_{mm'}{}^{0}(E)t_{m'}(E)G_{m'n}{}^{0}(E) + \dots$$
(9)

Averaging G with the assumption that

$$\langle t_n m \rangle \approx \langle t_n \rangle^m = \langle t \rangle^m$$
(10)

(because  $G_{nn}$  and  $t_n$  become independent of the site after averaging), we find

$$\langle G_{nn}(E) \rangle = G_{nn}^{0}(E) + \sum_{m} G_{nm}^{0}(E) \langle t(E) \rangle G_{mn}^{0}(E)$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{\substack{m \neq n \\ m^{\bullet} \neq m}} G_{nm}^{0}(E) \langle t(E) \rangle G_{mm'}^{0}(E) \langle t(E) \rangle G_{m'n}^{0}(E) + \dots$$
(11)

We can also write (with no restriction in the summation) (Ziman 1969)

$$\langle G_{nn}(E) \rangle = G_{nn}^{0}(E) + \sum_{m} G_{nm}^{0}(E) U G_{mn}^{0}(E) + \sum_{m,m'} G_{nm}^{0}(E) U G_{mm'}^{0}(E) U G_{m'n}^{0}(E) + \dots$$
(12)

where

$$U = \frac{\langle t \rangle}{1 + \langle t \rangle G_{00}^{0}(E)}, \qquad \langle G_{nn}(E) \rangle \equiv \langle G_{00}(E) \rangle, \qquad G_{nn}^{0}(E) \equiv G_{00}^{0}(E) \qquad (13)$$

and

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma}{\epsilon^2 + \Gamma^2} \frac{\epsilon d\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon G_{00}{}^{0}(E)} \,. \tag{14}$$

Performing the integration by the contour integration method as mentioned before, we get

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{\mathrm{i}\Gamma S(E)}{1 - \mathrm{i}\Gamma G_{00}^{0}(E)S(E)}.$$
(15)

Substituting for  $\langle t \rangle$  in equation (13) we get  $U = -i\Gamma S(E)$ . Thus again we get Lloyd's exact result, namely

$$\langle G_{00}(E) \rangle = G_{00}^{0}(E + \mathrm{i}\Gamma S(E)). \tag{16}$$

In this letter we have shown how the single-site CPA and ATA become exact in evaluating the averaged single-particle Green function with lorentzian distribution of energy in Anderson's model. Hence, in the calculation of the single-particle density of states (and properties which can be calculated from the averaged Green function) for materials with cellular disorder with the randomness approximately lorentzian, the CPA and ATA will be good approximations

The authors wish to thank Dr N Kumar and Professor K P Sinha for valuable discussions. One of us (GB) thanks the CSIR (Delhi, India) and the authorities of the Indian Institute of Science (Bangalore, India) for their financial assistance.

## References

Anderson P W 1958 Phys. Rev. 109 1492-50 Beeby J L 1964 Proc. R. Soc. A 279 82-97 Korringa J 1958 J. Phys. Chem. Solids 7 252-8 Lloyd P 1969 J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. 2 1717-25 Schwartz L and Siggia E 1972 Phys. Rev. B 5 383-96 Soven P 1967 Phys. Rev. 156 809-13 Ziman J M 1969 J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. 2 1230-47