BULLETIN OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS ISSN: 1821-1291, URL: http://www.bmathaa.org Volume 8 Issue 1(2016), Pages 27-36.

# ON MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS THAT SHARE A SMALL FUNCTION WITH ITS DERIVATIVES

#### HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND RAJESHWARI S.

(COMMUNICATED BY DAVID KALAJ)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the problem of meromorphic functions sharing a small function with its derivative and prove one theorem. The theorem improves the results of Jin-Dong Li and Guang-Xin Huang [10].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function defined in the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the notations of the Nevanlinna theory such as T(r, f), N(r, f) and so on, that can be found, for instance in [1].

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. Let a be a finite complex number. We say that f and g share the value a CM(counting multiplicities) if f - a and g - a have the same zeros with the same multiplicites and we say that f and g share the value a IM(ignoring multiplicities) if we do not consider the multiplicities. When f and g share 1 IM, let  $z_0$  be a 1-points of f of order p, a 1-points of g of order q, we denote by  $N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$  the counting function of those 1-points of f and g where p = q = 1; and  $N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$  the counting function of those 1-points of f and g where  $p = q \ge 2$ .  $\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$  is the counting function of those 1-points of f both f and g where p > q. In the same way, we can define  $N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{g-1}), N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{g-1})$  and  $\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{g-1})$ . If f and g share 1 IM, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) &= N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{g-1}) + N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{g-1}) \\ &= \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{g-1}) \end{split}$$

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Let a be a finite complex number, and k be a positive integer, we denote by  $N_{k}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})(or\overline{N}_k)(r, \frac{1}{f-a}))$  the counting function for zeros of f-a with multiplicity  $\leq k$  (ignoring multiplicities), and by  $N_{(k}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})(or\overline{N}_{(k}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})))$  the counting function for zeros of f-a with multiplicity

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A07, 35Q53.

Key words and phrases. Uniqueness, Meromorphic function, Weighted sharing.

<sup>©2016</sup> Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted September 12, 2015. Published March 3, 2016.

at least k (ignoring multiplicities). Set

$$N_k(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + \overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}))$$
$$\Theta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r, f)}, \ \delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r, f)}.$$

We further define

$$\delta_k(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{N_k(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r, f)}.$$

Clearly

$$0 \le \delta(a, f) \le \delta_k(a, f) \le \delta_{k-1}(a, f) \dots \le \delta_2(a, f) \le \delta_1(a, f) = \Theta(a, f)$$

**Definition 1.1(see**[3]). Let k be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  we denote by  $E_k(a, f)$  the set of all a-points of f, where an a-point of multiplicity m is counted m times if  $m \leq k$  and k + 1 times if m > k. If  $E_k(a, f) = E_k(a, g)$ , we say that f, g share the value a with weight k.

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k; clearly if f, g share (a, k), then f, g share (a, p) for all integers p with  $0 \le p \le k$ . Also, we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if they share (a, 0) or  $(a, \infty)$ , respectively.

A meromorphic function a is said to be a small function of f where T(r, a) = S(r, f), that is T(r, a) = o(T(r, f)) as  $r \to \infty$ , outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure. Similarly, we can define that f and g share a small function a IM or CM or with weight k.

R.Bruck [4] first considered the uniqueess problems of an entire function sharing one value with its derivative and proved the following result.

**Theorem A.** Let f be a non-constant entire function satisfying  $N(r, \frac{1}{f'}) = S(r, f)$ . If f and f' share the value 1 CM, then  $\frac{f'-1}{f-1} \equiv c$  for some nonzero constant c.

Bruck [4] further posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let f be a non-constant entire function,  $\rho_1(f)$  be the first iterated order of f. If  $\rho_1(f)$  is not a positive integer or infinite, f and f' share the value 1 CM, then  $\frac{f'-1}{f-1} \equiv c$  for some nonzero constant c.

Yang [5] proved that the conjecture is true if f is an entire function of finite order. Yu [6] considered the problem of an entire or meromorphic function sharing one small function with its derivative and proved the following two theorems.

**Theorem B.** Let f be a non-constant entire function and  $a \equiv a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$  be a meromorphic small function. If f - a and  $f^{(k)} - a$  share 0 CM and  $\delta(0, f) > \frac{3}{4}$ , then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$ .

**Theorem C.** Let f be a non-constant non-entire meromorphic function and  $a \equiv a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$  be a meromorphic small function. If

- (i) f and a have no common poles.
- (ii) f a and  $f^{(k)} a$  share 0 CM.

(iii)  $4\delta(0, f) + 2(8+k)\Theta(\infty, f) > 19 + 2k$ ,

then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$  where k is a positive integer.

In the same paper, Yu [6] posed the following open questions.

- (i) can a CM shared be replaced by an IM share value ?
- (ii) Can the condition  $\delta(0, f) > \frac{3}{4}$  of theorem B be further relaxed ?
- (iii) Can the condition (iii) in theorem C be further relaxed ?

(iv) Can in general the condition (i) of theorem C be dropped?

In 2004, Liu and Gu [7] improved theorem B and obtained the following results. **Theorem D.** Let f be a non-constant entire function and  $a \equiv a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$  be a

meromorphic small function. If f - a and  $f^{(k)} - a$  share 0 CM and  $\delta(0, f) > \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$ .

Lahiri and Sarkar [8] gave some affirmative answers to the first three questions imposing some restrictions on the zeros and poles of a. They obtained the following results.

**Theorem E.** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, k be a positive integer, and  $a \equiv a(z) \neq (0, \infty)$  be a meromorphic small function. If

(i) a has no zero (pole) which is also a zero (pole) of f or  $f^{(k)}$  with the same multiplicity.

(ii) f - a and  $f^{(k)} - a$  share (0, 2)

(iii)  $2\delta_{2+k}(0,f) + (4+k)\Theta(\infty,f) > 5+k$  then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$ .

In 2005, Zhang [?] improved the above results and proved the following theorem. **Theorem F.** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $l \geq 0$  be integers. Also let  $a \equiv a(z) \neq 0, \infty$  be a meromorphic small function. Suppose that f-a and  $f^{(k)}-a$  share (0,l). If d

$$l \geq 2$$
 and

$$(3+k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k+4$$
(1.1)

or l = 1 and

$$(4+k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 3\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k+6$$
(1.2)

or l = 0 and

$$(6+2k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 5\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > 2k+10$$
(1.3)

then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$ .

In 2015, Jin-Dong Li and Guang-Xiu Huang [?] proved the following Theorem. **Theorem G.** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $l \geq 0$  be integers. Also let  $a \equiv a(z) \neq 0, \infty$  be a meromorphic small function. Suppose that f-a and  $f^{(k)}-a$  share (0,l). If  $l \ge 2$  and

$$(3+k)\Theta(\infty, f) + \delta_2(0, f) + \delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k+4$$
(1.4)

l = 1 and

$$\left(\frac{7}{2}+k\right)\Theta(\infty,f) + \frac{1}{2}\Theta(0,f) + \delta_2(0,f) + \delta_{2+k}(0,f) > k+5$$
(1.5)

or l = 0 and

$$(6+2k)\Theta(\infty,f) + 2\Theta(\infty,f) + \delta_2(0,f) + \delta_{1+k}(0,f) + \delta_{2+k}(0,f) > 2k+10 \quad (1.6)$$
  
then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$ .

In this paper we pay our attention to the uniqueness of more generalised form of a function namely  $f^m$  and  $(f^n)^{(k)}$  sharing a small function for two arbitrary positive integer n and m.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $l \geq 0$ be integers. Also let  $a \equiv a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$  be a meromorphic small function. Suppose that  $f^m - a$  and  $(f^n)^{(k)} - a$  share (0, l). If  $l \ge 2$  and

$$(k+4)\Theta(\infty, f) + (k+5)\Theta(0, f) > 2k+9-m$$
(1.7)

l = 1 and

$$(k + \frac{9}{2})\Theta(\infty, f) + (k + \frac{11}{2})\Theta(0, f) > 2k + 10 - m$$
(1.8)

or l = 0 and

$$(2k+7)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+8)\Theta(0, f) > 4k + 15 - m$$
(1.9)

then  $f^m \equiv (f^n)^{(k)}$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function,  $m, k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$  be integers. Also let  $a \equiv a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$  be a meromorphic small function. Suppose that  $f^m - a$  and  $(f^n)^{(k)} - a$  share (0, l). If  $l \geq 2$  and  $\Theta(0, f) > \frac{4}{5}$  or l = 1 and  $\Theta(0, f) > \frac{9}{11}$  or l = 0 and  $\Theta(0, f) > \frac{7}{8} - \frac{1}{8}[7\Theta(\infty, f) - 7\Theta(0, f)]$  then  $f^m \equiv (f^n)^{(k)}$ .

## 2. Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1 (see [10]).** Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, k, p be two positive integers, then

$$N_p(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) \le N_{p+k}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

clearly  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) = N_1(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}})$ Lemma 2.2 (see [10]). Let

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right)$$
(2.1)

where F and G are two non constant meromorphic functions. If F and G share 1 IM and  $H \neq 0$ , then

$$N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \le N(r, H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [11]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let

$$R(f) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k f^k}{\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j f^j}$$

be an irreducible rational function in f with constant coefficients  $a_k$  and  $b_j$  where  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $b_m \neq 0$ . Then

$$T(r, R(f)) = dT(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

where  $d = max\{n, m\}$ .

## 3. Proof of the Theorem 1.2

Let  $F = \frac{f^m}{a}$  and  $G = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{a}$ . Then F and G share (1, l), except the zeros and poles of a(z). Let H be defined by (2.1) **Case 1.** Let  $H \neq 0$ .

By our assumptions, H have poles only at zeros of F' and G' and poles of F and G, and those 1-points of F and G whose multiplicities are distinct from the multiplicities of corresponding 1-points of G and F respectively. Thus, we deduce from (2.1) that

$$N(r,H) \leq \overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{H}) + \overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}(r,H) + N_0(r,\frac{1}{F'}) + N_0(r,\frac{1}{G'}) + \overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{G-1})$$

$$(3.1)$$

here  $N_0(r, \frac{1}{F'})$  is the counting function which only counts those points such that F' = 0 but  $F(F-1) \neq 0$ .

Because F and G share 1 IM, it is easy to see that

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) = N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1})$$

$$(3.2)$$

By the second fundamental theorem, we see that

$$T(r,F) + T(r,G) \leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}(r,G) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{G-1}) - N_0(r,\frac{1}{F'}) - N_0(r,\frac{1}{G'}) + S(r,F) + S(r,G)$$
(3.3)

Using Lemma 2.2 and (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) We get

$$T(r,F) + T(r,G) \leq 3\overline{N}(r,F) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{G}) + N_{11}(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) + 2N_E^{(2)}(r,\frac{1}{G-1}) + 3\overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) + 3\overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r,F) + S(r,G)$$
(3.4)

We discuss the following three sub cases. Sub case 1.1.  $l \ge 2$ . Obviously.

$$N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 2N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + 3\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 3\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, F) \leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$$
(3.5)

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$T(r,F) \le 3\overline{N}(r,F) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{G}) + S(r,F)$$
 (3.6)

that is

$$T(r, f^m) \le 3\overline{N}(r, f^m) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f^m}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) + S(r, f)$$

By Lemma 2.1 for p = 2, we get

$$mT(r,f) \le (k+5)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + (k+4)\overline{N}(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$(k+4)\Theta(\infty, f) + (k+5)\Theta(0, f) \le 2k+9-m$$

which contradicts with (1.7).

Sub case 1.2. l = 1. It is easy to see that

$$N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 2N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + 2\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 3\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\ \leq N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, F) \\ \leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$$
(3.7)

$$\overline{N}_{L}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, \frac{F}{F'})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, \frac{F'}{F}) + S(r, F)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}[\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, F)] + S(r, F).$$
(3.8)

Combining (3.4) and (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$T(r,F) \le N_2(r,\frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{G}) + \frac{7}{2}\bar{N}(r,F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + S(r,F)$$
(3.9)

that is

$$mT(r,f) \le N_2(r,\frac{1}{f^m}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) + \frac{7}{2}\bar{N}(r,f^m) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r,\frac{1}{f^m}) + S(r,f).$$

By Lemma 2.1 for p = 2, we get

$$mT(r,f) \le (k+\frac{9}{2})\overline{N}(r,f) + (k+\frac{11}{2})\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + S(r,f)$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$(k+\frac{9}{2})\Theta(\infty,f) + (k+\frac{11}{2})\Theta(0,f) \le 2k+10-m$$

which contradicts with (1.8).

Sub case 1.3. l = 0. It is easy to see that

$$N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 2N_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 2\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, F) \leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, F)$$
(3.10)

ON MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS THAT SHARE ...

$$\overline{N}_{L}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) - \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1})$$

$$\leq N(r, \frac{F}{F'}) \leq N(r, \frac{F'}{F}) + S(r, F)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, F) + S(r, F).$$
(3.11)

Similarly, we have

$$\overline{N}_{L}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \leq \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}(r, G) + S(r, F)$$
  
$$\leq N_{1}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}(r, F) + S(r, G).$$
(3.12)

Combining (3.4) and (3.10) - (3.12), we get

$$T(r,F) \le N_2(r,\frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{G}) + 2\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + 6\overline{N}(r,F) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{G}) + S(r,F)$$
(3.13)

that is

$$mT(r,f) \le N_2(r,\frac{1}{f^m}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) + 2\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f^m}) + 6\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f^m}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) + S(r,f).$$

By Lemma 2.1 for p = 2 and for p = 1 respectively, we get

$$mT(r, f) \le (2k+8)\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + (2k+7)\overline{N}(r, f).$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$(2k+7)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+8)\Theta(0, f) \le 4k + 15 - m$$

which contradicts with (1.9).

Case 2. Let  $H \equiv 0$ .

on integration we get from (2.1)

$$\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv \frac{C}{G-1} + D,$$
(3.14)

where C, D are constants and  $C \neq 0$ . we will prove that D = 0.

**Sub case 2.1.** Suppose  $D \neq 0$ . If  $z_0$  be a pole of f with multiplicity p such that  $a(z_0) \neq 0, \infty$ , then it is a pole of G with multiplicity np + k respectively. This contradicts (3.14). It follows that N(r, f) = S(r, f) and hence  $\Theta(\infty, f) = 1$ . Also it is clear that  $\overline{N}(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, G) = S(r, f)$ . From (1.7)-(1.9) we know respectively

$$(k+5)\Theta(0,f) > k+5-m \tag{3.15}$$

$$(k + \frac{11}{2})\Theta(0, f) > k + \frac{11}{2} - m$$
(3.16)

and

$$(2k+8)\Theta(0,f) > 2k+8-m \tag{3.17}$$

Since  $D \neq 0$ , from (3.14) we get

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-(1+\frac{1}{D})}\right) = \overline{N}(r,G) = S(r,f)$$

Suppose  $D \neq -1$ .

Using the second fundamental theorem for F we get

$$\begin{split} T(r,F) &\leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-(1+\frac{1}{D})}\right) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + S(r,f) \\ &i.e., \\ mT(r,F) &\leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq mT(r,f) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

So, we have  $mT(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f})$  and so  $\Theta(0, f) = 1 - m$ . Which contradicts (3.15) – (3.17).

If D = -1, then

$$\frac{F}{F-1} \equiv C \frac{1}{G-1} \tag{3.18}$$

and from which we know  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) = \overline{N}(r, G) = S(r, f)$  and hence,  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) = S(r, f)$ . If  $C \neq -1$ , we know from (3.18) that

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-(1+C)}\right) = \overline{N}(r,F) = S(r,f).$$

So from Lemma 2.1 and the Second fundamental theorem we get

$$\begin{split} T(r,(f^n)^{(k)}) &\leq \overline{N}(r,G) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{G}) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-(1+C)}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &mT(r,f) \leq (k+1)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + k\overline{N}(r,f) + S(r,f), \end{split}$$

which is absurd. So C = -1 and we get from (3.18) that  $FG \equiv 1$ , which implies  $\left[\frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{f^n}\right] = \frac{a^2}{f^{n+m}}$ . In view of the first fundamental theorem, we get from above

$$(n+m)T(r,f) \le k[\overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f})] + S(r,f) = S(r,f),$$

which is impossible.

Sub case 2.2. D = 0 and so from (3.14) we get

$$G-1 \equiv C(F-1).$$

If  $C \neq 1$ , then

$$\begin{split} G &\equiv C(F-1+\frac{1}{C}) \\ and \quad \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{G}) &= \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-(1-\frac{1}{C})}\right). \end{split}$$

By the second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.1 for p = 1 and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{split} mT(r,f) + S(r,f) &= T(r,F) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \left(r,\frac{1}{F-(1-\frac{1}{C})}\right) + S(r,G) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,f^m) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f^m}) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + k\overline{N}(r,f) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq (k+2)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r,f) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$(k+1)\Theta(\infty, f) + (k+2)\Theta(0, f) \le 2k+3-m.$$

So, it follows that

$$\begin{split} (k+4)\Theta(\infty,f)+(k+5)\Theta(0,f)&\leq 3\Theta(\infty,f)+(k+1)\Theta(\infty,f)\\ &+(k+3)\Theta(0,f)+2\Theta(0,f)\\ &\leq 2k+9-m \end{split}$$

$$(k+\frac{9}{2})\Theta(\infty,f) + (k+\frac{11}{2})\Theta(0,f) \le 2k+10-m,$$

and

$$(2k+7)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+8)\Theta(0, f) \le 4k + 15 - m.$$

This contradicts (1.7) – (1.9). Hence C = 1 and so  $F \equiv G$ , that is  $f^m \equiv (f^n)^{(k)}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

#### References

- [1] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [2] L. Yang, *Distribution Theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1993).
- [3] I.Lahiri, Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic function, Nagoya Math. J., 161, 193-206(2001).
- [4] R.Bruck, On entire functions which share one value CM with their first derivative, Result. Math. 30, 21-24(1996).
- [5] L.Z.Yang, Solution of a differential equation and its applications, Kodai. Math. J. 22, 458-464(1999).
- [6] K.W.Yu, On entire and meromorphic functions that share small functions with their derivatives, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4(1)(2003) Art.21(Online:http://jipam.vu.edu.au/).
- [7] L.P.Liu and Y.X.Gu, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share one small function with their derivatives, Kodai. Math. J. 27, 272-279(2004).
- [8] I.Lahiri and A.Sarkar, Uniqueness of meromorphic function and its derivative, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5(1)(2004) Art.20 (Online:http://jipam.vu.edu.au/).
- [9] Q.C. Zhang, Meromorphic function that shares one small function with their derivatives, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math. 6(4)(2005) Art.116 (Online:http://jipam.vu.edu.au/).
- [10] Jin-Dong Li and Guang-Xin Huang, On meromorphic functions that share one small function with their derivatives, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 4(1) (2015), 91-96.

[11] A.Z. Mohon'ko, On the Nevanlinna charecteristics of some meromorphic functions, Theory of Functions, Funct. Anal. Appl. 14 (1971), 83-87.

HARINA P.WAGHAMORE

Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 $\,001,\,\mathrm{INDIA}$ 

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{harinapw0gmail.com}$ 

RAJESHWARI S.

Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 $\,001,\,\rm INDIA$ 

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$  rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com