C(4B)	-0.0535 (7)	0.3290 (5)	0.0978 (4)	0.031 (2)
C(5B)	-0.1733 (6)	0.3504 (5)	0.0731 (4)	0.0277 (15)
C(6B)	-0.2722 (7)	0.2818 (5)	0.0841 (4)	0.035 (2)
O(6B)	-0.3847 (4)	0.2913 (4)	0.0692 (3)	0.0460 (14)
N(7B)	-0.1806 (6)	0.4435 (5)	0.0399 (4)	0.0372 (14)
C(8B)	-0.0640 (7)	0.4749 (5)	0.0439 (4)	0.034 (2)
N(9B)	0.0183 (5)	0.4093 (4)	0.0791 (3)	0.0290 (13)
C(1'B)	0.1502 (6)	0.4293 (5)	0.0962 (4)	0.0288 (15)
C(2'B)	0.1799 (6)	0.4352 (5)	0.1856 (4)	0.031 (2)
O(2'B)	0.1495 (4)	0.5255 (4)	0.2210 (3)	0.0417 (13)
C(3'B)	0.3200 (6)	0.4098 (5)	0.1849 (4)	0.030 (2)
O(3'B)	0.3928 (5)	0.4956 (3)	0.1686 (3)	0.0354 (11)
C(4'B)	0.3304 (6)	0.3340 (5)	0.1172 (4)	0.031 (2)
O(4'B)	0.2207 (4)	0.3489 (3)	0.0663 (3)	0.0303 (11)
C(5'B)	0.3309 (7)	0.2293 (5)	0.1443 (5)	0.039 (2)
O(5'B)	0.2289 (5)	0.2070 (4)	0.1971 (3)	0.0427 (13)

Table 4. Selected	geometric	parameters (	Ă, <sup>c</sup>	) for	(2)
-------------------	-----------	--------------	-----------------	-------	-----

C(1A)— $N(1A)$	1.476 (9)	N(1B)— $C(2B)$	1.363 (9)
N(1A)—C(2A)	1.359 (9)	N(1B)—C(6B)	1.419 (9)
N(1A)—C(6A)	1.415 (9)	N(1B)— $C(1B)$	1.465 (8)
C(2A)—N(3A)	1.313 (8)	C(2B) - N(3B)	1.301 (9)
N(3A)—C(4A)	1.368 (8)	N(3B)— $C(4B)$	1.369 (9)
C(4A) - C(5A)	1.373 (9)	C(4B)—N(9B)	1.371 (9)
C(4A)—N(9A)	1.366 (8)	C(4B)— $C(5B)$	1.368 (9)
C(5A)—N(7A)	1.383 (9)	$C(5B) \rightarrow N(7B)$	1.387 (9)
C(5A)-C(6A)	1.453 (10)	C(5B)— $C(6B)$	1.419 (10)
N(7A)-C(8A)	1.308 (9)	N(7B) - C(8B)	1.313 (9)
C(8A)N(9A)	1.381 (8)	C(8B)—N(9B)	1.381 (9)
N(9A) - C(1'A)	1.445 (8)	N(9B) - C(1'B)	1.455 (8)
$C(1'A) \rightarrow O(4'A)$	1.414 (8)	C(1'B) - O(4'B)	1.419 (8)
C(1'A) - C(2'A)	1.519 (9)	C(1'B) - C(2'B)	1.514 (9)
$C(2'A) \rightarrow C(3'A)$	1.533 (10)	C(2'B) - C(3'B)	1.528 (9)
$C(3'A) \rightarrow C(4'A)$	1.525 (10)	C(3'B) - C(4'B)	1.527 (9)
$C(4'A) \rightarrow O(4'A)$	1.461 (8)	$C(4'B) \rightarrow O(4'B)$	1.452 (8)
$C(4'A) \rightarrow C(5'A)$	1.520 (10)	$C(4'B) \rightarrow C(5'B)$	1.500 (9)
C(2A)—N(1A)—C(6A)	124.6 (6)	C(2B)—N(1B)—C(6B)	122.9 (6)
C(2A) - N(1A) - C(1A)	118.4 (6)	C(2B)— $N(1B)$ — $C(1B)$	119.2 (6)
$C(6A) \rightarrow N(1A) \rightarrow C(1A)$	116.9 (6)	C(6B)— $N(1B)$ — $C(1B)$	117.7 (6)
O(4'A) - C(1'A) - N(9A)	108.7 (5)	O(4'B) - C(1'B) - N(9B)	107.1 (5)
O(4'A) - C(1'A) - C(2'A)	106.1 (5)	O(4'B) - C(1'B) - C(2'B)	105.7 (5)
N(9A) - C(1'A) - C(2'A)	115.1 (6)	N(9B) - C(1'B) - C(2'B)	113.6 (5)

For both compounds, program(s) used to solve structures: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993).

We thank Dr W. N. Hunter for the use of the Rigaku AFC-5R diffractometer at the Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Drs A. Edwards, O. Kennard, H. R. Powell and S. A. Salisbury of the University Chemical Laboratory and CCDC, and Dr M. J. Gait of the MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology at Cambridge for advice on various aspects of this work. Financial support from CCDC (BLP) and MRC (CEP) is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1267). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

- Allen, F. H., Davies, J. E., Galloy, J. J., Johnson, O., Kennard, O., Macrae, C. F., Mitchell, E., Mitchell, G. F., Smith, J. M. & Watson, D. G. (1991). J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 31, 187–204.
- Altona, C. & Sundaralingam, M. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 8205– 8212.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Bratty, J., Chartrand, P., Ferbeyre, G. & Cedergren, R. (1993). Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1216, 345-359.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hall, R. H. (1971). *The Modified Nucleosides in Nucleic Acids*. New York: Columbia Univ. Press
- Haschemeyer, A. E. V. & Rich, A. (1967). J. Mol. Biol. 27, 369-384.
- Holley, R. W., Apgar, J., Everett, G. A., Madison, J. T., Marquisee, M., Merrill, S. H., Penswick, J. R. & Zamir, A. (1965). *Science*, 147, 1462–1465.
- Iwai, S., Pritchard, C., Mann, D. A., Karn, J. & Gait, M. J. (1992). Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 6465–6472.
- Saenger, W. (1984). Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus Structure Determination Software Programs. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Takemura, S., Ogawa, K. & Nakazawa, K. (1973). Jpn J. Biochem. 74, 313–322.
- Viswamitra, M. A., Reddy, B. S., Lin, G. H.-Y. & Sundaralingam, M. (1971). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 4565-4572.
- Zemlicka, J. (1979). Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 35, 3572-3583.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1932-1934

# *trans*-(±)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,5-benzothiazepin-3-yl Acetate

P. KUMARADHAS AND K. A. NIRMALA

Department of Physics, Bangalore University, Bangalore 560 056, India

K. RAVIKUMAR

Laboratory of Crystallography, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

(Received 23 September 1994; accepted 22 February 1995)

#### Abstract

The title compound,  $C_{18}H_{17}NO_4S$ , is a diltiazem-related compound. The molecular packing is predominantly stabilized by hydrogen bonding; amide groups hydrogen bond with adjacent molecules to form centrosymmetric dimers. The seven-membered ring is distorted, showing a twist-boat conformation. The benzene ring is planar but the methoxyphenyl ring deviates significantly from planarity. The relative configuration of the methoxyphenyl and acetoxy groups is *gauche*.

#### Comment

The title compound, (I), is a drug intermediate in the synthesis of diltiazem, (II), an enantiomerically pure

drug with calcium antagonist activity (Kojic Prodic, Ruzic Toros & Sunjic, 1984). The pharmacological action of (I) is not yet confirmed, but enantiomorphic compounds of (I) behave as chiral solvating agents (Giordano & Restelli, 1991). The structure of compound (I) is very similar to that of diltiazem and has been compared with that of 1,4-benzothiazepine, (III) (Thomas, Paul, Williams, Grethe & Uskokovic, 1969). Interatomic distances and angles in the 1,5-benzothiazepine ring reflect usual seven-membered ring geometry and hybridization of atoms. The bond lengths S-C(1) [1.766 (3) Å] and C(9)—S [1.837 (3) Å] are unequal as one is affected by the conjugation of the adjacent  $\pi$ -electron system. Bond lengths involving  $C_{sp^3}$  atoms range from 1.501 (3) to 1.533 (4) Å. The carbonyl bonds fall into three categories:  $C_{sp^3}$ —O single bonds [C(8)— O(2) 1.436 (3) and C(16)—O(4) 1.411 (5) Å],  $C_{sp^2}$ —O single bonds [C(13)—O(4) 1.372 (3) and C(17)— O(2) 1.336(3) Å and C=O double bonds [C(17)=O(1)] 1.197 (4) and C(7)=O(3) 1.239 (3) Å]. The C-N distances are unequal [C(6)-N 1.427(3) and C(7)-N 1.341 (3) Å] as a result of different environments.



The conformation of the benzothiazepine ring is determined by considering the mean-plane deviations: S and N lie 0.490 and 0.619 Å, respectively, above the mean plane of C(7) [-0.031 Å] and C(9) [-0.011 Å], with C(6), C(8) and C(1) lying 0.268, 0.341 and 0.456 Å, respectively, below the mean plane. The values of the torsion angles of the benzothiazepine ring reflect a twist-boat conformation (Hendrickson, 1961). Acetoxy



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the title molecule with the atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability; H atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary radii.



Fig. 2. Packing diagram viewed along the b axis.

and methoxyphenyl groups at C(8) and C(9) are gauche oriented as the torsion angle O(2)—C(8)—C(9)—C(10) is 61.54 (3)°.

The molecular packing is predominantly stabilized by hydrogen bonds involving the amide groups of adjacent molecules, thus forming centrosymmetric dimers in the crystal. The N—H(N)···O(3<sup>i</sup>) distance is 2.882 Å, N— H(N) and H(N)···O(3<sup>i</sup>) are 0.906 and 1.986 Å, respectively; the angles C(7)—N···O(3<sup>i</sup>), C(6)—N···O(3<sup>i</sup>) and C(7)—O(3)···N<sup>i</sup> are 120, 118.2 and 121.9°, respectively [symmetry code: (i) -x, 1-y, -z]. These dimensions are fully consistent with N—H···O hydrogen bonding (Pimentel & McClellan, 1960).

#### Experimental

(2R,3S)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)methylglycidate was treated with 2-aminothiophenol in the presence of triethylamine. The resulting product was hydrolysed and treated with acetic anhydride and pyridine to obtain compound (I). Recrystallization was from ethanol. The crystal density  $D_m$  was measured by flotation in xylene-CCl<sub>4</sub>.

Crystal data

C18H17NO₄S	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 343.4$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_1/n$	reflections
a = 11.984 (2)  Å	$\theta = 7 - 18^{\circ}$
b = 8.769(1)Å	$\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 16.215 (2) Å	T = 294  K
$\beta = 91.24 (2)^{\circ}$	Needle

S = 0.911816 reflections

217 parameters

refined

H-atom parameters not

## C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

(Sheldrick, 1990)

Atomic scattering factors from SHELXTL-Plus

$V = 1703.6 (1) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 $D_{x} = 1.339 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{m} = 1.328 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.15$ mm Colourless
Data collection	
Siemens R3m/V diffractom-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.09$
eter	$\theta_{\rm max} = 22.5^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h=0 \rightarrow 12$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 9$
none	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$
2554 measured reflections	2 standard reflections
2229 independent reflections	monitored every 98
1816 observed reflections	reflections
$[I > 4\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: <1%
Refinement	
Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0047F^2]$
R = 0.039	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
wR = 0.048	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

C(1)-S-C(9)	104.6 (1)	C(7)—C(8)—C(9)	114.2 (2)
C(8)-O(2)-C(17)	116.9 (2)	S-C(9)-C(8)	110.2 (2)
C(13)-O(4)-C(16)	117.9 (3)	S-C(9)-C(10)	115.5 (2)
C(6)—N—C(7)	126.0 (2)	C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	110.8 (2)
S-C(1)-C(2)	118.6 (2)	C(9)—C(10)—C(11)	120.7 (2)
S-C(1)-C(6)	122.5 (2)	C(9)-C(10)-C(15)	121.6 (2)
C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	118.3 (3)	C(11) - C(10) - C(15)	117.6 (2)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120.9 (3)	C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	121.1 (2)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	120.0 (3)	C(11) - C(12) - C(13)	120.5 (3)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	120.7 (3)	O(4) - C(13) - C(12)	115.8 (2)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	119.6 (3)	O(4) - C(13) - C(14)	124.9 (3)
N-C(6)-C(1)	121.2 (2)	C(12)C(13)C(14)	119.4 (3)
N-C(6)-C(5)	118.2 (2)	C(13) - C(14) - C(15)	120.0 (3)
C(1) - C(6) - C(5)	120.5 (2)	C(10) - C(15) - C(14)	121.4 (3)
O(3) - C(7) - C(8)	120.6 (2)	O(1) - C(17) - O(2)	121.8 (3)
N - C(7) - C(8)	117.5 (2)	O(1) - C(17) - C(18)	126.6 (3)
O(2) - C(8) - C(7)	110.0 (2)	O(2) - C(17) - C(18)	111.7 (3)
O(2)-C(8)C(9)	104.7 (2)		
S C(9) C	(8) $C(7)$	-489(2)	
C(0) C(0)	-C(7)	86.9 (3)	
C(3) = C(3)	-N	-88(4)	
C(0) - C(7) - N - C(7)	-N - C(0)	-443(4)	
N_C(6)-C	(0) - C(1)	-61(4)	
		66.4 (3)	
$C(1) = S_{}C(1)$	(0) - C(8)	-328(2)	
S_(0)_0	(10) - C(15)	-629(3)	
S-C())-C	(10) = (15)	- 169 3 (1)	
2	-0(2) $-0(2)$	-1580(2)	
C(8) = O(2)	-C(17) $-C(18)$	177 1 (2)	
C(8) = O(2)	-C(17)-O(1)	-27(4)	
O(2) - C(8)	-C(9) - C(10)	61.5 (3)	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
	x	у	Z	$U_{eq}$
S	0.7389(1)	0.1226(1)	0.0232(1)	0.060 (1)
O(1)	0.7799 (2)	0.5466 (3)	-0.2080 (2)	0.092 (1)
O(2)	0.6713 (2)	0.5067 (2)	-0.1014 (1)	0.048 (1)
O(3)	0.8544 (2)	0.5493 (2)	-0.0053 (1)	0.063 (1)
O(4)	0.2675 (2)	0.1487 (3)	-0.2132 (2)	0.077 (1)
N	0.9311 (2)	0.3247 (3)	-0.0412(1)	0.056(1)
C(1)	0.8402 (2)	0.0730(3)	-0.0496 (2)	0.050(1)
C(2)	0.8433 (3)	-0.0772 (3)	-0.0784 (2)	0.062 (1)
C(3)	0.9294 (3)	-0.1270 (3)	-0.1266 (2)	0.070 (1)
C(4)	1.0139 (3)	-0.0290 (3)	-0.1467 (2)	0.064 (1)
C(5)	1.0136 (2)	0.1205 (3)	-0.1193 (2)	0.057 (1)
C(6)	0.9266 (2)	0.1719 (3)	-0.0713 (2)	0.050 (1)
C(7)	0.8450 (2)	0.4219 (3)	-0.0378 (2)	0.049 (1)
C(8)	0.7361 (2)	0.3743 (3)	-0.0799 (2)	0.045 (1)
C(9)	0.6596 (2)	0.2787 (3)	-0.0257 (2)	0.046 (1)
C(10)	0.5560 (2)	0.2320 (3)	-0.0728 (1)	0.044 (1)
C(11)	0.4522 (2)	0.2906 (3)	-0.0521 (2)	0.047 (1)
C(12)	0.3587 (2)	0.2594 (3)	-0.0991 (2)	0.055 (1)
C(13)	0.3654 (2)	0.1684 (3)	-0.1689 (2)	0.054 (1)
C(14)	0.4664 (3)	0.1071 (3)	-0.1895 (2)	0.057 (1)
C(15)	0.5606 (2)	0.1382 (3)	-0.1416 (2)	0.055 (1)
C(16)	0.2712 (3)	0.0637 (5)	-0.2870 (2)	0.096 (2)
C(17)	0.7006 (3)	0.5831 (3)	-0.1688 (2)	0.057 (1)
C(18)	0.6239 (3)	0.7123 (3)	-0.1866 (2)	0.075 (1)

## Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

S-C(1)	1.766 (3)	C(3)—C(4)	1.373 (5)
SC(9)	1.837 (3)	C(4)—C(5)	1.384 (4)
O(1)—C(17)	1.197 (4)	C(5)—C(6)	1.391 (4)
O(2)—C(8)	1.436 (3)	C(7)C(8)	1.519 (4)
O(2)—C(17)	1.336 (3)	C(9)—C(8)	1.533 (4)
O(3)—C(7)	1.239 (3)	C(9)—C(10)	1.501 (3)
O(4)—C(13)	1.372 (3)	C(10)C(11)	1.393 (4)
O(4)C(16)	1.411 (5)	C(10)—C(15)	1.389 (4)
NC(6)	1.427 (3)	C(11)—C(12)	1.369 (4)
N—C(7)	1.341 (3)	C(12)C(13)	1.389 (4)
C(1)—C(2)	1.398 (4)	C(13)-C(14)	1.373 (4)
C(1)C(6)	1.401 (4)	C(14)—C(15)	1.384 (4)
C(2)C(3)	1.379 (5)	C(17)—C(18)	1.484 (4)

H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps; they were positioned geometrically and included as riding atoms with fixed isotropic displacement parameters in the structure-factor calculations.

Cell refinement: Siemens R3m/V software. Data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1991). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus. Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL-Plus. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus. Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

We thank N. Kalvanam and Venkatesvara Rao, SPIC Pharma, for supplying the compound and we also thank Bangalore University for providing the facility for determining the structure.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1102). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Giordano, C. & Restelli, A. (1991). Tetrahedron Asymmetry, 2, 785-788.
- Hendrickson, J. B. (1961). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 4537-4547.
- Kojic Prodic, B., Ruzic Toros, Z. & Sunjic, V. (1984). Helv. Chim. Acta, 67, 916-926.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Pimentel, G. C. & McClellan, A. L. (1960). The Hydrogen Bond, p. 287. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL-Plus. Revision 4.11/V. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Thomas, P. C., Paul, I. C., Williams, T., Grethe, G. & Uskokovic, M. (1969). J. Org. Chem. 34, 365-371.