



STUDIES ON SEASONAL VARIATION OF INDOOR AIRBORNE FUNGAL SPORES IN RABBIT HOUSE

R.PAVAN*, S.U. PONNAMMA AND K. MANJUNATH

Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Bangalore University, Bangalore-56, Karnataka, India.

ABSTRACT

The indoor airborne fungal spore survey has been conducted for one year to assess the seasonal variation of the fungal flora in a rabbit house situated at Hessaraghatta village, near Bangalore city. The investigation was carried out by using an Andersen two stage viable sampler, at monthly intervals over a period of 12 months from January 2011 to December 2011. A total of 1.16×10^4 CFU/m³ belonging to fifteen different genera, excluding some unidentified ones were recorded. The differences in distribution among these fungi for seasonal and meteorological factors were correlated and the mean significant difference was expressed statistically at 0.05% and 0.01% level of significance.

KEYWORDS: Indoor air, Andersen sampler, Meteorological factors, Seasonal variations and Health hazards.



R.PAVAN

Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Bangalore University,
Bangalore-56, Karnataka, India.

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Aerobiological studies are widely used to determine the fungal spectrum of the air. Airborne microfungi are one of the important indoor air biocontaminants and are the most numerous and diverse particles found both in indoor and outdoor environments. The main source of airborne fungi in indoor air is usually from outdoor environment¹ and also from some indoor environmental factors such as dampness and high humidity levels that encourage fungal growth². The concentrations and types of airborne microfungi in the atmosphere are affected by many biological and environmental factors³. They vary greatly, by nature, with time, season, geographical, climatic and other physical factors⁴. Moreover, meteorological factors, affect the numbers and types of airborne microorganisms⁵. It is well documented that, more than 80 genera of fungi are associated with symptoms of respiratory tract allergies⁶ and over 100 species are involved with serious human and animal infections⁷. The air in intensive livestock buildings usually contains high concentrations of airborne microorganisms⁸. Especially fungi such as *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, etc., which grow routinely on livestock buildings⁹ i.e. in the indoor environments, releases highly infectious agents such as fungal spores and mycotoxins¹⁰. They can cause or trigger lung-related diseases in animals and in humans¹¹. Recent reports have shown that most experimental animals do not reach optimal quality and workers are subjected to high risk exposure¹². Farm workers are exposed to large concentrations of airborne fungi when working with animal material¹³. Hence, there is an immediate need for the specific identification of microorganisms under different weather conditions as well as to carry out studies on exposure-response relationships. Presently, an increasing interest on the airborne biological particles as indicators of the quality of the environment in general and more specifically, of the atmosphere has emerged. Hence, a study was carried out to determine the variations in the indoor environment of rabbit house for the

fungal distribution concurrent with seasonal changes and meteorological factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

(i) *Sampling site and time*

Hessaraghatta, a village situated 10km away from Bangalore city, has several rabbit houses among which, two rabbit houses were selected for the present study. Indoor air samples were collected at monthly intervals over a period of 12 months from January 2011 to December 2011.

(ii) *Collection of samples*¹⁴

The Andersen two-stage viable sampler was placed in the center of the rabbit house, 1.5 m above the ground level. Malt Extract Agar (MEA) was used as the sampling medium. The sampling time was limited to 5 minutes with an air flow rate of 28.3 L/min.

(iii) *Collection of meteorological data*

The meteorological data such as temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and rainfall were collected from the Department of Statistics, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessaraghatta, Bangalore.

(iv) *Treatment of samples*

The indoor-air sampled MEA plates were incubated for 5 to 7 days at room temperature between 25°C to 30°C; identification of fungal colonies were based on morphological and microscopic observations, followed by further identification and confirmation at Agharkar Research Institute, Pune. The results obtained at each stage of the sampler were converted to Colony Forming Units per cubic meter (CFU/m³) of air sampled and the total concentration was obtained by adding the CFU/m³ from each stage of the sampler.

(v) *Statistical analysis*

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS-16, 2007 version software. One way ANOVA and Pearson correlation was used for

determining the coefficients between CFU/m³ and meteorological data (temperature, rainfall, wind speed and relative humidity), and the significant differences were expressed at 0.05% and 0.01% level of significance.

RESULTS

The indoor-air sampling for fungi in rabbit house for the year 2011, resulted in a total of

11642.66 CFU/m³ (Table 1). Among the various organisms isolated, CFU's for all genera were determined and only fifteen genera could be identified through microscopic examinations. According to the CFU's, *Cladosporium* sp. proved to be predominant throughout the year with a maximum CFU's of 6698.89 while *Scopulariopsis* sp. was the least dominant with a CFU's of 28.32.

Table 1
Seasonal variations in fungal CFU per cubic meter of air sampled during summer, rainy and winter seasons, from January 2011 to December 2011.

| Genera | Season | | | Total |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|
| | Summer | Rainy | Winter | |
| | March-June | July-October | November-February | |
| <i>Acremonium</i> sp. | 70.8 | - | 70.82 | 141.62 |
| <i>Alternaria</i> sp. | - | 84.97 | 99.15 | 184.12 |
| <i>Arthrimum</i> sp. | 184.13 | - | - | 184.13 |
| <i>Aspergillus</i> sp. | 439.07 | 269.11 | 382.43 | 1090.61 |
| <i>Curvularia</i> sp. | 56.65 | 70.8 | - | 127.45 |
| <i>Cladosporium</i> sp. | 2733.68 | 1473.07 | 2492.9 | 6699.65 |
| <i>Fusarium</i> sp. | 127.46 | 70.82 | 141.63 | 339.91 |
| <i>Mucor</i> sp. | - | 155.79 | 141.62 | 297.41 |
| <i>Nigrospora</i> sp. | - | 113.3 | 226.62 | 339.92 |
| <i>Pencillium</i> sp. | 354.09 | 566.55 | 552.4 | 1473.04 |
| <i>Phoma</i> sp. | - | 56.65 | - | 56.65 |
| <i>Pithomyces</i> sp. | 184.12 | 28.32 | 99.15 | 311.59 |
| <i>Rhizopus</i> sp. | - | 99.14 | - | 99.14 |
| <i>Scopulariopsis</i> sp. | 28.32 | - | - | 28.32 |
| <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. | 56.64 | - | 212.46 | 269.1 |
| Total | 4234.96 | 2988.52 | 4419.18 | 11642.66 |

From Table 1 and Table 2, it can be observed that during summer, *Alternaria* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Nigrospora* sp., *Phoma* sp. and *Rhizopus* sp. were not isolated, likewise during the rainy season, *Acremonium* sp., *Arthrimum* sp., *Scopulariopsis* sp. and *Trichoderma* sp. were not isolated, while during winter, *Arthrimum* sp., *Curvularia* sp., *Phoma* sp., *Rhizopus* sp. and *Scopulariopsis* sp. were not isolated.

Table 2
Descriptive statistics for ANOVA showing the mean distribution of CFU's for the various fungi during the different seasons.

| Genera | Season | Mean \pm SD | P | F |
|---------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| <i>Acremonium</i> sp. | Summer | 17.70 \pm 3.54 | 0.872 | .451 |
| | Winter | 17.70 \pm 1.35 | | |
| | Rainy | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| <i>Alternaria</i> sp. | Summer | 24.78 \pm 4.95 | 0.675 | .533 |
| | Winter | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| | Rainy | 21.24 \pm 2.71 | | |
| <i>Arthrinium</i> sp. | Summer | .00 \pm .00 | 7.567 | .012* |
| | Winter | 46.03 \pm 3.34 | | |
| | Rainy | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| <i>Fusarium</i> sp. | Summer | 35.40 \pm 4.24 | 0.039 | .962 |
| | Winter | 31.86 \pm 2.92 | | |
| | Rainy | 28.32 \pm 3.46 | | |
| <i>Cladosporium</i> sp. | Summer | 623.22 \pm 43.96 | 1.691 | .238 |
| | Winter | 683.42 \pm 6.87 | | |
| | Rainy | 368.26 \pm 2.58 | | |
| <i>Aspergillus</i> sp. | Summer | 95.60 \pm 3.34 | 1.268 | .327 |
| | Winter | 109.76 \pm 5.47 | | |
| | Rainy | 67.27 \pm 1.78 | | |
| <i>Curvularia</i> sp. | Summer | .00 \pm .00 | 1.235 | .336 |
| | Winter | 14.16 \pm 2.83 | | |
| | Rainy | 17.70 \pm .70 | | |
| <i>Penicillium</i> sp. | Summer | 138.10 \pm 13.35 | 0.349 | .715 |
| | Winter | 88.52 \pm 10.99 | | |
| | Rainy | 141.63 \pm 2.00 | | |
| <i>Nigrospora</i> sp. | Summer | 56.65 \pm 6.54 | 1.800 | .220 |
| | Winter | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| | Rainy | 28.32 \pm 3.27 | | |
| <i>Mucor</i> sp. | Summer | 35.40 \pm 1.82 | 5.285 | .030* |
| | Winter | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| | Rainy | 38.94 \pm 2.68 | | |
| <i>Pithomyces</i> sp. | Summer | 24.78 \pm 4.95 | 0.722 | .512 |
| | Winter | 46.03 \pm 6.05 | | |
| | Rainy | 7.08 \pm 1.41 | | |
| <i>Rhizopus</i> sp. | Summer | .00 \pm .00 | 1.485 | .277 |
| | Winter | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| | Rainy | 24.78 \pm 4.06 | | |
| <i>Phoma</i> sp. | Summer | .00 \pm .00 | 1.000 | .405 |
| | Winter | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| | Rainy | 14.16 \pm 2.83 | | |
| <i>Scopulariopsis</i> sp. | Summer | .00 \pm .00 | 1.000 | .405 |
| | Winter | 7.08 \pm 1.41 | | |
| | Rainy | .00 \pm .00 | | |
| <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. | Summer | 53.11 \pm 6.16 | 2.235 | .163 |
| | Winter | 14.16 \pm 1.63 | | |
| | Rainy | .00 \pm .00 | | |

P: P value, F: Frequency, SD: Standard deviation, Highly significant at 0.01%

DISCUSSION

The dominant indoor organisms such as *Cladosporium* sp., *Aspergillus* sp. and *Penicillium* sp. showed highest distribution throughout the year¹⁵, whereas, *Scopulariopsis* sp., *Phoma* sp. and *Rhizopus* sp. species showed lesser distribution, only during winter and rainy season, as these organisms require wet and higher humidity conditions¹⁶ for their growth. Thus, a distinct seasonal variation was observed in the airborne fungal flora of the selected rabbit house in Hesaraghatta village

of Bangalore city. The varied distribution of all the fifteen fungal genera for monthly intervals and yearly indoor CFU numbers significantly justifies the involvement of seasonal variations and meteorological factors. The release of fungal spores from the indoor environment was found to be driven by the energy from external sources and is significantly affected by environmental factors¹⁷. The weather conditions probably have the greatest influence on the number and type of fungal spores. Correlation

of climatic data with the incidence of aerospora show that parameters such as temperature, rainfall, relative humidity and wind speed played a significant role. The distribution and aerosolization of all the species was found to be maximum during summer when compared to the winter and rainy seasons. The CFU's of all fifteen fungi increased during hot and humid conditions and was influenced by temperature,

relative humidity and wind speed. Bhat and Rajasab¹⁸ also reported the distribution of a large number of organisms or spores during the summer season. The major cause for this spore release may be due to the air currents prevailing in the indoor environment at higher temperatures during summer season, causing spore detachment and dispersion¹⁹.

Table 3

The statistical correlation for distribution of fungal species for their CFU's with meteorological factors.

| Genera | | Temperature | Relative humidity | Wind speed (km/h) | Rainfall (mm) |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Acremonium sp. | r | .700* | -.304 | .140 | .020 |
| | p | .011 | .337 | .664 | .952 |
| Alternaria sp. | r | -.703* | .062 | -.225 | -.391 |
| | p | .011 | .848 | .483 | .208 |
| Arthriniium sp. | r | .304 | .015 | .601* | .563 |
| | p | .337 | .964 | .039 | .057 |
| Fusarium sp. | r | .658* | .006 | -.109 | .488 |
| | p | .020 | .986 | .737 | .108 |
| Cladosporium sp. | r | .535 | -.379 | .256 | .176 |
| | p | .073 | .224 | .422 | .585 |
| Aspergillus sp. | r | .013 | .001 | .473 | .009 |
| | p | .968 | .997 | .120 | .979 |
| Curvularia sp. | r | -.215 | .515 | -.023 | .683* |
| | p | .502 | .087 | .943 | .014 |
| Penicillium sp. | r | .184 | .210 | -.249 | .196 |
| | p | .567 | .512 | .436 | .542 |
| Nigrospora sp. | r | .436 | -.230 | -.406 | -.196 |
| | p | .157 | .472 | .190 | .541 |
| Mucor sp. | r | .015 | -.011 | -.512 | -.402 |
| | p | .964 | .973 | .089 | .195 |
| Pithomyces sp. | r | .341 | .305 | .208 | .667* |
| | p | .278 | .335 | .516 | .018 |
| Rhizopus sp. | r | -.025 | .251 | .051 | -.052 |
| | p | .939 | .431 | .874 | .872 |
| Phoma sp. | r | -.319 | .159 | -.125 | -.124 |
| | p | .312 | .621 | .699 | .701 |
| Scopulariopsis sp. | r | .431 | -.749** | -.085 | .252 |
| | p | .162 | .005 | .792 | .430 |
| Trichoderma sp. | r | .645* | -.461 | -.125 | -.159 |
| | p | .023 | .131 | .698 | .621 |
| Temperature | r | 1 | -.408 | .141 | .345 |
| | p | . | .188 | .662 | .273 |
| Relative humidity | r | -.408 | 1 | .268 | .191 |
| | p | .188 | . | .400 | .551 |
| Wind speed km/h | r | .141 | .268 | 1 | .095 |
| | p | .662 | .400 | . | .769 |
| Rainfall mm | r | .345 | .191 | .095 | 1 |
| | p | .273 | .551 | .769 | . |

* Correlation (0.05) and ** Correlation (0.01) is significant level 2-tailed. r is Correlation Co-efficient and p is P value.

Another principal physical factor affecting the dispersion of spores in indoor environment is the wind speed²⁰. The release of spores from different fungal species is mainly a function of air velocity so that the increase in velocity causes an increase in the spore release rate but in the present study, the distribution of organisms was found to be lesser during the increased wind speed. The air velocity required for the spore release is an independent factor and is solely dependent on each fungal type²¹. The presence of fungal spores according to statistical correlation was lesser during high humidity and rainfall period. The release of spores gradually decreases under wet conditions and show variation in distribution²². Several authors reported a negative correlation between rainfall and spore concentration i.e., the rainfall washes all the spores in the outer atmosphere and simultaneously also decreases the spore concentration in the indoor environment and this release of spores from the wet wall differs for different fungi under identical conditions²³. The fifteen isolated organisms have been associated with some of the health related disorders. All the organisms are allergic in nature; either they cause type-I allergic response such as hay fever and asthma (*Trichoderma* sp., *Cladosporium* sp., *Curvularia* sp., *Fusarium* sp., *Nigrospora* sp., *Arthrinium* sp. and *Acremonium* sp.) or type-III hypersensitive reactions such as bronchoblastomycosis and allergic fungal sinusitis (*Scopulariopsis* sp., *Trichoderma* sp., *Cladosporium* sp., *Alternaria* sp., *Acremonium* sp. and *Curvularia* sp.)^{24, 25, 26}. They also cause several diseases or disorders such as mycotoxicosis (*Trichoderma* sp., *Pneumonitis* sp. and *Penicillium* sp.), zygomycosis (*Rhizopus* sp. and *Mucor* sp.), diabetes, ketoacidosis (*Rhizopus* sp.), facial eczema (*Pithomyces* sp.), onychomycosis (*Scopulariopsis* sp., *Curvularia* sp. and *Fusarium* sp.), pneumonia (*Curvularia* sp. and *Penicillium* sp.), cerebral abscess (*Curvularia* sp.), mycetoma and mycotic eye infections (*Fusarium* sp. and *Penicillium* sp.),

bronchopulmonary *aspergillosis* (*Pneumonitis* sp.), external ear infections, respiratory, urinary tract infections, penicillosis, endophthalmitis, otomycosis, endocarditis and peritonitis (*Penicillium* sp.) phaeohyphomycosis (*Phoma* sp.), eye and nails infections (*Acremonium* sp.) and bakes asthma (*Alternaria* sp.)^{27, 28, 29}. They also produce several toxins such as trichothecene (*Trichoderma* sp., *Fusarium* sp. and *Acremonium* sp.), cyclic peptides, gliotoxin, isocyanides, T-2 toxin, trichodesmin (*Trichoderma* sp.), achratoxin-A (*Penicillium* sp.), sporidesmin (*Pithomyces* sp.), zearalenone and vomitoxin (*Fusarium* sp.), aflatoxins (*Aspergillus* sp.) and tenazoic acid (*Alternaria* sp.)^{30, 31, 32}. Thus, safety measures such as fumigation, maintenance of clean environment, avoiding the dumping of wastes, to keep the microbial load to a minimum has to be employed as has been observed and studied at the Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited³³.

CONCLUSION

The distribution of the fungal organisms isolated in the present study could have a potential and significant effect on the health of the rabbits and the working laborers. The results of the present study could be incorporated while taking suitable measures to prevent health hazards of animals and workers, living or working in such infectious environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by the Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Bangalore University, Bangalore. The authors would like to remain grateful to UGC-BSR fellowship, New Delhi. We also extend our gratitude to the Tumkur city municipal council for financial assistance. The authors appreciate the rabbit-house staff for providing invaluable support and technical assistance during the sampling of air.

REFERENCES

1. Liao CM, Luo WC, Chen SC, Chen JW and Liang HM, Temporal seasonal-variations of size-dependent airborne fungi indoor outdoor relationship for a wind-induced naturally ventilated airspace. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38: 4415–4419, (2004).
2. Gelincik AA, Buyukozturk S, Gul H, Gungor G, Issever H and Cagatay A, The effect of indoor fungi on the symptoms of patients with allergic rhinitis in Istanbul. *Indoor Built Environment*, 14 (5): 427–432, (2005).
3. Stepalska D and Wolek J, Variation of fungal spore concentrations of selected taxa associated to weather conditions in Cracow and Poland in 1997. *Aerobiologia*, 21: 43–52, (2005).
4. Abdel Hameed AA, Khoder MI and Emad AA, Fertile fungal spores collected on different faced surfaces in the atmosphere of Giza, Egypt. *Aerobiologia*, 23: 47-57, (2007).
5. Topbas M, Tosun I, Can G, Kaklikkaya N and Aydin F, Identification and seasonal distribution of airborne fungi in urban outdoor air in an eastern Black Sea Turkish town. *Turkish Journal of Medical Science*, 36: 31–36, (2006).
6. Horner WE, Helbling A, Salvaggio JE and Lehrer SB, Fungal Allergens. *Clinical Microbiol*, 8: 161–79, (1995).
7. Cvetnic Z and Pepeljnjak S, Distribution and mycotoxin producing ability of some fungal isolates from the air. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31: 491–5, (1997).
8. Clark S, Rylander R and Larsson L, Airborne bacteria, endotoxin and fungi in dust in poultry and swine confinement buildings. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 44 (7): 537-541, (1983).
9. Thiemann G, Toxin producing fungi in the air of animal houses: Proceedings of the 4th Meeting about Current Zoonosis, 99-102, (1992).
10. Stetzenbach LD, Buttner MP and Cruz P, Detection and enumeration of airborne biocontaminants. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 15: 170–174, (2004).
11. Donham KJ, Health effects from work in swine confinement buildings. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 17: 17-25, (1990).
12. Hernandez-González RY and Hernandez-Espinosa E, Analisis bibliometrico de las characteristics de los roedores y lagomorfos utilizados en investigaciones publicans Mexico, 1980–1989. *Vet Mex* 25: 149–153, (1994).
13. Donham KJ, Hazardous agents in agricultural dusts and methods of evaluation. *Am J Ind Med*, 10: 205-220, (1986).
14. Andersen AA, New sampler for collection, sizing and enumeration of viable airborne particles. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 76: 471–484, (1958).
15. Cosentino S, Pisano PL, Fadda ME and Palmas F, Pollen and mold allergy. Aerobiologic survey in the atmosphere of Cagliari, Italy (1986–1988). *Annals of Allergy*, 65 (5): 393–400, (1990).
16. Kramer CL and Padey SM, Kansas Aeromycology 11th fungi imperfect Academic Science, 3 (4): 228-238, (1960).
17. Madelin TM, Fungal aerosol: A review. *J. Aerosol. Sci.* 25: 1405–1412, (1994).
18. Bhat MM and Rajasab AH, Incidence of airborne fungal spores at two different sites in Gulbarga. *Ind. J. Aerobiology*, 4: (182) 1-6, (1991).
19. Burnett JH, *Fundamentals of Mycology*. London, Edward Arnold, (1976).
20. Zoberi MH, Take-off mold spores in relation to wind speed and humidity. *Ann. Bot.*, 25: 53–64, (1961).
21. Pasanen AL, Pasanen P, Jantunen MJ and Kalinoski HT, Significance of air humidity and air velocity for fungal spore release into the air. *Atmos. Environmental*, 25A: 459-462, (1991).
22. Gorny RL, Reponen T, Grinshpun SA and Willeke K, Source strength of fungal spore aerosolization from moldy building material.

- Atmos. Environment, 35: 4853–4862, (2001).
23. Kildeso J, Wurtz KF, Nielsen P, Kruse K, Wilkins K, Tharne U, Gravesen S, Nielsen PA and Schneider T, Determination of fungal spore release from wet building materials. *Indoor Air*, 13: 148–155, (2003).
24. Kirk PM, Cannon PF, David JC and Stalpers JA, *Ainsworth & Bisby's Dictionary of the Fungi* (9th edition). Oxon, UK: CABI Bioscience, 452, (2001).
25. Yang C and Johannig E, Airborne fungi and mycotoxins in CJ Hurst. *Manual of Environmental Microbiology*, American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC, (1997).
26. Lacey J and Crook B, Fungal and actinomycetes spores as pollutants of the workplace and occupational allergens, *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* 32:515-553, (1988).
27. Deshpande SD and Koppikar GV, A study of mycotic keratitis in Mumbai. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol.* 42:81-7, (1999).
28. Vroey De C, Lasagni A, Tosi E, Schroeder F and Song M, Onychomycoses due to *Microascus cirrosus*, *Mycoses.* 35: 193-196, (1992).
29. Rivas S and Thomas CM, Molecular interactions between tomato and the leaf mold pathogen: *Cladosporium fulvum*. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 43: 395-436, (2005).
30. Richerson H, Guidelines for the clinical evaluation of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *J. Allergy Clinical Immunology*, 84: 839-844, (1989).
31. Abe F, Shibuya H, Tateyama M, Ommura Y, Azumi N and Kimura K, Mucormycosis in diabetic ketoacidosis: Role of unbound iron binding capacity of transferrin. *Acta Pathol. Jpn.* 36: 1307-1312, (1986).
32. Burge, HA, "Fungus allergens". *Clinical Review Allergy*, 3: 19-329, (1985).
33. Chellaram C, Venkatesh S, Prem Anand T and Felicia Shanthini C, Microbial analysis in Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited environment, Tamilnadu, India. *Intentional Journal of Pharma Bio Sciences* 4(3): 319-324, (2013).