

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
 ISRN Geometry
 Volume 2013, Article ID 392608, 7 pages
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/392608>



Research Article

D_a -Homothetic Deformation of K -Contact Manifolds

H. G. Nagaraja and C. R. Premalatha

Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University, Central College Campus, Bengaluru 560 001, India

Correspondence should be addressed to H. G. Nagaraja; hgnagarajl@gmail.com

Received 12 October 2013; Accepted 11 November 2013

Academic Editors: A. M. Cegarra and J. L. Ciesliński

Copyright © 2013 H. G. Nagaraja and C. R. Premalatha. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study D_a -homothetic deformations of K -contact manifolds. We prove that D_a -homothetically deformed K -contact manifold is a generalized Sasakian space form if it is conharmonically flat. Further, we find expressions for scalar curvature of D_a -homothetically deformed K -contact manifolds.

1. Introduction

In 1968 Tanno [1] introduced the notion of D_a -homothetic deformations. Carriazo and Martín-Molina [2] studied D_a -homothetic deformation of generalized (k, μ) space forms and gave several examples for manifolds of dimension 3. De and Ghosh [3] studied D_a -homothetic deformation of almost normal contact metric manifolds and prove that $Q\phi - \phi Q$ is invariant under such transformation. Bagewadi and Venkatesha [4] studied concircularly semisymmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds and De et al. [5] studied conharmonically semisymmetric, conharmonically flat, ξ -conharmonically flat, and conharmonically recurrent generalized Sasakian space forms. Several authors [6–11] studied K -contact manifolds and proved conditions for these manifolds to be of ξ -conformally flat, ϕ -conformally flat, quasi-conharmonically flat, and ξ -conharmonically flat. Motivated by the above studies, in this paper we study D_a -homothetic deformations of K -contact manifolds by considering conharmonic and projective curvature tensor. The paper is organized as follows. After Preliminaries, we give a brief account of information of D_a -homothetic deformation of K -contact manifolds in Section 3. In Section 4, we study conharmonically flat, semisymmetric, ϕ -conharmonically flat, quasi-conharmonically flat, and ξ -conharmonically flat K -contact manifolds with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation. In the last section, we consider Weyl projective curvature in K -contact manifolds with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold [12], consisting of a $(1, 1)$ tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η , and Riemannian metric g . Then

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0,$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2)$$

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \quad (3)$$

$$g(X, \phi X) = 0, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X),$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$. If ξ is a Killing vector field, then M is called a K -contact Riemannian manifold [13]. A K -contact Riemannian manifold is called Sasakian [12], if the relation

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \quad (4)$$

holds, where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to g .

If M^{2n+1} is a K -contact Riemannian manifold, then besides (1), (2), (3), and (4) the following relations hold [14]:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X, \tag{5}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \tag{6}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = g(QX, \xi) = 2n\eta(X), \tag{7}$$

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y), \tag{8}$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \tag{9}$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \tag{10}$$

for any vector fields X and Y , where R and S denote, respectively, the curvature tensor of type (1, 3) and the Ricci tensor of type (0, 2).

Definition 1. A contact metric manifold M is said to be η -Einstein if $S(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \eta(X)\eta(Y)$, where α and β are smooth functions on M .

3. D_a -Homothetic Deformation of K -Contact Manifolds

Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold. A D_a -homothetic deformation is defined by

$$\bar{\phi} = \phi, \quad \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{a}\xi, \quad \bar{\eta} = a\eta, \tag{11}$$

$$\bar{g} = ag + a(a - 1)\eta \otimes \eta,$$

with a being a positive constant [1].

It is clear that the $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ is also an almost contact metric manifold.

If (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a K -contact manifold with Riemannian connection ∇ , the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of the D_a -deformed K -contact manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ can be calculated from ∇ and \bar{g} . Using Koszul's formula and (5), (6), and (11), $\bar{\nabla}$ of \bar{g} is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - a(a - 1)[\eta(Y)\phi X + \eta(X)\phi Y]. \tag{12}$$

Using (12), we obtain

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (a - 1)\eta(Y)\phi^2 X. \tag{13}$$

The curvature tensor \bar{R} of $(M, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{R}(X, Y)Z \\ &= R(X, Y)Z - (a - 1) \\ &\quad \times (g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi Z, X)\phi Y + 2g(\phi Y, X)\phi Z \\ &\quad + [g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X]\eta(Y) \\ &\quad - [g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y]\eta(X) \\ &\quad + a[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]\eta(Z). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Using (9), (10), and (14), we have

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)\bar{\xi} = (2 - a)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{\xi}, Y)Z &= [g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y] \\ &\quad - (a - 1)[\eta(Y)\xi - Y]\eta(Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{R}(\bar{\xi}, Y)\bar{\xi} = \frac{(2 - a)}{a}[\eta(Y)\xi - Y],$$

$$\bar{\eta}(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z) = a^2[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)]. \tag{15}$$

From (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(Y, Z) &= aS(Y, Z) - a(a - 1) \\ &\quad \times ((2 - a)g(Y, Z) + [2n(a - 1) + a - 2]\eta(Y)\eta(Z)), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where \bar{S} and S are the Ricci tensors of $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ and (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) , respectively.

It follows from (16) that

$$\bar{S}(Y, \bar{\xi}) = 2na(2 - a)\eta(Y), \tag{17}$$

$$\bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) = \bar{S}(Y, Z) - 2na^2(2 - a)\eta(Y)\eta(Z). \tag{18}$$

Again contracting (16) over Y, Z , we get

$$\bar{r} = ar - 2na(a - 1), \tag{19}$$

where \bar{r} and r are the scalar curvatures of $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ and (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) , respectively.

4. Conharmonic Curvature Tensor in D_a -Homothetically Deformed K -Contact Manifolds

The conharmonic tensor of a D_a -homothetically deformed K -contact manifold is defined by [15]

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(X, Y)Z &= \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n - 1} \\ &\quad \times [\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y + \bar{g}(Y, Z)\bar{Q}X \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{Q}Y], \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for $X, Y, Z \in TM$, where \bar{R} , \bar{S} , and \bar{Q} are the Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensor, and Ricci operator of $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$.

Definition 2. An almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be

$$(1) \text{ conharmonically flat if } K(X, Y)Z = 0, \tag{21}$$

(2) conharmonically semisymmetric if

$$R \cdot K = 0, \tag{22}$$

(3) ϕ -conharmonically flat if

$$g(K(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = 0, \tag{23}$$

(4) quasi-conharmonically flat if

$$g(K(X, Y)Z, \phi W) = 0, \tag{24}$$

(5) ξ -conharmonically flat if

$$K(X, Y)\xi = 0, \tag{25}$$

for all vector fields X, Y , and Z .

Assume that \bar{M} is conharmonically flat K -contact manifold with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation. So, we have $\bar{K}(X, Y)Z = 0$.

Then from (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \\ &\times [\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y + \bar{g}(Y, Z)\bar{Q}X \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{Q}Y]. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Setting $Z = \bar{\xi}$, contracting (26) with W , and using (7), (9), (14), and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2-a)(2n-1-2na)[\eta(Y)\bar{g}(X, W) - \eta(X)\bar{g}(Y, W)] \\ = \bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{S}(X, W) - \bar{\eta}(X)\bar{S}(Y, W). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Taking $Y = \bar{\xi}$ in (27) and using (1), (7), and (16), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(X, W) &= \frac{(2-a)(2n-1-2na)}{a}\bar{g}(X, W) \\ &+ \frac{(2-a)(4na-2n+1)}{a}\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\eta}(W). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Thus, \bar{M} is η -Einstein.

Using (28) in (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= \frac{2(2-a)(2n-1-2na)}{a(2n-1)} \\ &\times [\bar{g}(Y, Z)\bar{g}(X, W) - \bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{g}(Y, W)] \\ &+ \frac{(2-a)(4na-2n+1)}{a(2n-1)} \\ &\times ([\bar{g}(X, W)\bar{\eta}(Y) - \bar{g}(Y, W)\bar{\eta}(X)]\bar{\eta}(Z) \\ &\quad + [\bar{g}(Y, Z)\bar{\eta}(X) - \bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{\eta}(Y)]\bar{\eta}(W)). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

From (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{2(2-a)(2n-1-2na)}{a(2n-1)} \\ &\times [\bar{g}(Y, Z)X - \bar{g}(X, Z)Y] \\ &\quad - \frac{(2-a)(4na-2n+1)}{a(2n-1)} \\ &\times [\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\eta}(Z)Y - \bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{\eta}(Z)X \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{\xi} - \bar{g}(Y, Z)\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\xi}]. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Hence, it reduces to a generalized Sasakian space form with $f_1 = 2(2-a)(2n-1-2na)/a(2n-1)$, $f_2 = 0$, and $f_3 = -(2-a)(4na-2n+1)/a(2n-1)$. Thus, (30) leads to the following.

Theorem 3. *A conharmonically flat K -contact manifold admitting D_a -homothetic deformation reduces to a generalized Sasakian space form with associated functions $f_1 = 2(2-a)(2n-1-2na)/a(2n-1)$, $f_2 = 0$, and $f_3 = -(2-a)(4na-2n+1)/a(2n-1)$.*

Let us now consider a conharmonically semisymmetric K -contact manifold admitting D_a -homothetic deformation. Then the condition

$$\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{K} = 0 \tag{31}$$

holds on \bar{M} for all vector fields X, Y .

From (8), (14), (17), and (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}(\bar{K}(X, Y)Z) &= a^2 [g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)] - \frac{1}{(2n-1)} \\ &\times [(\bar{S}(Y, Z)\bar{\eta}(X) - \bar{S}(X, Z)\bar{\eta}(Y)) \\ &\quad + 2na(2-a)(\bar{g}(Y, Z)\eta(X) - \bar{g}(X, Z)\eta(Y))]. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Setting $Z = \bar{\xi}$, in (32), we get

$$\bar{\eta}(\bar{K}(X, Y)\bar{\xi}) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Again taking $X = \bar{\xi}$ in (32) and using (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}(\bar{K}(\bar{\xi}, Y)Z) &= \frac{-1}{2n-1}\bar{S}(Y, Z) + \left[1 - \frac{2n(2-a)}{2n-1}\right]\bar{g}(Y, Z) \\ &\quad + \left[-1 + \frac{4n(2-a)}{2n-1}\right]\bar{\eta}(Z)\bar{\eta}(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Now, (21) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)\bar{K}(U, V)Z - \bar{K}(\bar{R}(X, Y)U, V)Z \\ - \bar{K}(U, \bar{R}(X, Y)V)Z - \bar{K}(U, V)\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\bar{\xi}, Y) \bar{K}(U, V) Z, \bar{\xi}) - \bar{g}(\bar{K}(\bar{R}(\bar{\xi}, Y) U, V) Z, \bar{\xi}) \\ & - \bar{g}(\bar{K}(U, \bar{R}(\bar{\xi}, Y) V) Z, \bar{\xi}) \\ & - \bar{g}(\bar{K}(U, V) \bar{R}(\bar{\xi}, Y) Z, \bar{\xi}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\bar{K}(U, V, Z, Y) + \eta(\bar{K}(U, V) Z) \eta(Y) \\ & + [g(Y, U) - (a - 1) \eta(U) \eta(Y)] \eta(\bar{K}(\xi, V) Z) \\ & + (a - 2) \eta(U) \eta(\bar{K}(Y, V) Z) \\ & + [g(Y, V) - (a - 1) \eta(V) \eta(Y)] \eta(\bar{K}(U, \xi) Z) \tag{37} \\ & + (a - 2) \eta(V) \eta(\bar{K}(U, Y) Z) \\ & + [g(Y, Z) - (a - 1) \eta(Z) \eta(Y)] \eta(\bar{K}(U, V) \xi) \\ & + (a - 2) \eta(Z) \eta(\bar{K}(U, V) Y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{K}(U, V, Z, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{K}(U, V) Z, Y). \tag{38}$$

Taking $Y = U$ in (37) and making use of (32) and (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\bar{K}(U, V, Z, U) + (a - 1) \eta(U) \eta(\bar{K}(U, V) Z) \\ & + [g(U, U) - (a - 1) \eta(U) \eta(U)] \eta(\bar{K}(\xi, V) Z) \\ & + [g(U, V) - (a - 1) \eta(U) \eta(V)] \eta(\bar{K}(U, \xi) Z) \tag{39} \\ & + (a - 2) \eta(Z) \eta(\bar{K}(U, V) U) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in \bar{M} , then, from (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{K}(e_i, V, Z, e_i) \\ & = (a - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta(e_i) \eta(\bar{K}(e_i, V) Z) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [g(e_i, e_i) - (a - 1) \eta(e_i) \eta(e_i)] \eta(\bar{K}(\xi, V) Z) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [g(e_i, V) - (a - 1) \eta(e_i) \eta(V)] \eta(\bar{K}(e_i, \xi) Z) \\ & + (a - 2) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta(\bar{K}(e_i, V) e_i) \eta(Z). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

From (20), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{K}(e_i, V, Z, e_i) & = \frac{1}{2n - 1} \bar{S}(V, Z) \\ & - \left[1 - \frac{\bar{r} + 2n(2 - a)}{2n - 1} \right] \bar{g}(V, Z) \\ & + \left[1 - \frac{4n(2 - a)}{2n - 1} \right] \bar{\eta}(V) \bar{\eta}(Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta(e_i) \eta(\bar{K}(e_i, V) Z) \\ & = \frac{1 - a^2}{a^2(2n - 1)} \bar{S}(V, Z) \\ & + \left[\frac{a^2 - 1}{a^2} + \frac{2n(2 - a)(1 - a^2)}{a^2(2n - 1)} \right] \bar{g}(V, Z) \\ & + \frac{1 - a^2}{a^2} \bar{\eta}(V) \bar{\eta}(Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [g(e_i, V) - (a - 1) \eta(e_i) \eta(V)] \eta(\bar{K}(e_i, \xi) Z) \\ & = \frac{1}{2n - 1} \bar{S}(V, Z) + \left[-a^2 + \frac{2na^2(2 - a)}{2n - 1} \right] g(V, Z) \\ & + \left[a^2 - \frac{2na(2 - a)(a + 1)(a^2 - a + 1)}{2n - 1} \right] \eta(V) \eta(Z), \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta(\bar{K}(e_i, V) Z) \eta(Z) = \left[\frac{\bar{r}}{2n - 1} + 4n(1 - a) \right] \eta(V) \eta(Z). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Using (41) in (40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (2n + 2 - a) \eta(\bar{K}(\bar{\xi}, V) Z) \\ & = \frac{(a - 1)(a^2 - 1)}{a^2(2n - 1)} \bar{S}(V, Z) \\ & + \left[\frac{\bar{r}}{2n - 1} + \frac{2na^2 - a(1 + 4n) + 1 + 2n}{a^2(2n - 1)} \right] \bar{g}(V, Z) \\ & + \left[\frac{(a - 2)\bar{r}}{a^2(2n - 1)} + \frac{p}{a^2(2n - 1)} \right] \bar{\eta}(V) \bar{\eta}(Z), \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p & = -2na^5 + 2na^4 + 10na^3 + a^2(8n^2 - 18n) \\ & + a(14n - 24n^2 + 1) + 16n^2 - 6n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

In view of (34), (42) yields

$$\bar{S}(V, Z) = -\frac{1}{a^2(2n+1)+1-a} [\alpha \bar{g}(V, Z) + \beta \bar{\eta}(V) \bar{\eta}(Z)], \tag{44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \bar{r}a^2 + 2na^4 + a^3(-4n^2 - 6n - 1) \\ &\quad + a^2(4n^2 + 8n + 2) + a(-1 - 4n) + 1 + 2n, \\ \beta &= (a - 2)\bar{r} - 2na^5 - 2na^4 + a^3(8n^2 + 24n + 1) \\ &\quad + a^2(-4n^2 - 32n - 2) + a(14n - 24n^2 + 1) \\ &\quad + 16n^2 - 6n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Thus, \bar{M} is η -Einstein.

If $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in \bar{M} , then, from (44), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r} &= (-2na^5 + 4n^2a^4 + a^3l + a^2m \\ &\quad + a(-324n^2 + 8n) + 20n^2 + 2n) \\ &\quad \times (1 - 2a^2(2n + 1))^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l &= -8n^3 - 8n^2 + 16n, \\ m &= 8n^3 + 16n^2 - 20n. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

So, we can state the following.

Theorem 4. *In a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional conharmonically semisymmetric K -contact manifold admitting D_a -homothetic deformation, scalar curvature \bar{r} is given by (46).*

Analogous to the definition of ϕ -conharmonically flat K -contact manifolds [8], we define ϕ -conharmonically flat K -contact manifolds with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation. Let us assume that M is a ϕ -conharmonically flat K -contact manifold with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation. It can be easily seen that

$$\bar{g}(\bar{K}(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = 0, \tag{48}$$

where $X, Y, Z, W \in T\bar{M}$.

Using (20), (48) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{g}(\bar{R}(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-1} (\bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z)\bar{g}(\phi X, \phi W) \\ &\quad - \bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Z)\bar{g}(\phi Y, \phi W) \\ &\quad + \bar{S}(\phi X, \phi W)\bar{g}(\phi Y, \phi Z) \\ &\quad - \bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi W)\bar{g}(\phi X, \phi Z)), \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in T\bar{M}$.

If $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in \bar{M} , then $\{\phi e_1, \phi e_2, \dots, \phi e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ is also a local orthonormal basis. So, using (1), (6), (14), (16), and (18), it can be easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{R}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) &= \bar{S}(Y, Z) - ag(Y, Z) \\ &\quad + [a - 2na(2 - a)] \eta(Y) \eta(Z), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{g}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) &= 2n, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{S}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) &= \bar{r} - 2n(2 - a), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi e_i) \bar{g}(\phi e_i, \phi Z) &= \bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

For a local orthonormal basis $\{\phi e_1, \phi e_2, \dots, \phi e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ of vector fields in \bar{M} , putting $X = W = e_i$ in (49) and summing up with respect to $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\phi e_i, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi e_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (\bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z)\bar{g}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) \\ &\quad - \bar{S}(\phi e_i, \phi Z)\bar{g}(\phi Y, \phi e_i) \\ &\quad + \bar{S}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i)\bar{g}(\phi Y, \phi Z) \\ &\quad - \bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi e_i)\bar{g}(\phi e_i, \phi Z)), \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

for all $Y, Z \in T\bar{M}$. The previous equation, in view of (50), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) &= [a(2n - 1) + a(\bar{r} - 2n(2 - a))] \\ &\quad \times [g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y) \eta(Z)], \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

for all $Y, Z \in T\bar{M}$.

Using (2) and (18), (52) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(Y, Z) &= [\bar{r} + 2n - 1 - 2n(2 - a)] \bar{g}(Y, Z) \\ &\quad + [2n(2 - a)(a^2 + 2a - 1) \\ &\quad - (2a - 1)(\bar{r} + 2n - 1)] \bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\eta}(Z). \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Setting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (53), summing up with respect to $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$, and using (19), we obtain

$$\bar{r} = \frac{-2na^3 + a(4n^2 + 8n + 2) - 4n^2 - 6n - 2}{2a - 2n - 1}. \tag{54}$$

Replacing X by ϕX and Y by ϕY in (53) and using (54), we obtain $\bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Y) = (\bar{r} + 2n - 1 - 2n(2 - a))\bar{g}(\phi X, \phi Y)$ for all $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$.

Now using the previous expression in (49), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{R}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) \\ &= \frac{2(\bar{r} + 2n - 1 - 2n(2 - a))}{2n - 1} \\ & \quad \times (\bar{g}(\phi Y, \phi Z)\bar{g}(\phi X, \phi W) - \bar{g}(\phi X, \phi Z)\bar{g}(\phi Y, \phi W)), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in T\bar{M}$.

The converse is obvious. Thus we have the following.

Theorem 5. *A $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional K -contact manifold is ϕ -conharmonically flat with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation if and only if \bar{M} satisfies (55).*

From (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{g}(\bar{K}(X, Y)Z, \phi W) \\ &= \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, \phi W) - \frac{1}{2n - 1} \\ & \quad \times (\bar{S}(Y, Z)\bar{g}(X, \phi W) - \bar{S}(X, Z)\bar{g}(Y, \phi W) \\ & \quad + \bar{S}(X, \phi W)\bar{g}(Y, Z) - \bar{S}(Y, \phi W)\bar{g}(X, \phi Z)), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in T\bar{M}$.

Suppose that M is quasi-conharmonically flat K -contact manifold with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation; that is,

$$\bar{g}(\bar{K}(X, Y)Z, \phi W) = 0. \quad (57)$$

Then (56) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, \phi W) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} (\bar{S}(Y, Z)\bar{g}(X, \phi W) - \bar{S}(X, Z)\bar{g}(Y, \phi W) \\ & \quad + \bar{S}(X, \phi W)\bar{g}(Y, Z) - \bar{S}(Y, \phi W)\bar{g}(X, \phi Z)). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

For a local orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ of vector fields in \bar{M} , putting $X = \phi e_i$ and $W = e_i$ in (58) and summing up with respect to $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{R}(\phi e_i, Y, Z, \phi e_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (\bar{S}(Y, Z)\bar{g}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) \\ & \quad - \bar{S}(\phi e_i, Z)\bar{g}(Y, \phi e_i) \\ & \quad + \bar{S}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i)\bar{g}(Y, Z) \\ & \quad - \bar{S}(Y, \phi e_i)\bar{g}(\phi e_i, Z)). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Using (2), (10), (14), and (17) in (59), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(Y, Z) &= [\bar{r} + 2n - 1 - 2n(2 - a)]\bar{g}(Y, Z) \\ & \quad - (2n - 1)\bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{\eta}(Z). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Taking $Z = \bar{\xi}$ and using (1) and (17), we obtain

$$\bar{r} = 2n(2 - a)(a + 1), \quad (61)$$

and using (61) in (19), we obtain

$$r = \frac{4n}{a}. \quad (62)$$

Hence, we can state the following.

Theorem 6. *Let M be a K -contact manifold. Suppose that \bar{M} is obtained from M by D_a -homothetic deformation. If \bar{M} is quasi-conharmonically flat, then the scalar curvatures \bar{r} and r of \bar{M} and M are, respectively, given by (61) and (62).*

Suppose that \bar{M} is ξ -conharmonically flat. Then from (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)\bar{\xi} &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} \\ & \quad \times (2na(2 - a)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \\ & \quad + [\bar{Q}X\bar{\eta}(Y) - \bar{Q}Y\bar{\eta}(X)]). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Contracting the above equation with respect to W , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{R}(X, Y, \bar{\xi}, W) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} (2na(2 - a)[\eta(Y)\bar{g}(X, W) - \eta(X)\bar{g}(Y, W)] \\ & \quad + [\bar{S}(X, W)\bar{\eta}(Y) - \bar{S}(Y, W)\bar{\eta}(X)]), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in T\bar{M}$.

For a local orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, \bar{\xi}\}$ of vector fields in \bar{M} , using (64), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{R}(e_i, Y, \bar{\xi}, e_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (2na(2 - a)[\eta(Y)\bar{g}(e_i, e_i) - \eta(e_i)\bar{g}(Y, e_i)] \\ & \quad + [\bar{S}(e_i, e_i)\bar{\eta}(Y) - \bar{S}(Y, e_i)\bar{\eta}(e_i)]). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Therefore,

$$2n\bar{S}(Y, \bar{\xi}) = [4n^2(2 - a) + \bar{r}]\bar{\eta}(Y). \quad (66)$$

Using (17) in (66), we obtain

$$\bar{r} = 0. \quad (67)$$

Taking $Y = \bar{\xi}$ in (64) and using (10), (14), and (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(X, W) &= [2na - 4n - 1] \bar{g}(X, W) \\ &+ [6n - 4na + 1] \bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\eta}(W). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

From this we can conclude that M is η -Einstein. Thus, we have the following.

Theorem 7. *A D_a -Homothetically deformed ξ -conharmonically flat K -contact manifold is η -Einstein and its scalar curvature vanishes.*

5. D_a -Homothetic Deformation of ξ -Weyl Projectively Flat K -Contact Manifolds

Suppose that, in a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional K -contact manifold M with D_a -homothetic deformation, the Ricci tensor vanishes; that is,

$$\bar{S}(X, Y) = 0. \quad (69)$$

Then from (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(Y, Z) &= (a - 1) (3g(\phi Y, \phi Z) - (1 + a) g(Y, Z) \\ &+ [2n(a - 1) + 1 + a] \eta(Y) \eta(Z)). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

The Weyl projective curvature tensor of M is given by [16]

$$W(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n} [S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y]. \quad (71)$$

If $W(X, Y)\xi = 0$, then (71) reduces to

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \frac{1}{2n} [S(Y, \xi)X - S(X, \xi)Y]. \quad (72)$$

Using (70) in (72), we obtain

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (a - 1)^2 [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]. \quad (73)$$

The Weyl projective curvature tensor of \bar{M} with respect to D_a -homothetic deformation is given by

$$\bar{W}(X, Y)Z = \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n} [\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y]. \quad (74)$$

Now using (14) and (69) in (74), we get

$$\bar{W}(X, Y)\bar{\xi} = R(X, Y)\bar{\xi} - \frac{(a - 1)^2}{a} [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]. \quad (75)$$

From (73), the (75) reduces to

$$\bar{W}(X, Y)\bar{\xi} = 0. \quad (76)$$

Thus, we can state the following.

Theorem 8. *Let \bar{M} be obtained from a K -contact manifold M by D_a -homothetic deformation. If the Ricci tensor of \bar{M} vanishes, then it is ξ -Weyl projectively flat.*

References

- [1] S. Tanno, "The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds," *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 12, pp. 700–717, 1968.
- [2] A. Carriazo and V. Martín-Molina, "Generalized (κ, μ) -space forms and D_a -homothetic deformations," *Balkan Journal of Geometry and its Applications*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 37–47, 2011.
- [3] U. C. De and S. Ghosh, " D -homothetic deformation of normal almost contact metric manifolds," *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 1514–1530, 2013.
- [4] C. S. Bagewadi and Venkatesha, "Some curvature tensors on a trans-Sasakian manifold," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 111–121, 2007.
- [5] U. C. De, R. N. Singh, and S. K. Pandey, "On the conharmonic curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-space-forms," *ISRN Geometry*, vol. 2012, Article ID 876276, 14 pages, 2012.
- [6] Z. Guo, "Conformally symmetric K -contact manifolds," *Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 5–10, 1992.
- [7] G. Zhen, J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernández, and M. Fernández, "On ξ -conformally flat contact metric manifolds," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 725–734, 1997.
- [8] J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernández, M. Fernández, and Z. Guo, "The structure of a class of K -contact manifolds," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 331–340, 1999.
- [9] M. K. Dwivedi, L. M. Fernández, and M. M. Tripathi, "The structure of some classes of contact metric manifolds," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 295–304, 2009.
- [10] M. M. Tripathi and M. K. Dwivedi, "The structure of some classes of K -contact manifolds," *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences*, vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 371–379, 2008.
- [11] M. K. Dwivedi and J.-S. Kim, "On conharmonic curvature tensor in K -contact and Sasakian manifolds," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 171–180, 2011.
- [12] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, vol. 509 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1976.
- [13] M. C. Chaki and M. Tarafdar, "On a type of Sasakian manifold," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 23–28, 1990.
- [14] J. A. Oubiña, "New classes of almost contact metric structures," *Publicaciones Mathematicae Debrecen*, vol. 32, no. 3-4, pp. 187–193, 1985.
- [15] Y. Ishii, "On conharmonic transformations," *The Tensor Society*, vol. 7, pp. 73–80, 1957.
- [16] R. S. Mishra, *Structures on a Differentiable Manifold and Their Applications*, Chandrama Prakashan, 50-A, Bairampur House, Allahabad, India, 1984.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

