Abundance of Indian Grey Mongoose *Herpestes edwardsii* (É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1818) (Carnivora: Herpestidae) in the Bengaluru region S. Rajashekara¹ and M.G. Venkatesha²

Abstract

Indian Grey Mongoose, Herpestes edwardsii is common throughout the Indian subcontinent. To investigate the abundance of this species, a study was conducted in different urban locations of the Bengaluru, Karnataka State of South India. A significant difference was found among the relative percentage abundance of mongooses in various study locations. The highest abundance of mongoose was recorded from prohibited places, mostly in the rural and suburban regions, and the least in the urban region depending upon the availability of food and protected shelter in the reed beds and thickets of aquatic vegetation, particularly during the breeding season. They usually breed on the ground and need shelter from direct sunrays as well as potential predators during this period. This mongoose species also prefers shelter in the reed beds and thickets of aquatic vegetation and the suburban and rural region for diurnal activities.

Introduction

The Indian Grey Mongoose (Herpestidae: Carnivora: Mammalia) are the best known inhabitants of the urban ecosystem (Sinclair *et al.* 2006) and considered as a keystone species of inhabited areas (Simberloff 1998). Decreasing population of various mammalian species in most parts of the world nowadays, especially in urban areas, is of particular concern as many cities are developing rapidly both in area and population ignoring the conservation issues.

Indian Grey or Common Mongoose Herpestes edwardsii (É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1818) belonging to the order Carnivora and family Herpestidae, is also of the acquainted species ubiquitously



Fig 1. Indian Grey Mongoose is alert and attentive towards their habitat disturbances in the Byadarahalli site of Bengaluru region

living in and around human habitations (Sharma 2009; Choudhury *et al.* 2011). They are active predators and constitute an important terminal link in the food chain and form natural population regulators of the invertebrate species that they feed on (Corbet & Hill 1992; Kalle *et al.* 2012).

The Indian Grey Mongoose are found in varying types of habitats, from cultivated lands, open lands, scrub jungles, mountain forests to the arid desert and the plains and even up to 2,135 m in the Himalayas (Prater 1971; Veron et al. 2004; 2007; Menon 2014). They have also been recorded from the disturbed areas, dry secondary forests, and thorn forests (Shekhar 2003). They live in hedgerows and thickets, among bushes lying up in a hollow in the base of a tree trunk or digging a hole for itself in the ground (Prater 1971; Kalle et al. 2012; Murali et al. 2012). The Indian Grey Mongoose is native to Afghanistan, Bahrain, Bhutan, India, Indonesia, Iran (Karami et al. 2008), Islamic Republic of Kuwait, Malaysia, Nepal (Dahal & Dahal 2011),

Pakistan (Roberts 1977; Sheikh & Molur 2004), Saudi Arabia and Sri Lanka, and has been introduced in Mauritius (main island) (Lever 1985, Wilson & Reeder 1993) and Japan (Nansei-shoto) (Corbet & Hill 1980, 1992; Wells 1989; Wozencraft 2005; Choudhury *et al.* 2011).

Literature Review

Although distributions of mongoose species in some locations of India have been recorded (Pocock 1939, 1941; Johnsingh 1986; Tehsin & Chawra 1994; Yoganand & Kumar 1995; Kumar & Umapathy 1999; Kumar & Yoganand 1999; Nameer *et al.* 2001; Sharma 2005; Kumara 2007; Kumara & Singh 2007;

Photos by S. Rajashekara

^{1&2} Department of Studies in Zoology, Bangalore University, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bengaluru, Karnataka. Present address: ¹School of Natural Sciences, Department of Biological Sciences, Bangalore University, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bengaluru, Karnataka. Email: rajachandra3908@yahoo.co.in

(Corresponding author)



Fig 2. Map of Bengaluru region showing locations of the study sites. Courtesy: www.GoogleEarth.com



Fig 3. Number of *Herpestes edwardsii* in different study locations of the Bengaluru region



Fig 4. Percentage abundance of *Herpestes edwardsii* in different study locations of the Bengaluru region

Neginhal 2008; Pillay 2009; Sharma 2009; Sharma *et al.* 2009; Gupta 2011; Maurya *et al.* 2011; Rajpurohit *et al.* 2011; Murali *et al.* 2012; Babu *et al.* 2013; Kalle *et al.* 2012, 2013; Srinivas *et al.* 2013; Kumara *et al.* 2014; David *et al.* 2015), their distribution and abundance have not been thoroughly studied in the urban areas.

Significance of the study

The Indian Grey Mongoose are abundant in the Bengaluru region in the earlier decades. Of late, their population has been declining alarmingly in the region. As no valid documents are available, a study was piloted to know the abundance of this mongoose species in different locations in and around the Bengaluru region.

In order to develop efficient conservation and recovery strategies, wildlife and conservation biologists need to understand and evaluate various threats confronting mammalian populations. The estimation of Indian Grey Mongoose population can now be made for individually identified locations and relative abundance indices can be calculated.

Study area

Bengaluru, the capital city of Karnataka State which is located in the heart of South Deccan of Peninsular India. This region lies between latitudinal parallels 12° 39' - 13° 18' N and longitudinal parallels 77° 22' - 77° 52' E at elevation range of 839-962 m asl (http://www.ces.iisc.ernet.in/ energy/wetlands/sarea.html). Over 9 million people occupy about 2,191 km² (http://

ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy/TR86/ intro.html) of the metropolitan area (Census of India 2011). An average maximum and minimum temperature is 36° and 14° C respectively, with rainfall an average of 800 mm and humidity range is 35-80% in Bengaluru city. Three main seasons are winter (December to February), summer (March to May) and monsoon (June to November). Dominant vegetation of the Bengaluru region is dry deciduous forests and thorny scrub, with patches of moist deciduous forests along the streams.

 Table 1. Distance, number of trails laid and walking transects used for the sampling of Herpestes edwardsii

 mongooses in different locations of the Bengaluru region

Study locations	Distance (in km)	Coordinates of locations	No. of trails laid	Walking transects (km) length
Anekal*	40	12°42'36.54"N 77°41'16.62"E	12	14
Attibele*	35	12°46'27.29"N 77°46'19.76"E	10	12
Bannerghatta National Park	22	12°48'8.39"N 77°34'27.18"E	10	12
Beguru•	12	12°52'38.93"N 77°37'33.78"E	8	6
Byadarahalli•	14	12°59'7.40"N 77°28'43.99"E	2	4
Central Railway Station*	0	12°58'37.37"N 77°34'10.49"E	0	0
Chandapura*	28	12°48'16.26"N 77°42'16.51"E	10	12
Chikkajhala•	18	13°10'25.41"N 77°38'4.35"E	8	14
Devanahalli*	39	13°14'53.59"N 77°42'32.14"E	12	10
Gottigere [•]	17	12°51'6.77"N 77°35'20.47"E	7	10
Gouripalya, Anekal*	36	12°43'16.44"N 77°40'56.12"E	6	8
Haragadde*	32	12°45'39.05"N 77°39'12.22"E	9	12
Hebbala•	8	13°2'38.73"N 77°35'10.94"E	2	4
Hesaraghatta*	28	13°8'33.04"N 77°29'9.38"E	10	12
Hoskote*	27	13°3'57.29"N 77°46'20.73"E	11	15
Jigani *	28	12°46'44.04"N 77°39'0.31"E	11	15
Kaggalipura•	23	12°47'58.26"N 77°30'38.37"E	8	14
Kengeri◆	18	12°54'3.26"N 77°28'47.58"E	6	12
Kethohalli*	28	12°54'33.36"N 77°23'45.63"E	9	12
Krishnarajapuram•	15	13° 0'27.56"N 77°41'34.42"E	5	10
Lalbagh Botanical Garden*	5	12°56'45.62"N 77°34'52.61"E	2	4
Lord Cubbon Park*	3	12°58'18.74"N 77°35'24.60"E	2	4
Machohalli*	18	12°59'37.38"N 77°27'24.86"E	6	12
Mallathhalli	18	12°57'52.57"N 77°29'47.50"E	6	12
Muthyalamaduvu*	45	12°41'9.71"N 77°39'52.16"E	12	12
Nelamangala*	28	13°5'13.22"N 77°24'53.35"E	6	10
Sarjapura*	40	12°51'38.53"N 77°47'10.56"E	10	12
Somanahalli*	28	12°46'9.56"N 77°30'12.08"E	8	8
Tavarekere*	25	12°57'55.17"N 77°24'8.63"E	6	8
Thippagondanahalli*	40	12°57'17.60"N 77°20'9.43"E	8	10
Varthuru*	34	12°57'5.91"N 77°44'42.67"E	6	8
Yelahanka•	15	13°6'25.05"N 77°35'47.28"E	5	10

*Central city region, *Urban region, *Suburban region, *Rural region.

Study Locations

Study locations were selected on the basis of the various vehicular movement and human population (anthropogenic pressure) in the four principal directions of the Bengaluru region (Figure 2). There are three locations in the central part viz. Central Railway Station (CRS), Lalbagh Botanical Garden and Lord Cubbon Park with heavy vehicular traffic and densely populated city regions within a radius of 0-5 km from CRS; five urban locations with high vehicular traffic, densely populated areas and less greenery (Beguru, Byadarahalli, Hebbala, Krishnarajapuram and Yelahanka) within a radius of

5-15 km from the CRS; eight suburban locations (Bannerghatta National Park-outer region, Chikkajhala, Gottigere, Kaggalipura, Kengeri, Machohalli, Mallathhalli and Tavarekere) with moderate vehicular traffic, populated areas and greenery within a radius of 15-25 km from the CRS, and sixteen rural locations (Anekal, Attibele, Chandapura, Devanahalli, Gouripalya, Haragadde, Hesaraghatta, Hoskote, Jigani, Kethohalli, Muthyalamaduvu, Nelamangala, Sarjapura, Somanahalli, Thippagondanahalli and Varthuru) comprising agricultural lands and scrub deciduous vegetation with less vehicular traffic, less populated



Fig 5. Indian Grey Mongoose caring their young ones and alerting him/her in its behavioral activities in the Byadarahalli site of Bengaluru region

and more greenery, within a radius of 25-45 km from the CRS (Table 1).

Materials and methods

The identified ecological niches were regularly monitored during daytime (the species being a diurnal forager from sunrise to sunset, i.e. 06.00 h to 18.00 h) in month of June for three years, spending 90 person-days (13 weeks and approximately 540 h). The species was recorded only by direct sighting or head counting method (Sharma 2005). The encounter rate is calculated on the basis of number of times the species encountered (number of individuals recorded divided by the total number of individuals). During the transect walk, for every sighting of the individuals, the number of trails laid for sampling and line transect sampling length (km) were collected (Table 1).

The study was conducted in June 2012, June 2013 and June 2014 consecutively in different locations in and around the Bengaluru region. Various types of vegetation were identified up to the species level at urban locations of the Bengaluru region exactly where survey of mongoose was made to understand their habitats (Ramaswamy & Razi 1973). Moreover, regular field observations were also made on the shelter habitats, feeding habitats and food sources. Behavioral observations such as foraging, communication between them, finding shelters, avoiding predators, parental care (guarding the young ones), conflict between them, and human interferences made by several workers (Sharma 2005; Shekhar 2008; Kumara et al. 2014). Moreover, the species was accurately identified by collation of a series of photographs taken by a digital camera (Olympus FEE-330 (Olympus Imaging Corporation, China)) from different angles, showing the animal in varied postures and behavior as proposed by Kumara et al. (2014). The total number of individuals recorded in a particular study site are estimated by the counting of individual mongoose in

an identified location and presented in the form of true number without the standard deviation or standard error of mean. Based on this, the percentage of individuals over all the individuals in a particular location has been estimated. Finally, data on the occurrence and percentage abundance of mongooses at different study locations were statistically analyzed using chi-square test using PAST version 1.60 software (Hammer *et al.* 2001). The difference in the number of mongooses in the different location wise and year wise was analyzed using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) - Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test (HSD) (SPSS Inc 2008).

Results and Discussion

Observations made on the number and percentage abundance of *Herpestes edwardsii* in different study locations of the Bengaluru region are given in Figures 3 and 4 respectively. During the study period, the highest number of mongooses was recorded in Anekal, Bannerghatta National Park (mainly outer region) and Hoskote (8 nos. each) and lowest at Lord Cubbon Park (1 no.). Similarly, the highest percentage abundance of *Herpestes edwardsii* was recorded in and around the Bannerghatta National Park (mainly outer region) (7.19%) (prohibited places), Anekal (rural region) and Hoskote (suburban region) (6.54% each), and the least at Lord Cubbon



Fig 6. Indian Grey Mongoose searching for food sources in the solid (burnt) waste in the Byadarahalli site of Bengaluru region

Park (0.33%) (urban region). *Herpestes edwardsii* preferred degraded forests and regions with high canopy cover as reported by Kalle *et al.* (2013). This species clearly indicated highly suitable sites in open scrub forests avoiding the dense regions of the National Park. They may indicate that it has a wider tolerance to disturbance than species occupying similar niches, and therefore can reach higher populations in degraded forest (Kalle *et al.* 2013). A significant difference was found among the percentage abundance of mongooses (χ^2 =703.5139, df=30, χ^2 30(0.01)=50.892) in various urban locations of the Bengaluru region. Similarly, a

significant difference was observed in the three years (2012-2014) during the study period (Tukey HSD, F_{2} , $g_2=3.287$, P<0.05). Also, a significant difference was recorded in the number of mongooses (Tukey HSD, $F_{30,62}=11.216$, P<0.05) of different landscapes in Bengaluru region during the study period. The lowest percentage abundance of mongooses at Lord Cubbon Park could be owing to high disturbance as they are located within the city limit. These regions experience the high anthropogenic activities, more vehicular traffic, and less availability of food sources and shelter in the vicinity. Shekhar (2003) also reported migration of the mongooses from such disturbed forests in the Bengaluru region.

On the contrary, in the suburban and rural region, the highest number of mongooses were found only in the fringe regions of Bannerghatta National Park (mainly outer region) and Hoskote (suburban region), and Anekal (rural region). The availability of plenty of food sources such as fruits and roots of some plants, insects, scorpions, crabs, centipedes, frogs, geckos, lizards, snakes, birds (especially small chickens), eggs of ground nesting bird species, rats, mice, rabbits, and carrion of some freshly dead animals in addition to suitable nesting sites could be important factors for the high abundance of mongoose in these regions.

Sixteen species of aquatic plants such as alligator weeds (Alternanthera philoxeroides and A. sessilis), water hyssop (Bacopa monnieri), colocasia (Colocasia esculenta), Centella asiatica, jointed flatsedge (Cyperus articulatus), grasses (Cynodon dactylon), dwarf morning-glory (Evolvulus alsinoides), water hyacinth (Eichornia crassipes), water lily (Nymphaea nouchali), castor (Ricinus communis), milk weed plant (Calotropis gigantea and C. procera), Swamp morning-glory (Ipomoea aquatica), reed-mace or cattail (Typha angustata), and tape grass (Vallisneria spiralis) were recorded in some wetlands (Anekal, Chandapura, Lalbagh Botanical Garden, Gottigere, Hebbala, Hesaraghatta, Hoskote, Nelamangala, Kaggalipura, Kengeri, Machohalli, Mallathhalli, Gouripalya, Somanahalli, Thippagondanahalli and Varthuru) of the Bengaluru region during the study period. Mallick (2012) recorded 19 species of vegetation in periurban and non-forest inland freshwater wetlands (Howrah, North 24-Parganas and South 24-Parganas districts) in southern West Bengal, Eastern India as reported for other species of mongoose (*H. auropunctatus*) in which the presently recorded eight species (Alternanthera philoxeroides, Bacopa monnieri, Centella asiatica, Colocasia esculenta, Cynodon dactylon, Eichornia crassipes, Ipomoea aquatica and Nymphaea nouchali) were included. These plants serve as the habitat of Herpestes edwardsii populations and provide shelter

where they can easily hide and hunt for prey. Nonetheless, there is no canopy tree in and around the wetlands as reported for other species of mongoose (*H. auropunctatus*) by earlier (Mallick 2012).

The number of individuals of Indian Grey Mongoose encountered is also more in the suburban and rural habitat for diurnal shelter in the reed beds and thickets of above mentioned aquatic plants, which are also their hunting grounds in urban region as reported for other species of mongoose (H. auropunctatus) by earlier (Mallick 2012). It takes nighttime shelter in the separate burrow on the slope of slender mud-bank. It is a potential predator that forages inside a stable small range around the wetland in search of prey (primarily small fish, aquatic insects and secondarily amphibians, reptiles, birds and small mammals). Nevertheless, the Indian Grey Mongoose is a diurnal species, it is a very cautious animal, generally hiding in the aquatic vegetation and avoid the human interventions in urbanized region as reported for other species of mongoose (*H. auropunctatus*) by Mallick (2012).

Mongoose was sighted, foraging near small bush vegetation of a particular location. Although they were observed in various locations within the suburban region, nests and resting sites were seen only in small thickets of shrub vegetation such as Lantana camara and Ricinus communis of Byadarahalli site in the Bengaluru region, kitchen wastes, unused vegetation near the garden houses, agricultural farms, in the tree holes of shrub vegetation and adjacent wetlands during monitoring as reported for other species of mongoose (H. auropunctatus) by Mallick (2012). In the city region, solitary mongoose was usually found moving near the municipal wastes. It was noticed that most mongooses were sighted usually during their diurnal activities such as walking, foraging and searching for food sources, communication between them when they are in a pair and other human disturbances in different locations of Bengaluru region.

Conclusion

Mongooses have become more and more threatened due to increasing anthropogenic factors and it is listed in Schedule II part II of the Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972. The IUCN Red List status of the Indian Grey Mongooses *Herpestes edwardsii* is Least Concern (Choudhury *et al.* 2011).

To assess wildlife population trends of this mongoose species, scientifically based monitoring programs must be carried out. Thus, small mammals form an integral component of forest animal communities, contributing to energy flow and nutrient cycling. More extensive study on the time budget, seasonal activities, ecology and ethology, as well as conservation strategies of *Herpestes edwardsii* recommended in the urban regions of Bengaluru city.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Y.N. Seetharama, School of Natural Sciences, Department of Biological Sciences, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bangalore University, for identifying plant species. I am also grateful to all the respondents to discussions, and local peoples, who helped in conducting this study at various locations or provided field information to update information on the Indian Grey Mongoose.

References

Babu, S., G. Srinivas, H.N. Kumara, K. Tamilarasu & S. Molur (2013). Mammals of the Meghamalai landscape, southern Western Ghats, India – a review. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 5(15): 4945-4952; http://dx.doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o3596.4945-52.

Census of India. (2011). Provisional Population Totals: Cities having population 1 lakh and above (PDF). Government of India. Retrieved 2011-11-29.

Choudhury, A., C. Wozencraft, D. Muddapa, P. Yonzon, A. Jennings & V. Geraldine (2011). *Herpestes edwardsii*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.2. International Union for Conservation of Nature. (http:// www.iucnredlist.org/details/41611). Downloaded on 18 January 2012.

Corbet, G.B. & J.E. Hill (1980). World list of mammalian species. British Museum, London, UK.

Corbet, G.B. & J.E. Hill (1992). The Mammals of the Indo-Malayan Region: A Systematic Review. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Dahal, S. & D.R. Dahal (2011). Trapping of fishing cat in Chitwan National Park, Nepal. CAT News 55: 10-11.

David, J.P., R. Manakadan & T. Ganesh (2015).

Frugivory and seed dispersal by birds and mammals in the coastal tropical dry evergreen forests of southern India: A review. *Tropical Ecology* 56(1): 41-55.

Gupta, S. (2011). Ecology of medium and small sized carnivores in Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan, India. Ph.D Dissertation, Saurashtra University, 156p.

Hammer, Ø., D.A.T. Harper & P.D. Ryan (2001). PAST: Palentological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 4: 9.

Johnsingh, A.J.T. (1986). Diversity and conservation of carnivores in India. *Proceeding of Indian Academy of Sciences Supplement* 73-89.

Kalle, R., T. Ramesh, Q. Qureshi. & K.

Sankar (2012). Diet of mongoose in Mudumalai Tiger Reserve, southern India. *Journal of Scientific Transactions in Environment and Technovation* 6: 44-51. Kalle, R., T. Ramesh, Q. Qureshi. & K.

Sankar (2013). Predicting the Distribution Pattern of Small Carnivores in Response to Environmental Factors in the Western Ghats. *PLoS ONE* 8(11): e79295; <u>http://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079295</u>.

Karami, M., R. Hutterer, P. Benda, R. Siahsarvie & B. Kryštufek (2008). Iranu KSS Annotated check-list of the mammals of Iran. *Lynx (Praha)* 39(1): 63–102.

Kumar, A. & G. Umapathy (1999). Home range and habitat use by Indian Grey Mongoose and Small Indian Civets in Nilgiri Biosphere, India. In: Hussain, S.A. (ed.) *ENVIS Bulletin: Wildlife and Protected Areas. Mustelids, viverrids and herpestids of India*. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehra Dun, India, 87–91 pp.

Kumar, A. & K. Yoganand (1999). Distribution and abundance of small carnivores in Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve, India. In: Hussain, S.A. (ed.) *ENVIS Bulletin: Wildlife and Protected Areas. Mustelids, viverrids and herpestids of India*. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehra Dun, India, 74–86 pp.

Kumara, H.N. (2007). Impact of local hunting on abundance of large mammals in three protected areas of the Western Ghats, Karnataka. Technical Report submitted to Rufford Maurice Laing Foundation, UK.

Kumara, H.N. & M. Singh (2007). Small Carnivores of Karnataka: Distribution and Sight Records. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 104: 155-162.

Kumara, K.N., O. Thorat, K. Santhosh, R. Sasi & H.P. Ashwin (2014). Small Carnivores of Biligiri Rangaswamy Temple Tiger Reserve, Karnataka, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 6(12): 6534–6543; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.11609/JoTT.03766.6534-43.

Lever, C. (1985). *Naturalized mammals of the world*. Long-man, London, UK.

Mallick, J.K. (2012). New Record and Extension of North-Eastern Range of Endemic Bengal Mongoose *Herpestes palustris* Ghose, 1965 in southern West Bengal, India. *Journal on New Biological Reports* 1(2): 47-60.

Maurya, K.K., I.P. Bopanna, S. Dutta & Y.V. Jhala (2011). Impacts of roads on Small Mammals in the Agro-Pastoral Landscape of Kachchh, Gujarat. In: Dahal, S. & S. Thapa (Eds.), Proceedings of Second Seminar on Small Mammals Issues. Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation, New Baneshwor, Kathmandu, Nepal, 46-60p.

Menon, V. (2014). *Indian mammals: A field guide*. Hachette Book Publishing India Private Limited, Gurgaon, India, 528pp.

Murali K.C., S. Ramachandran & P. Mutthulingam (2012). An observation of Indian Grey Mongoose *Herpestes edwardsii* mating. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 47: 75–76.

Nameer, P.O., S. Molur & S. Walker (2001). Mammals of Western Ghats: a simplistic overview. *Zoo's Print Journal* 16: 629–639.

Neginhal, S.G. (2008). Forest and Biodiversity. In: State of Environment Report Bangalore 2008. Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment, Environmental Management & Policy Research Institute, Government of Karnataka, Bangalore, 5-40pp.

Pillay, R. (2009). Observations of small carnivores in the southern Western Ghats, India. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 40: 36–40.

Pocock, R.I. (1939). *The Fauna of British India, Including Ceylon and Burma. Mammalia*, Volume I. Taylor and Francis Limited, London, 463pp.

Pocock, R.I. (1941). *The Fauna of British India, Including Ceylon and Burma. Mammalia*, Volumes II. Taylor and Francis Limited, London, 503pp.

Prater, S.H. (1971). *The Book of Indian Animals.* Bombay Natural History Society. Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 324pp.

Rajpurohit, L.S., G. Sharma, P. Vijay & C. Ram (2011). Status of five species of predators in Thar Desert, Jodhpur District, Rajasthan (India). *Zoo's Print Journal* 26(8): 18-21.

Ramaswamy, S.V. & B.A. Razi (1973). Flora of Bangalore District. University of Mysore, Mysore.

Roberts, T.J. (1977). *The Mammals of Pakistan*. Ernest Benn, London, UK.

Sharma, G. (2005). Unusual Nocturnal Activity of a Grey Mongoose *Herpestes edwardsii* in Bandipur Tiger Reserve, Karnataka. *Zoo's Print Journal* 20(7): 21.

Sharma, G. (2009). Scavenging by Common Mongoose in Gulab Bagh Zoo and Sajjangarh WL Sanctuary. *Zoo's Print Journal* 24(11): RNI: 11:2.

Sharma, G., S.K. Sharma & S. Sharma (2009). Notes on Mammalian fauna of Rajasthan. *Zoo's Print Journal* 18(4): 1085-1088.

Sheikh, K.M. & S. Molur (2004). (Eds.) *Status and Red List of Pakistan's Mammals*. Based on the Conservation Assessment and Management Plan, IUCN Pakistan, 312pp.

Shekhar, K.S. (2008). Behavioural notes on mongoose species from Central India. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 38: 37.

Shekhar, S.K. (2003). The status of mongooses in central India. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 29: 22–23.

Simberloff, D. (1998). Flagships, umbrellas, and keystones: is single-species management in the landscape era? *Biological Conservation* 83: 247-257; doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(97)00081-5.

Sinclair, A.R.E., J.M. Fryxell & G. Caughley (2006). *Wildlife ecology, conservation, and management.* 2nd edition, Blackwell Publishing, USA.

SPSS Inc. (2008). SPSS for windows, Rel. 17.0.0. SPSS Inc, Chicago.

Srinivas, G., S. Babu, H.N. Kumara & S. Molur. (2013). Mammals of Highway environs. In: Assessing the status and distribution of large mammals in Highway and its environs, Southern Western Ghats, Technical Report submitted to CEPF-ATREE Small Grants and Rufford Small Grants. Coimbatore, India.

Tehsin, R. & S.S. Chawra (1994). Albino Common Mongoose *Herpestes edwardsii* Geoffroy sighted near Udaipur. *Journal of Bombay Natural History Society* 91(2): 304–305.

Veron, G., M. Colyn, A.E. Dunham, P. Taylor & P. Gaubert (2004). Molecular systematics and origin of sociality in mongooses (Herpestidae, Carnivora). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 30: 582–598; doi:10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00229-X.

Veron, G., M.-L. Patou, G. Pothet, D. Simberloff & A.P. Jennings (2007). Systematic status and biogeography of the Javan and Small Indian Mongooses (Herpestidae, Carnivora). *Zoologica Scripta* 36: 1–10; doi:10.1111/j. 1463-6409.2006.00261.x.

Wells, D.R. (1989). Notes on the distribution and taxonomy of peninsular Malaysian mongooses (*Herpestes*). *Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society* 37: 87-97.

Wilson, D.E. & D.M. Reeder (1993). *Mammal species of the world*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

Wozencraft, W.C. (2005). Order Carnivora, pp. 532-628. In: Wilson D.E. & D.M. Reeder (eds.). Mammal Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic reference. 3rd edition. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC, USA.

Yoganand, T.R.K. & A. Kumar (1995). The distributions of small carnivores in the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve, southern India: a preliminary report. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 13: 1–2.



Photo Credit: Arnab Roy