# Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions Whose Certain Differential Polynomials Have Two Pseudo Common Values 

Harina P. Waghamore and A. Tanuja<br>Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore560 001, India<br>Email: pree.tam@rediffmail.com; a.tanuja1@gmail.com

Received 15 February 2012
Accepted 8 October 2012

Communicated by C.C. Yang

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 30D35
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, by meromorphic function we will always mean meromorphic function in complex plane. We adopt the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic function as explained in [2], [9] and [10]. It will be convenient to let $E$ denote any set of positive real numbers of finite linear measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For a non-constant meromorphic function $h$, we denote by $T(r, h)$ the Nevanlinna characteristic of $h$ and by $S(r, h)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, h)=o\{T(r, h)\}$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \notin E$.

Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $a$ be a value in the extended plane. We say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ CM, provided that $f$ and $g$ have the same $a$-points with the same multiplicities. We say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ IM, provided that $f$ and $g$ have the same $a$-points
ignoring multiplicities (see [10]). We say that $a$ is a small function of $f$, if $a$ is a meromorphic function satisfying $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$ (see [10]). Let $l$ be a positive integer or $\infty$. Next we denote by $E_{l)}(a ; f)$ the set of those $a$-points of $f$ in the complex plane, where each point is of multiplicity $\leq l$ and counted according to its multiplicity. By $\bar{E}_{l)}(a ; f)$ we denote the reduced form of $E_{l)}(a ; f)$. If $\bar{E}_{l)}(a ; f)=\bar{E}_{l)}(a ; g)$, we say that $a$ is a $l$-order pseudo common value of $f$ and $g$ (see [3]). Obviously, if $E_{\infty)}(a ; f)=E_{\infty)}(a ; g)\left(\bar{E}_{\infty)}(a ; f)=\bar{E}_{\infty)}(a ; g) r e s p.\right)$, then $f$ and $g$ share $a$ CM (IM, resp.).

Recall that S.S. Bhoosmurmath and R.S. Davanal [2]in 2007 proved the following two theorems. Also, it is noted that the problem of meromphic function having three weighted values and some examples of best possible of the above results were given in [4].

Theorem 1.1. [2] Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n>3 k+8$. If $\left[f^{n}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}\right]^{(k)}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}, g(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$ where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are three constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}(n c)^{2 k}=1$ or $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n}=1$.

Theorem 1.2. [2] Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions satisfying $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{3}{n+1}$ and let $n$, $k$ be two positive integers with $n>3 k+13$. If $\left[f^{n}(f-1)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)\right]^{(k)}$ share $1 C M$, then $f \equiv g$.

In 2010, P. Sahoo [5] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. [5] Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$ be three positive integers. Let $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(\bar{k})}$ share 1 IM. Then one of the following holds:
(i) when $m=0$, if $f \neq \infty, g \neq \infty$ and $n>9 k+14$, then either $f(z)=$ $c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $g(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are three constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}(n c)^{2 k}=1$ or $f \equiv$ tg for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n}=1$;
(ii) when $m=1, n>9 k+20$ and $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$, then either $\left[f^{n}(f-\right.$ $\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$;
(iii) when $m \geq 2$ and $n>9 k+4 m+16$, then either $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-\right.$ $\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$ or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g)=$ 0 , where $R(x, y)=x^{n}(x-1)^{m}-y^{n}(y-1)^{m}$. The possibility $\left[f^{n}(f-\right.$ $\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ does not arise for $k=1$.

In 2011, J.Xia and Y. Xu [8] proved the following three theorems.
Theorem 1.4. [8] Let $n, k$ and $m$ be three positive integers, and $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-\right.$ $\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM. If $m>k$ and $n>3 k+m+8$, and $\Theta(\infty, f)>2 m(m+$ $n) /\left[(n+m)^{2}-4 k^{2}\right]$ or $\Theta(\infty, g)>2 m(m+n) /\left[(n+m)^{2}-4 k^{2}\right]$, then either
$f \equiv g$, or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g)=0$, where $R(x, y)=$ $x^{n}(x-1)^{m}-y^{n}(y-1)^{m}$.

Theorem 1.5. [8] Let $n, k$ and $m$ be three positive integers, and $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM. If $m \leq k$ and $n>3 k+m+8$, and

$$
\Theta(\infty, f)+\Theta_{\left.\left[\frac{k}{m}\right]\right)}(1, f)>1+2 m(m+n) /\left[(n+m)^{2}-4 k^{2}\right]
$$

or

$$
\Theta(\infty, g)+\Theta_{\left.\left[\frac{k}{m}\right]\right)}(1, g)>1+2 m(m+n) /\left[(n+m)^{2}-4 k^{2}\right]
$$

then the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 holds.

Theorem 1.6. [8] Let $n, k$ and $m$ be three positive integers such that $n>3 k+m+$ 8 , and $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\left[f^{n}(f-\right.$ $\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM. If $f$ and $g$ have the same poles (not necessary with the same multiplicity) then the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 holds.

In 2011, C. Wu, C.Mu and J.Li [6] proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.7. [6] Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$ be three positive integers. Let $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM. Then one of the following holds:
(i) when $m=0$ and $n>9 k+14$, then either $f(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $g(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}(n c)^{2 k}=1$ or $f \equiv t g$ for a constant $t$ with $t^{n}=1$;
(ii) when $m=1, n>9 k+18$ and $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$, then $f \equiv g$;
(iii) when $m \geq 2$ and $n>9 k+4 m+14$, then $f \equiv g$ or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(x, y)=x^{n}(x-1)^{m}-y^{n}(y-1)^{m}=0$.

One may ask the following question which is the motivation of the paper: Is it possible to relax the nature of the sharing value in Theorem 1.7?

In this paper, we give positive answers to the above question by establishing the following two theorems, which improves Theorems 1.1-1.7.

Theorem 1.8. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$ be three positive integers. If $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=$ $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$ and $E_{1)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{1)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$, where $l \geq 3$ is an integer. Then one of the following holds:
(i) If $m=0$, if $f \neq \infty, g \neq \infty$ and $n>\frac{13 k+28}{3}$, then either $f(z)=$ $c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $g(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}(n c)^{2 k}=1$ or $f \equiv$ tg for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n}=1$;
(ii) If $m=1, n>\frac{13 k+41}{3}$ and $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$, then $f \equiv g$;
(iii) If $m \geq 2$ and $n>\frac{13 k+5 m+36}{3}$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(x, y)=x^{n}(x-1)^{m}-y^{n}(y-1)^{m}=0$.

Theorem 1.9. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $n \geq k+1, k \geq 1$ and $m \geq k+1$ be three positive integers. If $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$ and $E_{2)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{2)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$, where $l \geq 4$ is an integer. Then one of the following holds:
(i) If $m=0$, if $f \neq \infty, g \neq \infty$ and $n>\frac{3 k+8}{3}$, then either $f(z)=$ $c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $g(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}(n c)^{2 k}=1$ or $f \equiv t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n}=1$;
(ii) If $m=1, n>\frac{3 k+9}{3}$ and $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$, then $f \equiv g$;
(iii) If $m \geq 2$ and $n>\frac{3 k-m+10}{3}$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(x, y)=x^{n}(x-1)^{m}-y^{n}(y-1)^{m}=0$.

Remark 1.10. Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9 extend Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.7.

Remark 1.11. Theorem 1.9 extends Theorem 1.1 for $m=0$ and Theorem 1.2 for $m=1$.

Remark 1.12. Theorem 1.9 extends Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6.

## 2. Lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas which are needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [9] Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f)=a_{0}+$ $a_{1} f+\ldots+a_{n} f^{n}$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants and $a_{n} \neq 0$. Then $T(r, P(f))=$ $n T(r, f)+S(r, f)$.

Lemma 2.2. [7] Let $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right), E_{1)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{1)}(1$; $\left.\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)$ and $H^{*} \neq 0$, where $l \geq 3$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right) & \leq\left(\frac{8}{3}+\frac{2}{3} k\right) \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; F^{*}\right)+\frac{5}{3} \bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right)+\frac{2}{3} N_{k}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; G^{*}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; G^{*}\right) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, 0 ; G^{*}\right)+S\left(r, F^{*}\right)+S\left(r, G^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H^{*} \equiv\left[\frac{\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k+2)}}{\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k+1)}}-\frac{2\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k+1)}}{\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k)}-1}\right]-\left[\frac{\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k+2)}}{\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k+1)}}-\frac{2\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k+1)}}{\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k)}-1}\right]
$$

Lemma 2.3. [7] Let $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right), E_{1)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{1)}(1$; $\left.\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)$, where $l \geq 3$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{1 l} & =\left(\frac{8}{3}+\frac{2}{3} k\right) \Theta\left(\infty, F^{*}\right)+(k+2) \Theta\left(\infty, G^{*}\right)+\frac{5}{3} \Theta\left(0, F^{*}\right)+\Theta\left(0, G^{*}\right) \\
& +\delta_{k+1}\left(0, F^{*}\right)+\delta_{k+1}\left(0, G^{*}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \delta_{k}\left(0, F^{*}\right) \\
& >\frac{5}{3} k+9
\end{aligned}
$$

then either $\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$.
Lemma 2.4. [7] Let $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right), E_{2)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{2)}(1$; $\left.\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)$ and $H^{*} \neq 0$, where $l \geq 4$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right)+T\left(r, G^{*}\right) & \leq(k+4) \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; F^{*}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right) \\
& +2 N_{k+1}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right)+(k+4) \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; G^{*}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; G^{*}\right) \\
& +2 N_{k+1}\left(r, 0 ; G^{*}\right)+S\left(r, F^{*}\right)+S\left(r, G^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H^{*}$ is defined as Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 2.5. [7] Let $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right), E_{2)}\left(1 ;\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{2)}(1$; $\left.\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)}\right)$, where $l \geq 4$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{2 l}= & \left(\frac{1}{2} k+2\right)\left[\Theta\left(\infty, F^{*}\right)+\Theta\left(\infty, G^{*}\right)\right]+\Theta\left(0, F^{*}\right)+\Theta\left(0, G^{*}\right) \\
& +\delta_{k+1}\left(0, F^{*}\right)+\delta_{k+1}\left(0, G^{*}\right) \\
& >k+5
\end{aligned}
$$

then either $\left[F^{*}\right]^{(k)}\left[G^{*}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $n \geq$ $k+1, k \geq 1$ and $m \geq k+1$ be a integers. Then $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \not \equiv 1$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{0}$ be a zero of $f$ of order $p_{0}$. From (2.1) we get $z_{0}$ is a pole of $g$. Suppose that $z_{0}$ is a pole of $g$ of order $q_{0}$. Again by (2.1), we obtain $n p_{0}-k=n q_{0}+m q_{0}+k$,
i.e., $n\left(p_{0}-q_{0}\right)=m q_{0}+2 k$. which implies that $q_{0} \geq \frac{n-2 k}{m}$ and so we have $p_{0} \geq \frac{n+m-2 k}{m}$.

Let $z_{1}$ be a zero of $f-1$ of order $p_{1}$, then $z_{1}$ is a zero of $\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$ of order $p_{1}-k$. Therefore from (2.1) we obtain $p_{1}-k=n q_{1}+m q_{1}+k$ i.e., $p_{1} \geq n+m+2 k$.

Let $z_{2}$ be a zero of $f^{\prime}$ of order $p_{2}$ that is not a zero of $f(f-1)$, then from (2.1) $z_{2}$ is a pole of $g$ of order $q_{2}$. Again by (2.1) we get $p_{2}-(k-1)=n q_{2}+m q_{2}+k$ i.e., $p_{2} \geq n+m+2 k-1$.

In the same manner as above, we have similar results for the zeros of $\left[g^{n}(g-\right.$ 1) $\left.{ }^{m}\right]^{(k)}$.

On other hand, suppose that $z_{3}$ is a pole of $f$. From (2.1), we get that $z_{3}$ is the zero of $\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}$.

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}(r, f) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p_{0}} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\frac{1}{p_{1}} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)+\frac{1}{p_{2}} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\leq & {\left[\frac{m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k}+\frac{2}{n+m+2 k-1}\right] T(r, g) } \\
& +S(r, g) .
\end{align*}
$$

By second fundamental theorem and equation (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f) \\
\leq & \frac{m}{n+m-2 k} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\
& +\left[\frac{m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k}+\frac{2}{n+m+2 k-1}\right] T(r, g) \\
& +S(r, g)+S(r, f) . \\
T(r, f) \leq & {\left[\frac{m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k}\right] T(r, f) } \\
+ & {\left[\frac{m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k}+\frac{2}{n+m+2 k-1}\right] T(r, g) }  \tag{2.3}\\
+ & S(r, g)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, g) \leq & {\left[\frac{m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k}\right] T(r, g) } \\
& +\left[\frac{m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{1}{n+m+2 k}+\frac{2}{n+m+2 k-1}\right] T(r, f)  \tag{2.4}\\
& +S(r, g)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Adding (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(r, f)+T(r, g) \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{2 m}{n+m-2 k}+\frac{2}{n+m+2 k}+\frac{2}{n+m+2 k-1}\right]\{T(r, f)+T(r, g)\} } \\
+ & S(r, g)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus Lemma proved.

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let $F^{*}=f^{n}(f-1)^{m}, G^{*}=g^{n}(g-1)^{m}$.
By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(0, F^{*}\right)=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right)}{T\left(r, F^{*}\right)} \geq \frac{n+m-m^{*}-1}{n+m} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & \text { if } & m=0 \\ 1 & \text { if } & m \geq 1\end{array}\right.$
Similarly

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta\left(0, G^{*}\right) \geq \frac{n+m-m^{*}-1}{n+m}  \tag{3.2}\\
\Theta\left(\infty, F^{*}\right)=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; F^{*}\right)}{T\left(r, F^{*}\right)} \geq \frac{n+m-1}{n+m} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta\left(\infty, G^{*}\right) \geq \frac{n+m-1}{n+m}  \tag{3.4}\\
\delta_{k+1}\left(0, F^{*}\right)=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}_{k+1}\left(r, 0 ; F^{*}\right)}{T(r, F)} \geq \frac{n-k-1}{n+m} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k+1}\left(0, G^{*}\right) \geq 1-\frac{n-k-1}{n+m}, \delta_{k}\left(0, F^{*}\right) \geq \frac{n-k}{n+m}, \delta_{k}\left(0, G^{*}\right) \geq \frac{n-k}{n+m} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the assumptions of Theorem 1.8, we have $\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}(1$; $\left.\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$ and $E_{1)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=E_{1)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$, where $l \geq 3$.

From (3.1)-(3.6) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\Delta_{1 l} \geq\left(\frac{14}{3}+\frac{5}{3} k\right) \frac{n+m-1}{n+m}+\frac{8}{3} \frac{n+m-m^{*}-1}{n+m}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{n-k}{n+m}+2 \frac{n-k-1}{n+m} .
$$

It is easily verified that if $n>\frac{13 k+5 m+8 m^{*}+28}{3}$, then $\Delta_{1 l}>\frac{5}{3} k+9$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{13 k+5 m+8 m^{*}+28}{3} & =\frac{13 k+28}{3} \text { if } m=0 \\
& =\frac{13+41}{3} \text { if } m=1 \\
& =\frac{13 k+5 m+36}{3} \text { if } m \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.3, we have $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$ or $\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k)}\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k)} \equiv 1$. If $\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k)}\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k)} \equiv 1$, i.e.,

$$
\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1
$$

then by Lemma 2.6 we can get a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}(f-1)^{m} \equiv g^{n}(g-1)^{m} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider following three cases.
Case(i) Let $m=0$. Then from (3.7) we get $f \equiv t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n}=1$

Case (ii) Let $m=1$ then from (3.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}(f-1) \equiv g^{n}(g-1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $f \not \equiv g$. Let $h=\frac{f}{g}$ be a constant. Then from (3.8) it follows that $h \neq 1$, $h^{n} \neq 1, h^{n+1} \neq 1$ and $g=\frac{1-h^{n}}{1-h^{n+1}}=$ constant, a contradiction. So we suppose that $h$ is not a constant. Since $f \not \equiv g$, we have $h \not \equiv 1$. From (3.8) we obtain $g=$ $\frac{1-h^{n}}{1-h^{n+1}}$ and $f=\left(\frac{1-h^{n}}{1-h^{n+1}}\right) h$. Hence it follows that $T(r, f)=n T(r, h)+S(r, f)$. Again by second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we have $\bar{N}(r, \infty ; f)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{N}\left(r, \alpha_{j} ; h\right) \geq(n-2) T(r, h)+S(r, f)$, where $\alpha_{j}(\neq 1)(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are distinct roots of the equation $h^{n+1}=1$. So we obtain

$$
\Theta(\infty, f)=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, \infty ; f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \frac{2}{n}
$$

which contradicts the assumption $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$. Thus $f \equiv g$.
Case(iii) Let $m \geq 2$. Then from (3.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{n}\left[f^{m}+\ldots+(-1)^{i} C_{m-i}^{m} f^{m-i}+\ldots+(-1)^{m}\right]  \tag{3.9}\\
= & g^{n}\left[g^{m}+\ldots+(-1)^{i} C_{m-i}^{m} g^{m-i}+\ldots+(-1)^{m}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $h=\frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, then substituting $f=g h$ in (3.9) we obtain
$g^{n+m}\left(h^{n+m}-1\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{i} C_{m-i}^{m} g^{n+m-i}\left(h^{n+m-i}-1\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{m} g^{n}\left(h^{n}-1\right)=0$,
which imply $h=1$. Hence $f \equiv g$. If $h$ is not a constant, then from (3.9) we can say that $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g)=0$, where $R(x, y)=$ $x^{n}(x-1)^{m}-y^{n}(y-1)^{m}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. From the condition of Theorem 1.9, we have

$$
\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=\bar{E}_{l)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right) \text { and } E_{2)}\left(1 ;\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)=
$$ $E_{2)}\left(1 ;\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\right)$, where $l \geq 4$.

From (3.1)-(3.6) we have

$$
\Delta_{2 l} \geq(k+4) \frac{n+m-1}{n+m}+2 \frac{n+m-m^{*}-1}{n+m}+2 \frac{n-k-1}{n+m} .
$$

It is easily verified that if $n>\frac{3 k-m+2 m^{*}+8}{3}$, then $\Delta_{2 l}>k+5$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{3 k-m+2 m^{*}+8}{3} & =\frac{3 k+8}{3} \text { if } m=0 \\
& =\frac{3 k+9}{3} \text { if } m=1 \\
& =\frac{3 k-m+10}{3} \text { if } m \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.5 , we have $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$ or $\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k)}\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k)} \equiv 1$. If $\left(F^{*}\right)^{(k)}\left(G^{*}\right)^{(k)} \equiv 1$, i.e.,

$$
\left[f^{n}(f-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(g-1)^{m}\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1
$$

then by Lemma 2.6 we can get a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$, i.e.,

$$
f^{n}(f-1)^{m} \equiv g^{n}(g-1)^{m}
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.8, we can get the conclusion of Theorem 1.9 .
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