International Journal of Advanced Research (2013), Volume 1, Issue 9, 162-166



Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

EVALUATION OF THE MICROBIAL POLLUTION OF WATER IN RAMASANDRA LAKE, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA AND ASSESSMENT OF MULTIPLE ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE AMONG ESCHERICHIA COLI

N. Latha* and M. Ramachandra Mohan

Lake Management and Water Quality Research Unit, Department of Zoology, Bangalore University,

Bangalore-560 056.

Manuscript Info

Abstract

Manuscript History:

Received: 07 October 2013 Final Accepted: 28 October 2013 Published Online: November 2013

Key words:

pollution, fecal coliform, most probable number (MPN), multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR).

*Corresponding Author

N. Latha

An experiment was designed to examine microbiology of water samples of Ramasandra Lake and further assess the occurance of the multiple antibiotic resistance of *E coli*. Analysis of the water samples obtained from the lake indicates high microbial and fecal contamination with microbial load in order of 10^5 and MPN $\geq 1800/100$ ml. Collected water samples from four stations were screened for the *E coli* to assess their resistance to 10 different antibiotics. Of the 14 *E coli* isolates 0% were susceptible to all antibiotics used. The isolates were found resistance to penicillin and tetracycline (100%). Among the 10 antibiotics tested, four pattern of antibiotics resistance were obtained and all of them were multiple antibiotic resistance with the number of antibiotics ranging from 2 to 7. The result indicates the developing antibiotic resistant *E coli* may be a serious threat on public health, aquatic organisms and environment.

.....

Copy Right, IJAR, 2013,. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Water sources are of much significance right from the history of natural world, it is our duty to preserve water resource as it is very essential for natural life (Lewandowska *et al.*, 2000). The pollution of a particular waterbody can always be linked to an industry, sewage or agricultural runoff (Sathware *et al.*, 2007). Numerous people suffer from different fatal diseases like typhoid, jaundice, etc., due to water pollution (Atlas and Bertha, 1997). Furthermore, unfavourable environment also cause trachoma, childhood and diarrhoea, etc., (Daunders and Warford, 1976). Generally, the surface water is not recommended for drinking purposes as it is contaminated mostly by organic, inorganic and biological pollutants (Kumar *et al.*, 1996). Among the biological pollutants, coliform, fecal coliform, *Escherichia coli* considered as main indicators. As *E coli* dwell in human intestine and other warmblooded animals, these are most significant indicators of fecal contamination (Edberg, 2000). *E coli* routinely employed as an indicator of fecal contamination (APHA, 1985). Though *E coli* are considered as an ordinary commensal of intestinal microflora (commensal strains belong to several 'O' groups), they are potentially pathogenic elsewhere in the body, where they produce pathogenic *E coli* (EPEC) and Enterotoxigenic *E coli* (ETEC) accounts for considerable proportions of infantile diarrhoea in the developing World (Mohammed hatha *et al.*, 1999).

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Sample collection: The water samples from the lake were collected using sterile 250ml borosilicate glass bottles that were sterilized in hot air oven 160° C for 1 hour and were covered tightly until used. The water samples were kept in ice box (4- 10° C) and transported to the laboratory < 4 hours of collection. All samples were analysed within 24 hours of collection.

Total colony count and isolation of organism- Pour plate method on nutrient agar were plated and the plates were incubated at 37^oC for 24-48hours. The colonies were screened and identified based on taxonomic schemes described by Bergeys manual.

Fecal coliform test and isolation and identification of *Ecoli*: Three tube procedure using Lactose broth was used to detect the coliform and Most Probable Number (MPN) of coliform bacilli.

Total coliform -Ferment lactose with the production of gas and acid at $44.5\pm0.2^{\circ}$ C within the first 48hours of incubation in brilliant green bile broth. These tubes were plated on Eosin Methylene Blue Agar medium (EMB) and incubated. Colonies grown on EMB plates were selected and finally identified on the basis of morphological, cultural and biochemical characteristics for the isolation of *E coli* (APHA, 1985).

All the bacterial isolates were tested for their sensitivity to antibiotics by means of a disc diffusion method on Muller Hilton Agar medium (Bauer *et al.*, 1966).

Ten antibiotic disc viz., Norfloxacin, Oflaxacin, Ampicillin, Cotrimoxazole, Gentamycin, Furozolidone, Ciproplaxacin, Amoxycillin, Penicillin and Tetracylin.

Based on the occurrence of resistance to two and more than two antibiotics the isolates were categorised as multiple antibiotic resistant isolates.

Results and discussion:

It is evident from the results obtained in this study (table 1) that the water samples from the four stations from the lake Ramasandra are heavily contaminated with microorganisms. The index of the microbial load (10^5) is high and it indicates dense pollution of bacteria in the water samples. The comparative analysis of the microbial load of the station indicates that more bacteria were encountered at station 4, where dumping of waste were noticed. Further coliform bacilli with high MPN (>1800) may be a result of runoff from contaminated areas. *E coli* form a part of normal intestinal flora of man and animal and the commensal strains belong to several 'O' groups. The virulent strains of

E coli act as specific pathogens of the gut (enteritis) and of extra intestinal sites (urinary tract infection), wound infection. The clinical infections caused by E coli include urinary tract infection, septic infection of wound, diarrhoea, dysentry, septicemia, pneumonia, neonatal meningitis and abscess in variety of organs. The presence of abundant bacteria in the water samples from all the four stations indicate that the lake is exposed to nonpoint pollution particularly runoff from agricultural land and human wastes. The presence of fecal coliform and E coli clearly shows that the lake is not free of human fecal material. Thus, it can be concluded that the lake is exposed to both point and nonpoint pollution, which can alter the natural balance of population within the ecosystem, by selectively encouraging the development of those aerobic microorganisms which can degrade all or some of the added material. High levels of resistance were obtained among the bacterial isolates (21-42%) and the cumulative resistance of the bacterial isolates to the antibiotics ranged from 0-100% (as shown in table 2). The isolates showed very high rates of susceptible to all the evaluated antibiotics. Further, we detected all of the isolates resistant to penicillin and tetracycline. Based on the occurance of resistance to two and more than two antibiotics the isolates were categorized as multiple antibiotic resistant isolates (Lateef et al., 2005). In this study Multiple antibiotic resistant to 3 to 6 antibiotic were observed. Incidence of antibiotic resistance in station 2 is 4. Among the bacterial isolates from the lake, four pattern of multiple drug resistance were encountered and the number of antibiotics ranged from 2 to 5 (table 3). E coli isolate showed 100% sensitivity to Norflox and Ofloxacin and 100% resistance to tetracycline and penicillin (Table 4). Recent studies have shown that antibiotic can accumulate in the environment and even persist for upto a year (Zuccato et al., 2000). In 2000, Thurman and Hostetler found antibiotics in animal feedlots wastewater and ground water near lagoons. The number of antibiotics involved in the multiple antibiotic resistance as obtained in the study falls within the range obtained by earlier workers (Khan and Malik, 2001). The relatively high level of resistance to antimicrobial agents could be a reflection of misuse or abuse of these agents in the environment (Malik and Ahmed, 1994). Antibiotic prescription in hospitals are given without clear evidence of infection or adequate medical indication, toxic broad spectrum antibiotics are sometimes given in place of narrow spectrum drugs as substitute for culture sensitivity testing with the consequent risk of dangerous side effects, super infections and the selection of drug-resistant mutants (Prescott et al., 1999). In developing countries, drugs are available to the public and thus people may practice self administration of antibiotic and further increase the prevalence of drug resistant strains. Many antibiotic are persistent in the environment (Zuccato et al., 2000) and some of them have been isolated from waste water and ground water (Thurman and Hostetler, 2000). This could enhance the resistance of bacteria to antibiotics or drugs as shown in this study, and also spread bacterial resistance among the inhabitants who may get in contact with this water body.

Conclusion: Pollutants in the watershed mainly consist of pathogens and nutrients due to the densely populated areas, agricultural activities, and urban and storm-water runoff in the region. The microbiological data

obtained in the study gives the picture of the water quality, with *E coli*, matter of great concern. The continuous and increasing use of antibiotics has led to the emergence of *E coli* resistant to many antibiotics.

| Table 1: Microbiologic results of the lake water samples | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| Sampling Station (No.) | Total | aerobic | bacteria | Total | coliform | Fecal | coliform | | |
| | (cfu/100 | mL) | | (cfu/100mL) | | (cfu/100mL) | | | |
| 1. | $2.8*10^5$ | | | >1800 | | + | | | |
| 2. | $3.2*10^5$ | | | >1800 | | + | | | |
| 3. | $3.0*10^5$ | | | >1800 | | + | | | |
| 4. | $4.8*10^5$ | | | >1800 | | + | | | |

Table 1: Microbiologic results of the lake water samples

Table 2: Showing multiple antibiotic resistance pattern

| No. of antibiotics | Resistance pattern | No. of <i>E coli</i> | Resistance (%) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Sensitive | | 0 | |
| 2-Antibiotic | Tet, Pen | 3 | 21.42 |
| | Amp, Tet, Pen | 1 | 35.72 |
| 3-Antibiotic | Fur, Tet, Pen | 2 | |
| | Gen, Tet, Pen | 1 | |
| | Cot, Tet, Pen | 1 | |
| 4-Antibiotic | Amp, Cip, Tet, Pen | 2 | 28.57 |
| | Amp, Tet, Pen, Amo | 1 | |
| | Cot, Tet, Pen, Amo | 1 | |
| 5-Antibiotic | Cip, Gen, Cot, Tet, Pen | 1 | 14.28 |
| | Cip, Cot, Tet, Pen, Amo | | |

Table 3: Sjowing antibiotic intermediate resistance pattern among *E coli* isolates

| No. of | | No. of <i>E coli</i> | Resistance | | |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|--|--|
| antibiotics | pattern | | (%) | | |
| Sensitive | | 0 | | | |
| Resistance | | | | | |
| | Amp | 1 | 35.7 | | |
| | Cip | 1 | | | |
| 1 Antibiotic | Amo | 1 | | | |
| | Fur | 1 | | | |
| | Cot | 1 | | | |
| | Fur, Cot | 2 | 35.7 | | |
| 2 Antibiotic | Cip, Gen | 1 | | | |
| | Gen, Amo | 1 | | | |
| | Cip, Amo | 1 | | | |
| 3 Antibiotic | Gen, Cot, Amo | 1 | 14.28 | | |
| | Fur, Gen, Amo | 1 | | | |
| 4 Antibiotic | Amp, Fur, Gen, Amo | 1 | 7.14 | | |

| 1 able 4: Showing the antibiotic resistance level of <i>E coll</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|------|-----|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|-----|
| Statio | Isolate | Am | Fur | Cip | Gent | Cot | Tet | Nor | Ofl | Pen | Amo | b | %S | % I | % R |
| n | S | р | | | | | | | | | Х | lac | | | |
| | 1 | S | Ι | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | R | S | + | 60 | 20 | 20 |
| | 2 | Ι | Ι | S | Ι | R | R | S | S | R | Ι | + | 30 | 40 | 30 |
| Ι | 3 | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 50 | 30 | 20 |
| | 4 | S | R | Ι | Ι | S | R | S | S | R | S | - | 50 | 30 | 20 |
| | 5 | S | S | Ι | S | R | R | S | S | R | R | - | 50 | 10 | 40 |
| II | 6 | R | S | R | Ι | S | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 40 | 20 | 40 |
| | 7 | S | S | Ι | R | S | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 50 | 20 | 30 |
| | 8 | S | Ι | S | Ι | S | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 50 | 30 | 20 |
| | 9 | S | Ι | R | S | R | R | S | S | R | R | - | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| III | 10 | S | R | S | S | S | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 60 | 10 | 30 |
| | 11 | R | S | S | S | S | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 70 | 00 | 30 |
| | 12 | Ι | S | R | R | R | R | S | S | R | S | - | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| IV | 13 | R | Ι | S | S | Ι | R | S | S | R | R | - | 40 | 20 | 40 |
| | 14 | R | S | R | S | S | R | S | S | R | Ι | - | 50 | 10 | 40 |
| | % s | 57.1 | 49. | 49.9 | 42.85 | 49.99 | 00 | 100 | 100 | 00 | 28.57 | | | | |
| | | | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % i | 28.5 | 35. | 21.4 | 35.71 | 21.42 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 49.99 | | | | |
| | | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % r | 14.2 | 14. | 28.5 | 14.28 | 28.57 | 100 | 00 | 00 | 100 | 21.42 | | | | |
| | | 8 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4: Showing the antibiotic resistance level of *E coli*

REFERENCES

APHA. (1985). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. American Public Health Association. 16th edition. Washington DC. Pp. 1268.

Atlas, R. M., and Bertha, R. (1997). Microbial ecology- fundamentals and applications. Benjamin: Commings Science Publishing. Pp 1-694.

Bauer, A.W., Kirby, M.M., Sheris, J.S., Turek, M. (1966). Antibiotic sensitivity testing by single disc method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. (45): 939-396.

Bauer, A.W., Kirby, W.M.M., Sherris, J.C. and Turck, M. (1966). Antibiotic testing by standard single disk method. American Journal of clinical pathology, 45. 493-496.

Daunders, R., and Warford, J. (1976). Village water supply: Economics and policy in developing world. USA: Johns Hopkins University Press. Pp. 279.

Edberg, S.C., Rice, E. W., Karlin, R.J., and Allen, M.J. (2000). Escherichia coli : The best biological drinking water indicator for public health protection. J. Appl. Microbiol. Symp. Supplement, 88, 106-116.

Khan, R. M. K. and Malik, A. (2001). Antibiotic resistance and detection of β -lactamase in bacterial strains of Staphylococci and *Escherichia coli* isolated from foodstuffs', World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 17, 863–868.

Kumar, A., Bagavathiraj, B., and Bagavathiraj, K. (1996). Physicochemical and microbiological aspects Courtallam water. Pollution Research, 15(2), 159-161.

Lateef, A., J.K.Oloke and E.B. Gueguimkana. (2005). The prevalence of bacterial resistance in clinical, food, water and some environmental samples in south west Nigeria. Environ. Monit. Assess., 100, 56-69.

Lewandowska, D., Zmyslowska, I., Filipkowska, Z. (2000). Sanitary and bacteriological evaluation of the Southern part of Leginski Lake for recreational purposes. Pollution J. Environ. St., 9(4), 341.

Malik, A. and Ahmad, M. (1994). Incidence of drug and metal resistance in E. coli strains from sewage water and soil. Chem. Environ. Res. 3, 3–11.

Mohamed hatha, A.A., Dhanalakshmi, P., Smitha Kuriakose, Priya lakshmi and Loly George. (1999). Prevalence of multiple antibiotic resistance among *Escherichia coli* strain isolated from river water and food samples. Poll.Res. 18(4): 519-522.

Olkafor, N. (1985). Aquatic and waste microbiology. 1st edition. Fourth Dimension Publishing Company Ltd., Enugu, Nigeria, pp 1-14.

Prescott, L. M., Harley, J. P. and Klein, D. A. (1999). Microbiology, 4th ed., McGraw Hill, New York. Pp. 678-697.

Sathware, N.G., Paterl, K.G., Vyas, J.B., Patel, S., Trivedi, M.R., Dave, L.M., Madia, M.M., Kulkarni, P.K., Parikh, D.J. and Saiyed, H.N. (2007): Chromium exposure study in chemical based industry. J. Environ. Biol., 28, 405-408.

Thurman, E. M. and Hostetler, K. A. (2000). Analysis of Tetracycline and Sulfamethazine Antibiotics in Ground Water and Animal-Feedlot Wastewater by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry Using Positive-Ion Electrospray. Effects of Animal Feeding Operations on Water Resources and the environment', Proceedings of the Technical Meeting, Fort Collins, CO, 30 August–1 September 1999, Abstract, p. 47.

Zuccato, E., Calamar, D., Natangelo, M. and Fanelli, R. (2000). Presence of therapeutic drugs in the environment. Lancet. 355, 1789–1790.