University of Windsor Scholarship at UWindsor

International Joint Commission (IJC) Digital Archive

International Joint Commission

1977-10-01

Nitrogen Movement in Tile-Drained Clay and Sandy Agricultural Watersheds: Final Report on Project 13, Agricultural Watershed Studies: Task C, Canadian Section, Activity 1

Canada. Department of Agriculture. Soil Research Institute

D. R. Cameron

G. H. Neilsen

J. L. B. Culley

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ijcarchive

Recommended Citation

Canada. Department of Agriculture. Soil Research Institute, Cameron, D. R., Neilsen, G. H., & Culley, J. (1977). Nitrogen Movement in Tile-Drained Clay and Sandy Agricultural Watersheds: Final Report on Project 13, Agricultural Watershed Studies: Task C, Canadian Section, Activity 1. *International Joint Commission (IJC) Digital Archive*. https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ijcarchive/155

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the International Joint Commission at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Joint Commission (IJC) Digital Archive by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

PROPERTY OF OPERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION LIBRARY

INTERNATIONAL REFERENCE GROUP ON GREAT LAKES POLLUTION FROM LAND USE ACTIVITIES GLC 22222 370

INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION

77-055

NITROGEN MOVEMENT IN TILE-DRAINED CLAY AND SANDY AGRICULTURAL WATERSHEDS



PLECES, MES

The st (1) discussed in this document was carried out as part of the shorte of the international Reference Group on Grant Lakes Pollation From and Use Activities (PLEARC), as ergenization of the International Joint antission (LJC), established under the Canada - U.S. Grant Lakes Hater and by agreement of 1972. Funding for this study was provided through anticulture Canada

> NITROGEN MOVEMENT IN TILE-DRAINED CLAY AND SANDY AGRICULTURAL WATERSHEDS

> > FINAL REPORT ON PROJECT 13

AGRICULTURAL WATERSHED STUDIES

Task C (Canadian Section) - Activity 1 International Reference Group on Great Lakes Pollution from Land Use Activities International Joint Commission

D.R. Cameron, G.H. Neilsen, J.L.B. Culley, R. de Jong and W. Findlay

> Soil Research Institute Agriculture Canada Ottawa, Ontario

> > October 1977



DISCLAIMER

The study discussed in this document was carried out as part of the efforts of the International Reference Group on Great Lakes Pollution From Land Use Activities (PLUARG), an organization of the International Joint Commission (IJC), established under the Canada - U.S. Great Lakes Water Quality agreement of 1972. Funding for this study was provided through Agriculture Canada.

Findings and conclusions are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Reference Group or its recommendations to the Commission.

(DE) 4. A. DHITHYS, Director) for draft (seine allordersbid)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There have been a large number of individuals who have contributed to this study. In particular, appreciation is extended to the following: Mr. Jack Vlasblom, field technician, IJC Watersheds No. 1 and 13 (located at Research Station, Harrow) for plot sampling, field records, and extraction of soil NH₄- and NO₃-N; Messrs. Ian Nicholsen, Keith Wires and Clarence O'Meara (Soil Research Institute, Ottawa) for excellent and efficient chemical analysis; Mrs. Valerie Malmsten, Mr. Don McDill and Mrs. Ilona Bain for computer programming and coding of simulation models; Dr. Chi Chang (Hydrology Research Division, Calgary) for managing the initial phases of this project; and the farmers (Mr. Ian Adamson, Mr. Mac James, Mr. George Konrad, Mr. Grant Kimball, Mr. Duane McIntosh, (all of Mersea Twp.) and Mr. Earl Waites (Tilbury W. Twp.) for their excellent cooperation and help in providing field plot space.

In addition, appreciation is extended to the following institutes and individuals: Soil Research Institute, Ottawa (Dr. J.S. Clark, Director) and its staff for their excellent cooperative support in analysis, advice, and clerical work; Harrow Research Station (Dr. J. Fulton, Director) for providing space and aid in conducting this study; Dept. of Earth Sciences at the University of Waterloo (Drs. R. Gillham and J. Cherry, Project Leaders) for their excellent cooperative efforts on our related nitrogen projects; Chemistry and Biology Institute, Ottawa (Dr. D. Shearer, Analytical Section) for completion of total N analysis of our plant samples; Data Processing, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa (Dr. B.A. Mckinnon, Director) for providing work space and support; and Swift Current Research Station (Dr. A.A. Guitard, Director) for drafting and photography.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER		Page
45		-
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	t Jt Soviesn and Greening	3.44.70
TIDE OF CONTENTS		
LIST OF TABLES		v
A4		
LIST OF FIGURES		vii
SUMMARY		1
Table 3 Heats and standard devise ton		
OBJECTIVES		3
FTELD STUDY		4
1. Sampling		4
2. Sample Analysis		6
Precipitation		/ 7
2. Temerature		7
3. Nitrogen Concentrations		11
TT mathema for Watersheds 40-01 m		
STREAM NITROGEN CONCENTRATIONS AND LOADS		13
2. Flow-Concentration Polationshing		13
3. Loss Rates		17
Methods of Calculation		17
Magnitude of Losses		20
4. Summary		22
TILE-DRAINED CLAY WATERSHED AG-01 RESULTS		24
1. Plot 4: Wheat-Corn N and Cl Results		24
1975 Wheat Plot		24
1976 Corn Plot		27
2. Plot 5: Corn-Soubean N Posulta		27
1975 Corn Plot		31
1976 Soybean Plot		31
Water Table and Suction Lysimeter	Results	34
3. Plot 6: Soybean N Results		34
4. Summary of Nitrogen Inputs Reservery	-1 7	34
Estimating Mineralization	nd Losses	37
Approximate N Balance		39
CANDY TOAN MANDE COND. (10
SANDY LOAM WATERSHED AG-13 RESULTS		42
1975 Potato Plot		42
1976 Potato Plot		42
Water Table and Suction Lysimeter	Results	45

		Page
2. Plot 2: Toba	cco N Results	45
1975 To	bacco Plot	45
1976 To	bacco Plot	47
Water T	able and Suction Lysimeter Results	50
3. Plot 3: Soyb	ean and Greenbean N Results	50
1975 So	ybean Plot	50
1976 Gr	eenbean Plot	54
Cl and	NO3-N Leaching	54
4. Summary of N	itrogen Inputs, Recovery and Losse	s 56
Estimat	ing Mineralization	56
Approxi	mate N Balance	58
TILE DRAINS		60
WINTER MOVEMENT O	F NO ₂ -N	63
1. Model Develo	nment	63
2. Predicted Re	sults	65
SIMULATION OF NIT	ROGEN PROCESSES	69
1. Model Develo	pment	69
2. Model Result	S real and cooperative addition of	71
REMEDIAL MEASURES		77
		TREAM SITTLE MARTIN CONCERNES
REFERENCES		79
ADDENDIV T		
APPENDIA I		04
		· 1976 Com Plot
		negotity jo Areanny
		1976 Porato Plo
		Mater Table and

LIST OF TABLES

Page

1

			0
Table	1	Monthly precipitation totals for Watersheds AG-01, AG-13 and their percent deviation from long term norms at Leamington, Ontario	8
Table	2	Mean monthly temperatures recorded at Leamington, Ontario and deviation from long term norms	9
Table	3	Means and standard deviations for nitrate (NO ₃ -N), total kjeldahl N (TKN), dissolved ammonium (NH ₄ -N) and total N (TKN+NO ₃ -N) for Watersheds AG-O1 and AG-13 during 1974- 1977 sample period	12
Table	4	Regression coefficients relating nitrogen concentration to instantaneous (Qi) and mean daily (Q_{AV}) flow for Watersheds AG-O1 and AG-13 for January 1975 to March 1977	18
Table	5	Annual dissolved (DN) and total N(TN) loss rates as calculated by NAQUADAT, regression and stratified-sample methods for Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13, 1975 and 1976	21
Table	6	$\rm NO_3-N$ and Cl concentrations (ug/g) measured on suction lysimeter samples taken from Plot 4 at 90 and 150 cm depths in 1976 and tile drain $\rm NO_3-N$ and Cl concentrations.	30
Table	7	Water table levels for Plots 5 and 6 and suction lysimeter $\rm NO_3-N$ concentrations in Plot 5	35
Table	8	Estimated annual net mineralization rates (kg/ha) for the tile-drained clay Watershed AG-01	38
Table	9	Approximate nitrogen mass balances (kg N/ha/yr) for plots on tile-drained clay Watershed AG-01	41
Table	10	Water table levels and suction lysimeter NO3-N concentra- tions in Plot 1	46
Table	11	Water table levels and suction lysimeter NO3-N concentra- tions in Plot 2	51
Table	12	Suction lysimeter Cl and NO3-N concentrations in Plot 3, Watershed AG-13	57
Table	13	Estimated annual net mineralization rates (kg/ha) for the sandy loam Watershed AG-13	57
Table	14	Approximate nitrogen mass balances (kg N/ha/yr) for plots on sandy loam Watershed AG-13	59

			Tage
Table	15	Summary of some literature results of yearly nitrogen concentration averages and loading from agricultural tile drains.	62
Table	16	Physical measurements and soil water desorption curve data for the Harrow fine sandy loam.	64

Table 17 Values for the $k(\theta)$ and $O(\theta)$ functions used in the computer simulation model.

64

Dago

the la Approximate microgen mass helaness (by W/ha Mr) for plots

LIST OF FIGURES

2

1

]

Figure	1.	Maps of Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13 showing locations of field study plots	5
Figure	2.	Mean daily temperatures at Harrow Research Station and	
		precipitation as measured in Hillman Creek (AG-13) watershed	10
Figure	3.	Cumulative average precipitation N input (kg/ha) to Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13, May 1975 to April 1976 (based on N concentration measurements by M. Sanderson, 1977)	12
Figure	4.	Mean monthly NO3-N concentration and standard deviation for Hillman Creek (AG-13) during 1975-1976 sample period	14
Figure	5.	Mean monthly NO ₃ -N concentration and standard deviation for Big Creek Watershed (AG-01) during 1975-1976 sample period	14
Figure	6.	Relationship between mean daily discharge and NO ₃ -N concentrations prior to and after a storm on 24 June 1976 in Hillman Creek (AG-13) Watershed	16
Figure	7.	Correspondence of monthly dissolved nitrogen loss rates and monthly distribution of runoff for AG-01 and AG-13	23
Figure	8.	Accumulated NO_3 -N and extractable NH_4 -N masses (kg N/ha/depth), and fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 winter wheat and 1976 corn Plot 4, Watershed AG-O1	25
Figure	9.	Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) in the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 winter wheat and 1976 corn Plot 4, Water-shed AG-01	26
Figure	10.	Cumulative chloride mass (kg/ha) for 0-20 and 0-75 cm depth intervals and the corresponding concentration distributions for Cl with respect to depth for each of the sampling times on 1975 corn Plot 4, Watershed AG-01	29
figure	11.	Accumulated NO ₃ -N and extractable NH ₄ -N masses (kg N/ha/depth), and fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 corn and 1976 soybean Plot 5, Watershed AG-O1	31
ligure	12.	Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) in the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 corn and 1976 soybean Plot 5, Watershed AG-01	32

Page

	10	Assemption NO N and outroatable NH, -N masses (kg	
Figure	13.	Accumulated NO_3 -N and extractable NI_4 -N masses (kg N/ha/depth), fertilizer addition, crop uptake and concentration distributions (ug/g) of NO_3 -N and NH_4 -N with respect to depth for each of the sampling times on 1975 soybean Plot 6, Watershed AG-O1	36
Figure	14.	Accumulated NO_3 -N and extractable NH_4 -N masses (kg N/ha/depth), fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 and 1976 potato Plot 1, Watershed AG-13	43
Figure	15.	Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) of the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 and 1976 potato Plot 1, Watershed AG-13	44
Figure	16.	Accumulated NO ₃ -N and extractable NH_4 -N masses (kg/ha/depth) fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 and 1976 tobacco Plot 2, Watershed AG-13	48
Figure	17.	Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) in the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 and 1976 tobacco Plot 2, Watershed AG-13	49
Figure	18.	Accumulated NO ₃ -N and extractable NH ₄ -N masses (kg/ ha/depth) fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 soybean and 1976 greenbean Plot 3, Watershed AG-13	52
Figure	19.	Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) in the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 soybean and the 1976 greenbean Plot 3, Watershed AG-13	53
Figure	20.	Cumulative chloride mass (kg/ha) for 0-20 and 0-75 cm depth intervals and corresponding concentration distributions for Cl with respect to depth for each of the sampling times on 1976 greenbean Plot 3, Water- shed AG-13	55
Figure	21.	Harrow Research Station minimum and maximum temperature data and mean daily precipitation for the winter period of 1973-74	67
Figure	22.	Predicted (solid line) and measured NO ₃ -N concentrations for Nov. 1973 to May 1974 for the 61, 122, and 183 cm depths.	
Figure	23.	Predicted NO_3 -N mass in soil and predicted NO_3 -N mass leached from the 1975 tobacco plot (AG-13)	73
Figure	24.	Predicted NO ₃ -N concentrations with respect to depth for the 1975 sampling times (measured NO ₃ -N values are marked by x's).	74

Figure 25. Predicted NO₃-N mass in soil and predicted NO₃-N mass leached from the 1976 tobacco plot (AG-13)

Figure 26. Predicted NO₃-N concentrations with respect to depth for the 1976 sampling times (measured NO₃-N values are marked by x's) 75

Page

76

is the three local locates which the clay establish the us of the



SUMMARY

Two small watersheds were selected in southern Ontario near Leamington and nitrogen additions and losses under different cropping and fertilizing practices were monitored during the 1974 to April 1977 period. Average stream NO₃-N concentrations were 4.9 ± 3.2 mg/l for the sandy watershed AG-13 where vegetable and tobacco production were the predominant crops. Average stream NO₃-N concentrations were 3.6 ± 4.2 mg/l for the tile-drained clay watershed AG-01 where corn and soybean production dominated. Average dissolved NH₄-N concentrations were 0.34 ± 0.43 mg/l for AG-13 and $0.21 \pm$ 0.31 for AG-01. Average total Kjeldahl N concentrations were similar; 1.4 ± 1.0 mg/l for both AG-13 and AG-01.

Estimated average annual total N losses were 29 and 22 kg N/ha for AG-13 and AG-01, respectively, of which dissolved nitrogen accounted for 87% and 64% of the total N losses, respectively. Approximately 60% of the dissolved nitrogen losses occurred during February-March at which time 70% of the annual runoff occurred. The higher losses from the sandy watershed AG-13 are believed to be a reflection of greater fertilizer input and greater annual runoff.

In order to monitor seasonal changes in nitrogen gains and losses under various cropping practices three plots were established in 1975 and 1976 on each of the two watersheds. The plots in AG-13 were established in potato, Burley tobacco and soybean-greenbean fields. Those in AG-01 were located in winter wheat-corn, corn-soybean and soybean fields. Soil samples to a depth of 75 cm were removed during the growing season and analyzed for NO₃-N and NH₄-N content. Plant samples were also taken to determine total N content and dry weight. The information was compiled and used to obtain a simplified balance sheet by adding and subtracting known mineral N inputs and outputs, and attributing the difference to leaching, denitrification and immobilization losses.

In the three plots located within the clay watershed the sum of the average annual N inputs from fertilization (17 to 135 kg/ha), rain (18 kg/ha) and net mineralization (130 to 140 kg/ha) ranged from 175 to 283 kg N/ha. Average annual N recovery by the plants (and soil) ranged from 114 to 202 kg N/ha. By subtracting the recovered N from the input N the estimated annual N losses (primarily by leaching and denitrification) were calculated to range from 61 to 91 kg N/ha.

In the three plots located within the sandy watershed AG-13 the sum of the average annual N inputs from fertilization (13 to 215 kg/ha), rain

(18 kg/ha) and net mineralization (70 to 100 kg/ha) ranged from 133 to 303 kg N/ha. Average annual recovery by plants (and soil) ranged from 136 to 270 kg N/ha. The estimated annual N losses for the potato, tobacco, and bean plots were 133, 33 and -3 kg/ha, respectively. It was estimated that denitrification could account for as much as 50% of the annual N losses. The monitored results indicated that leaching losses occurred primarily in the fall and winter. Some losses were noted in June in response to heavy rainstorms.

One of the objectives of this study was to develop a computer simulation model to predict nitrogen levels entering groundwater supplies from various cropping and fertilizer practices. The model was calibrated and tested on the 1975 and 1976 results from the Burley tobacco field in sandy watershed AG-13. Overall, the model gave a reasonable prediction but left considerable doubt in some aspects of N transformation processes. Denitrification was not modelled and as a result predicted NO₃-N masses tended to be larger than the measured masses. Major predicted leaching losses occurred in the fall (70 kg N/ha) and throughout winter with small losses in June (10 kg N/ha).

Remedial measures which might help improve the conservation of nitrogen and reduce pollution from agricultural land include: careful use of soluble N fertilizers to meet crop needs only, deleting the application of fall applied N, encouragement of rotations that do not require high rates of fertilizer-N addition, encouragement of field cover crops to reduce leaching, and establishment of grass or non-row crop buffer zones next to streams or where runoff is a problem.

inder various cropping pressions intes place wore astabilished in 1975 and 1976 on each of the two watersheds. The plots in AG-13 were catabilished in potato, hurley tobacco and acylean-greenhead fields. Those in AG-03 were located in winter wheat-corp, coto-scyboas and saybeen fields. Soll assaults to a depth of 75 on ware removed during the grewing remon and malvzed for MDg-8 and MAg-8 content. Plant samplet were also taken to determine total 8 content and dry weight. The information was complied and used to-obtain a simplified balance sheet by adding and suscence to be and used to-obtain a simplified balance sheet by adding and suscence to leaching, denitrification and turnobilitation locate

In the varies plots located within the cley versicated the sum of the average annual N inverse from fertilization (17 to 135 Xg/ha). Nata (18 16/ha) and nat atmeralization (130 to 140 hg/ha) ranged from 175 to 233 kg N/ha. Average sumual N recovery by the plants (nat soil) ranged from 116 to 202 kg N/ha. By subtracting the recovered N from this input N the estimated annual N losses (primarily by leacing and desirification) were calculated to range from 61 to 91 kg N/hg.

"In the three plots located within the sandy verdushed 40-13 the sum of the average mained W inputs from tertilization (13 to 115 te/hs). Take

OBJECTIVES

RIG CREEK

One of the primary objectives of the PLUARG Task C Detailed Watershed studies was to examine probable sources of nutrient pollution to the Great Lakes. Agricultural activities were suggested as major sources of nitrogen loads to the Great Lakes. As a result, the study presented here was initiated to examine some of the nitrogen transformation and transport processes on agricultural land in order to quantify and suggest remedial measures to help control nitrogen pollution to ground and surface waters. Two small agricultural watersheds were selected and nitrogen additions under different cropping and fertilizing practices were monitored. Also, at the mouth of the respective watersheds, N loss rates were monitored over time. The study was initiated with the following objectives in mind:

- 1. To monitor seasonal changes in nitrogen losses under various cropping and fertilizer practices.
- 2. To develop, where possible, nitrogen balance and simulation models to predict nitrogen levels entering groundwater supplies from various cropping and fertilizer practices by:
 - (a) Utilization of soil nitrogen characterization data as an aid in quantifying nitrogen transformations (Kowalenko, 1977).
 - (b) Utilization of field data defining soil water transport and storage properties (Topp, 1978).
- 3. To relate where possible, the collected information to groundwater nitrogen concentrations (Gillham et al., 1978) and nitrogen losses from the two watersheds studied.
- 4. To suggest remedial measures or alternative practices that might aid in the reduction of nitrogen loads from agriculture to surface and groundwater supplies.

a each plot. Grop height and plant density ware measured. Ganditions he crops and any decesizes in the crop or soll were noted. Date and you of finaling operations on the plots cars posses froithing of any mapting way initiated in 1975 in 1976, the above according run of each

FIELD STUDY

In order to monitor current agricultural practices and to obtain data to test and calibrate a computer model three small plots were established in May 1975 in each of a sandy loam Watershed (AG-13) and in a tile drained clay Watershed (AG-01), both near Leamington, Ontario (Figure 1). Watershed AG-01 was approximately 52 km², with land use predominantly corn, wheat, and soybeans and drained into the lower Thames River. Watershed AG-13 was approximately 21 km², of predominantly corn, tobacco, and horticultural crops and was drained by Hillman Creek directly into Lake Erie.

The three plots located on the sandy soils of AG-13 were in potato (Plot 1), tobacco (Plot 2), and soybean-greenbean (Plot 3) fields. The three plots located on Watershed AG-01 were in winter wheat-corn (Plot 4), corn-soybean (Plot 5), and soybean (Plot 6) fields. The size of each plot was approximately 1/40 hectare (15 m x 15 m). The location of these fields is marked on the maps in Figure 1. A more detailed description is given in the results section of this report.

1. Sampling

Once the plots were established, they were sampled periodically throughout the growing season. Soil samples were taken by auger from the 0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-45, 45-60, and 60-75 cm depths. In 1975, six replicates were sampled randomly from the plot area and each replicate was analyzed separately.

In 1976, the first three depths were replicated nine times, the last ones three times. Soybeans, greenbeans, corn, and wheat were sampled between the rows. The replicates of the potato plot were taken between the plants, after the ground had been levelled. In 1975, three paired replicates were removed from the tobacco plot, the first replicate of each pair centered on the side-dressed fertilizer band and the second of the pair centered about 30 cm out from the row, adjacent to the first replicate. In 1976, five replicates were sampled on the fertilizer band and the remaining four replicates were taken halfway between the rows.

In addition to soil sampling several other activities were conducted on each plot. Crop height and plant density were measured. Conditions of the crops and any anomalies in the crop or soil were noted. Date and type of farming operations on the plots were noted. Preliminary plant sampling was initiated in 1975. In 1976, the above-ground parts of each



Figure 1. Maps of Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13 showing locations of field study plots

crop were sampled with six replicates, the below ground parts with three replicates, except for tobacco where only two replicates were taken. Each replicate consisted of one plant and during the early growth stages the replicates of a particular plot were combined to obtain sufficient sample for analysis. Near the end of the growing season the plant was separated into parts which would be removed during harvest and ones which would be left as residues. The soil adhering to the below ground parts of the plant was washed off before drying, weighing and analyzing the sample. Plant analysis of cover crops included 1 m² samples of above ground parts.

In 1976, two suction lysimeters were installed on each plot at 90 and 150 cm. Water samples were removed periodically and analyzed for nitrate, ammonium and chloride.

Two Cl-treated plots were established in 1976 on existing plots to trace the movement of a mobile solute, not subject to microbial transformations. The Cl-treated plot on the sandy Watershed AG-13 (Plot 3) was established 19 May 1976, (one week before the green bean crop was planted) with the application of 380 kg Cl/ha broadcast as KCl. A similar chloride plot was established 14 May 1976 on the tile-drained clay Watershed AG-01 (Plot 4) with the application of 317 kg Cl/ha as KCl. In addition, on 13 May 1976 when the corn crop was planted the field received an extra 50 kg Cl/ha as KCl (0-0-60).

2. Sample Analysis

From the well mixed sandy loam soil sampled from the plots (AG-13), a 33 g subsample was placed in an erlenmeyer flask, 100 ml of 1N K₂SO₄ was added, and the mixture shaken for 1 hr. The mixture was filtered and the extract was used for NH₄-N, NO₃-N, and Cl analysis. The procedure for clays was similar, except a 50 g subsample was extracted with 150 ml of 1N K₂SO₄. NO₃-N and NH₄-N concentrations were determined by autoanalyzer (Keay and Menage, 1970 and Quinn et al., 1974). A specific ion electrode was used to determine chloride concentrations. Gravimetric moisture contents were determined by oven-drying overnight at 105° C. Plant material was dried, ground and weighed prior to total N analysis.

there associated. Check's total of

WEATHER SUMMARY 1975-1976

Monthly precipitation and temperature values during the 1975-1976 field sampling season are summarized for Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13 and compared to long term (30 year) climatic normals (Tables 1 and 2).

1. Precipitation

Precipitation measurements were made using Belfort weighing recording rain gauges (Sanderson, 1977) which were installed in May 1975 on plot #2 in AG-13 and on plot #4 in AG-01 (Figure 1). The information collected from such measurements during the two field sampling seasons is summarized for Hillman Creek (AG-13) in Figure 2.

From June 1975 to December 1976, precipitation was near normal (+3%) for AG-01 and significantly above normal (+34%) for AG-13 (Table 1). Thus, calculated annual N loss rates may be somewhat larger than normal for watershed AG-13.

Monthly analysis of precipitation data (Sanderson, 1977) for AG-O1 and AG-13 indicate that the fall periods (October-November) in 1975 and 1976 were drier than the long term average at nearby Leamington and one might expect the October-November runoff N losses to be less than those occurring under 'average' conditions. The sample period was also characterized by drier April-May periods and wetter Junes than average. Except for August 1975 the summer months were somewhat drier than would generally be typical. In August 1975, although precipitation exceeded the average by more than 200%, most of that excess precipitation could be accounted for by the 29-30th August storm (Figure 2). On 29 August, rainfall in AG-13 (105 mm) had a return period of 50 years. August 1975 rainfall for these watersheds would have been about normal but for this one storm. For the 1975-76 sample period, no other storm had a return period >5 years.

2. Temperature

Mean daily temperatures from May to November in 1975 and 1976 at Harrow, Ontario, were also recorded according to standard meteorological methods and are summarized for these time periods in Figure 2. These measurements were made using maximum and minimum thermometers in a Stevenson screen at Harrow which was about 32 km WSW of the two watersheds. Average monthly temperatures were similar to Leamington and were about normal for the two summer seasons but above normal during the winters of 1974-75 and

plant Plant	ere saches malyais	Water AG-	rshed -01	Watershed AG-13			
	Time Period	Precipitation mm	Deviation* %	Precipitation mm	Deviation %		
1975	Jan.	96**	+66	96**	+66		
	Feb.	64**	+23	64**	+23		
	Mar.	72**	+11	72**	+11		
	Apr.	59**	-26	59**	-26		
	May	48**	-40	48**	-40		
	June	141	+65	106	+24		
	July	30	-62	41	-48		
	Aug.	211	+202	232	+232		
	Sept.	67	-5	66	+10		
	Oct.	31	-48	30	-50		
	Nov.	52	-18	44	-30		
	Dec.	77	+19	74	+14		
1976	Jan.	48	-16	58	- uol-1 .es		
	Feb.	64	+24	80	+55		
	Mar.	117	+80	121	+147		
	Apr.	68	-15	60	-25		
	May	57	-28	52	-34		
	June	94	+10	95	+11		
	July	88	+11	87	+10		
	Aug.	14	-80	16	77		
	Sept.	87	+45	93	+55		
	Oct.	57	-4	56	-6		
	Nov.	18	-71	32	-49		
Ling.	Dec.	17	-74	20	-69		
June 7	75 to Dec.	76 1138	+3	1742	+34		

Table 1. Monthly precipitation totals for Watersheds AG-01, AG-13 and their percent deviation from long term norms at Leamington, Ontario

* deviation from 30 year normal at Leamington expressed as a percent **values were recorded at Leamington as rain gauges were not yet established on the watersheds.

Harrow, Ontarto, oure also recorded according to stradard meteorological methods and are summarized for these time periods in Figure 2. These measurements were ands using maximum and minimum thermometers in a Stevenso acreen as Harrow which was about 32 km WSW of the two estrais eds. Average mosthly temperatures here similar, to teamington and were about sormal forthe two summer seasing gut above normal during the wincers of 1975-75 and

runoff ev (Coulson, 24 Februa	Time Period	Average Monthly Temperature C	Deviation from long term normal C
1975	Jan.	-1.4	+2 7
	Feb.	-1.9	+2./
	Mar.	+0.3	+1.4 0.7
	Apr.	+4.7	-0.7
	May	+17.1	-3.2
	June	+20.2	+3.3
	July	+23.1	+0.6
	Aug.	+22.4	+0.9
	Sept.	+15.5	+0.9
	Oct.	+11.9	72.3
	Nov.	+7.7	-0.2
	Dec.	-1.4	+0.2
1976	Top		τ0.3
Deca deca	Fob	-6.4	-2.4
	Mor	+0.1	+3.2
	Anr	+3.9	+2.4
	Max	+9.0	+0.7
	Tuno	+12.8	-1.4
	Tuly	+20.8	+1.1
12-03 apr	Aug	+22.1	-0.1
	Aug.	+21.0	-0.4
	Oct.	+1/.1	-0.8
	Nov.	+8.9	-3.2
	Dec.	+1.2	-3.5
	Dec.	-5.6	-3.9

abre	4.	Mean monthly	temperatures	recorded	at	Leamington	Ontario	and	
		deviation fro	om long term m	norms.			oncarto	anu	

Figure 1. Hean daily temperatures at Herrow Research Heatlen and precipitation as measured in Millman Urack (AD-11) watershed.

> HOITATION (

1

Т

9



deviation from long tarm norms.



10

1975-76 (Table 2). For example, February was warmer than expected, especially in 1976. The warmer February temperatures, coupled with higher than normal precipitation at these times, probably resulted in earlier and larger peak spring runoff events in these years. Normally these major runoff events in this part of southwestern Ontario occur early in March (Coulson, 1967). Peak runoff events occurred in both AG-01 and AG-13 on 24 February 1975 and on 16-17 February 1976. Consequently, spring N losses may be larger and earlier in the year than normally expected.

3. Precipitation Nitrogen Concentrations

The average total N concentration in the precipitation that fell on PLUARG watersheds AG-O1 and AG-13 from May 1975 to May 1976 was 2.63 mg/l (Sanderson, 1977). Average NO₃-N was 1.27 mg/ ℓ over the same period. NO₃-N analysis includes dissolved NO₃-N and NO₂-N. The measured NO₃-N concentration in the watershed precipitation was about 0.3 mg/ ℓ less than the NO₃-N concentration mean over the Lake Ontario basin in 1970-1971 (Shiomi and Kurtz, 1973) but almost double that of rural Iowa rainfall in 1972-1973 (Tabatabai and Lafleur, 1976). Generally considerable variation in precipitation NO₃-N occurs even within one storm event (Cooper et al., 1976). Consequently, average precipitation N content values which have been used to calculate the loadings (Figure 3) were probably a reasonable long term approximation, although variation might be expected.

Using Sanderson's (1977) average total N and Kjeldahl N contents for the May 1975 - May 1976 period and precipitation data from the watersheds, total N and Kjeldahl N precipitation loads (kg/ha) were calculated for AG-O1 and AG-13 and averaged. The cumulative values for both measurements is averaged for the two watersheds from May 1975 - April 1976 (Figure 3). The Kjeldahl N measurement included soluble and particulate organic-N and NH₄-N. Total N included these N forms plus NO₃-N and NO₂-N. Roughly equivalent amounts (12 kg N/ha) of N fell annually as NO₃-N and Kjeldahl N.

The Kjeldahl-N component was most important during the spring and early summer periods (Figure 3). Since this component includes organic N, as well as dissolved NH4-N, it may be that washed-out suspended materials contribute more at this time than during late summer, fall and winter. Higher atmospheric particulate concentrations might be expected during periods of little crop cover and from cultivation that occurs in the spring and early summer period.



Figure 3. Cumulative average precipitation N input (kg/ha) to Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13, May 1975 to April 1976 (based on N concentration measurements by M. Sanderson, 1977).

Table 3. Means and standard deviations for nitrate (NO₃-N), total kjeldahl N (TKN), dissolved ammonium (NH₄-N and total N (TKN+NO₃-N) for Watersheds AG-O1 and AG-13 during 1974-1977 sample period (stream concentrations)

Parameter	Watershed	Number of Samples	Mean* (mg N/1)	Standard Deviation
NO3-N*	AG-01	395	3.35	4.17
	AG-13	306	4.86	3.22
TKN	AG-01	413	1.39	1.01
	AG-13	318	1.40	1.04
NH4-N	AG-01	384	0.21	1.01
	AG-13	301	0.34	1.04
TOTAL N	AG-01	384	4.7	2.3
	AG-13	293	6.2	0.3

*Watersheds significantly different at the p=.01 level

STREAM NITROGEN CONCENTRATIONS AND LOADS

The N parameters measured in runoff from these watersheds included total Kjeldahl N (TKN) on the bulk water samples and dissolved NO₃-N and dissolved NH₄-N on water filtered through a 0.45 μ membrane. Although the method of chemical analysis for NO₃-N does not distinguish between NO₃-N and NO₂-N, it is likely that NO₃-N is the predominant chemical form. In the discussion which follows, this measurement will be referred to as NO₃-N. Also, the sum of dissolved NO₃-N + NH₄-N was considered dissolved N (DN). TKN + soluble NO₃-N was considered total N.

1. Concentration

Average NO3-N concentrations were 4.86 mg/l for AG-13 and 3.35 mg/l for AG-01 with standard deviations of 3.22 and 4.17 mg/l respectively during the 1974 to April 1977 sample period (Table 3). Watershed AG-13 with the highest NO3-N concentration had greater percent tobacco and vegetable land use, about the same corn proportion and slightly more estimated tile drained land than AG-01 (Frank and Ripley, 1977). There was little difference in average total Kjeldahl nitrogen concentrations (TKN) between watersheds with averages and standard deviations for AG-13 of 1.40±1.04 mg/l and of 1.39±1.01 mg/l for AG-01. Dissolved NH4-N ranged from 0.34±0.43 mg/l for AG-13 to 0.21±0.31 mg/l for AG-01. The higher dissolved NH4-N concentration in AG-13 may be a reflection of the higher rural housing density (17.3 houses/km²) in this watershed as compared with AG-01 (4.1 houses/km²). The N concentrations tended to be higher than the 0.22 to 2.07 mg/l average total inorganic N values reported for less intense agricultural watersheds in the St. Lawrence Lowlands (Neilsen and Mackenzie, 1977) but lower than the 10-20 mg/1 NO3-N concentration frequently found in subsurface discharge for intensively fertilized watersheds in Iowa (Burwell et al., 1976). It is apparent that NO3-N comprises the bulk of readily available N lost in runoff and consequently most of the following discussion will be concerned with NO3-N.

The drinking water standard for NO_3-N in Ontario is 10 mg $NO_3-N/1.*$ Over the sampling period, this concentration was exceeded by 7.8% of the samples in AG-01 and by 7.5% of the samples in AG-13. Concentrations surpassed 5.0 mg $NO_3-N/1$ 24% of the time in AG-01 and 47.4% of the time in AG-13 suggesting that runoff waters were often at concentrations close to, but not exceeding, the NO_3-N drinking water standard. The 0.3 mg/1 N

*Ontario Water Resource Commission, 1970. Guidelines and criteria for water quality management in Ontario. Toronto. 26 p.







Figure 5. Mean monthly NO3-N concentration and standard deviation for Big Creek Watershed (AG-01) during 1975-1976 sample period.

14

concentration, which has frequently been considered a critical threshold in accelerating eutrophication (Biggar and Corey, 1969) was exceeded 71.1% of the time in AG-01 and 97.7% of the time in AG-13. Therefore, in general NO₃-N concentrations of runoff waters consistently demonstrate magnitudes which would tend to accelerate algal growth if no other growth factors were limiting.

For both watersheds, monthly average NO₃-N stream concentrations tended to be higher during the fall and winter periods than during the summer months. NO₃-N concentrations were higher at times of greater runoff. The annual concentration pattern for AG-13 can be described using a sine curve relationship as suggested by Johnson et al. (1976) (Figure 4). This relationship was not as consistent for AG-01 (Figure 5) where June and July NO₃-N concentrations were extremely variable. In AG-01 about 50% of the water samples with concentrations exceeding the drinking water standard were collected during June and July while in AG-13 the comparable number was 20%. In AG-13 most samples exceeded the 10 mg/1 standard during high flow periods of fall, winter and spring.

The seasonal pattern in the NO₃-N concentration means may also suggest different hydrologic sources of the runoff. During periods of low flow (generally the summer months) groundwater should be expected to contribute most to stream flow. For example, in both years, August sampling took place at times of low flow, at which time NO₃-N concentrations were also low. This suggested a low NO₃-N concentration in base flow from these two watersheds. June samples, however, were taken at times of much more variable flow rates. During June, large N sidedressings for corn and tobacco and high net mineralization occurred suggesting that large amounts of readily available N were stored within the watersheds at this time.

Also, plant cover and plant N uptake were not yet optimal and soil moisture contents were likely high resulting in greater runoff events of high N content in June than later in the growing season. The clay watershed, AG-O1, which would have a greater tendency for surface runoff had a high variation in stream N concentration in June.

Johnson et al. (1976) also found watersheds in which highest NO_3-N concentrations occurred during June, July and August. He attributed this to the significant contributions of septic tank outflows to total stream flow during this time. This situation does not appear to occur in AG-01 or AG-13 as summer concentrations were low during low flow conditions.

In summary, the highest annual stream NO₃-N concentration tended to occur during times of the movement of large volumes of water. Similar seasonal observations have been reported for NO₃-N in Ontario by German (1967) in the Grand River, by Johnson and Owen (1971) for the Trent, Moira, Salmon and Napanne rivers and by Webber (1971) for Swan Creek near the Elora Research Station. Further details concerning these and other studies were reported by Hore and Maclean in the C.D.A. Task Force for implementation of the Great Lakes Water Quality Program.* During the summer, at times

^{*}Hore, F.R., and A.J. Maclean. CDA Task Force for Implementation of Great Lakes Water quality program. Section II Task Force Report on fertilizer nutrients and animal husbandry operations.



Figure 6. Relationship between mean daily discharge and NO₃-N concentrations prior to and after a storm on 24 June 1976 in Hillman Creek (AG-13) Watershed.

of low precipitation and excessive evapotranspiration, stream concentrations were generally low. With the decline in evapotranspiration and recharge of soil moisture in the fall, average stream concentrations increased and continued at a high level until spring runoff, at which time completion of leaching processes may have resulted in declining stream N concentrations. Deviations from this general pattern occurred in June and July when intense summer storms produced large volumes of surface runoff and high NO₃-N concentrations were present in the surface layers of watershed soils.

2. Flow-Concentration Relationships

On a seasonal basis, higher NO₃-N concentrations tended to occur at times of high flow from the watersheds. Increased N concentration at high flow was also demonstrated for a June storm in AG-13 (Figure 6). For this storm, NO₃-N concentration showed a pattern of increase similar to the increase in instantaneous discharge although peak NO₃-N concentration occurred about 12 hours after peak discharge. The magnitude of concentration increase, however, was much less than the relative increase in storm discharge.

Best fit regression equations (Table 4) relating flow and dissolved and total N concentrations were of the form

 $\ln N = Bo + B_1 \ln Q - B_2 Q$

where N = dissolved or total N concentration (mg/l) Q = discharge rate (ft³/sec)

The relationships between discharge and concentration showed considerable variation (r^2 ranged between .40 and .50). The form of the equations suggested that maximum stream concentration did not occur at maximum discharge. Maximum discharge in both years in both watersheds occurred during the February spring melt and although the sine curve relationship would predict maximum stream concentration during this month, actual mean monthly concentrations were lower than those at other times of the year (Figures 4 and 5). This reflected lower N concentrations in snowmelt runoff which had a more limited contact with the soil mass.

3. Loss Rates

Methods of Calculation

Soluble N loss rates can be calculated as:

N = kCQ

where N = nitrogen loss rate (mass/time)

- $Q = discharge (ft^3/sec)$
- C = concentration of nitrogen parameter of concern (mg/1)
- k = constant to convert losses to mass/unit time (2.45 if losses were calculated in kg/day).

Table 4.	Regression coefficients relating nitroge	n concentration to instantaneous (Qi) and mean daily
	(0) flow for Watersheds AG-01 and AG-1	3 for January 1975 to March 1977.
	(AV) IION IOI MOTO	

		Tota	1 Nitroge	n (TN)*		il.	Dissolved Nitrogen (DN)**				
Water- shed	Dis- charge	Dis- Coefficients charge			r ²	Obser- vations	Coefficients			r ²	Obser- vations
1	Qi/QAV	Во	B1	B2	1	5 F	Во	B1	B2		
AG-01	Qi SE ⁺	.4563 (.0548)	.3154 (.0252)	.0011 (.0003)	.41	268	-1.250 (.1189)	-7120 (.0801)	.0030 (.0004)	.46	268
	QAV SE	.4468 (.0672)	.3233 (.0272)	.0008 (.0004)	. 48	208	-1.267 (.1399)	.7167 (.0558)	.0027 (.0009)	.50	208
	Qi SE	.8939 (.0561)	.4180 (.0290)	.0049 (.0010)	.55	195	.3721 (.0908)	.5724 (.0470)	.0072 (.0017)	. 47	195
AG-13	QAV SE	.9439 (.0576)	.4204 (.0828)	.0075 (.0014)	.51	192	.4361 (.0936)	.5816 (.0533)	.0114 (.0023)	. 42	192

*TN = Kjeldahl-N + NO3-N

 $**DN = NO_3 - N + NH_4 - N$

 SE^+ = standard error for the regression coefficient.

18

Since Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13 have been continuously monitored for discharge but not for N concentrations, accurate calculation of loss rates requires some way of estimating N concentrations on unsampled days. A number of methods have been used and will be discussed in this report.

First was the NAQUADAT Method, currently in use in the Federal Department of the Environment* which linearly interpolated the product of discharge (Q) and concentration (C) between sampling times. The second method required development of discharge (Q), concentration (C) regressions as illustrated in Table 4. The statistical equations were then used to estimate N concentration on unsampled days. The third method treated the estimation of loads over a given time interval (a year) as a sampling problem. Since daily discharge frequency distributions are often skewed for small watersheds (Chow, 1964), stratification of the overall sample flows was required to normalize subsample populations. For AG-01 and AG-13, two strata were found to adequately normalize the population. The first stratum consisted of the highest 15% of flows (55 days); the second consisted of the rest. Average daily loads were calculated within each stratum, and from these a weighted daily load for the year was determined (Hydroscience, 1976). The chief advantage of this method was the establishment of confidence intervals for the loss rates.

Annual loss rates for dissolved nitrogen (DN) and total nitrogen (TN) have been calculated by the three previously outlined methods (Table 5). DN represented soluble $NO_3-N + NH_4-N$ but excludes dissolved organic N while TN was the sum of total Kjeldahl N and NO_3-N . The annual rates calculated by the NAQUADAT and regression methods were always less than the annual means calculated by the stratified sample method although not significantly as generally the loss rates fell within the confidence intervals.

Only the NAQUADAT and regression DN and TN loads of AG-13 in 1975 were below the lower confidence limit. However, it should be noted that no NAQUADAT, January 1975 losses could be calculated because of a lack of sampling at that time. If regressed values for that month were added to NAQUADAT loss rates, the summed monthly AG-13 loads approached the lower confidence limit. Since annual losses calculated by the stratified sample method offer the advantage of a confidence interval and do not appear to significantly differ from the other methods, these values will be referred to when comparing annual AG-01 and AG-13 N loss rates to other literature values.

Only the NAQUADAT and regression methods allowed calculation of monthly loss rates and neither methods yielded consistently higher or lower monthly losses. The accuracy of the NAQUADAT method depended upon the representativeness of the flow conditions at the sampling time while the accuracy of the regression method depended upon the validity of the flow-concentration function over the time interval of interest. The

*Demayo, A. and E. Hunt. National Water Quality Data Bank - NAQUADAT. A description of the system. Environment Canada, Ottawa.

fulfillment of these two different conditions varied from month to month. However, such monthly differences did not result in marked annual loss rate differences between these two methods (Table 5). NAQUADAT loss rate values, which did not depend upon statistically derived Q-C relationships, will be referred to when discussing seasonal N loss variation.

Magnitude of Losses

The watershed N losses discussed in this section represent N which has moved past the stream sampling point at the drainage outlet of the watershed. Thus, the measurement does not include watershed N losses from denitrification or volatilization. Unit area N losses were calculated by equally distributing N loss over all parts of the watershed.

Average annual total N losses for 1975-1976 were 22.2 kg N/ha and 29.4 kg N/ha for AG-01 and AG-13, respectively. These annual losses were about double the 1961-1967 average losses from southern Ontario tile drains under fertilized continuous corn (Webber and Elrick 1967, Bolton et al. 1970) although N losses in excess of 30 kg N/ha/year were also reported. Similar high total N losses were found for a watershed with 33% corn acreage in the St. Lawrence lowlands (Neilsen and Mackenzie, 1977) and N losses as large as these have been reported in Iowa for small heavily fertilized watersheds seeded to corn (Burwell et al. 1974, 1976). The higher losses from AG-13 may reflect the greater fertilizer input primarily on corn, tobacco and potatoes in AG-13 (67.0 kg N/watershed hectare) compared to AG-01 (58.4 kg N/watershed hectare) (Frank and Ripley, 1977). In addition, 59% of AG-13 fertilizer N was applied under preplant conditions at times of low crop cover. Only 22% of N was similarly applied in AG-01. Some of the greater loss rates from AG-13 reflected greater annual runoff from this sandy watershed. In 1976, 32 centimeters ran off AG-13 compared to 25 centimeters from AG-01. Furthermore less excess N may have been lost due to denitrification from the sandy watershed. Nevertheless, total N loss rates from either of these two watersheds were not large when compared to the estimated 24 kg total N input/hectare from precipitation.

During the two year monitoring period, DN accounted for 87% and 64% of the total N losses in the sandy (AG-13) and clay (AG-01) watersheds, respectively. This implies that sediment associated N losses were relatively more important from the clay watershed which had a higher sediment loss rate than AG-13 despite lower discharges (580 kg/ha versus 414 kg/ha). Most of the dissolved N (93% for both watersheds over two years) was lost as NO₃-N indicating the predominance of N anion over N cation loss from these agricultural watersheds.

For both watersheds, DN and TN loss rates in 1975 exceeded those during 1976. This may in part have reflected higher runoff since 36 and 33 centimeters of runoff occurred from AG-13 during 1975 and 1976 respectively. The comparable figures for AG-01 were 32 centimeters in 1975 and 25 centimeters in 1976. Over the whole 1975-1976 period, average annual discharges exceeded long term norms for the area (Coulson, 1967) by 28 centimeters (100%) in AG-01 and by 41 centimeters (145%) in AG-13. Annual N loss rates calculated for this time period likely also represented above 'average' loss rates.

Watershed N Parameter		Year	Annual Loss Rate Stratified-sample NAQUADAT Regression Method** kg/ha kg/ha		
AG-01	DN	1975 1976	13.6* 10.5	15.7 10.5	18.0± 8.5 10.5± 4.0
	TN	1975 1976	18.2* 15.7	22.2 14.3	28.6±12.4 15.8± 5.5
AG-13	DN	1975 1976	21.8* 19.9	23.2 19.0	30.9±2.2 20.2± 2.6
	TN	1975 1976	24.2* 22.6	26.5 21.6	34.5± 2.9 24.2± 3.2

Table 5. Annual dissolved (DN) and total N(TN) loss rates as calculated by NAQUADAT, regression and stratified-sample methods for Watersheds AG-01 and AG-13, 1975 and 1976.

*no January 1975 estimates of DN or TN included in annual NAQUADAT loss rate **as calculated and supplied by B. Bodo Ministry of Environment, Ontario (does not include dissolved NH4-N)
The monthly N loss rates closely followed the monthly distribution of runoff as indicated by the coincidence of peak monthly discharge and DN loss rates in February (Figure 7), the month of the peak spring runoff event in 1975 and 1976. In addition, DN loss rates were low during September to December 1976, at which time runoff comprised a small fraction of annual flow for these two watersheds. In 1976, 57.1% of AG-01 and 67.7% of AG-13 annual DN losses occurred during February and March during which time 74.2 and 67.7% of their respective annual runoff occurred. These results supported the conclusions of Sharpley et al. (1976) that variation in discharge rather than stream concentrations was the predominant cause of variation in loss rates. Since most of DN losses occurred during the December to March winter period, it was also clear that this season represented the most critical time for N loss. Reduction of soil N content prior to December could result in a maximum annual conservation of watershed N.

4. Summary

For the predominantly sandy (AG-13) and clay (AG-01) agricultural watersheds, the following conclusions could be drawn concerning stream N concentrations and losses.

The predominant N species lost from both these watersheds was NO₃-N, although the 10 mg/l Ontario drinking water standard was exceeded only 7% of the time. Nevertheless, especially for sandy watershed AG-13, a 0.3 mg/l N limited (for algal growth) level may prove extremely difficult to achieve. Although there was little difference between the 2 year average total Kjeldahl N concentrations between watersheds, 36% of total N losses occurred as Kjeldahl-N from the clay watersheds (compared to 13% from AG-13). This was associated with higher sediment losses from the clay watersheds.

For both watersheds, there was a positive relationship between discharge and NO_3 -N concentration. This had the consequence that highest NO_3 -N concentrations and losses occurred during non growing season months of high runoff (December-April). Also, increased NO_3 -N concentration was associated with the increased discharge of storm runoff. This indicated both the mobility of N at times of excess moisture and the need to be concerned with reducing soil N content prior to the late fall, winter and spring runoff periods.

The positive association of NO₃-N - the predominant N species lost in runoff - with discharge helps explain the larger unit area total N losses during a wetter 1975 compared to 1976. Also, the greater runoff from the sandy watershed, as well as greater fertilizer rates and reduced denitrification losses within this watershed may explain the larger average annual (29 kg total N/watershed hectare) total N loss compared to the clay watershed (22 kg N/watershed hectare). Nevertheless, unit area losses were not large compared to estimated annual N inputs with precipitation (24.0 kg N/watershed hectare).



Figure 7. Correspondence of monthly dissolved nitrogen loss rates and monthly distribution of runoff for AG-01 and AG-13.

TILE-DRAINED CLAY WATERSHED AG-01 RESULTS

1. Plot 4: Wheat-Corn N and C1 Results

1975 Wheat Plot

Plot 4 was located on Brookston clay (Orthic Humic Gleysol) which was not tile-drained until late fall 1975 (Figure 1, Mersea Twp., Lot 10, Con. 9). In 1973 and 1974 the field was seeded to soybeans with no fertilizer added. Winter wheat (Triticum aestinum, var. Fredrick) was planted in fall 1974 with 27 kg N/ha as 8-32-16. During the first week of April 1975 an additional 65 kg N/ha as 34-0-0 (NH₄NO₃) was added. The accumulated masses (kg N/ha) of NO3-N in the 0-20 and 0-75 cm depths, NH4-N in the 0-75 cm depths, plant uptake, and fertilizer addition for the 1975 wheat plot are shown in Figure 8. The average concentrations of NO3-N and NH4-N plotted with respect to depth are shown in Figure 9. Summarized results including means, standard deviations, and accumulated masses of NO3-N, NH4-N, and water content may be found in Appendix I. The dry matter production data and nitrogen content data used to calculate total plant uptake (including roots and tops) and the separation between root and top growth was presented in a previous report (De Jong and Cameron et al., 1977).

Total plant uptake (wheat harvested 11 July 1975) was approximately 135 kg N/ha (Figure 8). The winter wheat grain yield was approximately 4600 kg/ha and at 2.0% N, the N removed by the grain was 92 kg/ha or 68% of the total plant uptake. Daigger et al. (1976) have found grain yields accounting for 61% of the total plant N. Soil NO_3 -N mass decreased from 64 kg/ha/75 cm on 22 May 1975 to 5 kg/ha/75 cm on 22 October 1975. NH₄-N decreased from 51 to 12 kg N/ha/75 cm. Total fertilizer addition including fall 1974 and spring 1975 was 92 kg N/ha. The recommended fertilization rate* was 88 kg N/ha. Assuming an initial N content of 30 kg/ha/75 cm, the total soil N production between fall 1974 and fall 1975 was approximately 30 kg N/ha/75 cm.

 NO_3-N and NH_4-N concentrations decreased steadily from 22 May to 22 October, 1975 (Figure 9). The 10.5 cm rain on 29 August followed by the 4.5 cm rain on 30 August probably leached a considerable amount of N from

*Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food. 1977 Field Crop Recommendations.



Figure 8. Accumulated NO₃-N and extractable NH₄-N masses (kg N/ha/depth), and fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 winter wheat and 1976 corn Plot 4, Watershed AG-O1.





the 75 cm profile. Field notes indicate abundant weed growth after harvest as the field was not cultivated. Some of the soil N was likely removed by the growing weeds.

1976 Corn Plot

In the early winter of 1975 the field on which Plot 4 was located was tile-drained. The stubble and weed debris were burned off before tile installation. On 13 May 1976 the field was seeded to corn (Zea mays L., var. 345 Co-op) with the addition of 112 kg N/ha as urea and 67 kg N/ha as NH4NO3. The recommended N rate* was 168 kg N/ha. The seasonal changes in NH4-N and NO3-N levels in the 75 cm profile are shown in Figures 8 and 9. NO3-N increased from 20 kg/ha on 12 May 1976 to 230 kg N/ha/75 cm on 16 June 1976. This increase was primarily due to the addition of 179 kg/ha of fertilizer N and net mineralization of soil nitrogen. Total corn plant N-uptake was 210 kg N/ha and corresponded to a decrease in readily available soil N from approximately 300 kg/ha on 16 June to 65 kg N/ha/75 cm on 25 October 1976. The corn in Plot 4 was grown for grain production and the stovers, husks and cobs were worked back in the soil. Generally, grain dry matter accounts for 35-45% of the above ground dry matter production but results are variable (43-50%, Hanway, 1962; 33-35%, Chaudhary et al., 1975; 28-44%, Fribourg et al., 1976; and 42-64%, Beauchamp et al., 1976). Corn ears (grain, cob and husks) account for approximately 60-65% of the above ground dry matter production (Hanway, 1962). Corn grain contains 1.42-1.78% N while the stovers contain 0.56-0.75% N (Chaudhary et al., 1975 and Beauchamp et al., 1976). Calculations from this plot indicated that about 97 kg N/ha was removed with the grain and 113 kg N/ha was incorporated in the soil as stover and root debris. Pierre et al. (1971) showed N-uptake in grain and cobs ranging from 28 to 137 kg/ha.

 NO_3-N and NH_4-N distributions with respect to depth (Figure 9) showed diminishing concentrations over time. By 28 June 1976 the NH_4-N concentration had decreased from 60 ug/g in the surface 10 cm (20 May 1976) to less than 5 ug/g. Most of this decrease was probably a result of nitrification. Surface NO_3-N concentrations tended to remain relatively high (77 to 136 ug/g) and slowly decreased to near 10 ug/g on 25 October 1976. Most of this decrease was probably a result of plant uptake. Leaching losses were difficult to determine from these results. NO_3-N concentrations near the 60 cm depth tended to remain less than 2 ug/g except for 25 October where fall rains may have induced some downward NO_3-N movement.

Cl and NO3-N Leaching

In order to trace the movement of a mobile ion similar to NO_3 -N, but not subject to microbial activity, 317 kg Cl/ha as KCl was applied to Plot 4 on 14 May 1976. In addition, on 13 May when the corn crop was planted the field received an extra 50 kg Cl/ha as KCl (0-0-60). The accumulated Cl mass (kg/ha) for the 0-20 and 0-75 cm

*Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food. 1977 Field Crop Recommendations.

depth intervals and the corresponding concentration distributions for Cl with respect to depth for each of the sampling times are shown in Figure 10. The 3 June sampling showed that only 337 kg Cl/ha was recovered in the 0-75 cm layer. The 16 June sampling gave results which were high. The average of 16 June and 3 June chloride results might represent a reasonable recovery rate. The chloride mass in the clay plot decreased steadily throughout the summer from approximately 440 kg Cl/ha in early June to near 320 kg C1/ha/75 cm by 15 September 1976. Chloride uptake by the corn amounted to 147 kg Cl/ha by 15 September 1976 which would account for the steady chloride decrease during the summer. The accumulated chloride mass in the soil increased to 395 kg C1/ha/75 cm by 25 October 1976. This apparent increase may have been due to sampling error and also to chloride loss from the corn plant. The percent chloride in the corn stalks decreased from 2.25% on 15 September to 1.46% on 25 October 1976 resulting in a loss of 56 kg Cl/ha from the corn plants. The corn crop was harvested 30 October 1976. By 25 March 1977 the chloride mass had decreased to 185 kg/ha. In terms of added Cl (367 kg/ha) there was a 69% loss between application and early spring 1977. During the winter period between 25 October 1976 and 2 May 1977, the mineral N mass increased from 65 kg N/ha to 90 kg N/ha/75 cm. Presumably any N loss due to winter leaching and spring runoff was regained by early spring mineralization.

The chloride distribution patterns (Figure 10) on the clay Plot 4 tended to parallel those of NO_3 -N (Figure 9) where most of the chloride was retained near the surface. The 25 Oct. 1976 and 24 March 1977 sampling showed signs of leaching beyond 60 cm.

The 90 cm and 150 cm suction lysimeters were installed 14 May 1975. Measured NO₃-N and Cl concentrations are given in Table 6. Although the profile distributions of NO₃-N and Cl did not indicate substantial leaching during the summer, both the 90 and 150 cm suction lysimeters showed definite concentration peaks of NO₃-N and Cl on 28 June and 7 July 1976. The tile drain concentrations (near Plot 4) also tended to peak at this time. The appearance of the early summer slug of salt was most likely induced by the heavy rains in late June (one storm yielded 4 cm of rain Figure 2). It is also possible that some of the salt could have been leached down the side of the lysimeter tube, but the nature of the clay soil suggests otherwise.

There are several factors that can enhance the movement of NO_3 -N and Cl in the tile-drained clay soils. The period of late May to early June was relatively dry (Figure 2) allowing the clay soils to shrink, opening small fissures and cracks in the soil. With the onset of a heavy rainstorm these cracks could act as channels to conduct both water and mobile nutrients downward. It was suspected that even when these clay soils are wet and fully expanded, the fissures and cracks do not completely close and consequently can act as important transport channels. In addition, during spring, there is very little crop cover and precipitation losses due to interception are minimal, allowing all the rain to reach the soil. It was postulated that the concentration peaks measured with the lysimeters and at the tile drains were a direct result of NO_3 -N and Cl movement from the surface down through the cracks and fissures in the soil.



Figure 10. Cumulative chloride mass (kg/ha) for 0-20 and 0-75 cm depth intervals and the corresponding concentration distributions for Cl with respect to depth for each of the sampling times on 1975 corn Plot 4, Watershed AG-01.

SAMPLING		NO3-N			Cl	eachd Sarly
DATE	90 cm	150 cm	tile	90 cm	150 cm	tile
May 12	-	10 0 0 0	5	enters The	NO PERCE	ed Lobe 7
May 20	5	2	-	en 10 sempl	as error .	and olar
June 3	2	2	4		199 Bergeber	1.976
June 17	1	3	-	50	66	
June 28	105	33	40	124	100	89
July 7	98	39	15	100	103	89
July 21	63	-	20	89	Elan n	82
July 23	21	16	-	105	88	
July 28	36	18	-	e anti-	105	
Aug. 9	23	8	-	77	72	
Aug. 30	-	5	-	-	58	
Sept. 17	-	4		12	14	
Oct. 25	-	3				
Nov. 12	-	3		78		
Mar. 25, 1977	60	21		98	156	

Table 6. NO_3-N and Cl concentrations (ug/g) measured on suction lysimeter samples taken from Plot 4 at 90 and 150 cm depths in 1976 and tile drain NO_3-N and Cl concentrations.

2. Plot 5: Corn - Soybean N Results

1975 Corn Plot

Plot 5 was located about 4 km east of Plot 4 on Brookston clay (Mersea Twp., Lot 16, Con. 10). The field on which the plot was located was originally tiled in 1920. It was re-tiled in 1970 with 10 cm tiles approximately 9 m apart. The 1973 crop was corn with 44 kg N/ha applied in spring as 18-46-0, and later 224 kg N/ha of anhydrous NH₃. The 1974 crop was soybeans with no fertilizer added. Each fall the clay soil was plowed to a depth of 20 cm.

In 1975 corn (Zea mays L., var. 4321 Funk) was planted 5 May with a side-dressing of 38 kg N/ha as 18-46-0. On May 28, an additional 165 kg N/ha as anhydrous NH₃ (82-0-0) was incorporated at the 15 cm depth. The accumulated soil N (kg/ha) for the 0-20 and 0-75 cm layers (Figure 11) was derived from corresponding average N profile distribution data (Figure 12, µg/g oven-dry soil) using measured field bulk densities. Plant accumulation was calculated as kg N/ha and included both top and root combinations (Figure 11).

Soil mineral N mass increased from 105 kg N/ha on the first sampling (26 May 1975) to 192 kg N/ha on 15 July 1975 then steadily decreased to 30 kg N/ha/75 cm on 15 October 1975. Part of the decrease was due to plant uptake of 140-150 kg N/ha. Corn grain yield was approximately 6900 kg/ha and accounted for 98 kg N/ha. Profile distributions of NO₃-N (Figure 12, 15 July and 12 August 1975) showed that most of the NO₃-N was retained in the surface layers. However, by 15 October 1975 concentrations of NO₃-N in the profile were less than 4 μ g/g. It was suspected that the heavy rainstorm at the end of August (Figure 2) contributed to the leaching losses of NO₃-N between 12 August and 15 October 1975 as plant uptake appeared to be minimal during that time.

1976 Soybean Plot

Soybeans (Glycine max., var. Amsoy) were planted in Plot 5 on 31 May 1976 with no added fertilizer. The total mineral N mass (sum of NO₃-N and NH4-N) did not change appreciably throughout the growing season (Figure 11). The soybean plants accumulated 325-357 kg N/ha. The crop was harvested 7 October 1976 and yielded 1882 kg/ha of beans. Hanway and Weber (1971b) have indicated that mature soybean seeds contain about 6.4% N. Using their value, the soybean harvest removed 121 kg N/ha. The seed yield obtained from Plot 5 is comparable to those obtained by Ham et al. (1975) and Hanway and Weber (1971a), but the calculated seed N mass tended to be lower than those obtained by Hanway and Weber (1971c). Plot 5 seed N mass accounted for 39% of the above ground plant N, whereas Hanway and Weber (1971c) results showed seed N masses accounting for 62-69%.

The profile distribution patterns of NO_3-N (Figure 12) indicated little leaching; only 14 July 1976 showed any signs of leaching below 60 cm as the NO_3-N concentrations at this depth had increased from the previous









17 June 1976 sampling. The frequent rains at the end of June probably contributed to NO_3 -N leaching in these clay soils.

The slight increase in NH_4 -N mass between 22 September and 12 October was due to the addition of 22 kg N/ha as 8-32-16 fertilizer. After the soybean harvest (7 October) the soil was plowed and planted to winter wheat with broadcast fertilizer on 12 October. The 2 May 1977 accumulated mineral N mass was 107 kg/ha/75 cm and reflects the April 1977 addition of 66 kg N/ha as urea (46-0-0) on the winter wheat.

Water Table and Suction Lysimeter Results

Water table wells were installed in each of the plots in late summer 1975. Depth to the water table was recorded at Plot 5 from 5 October 1975 to 25 March 1977 (Table 7). The water table was 248 cm deep in November 1975 and gradually rose to 100 cm in late February 1976. The water table level fell throughout the summer and fall of 1976 to a low of 345 cm in February 1977, then, with the sudden spring melt, it rapidly increased to near 90 cm in March 1977. The water table levels in the denser clay soils in Plot 6 were considerably higher (18 to 72 cm) than those of Plot 5, however, both water tables showed similar seasonal responses.

The suction lysimeters were installed at 90 and 150 cm on 16 June 1976 on Plot 5 (soybeans). The NO_3 -N measurements on the solutions obtained from the suction lysimeters did not show any consistent trends. Measured NO_3 -N concentrations at 90 cm ranged from 2 to 13 mg/l and those at 150 cm ranged from 2 to 10 mg/l.

3. Plot 6: Soybean N Results

1975 Soybean Plot

Plot 6 was located in an area of heavy Brookston clay (Tilbury W. Twp., Lot 11, Con. 10). The field was tile drained. Winter wheat was planted in fall 1972 with 18 kg N/ha as 8-32-16 applied with the seed and 56 kg N/ha as NH_4NO_3 applied in spring 1973. After harvest the field was plowed to 15-20 cm depth. In 1974 soybeans were planted and after harvest at plowdown 18 kg N/ha as 8-32-16 was added. In 1975 soybeans (Glycine max, var. Wells) were planted 10 May with no additional fertilizer. Plant accumulation of N amounted to 257 kg N/ha by 10 Sept. 1975, not all from the soil (Figure 13). Ham et al. (1975) have estimated that 27 to 40% of soybean plant N was fixed by the root nodules. Webber (1966) has estimated that only 50% of soybean N accumulation comes from the soil. Assuming the N_2 -fixation supplied 40% of the plant N, 154 kg N/ha was removed from the soil.

Soil NO_3 -N mass decreased from 75 kg/ha on 23 July 1975 to less than 25 kg/ha/75 cm on 10 September 1975 (Figure 13). Part of this decrease is due to plant uptake but the excessive rains on 29 August (10.5 cm) and 30 August (4.5 cm) may have contributed to much of this loss (Figure 2). The profile NO_3 -N concentrations showed a definite decrease between 23 July and 10 September 1975.

SAMPLING DATE	Depth of W	ater Table (cm)	NO3-N Concentrations (mg/1)			
	Plot 5	Plot 6	90 cm depth	150 cm depth		
5 Oct. 75	235	62		0		
29 Oct. 75	248	72				
12 Nov. 75	248	61				
4 Dec. 75	235	60				
21 Dec. 75	198	66				
19 Jan. 76	147	59				
6 Feb. 76	105	52				
26 Feb. 76	100	18				
17 Mar. 76	105	28				
23 Mar. 76	123	52				
15 Apr. 76	130	38				
10 May 76	107					
8 June 76	143					
29 June 76	148		13	5		
21 July 76	180		4	8		
5 Aug. 76	183		9	4		
17 Sept. 76	295		the spin _ restauron	2		
12 Oct. 76	302		2	10		
12 Nov. 76	325		sheet to a give pre	3		
7 Jan. 77	330			live of here		
11 Feb. 77	345		s R- OK hassinne	Figure 13. Au		
10 Mar. 77	89		Ann Papor to S(s)	160		
25 Mar. 77	91		11	6		

Table 7. Water table levels for Plots 5 and 6 and suction lysimeter NO3-N concentrations in Plot 5







Figure 13. Accumulated NO3-N and extractable NH4-N masses (kg N/ha/depth), fertilizer addition, crop uptake and concentration distributions (ug/g) of NO₃-N and NH₄-N with respect to depth for each of the sampling times on 1975 soybean Plot 6, Watershed AG-01.

7 Jani: 17

The soybean crop was harvested on 25 September 1975, the field plowed, and winter wheat planted on 30 September with 17 kg N/ha as 7-28-14 broadcast. Soybean seed yield was 2352 kg/ha (39% of above ground plant dry matter). The seeds removed 141 N/ha, leaving 116 kg N/ha to be incorporated into the field.

Plot 6 was discontinued in 1976.

4. Summary of Nitrogen Inputs, Recovery and Losses

In order to obtain estimates of annual nitrogen losses one can use a simplified balance sheet. The approach is approximate and only requires adding and subtracting known mineral N inputs and outputs, and attributing the difference to leaching, denitrification and immobilization losses. However, in order to compute an N balance some quantitative estimates of mineralization must be made.

Estimating Mineralization

The several methods of estimating mineralization are only approximate methods. Bremner (1965) has suggested that the amount of organic N mineralized during the growing season usually amounts to 1 to 3% of the total amount present (in the plow layer). Approximately 8860 (0.31%), 7830 (0.28%), and 5600 (0.20%) kg organic N/ha/20 cm was present in the clay soils in Plots 4, 5 and 6, respectively (Kowalenko, 1977). Bremner's percentages suggest 56 to 266 kg organic N/ha would be mineralized (Table 8). These values seem very large, but they are understood to approximate real mineralization as opposed to net mineralization which includes immobilization.

Another method for estimating mineralization is to assume that after many years of cultivation and cropping a dynamic equilibrium (of a sort) is reached where the inflow and outflow of organic N tends to balance itself (Stevenson, 1965). Thus, the amount of organic debris returned to the soil is approximately equivalent to net mineralization. Field studies show annual plant residues ranging from 52 to 236 kg N/ha (Table 8). Yearly mineralization might depend upon the amount and type of organic debris added in the past year.

The last method is to use net mineral N production rates calculated from field or laboratory data. Depending upon the conditions these rates can include leaching and denitrification losses. They almost always include immobilization. Ellis (1974) showed short term mineralization rates varying from -0.72 to 1.68, and averaging 0.70 kg N/ha/day on an old field in southern Ontario. Assuming a five month active period, Ellis' average rate would imply a net production of 107 kg mineral N/ha/year. Kowalenko (1977) has related mineral N production (after 21 days of incubation) to temperature and water content. The empirical relationship obtained for the clay soils was as follows:

Net Mineralization = 2.8 (0.4177 + 0.015647 θ p + 0.002 T θ p - 0.025049 T)

			A DAMESTARY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A	
Method	Plot 4	Plot 5	Plot 6	The the
Bremner: 1 to 3% of organic N (kg/ha)	89 - 266	78 - 235	56 - 168	
Stevenson - net mineralization equals plant organic debris returned	wheat 58 corn 113	corn 52 soybeans 236	soybeans 116	
Ellis (1965): 0.70 kg/ha/day for 5 months	107	107	107	
Kowalenko (1977) - regression of laboratory incubation study	1975 486 1976 404	486 404	486 404	
Cameron <u>et al</u> (1978) - Ottawa clay loam	99 - 191	99 - 191	99 - 191	

(0.20%) sg organic %/ha/20 cm vas present an the olay solls in

Table 8. Estimated annual net mineralization rates (kg/ha) for the tiledrained clay Watershed AG-01

Another sethod for estimating afteration is to assume that attar many years of culdivation and created is dynamic conditions (of a sart) is reached where the inflow and card card out id organic is tends to belacce. itself (Stevenson, 1955), thus, the arount of pression bebris resound to the soil is approximately emivalent to ast singeric bebris resound to show annual plant residues ranging from 52 to 25 kg Wim (Table 8). Yearly almeralization angle depend upon the another and uppe of arganic depris added in the asst where

The last setting is topped as intereral & production rates delouisted from field devictored before and the set intereral second tions these rates can become forwhile and debiartification incases. They almost sixars include twoobilization filts (1974) anowed short term atmost sixars to see varying from -0.72 co 1.68, and successing 0.70 kg M/us/day on an old states varying trom -0.72 co 1.68, and successing 0.70 kg M/us/day on an old theld he southern (nearbo, assouning a five month antive period, filts' towale the (1977) had related atmovel is production (after 21 days of incobation) to temperature and water content. The ampirical relationship (dated) to temperature and water content. The ampirical relationship (dated) and filts (1977) had related atmovel is the ampirical relationship (dated) and the state of the state and water content. The ampirical relationship (dated) had is glifts (1977) had related atmovel of the ampirical relationship where net mineralization units are kg/ha/day/20 cm, θp = percent water content (dry wt. basis) and T = soil temperature C.

The regression coefficient r = 0.86 and standard deviation was 0.29. Over the period 1 May to 1 October using mean daily air temperatures and predicted water contents, the regression model predicted annual mineralization rates of 486 kg N/ha in 1975 and 404 kg N/ha in 1976. Relative to the other mineralization calculations, the laboratory incubation results tended to over-estimate net mineralization rates by 2 to 3 times.

Cameron et al. (1978) conducted a 3-year study on a clay loam soil (0.33% N) at Ottawa. The average daily NO₃-N production rates were 1.25, 1.14, and 0.65 kg N/ha/day for 1974, 1975 and 1976, respectively. Assuming these rates can be used to approximate the Leamington clay soils then with a 5-month active period net mineralization rates could range from 99 to 191 kg/N/ha/year.

Approximate N Balance

Approximate N balances for the clay soils were obtained by adding the inputs of readily available N from fertilization, rainfall, and net mineralization; subtracting the recovery of N in the plant and soil; and assuming the difference to be lost by leaching, denitrification, volatilization, or runoff. The separation of these losses requires more refined, intensive research. Losses by immobilization were assumed to be accounted for by net mineralization.

In drawing up an approximate balance sheet some intuitive judgement was needed. The crop year was chosen to run from the fall of the previous year to the fall of the current year. This roughly parallels the hydrological water year. In addition, it allowed a complete growing year for fall fertilized winter wheat. The estimation of the yearly net mineralization component was based on the estimates in Table 8 and adjusted depending upon the incorporation of plant material from the previous year. The adjustments were subjective but it was felt that a previous crop such as soybeans returned a fair amount of organic-N readily available for decomposition, more so than corn or wheat. Average masses of N incorporated from wheat, corn, and soybean debris were 58, 82 and 176 kg N/ha. Thus, fields previously sown to soybeans were estimated to mineralize about 180 kg organic N/ha while other fields only mineralized 80-90 kg N/ha/year.

Soil recovery was calculated as the difference between available N at the end of the season and that of the previous season. The authors realize some of these estimates are rather tenuous as the fall 1974 nitrogen results were unknown and had to be estimated. In addition, the point marking the end of a season and the beginning of another were not always consistent from year to year and depended on the crops grown. The soil recovery figure could easily be ±50% its estimated value (Table 9). More years of data are required to obtain reliable yearly estimates. The nitrogen mass balance results including inputs, recovery, and losses are summarized in Table 9 for each of the clay plots. The results were averaged over the two years of the study where possible. Average annual N inputs from fertilization, rain and mineralization ranged from 175 to 283 kg/ha. On the average mineralization and fertilizer inputs were similar, although their relative importance varied considerably from year to year. Average annual nitrogen recovery by the plants and soil ranged from 114 to 202 kg N/ha. The estimated annual N losses (primarily by leaching and denitrification) ranged from 61 to 81 kg N/ha.

The division between denitrification and leaching is difficult to make. In a number of long-term experiments running for 8-16 years in New York and Connecticut, Allison (1955) indicated that average yearly denitrification losses can range from 24 to 47 kg N/ha. Firth et al. (1973) calculated a denitrification loss of approximately 41 kg N/ha from a corn field in 84 days. Kissel et al. (1976) calculated denitrification loss under sorghum to be 24 kg N/ha in 58 days. Kowalenko (1978) and Kowalenko and Cameron (1978) have shown that denitrification is most active in the spring and early summer seasons. Their denitrification losses were about 40% of the added fertilizer 15N and amounted to about 45 kg N/ha in a clay loam soil near Ottawa. Chichester and Smith (1975) estimated loss by denitrification to range from 5 to 30% of the fertilizer applied. An accounting of the 15N for the period of their field lysimeter study showed that approximately 30% was leached in percolate and less than 2% was moved in runoff.

On the basis of the above review, denitrification could account for approximately 50% of the 61 to 81 kg N/ha lost each year.

textilized states in addition, is allowed a complete growing year for ist douponent was based on the estimation of the jearly out almeratization agon the incorporation of plane material from the provide year. The adjustments were subjective but it one test that a provide year. The solutions recurred a tait contain attent that a provide year. The posttion, note to then copy of what it one testing and the provide state it is what, corfs and solves detries were it. Estimates of a first itelds previously some to corplete were used if is here the state of previously some to corplete were to be and the previous of the itelds previously some to corplete were to be added to be be the first while other fields and any assessing ansatz to be be the states of the while other fields and any assessing the down the best of the while other fields and any assessing the body of the base best of the while other fields and any assessing the body of the base is stated a previous of the other fields and any assessing the base of the base bases are and the other fields and another the bases and the base of the bases at the bases are the solve other the other and a substant the bases and the bases at a state of the while other fields and a substant the bases and the bases and the

The end of the season and that of the previous season. The mathematical seatthe some of these seattheates are tanker farmous as the fall 1970 mitrogen results were unknown and has to be catanated. In addition, the point partiting the end. of a season and the hopenatic is addition, the point constrained from your to your and the hopenatic of the constraints wave not always recovery signing could easily he sich its escimated value (take 9). Nore years of date are required to obtain recovery value (take 9). Nore

	Inputs			la mas	Recovery			
<u>2</u> 221 (19	1975	1976	Ave.		1975	1976	Ave.	Loss
Plot 4 Fertilizer Added	92	179	135	Soil Recovery	-13	56	22	
Rainfall N	18	18	18	Crop Recovery	150	210	180	
Net Mineralization	180	80	130		137	266	202	81
	290	277	283					
Plot 5 Fertilizer Added	203	0	101	Soil Recovery	0	5	2	
Rainfall N	18	18	18	Crop Recovery	150	214	182	
Net Mineralization	180	90	135		75	119	184	70
	401	108	254					
Plot 6 Fertilizer Added	17			Soil Recovery	-40			
Rainfall N	18			Crop Recovery	154			
Net Mineralization	140				114			61
	175							

Table 9. Approximate nitrogen mass balances (kg N/ha/yr) for plots on tile-drained clay Watershed AG-01

SANDY LOAM WATERSHED AG-13 RESULTS

1. Plot 1: Potato N Results

1975 Potato Plot

Plot 1 was located at the west end of AG-13 on Berrien sandy loam (Gleyed Brunisolic, Mersea Twp., Lot 3, Conc. 4). Winter wheat was planted in the fall of 1972 with 38 kg N/ha as 34-0-0 (NH₄NO₃) broadcast with the seed and 38 kg N/ha as 34-0-0 applied in the spring of 1973. In 1974 Flue tobacco was planted in the field with 22 kg N/ha band applied as 2-12-14. After the tobacco was harvested a cover crop of wheat with no fertilizer was planted. This cover crop was plowed under for green manure in the spring of 1975. Early potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L., var. Superior) were planted on 6 April 1975 with 112 kg N/ha as 10-20-30 band applied. This was almost double the recommended rate* of 67 kg N/ha for early potatoes.

Because the plot was established in July 1975 and the potatoes were harvested 11 July, no plant and soil samples were taken during the potato growing season. The first soil samples were taken 16 July 1975 and ryegrass was seeded on 10 August 1975. The nitrogen loss from the 0-75 cm profile between the first and second sampling was 91 kg/ha (see Figure 14) with 49 kg N/ha tied up in the ryegrass (De Jong and Cameron et al., 1977) and 20 kg N/ha remained in the soil. The net loss of 42 kg N/ha was thought to be mainly due to leaching. The soil had a large hydraulic conductivity (Topp, 1976) and was subject to some heavy rainstorms between the two sampling times (Figure 2).

The crop was disked on 20 November 1975. The increase in soil N content near the end of the year could be partly due to mineralization of freshly added ryegrass material.

1976 Potato Plot

In 1976 the potato plot was relocated to an adjacent field, which had the same previous cropping history as the 1975 potato plot. Early potatoes were planted in the field on 6 April 1976 with 168 kg N/ha as 15-15-15, sidedressed during planting time.

*Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food. 1976. Vegetable production recommendations.



Figure 14. Accumulated NO₃-N and extractable NH₄-N masses (kg N/ha/depth), fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 and 1976 potato Plot 1, Watershed AG-13.





*

44

The seasonal changes in NO₃-N and NH₄-N levels in the 75 cm profile are shown in Figures 14 and 15. The initial rapid increase in soil nitrogen was due to the fertilizer addition and mineralization of the plowed-under winter wheat crop. After 18 May 1975 the $\rm NH_4-N$ content decreased rapidly, while the NO₃-N continued to increase for another two weeks and then began to fall off rapidly.

Total plant uptake accounted for 80 kg N/ha, of which 55% was taken off the land with the tubers. Much of the 137 kg N/ha that could not be accounted for was believed to have leached from the profile. The NO_3-N distribution profiles on 14 June and 5 July 1976 indicated that a slug of NO_3-N had moved downward.

A rather unexpected increase in NO_3-N content of the surface 0-20 cm depth occurred after the potatoes were harvested on 5 July 1976. It was thought that possibly the 3.8 cm rain on 10 July 1976 washed soluble nitrates from the leaves and stalks which were left behind on the land.

A cover crop of rye was seeded on 14 September 1976 with no fertilizer addition. By 12 October 1976 the rye had accumulated 25 kg N/ha. This cover crop was plowed under in the early spring of 1977.

Water Table and Suction Lysimeter Results

A water table well was installed in Plot 1 during the fall of 1975, and the depth to the water table was recorded from 15 April 1976 to 25 March 1977 (Table 10). The water table was about 60 to 70 cm below the soil surface during the spring of 1976. During the summer, fall and winter, the level fell and by 11 February 1977, it was down to 152 cm. Then, as a result of spring melt and runoff it rose to 105 cm below the surface by 25 March 1977.

The suction lysimeters installed during the spring of 1976 at 90 and 150 cm indicated a "slug" of NO₃-N moving through the soil. The 90 cm NO₃-N concentrations increased from 9 mg/l on 14 June 1976 to 34 mg/l on 24 June 1976. This might have been a direct result of the 3.9 cm rain that fell on 24 June 1976. A corresponding increase at the 150 cm level occurred two weeks later.

During the fall of 1976 the NO_3 -N concentrations remained high at the 90 cm level, but decreased over winter to 3 mg/l on 25 March 1977. The 150 cm readings were near 8 mg/l during the fall of 1976 and increased to 15 mg/l on 25 March 1977.

2. <u>Plot 2:</u> Tobacco N Results

1975 Tobacco Plot

Plot 2 was located on Berrien sandy loam (Mersea Twp., Lot 8, Con. 4) and was used during the past four years to grow Burley tobacco. In 1973 approximately 67 kg N/ha as 3-18-18 and 114 kg N/ha as 34-0-0 was applied.

Sampling	Date	Depth to	NO3-N concentration (mg/				
		Water Table (cm)	90 cm depth	150 cm depth			
15 April	1976	70	optoko teeduatee h che tubera. M				
28 April	1976		1	3			
5 May	1976		1	1			
10 May	1976	62					
18 May	1976			1			
31 May	1976		9	1			
8 June	1976	72					
14 June	1976		9	1			
24 June	1976		34	and the Lark			
30 June	1976	82					
5 July	1976		36	9			
27 July	1976	98					
5 Aug.	1976	87					
13 Sept.	1976	130					
17 Sept.	1976		21	5			
25 Sept.	1976	115					
12 Nov.	1976		· 28	8			
25 Nov.	1976	137					
17 Dec.	1976	145					
7 Jan.	1977	146					
11 Feb.	1977	152					
10 Mar.	1977	120					
25 Mar.	1977	105	3	15			

Table 10. Water table levels and suction lysimeter NO3-N concentrations in Plot 1

In 1974 the fertilizer increment was 112 kg N/ha as 5-10-15 and 171 kg N/ha as 34-0-0. In both years a cover crop of wheat was seeded after the tobacco harvest was completed. The cover crop was plowed under when it was about 20 cm tall.

In 1975 Burley tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum, var. Kentucky 2110) was planted 22 May with a side dressing of 50 kg N/ha as 5-10-15. The soil was sampled for the first time 29 May 1975 and contained 163 kg N/ha (Figure 16). The nitrogen content on 19 June 1975 was 245 kg/ha and the total N addition between the first and second sampling time was 25 kg N/ha (on 18 June, sidedressed as 5-10-15). Since the nitrogen accumulation by the plants was only 4 kg N/ha, it appeared that about 61 kg N/ha was mineralized, provided that no nitrogen losses occurred in the system. However the profile distribution curves (Figure 17) showed that on 19 June 1975 a "slug" of NO₃-N was moving downward, most likely in response to the 3.0 cm rainfall on 15 June 1975.

On 27 June 1975 another 130 kg N/ha as 34-0-0 was applied, so that the total amount of fertilizer applied to the plot was 205 kg N/ha which was almost double the recommended rate of 112 kg N/ha.* The tobacco crop accumulated 196 kg N/ha (or about 93% of the added fertilizer) in the 83 days between planting and harvest time. During the harvest (13 August 1975) the leaves and stalks removed from the plot accounted for 89% of the total accumulated nitrogen.

A cover crop of winter wheat was seeded in early September 1975, with no fertilizer additions. The crop was plowed under for green manure in the spring of 1976.

1976 Tobacco Plot

Monitoring of the established plot in 1975 continued in 1976. Over winter losses of NO_3 -N on the plot (Figure 16) between 19 November 1975 and 28 April 1976 amounted to 30 kg N/ha. Exchangeable NH_4 -N increased from 13 kg N/ha on 19 November 1975 to 48 kg N/ha on 28 April 1976. This was thought to be due to the decomposition and ammonification of the plowed-under cover crop.

The tobacco was planted 24 May 1976 with 118 kg N/ha band applied as 5-10-15. Additional fertilizer increments of 93 kg N/ha as 34-0-0 and 14 kg N/ha as 5-10-5 were applied on 4 June 1976 and 22 June 1976, respectively.

The total nitrogen uptake by the tobacco crop during its 84 day growing season from 24 May to 16 August 1976 was 175 kg N/ha, which was 78% of the added fertilizer. At harvest time 152 kg N/ha was removed from the land through the leaves and stalks.

Definite signs of NO₃-N losses by leaching were evident by mid-summer and fall (Figure 17). Downward movement of NO₃-N on 21 June 1976 was due

^{*}Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food. 1977. Tobacco production recommendations.



Figure 16. Accumulated NO₃-N and extractable NH₄-N masses (kg/ha/depth) fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 and 1976 tobacco Plot 2, Watershed AG-13.



Figure 17. Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) in the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 and 1976 tobacco Plot 2, Watershed AG-13.

49

to a 2 cm rain on 17 June. A 3.9 cm rain on June 23 added to the NO_3-N losses. Fall rains also caused considerable NO_3 -movement as is evidenced by the 23 September 1976 and 18 October 1976 profile distributions.

A cover crop of winter wheat was planted on 8 September 1976 with no added fertilizer. This crop was plowed under on 20 April 1977.

Water Table and Suction Lysimeter Results

The results of the water table readings from Plot 2 are shown in Table 11. A similar pattern to that on Plot 1 was observed: during the growing season through to the fall the water table was decreasing, but a rapid increase in response to snowmelt was noted on 19 December 1975 and 25 March 1977.

The results from the suction lysimeters indicated that the NO_3-N concentrations at the 90 cm level remained rather low during the spring and summer of 1976, but increased to 13 mg/l on 12 November 1976; a "slug" of NO_3-N was moving through the 90 cm zone at that time. The NO_3-N concentrations from the samples taken at 150 cm were consistently higher than the comparable ones at the 90 cm level. It was thought that an accumulation of NO_3-N at the 150 cm level might be the result of four or more years of heavy N fertilization.

3. Plot 3: Soybean and Greenbean N Results

1975 Soybean Plot

Plot 3, which was tile-drained 40-50 years ago was located on Berrien sandy loam (Mersea Twp., Lot 237, 12 and 13 sideroad north of Hwy. 3). The soil profile of this plot was not as uniform as Plots 1 and 2, because irregular clay lenses appeared at 50-55 cm depth. Occasionally, during sampling, a perched water table was encountered above these clay lenses.

Prior to the establishment of the plot in 1975, green beans were grown on the field for two years in a row. In both years 68 kg N/ha as 10-2-5 was applied, half of it during the fall, and the other half during planting time. The field was plowed each year to a depth of 15-20 cm.

In 1975 soybeans (Glycine max, var. Amsoy) were planted on 25 May with no additional fertilizer. Soil NO_3 -N mass decreased from 52 kg N/ha on 4 June 1975 to less than 15 kg N/ha on 23 September 1975 (Figure 18). Although part of the decrease was due to plant uptake, the excessive rains on 29 August (10.5 cm) and 30 August (4.5 cm) also contributed to the loss. Plant accumulation of nitrogen amounted to 305 kg/ha, not all from the soil. Ham et al. (1975) estimated that 27 to 40% of the soybean plant N was fixed by the root nodules. Webber (1966) estimated that approximately 50% of soybean nitrogen accumulation comes from the soil. Assuming that N_2 fixation supplied 40% of the plant N, 167 kg N/ha was removed from the soil. During harvest (25 October 1975) the seeds removed 197 kg N/ha, leaving 108 kg N/ha to be incorporated into the field. These results were

Sampling Date		Date	Depth to	NO3-N concentrations (mg/1)				
			water Table (Cm)	90 cm	depth	150	cm	depth
3	Oct.	1975	116					1.4
29	Oct.	1975	137					
12	Nov.	1975	134					
4	Dec.	1975	130					
19	Dec.	1975	74					
23	Feb.	1976	40					
17	Mar.	1976	66					
23	Mar.	1976	70					
15	Apr.	1976	86					
10	May	1976	76					
27	May	1976	108	6	,		21	
9	June	1976	128	1	April 19		24	
21	June	1976		1			19	
30	June	1976	119					
5	July	1976	124	3			21	
20	July	1976		4			20	
4	Aug.	1976	162	4			18	
24	Aug.	1976		3			18	
30	Aug.	1976	177					
17	Sept.	1976	189	4			15	
8	Oct.	1976	164					
12	Nov.	1976		13			15	
7	Jan.	1977	160					
11	Feb.	1977	189					
10	Mar.	1977	119					
25	Mar.	1977	80	2			16	

Table	11.	Water	table	levels	and	suction	lysimeter	NON	concentrations
		in Plo	ot 2				-	3	

to effect it is addition and extractable NH, H masses (hg/ha/ispth is effect it is addition and grop uptable (kandidua) goot the 1075as a series addition granteen Pistal Water and addition



Figure 18. Accumulated NO₃-N and extractable NH₄-N masses (kg/ha/depth) fertilizer addition and crop uptake (kg N/ha) for the 1975 soybean and 1976 greenbean Plot 3, Watershed AG-13

Figure 19. Nitrogen concentrations (ug/g) in the 0-75 cm profile of the 1975 soybean and the 1976 greenbean Plot 3, Watershed AG-13. 0-10-20-30-40-50-60-DEPTH (cm) 70-

metametrik oberation prev with any the contactentie previous inter are spore the printer inter are spore prints previous any the contactenties in a any the contactenties which are accounting in a set and the contactenties of the previous and the previous of the previous and the previous of the previous of the previous and the previous of the previous of the previous of the previous and the previous of th

The accounting of the mage of



go onest can been as

- NH₄ - N - NO₃ - N



53

comparable to Hanway and Weber (1971) who reported above-ground uptake for soybeans varying from 266 to 299 kg N/ha, with approximately 65 to 85% of the above-ground N in the seed.

No cover crop was planted after the soybean harvest.

1976 Greenbean Plot

Greenbeans (Phaseolus vulgaris, var. Midas wax) were planted on Plot 3 on 26 May 1976 with 31 kg N/ha band applied as 8-32-16. Soil NO₃-N levels decreased from 54 kg N/ha on 7 June 1976 to 23 kg N/ha on 20 July 1976. Part of this decrease is due to plant uptake, but undoubtedly the heavy rains in the period from the middle of June 1976 to the middle of July 1976 also contributed to the loss: the profile of NO₃-N concentrations (Figure 19) showed a definite leaching pattern on 21 June and 7 July 1976.

The crop was harvested on 23 July 1976. Approximately 75% of the accumulated 117 kg N/ha was returned to the soil as stem and root mass. The NO₃-N levels in the 75 cm profile (Figure 18) increased rapidly after the green beans were harvested and the stems, leaves and roots started to decompose. However, during the fall of 1976 and winter of 1976-77, all of the mineralized nitrogen was leached from the upper 20 cm of the soil profile, as is indicated by the profile distribution patterns of NO₃-N (Figure 19) on 13 September 1976, 18 October 1976 and 2 May 1977.

A cover crop of winterwheat was seeded 13 October 1976, with no fertilizer applied. On 4 May 1977 the cover crop was plowed under for green manure.

Cl and NO3-N Leaching

In order to examine the movement of a mobile anion similar to NO_3-N , but not subject to microbial transformations, 380 kg Cl/ha as KCl was applied on Plot 3 on 19 May 1976. In addition on 26 May 1976 when the crop was planted the field received an additional 20 kg Cl/ha as 8-32-16.

The accumulated Cl mass (kg/ha) for the 0-20 and 0-75 cm depth intervals and the corresponding concentration distributions for each of the sampling times are shown in Figure 20. The average of 6 June 1976 and 21 June 1976 values (395 kg Cl/ha) represents a 99% recovery of the added chloride. The results of the 26 May 1976 sampling immediately after fertilization were very low; the fertilizer granules had not yet dissolved and dispersed, increasing operator bias and sampling error.

The distribution of Cl in the soil profile indicated that by 7 July 1976 there was a definite slug of chloride peaking near 15 cm. The 3.8 cm rain on 10 July increased downward movement. During the same period the green beans showed maximum accumulation of nitrogen. Chloride could also have been accumulated by the plants. Although plant chloride analysis was not conducted, the chloride decrease in the soil may partly be a result of plant removal (Bernstein and Ayers, 1951). After plant harvest (23 July



Figure 20. Cumulative chloride mass (kg/ha) for 0-20 and 0-75 cm depth intervals and corresponding concentration distributions for Cl with respect to depth for each of the sampling times on 1976 greenbean Plot 3, Watershed AG-13.

1976) the stems and leaves were plowed into the soil and the mobile plant chloride was released. Field notes for 18 August 1976 indicated considerable evidence of plant residue in the 10-20 cm depth. As a result, the soil chloride increased but because of the low rainfall the position of the chloride peak did not shift.

Rain (9.2 cm) between 13 September and 18 October 1976 caused appreciable chloride movement, i.e. 200 kg Cl/ha or 50% of the added Cl was lost from the 75 cm profile. NO_3 -N mass increased during the same period and N-losses were probably masked by N-gains from mineralization.

During the winter no further losses of chloride occurred in the 0-75 cm profile although the 2 May 1977 profile distribution showed that almost all of the chloride had accumulated at the lower depths in the profile.

Chloride readings on the water samples (Table 12) at 90 cm increased from 12 mg/1 on 17 September 1976 to 63 mg/1 on 22 October 1976, then to 250 mg/1 on 12 November 1976 and decreased to 220 mg/1 on 25 March 1977. The readings at 150 cm also increased steadily with time, indicating a steady loss of chloride through the soil.

 NO_3 -N concentrations at the 90 cm and 150 cm depth remained fairly constant during the period of measurement (7 June 1976 - 12 November 1976), except that on 12 November a slug of NO_3 -N appeared to be passing the 90 cm depth.

4. Summary of Nitrogen Inputs, Recovery and Losses

Estimating Mineralization

Estimates of annual nitrogen losses can be obtained by using a simplified balance sheet. However, in order to compute the N balance some quantitative estimates of mineralization must be made.

Approximately 2400, 2930 and 2000 kg organic N/ha/20 cm was present in the sandy loam soils on Plots 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Bremner's (1965) percentages, as discussed previously, suggested that 20 to 88 kg organic N/ha would be mineralized (Table 13, also see discussions for clay watershed).

Using Stevenson's (1965) approach the net mineralization rate ranged from about 63 kg N/ha for Plot 2 to 108 kg N/ha when soybeans were grown on Plot 3 in 1975.

Allison (1955) reviewed a number of long-term lysimeter studies on various soil types. The net mineralization rates (kg N/ha/year) on sandy loam soils ranged from 21 to 75 kg N/ha/year, with most rates falling between 34 and 45 kg N/ha/year.

Kowalenko (1977) related mineral N production in a laboratory study to temperature and water content. The empirical relationship obtained for the sandy loam soils was as follows:

			the state of the second st			
Sampling Date	Cl Concentra	ation (mg/1)	NO3-N concentration (mg/1)			
	90 cm depth	150 cm depth	90 cm depth	150 cm depth		
7 June 1976		to L'Occasor de	16	14		
22 June 1976			15	15		
7 July 1976			18	18		
23 July 1976			18	19		
3 Sept 1976	14	15	12	11		
17 Sept 1976	12	14	4	15		
22 Oct. 1976	63	38	16	14		
12 Nov. 1976	250	46	34	18		
25 Mar. 1977	220	86	34	27		

Table 12.	Suction lyismeter	C1	and	NO3-N	concentrations	in	Plot	3.	
	Watershed AG-13								

Table 13. Estimated annual net mineralization rates (kg/ha) for the sandy loam Watershed AG-13

Method	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3
Bremner: 1 to 3% of organic N (kg/ha)	24 - 72	29 - 88	20 - 60
Stevenson - net mineralization equals plant organic debris returned	potatoes 46 cover crop <u>40</u> <u>86</u>	tobacco 23 cover crop $\frac{40}{63}$	soybeans 108 green beans 88
Allison - net mineralization	21 - 75	21 - 75	21 - 75
Kowalenko - regression of lab. incubation study	85 - 106	85 - 106	85 - 106
Net mineralization = 2.8 (-0.01538 + 0.001470 T0p - 0.004747 T + 0.008489 0p)

where net mineralization units are kg N/ha/day/20 cm, θp = percent water content (dry wt. basis), and T = soil temperature (C).

The regression coefficient r = 0.45 and the standard deviation was 0.19. Over the period 1 May to 1 October using daily mean air temperatures and predicted water contents, the regression model predicted annual mineralization rates of 106 kg N/ha in 1975 and 85 kg N/ha in 1976.

Approximate N Balance

Approximate N balances for the sandy loam soils were obtained in the same way as described for the clay soils earlier. Again it should be pointed out that the net mineralization estimates from Table 13 are approximate and could contain a fifty percent error.

The nitrogen mass balance results including inputs, recovery and losses are summarized in Table 14 for each of the sandy loam plots. Where possible the results were averaged over the two years of the study. Average annual N inputs from fertilization, rain and net mineralization ranged from 133 to 303 kg/ha. Average annual nitrogen recovery by the plants and soil ranged from 136 to 270 kg/ha. The estimated annual N losses were 133 and 33 kg/ha for Plots 1 and 2 respectively, while Plot 3 showed an average gain of 3 kg N/ha.

The average nitrogen gain in Plot 3 according to the N balance method is contradictory to the results obtained from the accumulated N-mass over time and profile distribution curves. This discrepancy is due to the fact that the N balance describes a static situation, while the other methods describe the dynamic situation which is actually occurring in the field.

A discussion on the division between denitrification and leaching was presented for the clay soils and is not repeated here.

			INPUTS			F	RECOVER	RY	LOSSES
		1975	1976	Ave.		1975	1976	Ave.	
Plot 1	Fertilizer added	10 B	168	4	*Soil recovery	1. 6.	23		6 A 2 2 2 2 4
	Rainfall N Net mineralization		18 90		Crop recovery		120		
			276				143		133
Plot 2	Fertilizer added	205	225	215	Soil recovery	0	90	45	
	Rainfall N	18	18	18	Crop recovery	236	215	225	
	Net mineralization	70	70	70			-13	225	
		293	313	303		236	305	270	33
Plot 3	Fertilizer added	0	31	13	Soil recovery	-20	31	5	
	Rainfall N	18	18	18	*Crop recovery	167	94	131	
	Net mineralization	90	110	100				131	
		108	159	133		147	125	136	-3
1.00 Mar 1.	· 告诉我的意味了是我		18.8						AVE = 54

Table 14. Approximate nitrogen mass balances (kg N/ha/yr) for plots on sandy loam Watershed AG-13

* Soil recovery calculated by averaging fall samplings taken after harvest and subtrating previous fall averages.

**Soybean crop recovery assumed 40% N-fixation and green bean recovery assumed 20% N-fixation.

TILE DRAINS

Approximately 85% of the clay Watershed AG-01 is tile-drained and greater than 90% of the sandy loam Watershed AG-13 is tile-drained. Thus, one can expect a large portion of the spring water and nutrient losses to be occurring through tile drains in these two watersheds. Although the study presented in this document was not originally set-up to specifically study tile drain losses, it is important to review and document the nature and quantities of nutrients lost through tile drains.

Nitrogen reaching the drainage tile is a product of three different processes:

- 1. Production of mineral nitrogen in the soil and the addition of fertilizers;
- 2. The utilization of nitrogen by plants or other sinks; and
- 3. The rate of movement of the nitrogen through the soil in the percolating water.

Because of the diffuse nature of agricultural land, it is difficult to estimate the amounts of nutrients in drainage water from these areas. Measurements of mobile nitrogen at different depths in the soil profile will give an indication of potential movement, but the amount actually entering the ground water or surface water (via tile drains) will depend on the rate of water movement through the soil, to the tile drain, and beyond the tile drain.

Recently, some investigators have measured nutrient loadings from various agricultural tile drain systems. A summary of the results of these investigations is given in Table 15. One of the initial studies carried out was by Bolton et al. (1970) on small tiled plots. The yearly average nitrogen concentrations (mg/1) from these tile drains ranged from 1.1 on fertilized bluegrass sod to 14.0 on fertilized corn on rotation. The mean annual loadings (kg/ha/yr) from the fertilized plots were 0.7 for bluegrass, 6.0 for oats and alfalfa, and 15.0 for corn. Bolton et al. (1970) indicated that in any one year the value could go as high as 30 kg/ha.

Erickson and Ellis (1971) obtained loading rates of 12.1 and 8.4 kg NO₃-N ha/yr from two farms in Michigan (Table 15). Their results represent only one year's data.

ble 12. Summary of some interature results of yaarly nitrogen ...

A large study was conducted by Miller (1975) who monitored tile drain effluent from both organic and mineral soils. A summary of some of his results for the mineral soils west of Chatham is given in Table 15. The weighted average yearly concentrations of NO_3-N from the tiles in these soils ranged from 4.4 to 15.7 mg/l. The organic and NH_4-N concentrations ranged from 0.60 to 3.10 mg/l. Average yearly nitrogen (NO_3+NH_4+ org.) loads lost through tile drains ranged from 4.0 to 65.1 kg/ha.

The lowest loss of N from tile drains (4 kg/ha/yr) was from a sandy soil cropped to continuous corn with 150 kg N/ha applied each year. The largest losses were from an area with clay over SiCL (58.8 kg N/ha/year) and from high organic fine sandy soil over clay (65.1 kg N/ha/yr of which 10.4 kg were from the NH₄ and organic fractions). The clay over SiCL soils were fairly heavily fertilized, i.e., corn receiving 190 kg N/ha, soybeans receiving 15 kg N/ha, cucumbers receiving 190 kg N/ha, and wheat receiving 20 kg N/ha. The high organic sandy soil was continuously cropped to corn and received 215 kg N/ha/year. Miller (1975) has pointed out that fertilization at rates higher than recommended tend to result in a significant contribution of NO₃-N to ground water and/or tile drainage water.

Tile drain samples collected from the newly tiled field near the 1976 corn Plot 4 on Watershed AG-Ol had NO₃-N concentrations ranging from 6 mg/l in May and early June, increasing to 60 mg/l at the end of June, and then rapidly dropping and remaining near 17 mg/l until the tiles stopped flowing in July. Tile drain samples collected from various points across Watershed AG-13 in the spring of 1977 (10-14 March) had NO₃-N concentrations ranging from 4.6 to 43.5 mg/l and averaging about 10 mg/l. Two samples collected from Watershed AG-Ol during that time had NO₃-N concentrations of 17 and 24 mg/l.

- Table 15. Summary of some literature results of yearly nitrogen concentration averages and loading from agricultural tile drains.
- (a) Bolton et al (1970) Brookston Clay average annual losses for the time period 1961-67 (total nitrogen).

Crop Types	Not Fe	ertilized	Fertilized			
Sauce (10) as gain the	mg N/1	kg N/ha/yr	mg-N/1	kg-N/ha/yr		
Rotation: corn oats 2-yr alfalfa Continuous corn Bluegrass sod	8.5 6.4 7.8 4.4 3.5	5.6 4.3 4.8 6.6 0.3	14.0 8.5 8.0 8.9 1.1	15.1 5.7 6.3 14.0 0.7		

(b) Erickson and Ellis (1971) - values for 1969 (NO3-N)

Land Use	mg NO ₃ -N/1	kg NO3-N/ha/y
Michigan State Univ. Farm	1.0-9.0 (6.0)	12.1
Sugar Beets and Beans (Clay loam)	2.0-7.0 (5.0)	8.4

(c) Miller (1975) - soils west of Chatham, Ontario - average annual losses (1972-73)

			Average Yea	rly Conc. 1)	Average Y	Total Yearly	
		Land Use	NO3-N	Org. + NH ₄ -N	NO3-N	Org. + NH ₄ -N	N Load (kg/ha)
Site	5 -	corn & soybeans clay over SiCL	15.7	0.60	56.6	2.2	58.8
Site	6 -	corn, soybeans & barle clay	еу 6.0	0.64	16.6	1.8	18.4
Site	7 -	wheat & soybeans clay	5.2	0.90	13.0	2.3	15.3
Site	8 -	corn, beets & beans clay	8.1	1.60	15.2	3.0	18.2
Site	9 -	corn deep sand loam	5.4	0.94	3.4	0.6	4.0
Site	10-	corn clay	4.4	0.72	8.1	1.4	9.5
Site	11-	soybeans & corn sandy loam over clay	9.4	0.91	13.8	1.2	15.0
Site	12-	corn sand over clay	15.8	3.10	54.7	10.4	65.1

WINTER MOVEMENT OF NO3-N

The monitored NO3-N and Cl results from the tile-drained clay Watershed AG-01 and sandy Watershed AG-13 suggested that there was not excessive movement of mobile salts during the summer, except perhaps during certain wet periods. Most of the leaching, tile-drain and run-off losses of Cl and NO3-N probably occurred during fall, winter, and spring. However, the type of monitoring that was conducted in this study did not give a clear picture of the fall to spring losses.

In 1973 a study was initiated at the Harrow Research Station (Dr. W. Findlay) to continuously monitor NO3-N movement using ceramic suction cups placed at 61, 122, 183, 244, and 305 cm depths below a corn field. The study was initiated on June 29, 1973, with the application of 560 kg N/ha as NH4NO3 and solution samples were removed periodically throughout the year for analysis.

1. Model Development

The data was also used to test and calibrate water and salt transport models, viz.

 $\frac{\partial \hat{\theta}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D(\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - K(\theta)$ (1)

 $\frac{\partial \Theta C}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D^{1}(v, \theta) \theta \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - \frac{qC}{c}$ (2)

where θ = volumetric water content (cm³/cm³)

t = time x = depth

 $D(\theta) = diffusivity function (cm²/day)$

- $K(\theta) = hydraulic conductivity function (cm/day)$
- C = solute concentration (mg/1)
- $D^{1}(v, \theta) = hydrodynamic dispersion coefficient$ $q = water flux = cm^3/cm^2-day = cm/day$

The hydraulic conductivity and diffusivity functions were derived from field-measured drying curves and field-measured saturated hydraulic conductivities using the Millington-Quirk procedure (Jackson, 1972). A brief summary of the field results including bulk densities, saturated hydraulic conductivities, % sand, % clay, and desorption curve data are

Depth	Bulk	Saturated		9 Clay	Volumetric water contents at negative poten						
(cm)	density (g/cm ³)	conductivity (cm/day)	% Sand	% Clay	0	-10 cm	-40 cm	-100 cm	-225 cm	-500 cm	
5	1.41	38	84	4	.412	. 385	.308	.198	.164	.145	
15	1.62	42	70	5	.346	.333	.326	.302	.254	.199	
30	1.57	45	62	8	.375	.357	.347	.339	.292	.212	
50	1.58	43	67	9	.363	.353	.336	.314	.282	.253	
70	1.49	190	57	18	. 392	.363	.346	.311	.262	.218	
95	1.51	194	50	20	.353	.340	.320	.277	.239	.199	
200			55	9							

Table 16. Physical measurements and soil water desorption curve data for the Harrow fine sandy loam.

Table 17. Values for the $K(\theta)$ and $D(\theta)$ functions used in the computer simulation model.

						And in case of the local diversion of the loc
Layer	θ _s	Ks	a	Do	Ъ	Db
0 - 60 cm	.38	42	35.	45000	25	1.55
60 - 100 cm	.37	192	50.	40000	35	1.50
100 - 200 cm	.39	130	40.	52000	27	1.60

given in Table 16. Saturated hydraulic conductivities were measured with an air entry permeameter (Bouwer, 1966 and Topp and Binns, 1976).

For purposes of computer simulation the soil was divided into three layers, based primarily on the data in Table 17. The hydraulic conductivity and diffusivity functions were fitted to exponential functions:

$$K(\theta) = K_{\alpha} \exp \left(-a(\theta_{\alpha} - \theta)\right)$$
(3)

and

$$D(\theta) = D_{0} \exp(-b(\theta_{0} - \theta))$$
(4)

where K = saturated hydraulic conductivity a = slope-factor for conductivity function

 $\theta_{\rm S}$ = saturated water content

 D_0 = intercept of the diffusivity function

b = slope-factor for diffusivity function

The values for the $K(\theta)$ and $D(\theta)$ parameters used in the model are given in Table 17. The parameter magnitudes below 100 cm were estimated as field physical characterization did not extend this deep.

The explicit finite difference method was used to solve equations (1) and (2). Initial water contents and NO3-N concentrations were defined for a 200 cm profile and the computer simulation was run on the data from 1 Nov. 1973 to 31 May 1974. The model was applied to this time period because most of the NO3-N movement took place between late fall and early spring.

The surface flux boundary was derived from rainfall and snowmelt measurements made at the Harrow Research Station (Figure 21). All rainfall was assumed to enter the soil on the same day it fell and evaporation was considered to be negligible for this time period. The water equivalent of the snow was assumed to be 0.1 if it fell on a day with no rainfall. It was estimated that about 20% of this snow was lost due to wind and sublimation. Snow that fell on a rainy day was assumed to have a water equivalent of 0.15 and none was lost due to wind or sublimation. Snow was accumulated, but not melted when the average daily temperature was below 0.0 C. Snowmelt was arbitrarily defined to occur at a rate of 0.5 cm water/C/day.

2. Predicted Results

The predicted (solid lines) and measured results for Nov. 1973 to May 1974 are shown in Figure 22.By early December a "slug" of NO3-N had reached the 61 cm suction cup; by early February the NO3-N concentration peak was near 122 cm; and by early May the "slug" of NO3-N appeared to peak at 183 cm. Both the predicted and observed results show similar trends. As the pulse of NO3-N moved down the profile it became more diffuse; the peak concentration decreased with depth. On the whole, the computer simulation tended to show more of a spread than the original data.

The predicted NO₃-N concentrations at 61 and 122 cm compared favorably with the observed results, but the model appeared to predict the 183 cm NO₃-N peak too soon. There were a number of possible reasons for the difference between the observed results and predicted values near 183 cm. Early spring runoff could have decreased the net amount of water entering the soil. The model did not account for evaporation and April-May evaporation could have retarded net downward flux. In addition, increased spring lateral groundwater flow could easily have reduced net downward movement.

The Harrow SL soil is known to occasionally contain thin clay varves. These thin layers of clay often have a low permeability and can effectively retard flow. Clay varves are often the cause of transient perched water tables that occur in these light sandy soils. The presence of a clay varve between 122 and 183 cm could lower the hydraulic conductivity in this layer and cause the observed discrepancy.

The results have shown that a considerable amount of NO₃-N movement takes place between late fall and early spring (Figure 22). Between Nov. 1, 1973 and May 31, 1974, the NO₃-N peak had moved past the 183 cm depth. It was apparent from these results that any excessive amounts of fertilizer N in the soil by fall can easily be lost by leaching during winter.



Figure 21. Harrow Research Station minimum and maximum temperature data and mean daily precipitation for the winter period of 1973-74.



CONCENTRATION OF NO₃-N IN SOLUTION (mg/l)

Figure 22. Predicted (solid line) and measured NO3-N concentrations for Nov. 1973 to May 1974 for the 61, 122, and 183 cm depths.

SIMULATION OF NITROGEN PROCESSES

One of the primary objectives of this study was to develop, test, and apply a nitrogen and water transport simulation program to predict nitrogen levels entering groundwater on agriculture soils. This was a very difficult task as it involved quantification of many related nitrogen processes including mineralization, nitrification, plant uptake, leaching, and denitrification in a field situation. These specific processes are understood qualitatively but they are very difficult to quantify, especially in a dynamic field situation. However, several researchers have made some interesting if not exacting attempts to quantify the N-system (Beek and Frissel, 1973; Burns, 1974 and 1976; Cameron and Kowalenko, 1976; Duffy and Franklin, 1972; Duffy et al. 1975; Dutt et al., 1972; Feddes et al., 1974; Frere et al., 1970; Fried et al., 1976; Hagin and Amberger, 1974; Jury et al., 1976; Saxton et al., 1977; and Tanji et al., 1977). The purpose of the modelling effort in this study was to attempt to quantify the soil-plant N-system in the field. This required understanding the specifics of simultaneous water and salt flow through field soils. Thus, the study was designed to develop a water transport model with a root extraction sub-model. In addition, the important nitrogen processes had to be quantified and a salt transport model was developed to account for the movement of the mobile nitrogen.

The tobacco plot 2 sandy AG-13 was selected as the most desirable location to attempt model development. The clay plots were excluded because of the difficulty in quantifying water movement in cracked clay soils. The potato and bean plots would also have been adequate except the potato plot had only a one-year data base and the bean plot received very little fertilizer. The tobacco plot was selected because it included the largest data base and received heavy fertilizer applications that were closely monitored.

1. Model Development

The water transport equation follows that outlined by equation (1) presented in the previous section, but with the addition of a root-uptake function, viz.

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad D(\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - K(\theta) - R$$

where R = root uptake function (cm H₂0/day).

(8191) george (5)

Water uptake by roots was defined by the following function:

$$R = Kr(\theta) \cdot P(t) \cdot D_r(t) / \Delta x$$

where $K_r(\theta) = root-soil$ interface conductivity (cm/day) P(t) = potential transpiration (cm/day) $D_r(t) = rooting density and$ $\Delta x = depth increment (cm)$

The root-soil interface conductivity was assumed to decrease exponentially as available water was depleted, i.e.

 $K_r(\theta) = 1.0 - \exp(-6.0 \theta_{avail})$

and available water was calculated as

$$\theta_{\text{avail}} = (\theta - \theta_1) / (\theta_{\text{fc}} - \theta_1)$$

where θ_1 was the limiting water content at which roots could no longer of θ_1 absorb more water and θ_{fc} was the water content at field capacity where plants could easily absorb water.

Potential transpiration was calculated as 1974; Frere et al., 1970; Fried et al., 1

 $P(t) = 0.7 \times P_{f}(t) * PE$

where P_f(t) was a partitioning factor which divided the potential evapotranspiration between the plant and soil. $P_{f}(t)$ was a function of measured plant growth and for nine sequential 10-day increments the values of P_f(t) were 0.05, 0.098, 0.170, 0.433, 0.775, 0.938, 0.787, 0.998 and 0.952. After harvest of the crop $P_f(t)$ was set to zero.

Potential evaporation was derived from a regression relationship:

PE = 0.09457 + 0.00121 TS + 0.00003185 TW

where T = average daily temperature C

S = hours of bright sunlight, and

W = windspeed (km/day)

Rooting densities $D_r(t)$ for Burley tobacco were taken from Zartman et al. (1978). The rooting density at each depth in each of 9 sequential time intervals was determined as a proportionality factor by dividing by root density totals.

Hydraulic conductivity functions and diffusivity functions for the 0-22 cm, 22-55, and 55-110 cm depth intervals were derived from respective soil water desorption curves and field measured saturated permeabilities presented by Topp (1978).

The salt transport equation was similar to that presented in equation (2) of the previous section but was modified to include a bimodal pore

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

(10)

size distribution and a number of source-sink terms to simulation nitrogen transformations in the soil. Two rates of net mineralization were used; one to account for the rapid release of NH₄-N from decomposition of fresh plant materials (i.e. roots and cover crops) and the second to account for the slow release of N from the indigenous organic material present in the soil. The two rates are given below:

$$\frac{dNH_4 - N}{dt} = 0.1 k_1 ON_f \text{ (fast mineralization)} \tag{11}$$

 $\frac{dNH_4 - N}{dt} = 0.003 k_1 ON_i \text{ (slow mineralization)}$ (12)

where ON_f = mass of fresh or recently added organic material ON_i = mass of indigenous or slowing decomposing organic materials

The rate coefficient for mineralization k_1 was a function of temperature and water content (Kowalenko, 1977), viz.,

$$k_1 = 0.025 + 0.002 T\theta - 0.008 T$$
 (13)

The rate of nitrification was given by the following relationship:

$$\frac{dNO_3 - N}{dt} = k_2 (NH_4 - N)$$
(14)

where the nitrification rate coefficient k₂ was a function of temperature and water content, i.e.

 $k_2 = 0.0007 \text{ T}\theta$ (15)

Most of the available ammonium was assumed to remain on the soil exchange and considered to be relatively immobile. Downward transport of N was predominantly through the NO₃-N ion. Uptake of N by roots was set proportional to the measured N uptake in the field. The removal from the soil was a function of rooting density and salt concentration, similar to the methodology discussed for water uptake.

An important nitrogen function that was not accounted for by the model was denitrification. It is known that the rate of this process is dependent upon the degree of aeration, supply of readily available carbon, and temperature. However, the quantitative relationship for a given field situation is not known and difficult to measure. In this study the lack of denitrification will be reflected in a build-up of NO_3 -N and eventually in the leaching losses of NO_3 -N.

2. Model Results

A comparison of the 1975 simulation results and field measured for NO_3 -N mass balance and profile distributions are shown in Figures 23 and 24, respectively. The predicted NO_3 -N masses were generally higher than NO_3 -N masses measured in the field (Figure 23). Increases in NO_3 -N were

closely related to fertilizer additions and nitrification, and decreases corresponded to crop uptake and leaching. Large losses of nitrogen by leaching occurred during the 29 August (10.5 cm) and 30 August (4.5 cm) rainstorms amounting to nearly 90 kg N/ha. Some of this loss may have included simultaneous denitrification which was not accounted for in the model.

Predicted profile distributions of NO_3 -N with respect to depth were usually within the range of field measured concentrations (Figure 24). The wide range in field measured concentrations of NO_3 -N especially near the soil surface was a reflection of the sampling procedure. In 1975 three samples were removed from the area where the fertilizer band was applied and a corresponding set of three samples were taken adjacent to the band.

The pronounced concentration peak at 20 cm predicted for the 6 August 1977 was a result, in part, of the bimodal concept employed in the model development. This concept has not been tested very thoroughly, especially for sandy soils, and could easily be in error. However, the predicted peak may also have been a result of the fact that the model did not account for denitrification and concentration peaks of NO₃-N were not reduced.

The 1976 simulation shows similar results to that of 1975 (Figures 25 and 26). Predicted NO_3 -N masses tended to be larger than the measured masses. A small amount of leaching (10 kg/ha/75 cm) was observed in late June and early July. Major leaching losses at the end of September and in early October amounted to nearly 70 kg NO_3 -N/ha/75 cm. In both the 1975 and 1976 simulations major losses of NO_3 -N tended to take place in the fall. Results from the previous section indicated that this leaching can continue throughout the winter into early spring.

However, direct interpretation of modelling results must be done with caution. The simulation and modelling approaches to quantifying the field nitrogen system are an excellent research tool in that it allows one to examine a large number of related and integrated physical, chemical, and biological soil processes that would be difficult to study otherwise. However, the soil nitrogen system is very complex and the exact quantification of all the various nitrogen-related processes is difficult. At best, one can usually only obtain approximate relationships for the N-system. Thus, model results are themselves only approximate and not exact values.



Figure 23. Prediced NO₃-N mass in soil and predicted NO₃-N mass leached from the 1975 tobacco plot (AG-13).



Figure 24. Predicted NO₃-N concentrations with respect to depth for the 1975 sampling times (measured NO₃-N values are marked by x's).



Figure 26. Predicted NO₃-N concentrations with respect to depth for the 1976 sampling times (measured NO₃-N values are marked by x's).



Aucouragement of the planting of fail leaching

the try

buffer somes of grasses of other non-row crops may help prevent pollution where runoif is a problem.

REMEDIAL MEASURES

One of the objectives of the Great Lakes Study (IJC Task Group C) was to suggest alternative measures that could be used to reduce pollution from agricultural land. In particular, this study is concerned with nitrogen losses. From the point of view of the farming systems in the Great Lakes regions there are two other important reasons for preventing nitrogen losses. First, nitrogen is an important crop nutrient required for growth and yield and, second, replacing lost nitrogen is expensive and requires large amounts of our diminishing energy supply. Thus, conservation of nitrogen is important in the well being of any farming community.

The primary difficulty in suggesting remedial measures is that often they do not necessarily fit well into practical farming operations. In addition, some measures reduce yield (profit?) while reducing pollution. In this report the authors will suggest some alterations in farming practices that might help reduce excessive NO₃-N losses by leaching. Although the implementation of these practices will probably reduce N-losses from agriculture they may also reduce production and interfere with conventional timing of farm operations.

The following recommendations should be considered:

- 1. More exact use of nitrogen fertilizer to meet crop needs only. This may involve time and method of application. Sometimes the rates used exceed recommended levels.
- Incorporation of a legume rotation into present practices supplies nitrogen, prevents leaching, builds up tilth, and maintains organic matter.
- 3. Deleting the practice of fall nitrogen fertilizer application. A large amount of this is probably lost by fall rains, winter thaws and spring melts.
- 4. Deleting the practice of applying manure to a field during the winter manure is best applied late spring and summer and should be incorporated in order to obtain maximum benefits.
- 5. Encouragement of the use of slow release nitrogen fertilizers (if available) where release could be timed for maximum plant uptake.

- 6. Encouragement of the planting of fall cover crops which assimilate available N and help to prevent fall leaching.
- 7. Buffer zones of grasses or other non-row crops may help prevent N pollution where runoff is a problem.

STATESTAL MEASURES

and yield and, not the solution of the Group lakes Sould (110 Test Group C) was approximited alternative measures that could be used the meduce pollution from losses. From the point of view of the forming systems to the Grant Lakes regions there, are a tropicted in the same related to reduce the Grant Lakes losses. First, altregen 14 to important ressons for preventing nitrogen and yield and, residents for a important or of currich test and result large amounts of our diminishing energy supply. From the some residents after a taportant in the self being of any farming to material of a first a second for the self being of any farming the some self of a second to the self being of any farming to be and the second intergent is to be said being of any farming community

they do not necessarily fit well into practical rescarges is that often addition, some measures radiale yield (profile?) while to being poliution. In this report the sufficient sail suggest works attractions follution. that might help follows exceeding 50g-W losses by loading. Although the implementation of these practices will probably remove priores for spriciture they may ais reduce production and initialized wild and then a firm operations.

The Iollowing recommoderines enough the source of

Nore essed use of attactu fortilizers equest cars needs only. This may fively time and method of applied the fates and exceed represented involve.

airrogen, prevente issobiles, builds op cilch, and vahirsing organic satter,

iarge about of this is probably lost by fail teins, sharer thave and

Deleting the practice of applying menure to a field during the winter manuro is bast applied late spring and sommer and should be incorporated is order to obtain mathing benefits

s destructure with test

ballifer will, hom hinth bethan the ifact, all spont, and T.M. Actalla. 197 . and . uncompary of water discharged trum two springicus watersheds in southwestern lows. Mater Actour, Res. 10:159-353.

ice ni sederang an offic first been bord reiserer and the set of t

REFERENCES

- Allison, F.E. 1955. The enigma of soil nitrogen balance sheets. Adv. Agron. 8:213-250.
- Beauchamp, E.G., L.W. Kannenberg, and R.B. Hunter. 1976. Nitrogen accumulation and translocation in corn geno types following silking. Agron. J. 68:418-422.
- Beek, J. and Frissel, J.J. 1973. Simulation of nitrogen behaviour in soils. Center for Agric. Poll. and Doc., Wageningen, Netherlands 67 p.
- Bernstein, L. and Ayers, A.D. 1951. Salt tolerance of six varieties of green beans. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 57:243-248.
- Biggar, J.W., and B.B. Corey. 1969. Agricultural drainage and eutrophication. p. 405-445. In Eutrophication, causes, consequences and correctives. Proc. Symp. Nat. Acad. Sci., Washington, D.C.
- Bolton, E.F., J.W. Aylesworth and F.R. Hore. 1970. Nutrient Losses through tile drains under three cropping systems and two fertility levels on a Brookston clay soil. Can. J. Soil Sci. 50:275-279.
- Bouwer, H. 1966. Rapid field measurement of air-entry value and hydraulic conductivity of soil as significant parameters in flow system analysis. Water Resour. Res. 2:729-738.
- Bremner, J.M. 1965. Organic nitrogen in soil. <u>In</u> Soil Nitrogen, W.V. Bartholomew and F.E. Clark, eds. Agron. Series 10, Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisc. pp. 43-92.
- Burns, J.G. 1976. Equations to predict the leaching of nitrate uniformily incorporated to a known depth or uniformily distributed throughout a soil profile. J. Agric. Sci., Camb. 86:305-
- Burns, I.G. 1974. A model for predicting the redistribution of salts applied to fallow soils after excess rainfall or evaporation. J. Soil Sci. 25:165-178.
- Burwell, B.E., G.E. Schuman, K.E. Saxton, and H.G. Heinemann. 1976. Nitrogen in subsurface discharge from agricultural watersheds. J. Environ. Qual. 5:325-330.

- Burwell, R.E., G.E. Schuman, R.F. Piest, R.G. Spomer, and T.M. McCalla. 1974. Quality of water discharged from two agricultural watersheds in southwestern Iowa. Water Resour. Res. 10:359-365.
- Cameron, D.R. and C.G. Kowalenko. 1976. Modelling nitrogen processes in soil: Mathematical development and relationships. Can. J. Soil Sci. 56:71-78.
- Cameron, D.R., C.G. Kowalenko, and K. Ivarson. 1978. Nitrogen and chloride distribution and balance in a clay loam soil. Can. J. Soil Sci. 57:77-88.
- Chaudhary, T.N., V.K. Bhatnagar, and S.S. Prihar. 1975. Corn yield and nutrient uptake as affected by water-table depth and soil submergence. Agron. J. 67:745-749.
- Chichester, F.W. and S.J. Smith. 1978. Disposition of ¹⁵N-labelled fertilizer nitrate applied during corn culture in field lysimeters. J. Environ. Quality 7: (submitted).
- Chow, V.T. (ed.) 1964. Handbook of applied hydrology. McGraw-Hill Book Co. New York.
- Cooper, H.B. and J.A. Lopez 1976. Chemical composition of acid precipitation in Central Texas. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 6: 351-359.
- Coulson, A. 1967. Estimating runoff in Southern Ontario. Tech. Bull. No. 7. Inland Waters Branch. Dept. of Energy, Mines and Resources. Ottawa.
- Daigger, L.A., D.H. Sander, and G.A. Peterson, 1976. Nitrogen content of winter wheat during growth and maturation. Agron. J. 68:815-818.
- De Jong, R., D.R. Cameron, and V. Malmsten. 1977. Nitrogen movement through agricultural soils. Semi-annual Spring Progress Rept. submitted to Agricultural Watershed Studies, Task Group C - (Canadian) - PLUARG -IJC. 67 p.
- Duffy, J. and M. Franklin. 1972. On mathematical models at nitrification in soil. Proc. 1972 Summer Computer Simulation Conf. San Diego, Calif. p. 997-1006.
 - Duffy, J., C. Chung, C. Boast, and M. Franklin. 1975. A simulation model of Biophysiochemical transformations of Nitrogen in tile-drained corn belt soil. J. Environ. Qual. 4:477-486.
 - Dutt, G.R., M.J. Shaffer, and W.J. Moore. 1972. Computer simulation model of dynamic bio-physiochemical process in soil. Tech. Bull. 196, Agric. Exp. Sta., Univ. of Arizona, Tucson, Ariz. 101 p.
 - Ellis, R.C. 1974. The seasonal pattern of nitrogen and carbon mineralization in forest and pasture soils in southern Ontario. Can. J. Soil Sci. 54:15-28.
- Erickson, A.E. and B.G. Ellis. 1971. The nutrient content of drainage water from agricultural land. Res. Bull. 31, Mich. State University, Agric. Exp. Stn.

- Feddes, R.A., E. Bresler, and S.P. Neuman. 1974. Field test of a modified numerical model for water uptake by root systems. Water Resour. Res. 10:1199-1206.
- Firth, P., H. Thitipoca, S. Suthipradit, R. Westsalaar and D.T. Beech. 1973. Nitrogen balance studies in the central plain of Thailand. Soil Biol. Biochem. 5:41-46.
 - Frank, B. and B.D. Ripley. 1977. Land use activities in eleven agricultural watersheds in southern Ontario, Canada, 1975-1976. Final Report. Project 5 - Land use activities. Task Group C (Canadian Sector). International Reference Group on Great Lakes Pollution from Land Use Activities, IJC.
 - Frere, M.H., M.E. Jensen, and J.N. Carter. 1970. Modelling water and nitrogen behavior in the soil plant system. Proc. 1970 Summer Computer Simulation Conf., Denver, Colo. p. 746-750.
 - Fribourg, H.A., W.E. Bryan, G.M. Lessman and D.M. Manning. 1976. Nutrient uptake by corn and grain sorghum silage as affected by soil type, planting date and moisture regime. Agron. J. 68:260-263.
- Fried, M., K.K. Tanji, and R.M. van der Pol. 1976. Simplified long term concept for evaluating leaching of nitrogen from agricultural land. J. Environ. Qual. 5:197-200.
- German, M.J. 1967. Biological survey of the Grand River and its tributaries, 1966. Biology Branch, O.W.R.C., Ontario.
 - Gillham, R.W., M.J. Hendry, J.A. Cherry, E.O. Frind and G.M. Pucovsky. 1978. Studies of the agricultural contribution to nitrate enrichment of groundwater and the subsequent nitrate loading to surface waters. Final Report submitted to Agricultural Watershed Studies, Task Group C (Canadian) PLUARG, IJC.
 - Hagin, J. and Amberger, A. 1974. Contribution of fertilizers and manures to the N- and P-load of waters: A computer simulation. Final Rept. to Deutsche Forschangs Gemeinschaft, Technian Haifa, Israel. 123 p.
 - Ham, G.E., I.E. Liener, S.O. Evans, R.D. Frasier and W.W. Nelson. 1975. Yield and composition of soybean seed as affected by N and S fertilization. Agica. J. 67:293-297.
 - Hanway, J.J. 1962. Corn growth and composition in relation to soil fertility: I. Growth of different plant parts and relation between leaf weight and grain yield. Agron. J. 54:145-148.
 - Hanway, J.J. and Weber, C.R. 1971. Dry matter accumulation in eight different soybean (Glycine max (L.) merrill) varieties. Agron. J. 63:227-230.
 - Hanway, J.J. and C.R. Weber. 1971. Dry matter accumulation in soybean (Glycine max. (L) Merrill) plants as influenced by N, P and K fertilization. Agron. J. 63:263-266.
 - Hanway, J.J. and C.R. Weber. 1971. Accumulation of N, P and K by soybean (Glycine max. (L) Merrill) plants. Agron. J. 63:406-408.

Hydroscience, Inc. 1976. Assessment of the effects of nutrient loadings on Lake Ontario using a mathematical model of the phytoplankton. Report prepared for Great Lakes Water Quality Board, New Jersey, 116 p.

Jackson, R.D. 1972. On the calculation of hydraulic conductivity. Soil Sci. Soil. Amer. Proc. 36:380-382.

Johnson, M.G. and G.E. Owen. 1971. Nutrients and nutrient budgets in the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario. J. Water Poll. Control Fed. 43(5):836-853.

- Johnson, A.H., D.B. Bouldin, E.A. Goyetic, and A.M. Hedges. 1976. Nitrate dynamics in Fall Creek, New York. J. Environ. Qual. 5:386-391.
 - Jury, W.A., W.R. Gardner, P.G. Saffigna, and C.B. Tanner. 1976. Model for predicting simultaneous movement of nitrate and water through a loamy sand. Soil Sci. 122:36-43.
 - Keay, J. and Menage, P.M.A. 1970. Automated determination of ammonium and nitrate in soil extracts by distillation. Analyst 95:379-382.
 - Kissel, D.E., S.J. Smith and D.W. Dillow. 1976. Disposition of fertilizer nitrate applied to a swelling clay soil in the field. J. Environ. Qual., 5:66-71.
 - Kowalenko, C.G. 1977. Quantification and qualitative examination of N transformation processes in watershed soils. Final Report submitted to Agricultural Watershed Studies, Task Group C (Canadian), PLUARG, IJC.
 - Kowalenko, C.G. 1978. Nitrogen transformations and transport over 17 months in field fallow microplots using ¹⁵N. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58:69-76.
 - Kowalenko, C.G. and Cameron, D.R. 1978. Nitrogen transformations in soilplant systems in three years of field experiments using tracer and non tracer methods on an ammonium-fixing soil. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58: (in press).
 - Mehran, M. and Tanji, K.K. 1974. Computer modelling of nitrogen transformation in soils. J. Environ. Qual. 3:391-396.
 - Miller, M.H. 1975. The contribution of plant nutrients from agriculture lands to drainage water. Res. Rept. from Dept. Land Resource Sci., Univ. Guelph.
 - Neilsen, G.H. and A.F. Mackenzie. 1977. Soluble and sediment nitrogen losses as related to land use and type of soil in Eastern Canada. J. Environ. Qual. 6:318-321.
 - Pierre, W.H., J.R. Webb and W.D. Shrader. 1971. Quantitative effects of nitrogen fertilizer on the development and downward movement of soil acidity in relation to level of fertilization and crop removal in a continuous corn cropping system. Agron. J. 63:291-297.

- Quinn, J.R., J.G. Boisvert, and I. Wood. 1974. Semi-automated ninhydrin assay of Kjeldahl nitrogen. Anal. Biochem. 58:609-614.
- Rao, P.S.C., H.M. Selim, J.M. Davidson, and D.A. Graetz. 1975. Simulation of transformations, ion-exchange and transport of selected nitrogen species in soils. Soil and Crop Soc. Florida Proc. 35:161-164.
- Sanderson, M. 1977. Precipitation quantity and quality. Annual Progress Rept. Agricultural Watershed Studies Great Lakes Drainage Basin, Task Group C (Canadian) Univ. of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario. 57 p.
- Saxton, K.E., G.E. Schuman, and R.E. Burwell. 1977. Modeling nitrate movement and dissipation in fertilized soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 41:265-271.
- Sharpley, A.N., T.K. Syens and D.W. O'Connor. 1976. Phosphorus inputs into a stream draining an agricultural watershed I: Sampling. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 6:38-52.
- Shiomi, M.T. and K.W. Kurtz. 1973. Great Lakes Precipitation Chemistry. Part 1. Lake Ontario Basin. Proc. 16th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 581-602.
- Stevenson, F.J. 1965. Origin and distribution of nitrogen in soil. In Soil nitrogen. W.V. Bartholomew and F.E. Clark, eds. Agron Series No. 10, Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisc. pp. 1-42.
- Tabatabai, M.A. and J.M. Laflen. 1976. Nutrient content of precipitation over Iowa. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 6:361-373.
- Tanji, K.K., M. Fried, and R.M. van der Pol. 1977. A steady-state conceptual nitrogen model for estimating nitrogen emissions from cropped lands. J. Environ. Qual. 6:155-159.
- Topp, G.C. 1978. Physical properties of the soils of agricultural watersheds 1 and 13 which control moisture storage and treatment. Final Report submitted to Agricultural Watershed Studies, Task Group C (Canadian), PLUARG, IJC.
- Topp, G.C. and M.R. Binns. 1976. Field measurement of hydraulic conductivity with a modified air-entry permeameter. Can. J. Soil Sci. 56:139-147.
- Weber, C.R. 1966. Nodulating and nonnodulating soybean isolines. II. Response to applied nitrogen and modified soil conditions. Agron. J. 58:46-49.
- Webber, L.R. 1971. Nutrient loadings in Swan Creek. Dep. Land Resource Sci., University of Guelph, Progress Report 1971. p. 38.
- Webber, L.R. and D.E. Elrick. 1967. The soil and lake eutrophication. Proceedings, 10th Conf. Great Lakes Res. :404-412.
- Zartman, R.E., R.E. Phillips and J.E. Leggett. 1976. Comparison of simulated and measured Nitrogen accumulation in burley tobacco. Agron. J. 68:406-410.

Quinn, J.M., J.G. Bolsvert, and I. Mood. 1976. Scal-automated minhydrin assay of Kjeldahi nitrogen. Anal. Biochem. 58:609-614.

aso, P.S.C., H.M. Selim, J.M. Davidson, and D.A. Craets. 1975. Simulation to symbol time formational bon-edebau d and with aport of enlacted iftrograd processing and or op the First a free. Strift-Ide.

Sanderson, M. 1977. Precipitation quantity and quality, Andual Progress Rept. Sectionagerin Watershald Schutzer of ear 1 yes Disinage Tasia, This Ofoup C (Canadian) Univ. of Windson, Windson, Catarior S, gravities

APPENDIX I

Measured NO₃-N and NH₄-N Concentrations (ug N/g-oven dry soil) and Percent Water Contents (mass basis) for Each of the Plots in Watersheds AG-13 and AG-01

	r moshinkos	navevee	N. ONOOCIA	LOWNAEOC 1	0:00			
wwwaroau	raamvm u	0111000		4 4 8 8 8 8 8 4 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 4 4 4		4		NO
And Ann Ann And And And And	day day due day day day day	****	AAmmen-	an an an an an an	100	90	N2M	5.0
rancoran	a maderame	-OKMJV-C	insurgerma	P C C MICLOLO		-		-
OCUCONCN					~~~~~	xc	Nrr	50
			COUVECCA		JMC	-12	MCM	~-
WNNN3000	2	Z	Z	2				
	·		MONOROFON	n-no-vorun	0 - AC LU I	ma	- Wama	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
2roosruon	1 200-00MPON	ZONONNUTN	NOMMANEES				hear	
Ü	0	C	Orimanium	C	Colorian	14	2300	S
-ocoramo	0001PM3-		NJARONAR	UNA COMOLO	0		U	
LAGNCANCK		X		· C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CHOUL CWG	-03	OWWAR	- ccm.con
		h and an and and and and and and and and	F12222020	LCCANCC	L.M.N.J.OCC	onn	LAMM-P	LCC
VACEAAECE	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	A	4	4	A manufactures and			
		2200020000	3JULANU	INJHONMON	-NNO-O-EE	MJA	NOLACE	-OROGUN
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	• 000000000	~ NENEQOQ	2:JUNNUN30	00MM200				
a cocnor-	oran-ent	000-0000	JOCMMCK-	MODIOCA-0	667.0000			
raraoca-	PEPPCOE.	00.010.010140				MJ CM	UP-CCF	- contra
-				ecocococ	00-0-0-00	0 C	N-ACC	. C
						-		
	SCHRCCMM	000000300	00 Lr 10 00 00 00 00 00	apparpar				
-nnnnn-	0000000	0~~000000						~~~
			011101110		and and and	-c		-0
wanner w	MOTODOOM	MUSTHEM	1010000000					
NMMNMNNO	0-00000-			000000000		-0	N0-	on
0000	040/10004			00000000	montant .		0.44	
N-MNOCHO	MOCIOMAIN		and the second					
		200000000	-nonona	rchosmem	13 mm	an	NON	
ZNMNNMEMO	ZCHHJOOHH	20000-000	Zummmenneno	20-0	2000		2	
7	- 17	2	1	1	8	-0	1	-0
IONMANNOA	IMPHANOA	Immenon	ECON-JUDOJ	IJJDJAJAD	TRACALINA		7	La serie de la
MNNNNM-	000MOOMA	200000000	20000000	200000000	2. 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		7. 9 9 9 9 9	UW-WW
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MM	0-10	Manar	
20-5455C	rrrarsun	000000000	101070700					
00000000			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	a a a a a a a a a	rann-aaau	100-	-na-m	
instruction of	CECHORER	N-MNNC.	Namananc	minicormin	raomre-au	nrc .	MALOME	ANAEN
077074044					M- N		mon m	~~~~~~
0.00000000	MLLOJLIJ	U.u.www.o	NUCORENE	mencadon	JANOOMONO	0.0	AMMOIA	0000-00
NOGMOTON	MOOMMOH	MINNMMO						
				-MNM-CNN	AUDCE00PE	-00	E ONOS	- O- CON
								adridring
000-MOOM	ALTINGONEE	1000-10-10-		The second second				
		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	00000000	NNEEOMEO	020	-	400	Nr
JUUN-JU	Convervence	C tradestantes to Indee	00000000	00000000	000	••		
								10-0
3-0-2400	mmererou	Non	120000-N	NAMMONDO		~ ~		
NMMMCCUR	0000000	N=N=====						
N			00000000	011000000	-00	00	war.	6.01
NENCECEM	NULLCUM	montera	222000000					
				00MMMM 73	NNN	00	MEN	70
8 N	1	2 mmmmmm	200000000	2-0000000	OEMS	nn	EMPZ	02
M	M	M	ŝ	M	m			-2
Zeeeeeeee	Zeeeeee	MOEMEDAND	WWOODEC4E3	CULANANO	COLONDO-00	50	CMCMN-0	0.00000
www.aowwww	00000000	~ ENENNAN	0000000	0000	2		2	
-					M 4 COLOCIO POR	0.0		EC 10mm
0 activação	NEUWWWW	FOODDAOJ	MECEOEE	FORACOEA	300000			
00-1-00-10	00000000			*****	00000000000	on.	2000-1C	20000
		- A O O I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	20002022	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ECONNCE 000	-0	LCUE CH	arneon
MENENE	MMM CHOR HOCH					-		nnnn
		000000003	NNEOEEOO	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	cruc-cura	0-	COLOUTED	oonroo
	00000000	-MURANN-	00000000	ACAMODAN	CM-MODOLO		MP C MM	• • • • • • •
				- 11			N NN -	

A MO	PLOT REP.	PLOT REP.	PLOT REP.	PLOT R F R F R F R R R S R R R S S T O S R R R S S T O	РГГОТ К П С П С П С П С П С П С П С П С П С П	PLOT R S M C C M C C M C C M C C M C C M C C M C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	т ходолодо •	н хохохохо •	۳ ۳ ۳	M N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	₩ ×````````````````````````````````````	ຬ ຬ຺຺຺຺ ຬ຺຺຺຺ຬ຺຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺຺ຬ຺
000000		2000000	2000000 MMMMMMM	NNNNNA	ດຄຸດຄຸດຄຸດຄຸດ≺ ຊ	→>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Z	0000000 Ennert	CNNNNNN	0 MMMMMM M	03333333	CUNUNUNUNUNU CUNUNUNUNUNUN	สายเกษายายายา
* * * * * * * * *	メイトレート	× ちらららららら ×	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	X 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	× 1111111111 000000000	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

N-00 3011	15.8	640 ••••	MN - 4 ° 0 ~	Mare 000	NO • • • •		M.4.4.4 0-10	0.00	2.0 .0 .0 .0 .0	. 8° 1 1 1 8 9 0	@r ••
rae rae z	13.4	20 CL	7°.0	N1 0.1	8° - 1	2022 2022	50 	222 - 12M	24	12 222	
	12.2	101 0 0 0 12 0 0 0 12	1.05	00000 00000 00000	NN 200	CONTE 0020 0020 0020 0020 0020 0020 0020 00	55 • 4 • • 4	Mora ESSA	24 20	2000 2022 2022	00 
A FOOCCORCI FOOCCORCI FOOCCORCI	2200	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	CV CP-	HAACA X	000000C	4 C 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	KONCC3	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	-440404	10881 2000 2000	CCCCCC
0000000	10°0	1440000 1440000	000000 000000	20000 00000	Nomeno-	15752 999.	10 000 0 - 0 	MINEQE 2000	10mn02n-	3173-00 	000000000
	03	NOCOPO NOCOPI	02N2F	1000	MN-ALCC	50000		KUW3 CUCH	WWAKRNO	«PPO	8 a Da a c
80 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	1°2	000 000	00	000	0° 4 0 ° 5	C 1 0 1	C7 	000 0+0	&N ••• CC	NC	
440	0° 0	 040	N00	00°°47	0°*0	0M0 . 8 8 9 		001	00 00		
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	- ° ° -	CFF 000 Zaro	0 . S	2000	0.0	COS  Zurnu B	-N -0	MHU 2.00 B	00 • • 5 2 2	2000	N= cc
NN8484N	0-100 	Z	1000-	N0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	TONOS Z	0000030M	7.111 12000		Z Z Z	0000000
ROCERCAN NOCCHCEI	2224 2224	OFGJOC	oncr-		-NULLCUN	CM00	NAUWUNO	MCOR 		2000	eccccc:
-0-0	ME 44	-0000000000000000000000000000000000000	10400	1100 0MIN	MPPOMUS	MOME EEE.	-90000000 00000000	2010 Q		0000 3MN3	000000
N-U MON	2.5	0.05 	4. 7 8. 1	7 • 2 7 • 2	0M . • •	000 000 000	3N 	011 MOO	 	500 500	
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	50 4 50 4		0.03 0.03 0.03	150.77	74°55	0-00 0-00	56 • • 0 M	400 	00 ••• N=	500 J	30 •-
2005 2005	20°8 15°8	000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	10°6	NE N.	12.00	N00 20-00	  21-7	aco m-m zm-m	ON M=	ZONN	10 .7 . 01 . 01
200200-031 20020001 0000-031	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Z 20020000 Z 2000 Z 200	000000 00000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	00246444	C ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			CCGNOOM	00mmn: 2 ••••	-23-MOR 10-MOR
	1000 1000 1000	NO OUM-O La Macmu	00194	330	0.000 mo z	4.6MO			00000000	Nonn Nonn	SUNCHE
	20-02 	NOR OMC-	-FW00 13004	5-00 00:00	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000 	-NN-N0	8-04 8-04	0-0000-0 	100mm	
0	De WEANS	0	MEANS DEVS	с	<ul> <li>S</li> <li>S</li></ul>	а - та ш х 1-	0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	a -nma	• 0 < 2 00 40 0		00 41 10 10 10 10 10 10
PL.	S1	ъ.	ST		S 1	14	ST	4	ST	2	
			133	L TELLY	33333	> ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	ດເດເດເດເດ			×20000	างงางงาง
O CONTRACTOR O	200	0000000	0.0.0	2 00000	00000	1110° C		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	
X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	10	X 1000000 100000 100000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 1	100	YEAR 7100 7100 7100 7100 7100 7100 7100 710	00000	YEAR 7168 M	0.0000	75 AK M	14400	TUTUT TUTUT TUTUT TUTUT	00000

	000000000 010000000 	ALCOUNDU MOUNCONE MOCNECNE		45540050+ • 6 6 6 1 4 6 1 4 • 6 6 7 4 7 0 0 0 0	50405000 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100M20MM3
Mersuna Mersuna	KR-CKKAR KR-CKKAR		Lageorcor	25047725		
LONDBOLM ZONLOMONM		N N N S N S N S N S N S N S N S N S N S			200000000 C C	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
CONN-COCO	ENCONCERCE ENCONCERCE	TOWUV2202 TOWUV2202	н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н	П ссидзось т	H C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	гиан-зикс х Узак-зао
200000000	MUNAL-20		MUNDANDA MUNDANDA MUNDANDA	00000000 200000000 2000000000	N00-0444E	
►U~LCO&O ►U~LCO&O	220.000 C		RUCK-C-	Carorra-	UCK20CKM	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
80000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000 00000000000000000000000000	0-30-30F	«0«000N-3 -NNAO	PCPP4CPM	P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
MCCOPPO	0.0040-0000 • • • • • • • • • • • • 0-0-0-0000	044004303 010000000000000000000000000000	NN	MOUNTENOE		
N00-C0-3	CCCCFFCCC ZNFFFFFAC	20-00-000	ZNOUDO	Z-AM-CHO	NACHOCCA 22000000 2	++@-+&@N 2000-0000
IMNNO OMOJ Zessesso NN	Z	Z S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	2 IMMUMPUMU 2. UUUMHUUU	2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Z CORRODON Z CORRODON Z CORRODON	2
WEEFNERM NSMN-	N NN		427726044	JOOOCCEC Agganeth	MN-AN-NO	5+C+~CCM
	NE-ANCO+N 0.00N00NE MA 0.7A	0000-000 000-0000 00	0 00000 4 100 0 1 10 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	NMACCM40 MANNNNO	011001000 011000000 0000000000
00040000		ດ ອາຈາຍ ທາງອາດ ອີ້ນອີ້ນີ້ ອີ້ນີ້ ອີ ອີ້ນີ້ ອີ້ນີ້		CUNNUNN		MNNNANNA 00000-0000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-000 ••••••••••	NEOGODANAN	00-00-00	OMUMUNU-	PPOPMACM	
200000000	000-73N0 20-77-0000		EQUONDED	ZNORNNISM	MMMOGONS ZNMNMNNNO	2N0
CMUSEMCNUM Z	003CNN 2CNN	N N 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		ZOCHNINOCO ZOCHNINOCO NA A	CMMMOUMON Z MMMUNNM-	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
01000000000000000000000000000000000000	Ummenenene Ummenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenene	0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	000120200 	NOG MOG OG	00000-00000 -000005
00003600 20-003600	420-0050 000040-000 000 ←000	MMM 01- NUNJORO-M NEMNORUR		2000011000 10000000000 1000000000000000	0474770023	
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Σ Σ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ	х	ຈັດ 	• N • S • S • S • S • N • M • M • M • M • M • M • M • M	х т с~~~ост с~~~ост с~~~ост с~~~ост с~~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ост с~~ос	х с
PL01	PL01	NUNNINN °	PL01	PL01	P L 01	510,000000 010
L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	×ທາງ ພ	ະ ພິສາຍ ເບັນເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເປັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນເຫຼັນ ເບັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເບັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເບັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປັນ ເປ	້×ທານທາດອ ພ ⊢	ະ ແມ່ນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັ	⊨ ສ ແລວນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນອີນ	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
× NNNNNN A	4000000	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	4 4 0	>000000	へ	A NNNNNNA A

87

ococcocco Z

× 22222222

0000000 1 -----

03 60 N ~ 20 NM - N 2 - NM - N 2 - NM - N 2 - N 2 - N 2 - N 2 - N - N 2 - N - N	MU MGE	NG 600 NN NNO NN NNO	100 110 100 100 100 100	100 010 100 010 100 010	M0 200 14 224
CCOCCHO-	12000 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	ALON AN		2000 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
200000000 C-	20 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2	NG SOGU	NO N	2011 2011 10 10 10 10 10	00 001-2 00 001-2 00 001-2 00 001-2
C-SULLON	мс-лл-лаисо Т	Ca-Lawyeace Thervere		THANNAMANA T	Maccocococo Maccocococo Marana
MUNUN-NO SANDANOMU	54000000000000000000000000000000000000	ACCEMENDERONE CMEENMENEEM		MUZUNICANO MUZUNICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO ZINICANO	
	2222 CL 21 C 2 C 2 C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C C 2 C C C 2 C C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C 2 C C C 2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		ON-COCUCONF	400N3MCLW6-	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
PPP3P&C0	Mar 24 	NON C3	00-0 0-0	-0-v vo	222 3C
M40476700	400 00 00 00 M	4M 0.000	NN0 .001 .800 .00 .00 .00	NN 	N0 445 00 000
CONCONCM Zerororo	NAP ASN SEEZ	ZVUN VO		Cha Cu Co Zurra Cu B	2000 CC
MULENENENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMUNICA COMMITMENE COMMITMENE COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA COMUNICA CO	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Z I S I S A CONSUMPTIONS	Z CIRCONCEZON Z CIRCONCEZON MUMNNOOONNA	2 103000000000000 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 IN000-M000M0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NVNC	Marwararas Markurcoma	MA-AORORAND	NCMMUMAPHCO NGGOOMODA	404400575764 4044005764 4044005764	 M 4
15000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000-000 000 	C 1904 144 2000 0 10 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	N N CONSTRUCTION	00000400000000000000000000000000000000	N⊄COAQNEOQE N~ 4M~ N~ 4M~
002000200 NJ&NQ&CN&	000 00 444 40	00 NEN 00 NEN	000 470 000	10°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	100 0.202 N-1 0.202
WWJJW034	N +0000 CO	NON 10	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		40 00 MCM 00 MON 70
0.00045555	000 100 000 000 000	40 40.0 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 NNA NO	อบกม ณญ Z=m3 M=	N-N NM ZMM0 03
M CN003N0 Z ONNN-0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	-0400000000000000000000000000000000000	049447444744 No-05047000 Caamaaanaaana Caamaaanaaana Caamaaanaaanaa Caamaaanaaanaa	174440440000000000000000000000000000000
00000		404-4040040VW	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	100-01-01000 2000-0000 2000-0000 2000-0000	0050500000000 MAERONOBORE
64444M44	0040C044400 		00000000000000000000000000000000000000		4 000 045 05-0 2 000 00 0 0 000 00 0 000 000 00 0 000 000 00 0 000 00 0 000 00 0 000 000 00 0 000 000 00 0 000 000 000 00 0 000 000 000000
2	x mg m 47 a	x m⊐ m c ⊷um au o≻ oo c > o o	α Δ	a m m m m m m m m m m m m m	00 <2566 √00/570/0 ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩
PLOT STD.	PLON NNNNNN STO	Prononon pro	510°	PL01 810 810	CUNNNNNN C
	ີ ແລະເຊັ້າເຊັ້າເຊັ້າເຊັ້າເຊັ້າເຊັ້າເຊັ້າເຊັ້າ	ມ ແດນຄຸດຄຸດຄຸດ ເບັນເບັນເບັນເບັນເບັນເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເບັນເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົ່າ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼົາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼາ ເຫຼ	ມ ແຮງ ແຮງ ເບັນເຫັນເປັນເປັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫັນເຫ	ឝ ສູ້ນວິດວາດດາດ ເບັ້ນ	ມ ພັນບັນບັນບັນ ອີ້
× 0 7 0 7 0 0 2	©©™©©©©©©© ©©©©©©©©©©© ©	AUNUNUNUN O	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	ANNNNNNN C	NNNNNNNN A A
2	0222222222 0	ດທາກທາກທາກ I	0000000000 2	0000000000 I	Z
メ メ ス い い い い い い い い い い こ こ こ こ こ こ こ こ こ こ こ こ こ	X 000000000 0000000 0000000 0000000 000000	× 000000000 ×	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	× 111111111111111111111111111111111111

1		0°0	100°	223	- M - M	N00. 200.	24° 24°	012 100	04 • 4 •	101	. 4 . 00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	5.0
1	α.		-CN:	112	3.0	4 000 5	\$°.5	10.00	a.s. 0-	004 CO.	 	ALUCUUU HUCUUUUU	1.5
CONTENS 885 W			1027 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1.01	8.0	011EN	  22	102TEN 1005	10.10	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	 	00000000 0000000 00000000	1.0
10864 1020	AMP KAN	~~~ ~~~	178 10.40 10.00		100	HOLNA CCNC	CCOPPP-	TCONH TCONH		11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		A SCACUCA	1.7
310010		1.0	10000	20000	1000 ••••	0-2007 20020	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	10000		2 3 N 3 0 5 00-	2.0
20-4 20-4 20-4			20 20 A	-raru Viccor	~~~ ~~~	- ACC	ODNCMUC	-N-F		a a c a	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		0.5.
		sa	PMC		4	030	C'O	MAG	54	2-autra	SN	CCL-L0-	-S-
0			00-		00	-	NC	-0-	-0	000	00	N-C-OC-	c
000 		00	0041		0.04			4000 5 0 0		. 4 5 °		1040043	0.0
2000 8		-0	PHC 20CM		8 . M	ZNAN	~~ ~~ ~~	N	0 . C	074 0.00 2.00	800 00	ZNCOC-	0.7
			11MAA 2000-	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Z	100-00-00-000 00-00-00-00 00-00-00-00	Zici	ME400500	INNON Zese	5N202N3	Z OF 30W30	0
	-VCPC31		  CCC.	- Man		DASP MMA	- SHARMOR			JChC 000 North		o checho	C . 1
8446 8446	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	6.0	0000 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 1	10 CU - 10		-00-1 000-		10-000 MV -00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 4 5 6 M-M	ONNNNNN	0000-20- 000-00-00	0.8
maaa	1998-90 ·	50	222		52	MID	02	004	45	ME	MO	-00000	.0
	ć	2-0			~~~	พทท	NO		NO	man	5.000	01000000	2
20°4		- M -	17.5		10.8	500 M	50 0 0	875 970	00 00	1000	on Mo	M-0 0 M 4 3	1.5
ZMNO		12.0	5000 5000 5000 5000		16.8	660 10082	3M 	3 = N 2 0 - 5 2 0 - 5 0	24.05	3=Z. 3=Z. 15=2	00 	Z NNONO	0.1
20000 20000 20000		12.7	1 7 N 0 3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Nodu Nodu			0003-00 0003-000 0003-0000	CU-MC Z MMON		Na-W-OU	1.5
0.0000 0.000 0.000		20.1	100 M		000	00.00 00.00 00.00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	107 107 107 107	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	N 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	00	ACE40EC	1.1
		28.1	200		000	N-0	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	033- 	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	0.00	-00-00-2M	NC - ND - ND NN - ND MM	1.7
น. พ ช		MEANS DEV.	2 NM: W	200700	MEANS	a mom	х СМ С 2 9 0 - 0 0 1 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2 С 2	а <b></b>	х СШ КР СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ СШ	2	т СМ «Nocaous «No из «No из из из из из из из из из из из из из		DEV.
2 CNNN	www.win	STD.	L NNN L	NNNNN	sto.	10100	NNNNN 0	1 a	NUNNUN OIS	1 2	D MNNNNN	0 000000	\$10
- E E E E E	ຎຎຎຎຎ		H S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	იოთითი	Ø	* ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	იაითიი	H Soos Soos	იაიიაი	L E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	იოოიო	₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0000000 0000000		A 0 7333	33333	t	044 7000	000000	2	2222222	0 0 0 0 0 0	0303333 0303333	× NUNNUN 4 0	
OFFFF			000000	0 20 40 40 40 40	Ø	Danna	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20000	000000	0000	000000	2 NUNUNUN D	

× ~ 0000,00000 × 0000000

X Coopoopoopoo e

I AAAAAAAAA

-0.0-50-0 0-0-0.000		N PUNNUSO	N 001735M20 N 001735M20 N 001735M20 N 001735M20		2.24	00 3mg Mo
14166000	A REPEACE	2 2000002 2 20-0-02		a nrno-ac	0 UT- 1.	T TAN IN T TAN INT
2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2010-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0-10 2011-0	200000000 C 75		0 0 2 M 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	NNONNOUS N	M WMNH () O ZNIAO	
13W2V.	- T2 CAROSA	- LUNPAPEC	N 12333723			
IN-0.20MOC	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	A TOWNRONNO			ANNO ODENAN	
-0CVC4- -0CVC4- 	03333MM	COMEC+CI	C SOMGROM		MUCEUNICOC	C Valandacon
223333¢VA	NAMMAAAA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- 10MG	C COC CO
00000000	- COOMO JU	Nacharana Nacharana Nacharana	000-0000000000000000000000000000000000	N-DZOH MNMO		0 000 00 00 000
1333333 7000000000	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	ZAMN-AAAC	20000000	- CONSTR	znna n	N 333 30 30 c Zccc co
2 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			- Z - 1 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	Zeeseese N-N-N-N-00	Z CONMANNANAN	2 1 1 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
V-PP-Pan 0-cc-cco	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	N-NNNN	3C3PCCAM	-PPCO-VO	No-ormana	2 h32h0mmhr0 2 coccmarch.0
MAW404W0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	NO-M0	30000000	10-17-1751	CCCCNDMCCN0	0 0000-0000000000000000000000000000000
	- เบองเซนเม- - เบอะเนา- ธ	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000 0000000000000000000000000000	\$00000000000	NMIA ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	000070-00 000070-00		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	2200000000	200 MADU	
NUNGONON ZUNN-NNNO	0-00-0000 0-00-0-000 0-00-0-0-0-0 0			ZONOHOOHO		
CUO-FUNDED MMU-MMNO	COOCCMMNO Z · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Z · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	M 0.0000000 2 0000000	M CV	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M
MONOGOUS	PERNWARN	000-00-000 000000000000000000000000000		&&&&NNNA&& 00~00~00		OG UME DE JJJN
CUNNUCO-0	0-0000M0 30-0-00000 30-0-00000	0000000	00000000	03-777700 •••••••• •••Manono	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000-00000000000000000000000000000000
			and the			
Z D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		Z M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	A M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	a m a m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 → MM3M 0 ~ 00 * 2 > 4 U = 00 * 00 * 2 > 10 * 00 * 2 > 10 * 00 * 2 > 10 * 00 * 2 > 10 * 00 * 00 * 00 * 00 * 00 * 00 * 00
CHUMMANAM C	CMMMMMM C	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Commenter of the term	A DMMMMMM D D	CWWWWWWWWW CT	T CWWWWWWWWW T
×000000	ະ ແດນດອນຄ	۲ ۲ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ະ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ ແມ່ນ	۲ ۲ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳ ۳	ກ ×ທານພານພານທານ ດີ
		20000000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	<ul> <li>↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓</li></ul>	<0000000000000000000000000000000000000

× いううううらい * I

NON												
- 4 4 · 	20,00		20,00		2	0 021	10 4 4 5 4	- 0.03 	0.1	0 0.000 °		3:
·	ά.	a nuc	~~~			c rar	n					-
Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	100	N D G M		N 7 0 0	10.	100	10	MUC WUC	11.0	e can		¢ ;
ZNMN	20,00		Mu ***	. 1000 . 1000	0°	S WHEN C		2000		2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		۵. • •
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	CONNOS	Noci	Arocrem	C mmm		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	MAMMO-CO	Curr	N-V-VAD	C U Pro	***	0.3MI
Z NOLOMS	0.0000	V SO OI	VMLOGLAS	× 30000		27 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0	L SMAI				u a a i
MUNUAL	13MMM0	MMJ	2222WW20				00-0000- 	0MN	10301031 10301031	- 000 -	- mis no	· · · · ·
PD Corce	N-CC-	~~~~~	CU CHCHMA	0000	10000000 0000000	003 	aacoraa-			M3		
N-0 -0-0	10.7	0.15 2010 2010		 		030	-00	101 101 101	0.	000		c.
	00 00	388 °	••••	040 5 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0,1	377	50 00	000 000 015	2.00	000		0.0
ZCCC	×0 • • •	201 - 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	0 N 0 0	ACS 000 ZCMM	1 = 0 0 = 4	2000	2N 00	000	00 00			
INNNN43 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 000040	00000	10000	00000Mm	N 1011	5 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	IMODO Z e s e OOHO	00000000	HONNA N 866 TTTT		N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		10.0
C		00-00	20000000			MCCH CCHC	PCCWPR3			MARO CCOC	13hch	-30:
88889 	ME40E	0000	0000-00	NONN. 	NO5-7517		5001M01	- 8 8 8 1	M&OZ/ZO	MM20	00000	0 6 8 6 - 6 - 6
(e)												
NNG	00 · • 5		50 00	0 M 0 9 0 0 9 0 9 0 9 0	0° ° 0 • ¢ 0	N0.00	M0 . * * M3	N91-	50- 50-			
0000 000	2 e 3 0 e 23		5 M 2 M 2 M 2 M	20v~ •••• •0~	0°66	0004 0 0 0 0 0	42	1000 000		0		1 · ·
300-0 2-0-0 2	1.4	133 	6° 53	100 	-00 	2014	88 	MON 20-10		NMN 000 ZO-00		6.0
NNAWPOS Sources		M 000000 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00030	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	000mr-m	N 2003	MAACOCM	1000 N			~~~~~~	0001
NO DIMOD	-0003		-Nomeon	31-000	OMENEO.	000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1445A	MUECAGN	-omai		
MACOCCW	00044	00-00	-MURTHON	2103- 2103-	NO-EOF	0000	-NOCMG3		N	mmmini	neno:	201
						**						
a ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	LEANS UEANS	а - ~~млзи ш	0 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8	น มาย การการการการการการการการการการการการการก	ста Ста Ста Ста Ста Ста Ста Ста Ста Ста С	0	0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	a -wmar	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	C →0m3u	00000	ANS
<u>с</u> Симимими С	MM 0 MM	a J Owwwww	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- - - -	NWWWM T T T	CMMMMi J d	ммми 0 Z	- ommmn a	ummum 010 M	D D M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M		n X
ឝ ສ ແບບດູບດູບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູດ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດູ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ ເບັບດີ เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น	000	۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲	າເວເດເດັນ	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	იიიიი	- E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	თოთოი	т × ഗ ഗ ഗ ഗ ഗ ഗ	ດວາດອ	×000000	ຠຠຑຑຉຉ	n
0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	~~			2.	~~~~	×2000	NNNNN	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~~~~~	T CO CO CO CO	00000	0
0000000 2	00	200000	0000	orrere E	~~~~	0		0~~~~~		E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0 00 00 00 00	2
40000000 NFTTTTTT	16	00000 17777	2000	00000x 77777	0.000	X 11 10 00 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	00000	X 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	02000	Y	1000	2

>

mum	00	omo	20	n.mu:m= DQ.m		Massoons	AM DO OFEN	00100000
ano	00	00-		mmmmmmm				•
1000	1-	innn	n	N-N-NA	innn-nn	Nammer-	· 000000000	
000	MC	·var	5.0		PESONA0-	OD OD KILON	220.00	
0 0 0 C == 0C		• • • • • • • •						AORICION
	-			-Novana	-NOIN VIER	0021-1200-	C . V K A . K	-00000000-
Z	0.00	Z		2	2	2		FUNNINN
h		h 4 5 4		The second	MULO DU JOUN	MUDODUNUL	1 marwwwaa0	ONGUENONL
ZNNA	ma	ZMMN	NO	-00000005	ZONDONDON	NACEAAOOS	ZODOMICIMO	
U	-	0	-	Casasaa	CANFFERE	CANMANA	C	CARAAAAA
CMAAMADO	0.2.21	rummoo	. number	000000000	N3403-30	avarorao	annauro	DEADEDNA
LFFCOOCCO	cc-	LORMANA	- M- CMU	Tereasave		L CO.SSIC.CS	C	
			a montant and		FNNANAAN	-Numman		-MMNNNNNN
LOUGENOUS	t con co	.Zam.cum	nnao-	INJEO-NJO	3JOO-NONO	X - Q - I O T O O O	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	A Second
NN	10000	a a a a a a	8. 8 8 8 8 8 8 17.0010101					
		innererer		1	innanana	NGGAADCN	NG000004	minaammaa
0-0-0ccua	ED4	«>0-00	PEREZ	ADUCCONDO			in a citilitie	h h h h h h h h h
						aroconno	000000000	e-oorner
an an an an a a	a coatry		Co-	neervar-	NUL C-OMN	KCPOSKC-	22200000	MMAANV-M
					in a contract of the state	and for an and and and		mmmmun
	-	220	ma	annucor-	N3400003	ARPRAMIC		
coc	co	coc	 					
					41.41.01C310	-cccnm		CNINCONC
(nm-0	man	303	ma	31000000-	N-00007			
			- 0 0			- 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	MOMO-OMOD	0-02030-0
000	00	000	00	00000000	NWONHWUN	omnamnina	MMMMNNNO	N0000040
NE-	NN	330	MA.	- JANNARE	Martorea			and made in
2000		4 4 8				000000000		NCCNJCOM
0		10000	ee	200000000	รถกงกุณฑาสา	2-ocnnn-c	ZN-NN-NNC	2-00000
INNENENO	INEE	TRASSAS		7	27	1	17	0
20000000		2000000	100000	Zeeeeeeee	INOMODHOO ZALANDO	I-O-CO-O-I	INGUNUGH	O E O CE CE CE CE E I
00000000	000	000000	000000	00000000	nurononu	-NUMECOM	Naunanano	NNOOOMMO
	_							
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	01E 4	100004	Drrvn.	CCOPCOCN.	nonnecon	nmarr-r-r-	COCOMDUD	AMONICOO
ccccccc	ccc	ccccco	ccccc	CCCC-COC	rurrurro	MUCCCMMM		
					· • •			ne cherveo
rranra-0	N.N.OC	renews	JUU-12	~0~0~0~0~	MONDADEN	a war source		
00000000	200		02000					
				000000	anni onni o			
000	0-0	0.0010		0-20000000		And the second		
000					CULOR MUN	E M Como to Co Co	0~MOO OCA	M-007000
and A		- the first	~~~	Q-Q-Q-Q-CVMO	-MENNINEE	Common 000	Comme OCO	00000000
.0.05								
000		P00	~us	NONEEED		reo-uumo	0-00M00	NNOOUEEO
		20210	50	manmac.	awanawa	NMAUNTAN		
				~ ~				
00-7	33	NNO	010	NILANDENO	meninomo	Oamoreo	ONTMANNO	MOITCEEDE
ZNN-	NO	2000	03	ZOMON-OUS	2022330			
m		M	-	1	8	8	5 mar and 2 and more	200000000
COCOMMONA	nno	4005-20	33-00	CA-OM-ONS	M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	M	M	M
00000000	NON	2000000		Z	200000000	Zeeeeeee	Zeeeeeeee	NULEOEDWWN
		-		and all an antil as	monawna-	agunume	www.	000000
10-00-300	no	mamaaa	207210	00.00000				
				0.000000	NUNADONA	mr.co.a.	conamona	ENDEREDM
	MININ .	N23N3L	3220-	0000000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	NENN-E-10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
							**	
	0	0000000	NCOO-	NDDDCCCN	numuroom	nucaraa	ounronuro	AMEODEDE
001200200	xcr	SWENNE	MOJIM	000-0-000	NMUNOVOO	PINMMMIN 3-	0000000	********

6         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0	P	P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Р (01 8101 810 810 810 810 810 810 810 810	PLOT REP 44 44 44 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	Р Г С ОТ 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Р Г СО СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС
ມ ສຸດ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ ເຊັ້ນ เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เลี้น เล้ เล้ เล้ เล้ เล้ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ	⊢ ≍໙໙໙໙໙໙໙ຉຉ ຺຺	T E S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	° ₩ ₩	хоооооо ш		
A a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	2	NNNNNN S	- NUNNUN ANNNNNN A	ANNNNNN O	COCCOO4	×~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
T 0000000000	0000000000 Σ	ດທາທາທາທາດ Σ	ດທາດທາດດັ	0000000	I ALALAN	00000000 I
× * * * * * * * * * * * * *	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	× コート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マート・ マー	を ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	* * * * * * * *	× 1777778	× いちちちちち ひちちちちち ちちちちちちち ちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちち

MMN 1440 MR00	27.00	200° 200° 200°	5.0 202	0-00 900 700	01 24 20 20	2015 	25. 20	000 000 000	23°5	1000	23.20
2022	24.45	1000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	50° 50° 50°	WNG W10 EV3	28°57 29°2	2014 2017 2017	20.50	A-M MAN	22.4	1000 2000 21.22	5. 5.
L SUMA ZUMAN CANA	23.9	2022 2022	26.0	2772 2775 200-100	24° 20°5W	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	26.0	NANC NANC	20°. 20°. 20°.	2007EN	25.00
2778 2778 2024 2024 2024 2024 2024 2024 2024 202	COC-FA	THUR T		TTOWNO	CHCCCON CHCCCON	WINNE C	CLO-LUNN ACCLACL	2027 2027 2027 2027 2027 2027 2027 2027		77.5200 1.1200 1.1200 1.1200	CUNNNAL
34000-00 	MERTER OUVINOM	WNNN WNNN EUOCE	NNNNWW-	NU DO	00000000 00000000000000000000000000000	OCONE DAMA	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	30-00 0,000	0000-000 0000-000	1000 E	400002L
SUCO C	CCCF.	CORCO ORCO NMMN	-20 MC 20 - 20 C 20 - MUUNMU	CERCC NNHA	-0000-0-M	AUNUN SARK	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	00000 0000 0000	NUCCEOO OCTACOO	~~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	N304436
0000	¥ • 0	30N 0.0-	0.¢ ••	7 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	MAL * * 00	5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50	 	7 ° 0 7 ° 0	 20	000 ••••	«c 
0000 • 3 8 • • • • •	. e . . e . . 0	000 000 000	01°°°		MN	0.000 0.000	00 . * 0 MO	555	. e . 20	000 200	N. 00
0000 2000 Zorono	5-0 -0	20-0 B	0.5	2002	MN ** CO	ZWWN	5° 5	223 2000	00 40	338 2000	 
HONON A	10000M	N SCOL	000000000	7 10300 2 1 1 1 0000	C ~M	TINONN Z. C. C. C. L.	1241420 18666666 1866666		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	13333 N	20000000
NN-	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0PU	AMCW-RP	2020 2020	TOCOLOGY	- MUQU		0 7 7 7	22020 CN	0 9 9 5	CCCCCCC
	₩00005~4	00300 0000 0000 000	0001 000 0001 000 0001 000	0000 0000 51:3	20174600	00000 2000 200	NEENAANO NEENAAN MADE -N	0N0-		0200	-000.500-
MEE 000	0°°3	300		0110	0 × 0 • • 0 • •		0°°3	55N		0N0 230	1°.9
N 200	00 83	000	00 ••	NU3 	0.M	000	00 00	N2N	00 00	-00 -00	00 00
DNN 2000 8	5° %	1000 2002	00 00	NIN .	20	NON	2.0 •••	EGG DMANS	6.0 	CE4	N0.00
N MANNA N MANNA	NUNC	MMEQO Z Z Z	-200-EW-	M Drarm Z · · · · · MM@M	0001400 C	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	-omoann Nur caor	12000 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	00-00-00	100 NO 100 NO 100 NO	-020020 -030000
r NON-	MG MJ JE	1000 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	WEVEW00	20.40 20.40	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	17.00	2000000 20000000	01000 00-00	NM005400	0700 070 070 070 070	-000-000
0-000 0-00 0-00	2000-20	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200		00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000	MONEON MONEON	01010 01010 01010	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000 2000 2000	04000000 04000000 04000000000000000000	1034 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0. 0. 	• 00 < 2 00 10.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	00 4 2 2 00 4 0 0 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	001 M 3	• 00 < 2 6 0 1 0 10 0 0 0 2 0	a	ທໍ ຈຸກອບ ຈຸກອບ ເມືອ ອັ	а п с чли з	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	а м м м	00 - 20 4
P 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	S10 6666	02233	STD.	PL01 44 44	S 10	PL01 22 22 24	S.10 EEEEE	P C 222	N10 22222	PL01 4401 4401 4401	44444 C L V
×000000	0000	e cocce	00000	e u u u u	00000	- CCCCC	00000	×0000	00000	×0000	00000
× 11111	~~~~	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	00000	×mmmm a 0	M3M3M3-73-73	0 × 4 0	00000	ANNNA C	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	A O A Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	~~~~~
ONNING M	ທາດເກເກ	S NNNNO Z	ແມ່ນເປັນເປັນ	00000 X	00000	20000 I	00000	20000	00000	N N N N	~~~~
× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	4444	X 776 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700	00000	4 110 P	00000	Y M & A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	00300	Y T A Y 7 7 0 Y 7 7 0 Y	000000	YEA7 700 700	00000
0 ~ 0 0 ~ 0 0 ~ 0	23.00	241 241 1-20	21.2	MN3 8000	92° 15°	000 000 000 000	17-0	144 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14	17.0	25.2	25.2
-------------------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------
r.c3	47	WF C	2.0	00.0	-12	vos	50	200		220	24
WEW.	rc	MS-	3	aco	00	029		*** 777	P.C	* * * * M-= =	
Z	N	Z	N	Z		2	-	2	-	FUNN	~
ZMMO CNAA	24.4	ZMNO CANN	22.3	2000 . C	19.3	0 0 0 2 U 0 0 0 7 U 0 0 7 U	17.0	LINO LINO	18.7		
CUCMAC.		Uracha-o	201	Unnosce-	acon	0		U LOPU	MAR # 30-	-som	.ww.crw.cr
HUNNNNN HOST	NAMA	H CK S - Vaves		LAAMA-OA HANNAAN-N	300-	1400- 1400-		TOROF	ao croa-	H L C C C C	oaaaaa-
	20070 20070	00-400200022 Mim CRAQQQ AAMAAAAAA	200	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000 1000	00000 00000 0000	0000000 000000 000000	100000 10000 10000		20000 20000 20000 20000	
0 A.V - V C	COCO-		M D		umpe mom	ni-assi semsemi	MARGORF	 	2014C	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	-MUS-MO
i Grinten di Gi	Ge letel		114				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		~~~~	MMMM	16,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,75,
236	500 00	200 200 200 200	0.0	& A 3 0 - 0	6.3 • • • •	833	50 S	- M3-0	۵.°	N-N	~-
330	2.4	333	30	10 20 40 1	00	554	inai	nao	ю	NUNN	ma
004	00	000	00	000	00	000	00	000		000	00
JAN ZCC-	0.5	222 2000	70	2000 3	0°°, 8°°,	ZOCO	NC CC	ZCCC	50° 00	2000 N-N-N	
0400000	M0.0000	2 13333003000	000		10200	2 12220 2 2 12220	22300MN	2 00000	NUNNUM-	IN TO	00000000
CCCC-C	CHECC	2020000000	 	0000000 000000000000000000000000000000	CCCC.	4323 	C2333C3A	00000	M3NM334	 	
10000CO	£4000	004004000	00 	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00~0			NEW1	0000000 1110/01	MUMU 	00000000
	8.2	00	00°	07-N 07-	5 ° 0	0000	50 00	 	50 	omo m~m	
CNC		00-000		500-	~~~	5-10	nn.	one	mm	w.co	
3-0	-14	0-0	0		~~~	N00	50 B		-0	50 m	m-
COO.	80 99. ME	2-m-	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		0.00	E-N SSZ	00 00 00	Mro Zana	NU •••		3- 34
	- M300 M300	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	96	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	M 00 3 M - 0 2	0	2000-200-200-200-200-200-200-200-200-20		M L + CC	ACUPAON MONADUC
	00000 VIII00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	19.5	10-015-0-01	0.0001	500000 10000 M			OCONUN	NA-1-1	INCACHN
0000-000 	M4200	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	37.2	MUCICEO	-003 -003	10000 1000 1000 1000 1000			NAENEWU NAENEWU	5500 0015	030030H
2-00030.00 u x	ME MAN	a ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™ ™	MEANS UEV.	a www.sv.orc	MEANS DEVS	a →0/1/370 10 10	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	a	• 00 ~ 2 00 40 0 C U	a m a m a m a m a m a m a m a m a m a m	2000-10 C
7 7 7	STO.	C C 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	sto.	P 7 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	STD.	P 222222	0 122233 0 10	P C33333	19494 O	703333	aaaaa (
×0000000	000	× uuuuuuuuuu F		×00000000	JU	×00000	00000	×ooooc	00000	×0000	00000
		×22222222222 ×2000000000 ⊖		>000000000 4 0	20	ANNNNA O	EEEE	×200001	101000 101000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0.0000 0.0000
Z	~~	ZANANANA		2 C.aaaaaaaaaaa	000	∑ Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnocococo Cnococo Cnocococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnococo Cnoco Cnococo Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cnoco Cno Cno Cno Cno Cno Cno Cno Cno Cno Cn	0.00.00.00	200000	0000	00000 X	00000
11111111 11111111111111111111111111111	100	2000000000 VIII		T C C C C C C C F	40	1100 M	00000	11111	0000	1111E	00000

00-00-00-EN E0 E L L E		5001000 0 0001000 0 0000100 0	- 0.0000000 - 0.000000 - 0.0000000	00-00-000 1111111 11111111 0000000000		
ALVVVV AVVVVVV		- CACHCOUR				
12500000 12000000	- 20000000 C-000000	- L	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
CHORLON:	- Laconsoci	n aconcorri A concorright	0 000000000000000000000000000000000000		Lanvotonvet	C-CN N N N-M-X-XCNC
NAMANAN Secondo Zuranala	50 M N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			SWERGONCEE		
OUCCACO:	- CCCUD	A ELOCALAGO	NUCOCOLA	- cocomos	CHOCORMONAL Mayicas-shc Mayicas-shc	0.000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-0
				NNNNAN	<u> </u>	- กับการการการการการการการการการการการการการก
00000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 5000000 0 0000000 2 000000000000000000	orradomy	CCO-SUNN	33N N	0 NNO -0
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	A CHRWWWWEN		00000000000000000000000000000000000000		000 0000 C
Nacocana Samecanac	s supportant	Zammaamo	2M-0.0000	vaccerno zaccarno	2000 CC	2 2 M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M
ION01000- 2. 1111111 		Z CONNIDENT Z CONNIDENT		2 1-2000222 2 10000200 0-00-0000	2 133003033300M	12000000000000000000000000000000000000
		ACCORDENS	BCLUMMERU BCCUMMERU BCCUMMERU	S-CCALLA	2020222020	MCC-CCNOCN
می (۱۳۵۵) ۱۳۵۵ کې مې ۱۳۵۵ کې مې	200020000 0000 0 2000000000000000000000	Manual Construction of the second sec	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0~00~000000000000000000000000000000000	0 ME-1940 MCC 1
00050050	o o - zumno	PPDM03-43		200202-00	030 050	1001 GUG (
«v.v.v.»-v.	POZZNECOM	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0-013000-00	00000000		
MUNADW .	M2M220M0	2 M3NNNM4				
EQ-NGOENZ	ZMMMUJMJO M CCMQ-Q-MQ			2000000000		M 2 M M M M
	Z		Ma-onvoaa Ma-onvoaa	2 ************************************	Z COMACANDANA Z COMACANDANA	CONJNEENENJN Z · B · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CONCORMA	0000000	0224000000 0224000000000000000000000000	00-20200000 00-00-00	NE-O-CEE NVM-JNCO	z-su-u-suu-	-010 -0000000
20M-0223		000044402 1000-440 1000-440	0 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	CCNENJUN	-0002000000000000000000000000000000000	0 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2
MAN ANA MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN MAN	A M C M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	• 0 <50 лемит шт с ш с щ т т т	а п с~имизиос> о о о	れ し た し し し し し し し し し し し し し	а с – миди орос и с м м с м м с м с м и с м и с м и с м и с м и с и с	は 、 の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の ・ の で の で の で の の で の の で の の の で の の の の の の の の の の の の の
0 4 6 6 6 6 6 0 1	510 810	sto.	a source a s	Provension of the second secon	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A CONNININIAN A C
×000000		2000000 M	×0000000 W	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	* ×0000000000	
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	CCCCCCCC MMMMMM C	0	-NNVVVV	A ≻∿∿∿∿∿∿∿	0 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	NUNNNNNN Z Z
x normania	CUNUNUNU Z	X CUUUUU CUUUUU	2 Caaaaaaaa	0000000 Σ	ດທານທານທານ Σ	00000000000 Z
× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	× まてていいてい よろららららら	× ************************************	× - - - - - - - - - - - - -	メ ま ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち	0000000000 × 	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

-000 -0.00	00	AUU AUU	25.04	2	~ 4 ~ 4		00. 	120 C . C	NC . 6 MM	0-00	 
U	rn. 	JUL N-W	8 J		M :	- 00M	ci	ror	40	1000	
M MOO	S S S	0.00	N	2		 	4		un -	HANN	c.v.
ZMMO CAAN	So. 1.	N-N N-N	N	2000	15.	1027 . 1077 . 1077 .	100	S ZNWN	00	2000	40 00
L J L K L K L K K K K K K K K K K K K K	22.00 20.00	MANNANAN	23.20	C SCME		1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1		- LUXN	TANGLO DE	1 - c - c - c - c - c - c - c - c - c -	WOMAVCC
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.00 1.00 	0000000000	2 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	- OUMOE	20-00-00 00-00-00	MMMCE C			NOLWORLW	A MONG	
remocesse			200	CHOUR				onm.	0000-000	0000 0000 7744	
		NNNNNNNN	NN	~~~~~		-0.30		Node:	UNNNNNN NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	SUCH	KA KWANAA
<i><b><i><b>4</b>4444444444444</i></b></i>	JC 4	c ec ec	80	ec ec =3	24	5000	-01	600			
000		ece .	cc	000	co			1-00			
000	00 0	61.000	- 00 	000 000		500 C		0000 0000	00 • • • • • • •	trun	10 10 10
2000 C		000	8°°°	econom 200mph		2000	PC CC		CN **		50
2 100337×03000	S NH	1000000000	N000	Z · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00000-	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		8 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	NNNODON	TOURS	
	-0 A			NONTEU		Q.F.W.F		arra	a voa a v v	WULL	
03300000-00	00 E		-100	CMU	-wu-	. CCRC		5.0:00	C-ACC-C	C-CO	-ON-AAM
-0000-0-00	0000		0 9 9 9 9~0~C	Omenin-	NON			0.000	-0000	00000.	0001000
000 0	M C		MO MO	000°	00000	000	0.00	000 000 0000	N0 ••	0.00.0 • • • • •	01 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
000 C	0.2	~~ 	00° 00	2 O 	0.0	1000	00 5 4	-36	Mm • • •	NCO ***	 
2000 0055	N O ZM	no. Nu	-00 0	0H0 999	0.00	N	0.0J	500	ma	0-1	no.
M OWNSCMENSOUS Z	e co	NJEECCO	00	1 M COQ-32-3 Z	0100	Macro e	C	Emnom.		ZNAA M Og og -r	-10
	3 10	-1CONDOP	maru T O					2	-NN-ONO	ANENE	
MENO ACUNCH	n o	N3 -ONMNM	M.V	~~~C0.05	~~~~~~		MUN NWW-		00-4E000	ANCOE MEEEE	OEREMMI
MWD2W-@-CN	12.5	CON-LONGO	~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-0-00-0	20-00	0M-00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	mo-so	-N-0-00	0004J	onno no
								iv .			
N SOBUCUEULE	SEP.	NManoroo	2> 0		· S K S E V S C C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S S C S C S C S C S S S C S C S C S S S S S S S S S S S S S	a 11-1-3-10	• N < S 00 10 1	a-mmau	0 ° 00 101 0	ร-สงเกรเก	00000
		ວເຈັ້າເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນເປັນ	10° 1	- - -		ດ. ເ	C NNNN				
• • • • •	n d °		is a		S1	• P	S T	• P L	i ci	1	61010101 C
*******	T TE	********	TFV	-	0.000	200000 W	00000	×00000		-	0000
	• 0 •		047		11110	A 00000	00000	×>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>			~~~~
X VODOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	R I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		OW	000000000	0 00 00	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000000	COOOOO I	0000	000000	0000
2000000000 2000000000 2	YEA 76	00000000	YEAR	2000000	440	X 	00000	X = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	0000 1111	100000	4444

CLANCONCO CLANCONCO	200.02000 000000	NUNUDONA NUNUDONA NUNUDONA	NULUERAN NULUERAN NULUERAN	NGUAADED
PRRANUNN PRRANUNNN	SHERRAR SHERRAR	NAUNANAN NAUNANAN NAUNANAN	ECHARARA HONIONNO	AUTUNUNUN SESSESSE MUNUNUNU
	04000000000000000000000000000000000000	NULOSOMON NULOSOMON NULOSOMON		20000000000000000000000000000000000000
		11444044C	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
50000000000000000000000000000000000000		103/100/00 P		
MUMUNUN VCCVVVNA FRURLAWE	-000230-2 NUNNNNN	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ALANDAWA	MUNUNUN MUNUNUN
CCCCCCCCC	C+O++++C	AUNSSUMC	-maconin	22-22200- 22-22200-
N1104615	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	mmnumnon mmnumn	MURNNNNN MURNNNNN	00000000 00000000 00000000
2+CCCCCCCC	240040040 24004040	SWWWWWWWW SWWWWWWWWWWW	000336030 20000-0000	Zecceceee
Zaroocoa 2000-330	2 2 2 2 3 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NMUNUMMO		MOCCOMCO
aranocon c-cco-cc	C-CARN-C	NARAAAC	CCSCCO-V	«
	00201000	พททททศ เกิดการเราร	MUMANNO MUMANNO	MONEECEE
NUANWUMO	000000mmm 000000mmm 000000mmm	MOULOEM	0000000000 000000000000000000000000000	500545000 000545000
W2NRWW3- 	CT033C00		-00-0000	«NNNN«-N «********
2303000000	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	HORENCONO.	NJJDOOMO ZMNNMNNO	M-NGGNGG 00000000000000000000000000000000
2010202010 N1001515	Z	032000000 2 0000000000000000000000000000	M COJCJJOUN Z NUUUUUO	M C 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
20000000000000000000000000000000000000	MO JUNNON	NUMPERS	NN~NNONO	
00020000 00200203 0020000	02CH3NN0 02CH3NN0	ornsocmo	NACNAMESS NN-MMNNC	ONNOMICO
X K K K K K K K K K K K K K	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Z SONEWN-D M Z M Z M Z M Z M Z M Z M Z M Z M Z M Z		и п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п
PL01 550.	PL01 66 870.	PLOT STD.	PL01 66 510.	PL01 8510.
×000000		×000000 W		
×~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0 4 ≻₩√₩₩₩₩	<	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	A A O
ດທາການເອັນ ຊ	0000000 X	OLLULU E	0000000	0