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## Canadä


#### Abstract

A study of the hydrogen molecule-ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$is presented. The purpose is to show that a carefully modified, doubled basis set in the standard Hylleraas coordinates provides an excellent, yet straightforward, description of this ion without recourse to approximation methods. Three non-linear parameters are used so that distance scales may be set independently for all three radial coordinates $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{12}$. Vibrational modes are effectively accounted for by including only high powers of the inter-proton coordinate $r_{12}$. High precision variational calculations are carried out for the $1^{1} S$ ground sate, and the first two excited states - $2{ }^{1} S$ and $2{ }^{3} P$.


for Bonnie, Drew, Kurt, and Reed

iv

## Acknowledgements

This project would not have taken shape or been completed without the expertise of Dr. Gordon Drake; for this I express my gratitude. I would also like to thank the Department of Physics at the University of Windsor and Dr. Drake for financial support throughout my studies. All the graduate students in the Department, both past and present, with whom I have worked and studied deserve my thanks for their often overlooked support and guidance. Special thanks go to Levent Inci, Razvan Nistor, Qixue Wu, and Zheng Zhong. Finally, I wish to thank my family and friends for everything they have given me, and put up with, throughout my years at university.

## List of Tables

1 Comparison of the nonrelativistic energies for the three lowest states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$. ..... 7
2 Variation of ground state energy with $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$. ..... 42
3 Comparison of calculated energies with the best values found in the literature. ..... 45
4 Average values of $\gamma^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(2)}$. ..... 46
5 Convergence pattern for the $1^{1} S$ state, where Ratio $=[\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-$$2)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1) / \operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega)], \Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$,and NV is total number of basis functions. . . . . . . . . . . . 54
6 Convergence pattern for the $2{ }^{1} S$ state, where Ratio $=[\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-$$2)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1) / \operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega)], \Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$,and $N V$ is total number of basis functions.54
7 Convergence pattern for the $2^{3} P$ state, where Ratio $=[$ Energy $(\Omega-$
$2)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1) / \operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega)], \Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$, and $N V$ is total number of basis functions. ..... 55
8 Optimized nonlinear parameters for the $1^{1} S$ state. ..... 55
9 Optimized nonlinear parameters for the $2^{1} S$ state. ..... 56
10 Optimized nonlinear parameters for the $2{ }^{3} P$ state. ..... 56

## List of Figures

1 Fixed-origin coordinate system. ..... 11
2 Internal and centre-of-mass coordinate system. ..... 12
3 Diagram illustrating the Hylleraas-Undheim-MacDonald The-orem. The $\lambda_{p}, p=1, \ldots, N$ are the variational eigenvalues foran $N$-dimensional basis set, and the $E_{i}$ are the exact eigen-values of $H$. The highest $\lambda_{p}$ lie in the continuous spectrum ofH. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 23
4 Hylleraas coordinates ..... 30
5 Qualitative comparison of a Gaussian and Hylleraas wave func- tion along the inter-protonic coordinate $r_{12}$. ..... 40
$6 \quad$ Optimum $N$ for varying basis set size. ..... 47
$7 \quad$ Graph of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $1^{1} S$ state. ..... 48
8 Graph of $\gamma$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $1^{1} S$ state. ..... 49
$9 \quad$ Graph of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2{ }^{1} S$ state. ..... 50
10 Graph of $\gamma$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2^{1} S$ state. ..... 51
11 Graph of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2{ }^{3} P$ state. ..... 52
12 Graph of $\gamma$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2{ }^{3} P$ state. ..... 53

## Contents

Abstract ..... iii
Dedication ..... iv
Acknowledgements ..... v
List of Tables ..... vi
List of Figures ..... vii
1 Introduction ..... 1
2 Historical Survey ..... 3
3 The General Problem ..... 10
3.1 The Schrödinger Equation ..... 10
3.2 Variational Principle ..... 18
3.2.1 Stationary States ..... 18
3.2.2 Matrix Equivalency ..... 18
3.2.3 Bounded Solution ..... 21
3.2.4 Higher Eigenvalues ..... 22
3.3 The Operators in Hylleraas Coordinates ..... 24
3.4 Hamiltonian Matrix Elements. ..... 27
3.5 General Integral ..... 29
3.6 Radial Integral ..... 32
4 Method of Solution ..... 35
4.1 Solution of the Eigenvalue Problem ..... 35
4.2 The Power Method ..... 35
4.3 The Square Root Method ..... 37
5 Details of Calculation ..... 39
5.1 The Basis Set ..... 39
5.1.1 Truncations ..... 41
5.1.2 Choosing $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ ..... 41
5.1.3 Radial Completeness ..... 41
5.2 Optimization ..... 42
6 Results and Discussion ..... 44
7 Suggestions for Future Work ..... 57
8 Conclusion ..... 59
A Derivation of Operators in Hylleraas Coordinates ..... 60
A. 1 Operator Relations ..... 60
A. 2 Kinetic Energy Term ..... 62
A. 3 Mass Polarization Term ..... 63
References ..... 66
Vita Auctoris ..... 70

## 1 Introduction

The main goal of this dissertation is to extend previous work on one-center, three-body quantum systems [1] to include the two-center system of the hydrogen molecule-ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$; thereby developing a systematic way of calculating, to high-precision, the energy eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions for a wide range of three-body atomic systems. It should be emphasized that such an approach may be formulated without invoking the BornOppenheimer approximation [2]. For three-body systems where two of the constituent particles are much more massive than the third, this approximation is equivalent to the infinite nuclear mass case for systems such as helium, where only one particle is more massive than the other two.

In a general way, one may establish a heirarchy of three-body systems that should be described by the same quantum theory. We may thus classify these microscopic systems as falling into (i) the atomic regime, where one particle is much more massive than the other two (one-center), or (ii) the molecular regime, where one particle is much less massive than the other two (two-center). In this scheme we can clearly see that $\mathrm{H}^{-}$, consisting of a proton and two electrons ( $\mathrm{p}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{e}^{-}$), is on the atomic side, while $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, containing two protons and one electron ( $\mathrm{p}^{+} \mathrm{p}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$), is on the molecular side. This distinction is, however, not absolute, since a system such as $\mathrm{Ps}^{-}$, consisting of one positron and two electrons ( $e^{+} e^{-} e^{-}$), resides on the cusp between these two regimes.

In treating all these systems in the same way, we anticipate that the states of $\mathrm{H}^{-}$should transform into the states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, thereby allowing a one-to-one mapping of atomic states onto molecular states. The parameter that would govern this continuous evolution of states must be the atomic mass ratio $\mu / \mathcal{M}$, where $\mu$ is the reduced mass for the system [see Eq. (19)] and $\mathcal{M}$ is the so-called nuclear mass, since the Hamiltonians for all these systems are alike except for this ratio. Each infinitesimal increment of $\mu / \mathcal{M}$ would be accompanied by an optimization of all nonlinear parameters; and thus, the nonlinear parameters should be smooth functions of $\mu / \mathcal{M}$.

The one feature that all three-body systems share is that they present the theoretician with a problem having no known exact solution. And thus, one continually seeks new and increasingly accurate means of approximation. Over the years, variational methods have proven to be one of the most powerful approaches to such problems. In the simplest of terms, one wishes to find the energy levels for the atomic system in question. In order to do this, one searches for solutions of the corresponding Schrödinger equation. The bound state solutions for such systems are quantized, and as such correspond to the eigenvalues of the equation.

This work applies the variational principle to solve the above problem for the nonrelativistic energy eigenvalues for the $1^{1} S, 2^{1} S$, and $2^{3} P$ states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$. The wave functions used in the calculations are constructed so that they mirror the physical nature of the problem in two important ways: they allow distance scales along the three radial coordinates to be set independently; and they accurately represent the vibrational modes of the system. Such characteristics are absolutely necessary for two-center systems, i.e. when the atomic mass ratio is on the order of one.

## 2 Historical Survey

The hydrogen molecule-ion, or $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, has been a system of interest since its discovery in 1907 by J. J. Thomson [3]. Along with helium, this ion was one of the first stumbling blocks for the old quantum theory of Bohr and Sommerfeld [4,5,6,7]. And, as such, it became one of the preliminary threebody quantum systems studied under the new wave mechanics of Schrödinger [8]. The early success of Schrödinger's theory in 1927 , when applied to $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$ [9], has been continuously built upon for almost 80 years. During these years various methods and approaches have been developed and applied to this ion: variational methods, variation-perturbation methods, artificial channel methods, and transformed Hamiltonian methods.

A complete history of the hydrogen molecule-ion would not be wholly pertinent to the work at hand; and therefore, we refer the reader to a few reviews (and references therein) in the literature [10, 11, 12] for details of the development of both theory and experiment. We, however, pick up the story in 1995, after the more general review of the subject by Leach and Moss [13].

In 1995, Frolov carried out a variational calculation for the ground state of the hydrogen molecule-ion. The wave function he used was written as

$$
\Psi=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \hat{P}_{12}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{l_{1}} C_{i} e^{-\alpha_{i} r_{32}-\beta_{i} r_{31}-\gamma_{i} r_{21}} e^{\delta_{1} r_{32}+\tau e_{i} r_{31}+\gamma_{i} r_{21}} Y_{L M}^{l_{1} l_{2}}\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)
$$

the $Y_{L M}^{l_{1} l_{2}}\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)$ are Schwartz or bipolar harmonics, $\imath$ is the imaginary unit. The set of nonlinear parameters are generated in a so-called quasi-random manner from a set of real intervals, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i} & =\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{2}\right\rfloor\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)+A_{1} \\
\beta_{i} & =\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{3}\right\rfloor\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)+B_{1} \\
\gamma_{i} & =\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{5}\right\rfloor\left(C_{2}-C_{1}\right)+C_{1} \\
\delta_{i} & =\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{7}\right\rfloor\left(D_{2}-D_{1}\right)+D_{1} \\
e_{i} & =\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{11}\right\rfloor\left(E_{2}-E_{1}\right)+E_{1} \\
f_{i} & =\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{13}\right\rfloor\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right)+F_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the fractional part of $x$. Certain restrictions are required in order that the wave function remain square integrable. This method produced an energy ${ }^{1}$ of -0.59713905369.

Ackermann and Shertzer [16], in 1996, used an adaptive finite element method where the domain of the wave function is divided into tetrahedra, each serving as the domain of a local polynomial basis set. The error $\delta E$ in the energy is minimized on each local domain; and the method is variational in the sense that the total energy is a true upper bound. In any domain

$$
\Psi \approx \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{FEM}}^{(p)}=\sum_{i=0}^{M} C_{i} \phi_{i}^{(p)}
$$

where the $\phi_{i}^{(p)}$ are Lagrange polynomials of order $p$. In this way the ground state energy was found to be -0.5971390623.

Rebane and Filinsky carried out a detailed variational calculation in 1997 for symmetric three-body systems (trions) of varying masses. A parametric dependence of the minimum eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian on the particle masses was determined. Precision values were then calculated for various systems within a variational approach which implemented a basis of Laguerre functions in perimetric coordinates. Explicit formulas were constructed to determine the energies of the ground states of all such Coulomb systems with unit charges and arbitrary masses. Application of this method to $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$gave a ground state energy of -0.59713906312340.

Grémaud et al. [19] proposed a method of calculating the energy eigenvalues for $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$based on three guiding principles: (i) the members of the basis set are reasonably close to the eigenstates; (ii) the Hamiltonian matrix has only a small number of non-zero elements; and (iii) these matrix elements are easy to calculate. They used the perimetric coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=r_{1}+r_{2}-r_{12} \\
& y=r_{1}-r_{2}+r_{12}, \\
& z=-r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]The basis members were taken as products of Laguerre polynomials:

$$
\Phi(x, y, z) \propto \sqrt{\alpha} \beta L_{n_{x}}(\alpha x) e^{-\alpha x / 2} L_{n_{y}}(\beta y) e^{-\beta y / 2} L_{n_{z}}(\beta z) e^{-\beta z / 2}
$$

The energies, obtained variationally, for the first two rotationless states were found to be $-0.597139063123(1)$ and $-0.587155679212(1)$. The uncertainties are estimated by studying the variations of the energy in the vicinity of the optimum value of $\alpha$.

Bhatia, in 1998, attempted a variational calculation of the ground state energy using a Hylleraas basis, with two nonlinear parameters, written as (for $S$-states)

$$
\Psi_{0}=e^{-\alpha\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} e^{-\beta r_{12}} \sum_{l, m, n=0}^{\Omega_{0}} C_{l m n} r_{1}^{l} r_{2}^{m} r_{12}^{n} \pm \text { (exchange) }
$$

The resulting energy was the very poor value -0.59646 . The reason for this is that the above wave function is capable of describing the correlations between the nuclei and the electron but not the correlation between the nuclei, which is known from the Born-Oppenheimer approximation to be described by a Gaussian-like function. To account for this, he modified the wave function so that it would approximate this Gaussian behaviour along the $r_{12}$ coordinate:

$$
\Psi=r_{12}^{N} \Psi_{0},
$$

where $N$ is large and $\beta \approx N / 2$. In a given calculation, $\Omega_{0}+N$ was held constant as $\Omega_{0}$ was increased. For $\Omega_{0}=13$ and $N=10$, i.e. 308 terms in the basis, the improved ground state energy was -0.597138955 . Bhatia and Drachman [21] presented a more thorough explanation of this approach in 1999, but did not extend the calculation to determine a lower energy.

Frolov in 1999 used a so-called universal exponential expansion to calculate the ground state energy. The wave function was of the following form:

$$
\Psi(N)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \hat{P}_{12}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i} e^{-\alpha_{i} r_{32}-\beta_{i} r_{31}-\gamma_{i} r_{21}} e^{-\imath f_{i} r_{21}}
$$

where $r_{21}$ is the internuclear coordinate, $\hat{P}_{12}$ is the permutation operator, $C_{i}$ are linear parameters, $\imath$ is the imaginary unit and $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}$, and $f_{i}$ are
nonlinear parameters. He uses a two-stage procedure in which

$$
\Psi(N)=\Psi_{1}\left(N_{0}\right)+\Psi_{2}\left(N-N_{0}\right)
$$

the nonlinear parameters for $\Psi_{1}$ are optimized while those for $\Psi_{2}$ are chosen in a so-called quasi-random manner as described above. He determined a value of -0.5971390631076 when $N_{0}=100$ and $N=500$.

In 1999, Taylor et al. [22] carried out a nonadiabatic study using variational basis sets. They used wave functions of the form

$$
\Psi_{\Lambda p N}(\lambda, \mu, \chi, R)=\sum_{s=1}^{S} k_{s} \Phi_{b c}^{\Lambda p}(\lambda, \mu, \chi) \chi_{d}(R)
$$

where the summation is over combinations of $b, c$ and $d$,

$$
\Phi_{b c}^{\Lambda p}(\lambda, \mu, \chi)=\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)^{|\Lambda| / 2} L_{b}^{|\Lambda|}[\alpha(\lambda-1)] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha(\lambda-1)} P_{c}^{|\Lambda|}(\mu) e^{\imath \Lambda \chi}
$$

is the electronic part of wave function and

$$
\chi_{d}(R)=(1 / R)(\gamma R)^{(\beta+1) / 2} L_{d}^{\beta}(\gamma R) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma R}
$$

is a vibrational basis function; $N$ is a rotational quantum number. The prolate spheroidal coordinates $(\lambda, \mu, \chi)$ are given by $\lambda=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) / R$, $\mu=\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) / R$, with $r_{i}$ being the distance of the electron from the $i$ th proton, and $R$ the inter-protonic coordinate, and $\chi$ is the angle of rotation about the inter-protonic axis; the $L_{|\Lambda|}^{b}$ and $L_{d}^{\beta}$ are associated Laguerre polynomials. The wave functions consist of three sectors: two electronic sectors with indices $b$ and $c$ and nonlinear parameter $\alpha$ and one vibrational sector with index $d$ and governed by the nonlinear parameters $\beta$ and $\gamma$. Calculations were done for the $(v=0, N=0)$ ground state, the first excited rotationless ( $v=1, N=0$ ) state and the first rotationally excited ( $v=0, N=1$ ) state with the results $-0.5971390631239(5),-0.5871556792136(5)$, and $-0.5968737388328(5)$, respectively.

Moss [23], also in 1999, reports values based on two approaches - one variational and the other a scattering method - developed in [25, 24]. He quotes a variational value of -0.5971390631234 for the ground state and

Table 1: Comparison of the nonrelativistic energies for the three lowest states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$.

| Author | Year | Reference | Energy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | ${ }^{1} S$ |  |  |
| Rebane and Filinsky | 1997 | $[17]$ | -0.59713906312340 |
| Saavedra et al. | 1998 | $[18]$ | -0.597139063123 |
| Grémaud et al. | 1998 | $[19]$ | $-0.597139063123(1)$ |
| Taylor et al. | 1999 | $[22]$ | $-0.5971390631239(5)$ |
| Moss | 1999 | $[23]$ | -0.5971390631234 |
| Korobov | 2000 | $[26]$ | -0.597139063123405074 |
| Hilico et al. | 2000 | $[27]$ | $-0.59713906312340(1)$ |
| Bailey and Frolov | 2002 | $[31]$ | -0.59713906312340507483 |
| Yan et al. | 2003 | $[34]$ | $-0.5971390631234050745(4)$ |
|  |  | $2^{1} S$ |  |
| Grémaud et al. | 1998 | $[19]$ | $-0.587155679212(1)$ |
| Moss et al. (variational) | 1999 | $[25,23]$ | -0.5871556792127 |
| Moss et al. (transf. Ham.) | 1999 | $[24,23]$ | -0.5871556792128 |
| Taylor et al. | 1999 | $[22]$ | $-0.5871556792136(5)$ |
| Hilico et al. | 2000 | $[27]$ | $-0.58715567921275(1)$ |
|  |  | $2^{3} P$ |  |
| Taylor et al. | 1999 | $[22]$ | $-0.5968737388328(5)$ |
| Moss | 1999 | $[23]$ | -0.5968737388328 |
| Hilico et al. | 2000 | $[27]$ | $-0.59687373883(1)$ |
| Yan et al. | 2003 | $[34]$ | $-0.596873738832764733(1)$ |

-0.5871556792127 for the first excited $L=0$ state, where a Fues vibrational basis was used. The scattering method incorporated the use of a transformed Hamiltonian, and the basis functions

$$
\phi=(2 \pi)^{-1} e^{-\alpha(\lambda-1) / 2} L_{m_{i}}^{(o)}[\alpha(\lambda-1)] P_{n_{i}}(\mu),
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the prolate spheroidal coordinates, the $L_{m_{i}}^{(o)}$ and $P_{n_{i}}$ are an associated Laguerre and a Legendre polynomial, respectively; and $R=r_{12}$, the inter-protonic distance, is taken as a scattering parameter. With this technique, for the same states, he found the energies -0.5971390631235 and -0.5871556792128.

In 2000, Korobov [26] used the expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{0}= & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{U_{i} \Re\left[\exp \left(-\alpha_{i} r_{1}-\beta_{i} r_{2}-\gamma_{i} r_{12}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+W_{i} \Im\left[\exp \left(-\alpha_{i} r_{1}-\beta_{i} r_{2}-\gamma_{i} r_{12}\right)\right]\right\} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2}}^{L M}\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ are complex parameters chosen in a so-called pseudorandom manner according to
$\alpha_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{p_{\alpha}}\right\rfloor\left[\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)+A_{1}\right]+\imath\left\{\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} i(i+1) \sqrt{q_{\alpha}}\right\rfloor\left[\left(A_{2}^{\prime}-A_{1}^{\prime}\right)+A_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right\}$, where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ designates the fractional part of $x$, the $p_{\alpha}$ and $q_{\alpha}$ are some prime numbers and the real variational parameters $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}$ are the end points of real intervals and need to be optimized. A variational calculation for the ground state energy, including 2200 terms in the wave function and using multiple precision [32], gave an energy of -0.5971390631234050740.

Also in 2000, Hilico et al. [27] used the wave functions

$$
\Psi^{J M}=\sum_{T=-J}^{J} D_{M, T}^{J_{*}}(\psi, \theta, \phi) \Phi_{T}^{J M}(R, \rho, \zeta)
$$

where angular functions are related to the matrix elements of the rotation operators [28]:

$$
D_{M, T}^{J *}(\psi, \theta, \phi)=\sqrt{\frac{2 J+1}{8 \pi^{2}}} R_{M, T}^{J *}(\psi, \theta, \phi)
$$

They used a variational method, but expressed the wave function in perimetric coordinates in order to take full advantage of the dynamical symmetries offered by the system. The $\Phi$ in the above expansion are taken as products of Laguerre polynomials in the perimetric coordinates $x, y$, and $z$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\frac{y+z}{2} \\
\rho^{2} & =x y z \frac{x+y+z}{(y+z)^{2}} \\
\zeta & =\frac{(y-z)(2 x+y+z)}{4(y+z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Energies were calculated for all $J=0$ and 1 vibrational levels. Their results for the three lowest states are: $-0.59713906312340,-0.59687373883$, and $-0.58715567921275$.

The following year, Korobov [29] used a variational method with a wave function describing the ground state of the hydrogen molecule-ion taken in the form

$$
\Psi_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left[C_{i} \cos \left(v_{i} R_{12}\right)+D_{i} \sin \left(v_{i} R_{12}\right)\right] e^{-\alpha_{i} r_{1}-\beta_{i} r_{2}-\gamma_{i} R_{12}}+\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{r}_{2}\right),
$$

with the parameters $v_{i}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ being generated in a pseudo-random manner as outlined above. Truncating this expansion after 800 terms yielded an energy of $-0.59713906312340(1)$.

Frolov [30], in 2002, performed an exponential variational calculation in perimetric coordinates. The basis functions he used were written as

$$
\psi_{i}=e^{-\alpha_{i} u_{1}-\beta_{i} u_{2}-\gamma_{i} u_{3}} e^{\imath \delta_{1} u_{1}+\imath \epsilon_{i} u_{2}+z f_{i} u_{3}} Y_{L M}^{l_{1} l_{2}}\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right) ;
$$

the $Y_{L M}^{l_{1} l_{2}}\left(r_{31}, r_{32}\right)$ are Schwartz or bipolar harmonics, $\imath$ is the imaginary unit, and the $u_{1}=x / 2, u_{2}=y / 2$, and $u_{3}=z / 2$ are perimetric coordinates. Using 72-116 decimal digit accuracy (using the MPFUN [32] package developed by Bailey) and 2600 terms, he calculated a value for the ground state of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$ equal to -0.59713906312340507474 . In the same year, Bailey and Frolov [31], using the same approach, extended the number of terms to 3500 and then obtained the lower energy value -0.59713906312340507483.

In 2003, Zong-Chao Yan, Jun-Yi Zhang, and Yue Li [34] carried out a variational calculation for the ground state of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$. They used a basis set in Hylleraas coordinates of the form

$$
\phi_{i j k}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)=r_{1}^{i} r_{2}^{j} r_{12}^{k} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}} \mathcal{l}_{l_{1} l_{2}}^{L M}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)
$$

Instead of using the electron as the origin, they chose one of the protons. The reason for this is that they could then effectively represent the vibrational nuclear motion through the $r_{2}^{j} e^{-\beta r_{2}}$ portion of the wave function, $j \geq j_{\min }=$ 35. This Gaussian-like simulation of the vibrational modes was pointed out by Bhatia [20], and Bhathia and Drachman [21], in consideration of the BornOppenheimer approximation. They included all powers of the coordinates according to

$$
i+j+k \leq \Omega
$$

where $\Omega$ is an integer. Each set of powers $(i, j, k)$ in the basis set was repeated with eight different values of the nonlinear parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$. For the ground state energy, using 1330 terms in their expansion, they obtained a value of -0.5971390631234050745 .

## 3 The General Problem

### 3.1 The Schrödinger Equation

All bound state energies and eigenfunctions of an arbitrary, three-body quantum system are found by solving the appropriate Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \Psi=E \Psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian $H$ (in Gaussian units [15]), with respect to some fixed origin (see Fig. 1), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{1}} \nabla_{1}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{2}} \nabla_{2}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{3}} \nabla_{3}^{2}+\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r_{12}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{3}}{r_{13}}+\frac{q_{2} q_{3}}{r_{23}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E$ is a negative eigenvalue and $\Psi$ is the corresponding eigenfunction. In Eq. (2) we have used the following definitions:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r_{i j}=\left|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{j}}\right| \\
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right),
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{i}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may now change from fixed-origin to internal and centre-of-mass coordinates (see Fig. 2), and thereby isolate the centre-of-mass motion. These coordinates are

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{3}  \tag{4}\\
\mathbf{R} & =\frac{m_{1} \mathbf{r}_{1}+m_{2} \mathbf{r}_{2}+m_{3} \mathbf{r}_{3}}{m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

In solving Schrödinger's equation, we apply differential operators to the wave function $\Psi$. These differential operators contain partial derivatives which are coordinate specific. Thus, the wave function must depend on these same coordinates; and so, any change in the coordinate system being


Figure 1: Fixed-origin coordinate system.


Figure 2: Internal and centre-of-mass coordinate system.
used will result in a change in the form of the wave function and a change in the form of the differential operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}\right) & \rightarrow \Psi\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}, \rho_{2}, \mathbf{R}\right) \\
\nabla_{r} & \rightarrow \nabla_{\rho} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to find the new differential operators we simply apply the chain rule; since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+\mathrm{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}+\mathrm{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} & =\frac{\partial \rho_{1 x}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 x}}+\frac{\partial \rho_{2 x}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{2 x}}+\frac{\partial R_{x}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{x}}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} & =\frac{\partial \rho_{1 y}}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 y}}+\frac{\partial \rho_{2 y}}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{2 y}}+\frac{\partial R_{y}}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{y}},  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} & =\frac{\partial \rho_{1 z}}{\partial z_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 z}}+\frac{\partial \rho_{2 z}}{\partial z_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{2 z}}+\frac{\partial R_{z}}{\partial z_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{z}},
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ the Cartesian unit vectors, we may determine the required operators in the new coordinates. Letting $i=1$ in Eqs. (6) and (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1}= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}\right)  \tag{8}\\
= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 y}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 z}}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{m_{1}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{x}}, \frac{m_{1}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{y}}, \frac{m_{1}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{z}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $M=m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}$; this is equivalent to writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}=\nabla_{\rho_{1}}+\frac{m_{1}}{M} \nabla_{R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\rho_{1}}=\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 x}}+\mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 y}}+\mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 z}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{R}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{x}}+\mathrm{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{y}}+\mathrm{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{z}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetry between the labels 1 and 2 in both coordinate systems allows one to immediately right down

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{2}=\nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{m_{2}}{M} \nabla_{R} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the form for $\nabla_{\rho_{2}}$ is given by Eq. (10) with $1 \rightarrow 2$.
When $i=3$ the form of the operator is slightly more complicated, since $\mathbf{r}_{3}$ is our new coordinate origin:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{3}= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
= & -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 y}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{1 z}},\right)-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{2 x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{2 y}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{2 z}}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{m_{3}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{x}}, \frac{m_{3}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{y}}, \frac{m_{3}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{z}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (13) also has an equivalent vector form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{3}=-\nabla_{\rho_{1}}-\nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{m_{3}}{M} \nabla_{R} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian (2), however, contains $\nabla_{i}^{2}$, so we must square Eqs. (9), (12) and (14). These operators may be determined, in a straightforward manner, to be:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1}^{2} & =\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{1}}+\frac{m_{1}}{M} \nabla_{R}\right\} \cdot\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{1}}+\frac{m_{1}}{M} \nabla_{R}\right\}  \tag{15}\\
& =\nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}+2 \frac{m_{1}}{M} \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{R}+\left(\frac{m_{1}}{M}\right)^{2} \nabla_{R}^{2} \\
\nabla_{2}^{2} & =\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{m_{2}}{M} \nabla_{R}\right\} \cdot\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{m_{2}}{M} \nabla_{R}\right\}  \tag{16}\\
& =\nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}+2 \frac{m_{2}}{M} \nabla_{\rho_{2}} \cdot \nabla_{R}+\left(\frac{m_{2}}{M}\right)^{2} \nabla_{R}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{3}^{2}= & \left\{-\nabla_{\rho_{1}}-\nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{m_{3}}{M} \nabla_{R}\right\} \cdot\left\{-\nabla_{\rho_{1}}-\nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{m_{3}}{M} \nabla_{R}\right\}  \tag{17}\\
= & \nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}+\nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}+\left(\frac{m_{3}}{M}\right)^{2} \nabla_{R}^{2}+2 \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{\rho_{2}} \\
& -2 \frac{m_{3}}{M}\left(\nabla_{\rho_{1}}+\nabla_{\rho_{2}}\right) \cdot \nabla_{R}
\end{align*}
$$

We now substitute Eqs. (15), (16) and (17) back into the expression for $H$ and simplify the resulting expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{1}}\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}+2 \frac{m_{1}}{M} \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{R}+\left(\frac{m_{1}}{M}\right)^{2} \nabla_{R}^{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{2}}\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}+2 \frac{m_{2}}{M} \nabla_{\rho_{2}} \cdot \nabla_{R}+\left(\frac{m_{2}}{M}\right)^{2} \nabla_{R}^{2}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{3}}\left\{\nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}+\nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}+\left(\frac{m_{3}}{M}\right)^{2} \nabla_{R}^{2}+2 \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{\rho_{2}}\right. \\
\left.-2 \frac{m_{3}}{M} \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{R}-2 \frac{m_{3}}{M} \nabla_{\rho_{2}} \cdot \nabla_{R}\right\} \\
+\frac{q_{1} q_{3}}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{q_{2} q_{3}}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{\rho_{12}} \\
=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{3}}\right) \nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{3}}\right) \nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2} \\
- \\
-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{M} \nabla_{R}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m_{3}} \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{3}}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{q_{2} q_{3}}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{\rho_{12}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Switching to reduced masses, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mu_{i}}=\frac{1}{m_{i}}+\frac{1}{m_{3}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu_{1}} \nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu_{2}} \nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \nabla_{R}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m_{3}} \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{\rho_{1}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{3}}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{q_{2} q_{3}}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{\rho_{12}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential energy terms in the Hamiltonian contain only relative particle distances, thus showing that the atom as a whole experiences no force; and so, its centre-of-mass motion is a constant. Therefore, we may exclude this term from the Hamiltonian and write (more specifically, any coordinate not appearing in the Hamiltonian is an ignorable coordinate ${ }^{2}$ [35])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu_{1}} \nabla_{\rho_{1}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu_{2}} \nabla_{\rho_{2}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m_{3}} \nabla_{\rho_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{\rho_{2}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{3}}{\rho_{1}}+\frac{q_{2} q_{3}}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{\rho_{12}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step is to remove the multiplicative constants by making a scale change which will put $H$ in $Z$-scaled, reduced mass atomic units [15], that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=\frac{Z_{i}}{a_{1}} \rho_{i} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{i}}=\frac{Z_{i}}{a_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $q_{i}=Z e$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{\mu_{1} e^{2}}=\frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mu_{1}} a_{0} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and $a_{0}=\hbar^{2} /\left(m_{\mathrm{e}} e^{2}\right)$ is the first Bohr radius.
If, for the atoms considered, we have two identical particles, and they are chosen to have the labels 1 and 2 , we have the further simplification $Z_{1}=Z_{2}=Z$. Taking all of this into account, the Hamiltonian is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{2 \mu_{1} a_{1}^{2}} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{2 \mu_{2} a_{1}^{2}} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{m_{3} a_{1}^{2}} \nabla_{r_{1}} \nabla_{r_{2}}+\frac{Z^{2} Z_{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{1}}+\frac{Z^{2} Z_{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{2}}+\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{12}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that for the systems we are dealing with $Z_{3}=-Z$ so that $Z_{3} Z^{2}=-Z^{3}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{2 \mu_{1} a_{1}^{2}} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{2 \mu_{2} a_{1}^{2}} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{m_{3} a_{1}^{2}} \nabla_{r_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{r_{2}}-\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{1}}-\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{2}}+\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{12}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{2 \mu_{i} a_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\hbar^{2} Z^{2}}{2 \mu_{i} a_{1}} \frac{\mu_{1} e^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{i}} \frac{Z^{2} e^{2}}{a_{1}}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & -\frac{Z^{2} e^{2}}{2 a_{1}} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}-\frac{Z^{2} \mu_{1} e^{2}}{2 \mu_{2} a_{1}} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}-\frac{Z^{2} \mu_{1} e^{2}}{m_{3} a_{1}} \nabla_{r_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{r_{2}} \\
& -\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{1}}-\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{2}}+\frac{Z^{3} e^{2}}{a_{1} r_{12}}, \\
\frac{H}{Z^{2} e^{2} / a_{1}}= & -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{2 \mu_{2}} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{m_{3}} \nabla_{r_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{r_{2}}-\frac{Z}{r_{1}}-\frac{Z}{r_{2}}+\frac{Z}{r_{12}} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In the end we have the dimensionless Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2}-\frac{\mu}{m_{3}} \nabla_{r_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{r_{2}}-\frac{Z}{r_{1}}-\frac{Z}{r_{2}}+\frac{Z}{r_{12}}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H}=\frac{H}{Z^{2} e^{2} / a_{1}}
$$

and we have used the fact that (particles 1 and 2 being identical implies $m_{1}=m_{2}=m$ )

$$
\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu=\frac{m m_{3}}{m+m_{3}} .
$$

We have thus transformed Eq. (1) into its equivalent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \Psi=\mathcal{E} \Psi \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{E}=\frac{E_{\mathrm{NR}}}{Z^{2} e^{2} / a_{1}}
$$

For ease of comparison with the literature, we express the nonrelativistic energy in atomic units,

$$
\frac{E_{\mathrm{NR}}}{e^{2} / a_{0}}=Z^{2} \frac{\mu}{m_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathcal{E}
$$

or

$$
E_{\mathrm{NR}}(\text { a.u. })=Z^{2} \frac{\mu}{m_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathcal{E}
$$

where we have used Eq. (23) and noted that $\frac{e^{2}}{a_{0}}=1$ a.u..

### 3.2 Variational Principle

### 3.2.1 Stationary States

High precision calculations for the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of threebody atomic systems often utilize the variational principle. This technique is employed since, for three-body systems, the form of the Hamiltonian ${ }^{3}$ includes correlation, and therefore, makes the Schrödinger equation nonseparable.

If $|\Psi\rangle$ is an eigenfunction of $H$ with the corresponding energy eigenvalue $E$, we may write [39]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H|\Psi\rangle=E|\Psi\rangle . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pre-multiplying this equation by $\langle\Psi|$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\Psi| H|\Psi\rangle & =\langle\Psi| E|\Psi\rangle  \tag{30}\\
& =E\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the above for the energy eigenvalue $E$ gives the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{\langle\Psi| H|\Psi\rangle}{\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the eigenvalues in the discussion above represent stable atomic states, we know that the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian are solutions of the Schrödinger variational principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E=0 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Matrix Equivalency

In practice, we write a trial wave function in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the basis set of functions $\phi_{i}$ becomes complete only when the summation is carried out over an infinite number of terms. The linear coefficients

[^2]$a_{i}$ are determined by Eq. (32), where we want the resultant energy to be a minimum.

The explicit form of Eq. (32), where the energy $E$ depends on any given set of linear parameters $a_{i}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{i}} \delta a_{i}=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $\delta a_{i}$ are arbitrary, nonzero variations of the linear coefficients then we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{i}}=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

identically for all $i$.
Applying this condition to the energy derived from our trial wave function (33) gives us a system of $N$ homogeneous linear equations [ $k$ has the same range as $i$ in Eq. (33)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E_{\mathbf{t r}}}{\partial a_{k}}=0, \quad \text { for all } a_{k} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Éq. (33), we may rewrite Eq. (31) as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\mathrm{tr}} & =\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle} \\
& =\frac{\left.\sum_{i j} a_{i} \phi_{i}|H| a_{j} \phi_{j}\right\rangle}{\sum_{i j}\left\langle a_{i} \phi_{i} \mid a_{j} \phi_{j}\right\rangle} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}\left\langle\phi_{i}\right| H\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle}{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid \phi_{j}\right\rangle}  \tag{37}\\
& =\frac{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} H_{i j}}{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{i j}=\left\langle\phi_{i}\right| H\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle$ and $O_{i j}=\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid \phi_{j}\right\rangle$. This allows us to derive an explicit expression for the left-hand side of Eq. (36):

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial E_{\mathrm{tr}}}{\partial a_{k}} & =\frac{\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}\right)\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} H_{i j} \delta_{j k}\right)-\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} H_{i j}\right)\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} O_{i j} \delta_{j k}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} H_{i k}}{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} H_{i j}\right)\left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} O_{i k}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}\right)^{2}}  \tag{38}\\
& =\frac{\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} H_{i k}-E_{\mathrm{tr}} \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} O_{i k}}{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Eq. (37) in the last line of Eq. (38).

Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial E_{\mathrm{tr}}}{\partial a_{k}}=0 \\
& \frac{\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} H_{i k}-E_{\mathrm{tr}} \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} O_{i k}}{\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} O_{i j}}=0 \\
& \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} H_{i k}-E_{\mathrm{tr}} \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} O_{i k}=0  \tag{39}\\
& \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*}\left(H_{i k}-E_{\mathrm{tr}} O_{i k}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the complex conjugate of this, and noting that $H_{i k}^{*}=H_{k i}, O_{i k}^{*}=O_{k i}$, and $E_{\mathrm{tr}}^{*}=E_{\mathrm{tr}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(H_{k i}-E_{\mathrm{tr}} O_{k i}\right)=0 . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eq. (36), the above must be true for all $k=1,2, \ldots, N$. Written explicitly, this is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} H_{11}+a_{2} H_{12}+\ldots+a_{N} H_{1 N}=E_{\operatorname{tr}}\left(a_{1} O_{11}+a_{2} O_{12}+\ldots+a_{N} O_{1 N}\right), \\
& a_{1} H_{21}+a_{2} H_{22}+\ldots+a_{N} H_{2 N}=E_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(a_{1} O_{21}+a_{2} O_{22}+\ldots+a_{N} O_{2 N}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vdots \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a_{1} H_{N 1}+a_{2} H_{N 2}+\ldots+a_{N} H_{N N}=E_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(a_{1} O_{N 1}+a_{2} O_{N 2}+\ldots+a_{N} O_{N N}\right)$, or, in terms of matrices, this is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
H_{11} & H_{12} & \cdots & H_{1 N}  \tag{42}\\
H_{21} & H_{22} & \cdots & H_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
H_{N 1} & H_{N 2} & \cdots & H_{N N}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{N}
\end{array}\right)=E_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
O_{11} & O_{12} & \cdots & O_{1 N} \\
O_{21} & O_{22} & \cdots & O_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
O_{N 1} & O_{N 2} & \cdots & O_{N N}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{N}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Given that $H$ has a spectrum of eigenvalues, each with a corresponding eigenvector, we write the equivalent, yet more compact, equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ha}=E \mathrm{Oa}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix elements of H and $\mathbf{O}$ are the $H_{i j}$ and $O_{i j}$ above, and a is the matrix of coefficients

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 N}  \tag{44}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{N 1} & a_{N 2} & \cdots & a_{N N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Diagonalizing H will yield $N$ eigenvalues $E_{\mathrm{tr}}^{j}(j=1,2,3, \ldots, N)$. The $j$ th column vector of a, corresponding to the eigenvalue $E_{\mathrm{tr}}^{j}$, represents the optimum values for the coefficients of $\left|\Psi_{t r}^{j}\right\rangle$. Thus, the $j$ th column vector of a represents $\Psi_{t r}$ in the chosen basis.

### 3.2.3 Bounded Solution

Even if $\Psi$ is not an exact eigenfunction of $H$, the Schrödinger variational principle is still useful because the corresponding energy eigenvalue of the function $\Psi$ is an upper bound to the exact energy eigenvalue. To prove this, an arbitrary trial function can always be expanded in terms of the exact eigenfunctions according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}=\sum_{i} c_{i} \varphi_{i} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\varphi_{i}$ of Eq. (45), by definition, satisfy the Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left|\varphi_{i}\right\rangle=E_{i}\left|\varphi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots$ are the true eigenvalues in increasing order. Equation (45) is possible, in principle, even though the exact $\varphi_{i}$ are not known, since the $\varphi_{i}$ span the Hilbert space, i.e., the $\varphi_{i}$ form a complete set.

Given the above, the overlap integral may be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}} \mid \Psi_{t r}\right\rangle & =\sum_{i j}\left\langle c_{i} \varphi_{i} \mid c_{j} \varphi_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i j} c_{i}^{*} c_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{i} \mid \varphi_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i j} c_{i}^{*} c_{j} \delta_{i j}  \tag{47}\\
& =\sum_{i} c_{i}^{*} c_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if $\Psi_{\text {tr }}$ normalizes to unity we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle=1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sum_{i}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also know that, according to Eq. (31), we can write the energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{tr}}=\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle}=\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle, \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $\left\langle\Psi_{t r} \mid \Psi_{t r}\right\rangle=1$. This allows us to express the trial energy $E_{\text {tr }}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\mathrm{tr}} & =\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i j}\left\langle c_{i} \varphi_{i}\right| H\left|c_{j} \varphi_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i}\left\{\left\langle c_{i} \varphi_{i}\right| H\left|c_{0} \varphi_{0}\right\rangle+\left\langle c_{i} \varphi_{i}\right| H\left|c_{1} \varphi_{1}\right\rangle+\ldots\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i}\left\{c_{i}^{*} c_{0}\left\langle\varphi_{i}\right| E_{0}\left|\varphi_{0}\right\rangle+c_{i}^{*} c_{1}\left\langle\varphi_{i}\right| E_{1}\left|\varphi_{1}\right\rangle+\ldots\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i} c_{i}^{*}\left\{c_{0} E_{0}\left\langle\varphi_{i} \mid \varphi_{0}\right\rangle+c_{1} E_{1}\left\langle\varphi_{i} \mid \varphi_{1}\right\rangle+\ldots\right\}  \tag{50}\\
& =\sum_{i} c_{i}^{*}\left\{c_{0} E_{0} \delta_{i 0}+c_{1} E_{1} \delta_{i 1}+\ldots\right\} \\
& =c_{0}^{*} c_{0} E_{0}+c_{1}^{*} c_{1} E_{1}+\ldots \\
& =\left|c_{0}\right|^{2} E_{0}+\left|c_{1}\right|^{2} E_{1}+\ldots \\
& =\left\{1-\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|c_{2}\right|^{2}-\ldots\right\} E_{0}+\left|c_{1}\right|^{2} E_{1}+\left|c_{2}\right|^{2} E_{2}+\ldots \\
& =E_{0}+\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}\left(E_{1}-E_{0}\right)+\left|c_{2}\right|^{2}\left(E_{2}-E_{0}\right)+\ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

since, by Eq. (48),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{0}\right|^{2}=1-\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|c_{2}\right|^{2}-\ldots \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious from the above that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{tr}} \geq E_{0} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the $\left(E_{i \neq 0}-E_{0}\right)$ of Eq. (50) are all positive quantities; thus $E_{\text {tr }}$ provides an upper bound to the true ground state energy.

### 3.2.4 Higher Eigenvalues

The result of the above section can be extended to higher eigenvalues via the matrix interleaving theorem. The theorem states that when an extra column and row are added to a matrix, the $N$ old eigenvalues lie between the $N+1$ new ones. Since the system is bounded from below and the variational


Figure 3: Diagram illustrating the Hylleraas-Undheim-MacDonald Theorem. The $\lambda_{p}, p=1, \ldots, N$ are the variational eigenvalues for an $N$-dimensional basis set, and the $E_{i}$ are the exact eigenvalues of $H$. The highest $\lambda_{p}$ lie in the continuous spectrum of $H$.
eigenvalues become the exact eigenvalues in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, no variational eigenvalue can fall through the corresponding exact eigenvalue. This ensures that the $N$ variational eigenvalues are upper bounds to the $N$ true eigenvalues of the system. This is known as the Hylleraas-Undheim-MacDonald [36, 37] theorem in atomic physics. As a result, the $N$ variational eigenvalues move progressively toward the exact values as $N$ is increased, as shown in Fig. $3^{4}$.
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### 3.3 The Operators in Hylleraas Coordinates

The $\nabla^{2}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ of Eq. (27) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, y$, and $z$ are simply the Cartesian components of the newly scaled coordinates of Eq. (22), e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}^{2}=\nabla_{r_{1}}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{1 x}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{1_{y}^{2}}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{1 z}^{2}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

These coordinates which we have chosen are the Hylleraas [40, 41] coordinates $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{12}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{1} & =\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}} \\
r_{2} & =\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}}  \tag{55}\\
r_{12} & =r=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

for the radial part of the wave function. We now wish to express the partial derivatives explicitly in terms of the Hylleraas coordinates. If we write the wave function as the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=R Y \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a purely radial function and $Y$ is a purely angular function, we can determine the form of $\nabla_{i}^{2}$ in the new coordinates. Using the product rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \Psi=Y \nabla_{i} R+R \nabla_{i} Y=\left(\nabla_{i}^{R}+\nabla_{i}^{Y}\right) \Psi \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where operators of the form $A^{R}$ and $A^{Y}$ are understood to act only on the radial and angular part of the wave function, respectively. In particular, for the radial part and $i=1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{1}^{R} R= & \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathbf{j} \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_{1}}+\mathbf{k} \frac{\partial R}{\partial z_{1}} \\
= & \mathbf{i}\left\{\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial r_{12}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{12}}\right\} \\
& +\mathbf{j}\left\{\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial r_{12}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{12}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathrm{k}\left\{\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial r_{12}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{12}}\right\} \\
= & \mathbf{i}\left\{\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\right\} R \\
& +\mathbf{j}\left\{\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\right\} R \\
& +\mathbf{k}\left\{\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\right\} R \\
= & \left\{x_{1} \mathbf{i}+y_{1} \mathbf{j}+z_{1} \mathbf{k}\right\} \frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{1}} \\
& +\left\{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \mathbf{i}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) \mathbf{j}+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right) \mathbf{k}\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{12}} \\
= & \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{12}} . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Incorporating the angular part gives us the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{r}_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may see from the definitions of $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{12}$ and $\nabla_{i}$ that there is a symmetry between $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$, so that we may immediately write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{2}=\frac{\mathbf{r}_{2}}{r_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{2}^{Y} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing these operators allows us to determine all the operators needed to express $\mathcal{H}$ in our new coordinates, i.e., $\nabla_{1}^{2}, \nabla_{2}^{2}$ and $\nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}$.

We may now use expressions (59) and (60) to define all the operators explicitly appearing in the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$. We start with the kinetic energy term $\nabla_{1}^{2}$ (for details see Appendix A.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{1}^{2} \Psi= & \nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{1} \Psi \\
= & \left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \Psi \\
= & \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left(r_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left(r_{12}^{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right)+2\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}} \\
& -2 \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)^{2} \Psi
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation $\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)^{2}=-1_{1}^{2} / r_{1}^{2}$ (established in Appendix A.1) gives us the final form for this operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left(r_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left(r_{12}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+2\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}}-2 \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}-\frac{l_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{2}}
$$

The operator form for $\nabla_{2}^{2}$ can be found by following a procedure similar to the above, or by the interchange $1 \longleftrightarrow 2$ in Eq. (61). Explicitly, one would find

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{2}^{2}= & \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}\left(r_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left(r_{12}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\right)  \tag{62}\\
& +2\left(r_{2}-r_{1} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{12}}-2 \frac{\left(r_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}-\frac{l_{2}^{2}}{r_{2}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The price we pay for transforming the original Hamiltonian into an equivalent two-body form is the introduction of a mass polarization term $\nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}$. If the mass ratio $\mu / m_{3} \ll 1$, then this term may be treated as a small perturbation to the infinite nuclear mass Hamiltonian. If, on the other hand, $\mu / m_{3} \approx 1$, the mass polarization term is of a magnitude similar to the kinetic energy terms; and thus, it cannot, to any accuracy, be treated as a small perturbation.

We therefore include this term in the Hamiltonian from the outset. In doing so, we need to determine its operator form in the chosen coordinate system. To do this, we use Eqs. (59) and (60) to write

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2} \Psi= & \left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\}  \tag{63}\\
& \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{2}^{Y}\right\} \Psi
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the mass polarization operator to be (see Appendix A.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}= & -\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left(r_{12}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{12}^{2}}\right)+\cos \theta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}} \\
& +\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right)+\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}} \\
& -\left\{\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}} \\
& +\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4 Hamiltonian Matrix Elements.

In order to solve the matrix eigenvalue problem presented by Eq. (43), we need to evaluate the matrix elements corresponding to the Hamilitonian operator: $H_{p q}=\left\langle\Phi_{p}\right| H\left|\Phi_{q}\right\rangle$. For the present purpose, we take our trial wave function $\Psi_{t r}$ as the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right) & =\sum_{p} c_{p} \Phi_{p}  \tag{65}\\
& =\sum_{p} c_{p} R_{p} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with the $\mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right)$ being vector-coupled products of spherical harmonics, ${ }^{5}$ and the $R_{p}$ are members of a radial basis set defined, in explicitly correlated Hylleraas coordinates, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p}=r_{1}^{i} r_{2}^{j} r_{12}^{k} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}-\gamma r_{12}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=\{i j k\}$ represents a distinct combination of powers.
We must now consider how the operator $\nabla_{1}^{2}$ acts on any term in the expansion of the above trial function. Thus, letting the operator of Eq. (61) act upon any $\Phi_{p}$ of Eq. (65), we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1}^{2} \Phi_{p}= & \left\{\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}}\left[i(i+1)-l_{1}\left(l_{1}+1\right)\right]+\frac{k(k+1)}{r_{12}^{2}}\right. \\
& -2\left[\frac{\alpha(i+1)}{r_{1}}+\frac{\gamma(k+1)}{r_{12}}\right]  \tag{67}\\
& +\frac{2\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right)}{r_{1} r_{12}^{2}}\left[\left(k-\gamma r_{12}\right)\left(i-\alpha r_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{2\left(\hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y} \cdot \hat{r}_{2}\right) r_{2}}{r_{1} r_{12}^{2}}\left[k-\gamma r_{12}\right]+\alpha^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right\} \Phi_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for $\nabla_{2}^{2}$ may be easily obtained by making the following replacements in Eq. (67): $1 \leftrightarrow 2, i \rightarrow j$, and $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, understanding that $r_{21}=r_{12}$.

[^4]The only operator in the Hamiltonian whose action is left to be determined is the mass polarization term, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mu}{m_{3}} \nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting this operator, given by Eq. (64), act on any $\Phi_{p}$, it can be shown that the matrix elements of the mass polarization operator are

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\mu}{m_{3}} \nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2} \Phi_{p}= & -\frac{\mu}{m_{3}}\left\{\frac{1}{r_{1} r_{2}}\left[i j \cos \theta+i\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{2}^{y}\right)+j\left(\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y}\right)+\hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{2}^{y}\right]\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left[-\beta\left(i \cos \theta+\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left[-\alpha\left(j \cos \theta+\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{2}^{y}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{12}}[\gamma(i+j+2 k+2)]-\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}}[k(k+1)+j k+i k] \\
& +\frac{r_{1}}{r_{12}}[\gamma(\beta \cos \theta-\alpha)]+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{12}}[\gamma(\alpha \cos \theta-\beta)]  \tag{69}\\
& +\frac{r_{2}}{r_{12}^{2}}[\beta k-\alpha k \cos \theta]+\frac{r_{1}}{r_{12}^{2}}[\alpha k-\beta k \cos \theta] \\
& +\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2} r_{12}}\left[-\gamma\left(j \cos \theta+\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1} r_{12}}\left[-\gamma\left(i \cos \theta+\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1} r_{12}^{2}}\left[k\left(i \cos \theta+\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{1}^{y}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2} r_{12}^{2}}\left[k\left(j \cos \theta+\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \hat{\nabla}_{2}^{y}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\alpha \beta \cos \theta-\gamma^{2}\right\} \Phi_{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now that we know the action of all the operators in the Hamiltonian on the various terms in the wave function, we need to evaluate the resulting integrals in order to construct the actual Hamiltonian matrix. To this end, we now investigate the required integrals.

### 3.5 General Integral

The integrals needed for the present calculations have the general form (for details of the following the reader is referred to Refs. [43, 44])

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\left\langle\Phi_{p^{\prime}}\right|(\mathrm{Op})\left|\Phi_{p}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle R_{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2}^{\prime} L^{\prime}}^{M^{\prime}}\right|(\mathrm{Op})\left|R_{p} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L}^{M}\right\rangle  \tag{70}\\
& =\iint d \mathbf{r}_{1} d \mathbf{r}_{2} R_{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2}^{\prime} L^{\prime}}^{M^{\prime} *}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k_{1} k_{2} K}^{Q} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right) R_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L^{\prime}}^{M^{\prime}}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right), \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right)$, and $(\mathrm{Op})=\mathcal{T}_{k_{1} k_{2} K}^{Q}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right)$ are vectorcoupled products of spherical harmonics given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2} L}^{M}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right) & =\sum_{m_{1} m_{2}}\left\langle l_{1} l_{2} m_{1} m_{2} \mid L M\right\rangle Y_{l_{1}}^{m_{1}}\left(\hat{r}_{1}\right) Y_{l_{2}}^{m_{2}}\left(\hat{r}_{2}\right), \\
\mathcal{T}_{k_{1} k_{2} K}^{Q}\left(\hat{r}_{1}, \hat{r}_{2}\right) & =\sum_{q_{1} q_{2}}\left\langle k_{1} k_{2} q_{1} q_{2} \mid K Q\right\rangle Y_{k_{1}}^{q_{1}}\left(\hat{r}_{1}\right) Y_{k_{2}}^{q_{2}}\left(\hat{r}_{2}\right) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $R_{p}=R_{p}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{12}\right)$ is the correlated radial part of the integral defined by Eq. (66).

Using the fact that the spherical polar coordinates $\theta_{2}$ and $\phi_{2}$ of $r_{2}$ are not independent, but can be expressed in terms of the independent variables $r_{1}, r_{12}, \theta_{1}, \phi_{1}$, and $\chi$ (see Fig. 4) ${ }^{6}$ using rotation matrices [45], one can arrive at the basic angular integral algorithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(l_{1} m_{1}, l_{2} m_{2} ; R_{p^{\prime}} R_{p}\right)=2 \pi \delta_{m_{1} m_{2}} \delta_{l_{1} l_{2}} I_{l_{1}}\left(R_{p^{\prime}} R_{p}\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{l}\left(R^{\prime} R\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{2} d r_{2} \int_{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} r_{12} d r_{12} R_{p^{\prime}} R_{p} P_{l}\left(\cos \theta_{12}\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radial integral $I_{l}\left(R_{p^{\prime}} R_{p}\right)$ will be considered in the next section. For now, it is important to note that $\cos \theta_{12}$ is a purely radial function, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{12}=\frac{r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}-r_{12}^{2}}{2 r_{1} r_{2}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{l}\left(\cos \theta_{12}\right)$ is an ordinary Legendre polynonmial.
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Figure 4: Hylleraas coordinates

The above, in conjunction with standard angular-momentum coupling techniques, allows one to write the general integral in terms of $3-j, 6-j$, and $9-j$ symbols as

$$
I=(-)^{L^{\prime}-M^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L^{\prime} & K & L  \tag{75}\\
-M^{\prime} & Q & M
\end{array}\right) \sum_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda} X_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda} D_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda} I_{\Lambda}\left(R_{p^{\prime}} R_{p}\right),
$$

where $(a, b, \ldots)=(2 a+1)(2 b+1) \ldots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda}= & \frac{(-)^{l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{2}^{\prime}+L^{\prime}+\Lambda}}{8 \pi}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda\right)\left(l_{1}, k_{1}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}, L, L^{\prime}, K\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{76}\\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{1} & k_{1} & \lambda_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{1}^{\prime} & \lambda_{1} & \Lambda \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{2} & k_{2} & \lambda_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{2}^{\prime} & \lambda_{2} & \Lambda \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
D_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
L^{\prime} & l_{2}^{\prime} & l_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{77}\\
\Lambda & \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{1} & l_{2} & L \\
k_{1} & k_{2} & K \\
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & L^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

The general integral can thus be expressed in the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sum_{\Lambda} C_{\Lambda} I_{\Lambda}\left(R_{p^{\prime}} R_{p}\right) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\Lambda}=\sum_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}} C_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the angular coefficients, and

$$
C_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda}=(-)^{L^{\prime}-M^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L^{\prime} & K & L  \tag{80}\\
-M^{\prime} & Q & M
\end{array}\right) X_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda} D_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \Lambda}
$$

For the the case of $K=0$ the angular coefficients have the simpler form

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\Lambda}(1)= & \frac{(-1)^{L+\lambda}}{8 \pi}(2 \Lambda+1)\left(l_{1}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}, l_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{1}^{\prime} & l_{1} & \Lambda \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{81}\\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{2}^{\prime} & l_{2} & \Lambda \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
L & l_{1} & l_{2} \\
\Lambda & l_{2}^{\prime} & l_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.6 Radial Integral

In the present work, the correlated radial part of the wave function has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{12}\right)=r_{1}^{i} r_{2}^{j} r_{12}^{k} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}-\gamma r_{12}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any integral that we need to evaluate can be reduced to some linear combination of an angular coefficient multiplied by a radial integral of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(i, j, k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1}^{i+1} e^{-\alpha r_{1}} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{2}^{j+1} e^{-\beta r_{2}} d r_{2} \int_{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} r_{12}^{k+1} e^{-\gamma r_{12}} d r_{12} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the specific case of $i=j=k=-1$, the integral reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(-1,-1,-1)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{2} \int_{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} d r_{12} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}-\gamma r_{12}} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to deal with the absolute value in the limit of this integral we split it into two parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
I(-1,-1,-1)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{1} \int_{r_{1}}^{\infty} d r_{2} \int_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} d r_{12} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}-\gamma r_{12}}  \tag{85}\\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{r_{1}} d r_{2} \int_{r_{1}-r_{2}}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} d r_{12} e^{-\alpha r_{1}-\beta r_{2}-\gamma r_{12}}
\end{align*}
$$

Carrying out the integration we are left with the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(-1,-1,-1)=\frac{2}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\gamma)(\beta+\gamma)} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (83) may be used to increase any radial power in the integral by noticing that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right) I(i-1, j, k)=I(i, j, k) \\
& \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\right) I(i, j-1, k)=I(i, j, k)  \tag{87}\\
& \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}\right) I(i, j, k-1)=I(i, j, k)
\end{align*}
$$

and that all three partial derivatives commute with each other and with the operation of integration. This allows us to generate all higher-power integrals, starting with Eq. (86), by repeated differentiation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(i, j, k)=(-1)^{i+j+k+3} \frac{\partial^{i+1}}{\partial \alpha^{i+1}} \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial \beta^{j+1}} \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial \gamma^{k+1}}\left[\frac{2}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\gamma)(\beta+\gamma)}\right] \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Leibnitz's notation [46] we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}^{p} \equiv \frac{\partial^{i+1}}{\partial \alpha^{i+1}}, \quad D_{\beta}^{m} \equiv \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial \beta^{j+1}}, \quad D_{\gamma}^{n} \equiv \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial \gamma^{k+1}}, \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=i+1, m=j+1, n=k+1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}^{p} D_{\beta}^{m} D_{\gamma}^{n}(u v)=D_{\alpha}^{p} D_{\beta}^{m}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)=D_{\alpha}^{p}\left(u^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{I(i, j, k)}{(-1)^{p+m+n}} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \frac{1}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\gamma)} \\
v= & \frac{2}{(\beta+\gamma)} \\
D^{N}(u v)= & u D^{N} v+\binom{N}{1}(D u)\left(D^{N-1} v\right)  \tag{91}\\
& +\binom{N}{2}\left(D^{2} u\right)\left(D^{N-2} v\right)+\ldots+v D^{N} u
\end{align*}
$$

and $\binom{N}{1},\binom{N}{2}, \ldots$ are the binomial coefficients.
Performing the required differentiation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\alpha}^{p}\left(u^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right)= & \sum_{a=0}^{p} \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{c=0}^{n} 2(-1)^{p+m+n}\binom{p}{a}\binom{m}{b}\binom{n}{c} \\
& \times \frac{(a+b)!(c+p-a)!(n+m-b-c)!}{(\alpha+\beta)^{a+b+1}(\alpha+\gamma)^{c+p-a+1}(\beta+\gamma)^{n+m-b-c+1}} \\
= & \sum_{a=0}^{p} \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{c=0}^{n} \frac{2(-1)^{p+m+n} p!m!n!}{a!b!c!(p-a)!(m-b)!(n-c)!} \\
& \times \frac{(a+b)!(c+p-a)!(n+m-b-c)!}{(\alpha+\beta)^{a+b+1}(\alpha+\gamma)^{c+p-a+1}(\beta+\gamma)^{n+m-b-c+1}} \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

So now the general radial integral is

$$
\begin{align*}
I(p-1, m-1, n-1)= & (-1)^{p+m+n} D_{\alpha}^{p}\left(u^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
= & \sum_{a=0}^{p} \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{c=0}^{n} \frac{2 p!m!n!}{a!b!c!(p-a)!(m-b)!(n-c)!}  \tag{93}\\
& \times \frac{(a+b)!(c+p-a)!(n+m-b-c)!}{(\alpha+\beta)^{a+b+1}(\alpha+\gamma)^{c+p-a+1}(\beta+\gamma)^{n+m-b-c+1}},
\end{align*}
$$

since the factor $(-1)^{2(p+m+n)}=+1$ for all integer $p, m, n$.
It is possible to write this expression in a more compact form if we extract all terms that depend explicitly upon $a$. Upon doing so, we may
write

$$
\begin{align*}
I(p-1, m-1, n-1)= & \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{c=0}^{n} \frac{2 p!m!n!(n+m-b-c)!}{b!c!(m-b)!(n-c)!}  \tag{94}\\
& \times \frac{T(b, c, p)}{(\alpha+\beta)^{b+1}(\alpha+\gamma)^{c+p+1}(\beta+\gamma)^{n+m-b-c+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(b, c, p)=\sum_{a=0}^{p} \frac{(a+b)!(c+p-a)!}{a!(p-a)!}\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{a} . \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful, for computational purposes, to note two special cases of this three-dimensional array:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(0,0, p)=\sum_{a=0}^{p}\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{a} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(b, c, 0)=b!c! \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Method of Solution

### 4.1 Solution of the Eigenvalue Problem

To obtain energies for our system we must solve the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ha}=E \mathrm{Oa} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{p q}=\left\langle\Phi_{p}\right| H\left|\Phi_{q}\right\rangle, O_{p q}=\left\langle\Phi_{p} \mid \Phi_{q}\right\rangle, \Phi$ is that of Eq. (65), $p, q$ denote distinct combinations of powers $\{i, j, k\}$ and a is a column vector of linear coefficients. There are many of ways of solving the above problem; but since we are primarliy interested in just the single eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian for the state being optimized, we may utilize what is known as the power method [47].

### 4.2 The Power Method

If $\mathbf{H}$ is a diagonalizable, $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ corresponding to the eigenvectors $\Psi^{(1)}, \Psi^{(2)}, \ldots, \Psi^{(n)}$ with ordering $\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leq$ $\cdots<\left|\lambda_{n}\right|$ (i.e., $\mathbf{H}$ has a largest eigenvalue $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|>\left|\lambda_{i}\right|, i \neq n$ ) we may choose an arbitrary starting vector ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{0}=b_{1} \Psi^{(1)}+b_{2} \Psi^{(2)}+\ldots+b_{n} \Psi^{(n)} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then form the sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H} \chi_{0} & =b_{1} \lambda_{1} \Psi^{(1)}+b_{2} \lambda_{2} \Psi^{(2)}+\ldots+b_{n} \lambda_{n} \Psi^{(n)}=\chi_{1}, \\
\mathbf{H} \chi_{1} & =b_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2} \Psi^{(1)}+b_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2} \Psi^{(2)}+\ldots+b_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} \Psi^{(n)}=\chi_{2}, \\
& \vdots  \tag{100}\\
\mathbf{H} \chi_{k-1} & =b_{1} \lambda_{1}^{k} \Psi^{(1)}+b_{2} \lambda_{2}^{k} \Psi^{(2)}+\ldots+b_{n} \lambda_{n}^{k} \Psi^{(n)}=\chi_{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

As $k$ becomes large, $\chi_{k}$ becomes the eigenvector of $H$ with the largest eigenvalue:

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{k} & =b_{1} \lambda_{1}^{k} \Psi^{(1)}+b_{2} \lambda_{2}^{k} \Psi^{(2)}+\ldots+b_{n} \lambda_{n}^{k} \Psi^{(n)}  \tag{101}\\
\frac{\chi_{k}}{\lambda_{n}^{k}} & =b_{1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{k} \Psi^{(1)}+b_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{k} \Psi^{(2)}+\ldots+b_{n} \Psi^{(n)}
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]thus, since $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|>\left|\lambda_{i \neq n}\right|$, and as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we are left with
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k}=a_{n} \lambda_{n}^{k} \Psi^{(n)}, \quad a_{n} \neq 0 \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

From this we may infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k+1}=a_{n} \lambda_{n}^{k+1} \Psi^{(n)}=\lambda_{n} \chi_{k} \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k+1}=\mathbf{H}_{\chi_{k}} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\chi_{k}}=\lambda_{n} \chi_{k} . \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order for us to be able to pick out any one of the $n$ eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H}$, i.e., to make any one of the eigenvalues dominant, we transform the above in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H} \chi & =\lambda_{n} \mathbf{O} \chi \\
\left(\mathbf{H}-\lambda_{g} \mathbf{O}\right) \chi & =\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{g}\right) \mathbf{O} \chi \\
\left(\mathbf{H}-\lambda_{g} \mathbf{O}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{O} \chi & =\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{g}\right)} \chi  \tag{106}\\
\mathbf{G} \chi & =\lambda^{\prime} \chi
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{G}=\left(\mathbf{H}-\lambda_{g} \mathbf{O}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{O}$ and

$$
\lambda^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{g}\right)} .
$$

Choosing $\lambda_{g}$ close to any one of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ will make $\lambda^{\prime}$ much larger for that $\lambda_{n}$ than for any other. We thus form the sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{1} & =\mathbf{G} \chi_{0} \\
\chi_{2} & =\mathbf{G} \chi_{1}  \tag{107}\\
\chi_{3} & =\mathbf{G} \chi_{2} \\
& \vdots \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

until the ratios of the components of two successive $\chi$ 's stop changing.

The major computational step in the above method is the inversion of a matrix with $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ elements ( $H$ is symmetric). This can be replaced by the more efficient process of solving $n$ equations in $n$ unkowns as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{n} & =\mathbf{G} \chi_{n-1}, \\
\chi_{n} & =\left(\mathbf{H}-\lambda_{g} \mathbf{O}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{O} \chi_{n-1},  \tag{109}\\
\left(\mathbf{H}-\lambda_{g} \mathbf{O}\right) \chi_{n} & =\mathbf{O} \chi_{n-1}, \\
\mathbf{F} \chi_{n} & =\mathbf{O} \chi_{n-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{F}=\left(\mathbf{H}-\lambda_{g} \mathbf{O}\right)$ and

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{\left\langle\chi_{n}\right| \mathbf{H}\left|\chi_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\chi_{n} \mid \chi_{n}\right\rangle}
$$

by Eq. (105). This requires us to solve a set of $n$ inhomogeneous equations. This we do by recourse to the square-root method.

### 4.3 The Square Root Method

In order to solve the set of equations given by the last line of Eq. (109), we use the square-root method [49, 50]. We start by rewriting Eq. (109) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}=\chi_{n}$ and $\mathbf{y}=O_{\chi_{n-1}}$. The first step of this method is carried out by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{S} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is the upper triangular matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{11} & s_{12} & \ldots & s_{1 n}  \tag{112}\\
0 & s_{22} & \ldots & s_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & s_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\mathbf{S}^{T}$ its transpose. The elements of $\mathbf{S}$, and consequently $\mathbf{S}^{T}$, are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{11}=\sqrt{f_{11}} \\
& s_{12}=\frac{f_{12}}{s_{11}} \\
& s_{j j}=\sqrt{f_{j j}-s_{1 j}^{2}-s_{2 j}^{2}-\ldots-s_{j-1, j}^{2}} \\
& s_{j k}=\frac{f_{j k}-s_{1 j} s_{1 k}-s_{2 j} s_{2 k}-\ldots-s_{j-1, j} s_{j-1, k}}{s_{j j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $f_{j k}$ 's are the known matrix elements of $\mathbf{F}$.
Using Eq. (111), we may now write Eq. (110) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{S x}=\mathbf{y} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{K}=\mathbf{y} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{S x}=\mathbf{K} \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements $k_{j}$ of $\mathbf{K}$ may be determined through the use of the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=\frac{y_{1}}{s_{11}} \\
& k_{2}=\frac{y_{2}-s_{12} k_{1}}{s_{22}} \\
& k_{j}=\frac{y_{j}-s_{1 j} k_{1}-s_{2 j} k_{2}-\ldots-s_{j-1,2} k_{j-1}}{s_{j j}} \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $y_{j}$ are the matrix elements of $\mathbf{O} \chi_{n-1}$, which are also known ( $\chi_{0}$ will be an arbitrary column vector chosen to start the procedure).

We may now use the second equation of (114) to evaluate the sought after components of $\mathbf{x}$, since we now know the elements of $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbb{K}$. The required equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n} & =\frac{k_{n}}{s_{n n}} \\
x_{n-1} & =\frac{k_{n-1}-s_{n-1, n} x_{n}}{s_{n-1, n-1}} \\
x_{j} & =\frac{k_{j}-s_{j, j+1} s_{j+1}-s_{j, j+2} x_{j+2}-\ldots-s_{j n} x_{n}}{s_{j j}} \tag{116}
\end{align*}
$$

where we must remember that $n$ is the dimension of the original matrix $H$ whose dominant eigenvector has components $x_{j}$.

## 5 Details of Calculation

### 5.1 The Basis Set

We have utilized a two-sector, or doubled, basis set in all of the calculations presented. These basis sets are a simple modification of those used by Drake [51, 52, 53] and Drake and coworkers [54] to produce essentially exact solutions for helium. This modification came in two stages. The first stage was due to prior work on the positronium negative ion, which lead us to incorporate $\mathrm{a}-\gamma r_{12}$ in the exponential of the usual Hylleraas doubled-basis set [1]. This extra nonlinear parameter allows distance scales to be set along each of the three independent radial coordinates $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{12}$. Such flexibility becomes more and more essential as the atomic mass ratio increases from zero to approximately one.

The second stage of modification is based on the work of Bhatia [20], and Bhatia and Drachman [21], who included an overall factor of $r_{12}^{N} e^{-\gamma r_{12}}$ in their basis set. Introducing this factor allows the wave function to localize the proton motion in accordance with the fundamental physical principle underlying the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, i.e., the protons move much more slowly that the electrons since the electron to proton mass ratio is on the order of $10^{-4}$. When $\gamma \approx N / 2$ this factor closely approximates a Gaussian function along the internuclear coordinate $r_{12}$ (see Fig. 5); and so, it allows the vibrational modes of the system to be well represented.

For our modified double basis set, the trial function for $S$-states is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{S}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)= & \sum_{p=1}^{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{i+j \leq \Omega_{1}^{(p)}} \sum_{k=\Omega_{\text {low }}}^{\Omega_{\text {high }}} a_{i j k}^{(p)} r_{1}^{i} r_{2}^{j} r_{12}^{k} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\alpha^{(p)} r_{1}-\beta^{(p)} r_{2}-\gamma^{(p)} r_{12}\right) \pm(\text { exchange }) \tag{117}
\end{align*}
$$

where the limits for $k$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\mathrm{low}} & =N_{p}-\Omega_{1}^{(p)}+(i+j) \\
\Omega_{\mathrm{high}} & =N_{p}+\Omega_{1}^{(p)}-(i+j)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5: Qualitative comparison of a Gaussian and Hylleraas wave function along the inter-protonic coordinate $r_{12}$.

the integers $N_{p}>\Omega_{1}^{(p)}$ are adjustable parameters, and the exchange part is equivalent to the form shown with $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, the + sign for singlet (total spin $S=0$ ) states and the - sign for triplet (total spin $S=1$ ) states; and for states with $L>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{L>0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)=\sum_{\text {ang }} \Psi^{S}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1} l_{2}}^{L M}\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{2}\right) \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{\text {ang }}$ means that all distinct angular couplings are included according to the scheme in Ref. [53].

### 5.1.1 Truncations

Normally, all distinct combinations of powers $\{i, j, k\}$ would be included in the expansions (117) and (118); however, in order to avoid problems of near linear dependence for $S$-states, all terms with $i>j$ are omitted. In addition, we employed a form of truncation first introduced by Kono and Hattori [55] in which terms with $i+j+\left|N_{p}-k\right|-\left|l_{1}-l_{2}\right|+|j-i|>\Omega_{1}^{(p)}$ are also avoided. It was also determined that $\Omega_{1}^{(1)}=\Omega_{1}^{(2)}$ gave the best results until larger basis sets ( $>1100$ ), where problems of near linear dependence started to arise.

### 5.1.2 Choosing $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$

An initial choice of $N_{2}=35$ was based on the work of Yan et al. [34]. We then varied $N_{1}$ to find its optimal value. This procedure was then carried out for different values of $N_{2}$. A similar procedure was carried out by first fixing $N_{1}$, and then varying $N_{2}$. Typical results are shown in Table 2. In such a manner it was found that with complete optimization, in general, a lower energy was attained when $N_{1}=N_{2}$. This result is reasonable, since both sectors of the basis set must be able to accurately represent the same vibrational mode of the system for any given state (see Sect. 5.1).

### 5.1.3 Radial Completeness

The standard Hylleraas-type radial basis was proven complete by Klahn and Bingel [56]. Although at first, the radial basis used in this work excludes lower powers of $r_{12}$, these powers reappear as $\Omega_{1}$ is increased. Thus, for

Table 2: Variation of ground state energy with $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$.

| $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | Energy | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | Energy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 35 | -0.597139062385650 | 36 | 30 | -0.597139061906769 |
| 31 | 35 | -0.597139062608263 | 36 | 31 | -0.597139062643068 |
| 32 | 35 | -0.597139062734106 | 36 | 32 | -0.597139062943849 |
| 33 | 35 | -0.597139062853780 | 36 | 33 | -0.597139063053430 |
| 34 | 35 | -0.597139062963500 | 36 | 34 | -0.597139063046652 |
| 35 | 35 | -0.597139063023229 | 36 | 35 | -0.597139063067699 |
| 36 | 35 | -0.597139063067699 | 36 | 36 | -0.597139063083416 |
| 37 | 35 | -0.597139063054221 | 36 | 37 | -0.597139063080049 |
| 38 | 35 | -0.597139062998248 | 36 | 38 | -0.597139063069018 |
| 39 | 35 | -0.597139062939545 | 36 | 39 | -0.597139063051702 |
| 40 | 35 | -0.597139062885259 | 36 | 40 | -0.597139063041122 |

any finite $N_{p}$, the expansions (117) and (118) will be complete by the same argument as that for the standard Hylleraas basis set as the number of terms becomes infinite.

### 5.2 Optimization

A complete optimization of the energy $E$ with respect to all six nonlinear parameters was carried out for each basis set size (see Ref. [53]). This is done by calculating the first derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha^{(p)}}=-2\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|r_{1} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2} ; \alpha^{(p)}\right) \pm r_{2} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{1} ; \alpha^{(p)}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \frac{\partial E}{\partial \beta^{(p)}}=-2\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|r_{1} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2} ; \beta^{(p)}\right) \pm r_{2} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{1} ; \beta^{(p)}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \frac{\partial E}{\partial \gamma^{(p)}}=-2\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{tr}}\right| H\left|r_{1} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2} ; \gamma^{(p)}\right) \pm r_{2} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{1} ; \gamma^{(p)}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2} ; \alpha^{(p)}\right)$ denotes only the terms in $\Psi_{t r}$ that depend explicitly on $\alpha^{(p)}$, and unit norm has been assumed.

The derivatives contain no contribution from an implicit dependence of the energy on the nonlinear parameters through the linear coefficients $a_{i j k}^{(p)}$, i.e., from terms of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha^{(p)}}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{i j k}^{(p)}} \frac{\partial a_{i j k}^{(p)}}{\partial \alpha^{(p)}}+\ldots \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because Eq. (35) ensures that these contributions are zero (at least to
first order), that is, the energy is stable with respect to first order variations in the linear coefficients.

Knowing the first derivatives allows us to estimate the second derivatives by changing the $\alpha^{(p)}$ 's, $\beta^{(p)}$ 's, and $\gamma^{(p)}$ 's in the direction of lower energy and taking differences. We then apply Newton's method to the first derivatives to find the zeros. If the initial choice of nonlinear parameters is good (i.e., close to a minimum), this method converges in a few iterations.

## 6 Resullts and Discussion

Developing a systematic way of calculating the energy eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions for arbitrary three-body quantum systems has been the main goal of this work. Previous work using doubled-basis sets in Hylleraas coordinates [1,51,52,54] proved that such an approach provided well converged energies, as well as stable and compact wave functions, for helium, $\mathrm{H}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{Ps}^{-}$, where the mass ratio $\mu / \mathcal{M}$ varies from $\approx 0.0001$ to 0.5 . We now present the results of the variational calculations that were carried out for the nonrelativistic energy eigenvalues for the $1{ }^{1} S, 2{ }^{1} S$, and $2{ }^{3} P$ states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, where $\mu / \mathcal{M} \approx 1$. A value of 1836.152701 was used for the proton mass [57], even though an improved value is available [58], in order to allow comparison with other theoretical work. Studies of the convergence patterns for these three states are given in Tables 5, 6, and 7. As these Tables show, the present method of calculation, involving the seven parameters $\alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \gamma^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}$, $\beta^{(2)}, \gamma^{(2)}$, and $N\left(=N_{1}=N_{2}\right)$, does indeed allow the highly-accurate determination of the energy eigenvalues of a wide range of three-body systems. It must be noted that all calculations were done in quadruple precision (about 32 decimal digits) arithmetic on SHARCnet's Tiger cluster of Compaq Alpha ES40 workstations; thus, the need for multiple precision arithmetic is avoided, at least when the present level of accuracy is required.

The ratios given in the last column of Tables 5, 6, and 7 are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\Omega)=\frac{E(\Omega-1)-E(\Omega-2)}{E(\Omega)-E(\Omega-1)} \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$, and thus give the values of the ratios of successive differences in the energies. If $R(\Omega)$ were constant, the extrapolated value of the energy would simply be the series limit of a geometric series. Since this is not the case, we fit the ratios to the form $a / \Omega^{b}$ and sum the series of differences to obtain the extrapolated value. The final quoted uncertainty is thus determined from the uncertainty in the parameters $a$ and $b$.

A comparison with other theoretical values for the same states was given in Table 1 of Section 2, and a portion of that data is reproduced in Table 3.

For the three states calculated, our largest basis set gives the lowest upper bound to date. However, although different basis sets and methods have been used, it is satisfying that all the results agree to within their estimated uncertainties.

Table 3: Comparison of calculated energies with the best values found in the literature.

| Author | Year | Energy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ${ }^{1} S$ |  |
| Korobov [26] | 2000 | -0.597139063123405074 |
| Bailey and Frolov [31] | 2002 | -0.59713906312340507483 |
| Yan et al. [34] | 2003 | $-0.5971390631234050745(4)$ |
| This work |  | $-0.5971390631234050748343377(21)$ |
|  | $2^{1} S$ |  |
| Grémaud et al. $[19]$ | 1998 | $-0.587155679212(1)$ |
| Moss [24, 23] | 1999 | -0.5871556792128 |
| Hilico et al. $[27]$ | 2000 | $-0.58715567921275(1)$ |
| This work |  | $-0.5871556792127468122121(17)$ |
|  |  | $2^{3} P$ |
| Taylor et al. $[22]$ | 1999 | $-0.5968737388328(5)$ |
| Moss [23] | 1999 | -0.5968737388328 |
| Yan et al. $[34]$ | 2003 | $-0.596873738832764733(1)$ |
| This work |  | $-0.596873738832764734958(43)$ |

For a given state, $N$ is varied until a minimum in the energy is found for a given basis set size. This procedure is carried out for all basis set sizes considered, as shown in Fig. 6. The $N$ that minimizes the energy for the largest basis set used is taken as the optimum value. It is then fixed at this value for all basis set sizes as $\Omega_{1}$ is increased. In this way, it was found that $N=39,38,37$ gave the minimum energy, and good convergence, for the $1^{1} S$, $2{ }^{1} S$, and $2{ }^{3} P$ states, respectively. In addition, the condition $\gamma^{(p)} \approx N_{p} / 2$ of Ref. [20] naturally appears in this calculation upon optimization of the energy $E$ with respect to $\gamma$. This is shown explicitly in Table 4, where the values for $\gamma^{(p)}$ have been taken from Tables 8,9 , and 10 . These accompanying large values of the $\gamma$ 's (compared to the $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's), in both sets, seen also in Figs. 8,10 , and 12 , are essential to properly account for the vibrational nuclear wave function which is known from the Born-Oppenheimer approximation to be Gaussian-like.

Table 4: Average values of $\gamma^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(2)}$.

| State | N | $\mathrm{N} / 2$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {avg }}^{(1)}$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {avg }}^{(2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{1} S$ | 39 | 19.5 | 19.34 | 19.13 |
| $2^{1} S$ | 38 | 19.0 | 18.15 | 18.32 |
| $2^{3} P$ | 37 | 18.5 | 18.48 | 18.52 |

The inclusion of two sets of nonlinear parameters provides a detailed description of the physical nature of the problem: one set of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's describes the asymptotic behaviour of the wave function, while the other set describes the inner, short-range behaviour. This partitioning of the wave function is clearly shown for the $1{ }^{1} S$ state in Fig. 7. The optimized $\alpha^{(2)}$ and $\beta^{(2)}$ remain fairly constant (asymptotic part) while the $\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(1)}$ increase steadily with $\Omega$ (short-range part). This behaviour of the wave function is not as easily seen for the $2{ }^{1} S$ (Fig. 9) and $2{ }^{3} P$ (Fig. 11) states. This may simply be due to multiple minima existing on the energy surface. That these minima do exist can be demonstrated as follows: for the $2{ }^{1} S$ state, the optimized values of $\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(1)}$ for 1015 terms in the basis set gave an energy of -0.587155679212746812191 , while interchanging these values for $\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(1)}$ gave a minimum energy of -0.587155679212746812147. The difference in energy being within the estimated uncertainty clearly shows that more than one minima exists.

The stability of the energy eigenvalues with respect to changes in the nonlinear parameters was also checked. A relative change in $\alpha$ of

$$
\frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} \approx 10^{-2}
$$

introduced a relative change in the energy $E$ of

$$
\frac{\Delta E}{E} \approx 10^{-21}
$$

Knowing the value of the derivative of the energy with respect to $\alpha$ to be on the order of $10^{-19}$ allows an estimate of the expected change in the energy:

$$
(\Delta E)_{\exp } \approx \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha} \Delta \alpha \approx 10^{-21}
$$

Thus, the agreement is as it should be; and the stability, as such, verified.

Figure 6: Optimum $N$ for varying basis set size.


Figure 7: Graph of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $1^{1} S$ state.


Figure 8: Graph of $\gamma^{\prime}$ s versus $\Omega$ for the $1^{1} S$ state.


Figure 9: Graph of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2{ }^{1} S$ state.


Figure 10: Graph of $\gamma$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2^{1} S$ state.


Figure 11: Graph of $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2{ }^{3} P$ state.


Figure 12: Graph of $\gamma$ 's versus $\Omega$ for the $2{ }^{3} P$ state.


Table 5: Convergence pattern for the $1^{1} S$ state, where Ratio $=[$ Energy $(\Omega-$ 2) $-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1) / \operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega)], \Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$, and $N V$ is total number of basis functions.

| total number of easis functions. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | $N V$ | Energy | Difference $\left(\times 10^{7}\right)$ | Ratio |  |
| 42 | 33 | -0.5971389792576968072960951 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 57 | -0.5971390611911602294879822 | 0.819780857803310383 |  |  |
| 44 | 90 | -0.5971390629542501548568689 | 0.017640501341382384 | 46.47 |  |
| 45 | 134 | -0.5971390631205311382582597 | 0.001663715428539411 | 10.60 |  |
| 46 | 190 | -0.5971390631233169854471776 | 0.000027873644086935 | 59.69 |  |
| 47 | 260 | -0.5971390631234025685225079 | 0.000000856296853292 | 32.55 |  |
| 48 | 345 | -0.5971390631234049873102485 | 0.000000024201050536 | 35.38 |  |
| 49 | 447 | -0.5971390631234050720380778 | 0.000000000847739735 | 28.55 |  |
| 50 | 567 | -0.5971390631234050746749203 | 0.000000000026382785 | 32.13 |  |
| 51 | 707 | -0.5971390631234050748259655 | 0.000000000001511275 | 17.46 |  |
| 52 | 868 | -0.5971390631234050748342054 | 0.000000000000082443 | 18.33 |  |
| 53 | 1052 | -0.5971390631234050748343313 | 0.000000000000001260 | 65.43 |  |
|  | Extp | $-0.5971390631234050748343377 \pm$ | 0.000000000000000021 | 19.80 |  |

Table 6: Convergence pattern for the $2^{1} S$ state, where Ratio $=[\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-$ 2) $-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1) / \operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega)], \Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$, and $N V$ is total number of basis functions.

| $\Omega$ | $N V$ | Energy | Difference $\left(\times 10^{5}\right)$ | Ratio |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 39 | 20 | -0.5871510430162748801668839 |  |  |
| 40 | 40 | -0.5871554352305384731904071 | 0.43946063382070798317 |  |
| 41 | 70 | -0.5871556710031771293068741 | 0.02359010444464016163 | 18.63 |
| 42 | 112 | -0.5871556785402753850785603 | 0.00075412030877127698 | 31.28 |
| 43 | 168 | -0.5871556792087212367022088 | 0.00006688098986069193 | 11.28 |
| 44 | 240 | -0.5871556792125756581660583 | 0.00000038565206473551 | 173.42 |
| 45 | 330 | -0.5871556792127412798336731 | 0.00000001657118679928 | 23.27 |
| 46 | 440 | -0.5871556792127466486957534 | 0.00000000053717860539 | 30.85 |
| 47 | 572 | -0.5871556792127448077552633 | 0.00000000001591461364 | 33.75 |
| 48 | 728 | -0.5871556792127468114063966 | 0.00000000000036531218 | 43.56 |
| 49 | 910 | -0.5871556792127468121176245 | 0.00000000000007116152 | 5.13 |
| 50 | 1015 | -0.5871556792127468121913159 | 0.00000000000000737316 | 9.65 |
| 51 | 1240 | -0.5871556792127468122045414 | 0.00000000000000132327 | 5.57 |
| 52 | 1496 | -0.5871556792127468122110504 | 0.00000000000000065126 | 2.03 |
|  | Extp | $-0.5871556792127468122121039 \pm 0.00000000000000017462$ | 6.18 |  |

Table 7: Convergence pattern for the $2{ }^{3} P$ state, where Ratio $=[\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-$ 2) $-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1) / \operatorname{Energy}(\Omega-1)-\operatorname{Energy}(\Omega)], \Omega=N+\Omega_{1}$, and $N V$ is total number of basis functions.

| $\Omega$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N V$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Energy | Difference $\left(\times 10^{6}\right)$ | Ratio |
| 40 | 39 | -0.5968728217182507613088135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 82 | -0.5968737281919039387367509 | 0.9069673341510657765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 149 | -0.5968737381131774322324361 | 0.0099266767878339460 | 91.37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 244 | -0.5968737388223381083486715 | 0.0007095468970856189 | 13.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 373 | -0.5968737388320296351937405 | 0.0000096968050154738 | 73.17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 540 | -0.5968737388327502002500379 | 0.0000007209574882863 | 13.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 751 | -0.5968737388327623550974888 | 0.0000000121614671875 | 59.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 1010 | -0.5968737388327646687877436 | 0.0000000023149503299 | 5.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 1323 | -0.5968737388327647295602715 | 0.0000000000608056257 | 38.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 1694 | -0.5968737388327647347997971 | 0.0000000000052423792 | 11.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Extp | $-0.5968737388327647349589386 \pm 0.0000000000000430383$ | 32.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8: Optimized nonlinear parameters for the $1^{1} S$ state.

| $\Omega$ | $N V$ | $\alpha^{(1)}$ | $\beta^{(1)}$ | $\gamma^{(1)}$ | $\alpha^{(2)}$ | $\beta^{(2)}$ | $\gamma^{(2)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 42 | 33 | 1.29828 | 0.40735 | 18.32544 | 1.15375 | 0.41833 | 19.53986 |
| 43 | 57 | 1.22168 | 0.50000 | 19.39575 | 1.17389 | 0.43097 | 18.86371 |
| 44 | 90 | 1.25781 | 0.89563 | 18.47211 | 1.16791 | 0.48340 | 19.37537 |
| 45 | 134 | 1.31140 | 0.59418 | 20.28973 | 1.18848 | 0.38226 | 19.57910 |
| 46 | 190 | 1.17108 | 0.85852 | 20.03717 | 1.10834 | 0.50781 | 19.78876 |
| 47 | 260 | 1.25067 | 1.02069 | 19.28625 | 1.16626 | 0.48297 | 18.98700 |
| 48 | 345 | 1.55286 | 1.03436 | 19.29443 | 1.19177 | 0.37036 | 18.99933 |
| 49 | 447 | 1.54388 | 1.12152 | 19.18494 | 1.17828 | 0.36896 | 19.31342 |
| 50 | 567 | 1.56165 | 1.28979 | 18.93579 | 1.16669 | 0.39282 | 19.41327 |
| 51 | 707 | 1.62518 | 1.40912 | 19.10522 | 1.22589 | 0.44189 | 18.63483 |
| 52 | 868 | 1.70325 | 1.45929 | 19.80695 | 1.20654 | 0.42932 | 19.41852 |
| 53 | 1052 | 1.71881 | 1.47198 | 19.98499 | 1.19287 | 0.42505 | 17.58771 |

Table 9: Optimized nonlinear parameters for the $2{ }^{1} S$ state.

| $\Omega$ | $N V$ | $\alpha^{(1)}$ | $\beta^{(1)}$ | $\gamma^{(1)}$ | $\alpha^{(2)}$ | $\beta^{(2)}$ | $\gamma^{(2)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 39 | 20 | 1.44666 | 0.19421 | 16.93994 | 1.07129 | 0.30090 | 17.51099 |
| 40 | 40 | 1.21973 | 0.37360 | 16.52173 | 1.10480 | 0.38855 | 18.23834 |
| 41 | 70 | 1.52942 | 0.36084 | 16.59521 | 1.15802 | 0.35980 | 18.12958 |
| 42 | 112 | 1.46509 | 0.28693 | 16.85657 | 1.29797 | 0.34454 | 17.87927 |
| 43 | 168 | 1.16699 | 0.71899 | 17.65454 | 1.13068 | 0.59479 | 17.73370 |
| 44 | 240 | 1.30774 | 0.81104 | 17.18604 | 1.18115 | 0.60138 | 17.44489 |
| 45 | 330 | 1.53186 | 0.93195 | 16.53748 | 1.16290 | 0.58606 | 17.15076 |
| 46 | 440 | 1.73041 | 0.98584 | 16.49695 | 1.16766 | 0.56006 | 17.84485 |
| 47 | 572 | 1.64624 | 1.02795 | 18.02319 | 1.10980 | 0.56873 | 18.67334 |
| 48 | 728 | 1.57294 | 1.07166 | 20.45264 | 1.12164 | 0.57385 | 18.97449 |
| 49 | 910 | 1.55615 | 1.06024 | 20.23523 | 1.13361 | 0.58002 | 19.17627 |
| 50 | 1015 | 1.85406 | 1.16150 | 20.27728 | 1.13300 | 0.58105 | 19.16602 |
| 51 | 1240 | 1.84467 | 1.15662 | 20.25494 | 1.13519 | 0.58411 | 19.18842 |
| 52 | 1496 | 1.82593 | 1.14484 | 20.04578 | 1.14673 | 0.59021 | 19.38757 |

Table 10: Optimized nonlinear parameters for the $2^{3} P$ state.

| $\Omega$ | $N V$ | $\alpha^{(1)}$ | $\beta^{(1)}$ | $\gamma^{(1)}$ | $\alpha^{(2)}$ | $\beta^{(2)}$ | $\gamma^{(2)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 39 | 1.32092 | 0.36035 | 18.00983 | 0.79034 | 0.69275 | 18.09076 |
| 41 | 82 | 1.25488 | 0.44232 | 18.60919 | 0.70331 | 0.55835 | 18.89685 |
| 42 | 149 | 1.36938 | 0.51270 | 17.93054 | 0.81281 | 0.65179 | 17.98999 |
| 43 | 244 | 1.18353 | 0.69800 | 18.51025 | 1.00165 | 0.70929 | 18.47931 |
| 44 | 373 | 1.28961 | 0.69476 | 18.65588 | 1.05634 | 0.79230 | 18.55469 |
| 45 | 540 | 1.32507 | 0.76434 | 18.63800 | 0.90845 | 0.73566 | 18.96375 |
| 46 | 751 | 1.53662 | 0.82697 | 18.44684 | 0.88232 | 0.71698 | 18.71686 |
| 47 | 1010 | 1.52887 | 0.83118 | 18.53229 | 0.87836 | 0.71906 | 18.63306 |
| 48 | 1323 | 1.53650 | 0.83392 | 18.61951 | 0.87421 | 0.71570 | 18.54572 |
| 49 | 1694 | 1.53607 | 0.85156 | 18.82404 | 0.86407 | 0.71399 | 18.34418 |

## 7 Suggestions for Future Work

This work grew out of prior work on quantum three-body systems, and as such, would best be treated as a stepping stone for future projects. The excellent results achieved so far serve to emphasize the validity of this method for three-body systems with atomic mass ratios ranging from zero to approximately one. The first step would be (and is already underway) to determine the remaining low-lying energy levels of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$. And then it should be possible and desirable to extend these calculation to more exotic systems (e.g., muonic systems) and to the isotopomers of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, i.e., $\mathrm{D}_{2}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HD}^{+}$.

In terms of computation, the Fortran coding presently in use is quite slow due to the triple summation required in the calculation of the radial integrals. At this time the slowness of calculation is not overly restrictive, but if one wishes to perform test calculations with large basis sets this may not be the case. The speed of computation, however, could be enhanced by resorting to recursion relations that have already been developed [59, 60].

Another avenue to be explored is the failure of the present wave functions in reproducing the nuclear-nuclear cusp condition as outlined by Kato [61]. One method that would at least begin to alleviate this problem is to include a number of terms in the expansion of the wave function with powers $r_{12}^{0}$. How many terms would be required, and which ones, remains to be determined, as is the accuracy of such an approach. Perhaps a more detailed study of the nature of the wave functions used in atomic theory will be in order.

As a final direction, these calculations lay a firm foundation for the calculation of relativistic and QED corrections, which are typically accurate to less than half as many significant figures as the nonrelativistic energy. This is one of the main motivations for pushing the accuracy of these energy calculations beyond that which is necessary for comparison with experiment. To this end, 48 or more digit precision could be used wherever needed through the use of different software packages [62,32].

In addition, these relativistic and QED calculations are vitally important with respect to a metrological experiment being undertaken by Hilico and
colleagues $[27,63]$. They propose to carry out a highly accurate frequency measurement of a multiphotonic transition between the two lowest states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}(v=0, J=0 \longrightarrow v=0, J=1)$ using Doppler-free spectroscopy. The main intent of this endeavour is to improve the proton to electron mass ratio $m_{\mathrm{p}} / m_{\mathrm{e}}$ by a factor of 10 . Alternatively, such a measurement could be used to study the theoretical relativistic and QED corrections for this ion.

## 8 Conclusion

The present variational calculations are important for a number of reasons. First, they represent the current benchmark results for the three lowest-lying states of the hydrogen molecule-ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$. Secondly, the method described in this work offers one the ability to systematically treat a wide range of three-body quantum systems, with very different mass ratios, from the same standpoint. The wave functions necessary for such a high-precision treatment are relatively compact, and depend upon only a small number of parameters that are easily optimized. Thirdly, these results represent an important step toward more fundamental metrological and QED calculations concerning $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$. In the end, it is hoped that the hydrogen molecule-ion, as the simplest molecule, will become as well understood as the helium atom.

## A Derivation of Operators in Hylleraas Coordinates

## A. 1 Operator Relations

The relations needed to determine the explicit form of the operators $\nabla_{i}^{2}$ and $\nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}$ are the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}} & =\frac{2}{r_{1}},  \tag{121}\\
\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} & =\frac{3}{r_{12}}  \tag{122}\\
\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y} & =0  \tag{123}\\
\nabla_{1}^{Y} & =-\frac{l_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{2}}, \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the triangular relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{12}^{2}=r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now prove the first four of these relations. To do this we will make use of Cartesian and spherical polar coordinates, and the following rule for differentiating vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial u}=\frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial u} \mathbf{i}+\frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial u} \mathbf{j}+\frac{\partial A_{3}}{\partial u} \mathbf{k} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin with the first relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}} & =\left\{\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}} \mathbf{i}+\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}} \mathbf{j}+\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}} \mathbf{k}\right\} \cdot\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}} \mathbf{i}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}} \mathbf{j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}} \mathbf{k}\right\} \\
& =\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}}+\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}}+\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}} \\
& =\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}-\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}-\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}-\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{x_{1}}-\frac{x_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{y_{1}}-\frac{y_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)+\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{z_{1}}-\frac{z_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{3}}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{3}}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{z_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{3}} \\
& =\frac{3}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{3}}\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{3}}\left(r_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{r_{1}} . \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$

One may now use this result to determine the second relation; or it may be approached in the same way that we found the first relation. Choosing the former route, and noting that in Hylleraas coordinates $r_{1}$ and $r_{12}$ are independent variables, we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}} & =\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial r_{1} \hat{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}} \\
& =\frac{\hat{r}_{1}}{r_{12}}\left\{\hat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \frac{\partial \hat{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{r_{12}}+\frac{r_{1}}{r_{12}}\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r_{12}}+\frac{r_{1}}{r_{12}} \frac{2}{r_{12}} \\
& =\frac{3}{r_{12}} . \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

For the third relation, we must remember that we originally wrote our wave function as the product $\Psi=R Y$, and that $\nabla_{1}^{Y}$ is defined to act only on the angular part of $\Psi$, i.e.,

$$
\nabla_{1}^{Y} \Psi=R \nabla_{1}^{Y} Y
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{1}^{Y}=\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathrm{j} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial y_{1}}+\mathbf{k} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial z_{1}}
$$

Rewriting the above in spherical polar coordinates and letting it act on $\Psi$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{1}^{Y} \Psi & =\mathrm{a}_{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\mathbf{a}_{\theta_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} \Psi}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\mathbf{a}_{\phi_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} \Psi}{\partial \phi_{1}} \\
& =R \mathrm{a}_{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} Y}{\partial r_{1}}+R \mathbf{a}_{\theta_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} Y}{\partial \theta_{1}}+R \mathbf{a}_{\phi_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} Y}{\partial \phi_{1}} \\
& =\operatorname{Ra}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} Y}{\partial \theta_{1}}+R \mathbf{a}_{\phi_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} Y}{\partial \phi_{1}} \\
& =\mathbf{a}_{\theta_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} \Psi}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\mathbf{a}_{\phi_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y} \Psi}{\partial \phi_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $Y$ is not a function of $r_{1}$, and $a_{r_{1}}, a_{\theta_{1}}$, and $\mathbf{a}_{\phi_{1}}$ are unit vectors in the indicated direction. The above allows us to express the operator $\nabla_{1}^{Y}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}^{Y}=\hat{a}_{\theta_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\hat{a}_{\phi_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial \phi_{1}} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing that $\mathbf{r}_{1}=r_{1} \mathbf{a}_{r_{1}}$, and $\mathbf{a}_{r_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\theta_{1}}=\mathbf{a}_{r_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\phi_{1}}=0$ leads directly to the desired relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}=0 \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now turn our attention to the fourth relation. Using the operator expression just derived for $\nabla_{1}^{Y}$ we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2} \sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial \theta_{1}}\left(\sin \theta_{1} \frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial \theta_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial^{Y}}{\partial \phi_{1}}\right)^{2} . \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the square of the angular momentum vector in spherical polar coordinates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{1}^{2}=-\left\{\frac{1}{\sin \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}}\left(\sin \theta_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi_{1}^{2}}\right\} \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

which immediately implies the relation we set out to show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}^{Y}=-\frac{l_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{2}} \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must also note that the above relations are also relevant under the exchange $1 \rightarrow 2$.

## A. 2 Kinetic Energy Term

We may now use the relations found in Appendix A.1, and Eqs. (59) and (60), to derive the necessary expressions for the kinetic energy operator $\nabla_{1}^{2}$ found in the Hamiltonian $H$. We proceed in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{1}^{2} \Psi= & \nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{1} \Psi \\
= & \left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \Psi \\
= & \hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \Psi \\
& +\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \Psi \\
& +\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \Psi \\
= & \hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}\right\}+\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left\{\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right\}+\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left\{\nabla_{1}^{Y} \Psi\right\} \\
& +\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}\right\}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left\{\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left\{\nabla_{1}^{Y} \Psi\right\} \\
& +\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}\right\}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot\left\{\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right\}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y} \Psi \\
= & \hat{r}_{1} \cdot\left\{\left(\frac{\partial \hat{r}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1}^{2}}\right\} \\
& +\hat{r}_{1} \cdot\left\{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}}\right] \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}}\right\} \\
& +\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\left.\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}\right\}}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}} \frac{1}{r_{12}}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{12}^{2}}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \cdot\left\{\nabla_{1}^{Y} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right\}+\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \hat{r}_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}} \\
& +\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \hat{r}_{1}\right) \cdot \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\left(\nabla_{1}^{2} \cdot \hat{r}_{1}\right)^{2} \Psi \\
= & \frac{2}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1}^{2}}+\frac{3}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+2 \frac{\hat{r}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}} \\
& -\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)^{2}}{r_{12}^{3}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)^{2}}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{12}^{2}}-2 \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)^{2} \Psi \\
= & \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left(r_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}\right)+\frac{3}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+2\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}} \\
& -\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}^{3}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{12}^{2}} \\
& -2 \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)^{2} \Psi \\
= & \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left(r_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left(r_{12}^{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right)+2\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}} \\
& -\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla \nabla_{12}^{Y}\right)}{\partial \Psi}+\left(\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)^{2} \Psi . \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $\nabla_{2}^{2}$ is obtained in an analogous manner.

## A. 3 Mass Polarization Term

The only other operator of $H$ in Eq. (27) that requires special attention is the mass polarization operator. Its determination is straightforward, although tedious, and is carried out in a manner similar to that shown for the kinetic energy terms in A.2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}=\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \cdot\left\{\hat{r}_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{2}^{Y}\right\} \Psi \\
& =\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \cdot \hat{r}_{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{2}} \\
& +\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \cdot \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}} \\
& +\left\{\hat{r}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y}\right\} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \Psi \\
& =\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \hat{r}_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}}+\hat{r}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{12}} \\
& +\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{\boldsymbol{Y}}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{\mathbf{Y}}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{2}} \\
& +\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{r_{1}}\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right] \\
& +\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right) \\
& +\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \Psi \\
& =\cos \theta \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}}+\left(r_{1} \cos \theta-r_{2}\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{12}} \\
& +\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{2}} \\
& +\hat{r}_{1} \cdot\left\{\frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{12}}\right\} \\
& +\left(2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta-r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{12}^{2}}-\frac{1}{r_{12}^{3}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\right\} \\
& +\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right)+\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \Psi \\
& =\cos \theta \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}}+\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right)+\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}} \\
& -\left\{\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}} \\
& +\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{2}}-\frac{2}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{12}^{2}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \Psi \\
& =-\frac{1}{r_{12}^{2}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}\left(r_{12}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{12}^{2}}\right)+\cos \theta \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}} \\
& +\left\{\left(\mathrm{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right)+\left(\mathrm{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left\{\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}\right\} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{12}} \\
& +\left(\hat{r}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{1}}+\left(\hat{r}_{2} \cdot \nabla_{1}^{Y}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r_{2}}+\nabla_{1}^{Y} \cdot \nabla_{2}^{Y} \Psi . \tag{134}
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless noted otherwise, atomic units will be used throughout this work [14, 15].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the Lagrangean formulation, if the coordinate $q_{1}$ does not appear in $T$ or $V$, and hence in $H$, when $H$ is the total energy, then the momentum conjugate of this coordinate is a constant of the motion, that is,

    $$
    \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{1}}=0
    $$

    implies, if $L$ has no $q_{1}$ dependence,

    $$
    \frac{d}{d i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p_{i}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}}=\text { constant } \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F=\dot{p}=0
    $$

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the following, we replace the $\mathcal{H}$ of Eq. (27) with $H$ in order to stress that the Hamiltonian need not be written in dimensionless form.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Figure courtesy of Dr. G. W. F. Drake

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ We are here using the coupled representation for the total angular momentum of the system (ion). This representation is connected to the uncoupled representation of products of one-particle angular momentum through coupling, or Clebsch-Gordan, coefficients (see Eq. (71) and Ref. [42]).

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Figure courtesy of Razvan A. Nistor.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ The following is developed for the nondegenerate case, i.e., the case at hand, but may be extended to include degeneracy [48].

