University of Windsor Scholarship at UWindsor

Social Work Publications

Department of Social Work

2009

Increased racial differences on breast cancer care and survival in America: historical evidence consistent with a health insurance hypothesis, 1975-2001

Kevin M. Gorey *University of Windsor*

 $Follow\ this\ and\ additional\ works\ at:\ http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/socialworkpub$

Part of the <u>Epidemiology Commons</u>, <u>Health Services Research Commons</u>, <u>Social Work Commons</u>, and the <u>Women's Health Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Gorey, Kevin M.. (2009). Increased racial differences on breast cancer care and survival in America: historical evidence consistent with a health insurance hypothesis, 1975-2001. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment,* 113 (3), 595-600. http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/socialworkpub/33

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Social Work at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Social Work Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

PubMed Central CANADA

Author Manuscript / Manuscrit d'auteur

Breast Cancer Res Treat. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 July 23.

Published in final edited form as:

Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2009 February; 113(3): 595–600. doi:10.1007/s10549-008-9960-1.

Increased racial differences on breast cancer care and survival in America: historical evidence consistent with a health insurance hypothesis, 1975–2001

Kevin M. Gorey,

School of Social Work, University of Windsor, 401 Sunset Avenue, Windsor, ON, Canada N9B 3P4

Isaac N. Luginaah,

Department of Geography, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada

Kendra L. Schwartz,

Karmanos Cancer Institute and Department of Family Medicine, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

Karen Y. Fung,

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada

Madhan Balagurusamy,

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada

Emma Bartfay,

Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ontario Institute of Technology, Oshawa, ON, Canada

Frances C. Wright,

Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, Surgical Oncologist, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center, Toronto, ON, Canada

Uzoamaka Anucha, and

School of Social Work, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada

Renee R. Parsons

Windsor Regional Children's Center, Windsor, ON, Canada

Kevin M. Gorey: gorey@uwindsor.ca; Isaac N. Luginaah: iluginaa@uwo.ca; Kendra L. Schwartz: kensch@med.wayne.edu; Karen Y. Fung: kfung@uwindsor.ca; Madhan Balagurusamy: madhan08@gmail.com; Emma Bartfay: emma.bartfay@uoit.ca; Frances C. Wright: frances.wright@sunnybrook.ca; Uzoamaka Anucha: anucha@yorku.ca; Renee R. Parsons: reneeparsons1@cogeco.ca

Abstract

Purpose—This study examined whether race/ethnicity had differential effects on breast cancer care and survival across age strata and cohorts within stages of disease.

Methods—The Detroit Cancer Registry provided 25,997 breast cancer cases. African American and non-Hispanic white, older Medicare-eligible and younger non-eligible women were compared. Successive historical cohorts (1975–1980 and 1990–1995) were, respectively, followed until 1986 and 2001.

Results—African American disadvantages on survival and treatments increased significantly, particularly among younger women who were much more likely to be uninsured. Within node positive disease all treatment disadvantages among younger African American women disappeared with socioeconomic adjustment.

Conclusions—Growth of this racial divide implicates social, rather than biological, forces. Its elimination will require high quality health care for all.

Keywords

Survival; Health insurance; Socioeconomic factors; Race; Ethnicity; Cancer treatment

Introduction

Racial differences on breast cancer care and survival, sentinel indicators of health care performance, have been consistently observed in the United States. African American women have been found to be particularly disadvantaged relative to non-Hispanic white women [1–6]. Contributing the largest African American sample to the nation's cancer Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program [7], the Detroit metropolitan area remains an example of extreme racial segregation [8,9]. Consequently, it serves as an important place to accomplish research on race, health and health care.

Biological and sociological theories have been advanced to explain racial group cancer survival differences. Racial differences on such tumor characteristics as hormone receptors have implicated gene-based causal pathways [10,11], but their ability to account for racial group survival differences has ranged widely [12–16]. As managed care proliferated and the prevalence of uninsured Americans increased over the past 25 years [17–24], a health insurance theory has been advanced to explain social, including racial, cancer survival gradients [25–28]. Various uninsured and underinsured statuses have been found to be strongly associated with later cancer diagnoses, lack of access to treatments, and ultimately, to poorer survival [29–33]. African Americans remain significantly disadvantaged on various indices of socioeconomic status including health insurance coverage [34,35], yet when they are treated in the same health care systems as their white counterparts, their cancer survival rates are similar [1,36–41]. These social forces have accounted for much, but not all of such health outcome differences by race/ethnicity.

The relative weight of these theoretical perspectives may be examined with a historical analysis. Breast cancer care advances have been a hallmark of the past generation, but have they been equitably enjoyed by all? It has been suggested that African American women, particularly those not yet Medicare-eligible, have not [42–46]. These studies suggested a 3-way interaction (race/ethnicity effect moderated by Medicare eligibility and cohort) in the prediction of breast cancer survival. This study tested the specific hypothesis that the widened racial divide was most pronounced among younger African American women. Clinical wisdom suggests that because greater clinical and managerial discretion attends lymph node involvement, related treatment inequities were most pronounced for node positive breast cancer. This study explored a series of 3-way interactions in the prediction of breast cancer treatment: surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy and hormone therapy.

Methods

Five-year survival was calculated for 4,523 African American and 21,474 non-Hispanic white women with primary invasive breast cancer in the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System [4–6]. Successive 1975–1980 and 1990–1995 cohorts were followed until 1986 and 2001, respectively. Putting the focus on overall population health trends,

logistic regression models tested interactions and estimated associations of race/ethnicity with all-cause survival and treatments across age strata (less than 65 vs. 65 years of age and older) and cohorts within stages of disease [47]. The older age categorization is synonymous with Medicare eligibility, nearly all of whom (99%) are covered for medically necessary care. The younger age group is 15–20-times as likely to have no such health insurance coverage [48]. Therefore, younger-older strata are good proxies for being more or less prevalently underinsured.

Results

In the 1990s, non-Hispanic white women with breast cancer were much more likely than African American women to have survived 5 years; odds ratio (OR) = 1.94 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.79, 2.16). That racial divide had increased significantly since the 1970s (OR = 1.64, 95% CI 1.46, 1.84). The race by age by cohort interaction on 5-year breast cancer survival is also depicted in Table 1. As hypothesized, the increased racial disparity specifically pertained to younger women not yet Medicare-eligible; 1970s OR = 1.60 (1.39, 1.84) vs. 1990s OR = 2.06 (1.85, 2.30). Survival among older, Medicare-eligible, women was by no means equitable, but the racial divide had not increased significantly among them; 1970s OR = 1.74 (1.41, 2.16) vs. 1990s OR = 1.79 (1.58, 2.04). This pattern was apparent for non-metastasized breast cancer with larger disadvantages among younger African American women with node positive disease.

As for interaction hypothesis explorations on breast cancer care, access disadvantages increased significantly over time for radiation therapy, chemotherapy and hormone therapy among younger African American women with node positive disease (Table 2, left side); for example, chemotherapy 1970s $OR = 1.05 \ (0.87, 1.27) \ vs. 1990s OR = 1.19 \ (1.04, 1.36)$. However, all three interactions with their attendant African American disadvantages among younger women were no longer significant after socioeconomic adjustment. The racial divide that had existed for surgical treatment of node negative breast cancer appears to have been bridged somewhat among all women with breast cancer, but more so among older women.

Discussion

African American women with breast cancer have not fully benefited from contemporary treatment and survival advances. They appear to be more disadvantaged today than they were a generation ago. Such racial/ethnic disadvantage was particularly pronounced among younger women who were much more likely to be inadequately insured. These findings are consistent with well established socioeconomic-dependent associations of being uninsured with lack of access to best treatments and with consequent poorer survival [29,53–61]. In fact, notwithstanding the often great indirect costs of cancer, direct cancer care costs have far outfaced costs of living and of treating most other health conditions [62]. So even many insured cancer patients may, in fact, be inadequately insured.

Study limitations and strengths

This study could conceivably be limited by its focus on all-cause, rather than cancer-specific survival. For the following reasons we think it not. Cancer is the underlying cause of most deaths among younger women with breast cancer [26,27]. Moreover, the underlying cause of many "non-cancer" deaths can often be directly associated with non-treatment or even with some cancer treatment complications [63]. And this study's hypothesized African American disadvantage among younger women was not only observed for survival, but also for receipt of a number of treatments. This study could also be limited by the known incompletion of its chemo and hormonal therapy data: 8% and 4%, respectively [64]. Again,

we think its pattern of findings not to be potently confounded. Between-race differences on such incompletion rates were miniscule (typically less than 1%). Missing data status was not significantly associated with both hypothesized independent (race/ethnicity) and dependent variables (survival) in any of its within-cohort regression models, so it could not have confounded them. And a consistent pattern of findings was observed across, not only chemo and hormonal therapy, but radiation therapy as well.

Conclusions

Race still matters in American health care. The clinical importance of biological differences notwithstanding, they probably cannot explain the observed increased racial disparities in breast cancer treatment and survival. It seems farfetched to think that any between-race oncogenetic differences have systematically changed over this study's mere generational time-frame. Contemporary social policies affecting health care access and management clearly have systematically changed though.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part with funds from the Canadian Breast Cancer Research Alliance (Canadian Institutes of Health Research [CIHR] grant no. MOP-67161), the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (grant no. 410-2002-0173) and the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health (contract no. N01-PC 65064) as well as CIHR and Ontario Ministry of Health and Long Term Care investigator awards, and an associated CIHR partnership appointment, respectively, to its first, sixth and second investigators. We gratefully acknowledge the research and technical assistance of Sharon Munro, Mathew Chandler and Nancy Richter, respectively, of the University of Windsor's Library, Information Technology Services and School of Social Work.

References

- 1. Bach PB, Schrag D, Brawley OW, et al. Survival of blacks and whites after a cancer diagnosis. JAMA 2002;287:2106–2113. [PubMed: 11966385]
- 2. Newman LA, Mason J, Cote D, et al. African-American ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and breast cancer survival: a meta-analysis of 14 studies involving over 10,000 African-American and 40,000 white American patients with carcinoma of the breast. Cancer 2002;94:2844–2854. [PubMed: 12115371]
- 3. Parsons, RR.; Gorey, KM.; Anucha, U., et al. Institutionalized racism and classism in health care: meta-analytic evidence of their existence in America, but not in Canada. Paper presented at the 132nd annual meeting of the American Public Health Association; Washington, DC. November, 2004; 2004.
- 4. Simon MS, Banerjee M, Crossley-May H, et al. Racial differences in breast cancer survival in the Detroit metropolitan area. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;97:149–155. [PubMed: 16322888]
- 5. Schwartz KL, Crossley-May H, Vigneau FD, et al. Race, socioeconomic status and stage at diagnosis for five common malignancies. Cancer Causes Control 2003;14:761–766. [PubMed: 14674740]
- Simon MS, Severson RK. Racial differences in breast cancer survival: the interaction of socioeconomic status and tumor biology. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;176:S233

 —S239. [PubMed: 9215214]
- Ries, LAG.; Eisner, MP.; Kosary, CL., et al., editors. SEER cancer statistics review, 1975–2001.
 National Cancer Institute; Bethesda: 2004.
- 8. Schulz AJ, Williams DR, Israel BA, et al. Racial and spatial relations as fundamental determinants of health in Detroit. Milbank Q 2002;80:677–707. [PubMed: 12532644]
- 9. Jargowsky, PA. Poverty and place: ghettos, barrios, and the American city. Russell Sage Foundation; New York: 1997.
- Crowe JP Jr, Patrick RJ, Rybicki LA, et al. Race is a fundamental prognostic indicator for 2325 northeastern Ohio women with infiltrating breast cancer. Breast J 2005;11:124–128. [PubMed: 15730458]

11. Aziz H, Hussain F, Sohn C, et al. Early onset of breast carcinoma in African American women with poor prognostic factors. Am J Clin Oncol 1999;22:436–440. [PubMed: 10521053]

- 12. Merkin SS, Stevenson L, Powe N. Geographic socioeconomic status, race, and advanced-stage breast cancer in New York City. Am J Public Health 2002;92:64–70. [PubMed: 11772763]
- 13. Miller BA, Hankey BF, Thomas TL. Impact of sociodemographic factors, hormone receptor status, and tumor grade on ethnic differences in tumor stage and size for breast cancer in US women. Am J Epidemiol 2002;155:534–545. [PubMed: 11882527]
- 14. Eley JW, Hill HA, Chen VW, et al. Racial differences in survival from breast cancer. JAMA 1994;272:947–954. [PubMed: 8084062]
- 15. Krieger N, van den Eeden SK, Zava D, et al. Race/ethnicity, social class, and prevalence of breast cancer prognostic biomarkers: a study of white, black, and Asian women in the San Francisco bay area. Ethn Dis 1997;7:137–149. [PubMed: 9386954]
- 16. Gordon NH, Crowe JP, Brumberg DJ, et al. Socioeconomic factors and race in breast cancer recurrence and survival. Am J Epidemiol 1992;135:609–618. [PubMed: 1580237]
- 17. Himmelstein DU, Woolhandler S, Hellander I, et al. Quality of care in investor-owned vs not-for-profit HMOs. JAMA 1999;282:159–163. [PubMed: 10411197]
- 18. Taylor AK, Beauregard KM, Vistnes JP. Who belongs to HMOs: a comparison of fee-for-service versus HMO enrollees. Med Care Res Rev 1995;52:389–408. [PubMed: 10144870]
- 19. Hadley JP, Langwell K. Managed care in the United States: promises, evidence to date and future directions. Health Policy 1991;19:91–118. [PubMed: 10115996]
- Nelson DE, Bolen J, Wells HE, et al. State trends in uninsured among individuals aged 18 to 64 years: United States, 1992–2001. Am J Public Health 2004;94:1992–1997. [PubMed: 15514242]
- 21. Institute of Medicine. Insuring America's health: principles and recommendations. National Academies Press; Washington: 2004.
- 22. Carrasquillo O, Himmelstein DU, Wollhandler S, Bor DH. Going bare: trends in health insurance coverage, 1989 through 1996. Am J Public Health 1999;89:36–42. [PubMed: 9987462]
- 23. Zuvekas SH, Weinick RM. Changes in access to care, 1977–1996: the role of health insurance. Health Serv Res 1999;34(1 Pt 2):271–279. [PubMed: 10199674]
- Brown ER. Access to health insurance in the United States. Med Care Rev 1989;46:349–385.
 [PubMed: 10304178]
- 25. Gorey KM. Regarding "associations between socioeconomic status and breast cancer survival. Ann Epidemiol 2006;16:789–791. [PubMed: 16882472]
- 26. Gorey KM, Kliewer E, Holowaty EJ, et al. An international comparison of breast cancer survival: Winnipeg, Manitoba and Des Moines, Iowa metropolitan areas. Ann Epidemiol 2003;13:32–41. [PubMed: 12547483]
- 27. Gorey KM, Holowaty EJ, Fehringer G, et al. An international comparison of cancer survival: Toronto, Ontario and Honolulu, Hawaii metropolitan areas. Am J Public Health 2000;90:1866–1872. [PubMed: 11111258]
- 28. Gorey KM, Holowaty EJ, Fehringer G, et al. An international comparison of cancer survival: Toronto, Ontario and Detroit, Michigan metropolitan areas. Am J Public Health 1997;87:1156–1163. [PubMed: 9240106]
- 29. McDavid K, Tucker TC, Sloggett A, et al. Cancer survival in Kentucky and health insurance coverage. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:2135–2144. [PubMed: 14557210]
- 30. Bradley CJ, Given CW, Roberts C. Correlates of late stage breast cancer and death in a Medicaid-insured population. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2003;14:503–515. [PubMed: 14619552]
- 31. Bradley CJ, Given CW, Roberts C. Late stage cancers in a Medicaid-insured population. Med Care 2003;41:722–728. [PubMed: 12773838]
- 32. Bradley CJ, Given CW, Roberts C. Race, socioeconomic status, and breast cancer treatment and survival. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94:490–496. [PubMed: 11929949]
- 33. Lee-Feldstein A, Feldstein PJ, Buchmueller T, et al. Breast cancer outcomes among older women: HMO, fee-for-service, and delivery system comparisons. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:189–199. [PubMed: 11318915]

34. Gorey KM. What is wrong with the U.S. heath care system? It does not effectively exist for one of every five Americans. Milbank Q 1999;77:401–407. [PubMed: 10526551]

- 35. Ni, H.; Cohen, R. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Center for Health Statistics (Health E-Stats). Hyattsville: 2007. Trends in health insurance coverage by race/ethnicity among persons under 65 years of age: United States, 1997–2001.
- Du W, Simon MS. Racial disparities in treatment and survival of women with stage I–III breast cancer at a large academic medical center in metropolitan Detroit. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2005;91:243–248. [PubMed: 15952057]
- 37. Maskarinec G, Pagano IS, Yamashiro G, et al. Influences of ethnicity, treatment, and comorbidity on breast cancer survival in Hawaii. J Clin Epidemiol 2003;56:678–685. [PubMed: 12921937]
- 38. Newman LA, Kuerer HM, Hunt KK, et al. Response to induction chemotherapy in black and white patients with locally advanced breast cancer. Breast J 2000;6:242–246. [PubMed: 11348372]
- 39. Velanovich V, Yood MU, Bawle U, et al. Racial differences in the presentation and surgical management of breast cancer. Surgery 1999;125:375–379. [PubMed: 10216527]
- Wojcik BE, Spinks MK, Optenberg SA. Breast carcinoma survival analysis for African American and white women in an equal-access health care system. Cancer 1998;82:1310–1318. [PubMed: 9529023]
- 41. Dignam JJ, Redmond CK, Fisher B, et al. Prognosis among African-American women and white women with lymph node negative breast carcinoma: findings from two randomized clinical trials of the national surgical adjuvant breast and bowel project (NSABP). Cancer 1997;80:80–90. [PubMed: 9210712]
- 42. Escarce JJ, McGuire TG. Changes in racial differences in use of medical procedures and diagnostic tests among elderly persons: 1986–1997. Am J Public Health 2004;94:1795–1799. [PubMed: 15451752]
- 43. Chu KC, Tarone RE, Brawley OW. Breast cancer trends of black women compared with white women. Arch Fam Med 1999;8:521–528. [PubMed: 10575392]
- 44. Wagener DK, Schatzkin A. Temporal trends in the socioeconomic gradient for breast cancer mortality among US women. Am J Public Health 1994;84:1003–1006. [PubMed: 8203664]
- 45. Swanson GM, Ragheb NR, Lin CS, et al. Breast cancer among black and white women in the 1980s: changing patterns in the United States by race, age, and extent of disease. Cancer 1993;72:788–798. [PubMed: 8334632]
- 46. Swanson GM, Satariano ER, Satariano WA, et al. Racial differences in the early detection of breast cancer in metropolitan Detroit. Cancer 1990;66:1297–1301. [PubMed: 2400978]
- 47. Hosmer, D.; Lemeshow, S. Applied logistic regression. Wiley; NY: 1989.
- 48. DeNavas-Walt, C.; Proctor, BD.; Lee, CH. U.S. Census Bureau. Income, poverty, and health insurance coverage in the United States, 2005 (current population reports, P60-231). U.S. Government Printing Office; Washington: 2006.
- 49. Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, et al. Race/ethnicity, gender, and monitoring socioeconomic gradients in health: a comparison of area-based socioeconomic measures—the public health disparities geocoding project. Am J Public Health 2003;93:1655–1671. [PubMed: 14534218]
- 50. Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, et al. Geocoding and monitoring of US socioeconomic inequalities in mortality and cancer incidence: does the choice of area-based measure and geographic level matter? The public health disparities geocoding project. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156:471–482. [PubMed: 12196317]
- 51. Census of the Population and Housing. (Michigan) (1992) [summary tape file on CD-ROM]. US Bureau of the Census, Data User Services Division; Washington: 1990.
- 52. Census of the Population and Housing. (Michigan) (1982) [summary tape file on CD-ROM]. US Bureau of the Census, Data User Services Division; Washington: 1980.
- 53. Du XL, Meyer TE, Franzini L. Meta-analysis of racial disparities in survival in association with socioeconomic status among men and women with colon cancer. Cancer 2007;109:2161–2170. [PubMed: 17455219]
- 54. Robbins AS, Yin D, Parikh-Patel A. Differences in prognostic factors and survival among white men and black men with prostate cancer, California, 1995–2004. Am J Epidemiol 2007;166:71–78. [PubMed: 17426038]

55. Bickell NA, LePar F, Wang JJ, et al. Lost opportunities: physicians' reasons and disparities in breast cancer treatment. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2516–2521. [PubMed: 17577028]

- 56. Foley KL, Kimmick G, Camacho F, et al. Survival disadvantage among Medicaid-insured breast cancer patients treated with breast conserving surgery without radiation therapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2007;101:207–214. [PubMed: 16838114]
- 57. Griggs JJ, Culakova E, Sorbero ME, et al. Social and racial differences in selection of breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy regimens. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2522–2527. [PubMed: 17577029]
- 58. Richardson LC, Tian L, Voti L, et al. The roles of teaching hospitals, insurance status, and race/ethnicity in receipt of adjuvant therapy for regional-stage breast cancer in Florida. Am J Public Health 2006;96:160–166. [PubMed: 16317209]
- 59. Voti L, Richardson LC, Reiss I, et al. The effect of race/ethnicity and insurance in the administration of standard therapy for local breast cancer in Florida. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;95:89–95. [PubMed: 16244785]
- 60. Roetzheim RG, Gonzalez EC, Ferrante JM, et al. Effects of health insurance and race on breast carcinoma treatments and outcomes. Cancer 2000;89:2202–2213. [PubMed: 11147590]
- Lee-Feldstein A, Feldstein PJ, Buchmueller T, et al. The relationship of HMOs, health insurance, and delivery systems to breast cancer outcomes. Med Care 2000;38:705–718. [PubMed: 10901354]
- 62. Hewitt, M.; Simone, JV., editors. Institute of Medicine. Ensuring quality cancer care. National Academy Press; Washington: 1999.
- 63. Brown BW, Brauner C, Minnotte MC. Noncancer deaths in white adult cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:979–987. [PubMed: 8496983]
- 64. Lund MJ, Brawley OP, Ward KC, et al. Parity and disparity in first course treatment of invasive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. (in press).

PMC Canada Author

Manuscript

Effects of race moderated by age, stage and cohort^a on breast cancer 5-year survival: women diagnosed between 1975 and 1980, and 1990 and 1995 were respectively followed until January 1, 1986 and 2001

Table 1

	1970s 5-	year su	1970s 5-year survival cohort	1990s 5-y	ear sur	1990s 5-year survival cohort
Age	u	OR	95% CI	u	OR	95% CI
Race n	Race moderated by cohort ^b	l by coho	$q^{\mu\nu}$			
25+	9,967 1.64	1.64	1.46, 1.84	16,030	1.94	1.79, 2.16
<i>Race</i> п	noderatea	l by age	Race moderated by age and cohort ^c			
<9>	6,448 1.60	1.60	1.39, 1.84	8,437	2.06	1.85, 2.30
+59	3,519 1.74	1.74	1.41, 2.16	7,593	1.79	1.58, 2.04
Race n	noderatea	l by age,	Race moderated by age, stage and cohort ^d	p^{μ}		
Race 1	noderated	by age	Race moderated by age and cohort within node negative disease $^{\mathcal{C}}$	in node ne	gative d	isease ^c
<65	4,534 1.45	1.45	1.21, 1.74	5,074 1.86	1.86	1.56, 2.22
+59	2,454 1.40	1.40	1.05, 1.85	5,263	5,263 1.56	1.31, 1.86
Race r	noderated	by age	Race moderated by age and cohort within node positive disease $^{\mathcal{C}}$	in node po	sitive di	$sease^{\mathcal{C}}$
<9>	1,211 1.61	1.61	1.19, 2.19	2,696 2.02	2.02	1.69, 2.42
+59	528	1.89	1.12, 3.19	1,657	1.91	1.47, 2.47
Race r	noderated	by age	Race moderated by age and cohort within metastasized disease	in metasta	sized dis	sease
<9>	478	1.54	0.79, 3.00	467	2.03	1.11, 3.71
+59	359	1.81	0.78, 4.20	429	1.98	0.93, 4.21

logistic regression models. All effects were age-adjusted in logistic regression models that treated non-linear age (lower survival among the youngest and oldest) as a categorical variable: 25-44 (reference Notes: n = number of incident female breast cancer cases, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval. Main and interaction effects (ORs and 95% CIs) of race, age, stage and cohort were estimated from category), 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75 years of age and older agacial groups: African American and non-Hispanic white. Age groups: less than 65 years of age (not yet Medicare eligible) and 65 years of age and older (Medicare eligible). Stage of disease at diagnosis groups: node negative (localized and regional), regional node positive, and distant or metastasized disease. Cohorts: diagnosed in the 1970s and 1990s

 b Significant 2-way interaction, P<0.05

 c Significant 3-way interaction, P<0.05

 d Significant 4-way interaction, P<0.05

Manuscript

PMC Canada Author

Table 2

Effects of race moderated by age and cohort within breast cancer stage of disease at diagnosis^a on receipt of cancer-directed treatments: incidence cohorts diagnosed between 1975 and 1984, and 1990 and 1999 were followed until January 1, 1986 and 2001

	1970s s	and 198	1970s and 1980s cohort	1990s cohort	ohort		1970s a	nd 1980	1970s and 1980s cohort	1990s cohort	ohort	
Age	u	OR	95% CI	u	OR	95% CI	u	OR	95% CI	u	OR	95% CI
Node	Node negative breast cancer	breast o	ancer									
	Race m	noderate	Race moderated by age and cohort on surgery $^{\mathcal{C}}$	cohort on	ı surgery	رر	Race m	oderateo	Race moderated by age and cohort on surgery $^{\mathcal{C}}$	cohort on	surger	y.c
<65		2.04	6,991 2.04 1.23, 3.38		1.65	8,854 1.65 1.02, 2.68	4,724	1.01	4,724 1.01 0.49, 2.11 8,803 1.66 0.87, 3.20	8,803	1.66	0.87, 3.20
65 +	4,198	2.74	4,198 2.74 1.66, 4.51		1.50	8,879 1.50 0.89, 2.52	3,074	2.40	3,074 2.40 1.26, 4.60	8,828 1.22	1.22	0.65, 2.29
Node	Node positive breast cancer	breast c	ancer									
	Race m	oderate	Race moderated by age and cohort on radiation therapy $^{\mathcal{C}}$	cohort on	ı radiatio	on therapy $^{\mathcal{C}}$	Race m	oderateo	Race moderated by age and cohort on radiation therapy	cohort on	radiati	on therapy
<9>	3,069	0.84	0.68, 1.04	4,642	1.12	$0.98, 1.28^d$	2,459	0.87	0.87 0.68, 1.11 4,615	4,615	0.93	0.79, 1.11
65 +	1,461	1.04	0.74, 1.46 2,759	2,759	1.08	0.88, 1.33	1,123	1.06	1,123 1.06 0.71, 1.58 2,743 0.93	2,743	0.93	0.72, 1.21
	Race m	noderate	Race moderated by age and cohort on chemotherapy $^{\mathcal{C}}$	cohort on	chemo	${ m therapy}^{\cal C}$	Race m	oderatec	Race moderated by age and cohort on chemotherapy	cohort on	chemo	therapy
<9>	3,082	1.05	1.05 0.87, 1.27 4,644	4,644	1.19	1.19 1.04, 1.36	2,476	0.90	0.90 0.72, 1.12 4,617 0.97	4,617	0.97	0.81, 1.15
+59	1,464	1.53	1.11, 2.11	2,759	0.90	0.73, 1.12	1,124	1.36	1,124 1.36 0.94, 1.97	2,743	0.94	0.71, 1.23
	Race m	oderate	Race moderated by age and cohort on hormone therapy $^{\mathcal{C}}$	cohort on	ı hormo	ne therapy $^{\mathcal{C}}$	Race m	oderateo	Race moderated by age and cohort on hormone therapy	cohort on	hormo	ne therapy
<9>	3,083	0.90	0.71, 1.14	4,643	1.15	1.15 $0.97, 1.35d$	2,476	0.86	2,476 0.86 0.62, 1.19	4,616 1.01	1.01	0.82, 1.25
+59	1,464	0.98	0.68, 1.41	2,757	1.05	65+ 1,464 0.98 0.68, 1.41 2,757 1.05 0.85, 1.29 1,124 0.91 0.60, 1.38 2,741 0.90 0.69, 1.17	1,124	0.91	0.60, 1.38	2,741	0.90	0.69, 1.17

models (ORs and 95% CIs estimated from regression statistics). All effects were age-adjusted in logistic regression models that treated non-linear age (lower survival among the youngest and oldest) as a Notes: n = number of incident female breast cancer cases, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval. Main and interaction effects of race, age, stage and cohort were estimated from logistic regression categorical variable: 25-44 (reference category), 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75 years of age and older

^aRacial groups: African American and non-Hispanic white. Age groups: less than 65 years of age (not yet Medicare eligible) and 65 years of age and older (Medicare eligible). Cohorts: 1970–1980s and

1990s. Stage of disease at diagnosis groups: node negative (localized and regional) and regional node positive. Distant breast cancers that had already metastasized at the time of diagnosis were excluded b Adjusted for poverty: low, middle and high poverty areas based on census tract prevalence below the federal poverty criterion [49–52]

 $^{^{\}mathcal{C}}$ Statistically significant 3-way interaction, $P{<}0.05$

 $[^]d$ 90% confidence interval does not include the null (P<0.10)