

1993

$1/n$ expansions for two-electron Coulomb matrix elements

Gordon W. F. Drake
University of Windsor

R. N. Hills

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/physicspub>

 Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Drake, Gordon W. F. and Hills, R. N.. (1993). $1/n$ expansions for two-electron Coulomb matrix elements. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 26 (19), 3159-3176.
<http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/physicspub/54>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Physics at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

1/n expansions for two-electron Coulomb matrix elements

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 26 3159

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-4075/26/19/010>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.207.184.30

The article was downloaded on 08/05/2013 at 19:37

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

1/n expansions for two-electron Coulomb matrix elements

G W F Drake† and Robert Nyden Hill‡

† Department of Physics, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada N9B 3P4

‡ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DL 19716, USA

Received 8 April 1993

Abstract. The study of $1/n$ expansions for various atomic matrix elements, where n is the principal quantum number, plays an important role in the theoretical foundations of the quantum defect method. This paper will develop an expansion in powers of $1/n^2$ for hydrogenic bound-state wavefunctions which can be used to calculate $1/n$ expansions of matrix elements. The $1/n$ expansions of the two-electron direct and exchange Coulomb integrals will be evaluated as an example.

1. Introduction

The study of $1/n$ expansions for various atomic matrix elements plays an important role in the theoretical foundations of the quantum defect method and, in particular, of the Ritz expansion for the quantum defect. If n is the principal quantum number for a Rydberg state, then the quantum defect formula for the non-relativistic ionization energy is [1]

$$T_n = R_M/[n - \delta(n)]^2 \quad (1.1)$$

where R_M is the Rydberg constant for nuclear mass M and the Ritz expansion for the quantum defect $\delta(n)$ is

$$\delta(n) = \delta_0 + \frac{\delta_2}{[n - \delta(n)]^2} + \frac{\delta_4}{[n - \delta(n)]^4} + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

in which only the even powers of $n - \delta(n)$ appear. Recent advances in the accuracy of both theory [2] and experiment [3] for the Rydberg states of helium raise new questions concerning the limits of validity of the Ritz expansion. As discussed by Drake and Swainson [4], and by Drake [5], the Ritz expansion requires for its validity that certain equations of constraint be satisfied by the coefficients in the $1/n$ expansions of matrix elements. For example, let $\psi_n^{(0)}$ be the unperturbed two-particle wavefunction in a screened hydrogenic approximation to a Rydberg state of helium with principal quantum number n , and let V be an operator describing some correction to that model whose matrix elements have the $1/n$ expansion

$$\langle \psi_n^{(0)} | V | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle = n^{-3}(a_0 + a_2 n^{-2} + \dots). \quad (1.3)$$

Then the first-order correction to the energy is

$$\Delta E_n^{(1)} = \langle \psi_n^{(0)} | V | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle \quad (1.4)$$

and the second-order correction is

$$\Delta E_n^{(2)} = \langle \psi_n^{(1)} | V | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle \quad (1.5)$$

where $\psi_n^{(1)}$ satisfies a first-order perturbation equation with V as the perturbation. If the $1/n$ expansion for $\Delta E_n^{(2)}$ is written in the form

$$\Delta E_n^{(2)} = n^{-3}(b_0 + b_1 n^{-1} + b_2 n^{-2} + \dots) \quad (1.6)$$

then the validity of the Ritz expansion requires that the coefficients satisfy [4, 5]

$$b_1 = -\frac{3}{2}a_0^2 \quad (1.7)$$

$$b_3 = -5a_0a_2 \quad (1.8)$$

$$b_5 = -\frac{7}{2}(a_2^2 + 2a_0a_4) \quad (1.9)$$

$$b_7 = -9(a_0a_6 + a_2a_4) \quad (1.10)$$

etc. Hartree's theorem [6]† that the Ritz expansion is valid for any V which is short-range, local and spherically symmetric guarantees that the above equations are also satisfied for any such case. For example, it has been explicitly demonstrated for the $-\alpha_1/r^4$ dipole polarization potential [4], and for cross terms involving polarization corrections to the direct and exchange integrals of $1/r_{12}$ [5]. The exchange part represents an extension of Hartree's theorem to non-local potentials. However, it is not known at what point, if any, the constraint equations (1.7) to (1.10) will no longer be satisfied as higher-order corrections are added, leading to a failure of the Ritz expansion. Odd powers would then also be needed in equation (1.2).

In order to answer this question, the $1/n$ expansions must be known. The purpose of this paper is to develop techniques for generating $1/n$ expansions for the two-electron direct and exchange terms that appear as corrections to the screened hydrogenic energy, and to give numerical results for cases of interest. These expansions are also of considerable value for highly excited states where direct calculations are cumbersome. In the case of unscreened hydrogenic wavefunctions, Sanders and Scherr [7] give formulae for the full direct and exchange integrals. Their tables cover the states up to $n = 20$ and $\ell = 2$.

The analysis is based on a expansion in powers of $1/n^2$ for the hydrogenic radial function

$$R_{n,\ell}(Z; r) = -Z \left(\frac{2(n - \ell - 1)!}{n^3(n + \ell)!} \right)^{1/2} r^{-1/2} \xi^{\ell+1/2} \exp(-\xi/2) L_{n-\ell-1}^{(2\ell+1)}(\xi) \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$\xi = 2Zr/n. \quad (1.12)$$

The function $L_{n-\ell-1}^{(2\ell+1)}(\xi)$ which appears in (1.11) is a generalized Laguerre polynomial as defined in the Bateman project [8] and in Magnus *et al* [9]. This definition of the Laguerre polynomial is different from the one used by Bethe and Salpeter [10]; we have chosen to use this definition, which is standard in the mathematics literature, in order to facilitate the use of other relevant results from the mathematics literature. Matrix elements can be evaluated by inserting the expansion in powers of $1/n^2$ for (1.11) and integrating term by term. We illustrate this technique by using it to compute the expansions in powers of $1/n^2$ of the direct integral J and the exchange integral K as defined by Bethe and Salpeter [10]‡. A table of the expansion coefficients for J and K for helium is provided. Convergence proofs for the expansions are given.

† Some gaps in Hartree's proof are filled by Hill and Drake, to be published. See also Langer [6].

‡ A formula for the leading term in the $1/n$ expansion of K was first obtained by Hylleraas [11].

2. Summary of results

For n large, $R_{n,\ell}(Z; r)$ has the expansion

$$R_{n,\ell}(Z; r) = -n^{-3/2}2^{1/2}Zr^{-1/2} \left[\frac{(n + \ell)!}{(n - \ell - 1)!n^{2\ell+1}} \right]^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^{(\ell)}(x)n^{-2k} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$x = \sqrt{8Zr}. \tag{2.2}$$

The expansion (2.1) converges uniformly in r for r in any bounded region of the complex r plane. However, it converges rapidly enough so that a few terms will give a good description of $R_{n,\ell}(Z; r)$ if r is smaller than the turning point $r_0 = 2n^2/Z$ and not too close to r_0 . The square root in (2.1) has not been expanded in inverse powers of n because it has a branch point at $1/n = 1/\ell$ which would reduce the radius of convergence of the expansion to $1/\ell$. The coefficients $g_k^{(\ell)}(x)$ in the expansion (2.1) can be calculated recursively from equations (3.1)–(3.3) below. The first three are

$$g_0^{(\ell)}(x) = J_{2\ell+1}(x) \tag{2.3}$$

$$g_1^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{x^3}{96(2\ell + 3)} [3(\ell + 1)J_{2\ell+2}(x) + \ell J_{2\ell+4}(x)] \tag{2.4}$$

$$g_2^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{x^6}{184320(\ell + 3)(2\ell + 5)} [45(\ell + 1)(\ell + 3)J_{2\ell+3}(x) + 3(2\ell + 5)(5\ell - 1)J_{2\ell+5}(x) + \ell(5\ell - 1)J_{2\ell+7}(x)]. \tag{2.5}$$

The $J_\nu(x)$ which appear in (2.5) are Bessel functions of the first kind in standard notation†.

The factor $(n + \ell)! / [(n - \ell - 1)!n^{2\ell+1}]$ whose square root appears in (2.1) has an expansion in inverse powers of n^2 of the form

$$\frac{(n + \ell)!}{(n - \ell - 1)!n^{2\ell+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j^{(\ell)} n^{-2j}. \tag{2.6}$$

The coefficients $b_j^{(\ell)}$ in the expansion (2.6) can be calculated recursively from equations (3.4) below. The first three are

$$b_0^{(\ell)} = 1 \tag{2.7}$$

$$b_1^{(\ell)} = -\frac{1}{6}\ell(\ell + 1)(2\ell + 1) \tag{2.8}$$

$$b_2^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{360}(\ell - 1)\ell(\ell + 1)(2\ell - 1)(2\ell + 1)(5\ell + 6). \tag{2.9}$$

In our notation, the direct integral J and the exchange integral K are

$$J = \int_0^\infty dr_2 \int_{r_2}^\infty dr_1 r_1 r_2 (r_2 - r_1) [R_{1,0}(Z; r_1)]^2 [R_{n,\ell}(Z - 1; r_2)]^2 \tag{2.10}$$

$$K = \frac{2}{2\ell + 1} \int_0^\infty dr_2 \int_{r_2}^\infty dr_1 r_1^{-\ell+1} r_2^{\ell+2} R_{1,0}(Z; r_1) R_{n,\ell}(Z - 1; r_1) \times R_{1,0}(Z; r_2) R_{n,\ell}(Z - 1; r_2). \tag{2.11}$$

† [8] p 4, equation (2); [9] p 65.

The factors $R_{1,0}(Z; r_1)$ and $R_{1,0}(Z; r_2)$ which appear in (2.10) and (2.11) are given explicitly by

$$R_{1,0}(r) = 2Z^{3/2} \exp(-Zr). \quad (2.12)$$

These factors cut off the integration fast enough so that only the values of r_1 and r_2 for which (2.1) gives a good description matter. Thus $1/n^2$ expansions of these integrals can be obtained by inserting the expansions (2.1) and (2.6) and integrating term by term. The results are

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(\ell, J)}(\gamma) n^{-2k-3} \quad (2.13)$$

$$K = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(\ell, K)}(\gamma) n^{-2k-3} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\gamma = Z/8(Z-1). \quad (2.15)$$

The expansions (2.13) and (2.14) converge for $n > (Z-1)/Z$. The coefficients $c_k^{(\ell, J)}(\gamma)$ and $c_k^{(\ell, K)}(\gamma)$ in the expansions (2.13) and (2.14) can be calculated recursively from equations (3.5)–(3.15) below. Tables 1–11 list numerical values for these coefficients for helium (i.e. for $Z = 2$, which implies $\gamma = 1/4$) for $0 \leq k \leq 15$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq 10$. The coefficients in the tables were calculated by programming the formulae of section 3 in quadruple precision arithmetic. They were checked by evaluating the integrals numerically with high-order Gaussian quadrature formulae. The two methods of evaluation agree to 30 digits. To save space, we have reported the coefficients to only 20 digits. The tables were composed directly from computer-generated output.

Table 1. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 0$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(0, J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(0, K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.168 417 505 735 837 221 34	0.383 369 494 490 965 857 47
1	-0.144 700 366 146 677 814 13 $\times 10^{-1}$	0.178 916 361 865 682 700 38
2	-0.191 867 995 583 905 251 73 $\times 10^{-2}$	0.652 081 155 425 593 737 59 $\times 10^{-1}$
3	-0.309 674 941 431 674 225 25 $\times 10^{-3}$	0.214 624 936 065 813 970 40 $\times 10^{-1}$
4	-0.557 759 949 076 452 659 47 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.666 968 342 826 088 713 50 $\times 10^{-2}$
5	-0.107 594 488 431 613 456 69 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.199 664 997 686 120 160 06 $\times 10^{-2}$
6	-0.217 399 460 771 382 109 69 $\times 10^{-5}$	0.582 154 973 135 626 003 73 $\times 10^{-3}$
7	-0.454 042 507 806 025 284 98 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.166 430 368 987 215 891 95 $\times 10^{-3}$
8	-0.971 939 899 289 867 333 73 $\times 10^{-7}$	0.468 605 659 692 089 723 10 $\times 10^{-4}$
9	-0.212 045 076 893 944 366 99 $\times 10^{-7}$	0.130 347 262 852 232 455 38 $\times 10^{-4}$
10	-0.469 615 427 172 170 435 02 $\times 10^{-8}$	0.358 992 674 889 247 752 24 $\times 10^{-5}$
11	-0.105 277 636 090 295 390 66 $\times 10^{-8}$	0.980 582 529 992 159 605 81 $\times 10^{-6}$
12	-0.238 386 353 165 623 090 80 $\times 10^{-9}$	0.265 983 533 531 308 449 51 $\times 10^{-6}$
13	-0.544 339 498 336 490 186 65 $\times 10^{-10}$	0.717 190 423 428 257 026 54 $\times 10^{-7}$
14	-0.125 184 254 809 561 238 91 $\times 10^{-10}$	0.192 385 595 642 515 481 63 $\times 10^{-7}$
15	-0.289 656 573 209 913 526 72 $\times 10^{-11}$	0.513 750 596 286 745 702 62 $\times 10^{-8}$

It is noteworthy that for large ℓ , the coefficients increase dramatically in size before eventually decreasing. For low ℓ , the first few figures in the leading coefficients $c_0^{(\ell, J)}$ for the direct integrals agree with those quoted by Bethe and Salpeter [10], but there are significant differences in the leading exchange coefficients $c_0^{(\ell, K)}$.

Table 2. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 1$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(1,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(1,K)}(\gamma)$
0	$-0.104\,458\,672\,803\,523\,112\,37 \times 10^{-1}$	$0.351\,447\,762\,543\,511\,319\,86 \times 10^{-1}$
1	$0.799\,485\,411\,653\,926\,362\,20 \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.148\,680\,197\,469\,488\,426\,78 \times 10^{-1}$
2	$0.192\,535\,977\,963\,091\,703\,46 \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.120\,391\,466\,304\,857\,768\,69 \times 10^{-1}$
3	$0.413\,102\,502\,426\,759\,028\,55 \times 10^{-3}$	$-0.534\,638\,491\,173\,086\,182\,95 \times 10^{-2}$
4	$0.881\,597\,429\,655\,884\,780\,32 \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.195\,437\,884\,082\,290\,486\,97 \times 10^{-2}$
5	$0.190\,336\,428\,122\,954\,796\,02 \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.648\,105\,065\,091\,319\,162\,43 \times 10^{-3}$
6	$0.416\,618\,056\,692\,254\,268\,11 \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.202\,741\,278\,525\,997\,157\,26 \times 10^{-3}$
7	$0.923\,567\,483\,666\,052\,330\,24 \times 10^{-6}$	$-0.610\,120\,940\,825\,144\,923\,59 \times 10^{-4}$
8	$0.207\,017\,986\,028\,941\,858\,93 \times 10^{-6}$	$-0.178\,622\,056\,711\,652\,352\,34 \times 10^{-4}$
9	$0.468\,466\,015\,577\,120\,175\,70 \times 10^{-7}$	$-0.512\,314\,773\,823\,380\,636\,91 \times 10^{-5}$
10	$0.106\,879\,028\,784\,145\,627\,49 \times 10^{-7}$	$-0.144\,623\,880\,475\,477\,957\,37 \times 10^{-5}$
11	$0.245\,562\,106\,631\,438\,415\,10 \times 10^{-8}$	$-0.403\,136\,764\,663\,568\,575\,21 \times 10^{-6}$
12	$0.567\,647\,663\,688\,288\,878\,47 \times 10^{-9}$	$-0.111\,222\,844\,509\,378\,408\,06 \times 10^{-6}$
13	$0.131\,919\,435\,026\,565\,197\,19 \times 10^{-9}$	$-0.304\,247\,259\,188\,380\,209\,76 \times 10^{-7}$
14	$0.308\,014\,581\,962\,180\,329\,63 \times 10^{-10}$	$-0.826\,293\,214\,702\,190\,051\,73 \times 10^{-8}$
15	$0.722\,157\,513\,798\,368\,395\,40 \times 10^{-11}$	$-0.223\,035\,210\,223\,881\,676\,06 \times 10^{-8}$

Table 3. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 2$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(2,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(2,K)}(\gamma)$
0	$-0.176\,833\,278\,750\,378\,785\,53 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.649\,742\,985\,806\,501\,172\,99 \times 10^{-3}$
1	$0.818\,063\,040\,777\,679\,445\,71 \times 10^{-3}$	$-0.279\,888\,511\,808\,944\,305\,27 \times 10^{-2}$
2	$-0.395\,581\,025\,198\,583\,430\,11 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.555\,774\,827\,792\,776\,833\,78 \times 10^{-3}$
3	$-0.175\,440\,130\,995\,196\,591\,35 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.848\,195\,404\,794\,024\,579\,23 \times 10^{-3}$
4	$-0.520\,115\,750\,129\,924\,250\,00 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.457\,749\,676\,755\,934\,497\,18 \times 10^{-3}$
5	$-0.136\,763\,182\,886\,960\,789\,42 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.187\,707\,489\,371\,786\,154\,54 \times 10^{-3}$
6	$-0.342\,047\,846\,512\,373\,446\,52 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.673\,477\,840\,246\,702\,576\,36 \times 10^{-4}$
7	$-0.835\,246\,653\,297\,285\,933\,53 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.223\,263\,625\,182\,729\,174\,61 \times 10^{-4}$
8	$-0.201\,502\,451\,852\,165\,969\,86 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.702\,599\,838\,769\,405\,325\,02 \times 10^{-5}$
9	$-0.483\,129\,319\,779\,901\,114\,24 \times 10^{-7}$	$0.213\,143\,805\,607\,571\,642\,43 \times 10^{-5}$
10	$-0.115\,492\,904\,830\,012\,862\,08 \times 10^{-7}$	$0.629\,306\,945\,004\,466\,899\,05 \times 10^{-6}$
11	$-0.275\,766\,344\,880\,760\,624\,13 \times 10^{-8}$	$0.181\,980\,250\,063\,278\,085\,02 \times 10^{-6}$
12	$-0.658\,380\,131\,207\,231\,860\,54 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.517\,683\,349\,158\,810\,940\,57 \times 10^{-7}$
13	$-0.157\,263\,868\,897\,099\,374\,97 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.145\,330\,143\,606\,255\,155\,13 \times 10^{-7}$
14	$-0.375\,970\,683\,280\,502\,447\,63 \times 10^{-10}$	$0.403\,569\,765\,944\,043\,913\,77 \times 10^{-8}$
15	$-0.899\,787\,974\,189\,558\,575\,72 \times 10^{-11}$	$0.111\,052\,805\,469\,568\,467\,37 \times 10^{-8}$

3. Formulae for computation

The functions $g_k^{(\ell)}(x)$ in the expansion (2.1) have the form

$$g_k^{(\ell)}(x) = x^{3k} \sum_{m=0}^k a_{k,m}^{(\ell)} J_{2\ell+2m+k+1}(x). \tag{3.1}$$

The coefficients $a_{k,m}^{(\ell)}$ are calculated recursively from

$$a_{k,m}^{(\ell)} = \frac{(2\ell + 2m + k + 1)}{32(2k + m)(2\ell + m + 2k + 1)(2\ell + 2m + k - 1)} \times [(2\ell + 2m + k - 1)a_{k-1,m}^{(\ell)} + 32(k - m + 1)(2\ell + m - k)a_{k,m-1}^{(\ell)}] \tag{3.2}$$

Table 4. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 3$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(3,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(3,K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.133 287 966 771 994 224 76 $\times 10^{-5}$	0.506 856 469 489 406 159 35 $\times 10^{-5}$
1	0.179 838 445 551 812 539 94 $\times 10^{-4}$	-0.668 459 726 736 377 484 45 $\times 10^{-4}$
2	-0.560 773 881 484 851 639 73 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.192 878 772 853 346 365 20 $\times 10^{-3}$
3	0.180 814 635 585 505 694 89 $\times 10^{-4}$	-0.960 271 821 074 573 597 75 $\times 10^{-5}$
4	0.137 256 275 647 248 805 33 $\times 10^{-4}$	-0.565 188 253 347 535 188 42 $\times 10^{-4}$
5	0.530 286 085 813 680 211 42 $\times 10^{-5}$	-0.373 775 424 381 208 173 75 $\times 10^{-4}$
6	0.166 699 692 951 263 863 15 $\times 10^{-5}$	-0.172 920 507 032 176 396 77 $\times 10^{-4}$
7	0.475 640 912 379 007 981 05 $\times 10^{-6}$	-0.676 132 851 522 425 496 07 $\times 10^{-5}$
8	0.128 624 647 523 675 243 09 $\times 10^{-6}$	-0.239 406 379 342 029 348 20 $\times 10^{-5}$
9	0.336 695 347 002 933 217 32 $\times 10^{-7}$	-0.794 044 849 438 815 154 34 $\times 10^{-6}$
10	0.863 098 774 146 038 164 48 $\times 10^{-8}$	-0.251 483 973 759 247 675 88 $\times 10^{-6}$
11	0.218 170 151 020 257 018 59 $\times 10^{-8}$	-0.769 726 089 903 349 177 32 $\times 10^{-7}$
12	0.546 174 339 408 439 881 31 $\times 10^{-9}$	-0.229 498 493 969 371 818 17 $\times 10^{-7}$
13	0.135 803 234 485 766 312 63 $\times 10^{-9}$	-0.670 268 157 372 562 924 19 $\times 10^{-8}$
14	0.336 027 982 008 724 744 22 $\times 10^{-10}$	-0.192 522 518 632 036 886 57 $\times 10^{-8}$
15	0.828 544 831 132 722 113 71 $\times 10^{-11}$	-0.545 472 261 010 758 653 74 $\times 10^{-9}$

Table 5. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 4$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(4,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(4,K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.560 809 576 990 186 193 69 $\times 10^{-8}$	0.216 913 339 052 777 239 33 $\times 10^{-7}$
1	0.164 663 005 450 487 412 58 $\times 10^{-6}$	-0.630 502 155 133 208 557 77 $\times 10^{-6}$
2	-0.142 510 016 087 591 569 32 $\times 10^{-5}$	0.532 617 796 327 846 898 31 $\times 10^{-5}$
3	0.366 540 509 633 952 586 04 $\times 10^{-5}$	-0.126 040 907 437 884 382 01 $\times 10^{-4}$
4	-0.685 292 774 897 320 679 06 $\times 10^{-6}$	-0.109 521 004 881 802 254 93 $\times 10^{-5}$
5	-0.978 222 750 505 411 884 74 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.353 507 026 439 140 045 75 $\times 10^{-5}$
6	-0.478 875 284 112 960 497 80 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.290 537 483 886 304 075 93 $\times 10^{-5}$
7	-0.176 614 327 628 651 323 10 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.151 884 372 112 395 636 42 $\times 10^{-5}$
8	-0.568 566 144 570 930 405 75 $\times 10^{-7}$	0.648 555 784 545 926 421 67 $\times 10^{-6}$
9	-0.169 215 020 263 560 998 00 $\times 10^{-7}$	0.246 010 120 891 235 642 01 $\times 10^{-6}$
10	-0.479 075 788 444 979 842 74 $\times 10^{-8}$	0.862 998 827 990 886 071 27 $\times 10^{-7}$
11	-0.131 127 545 221 695 854 11 $\times 10^{-8}$	0.286 425 981 955 249 636 12 $\times 10^{-7}$
12	-0.350 443 470 037 944 793 31 $\times 10^{-9}$	0.912 295 882 885 366 490 91 $\times 10^{-8}$
13	-0.920 410 177 716 464 647 70 $\times 10^{-10}$	0.281 512 755 660 896 682 23 $\times 10^{-8}$
14	-0.238 611 216 093 072 743 55 $\times 10^{-10}$	0.847 187 862 873 280 459 63 $\times 10^{-9}$
15	-0.612 473 017 942 861 279 78 $\times 10^{-11}$	0.249 844 864 324 619 188 00 $\times 10^{-9}$

starting with the initial condition

$$a_{0,0}^{(\ell)} = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Numerical values of the Bessel functions $J_\nu(x)$ which appear in (2.5) can be conveniently calculated via backwards recursion using the Miller algorithm [12]. A FORTRAN program for calculating the $J_\nu(x)$ can be obtained via e-mail from netlib†.

The coefficients $b_j^{(\ell)}$ in the expansion (2.6) are calculated recursively from

$$b_j^{(\ell)} = b_j^{(\ell-1)} - \ell^2 b_{j-1}^{(\ell-1)} \tag{3.4}$$

† For information and instructions, send the message 'send index' via e-mail to netlib@ornl.gov. The program for calculating Bessel functions $J_\nu(x)$ is rjbesl from the specfun collection.

Table 6. Expansion coefficients for Z = 2 and l = 5.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(5,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(5,K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.149 806 722 130 520 680 69 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.585 050 054 632 936 659 62 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
1	0.812 439 639 609 019 198 33 × 10 ⁻⁹	-0.315 602 651 868 187 562 43 × 10 ⁻⁸
2	-0.146 983 854 799 573 029 69 × 10 ⁻⁷	0.564 934 442 011 937 649 10 × 10 ⁻⁷
3	0.103 066 450 088 256 841 58 × 10 ⁻⁶	-0.386 227 920 701 072 246 36 × 10 ⁻⁶
4	-0.233 357 099 903 934 920 46 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.799 837 638 059 471 651 12 × 10 ⁻⁶
5	0.133 995 967 164 953 096 08 × 10 ⁻⁷	0.179 942 703 900 353 536 99 × 10 ⁻⁶
6	0.649 431 679 329 725 666 31 × 10 ⁻⁷	-0.205 750 532 940 341 477 41 × 10 ⁻⁶
7	0.398 413 920 629 224 034 90 × 10 ⁻⁷	-0.216 016 785 415 572 821 90 × 10 ⁻⁶
8	0.170 237 887 431 122 558 48 × 10 ⁻⁷	-0.127 735 223 590 343 609 02 × 10 ⁻⁶
9	0.613 030 242 314 642 231 13 × 10 ⁻⁸	-0.595 675 022 215 627 229 65 × 10 ⁻⁷
10	0.199 696 617 131 176 626 11 × 10 ⁻⁸	-0.242 220 280 555 971 900 28 × 10 ⁻⁷
11	0.609 462 219 255 051 096 54 × 10 ⁻⁹	-0.899 858 247 784 059 178 68 × 10 ⁻⁸
12	0.177 787 663 260 348 788 35 × 10 ⁻⁹	-0.313 512 098 046 229 942 00 × 10 ⁻⁸
13	0.501 938 831 493 439 066 67 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	-0.104 118 624 703 917 262 36 × 10 ⁻⁸
14	0.138 285 723 052 117 604 01 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	-0.333 215 075 535 160 353 89 × 10 ⁻⁹
15	0.373 902 997 695 568 790 11 × 10 ⁻¹¹	-0.103 552 691 769 312 398 92 × 10 ⁻⁹

Table 7. Expansion coefficients for Z = 2 and l = 6.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(6,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(6,K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.275 961 664 087 817 118 01 × 10 ⁻¹³	0.108 422 820 663 442 555 98 × 10 ⁻¹²
1	0.248 653 689 133 348 928 57 × 10 ⁻¹¹	-0.973 869 230 995 670 873 81 × 10 ⁻¹¹
2	-0.806 357 135 607 920 789 47 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.314 053 777 708 606 778 96 × 10 ⁻⁹
3	0.115 428 313 583 567 041 95 × 10 ⁻⁸	-0.444 615 130 558 906 103 61 × 10 ⁻⁸
4	-0.711 730 465 479 903 031 27 × 10 ⁻⁸	0.267 035 777 337 596 463 25 × 10 ⁻⁷
5	0.145 927 467 881 352 063 02 × 10 ⁻⁷	-0.497 568 819 274 034 442 59 × 10 ⁻⁷
6	0.107 367 182 221 858 833 74 × 10 ⁻⁸	-0.184 157 128 875 205 164 15 × 10 ⁻⁷
7	-0.404 365 518 451 742 581 19 × 10 ⁻⁸	0.108 860 283 091 273 053 49 × 10 ⁻⁷
8	-0.311 814 445 243 917 710 22 × 10 ⁻⁸	0.154 260 438 243 244 683 62 × 10 ⁻⁷
9	-0.153 093 262 902 669 375 91 × 10 ⁻⁸	0.103 398 230 001 249 837 49 × 10 ⁻⁷
10	-0.612 514 751 430 372 284 25 × 10 ⁻⁹	0.526 283 152 770 166 169 18 × 10 ⁻⁸
11	-0.217 350 812 460 702 335 58 × 10 ⁻⁹	0.229 327 287 968 555 303 74 × 10 ⁻⁸
12	-0.712 776 885 126 819 296 84 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.902 367 174 019 795 628 59 × 10 ⁻⁹
13	-0.221 146 266 351 666 871 76 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.330 205 713 561 593 239 45 × 10 ⁻⁹
14	-0.658 753 523 333 933 439 43 × 10 ⁻¹¹	0.114 442 928 216 012 853 40 × 10 ⁻⁹
15	-0.190 258 740 845 922 208 02 × 10 ⁻¹¹	0.380 270 661 817 009 994 52 × 10 ⁻¹⁰

starting with the initial condition (2.7).

The coefficients $c_j^{(\ell,X)}(\gamma)$, where X = J or X = K, in the expansions (2.13) and (2.14) are calculated recursively from

$$c_j^{(\ell,J)}(\gamma) = -\frac{Z}{16} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(j,\ell)} b_k^{(\ell)} a_{j-k}^{(\ell,J)}(\gamma) \tag{3.5}$$

$$c_j^{(\ell,K)}(\gamma) = \frac{Z\gamma^2}{(2\ell + 1)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\min(j,\ell)} b_k^{(\ell)} a_{j-k}^{(\ell,K)}(\gamma). \tag{3.6}$$

The coefficients $d_j^{(\ell,X)}(\gamma)$ which appear in (3.5) and (3.6) are calculated from

$$d_j^{(\ell,X)}(\gamma) = \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{m'=0}^{j-k} a_{k,m}^{(\ell)} a_{j-k,m'}^{(\ell)} e_{k,m;j-k,m'}^{(\ell,X)}(\gamma) \quad X = J \quad \text{or} \quad X = K. \tag{3.7}$$

Table 8. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 7$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(7,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(7,K)}(\gamma)$
0	$-0.371\ 299\ 624\ 380\ 001\ 919\ 64 \times 10^{-16}$	$0.146\ 464\ 609\ 148\ 635\ 017\ 27 \times 10^{-15}$
1	$0.516\ 021\ 219\ 696\ 588\ 800\ 99 \times 10^{-14}$	$-0.203\ 143\ 269\ 295\ 386\ 571\ 43 \times 10^{-13}$
2	$-0.271\ 769\ 264\ 186\ 036\ 174\ 69 \times 10^{-12}$	$0.106\ 636\ 191\ 295\ 285\ 646\ 39 \times 10^{-11}$
3	$0.683\ 463\ 252\ 364\ 958\ 537\ 51 \times 10^{-11}$	$-0.266\ 624\ 256\ 563\ 966\ 910\ 27 \times 10^{-10}$
4	$-0.848\ 064\ 223\ 227\ 923\ 349\ 84 \times 10^{-10}$	$0.327\ 083\ 600\ 394\ 046\ 362\ 89 \times 10^{-9}$
5	$0.478\ 088\ 119\ 325\ 425\ 547\ 59 \times 10^{-9}$	$-0.179\ 447\ 002\ 219\ 541\ 290\ 66 \times 10^{-8}$
6	$-0.900\ 045\ 791\ 679\ 618\ 012\ 33 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.304\ 812\ 220\ 737\ 797\ 714\ 77 \times 10^{-8}$
7	$-0.189\ 098\ 786\ 550\ 230\ 129\ 32 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.160\ 374\ 664\ 956\ 050\ 429\ 69 \times 10^{-8}$
8	$0.235\ 089\ 036\ 749\ 917\ 959\ 20 \times 10^{-9}$	$-0.490\ 899\ 915\ 784\ 587\ 359\ 45 \times 10^{-9}$
9	$0.232\ 341\ 034\ 625\ 933\ 183\ 72 \times 10^{-9}$	$-0.106\ 045\ 280\ 235\ 818\ 823\ 72 \times 10^{-8}$
10	$0.130\ 452\ 143\ 183\ 207\ 809\ 01 \times 10^{-9}$	$-0.809\ 253\ 288\ 237\ 291\ 897\ 79 \times 10^{-9}$
11	$0.576\ 849\ 844\ 625\ 788\ 775\ 87 \times 10^{-10}$	$-0.449\ 331\ 529\ 480\ 891\ 403\ 99 \times 10^{-9}$
12	$0.222\ 064\ 202\ 635\ 872\ 919\ 97 \times 10^{-10}$	$-0.209\ 650\ 327\ 340\ 327\ 747\ 22 \times 10^{-9}$
13	$0.780\ 169\ 334\ 711\ 218\ 052\ 51 \times 10^{-11}$	$-0.873\ 352\ 606\ 120\ 513\ 349\ 67 \times 10^{-10}$
14	$0.256\ 907\ 908\ 795\ 556\ 015\ 06 \times 10^{-11}$	$-0.335\ 641\ 076\ 612\ 750\ 551\ 94 \times 10^{-10}$
15	$0.806\ 315\ 917\ 902\ 068\ 358\ 25 \times 10^{-12}$	$-0.121\ 424\ 407\ 537\ 134\ 408\ 21 \times 10^{-10}$

Table 9. Expansion coefficients for $Z = 2$ and $\ell = 8$.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(8,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(8,K)}(\gamma)$
0	$-0.380\ 613\ 649\ 172\ 223\ 045\ 62 \times 10^{-19}$	$0.150\ 558\ 764\ 118\ 862\ 655\ 44 \times 10^{-18}$
1	$0.772\ 075\ 282\ 440\ 117\ 232\ 25 \times 10^{-17}$	$-0.304\ 992\ 879\ 133\ 343\ 076\ 36 \times 10^{-16}$
2	$-0.616\ 144\ 867\ 328\ 070\ 569\ 19 \times 10^{-15}$	$0.242\ 885\ 198\ 001\ 490\ 681\ 22 \times 10^{-14}$
3	$0.247\ 574\ 557\ 190\ 522\ 851\ 13 \times 10^{-13}$	$-0.972\ 618\ 573\ 137\ 417\ 271\ 97 \times 10^{-13}$
4	$-0.532\ 183\ 273\ 670\ 289\ 039\ 21 \times 10^{-12}$	$0.207\ 828\ 200\ 223\ 580\ 061\ 87 \times 10^{-11}$
5	$0.598\ 639\ 965\ 492\ 777\ 436\ 76 \times 10^{-11}$	$-0.231\ 060\ 570\ 166\ 703\ 352\ 45 \times 10^{-10}$
6	$-0.315\ 301\ 114\ 393\ 905\ 369\ 36 \times 10^{-10}$	$0.118\ 335\ 305\ 871\ 907\ 889\ 23 \times 10^{-9}$
7	$0.548\ 753\ 468\ 362\ 103\ 440\ 69 \times 10^{-10}$	$-0.184\ 312\ 277\ 354\ 546\ 072\ 69 \times 10^{-9}$
8	$0.194\ 928\ 967\ 593\ 676\ 045\ 66 \times 10^{-10}$	$-0.128\ 406\ 970\ 623\ 935\ 524\ 76 \times 10^{-9}$
9	$-0.125\ 154\ 176\ 255\ 661\ 921\ 40 \times 10^{-10}$	$0.146\ 541\ 588\ 639\ 116\ 639\ 44 \times 10^{-10}$
10	$-0.165\ 984\ 200\ 828\ 350\ 094\ 28 \times 10^{-10}$	$0.701\ 840\ 818\ 809\ 894\ 404\ 43 \times 10^{-10}$
11	$-0.106\ 405\ 476\ 662\ 366\ 973\ 11 \times 10^{-10}$	$0.614\ 553\ 386\ 331\ 749\ 101\ 19 \times 10^{-10}$
12	$-0.517\ 965\ 149\ 624\ 175\ 848\ 79 \times 10^{-11}$	$0.372\ 200\ 548\ 130\ 664\ 042\ 23 \times 10^{-10}$
13	$-0.215\ 561\ 348\ 118\ 732\ 893\ 18 \times 10^{-11}$	$0.185\ 787\ 349\ 605\ 183\ 058\ 54 \times 10^{-10}$
14	$-0.809\ 128\ 880\ 074\ 049\ 156\ 92 \times 10^{-12}$	$0.818\ 764\ 551\ 269\ 655\ 229\ 57 \times 10^{-11}$
15	$-0.282\ 220\ 015\ 404\ 740\ 348\ 88 \times 10^{-12}$	$0.330\ 321\ 029\ 939\ 352\ 129\ 31 \times 10^{-11}$

The $e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,X)}(\gamma)$ in the case $X = J$ are calculated from

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,J)}(\gamma) = & \sum_{i=0}^{i_{\max}^{(J)}} \sum_{j=i}^{j_{\max}^{(J)}} \left[\binom{2\ell + 2k + m + 2k' + m' + 2}{i_{\max}^{(J)} - i} \binom{i + j_{\max}^{(J)}}{i + j} \right. \\
 & \times \binom{i_{\max}^{(J)}}{i} (i_{\max}^{(J)} - i)! + 2 \binom{2\ell + 2k + m + 2k' + m' + 1}{i_{\max}^{(J)} - i - 1} \\
 & \times \binom{i + j_{\max}^{(J)} - 1}{i + j} \binom{i_{\max}^{(J)} - 1}{i} (i_{\max}^{(J)} - i - 1)! \left. \right] (-1)^j 8^{-k-m+m'-i-1} \\
 & \times \gamma^{-2k-m-k'+m'-i-2} \exp[-1/(4\gamma)] I_{2\ell+k'+2m'+i+j+1}[1/(4\gamma)]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k + 2m \geq k' + 2m'$ (3.8)

Table 10. Expansion coefficients for Z = 2 and ℓ = 9.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(9,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(9,K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.307 003 800 613 138 787 93 × 10 ⁻²²	0.121 687 789 512 947 939 30 × 10 ⁻²¹
1	0.871 042 156 488 542 352 31 × 10 ⁻²⁰	-0.344 923 902 867 215 788 41 × 10 ⁻¹⁹
2	-0.100 031 522 308 770 646 85 × 10 ⁻¹⁷	0.395 553 155 264 504 234 42 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
3	0.600 985 431 580 787 375 56 × 10 ⁻¹⁶	-0.237 131 269 775 013 423 10 × 10 ⁻¹⁵
4	-0.203 918 106 540 774 714 25 × 10 ⁻¹⁴	0.801 783 614 921 318 549 54 × 10 ⁻¹⁴
5	0.393 408 791 445 330 037 26 × 10 ⁻¹³	-0.153 741 223 619 724 899 78 × 10 ⁻¹²
6	-0.411 581 344 314 887 931 24 × 10 ⁻¹²	0.158 929 258 641 213 006 95 × 10 ⁻¹¹
7	0.205 233 774 892 898 592 91 × 10 ⁻¹¹	-0.769 945 508 234 304 478 43 × 10 ⁻¹¹
8	-0.331 137 482 121 253 926 26 × 10 ⁻¹¹	0.110 132 439 534 548 139 08 × 10 ⁻¹⁰
9	-0.169 503 378 884 965 763 83 × 10 ⁻¹¹	0.975 634 250 941 950 418 64 × 10 ⁻¹¹
10	0.578 012 089 887 358 047 01 × 10 ⁻¹²	0.346 517 319 972 851 606 63 × 10 ⁻¹²
11	0.114 104 871 080 041 078 20 × 10 ⁻¹¹	-0.446 021 185 260 124 238 40 × 10 ⁻¹¹
12	0.836 604 183 221 378 016 62 × 10 ⁻¹²	-0.454 006 115 712 750 972 82 × 10 ⁻¹¹
13	0.447 051 342 545 857 576 77 × 10 ⁻¹²	-0.300 108 454 977 623 226 17 × 10 ⁻¹¹
14	0.200 545 501 994 959 738 87 × 10 ⁻¹²	-0.160 135 700 473 911 378 95 × 10 ⁻¹¹
15	0.802 301 136 365 832 708 80 × 10 ⁻¹³	-0.745 966 132 650 740 854 14 × 10 ⁻¹²

Table 11. Expansion coefficients for Z = 2 and ℓ = 10.

k	Direct coefficient $c_k^{(10,J)}(\gamma)$	Exchange coefficient $c_k^{(10,K)}(\gamma)$
0	-0.199 876 717 992 257 788 27 × 10 ⁻²⁵	0.793 461 929 130 680 744 70 × 10 ⁻²⁵
1	0.766 721 657 025 002 523 03 × 10 ⁻²³	-0.304 154 299 334 664 862 27 × 10 ⁻²²
2	-0.121 739 052 870 150 653 79 × 10 ⁻²⁰	0.482 448 172 094 136 383 88 × 10 ⁻²⁰
3	0.104 099 948 913 616 571 52 × 10 ⁻¹⁸	-0.411 940 832 522 901 409 75 × 10 ⁻¹⁸
4	-0.522 723 176 556 511 581 29 × 10 ⁻¹⁷	0.206 389 228 345 634 854 94 × 10 ⁻¹⁶
5	0.157 715 502 985 684 282 42 × 10 ⁻¹⁵	-0.620 484 548 605 287 091 59 × 10 ⁻¹⁵
6	-0.280 937 657 227 901 421 10 × 10 ⁻¹⁴	0.109 839 317 836 577 591 87 × 10 ⁻¹³
7	0.277 782 463 995 328 033 94 × 10 ⁻¹³	-0.107 287 404 486 361 494 07 × 10 ⁻¹²
8	-0.132 277 173 074 843 988 96 × 10 ⁻¹²	0.495 933 266 974 520 059 33 × 10 ⁻¹²
9	0.197 885 647 311 680 531 09 × 10 ⁻¹²	-0.650 539 710 239 083 651 04 × 10 ⁻¹²
10	0.135 227 337 827 372 846 47 × 10 ⁻¹²	-0.714 913 977 277 715 451 68 × 10 ⁻¹²
11	-0.191 098 995 999 600 001 07 × 10 ⁻¹³	-0.116 595 743 586 253 361 25 × 10 ⁻¹²
12	-0.755 498 630 181 169 595 13 × 10 ⁻¹³	0.270 365 688 965 974 596 10 × 10 ⁻¹²
13	-0.637 127 535 500 832 835 36 × 10 ⁻¹³	0.326 840 596 592 785 649 58 × 10 ⁻¹²
14	-0.373 093 815 343 332 387 70 × 10 ⁻¹³	0.236 171 309 058 127 610 40 × 10 ⁻¹²
15	-0.179 977 741 312 436 964 42 × 10 ⁻¹³	0.134 636 077 884 673 672 25 × 10 ⁻¹²

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,J)}(\gamma) = & \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}^{(J)}} \sum_{i=-j}^{i_{\max}^{(J)}} \left[\binom{2\ell + 2k + m + 2k' + m' + 2}{j_{\max}^{(J)} - j} \binom{i_{\max}^{(J)} + j}{i + j} \right. \\
 & \times \binom{j_{\max}^{(J)}}{j} (j_{\max}^{(J)} - j)! + 2 \binom{2\ell + 2k + m + 2k' + m' + 1}{j_{\max}^{(J)} - j - 1} \\
 & \times \binom{i_{\max}^{(J)} + j - 1}{i + j} \binom{j_{\max}^{(J)} - 1}{j} (j_{\max}^{(J)} - j - 1)! \left. \right] (-1)^i 8^{m-k'-m'-j-1} \\
 & \times \gamma^{-k+m-2k'-m'-j-2} \exp[-1/(4\gamma)] I_{2\ell+k+2m+i+j+1}[1/(4\gamma)]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k + 2m \leq k' + 2m'$ (3.9)

where

$$i_{\max}^{(J)} = k - m + 2k' + m' + 1 \tag{3.10}$$

$$j_{\max}^{(J)} = k' - m' + 2k + m + 1. \tag{3.11}$$

The $I_{\nu+i+j}[1/(4\gamma)]$ which appear in (3.8) and (3.9) are modified Bessel functions of the first kind† which can be calculated efficiently via backwards recursion‡. The $e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,X)}(\gamma)$ in the case $X = K$ are calculated from

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,K)}(\gamma) &= \sum_{i=0}^{i_{\max}^{(K)}} \sum_{j=-i}^{j_{\max}^{(K)}} \binom{2\ell + 2k + m + 2k' + m' + 4}{i_{\max}^{(K)} - i} \binom{i + j_{\max}^{(K)}}{i + j} \binom{i_{\max}^{(K)}}{i} \\ &\times (i_{\max}^{(K)} - i)! (-1)^j 2^{-k-2m+k'+2m'-2i-1} \gamma^{-2k-m-k'+m'-i-4} \\ &\times f(2\ell + 2k' + m' + i + j + 2, 2k + m + i + 1, 2\ell + k' + 2m' + i + j + 1; \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

for $k + 2m \geq k' + 2m'$ (3.12)

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,K)}(\gamma) &= \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}^{(K)}} \sum_{i=-j}^{i_{\max}^{(K)}} \binom{2\ell + 2k + m + 2k' + m' + 4}{j_{\max}^{(K)} - j} \binom{i_{\max}^{(K)} + j}{i + j} \binom{j_{\max}^{(K)}}{j} \\ &\times (j_{\max}^{(K)} - j)! (-1)^i 2^{k+2m-k'-2m'-2j-1} \gamma^{-k+m-2k'-m'-j-4} \\ &\times f(2\ell + 2k' + m' + j + 2, 2k + m + i + j + 1, 2\ell + k + 2m + i + j + 1; \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

for $k + 2m \leq k' + 2m'$ (3.13)

where

$$i_{\max}^{(K)} = k - m + 2k' + m' + 3 \tag{3.14}$$

$$j_{\max}^{(K)} = k' - m' + 2k + m + 3. \tag{3.15}$$

The $f(p, q, \nu; \gamma)$ which appear in (3.12) and (3.13) are evaluated from the power series expansion

$$f(p, q, \nu; \gamma) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\gamma}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(p+j, q+j)}{j! \Gamma(\nu+j+1)} \left(\frac{1}{4\gamma}\right)^{\nu+2j}. \tag{3.16}$$

Some computer time can be saved by evaluating some of the $f(p, q, \nu; \gamma)$ from

$$f(p, q, \nu; \gamma) = f(p+1, q, \nu; \gamma) + f(p, q+1, \nu; \gamma) \tag{3.17}$$

Because the $f(p, q, \nu; \gamma)$ are all positive, (3.17) can be safely used in the backward direction to evaluate $f(p, q, \nu; \gamma)$ from $f(p+1, q, \nu; \gamma)$ and $f(p, q+1, \nu; \gamma)$. However, loss of accuracy may result if (3.17) is used in the forward direction to solve for one of the terms on the right-hand side. The $g(p, q)$ which appear in (3.16) are evaluated from

$$g(p, q) = \frac{h(p, q)}{2^{p+q+2}(p+q+1) \binom{p+q}{p}} \tag{3.18}$$

† [8] p 5, equation (12); [9] p 66.

‡ The relevant program from the specfun collection at netlib is ribesl (testdriver ritest).

after the $h(p, q)$, which are integers, have been evaluated from the recursion relation

$$h(p, q + 1) = 2h(p, q) + \binom{p + q + 1}{p} \tag{3.19}$$

which is started with the initial condition

$$h(p, 0) = 1. \tag{3.20}$$

Calculating the $g(p, q)$ via a recursion in integers such as (3.19)–(3.20) reduces round-off error.

The leading $c_0^{(\ell, K)}$ term in equation (2.14) can be given a simpler form than the doubly infinite summations given by Bethe and Salpeter [10]. Defining $s = j + \ell + 1$ and $u = 2(Z - 1)/Z$, the result is

$$c_0^{(\ell, K)} = \frac{8Zu^{2\ell+3}e^{-u}}{(2\ell + 1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2j}}{j!(2\ell + j + 1)!} [\Phi_1(j, \ell) - u\Phi_2(j, \ell)] \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$\Phi_1(j, \ell) = 2s[2(s + 1)(2s + 1)g(\ell + s + 1, j + 1) + 3j(\ell + s)g(\ell + s, j)] \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\Phi_2(j, \ell) = 6(s + 1)(2s + 1)g(\ell + s + 1, j + 1) + j(\ell + s)g(\ell + s, j). \tag{3.23}$$

The series is rapidly convergent.

4. Derivations

The expansion (2.1) is obtained by writing

$$\xi^{\ell+1/2} \exp(-\xi/2) L_{n-\ell-1}^{(2\ell+1)}(\xi) = \frac{(n + \ell)!}{(n - \ell - 1)! n^{\ell+1/2}} f_n^{(\ell)}(x) \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$x = 2\sqrt{n\xi} = \sqrt{8Zr}. \tag{4.2}$$

It follows from (1.4) and (4.1) that

$$R_{n,\ell}(Z; r) = -n^{-3/2} 2^{1/2} Z r^{-1/2} \left[\frac{(n + \ell)!}{(n - \ell - 1)! n^{2\ell+1}} \right]^{1/2} f_n^{(\ell)}(x) \tag{4.3}$$

where $f_n^{(\ell)}(x)$ satisfies the initial condition

$$f_n^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{(x/2)^{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell + 1)!} [1 + O(x^2)] \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.4}$$

The differential equation for $R_{n,\ell}(Z; r)$, which is

$$\left\{ -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{2Z}{r} \right\} R_{n,\ell}(Z; r) = -\frac{Z^2}{n^2} R_{n,\ell}(Z; r) \quad (4.5)$$

can be used to show that $f_n^{(\ell)}$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx} + 1 - \frac{(2\ell+1)^2}{x^2} \right] f_n^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{x^2}{16n^2} f_n^{(\ell)}(x). \quad (4.6)$$

We treat the right-hand side of (4.6) as a perturbation and look for a solution to (4.6) of the form

$$f_n^{(\ell)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n^{-2k} g_k^{(\ell)}(x). \quad (4.7)$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that the $f_n^{(k)}(x)$ can be obtained by solving the sequence of inhomogeneous differential equations

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx} + 1 - \frac{(2\ell+1)^2}{x^2} \right] g_k^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{x^2}{16} g_{k-1}^{(\ell)}(x) \quad (4.8)$$

with the understanding that the right-hand side of (4.8) is counted as zero for $k=0$. The initial condition

$$g_k^{(\ell)}(x) = O(x^{2\ell+3}) \quad k > 0 \quad (4.9)$$

is imposed on the higher-order terms because the $k=0$ term (given by (2.3)) satisfies the initial condition (4.4) exactly. The differential equations (4.8) can be solved by looking for a solution of the form (3.1). The recurrence and differentiation formulae† for the Bessel function $J_\nu(x)$ can be used to show that (3.1) is a solution to (4.8) if the coefficients $a_{k,m}^{(\ell)}$ are given by (3.2) and (3.3). The small x power series‡ for $J_\nu(x)$ can be used to show that the initial condition (4.9) is satisfied. A series of the form (2.1) can be obtained by rearranging an expansion given in the Bateman project§. However, the rearrangement is tedious, and for that reason we prefer the straightforward derivation recorded here.

It will now be shown that the expansion (2.1) converges uniformly in x for x in any bounded region in the complex x plane. We use the method of variation of parameters||, which begins by writing the solution to (4.8) and its first derivative in the forms

$$g_k^{(\ell)}(x) = h_k^{(\ell,J)}(x) J_{2\ell+1}(x) + h_k^{(\ell,Y)}(x) Y_{2\ell+1}(x) \quad (4.10)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} g_k^{(\ell)}(x) = h_k^{(\ell,J)}(x) \frac{d}{dx} J_{2\ell+1}(x) + h_k^{(\ell,Y)}(x) \frac{d}{dx} Y_{2\ell+1}(x). \quad (4.11)$$

† [8] pp 11–12, equations (54)–(56); [9] p 67.

‡ [8] p 4, equation (2); [9] p 65.

§ [8] p 199–200, equations (3), (4) and (5).

|| The method of variation of parameters is discussed in most books on ordinary differential equations. See, for example, [13].

Equations (4.8)–(4.11) and the Wronskian relation $J_{2\ell+1}(x)Y'_{2\ell+1}(x) - Y_{2\ell+1}(x)J'_{2\ell+1}(x) = 2/(\pi x)$ are then used to show that the coefficient functions $h_k^{(\ell,J)}(x)$ and $h_k^{(\ell,Y)}(x)$ are given by

$$h_k^{(\ell,J)}(x) = -\frac{\pi}{32} \int_0^x dy y^3 Y_{2\ell+1}(y) g_{k-1}^{(\ell)}(y) \tag{4.12}$$

$$h_k^{(\ell,Y)}(x) = \frac{\pi}{32} \int_0^x dy y^3 J_{2\ell+1}(y) g_{k-1}^{(\ell)}(y). \tag{4.13}$$

Because $x^{-2\ell-1} J_{2\ell+1}(x)$ is an entire function and because $x^{2\ell+1} Y_{2\ell+1}(x)$ is

$$(2/\pi)x^{2\ell+1} \ln(x)J_{2\ell+1}(x)$$

plus an entire function, there exist real, positive constants $B^{(\ell,J)}(x_0)$ and $B^{(\ell,Y)}(x_0)$, independent of x but dependent on x_0 , such that

$$|J_{2\ell+1}(x)| \leq B^{(\ell,J)}(x_0)|x|^{2\ell+1} \quad \text{and} \quad |Y_{2\ell+1}(x)| \leq B^{(\ell,Y)}(x_0)|x|^{-2\ell-1} \tag{4.14}$$

for $|x| \leq |x_0|$. Here x_0 can be any finite number. An explicit $B^{(\ell,J)}(x_0)$ can be obtained by replacing the terms in the power series for $x^{-2\ell-1} J_{2\ell+1}(x)$ by their absolute values to obtain $B^{(\ell,J)}(x_0) = |x_0|^{-2\ell-1} I_{2\ell+1}(|x_0|)$. An explicit $B^{(\ell,Y)}(x_0)$, which is somewhat more complicated, can be obtained by a similar computation. The bounds (4.14) are used in (2.3), (4.10), (4.12) and (4.13). Mathematical induction on k then shows that

$$|g_k^{(\ell)}(x)| \leq \frac{B^{(\ell,J)}(x_0)}{k!} \left(\frac{\pi B^{(\ell,J)}(x_0) B^{(\ell,Y)}(x_0)}{64} \right)^k |x|^{4k+2\ell+1} \tag{4.15}$$

for $|x| \leq |x_0|$. The bound (4.15) shows that the expansion (2.1) converges uniformly in x for $|x| \leq |x_0|$ for any finite x_0 . Similar arguments show that the corresponding expansions for the derivatives converge uniformly, and that the function to which the expansion (2.1) converges is a solution of the differential equation (4.5).

The derivation of the expansions (2.13) and (2.14) for the direct integral J and the exchange integral K begins with the insertion of (1.3) and (4.3) in the definitions (1.1) and (1.2) of J and K . Change variables from r_1, r_2 to x, y via

$$r_1 = \frac{x^2}{8(Z-1)} \quad r_2 = \frac{y^2}{8(Z-1)} \tag{4.16}$$

perform the integration over x in the integral for J , and use (2.12). The results are

$$J = -\frac{Z}{16n^3} \left[\frac{(n+\ell)!}{(n-\ell-1)!n^{2\ell+1}} \right] \int_0^\infty dy y(y^2 + \gamma^{-1}) \exp(-2\gamma y^2) [f_n^{(\ell)}(y)]^2 \tag{4.17}$$

$$K = \frac{Z\gamma^2}{(2\ell+1)n^3} \left[\frac{(n+\ell)!}{(n-\ell-1)!n^{2\ell+1}} \right] \int_0^\infty dy \int_y^\infty dx x^{-2\ell+2} y^{2\ell+4} \times \exp[-\gamma(x^2 + y^2)] f_n^{(\ell)}(x) f_n^{(\ell)}(y). \tag{4.18}$$

Make the definitions

$$U(\lambda, \mu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int_0^\infty J_\mu(\alpha r) J_\nu(\beta r) r^{\lambda-1} \exp(-\gamma r^2) dr \quad (4.19)$$

$$e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,J)}(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty dy y^{3k+3k'+1} (y^2 + \gamma^{-1}) \exp(-2\gamma y^2) \\ \times J_{2\ell+2m+k+1}(y) J_{2\ell+2m'+k'+1}(y) \quad (4.20)$$

$$e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,K)}(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty dy \int_y^\infty dx x^{-2\ell+3k+2} y^{2\ell+3k'+4} \exp[-\gamma(x^2 + y^2)] J_{2\ell+2m+k+1}(x) \\ \times J_{2\ell+2m'+k'+1}(y). \quad (4.21)$$

Formulae (3.5)–(3.7) are obtained by using (2.6), (3.1), (4.7), (4.20) and (4.21) in (4.17) and (4.18). The definition (4.19) can be used to bring (4.20) to the form

$$e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,J)}(\gamma) = U(3k + 3k' + 4, 2\ell + k + 2m + 1, 2\ell + k' + 2m' + 1; 1, 1, 2\gamma) \\ + \gamma^{-1} U(3k + 3k' + 2, 2\ell + k + 2m + 1, 2\ell + k' + 2m' + 1; 1, 1, 2\gamma). \quad (4.22)$$

The change of variables $x = r \cos(\theta)$, $y = r \sin(\theta)$ brings (4.20) to the form

$$e_{k,m;k',m'}^{(\ell,K)}(\gamma) = \int_0^{\pi/4} d\theta (\cos \theta)^{-2\ell+3k+2} (\sin \theta)^{2\ell+3k'+4} \\ \times U(3k + 3k' + 8, 2\ell + k + 2m + 1, 2\ell + k' + 2m' + 1; \cos \theta, \sin \theta, \gamma) \quad (4.23)$$

The needed values of U are obtained from the formulae

$$U(n + 2k + 2, n + \nu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=-i}^{n+k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{n+k+i}{i+j} \binom{n+k+\nu}{k-i} (k-i)! \\ \times (-1)^j 2^{-n-2i-1} \gamma^{-n-k-i-1} \alpha^{n+i-j} \beta^{i+j} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) I_{\nu+i+j}\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{2\gamma}\right) \quad (4.24)$$

$$U(n + 2k + 2, \nu, n + \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=-j}^{n+k} \binom{k}{j} \binom{n+k+j}{i+j} \binom{n+k+\nu}{k-j} (k-j)! \\ \times (-1)^i 2^{-n-2j-1} \gamma^{-n-k-j-1} \alpha^{i+j} \beta^{n+j-i} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) I_{\nu+i+j}\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{2\gamma}\right) \quad (4.25)$$

which are derived below (see equations (4.30)–(4.34)). The function $I_{\nu+i+j}[(\alpha\beta)/(2\gamma)]$ which appears in (4.24) and (4.25) is a modified Bessel function of the first kind in standard

notation†. Formulae (3.8)–(3.11) are an immediate consequence of (4.22), (4.24) and (4.25). Make the definition

$$f(p, q, \nu; \gamma) = \exp[-1/(4\gamma)] \int_0^{\pi/4} d\theta (\sin \theta)^{2p-\nu+1} (\cos \theta)^{2q-\nu+1} I_\nu[(\sin \theta \cos \theta)/(2\gamma)] \tag{4.26}$$

Formulae (3.12)–(3.15) are obtained by using (4.19) and (4.24)–(4.26) in (4.23). Make the definition

$$g(p, q) = \int_0^{\pi/4} d\theta (\sin \theta)^{2p+1} (\cos \theta)^{2q+1}. \tag{4.27}$$

The power series (3.16) for $f(p, q, \nu; \gamma)$ is obtained by using the small z power series† for $I_\nu(z)$ to expand the I_ν in (4.26). Term-by-term integration with the aid of (4.27), which is justified by the uniform convergence of the power series for I_ν , yields (3.16). Formula (3.17) follows immediately from (4.26) and $\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$. The formulae (3.18)–(3.20) for $g(p, q)$ are obtained by using the change of variables $\cos(2\theta) = t$ to bring (4.27) to the form

$$g(p, q) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p+q+2} \int_0^1 dt (1-t)^p (1+t)^q. \tag{4.28}$$

Expanding the factor $(1+t)^q$ in the integrand of (4.28) in binomial series and integrating term-by-term with the aid of the beta function [14] yields (3.18) if $h(p, q)$ is defined by the sum

$$h(p, q) = \sum_{m=0}^q \binom{p+q+1}{m}. \tag{4.29}$$

The recursion (3.19)–(3.20) which is used for the evaluation of $h(p, q)$ follows easily from (4.29). Equations (3.21)–(3.23) for $c_0^{(\ell, K)}$ are most easily derived by using (4.19), (4.23), (4.26) and (4.30) below to show that

$$c_{0,0,0,0}^{(\ell, K)}(\gamma) = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}\right)^3 \left[\frac{1}{2\gamma} f(2\ell+2, 1, 2\ell+1; \gamma) \right]. \tag{4.30}$$

Equations (3.21)–(3.23) follow from (2.7), (3.3), (3.6), (3.7), (4.29a) and $u = 1/(4\gamma)$.

We turn now to the derivation of (4.24). A formula for $U(\lambda, \mu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in the special case $\lambda = 2, \mu = \nu$ is derived in the Bateman project‡ and recorded in Magnus *et al*§. It is

$$U(2, \nu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{2\gamma}\right). \tag{4.31}$$

The formula|| $J_{\mu+1}(z) = \mu z^{-1} J_\mu(z) - J'_\mu(z)$ can be used to show that

$$U(\lambda+1, \mu+1, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right) U(\lambda, \mu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \tag{4.32}$$

† [8] p 5, equation (12); [9] p 66.

‡ [8] p 50, equation (50).

§ [9] p 93.

|| [8] p 12, equation (55); [9] p 67.

Mathematical induction on n carried out with the aid of (4.19), (4.30), (4.31) and the formula† $I'_\mu(z) = \mu z^{-1} I_\mu(z) + I_{\mu+1}(z)$ yields

$$U(n+2, n+\nu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\right)^{n+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \\ \times (-1)^m \alpha^{n-m} \beta^m I_{\nu+m}\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{2\gamma}\right). \quad (4.33)$$

The formula‡ $J_{\mu+1}(z) + J_{\mu-1}(z) = 2\mu z^{-1} J_\mu(z)$ can be used to show that

$$U(n+m+4, n+\nu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) + U(n+m+4, n+\nu+2, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ = 2\alpha^{-1}(n+\nu+1)U(n+m+3, n+\nu+1, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (4.34)$$

Mathematical induction on k carried out with the aid of (4.33) yields

$$U(n+2k+2, n+\nu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \binom{n+k+\nu}{k-m} (k-m)! \\ \times (-1)^m \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{k-m} U(n+k+m+2, n+k+m+\nu, \nu; \alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (4.35)$$

Formula (4.24) is obtained by combining (4.32) and (4.34). Formula (4.25) can be obtained from (4.24) by interchanging α and β and using the definition (4.19) of U .

The convergence of the expansions (2.13) and (2.14) for J and K for $n > (Z-1)/Z$ follows from the following theorem, which is taken from Copson [15].

Theorem. Let the function $F(z, t)$ satisfy the following conditions: (i) it is a continuous function of both variables when z lies within a closed contour C and $a \leq t \leq T$, for every finite value of T ; (ii) for each such value of t , it is an analytic function of z , regular within C ; (iii) the integral $f(z) = \int_a^\infty F(z, t) dt$ is convergent when z lies within C and uniformly convergent when z lies in any closed region D within C . Then $f(z)$ is an analytic function of z , regular within C , whose derivatives of all orders may be found by differentiating under the sign of integration.

We apply the theorem with $z = 1/n^2$ and $f(z) = J$ or K . The differential equation (4.5) implies that the dominant part of the large r behaviour of $R_{n,t}(r)$ for arbitrary complex values of n comes from exponential factors $\exp[\pm(Z-1)r/n]$. The factor $R_{1,0}(r)$ contributes an exponentially decaying factor $\exp(-Zr)$. It follows that the product $R_{1,0}(r)R_{n,t}(r)$ decays exponentially at large r for any (real or complex) value of n for which $|n| > (Z-1)/Z$. This exponential decay is used to establish the uniform convergence required by part (iii) of the hypothesis of the theorem quoted above; verification of the other parts of the hypothesis is straightforward.

† [8] p 79, equations (23) and (24); [9] p 67.

‡ [8] p 12, equation (56); [9] p 67.

5. Discussion

This paper provides the first tabulation of the coefficients in a $1/n$ expansion for the hydrogenic two-electron direct and exchange integrals of the Coulomb interaction. Only the leading term was known from previous work [10]. The higher-order terms are essential to studies of the limits of validity of the Ritz expansion (1.2) for the quantum defect [4, 5], through the constraint equations (1.7) to (1.10). No failure of the Ritz expansion has yet been found, even when cross-terms between exchange effects and core polarization by the Rydberg electron are included [5]. Some of the same analytical techniques may be useful in extracting $1/n$ expansions for higher-order terms that may eventually set a limit on the validity of the Ritz expansion as an exact functional form for the non-relativistic energies of helium.

The extension of Hartree's theorem to cover non-local exchange effects in atoms more complicated than helium has not yet been discussed. However, the helium results suggest that for an isolated sequence of Rydberg states, the theorem applies at least in a first approximation to the pair-wise exchange interactions between a Rydberg electron and the core electrons. Multiple overlapping sequences of Rydberg states introduce further complications that can be treated by means of multi-channel quantum defect theory [16].

Acknowledgments

The first author (GWFD) would like to thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support. The second author (RNH) would like to thank the University of Windsor Physics Department for its hospitality during the sabbatical visit when this research was initiated. Support of that visit by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged, as is support from the National Science Foundation under research grant PHY91-06797.

References

- [1] Edlén B 1964 *Encyclopedia of Physics* (Berlin and New York: Springer)
- [2] Drake G W F and Yan Z-C 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **46** 2378
Drake G W F 1993 *Long-Range Casimir Forces: Theory and Recent Experiments in Atomic Systems* ed F S Levin and D A Micha (New York: Plenum)
Drake G W F 1993 *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **31** in press
- [3] Lichten W, Shiner D and Zhou Z-X 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **43** 1663
Sansonetti C J and Gillaspay J D 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **45** R1
- [4] Drake G W F and Swainson R A 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 5448
Swainson R A and Drake G W F 1992 *Can. J. Phys.* **70** 187
- [5] Drake G W F 1993 *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **32** in press
- [6] Hartree D 1928 *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **24** 426
Langer R M 1930 *Phys. Rev.* **35** 649
- [7] Sanders F and Scherr C W 1965 *J. Chem. Phys.* **42** 4314
- [8] Erdelyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F and Tricomi F G 1953 *Higher Transcendental Functions* vol 2 (New York: McGraw-Hill) pp 188-92
- [9] Magnus W, Oberhettinger F and Soni R P 1966 *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics* 3rd edn (New York: Springer) pp 239-249
- [10] Bethe H A and Salpeter E E 1957 *Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms* 1st edn (Berlin: Springer); paperback edn (New York: Plenum, 1977) pp 133-5, equations (28.9)-(28.11) and (28.21)
- [11] Hylleraas E A 1930 *Z. Phys.* **66** 453

- [12] Press W H, Flannery B P, Teukolsky S A and Vetterling W T 1986 *Numerical Recipes, The Art of Scientific Computing* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 170–6
- [13] Ince E L 1956 *Ordinary Differential Equations* (New York: Dover) pp 122–3
- [14] Erdelyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F and Tricomi F G 1953 *Higher Transcendental Functions* vol 1 (New York: McGraw-Hill) p 9, equations (1) and (5); [8] p 7
- [15] Copson E T 1962 *An Introduction to the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable* (London: Oxford University Press) p 110
- [16] Seaton M J 1983 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **46** 167