

Stephen F. Austin State University SFA ScholarWorks

Faculty Publications

Kinesiology and Health Science

2011

A Critical Examination of the Curriculum of Physical Education Teacher Education Doctoral Programs [Abstract]

Phillip Ward

Melissa Parker

Sue Sutherland

Christina Sinclair

Stephen F Austin State University, sinclaircd1@sfasu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/kinesiology>

 Part of the [Kinesiology Commons](#)

Tell us how this article helped you.

Recommended Citation

Ward, Phillip; Parker, Melissa; Sutherland, Sue; and Sinclair, Christina, "A Critical Examination of the Curriculum of Physical Education Teacher Education Doctoral Programs [Abstract]" (2011). *Faculty Publications*. Paper 6.
<http://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/kinesiology/6>

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by the Kinesiology and Health Science at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu.

A critical examination of the curriculum of physical education teacher education doctoral programs [Abstract]

Concerns have been raised in the Physical Education Teacher Education (PETE) doctoral education literature regarding: (a) the narrowness of research preparation, (b) the emphasis on disciplinary silos, (c) the lack of competitiveness and innovation within and beyond academia, and (d) the role of graduate assistants. These concerns mirror those in the professoriat at large regarding doctoral education. There is, however, little research that has examined these issues in our field. In this paper we report on the content studied in the core curriculum of doctoral programs, admission requirements, number of full and part time students, number of faculty serving these students, funding supporting students, and type and scope of research classes. Data were collected from websites as well as the public domain sources and open-ended interviews with faculty members in each program. We discuss the findings and implications for PETE.